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#### Abstract

Let $\omega$ and $\nu$ be radial weights on the unit disc of the complex plane such that $\omega$ admits the doubling property $\sup _{0 \leqslant r<1} \frac{\int_{r}^{1} \omega(s) d s}{\int_{\frac{1+r}{2}}^{1} \omega(s) d s}<\infty$. Consider the one weight inequality $$
\begin{equation*} \left\|P_{\omega}(f)\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}, \quad 1<p<\infty, \tag{†} \end{equation*}
$$


for the Bergman projection $P_{\omega}$ induced by $\omega$. It is shown that the Muckenhoupt-type condition

$$
A_{p}(\omega, \nu)=\sup _{0 \leqslant r<1} \frac{\left(\int_{r}^{1} s \nu(s) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{r}^{1} s\left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}{\int_{r}^{1} s \omega(s) d s}<\infty,
$$

is necessary for $(\ddagger)$ to hold, and sufficient if $\nu$ is of the form $\nu(s)=\omega(s)\left(\int_{r}^{1} s \omega(s) d s\right)^{\alpha}$ for some $-1<\alpha<\infty$. This result extends the classical theorem due to Forelli and Rudin for a much larger class of weights. In addition, it is shown that for any pair $(\omega, \nu)$ of radial weights the Calderón operator

$$
H_{\omega}^{\star}(f)(z)+H_{\omega}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{|z|} f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \frac{s \omega(s) d s}{\int_{s}^{1} t \omega(t) d t}+\frac{\int_{|z|}^{1} f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) s \omega(s) d s}{\int_{|z|}^{1} s \omega(s) d s} d s
$$

is bounded on $L_{\nu}^{p}$ if and only if $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$.

## 1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. For a nonnegative function $\omega \in L^{1}([0,1))$, its extension to $\mathbb{D}$, defined by $\omega(z)=\omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, is called a radial weight. For $0<p<\infty$ and such an $\omega$, the Lebesgue space $L_{\omega}^{p}$ consists of complex-valued measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L_{\omega}^{p}}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p} \omega(z) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where $d A(z)=\frac{d x d y}{\pi}$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. The corresponding weighted Bergman space is $A_{\omega}^{p}=L_{\omega}^{p} \cap \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Throughout this paper we assume $\widehat{\omega}(z)=$ $\int_{|z|}^{1} \omega(s) d s>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, for otherwise $A_{\omega}^{p}=\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. As usual, we write $A_{\alpha}^{p}$ for the classical weighted Bergman space induced by the standard radial weight $(\alpha+1)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}$, where $-1<\alpha<\infty$.

The Bergman Hilbert space $A_{\omega}^{2}$ is a closed subspace of $L_{\omega}^{2}$, and the orthogonal Bergman projection from $L_{\omega}^{2}$ to $A_{\omega}^{2}$ is the integral operator

$$
P_{\omega}(f)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

[^0]where $\left\{B_{z}^{\omega}\right\}_{z \in \mathbb{D}}$ are the reproducing kernels of $A_{\omega}^{2}$. The kernel of the classical weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ is denoted by $B_{z}^{\alpha}$, and $P_{\alpha}$ stands for the corresponding Bergman projection.

This paper concerns the question of when, for a given $1<p<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\omega}(f)\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}, \quad f \in L_{\nu}^{p} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider the one-weight inequality analogous to (1.1) for the maximal Bergman projection

$$
P_{\omega}^{+}(f)(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta)\left|B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

The boundedness of projections on $L^{p}$-spaces is a compelling topic which has attracted a lot of attention during the last decades. Regarding the inequality (1.11), we refer to [2, 3, [4, 16, 19, 18 . The most known result concerning (1.1) is due to Bekollé and Bonami [3, 4], and it concerns the case when $\nu$ is an arbitrary weight and the inducing weight $\omega$ is standard. See [1, 19, 21 for recent extensions of this result. Some of the key tools in the proof of the aforementioned result of Bekollé and Bonami are based on the classical harmonic analysis, and rely strongly on the nice properties that the standard kernel has. In particular, the neat explicit formula $B_{z}^{\alpha}(\zeta)=$ $(1-\bar{z} \zeta)^{-(2+\alpha)}$ shows that each $B_{z}^{\alpha}$ is zero-free and its modulus is essentially constant in hyperbolically bounded regions. However, these properties are no longer true for the Bergman reproducing kernel induced by an arbitrary radial weight. Namely, for a given radial weight $\omega$ there exists another radial weight $\nu$ such that $A_{\omega}^{2}=A_{\nu}^{2}$, but $B_{z}^{\nu}$ have zeros, see the proof of [5, Theorem 2]. Going further, one of the main obstacles to tackle the inequality (1.1) is the lack of explicit expressions for $B_{z}^{\omega}$. By and large, this kernel has the representation $B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)=\sum \overline{e_{n}(z)} e_{n}(\zeta)$ for each orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ of $A_{\omega}^{2}$. Therefore the normalized monomials show that the properties of the weight $\omega$ are transmitted to the projection $P_{\omega}$ through the representation $B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{z} \zeta)^{n}}{2 \omega_{2 n+1}}$ of the kernel via its odd moments $\omega_{2 n+1}$. In general, from now on we write $\omega_{x}=\int_{0}^{1} r^{x} \omega(r) d r$ for all $x \geqslant 0$.

To the best of our knowledge, describing the radial weights $\omega$ such that $P_{\omega}$ is bounded on $L_{\omega}^{p}$ is an open problem that was formally posed by Dostanić in 6 almost two decades ago. Even though this particular case $\omega=\nu$ of (1.1) remains unsettled, it was recently proved in [18, Theorem 7] that $P_{\omega}: L_{\omega}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega}^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, is bounded if $\omega$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$. The class $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ consists of the radial weights $\omega$ having the doubling property $\sup _{0 \leqslant r<1} \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{\hat{\omega}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)}<\infty$. Further, mild extra regularity hypothesis on $\omega$ guarantee the necessity of the doubling condition $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ for $P_{\omega}: L_{\omega}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega}^{p}$ to be bounded [18, Corollary 8]. Due to these results we will study the inequality (1.1) under the initial hypothesis $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Another class of doubling weights that naturally appears in our study is denoted by $\check{\mathcal{D}}$, and it consists of radial weights $\omega$ for which there exist constants $K=K(\omega)>1$ and $C=C(\omega)>1$ such that $\widehat{\omega}(r) \geqslant C \widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1-r}{K}\right)$ for all $0 \leqslant r<1$. The intersection $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \breve{\mathcal{D}}$ of these classes of weights is denoted by $\mathcal{D}$. The next few lines are dedicated to offer a brief insight to these classes. Each standard radial weight $(\alpha+1)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}$ belongs $\mathcal{D}$, while $\breve{\mathcal{D}} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ contains weights that tend to zero exponentially. The class of rapidly increasing weights, introduced in [15], lies entirely within $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \backslash \mathcal{D}$, and a typical example of such a weight is $\nu(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha>1$. To this end we emphasize that the containment in $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ or $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ does not require continuity neither positivity. In fact, weights in these classes may vanish on a relatively large part of each outer annulus $\{z: r \leqslant|z|<1\}$ of $\mathbb{D}$. For basic properties of aforementioned classes, concrete nontrivial examples and more, see [13, 15, 18] and the relevant references therein.

The recent papers [10, 20] show that the (radial) averaging operator

$$
H_{\omega}(f)(z)=\frac{\int_{|z|}^{1} f\left(s \frac{z}{z}\right) \omega_{1}(s) d s}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(|z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \omega_{1}(s)=s \omega(s),
$$

can be considered as a toy model to study the pairs of radial weights $(\omega, \nu)$ such that (1.1) holds. In order to make this statement precise, for $1<p<\infty$ and radial weights $\omega$ and $\nu$, write $\sigma(r)=\sigma_{p, \omega, \nu}(r)=\left(\frac{\omega(r)}{\nu(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{p^{\prime}}$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(\omega, \nu)=\sup _{0<r<1}\left(\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\nu_{1}(s)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(s)^{p}} d s+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{\sigma_{1}}(r)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this notation the classical result of Muckenhoupt [11, Theorem 2] states that $H_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow$ $L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded if and only if $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. This together with minor modifications in the proof of [18, Theorem 13] yields the following result, which is a substantial improvement of [10, Corollary 1.2].

Theorem A. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, and let $\nu$ be a radial weight. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $P_{\omega}^{+}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(ii) $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded and $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$;
(iii) $H_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded and $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$;
(iv) $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{D}$.

This result characterizes the one-weight inequality (1.1) whenever $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, and establishes clear connections between the projections $P_{\omega}$ and $P_{\omega}^{+}$, and the averaging operator $H_{\omega}$. What remains unsettled is (1.1) when $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ but the hypothesis $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ is removed. In order to approach this question, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}(\omega, \nu)=\sup _{0 \leqslant r<1} \frac{\widehat{\nu_{1}}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{\sigma_{1}}(r)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(r)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known by [18, Theorem 13] that also the condition $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ characterizes the bounded Bergman projections $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$, provided $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\nu \in \mathscr{\mathcal { D }}$. Further, this condition implies $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ for any pair of radial weights $(\omega, \nu)$, see the proof of [18, Theorem 13] or Theorem 4 below. Going further, we will prove the following result.
Lemma B. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and let $\nu$ be a radial weight. If $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded, then $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$.

This lemma is essentially contained in the proof of [18, Theorem 13], but we provide the details for the convenience of the reader and the sake of completeness.

To get the big picture right, let us now consider the radial weights $\omega \equiv 1$ and $\nu(s)=$ $\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\log \frac{e}{1-s^{2}}\right)^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha>1$. Obviously, $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and straightforward calculations show that $\nu \notin \mathcal{D}, M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ but $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)=\infty$. Therefore $P_{\omega}$ is not bounded on $L_{\nu}^{p}$ for any $1<p<\infty$ by Lemma B. This forces us to focus on the condition $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and rules out $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ as a candidate to describe the couples of radial weights $(\omega, \nu)$ such that (1.1) holds under the initial hypothesis $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Unfortunately, we can not judge whether or not the reverse statement to Lemma B is true. This is, in our opinion, an intriguing matter and deserves to be stated as an open question.

Question 1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and let $\nu$ be a radial weight such that $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. Is then $P_{\omega}$ bounded on $L_{\nu}^{p}$ ?

Despite the fact that we are not able to answer Question in its full generality, we provide an affirmative answer in an important particular case.
Theorem 2. Let $1<p<\infty,-1<\alpha<\infty, \omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\nu=\omega \widehat{\omega}_{1}^{\alpha}$. Then $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded if and only if $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. Moreover, $P_{\omega}^{+}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded if and only if $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$.

The classical result [23, Theorem 4.24] for the standard weights, attributed to Forelli and Rudin [8], states that $P_{\gamma}: L_{\beta}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\beta}^{p}$ is bounded if and only $p(\gamma+1)>\beta+1$. This result is just a particular case of Theorem 2. Namely, if $\omega(s)=\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\gamma}$ and $\nu(s)=\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\beta}$, where $\gamma, \beta>-1$, then $\nu=(2(\gamma+1))^{-\alpha} \omega \widehat{\omega}_{1}^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha=\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\gamma+1}>-1$, and $p(\gamma+1)>\beta+1$ if and only if $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is a very rich class of radial weights, the first statement in Theorem 2 is a far reaching extension of [23, Theorem 4.24]. The second statement is just a consequence of [18, Proposition 20] and the first statement. It is well-known among experts working on operator theory on spaces of analytic functions that the aforementioned result due to Forelli and Rudin is an extremely useful tool with a plethora of applications within this area of research [23]. Therefore we expect that Theorem 2 boosts the development of the operator theory in Bergman spaces $A_{\omega}^{p}$ induced by $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$.

It is very important to realize the distinction between $\mathcal{D}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ when proving Theorem 2 , Namely, since $P_{\omega}$ and $P_{\omega}^{+}$are not always simultaneously bounded when $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \backslash \mathcal{D}$, any technique, where $\left|P_{\omega}(f)\right|$ is dominated by $P_{\omega}^{+}(|f|)$, is bound to fail all together to prove Theorem 2. In particular, this shows that arguments employed in the proofs of [16, Theorem 11] and [18, Theorem 13] do not serve us here. The techniques we will use to prove Theorem 2 are by no means standard. Our first step towards the theorem is the following reformulation of (1.1) in our setting via a duality relation between weighted Bergman spaces.

Theorem 3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, and let $\nu$ be a radial weight. Then $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded if and only if $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq A_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $A_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms.

The next step in the proof of Theorem 2 is to observe that the dual of $A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$ can be identified with the space of coefficient multipliers from $A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$ to $H^{\infty}$ under the $H^{2}$-pairing (the Cauchy pairing). As usual, for $0<p \leqslant \infty$, we write $H^{p}$ for the classical Hardy space. This observation becomes practical once we endow $A_{\omega}^{p}$ with a suitable equivalent norm. The one that works here is an $\ell^{p}$-type norm of the Hardy norms of blocks of the Maclaurin series whose size depend on the weight $\omega$, see [17, Theorem 3.4] and also [14, Theorem 4]. These arguments allow us to show that there exists a space $X_{p}(\omega, \nu)$ of analytic functions such that $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq X_{p}(\omega, \nu)$ via the $H^{2}$-pairing. Finally, to get the desired pairing and space, we define the operator $I^{\omega}(g)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(k) \omega_{2 k+1} z^{k}$, and we prove that $I^{\omega}: A_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow X_{p}(\omega, \nu)$ is isomorphic and onto. This establishes Theorem 2.

We now turn back to study relationships between the boundedness of the radial averaging operator $H_{\omega}$ on $L_{\nu}^{p}$, and the condition $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. Recall that $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ describes the boundedness of $H_{\omega}$ on $L_{\nu}^{p}$ by [11, Theorem 2], and $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ always implies $M_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$. We proceed with necessary definitions.

The adjoint of $H_{\omega}$, with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}$, is the operator

$$
H_{\omega}^{\star}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{|z|} f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \frac{\omega_{1}(s)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(s)} d s, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

For a radial weight $\eta$, the associated Hörmander maximal function [9] and Stieltjes transfrom [22] are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\eta, \mathrm{rad}}(f)(z)=\sup _{b<|z|} \frac{\int_{b}^{1}\left|f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right)\right| \eta(s) d s}{\widehat{\eta}(b)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\eta, \operatorname{rad}}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \eta(s)}{\widehat{\eta}(s)+\widehat{\eta}(|z|)} d s, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Our findings concerning the averaging radial operators and the condition (1.3) are gathered in the next result.

Theorem 4. Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\omega$ and $\nu$ be radial weights. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(ii) $S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(iii) $M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(iv) $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$.

## Moreover,

$$
\left\|H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}}=\left\|S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}}=\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}}=A_{p}(\omega, \nu) .
$$

As for the proof of Theorem 团 the inequality $\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \nu)$ boils down to a decomposition of the interval $[0,1)$ in level sets for the maximal function $M_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }} f_{\theta}$, where $f_{\theta}(r)=f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$, together with some properties of the maximal function. Since (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is deduced by standard techniques, we have the equivalence between (iii) and (iv). After that, we observe the pointwise inequalities

$$
M_{\omega_{1}, \operatorname{rad}}(f)(z) \leqslant 2 S_{\omega_{1}, \operatorname{rad}}(f)(z) \leqslant H_{\omega}(f)(z)+H_{\omega}^{\star}(f)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \geqslant 0,
$$

which yield (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Finally, the implication $($ iv $) \Rightarrow$ (i) follows from the inequalities $\left\|H_{\omega}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \nu)$ and duality relations.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the proofs of Lemma B Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 in the said order. Theorem 4 is proved in Section 3.

Throughout the paper we repeatedly use the fact that $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp. $\omega \in \mathscr{\mathcal { D }}$ ) if and only if $\omega_{1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp. $\omega_{1} \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ ). Further, as usual, for two non-negative functions $A$ and $B$, the notation $A \lesssim B$ (or $B \gtrsim A$ ) means that there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of the variables involved, such that $A \leqslant C B$. Furthermore, we will write $A \asymp B$ when $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

## 2. Bergman projection

We begin with a detailed proof of the necessary condition (1.3) for $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ to be bounded.

Proof of Lemma B. Assume that $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded. Then $P_{\omega}(f)$ is well defined almost everywhere on $\mathbb{D}$ for each $f \in L_{\nu}^{p}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f(\zeta) \| B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta)<\infty, \quad f \in L_{\nu}^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Now that $B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{z} \zeta)^{n}}{2 \omega_{2 n+1}}$, with $\omega_{2 n+1}=\int_{0}^{1} s^{2 n+1} \omega(s) d s$, we have $B_{0}^{\omega}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \omega_{1}}$. Therefore there exists $\delta=\delta(\omega)>0$ such that $\left|B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{4 \omega_{1}}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ and $z$ such that $|z|<\delta$. This together with (2.1) yields $L_{\nu}^{p} \subset L_{\omega}^{1}$. Let us show that the embedding is continuous. If this were not the case, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there would exist $f_{n} \in L_{\nu}^{p}$ such that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}=1$ and $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}} \geqslant n^{3}$. Consider the function $F=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|f_{n}\right|}{n^{2}}$. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}}{n^{2}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F \geqslant \frac{\left|f_{n}\right|}{n^{2}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\|F\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}} \geqslant \frac{\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}}}{n^{2}} \geqslant n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus $F \notin L_{\omega}^{1}$. This together with (2.2) contradicts $L_{\nu}^{p} \subset L_{\omega}^{1}$. Consequently, there exists a constant $C=C(\omega, \nu, p)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}, \quad f \in L_{\nu}^{p} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality implies that $\omega$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu$, and hence the function $f_{n}=\min \left\{n,\left(\frac{\omega}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}$ is well-defined almost everywhere on $\mathbb{D}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,
$f_{n}^{p} \nu \leqslant f_{n} \omega$ almost everywhere, and hence (2.3) yields $\sup _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}} \leqslant C^{p^{\prime}}$. The monotone convergence theorem now shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{1} s\left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d s=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \omega(z) d A(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L_{\omega}^{1}}<\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the adjoint operator $P_{\omega}^{*}$ is defined via the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P_{\omega}(f), g\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}}=\left\langle f, P_{\omega}^{*}(g)\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}}, \quad f \in L_{\nu}^{p}, \quad g \in L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next establish an integral formula for the image of the monomial $m_{n}(z)=z^{n}$ under $P_{\omega}^{*}$. First observe that for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$ the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z \bar{\zeta})^{k}}{2 \omega_{2 k+1}}$ converges uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By using this and Fubini's theorem we deduce that each bounded function $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle P_{\omega}(f), m_{n}\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}} & =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) B_{\zeta}^{\omega}(z) \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta)\right) \overline{m_{n}(z)} \nu(z) d A(z) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \bar{\zeta}^{k} \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta)\right) z^{k}}{2 \omega_{2 k+1}}\right) \overline{m_{n}(z)} \nu(z) d A(z)  \tag{2.6}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}(z \bar{\zeta})^{n} \overline{m_{n}(z)} \nu(z) d A(z)\right)}{2 \omega_{2 n+1}} \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{\left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} m_{n}(z) B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta) \nu(z) d A(z)\right)} \nu(\zeta) d A(\zeta) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
L_{\omega}(g)(\zeta)=\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(z) B_{z}^{\omega}(\zeta) \nu(z) d A(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}, \quad g \in L_{\nu}^{1}
$$

Observe that $L_{\omega}\left(m_{n}\right)(\zeta)=\frac{\omega(\zeta) \nu_{2 n+1}}{\nu(\zeta) \omega_{2 n+1}} \zeta^{n}$ and $L_{\omega}\left(m_{n}\right) \in L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by (2.4). For each $f \in L_{\nu}^{p}$, choose a sequence of simple functions $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ which converges to $f$ in $L_{\nu}^{p}$. Then, since $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded by the hypothesis, (2.6) yields

$$
\left\langle P_{\omega}(f), m_{n}\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle P_{\omega}\left(s_{k}\right), m_{n}\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle s_{k}, L_{\omega}\left(m_{n}\right)\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}}=\left\langle f, L_{\omega}\left(m_{n}\right)\right\rangle_{L_{\nu}^{2}},
$$

and hence $P_{\omega}^{\star}\left(m_{n}\right)=L_{\omega}\left(m_{n}\right)$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{D}$.
Since $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis of the theorem and $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded, [18, Proposition 19(i)] implies $\nu_{1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore [13, Lemma 2.1] yields $\left\|m_{n}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}=2 \nu_{n p^{\prime}+1} \asymp \widehat{\nu_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \asymp$ $\nu_{2 n+1}$ and $\omega_{2 n+1}=\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now that $P_{\omega}^{*}: L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}}$ is bounded, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nu_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) & =\left\|m_{n}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}} \gtrsim\left\|P_{\omega}^{\star}\left(m_{n}\right)\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}=2\left(\frac{\nu_{2 n+1}}{\omega_{2 n+1}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{1} s^{n p^{\prime}+1}\left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{p^{p^{\prime}}} d s \\
& \gtrsim\left(\frac{\widehat{\nu_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \widehat{\sigma_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\sup _{1 / 2 \leqslant r<1} \frac{{\widehat{\nu_{1}}}_{1}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{\sigma_{1}}(r)^{\frac{1}{p^{r}}}}{\widehat{\omega}_{1}(r)}<\infty
$$

since $\omega_{1} \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then bearing in mind (2.4), it follows that $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$.
Now we proceed with the proof of the convenient reformulation of (1.1) in terms of an appropriate duality.
Proof of Theorem 3. Assume first that $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq A_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $A_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms. Let $H \in L_{\nu}^{p}$ and define $h=H\left(\frac{\omega}{\nu}\right)^{-p^{\prime} / p}$ outside of the set on which $\omega$ vanishes. Then
$\|h\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p}}=\|H\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}$. Consider the bounded linear functional $T_{h}(f)=\langle f, h\rangle_{L_{\sigma}^{2}}$ on $L_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$ that satisfies $\left\|T_{h}\right\|=\|h\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p}}$. Then $T_{h}(f)=\langle f, H\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}$ and $\left\|T_{h}\right\|=\|h\|_{L_{\sigma}^{p}}=\|H\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}$. For each polynomial $p$, with the Maclaurin coefficients $\{\widehat{p}(n)\}$, Fubini's theorem yields

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{h}(p) & =\left\langle P_{\omega}(p), H\right\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{D(0, r)} P_{\omega}(p)(z) \overline{H(z)} \omega(z) d A(z) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} p(\zeta)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{D(0, r)} \overline{H(z)} z^{n} \omega(z) d A(z)}{2 \omega_{2 n+1}} \bar{\zeta}^{n}\right) \omega(\zeta) d A(\zeta)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}(n) \int_{D(0, r)} \overline{H(z)} z^{n} \omega(z) d A(z)=\left\langle p, P_{\omega}(H)\right\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

By the hypothesis, there exists $g \in A_{\nu}^{p}$ such that $T_{h}(f)=\langle f, g\rangle_{A_{\omega}^{2}}$ for all $f \in A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$, and $\left\|T_{h}\right\|=$ $\|g\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}}$. In particular, $T_{h}\left(m_{n}\right)=\left\langle m_{n}, g\right\rangle_{A_{\omega}^{2}}=\left\langle m_{n}, P_{\omega}(H)\right\rangle_{A_{\omega}^{2}}$ for each monomial $m_{n}(z)=z^{n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. It follows that $P_{\omega}(H)=g$, and hence $\left\|P_{\omega}(H)\right\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}}=\left\|T_{h}\right\|=\|H\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}$. Since $H \in L_{\nu}^{p}$ was arbitrarily taken, this shows that $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded.

Reciprocally, assume that $P_{\omega}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded, then (1.3) holds by Lemma B and in particular $\sigma$ is a weight. Let $T \in\left(A_{\sigma}^{p}\right)^{\star}$, then $T$ can be extended to a bounded linear functional $\widetilde{T}$ on $L_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$ with $\|T\|=\|\widetilde{T}\|$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem. By arguing as in the first part of the proof, we see that $\left(L_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $L_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing. Hence there exists $H \in L_{\nu}^{p}$ such that $\widetilde{T}(f)=\langle f, H\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}$ for all $f \in L_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$, and $\|\widetilde{T}\|=\|H\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}$. Now, for each polynomial $p$, with Maclaurin coefficients $\{\widehat{p}(n)\}$, (2.7) yields

$$
T(p)=\left\langle P_{\omega}(p), H\right\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=\left\langle p, P_{\omega}(H)\right\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=\langle p, g\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}},
$$

where $g=P_{\omega}(H) \in A_{\nu}^{p}$ satisfies $\|g\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|P_{\omega}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}}\|H\|_{L_{\nu}^{p}}=\left\|P_{\omega}\right\|_{L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}}\|T\|<\infty$ by the hypothesis. Bearing in mind that the polynomials are dense in $A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$, because $\sigma$ is radial, and using Hölder's inequality, it follows that $T(f)=\langle f, g\rangle_{A_{\omega}^{2}}$ for all $f \in A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$ with $\|g\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}} \lesssim\|T\|$. Since in addition, each functional $T_{g}(f)=\langle f, g\rangle_{A_{\omega}^{2}}$ induced by $g \in A_{\nu}^{p}$, belongs to $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star}$ with $\left\|T_{g}\right\| \leqslant\|g\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}}$ by Hölder's inequality, we deduce $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq A_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $A_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms.

The proof of Theorem 2 requires some preparations. In particular, we will use a suitable norm in the weighted Bergman space induced by a weight in $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. For that purpose some more notation are in order. The Hadamard product of $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k) z^{k} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ and $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(k) z^{k} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ is

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k) \widehat{g}(k) z^{k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

For a Banach space $X \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<q \leqslant \infty$ and a sequence of polynomials $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{s}^{q}\left(X,\left\{P_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}):\|f\|_{\ell_{s}^{q}\left(X,\left\{P_{n}\right\}\right)}^{q}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{-n s}\left\|P_{n} * f\right\|_{X}\right)^{q}<\infty\right\}, \quad 0<q<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{s}^{\infty}\left(X,\left\{P_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}):\|f\|_{\ell_{s}^{\infty}\left(X,\left\{P_{n}\right\}\right)}=\sup _{n}\left(2^{-n s}\left\|P_{n} * f\right\|_{X}\right)<\infty\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for quasi-normed spaces $X, Y \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, let $[X, Y]=\{g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}): f * g \in Y$ for all $f \in$ $X\}$ denote the space of coefficient multipliers from $X$ to $Y$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{[X, Y]}=\sup \left\{\|f * g\|_{Y}: f \in X,\|f\|_{X} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

With these preparations we are ready for the proof.
Proof of Theorem [2. Bearing in mind Lemma B and Theorem 3 we only have to prove that $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq A_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $A_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms, in order to ensure that $P_{\omega}$ is
bounded on $L_{\nu}^{p}$. Observe that $\sigma=\omega \widehat{\omega}_{1}^{-\alpha \frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}$, and hence the condition $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ is equivalent to the inequality $-1<\alpha<\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\widehat{\omega_{1}}(0)=1$, and consider a sequence $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\left\{\rho_{n}(\omega)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=2^{-n}$ for all $n$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $M_{n}=M_{n}(\omega)=E\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho_{n}}\right)$, where $E(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E(x) \leqslant x<E(x)+1$. Write

$$
I(0)=I_{\omega}(0)=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}: k<M_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
I(n)=I_{\omega}(n)=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: M_{n} \leqslant k<M_{n+1}\right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

For $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, with the Maclaurin series $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^{n}$, define the polynomials $\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f$ by

$$
\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f(z)=\sum_{k \in I_{\omega}(n)} \widehat{f}(k) z^{k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} .
$$

Then, obviously, $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{n}^{\omega} f$. Observe that $\nu, \sigma \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ because $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and moreover

$$
\widehat{\nu_{1}}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=\frac{2^{-n(1+\alpha)}}{1+\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{\sigma_{1}}\left(\rho_{n}\right)=\frac{2^{-n\left(1-\alpha \frac{p^{\prime}}{p}\right)}}{1-\alpha \frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} .
$$

Therefore, [17, Theorem 3.4], see also [12, Theorem 4.1] and [14, Theorem 4] for similar results, shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}}^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\nu_{1}}\left(\rho_{n}\right)\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(1+\alpha)}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\sigma_{1}}\left(\rho_{n}\right)\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f\right\|_{H^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\left(1-\alpha \frac{p^{p^{p}}}{p}\right)}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} f\right\|_{H^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $A_{\nu}^{p}=\ell_{\frac{\alpha+1}{p}}^{p}\left(H^{p},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)$ and $A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}=\ell_{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}-\frac{\alpha}{p}}^{p^{\prime}}\left(H^{p^{\prime}},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)$ with equivalent norms.
In addition, $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star}$ can be identified with $\left[A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]$ via the $H^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms by [12, Proposition 1.3]. That is, for each $L \in\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star}$ there exists a unique $g \in\left[A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]$ such that $\|L\|=\|g\|_{\left[A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]}$ and $L(f)=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}$ for all $f \in A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}$, and conversely, each functional $L(f)=\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{2}}$, induced by $g \in\left[A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]$, belongs to $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star}$ and satisfies $\|L\|=\|g\|_{\left[A_{\sigma}^{\left.p^{\prime}, H^{\infty}\right]}\right.}$. Now use [12, Theorem 5.4] and the fact that $\left(H^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq\left[H^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]=H^{p}$ via the $H^{2}$-pairing to deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\ell_{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}-\frac{\alpha}{p}}^{p^{\prime}}\left(H^{p^{\prime}},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right), H^{\infty}\right]=\ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(\left[H^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right],\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)=\ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(H^{p},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equivalent norms. Since $A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}=\ell_{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}-\frac{\alpha}{p}}^{p^{\prime}}\left(H^{p^{\prime}},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)$ with equivalent norms, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq\left[A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}, H^{\infty}\right]=\ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(H^{p},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

via the $H^{2}$-pairing with equivalence of norms.
Finally, define the operator $I^{\omega}: \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ by $I^{\omega}(g)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(k) \omega_{2 k+1} z^{k}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The sequence $\left\{\omega_{2 k+1}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is non-increasing, and hence there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
C^{-1} \omega_{1+M_{n+1}}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} g\right\|_{H^{p}} \leqslant\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} I^{\omega}(g)\right\|_{H^{p}} \leqslant C \omega_{1+M_{n}}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} g\right\|_{H^{p}}, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}),
$$

by [14, Lemma E]. This combined with $\left(\omega_{1}\right)_{x} \asymp \widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)$, valid for all $1 \leqslant x<\infty$ by [13, Lemma 2.1(vi)], gives $\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} I^{\omega}(g)\right\|_{H^{p}}=2^{-n}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} g\right\|_{H^{p}}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore (2.10) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I^{\omega}(g)\right\|_{\ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(H^{p},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)}^{p} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\left(\alpha-\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} I^{\omega}(g)\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\left(\alpha+p-\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}\right)}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} g\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(\alpha+1)}\left\|\Delta_{n}^{\omega} g\right\|_{H^{p}}^{p}=\|g\|_{A_{\nu}^{p}}^{p}, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $I^{\omega}: A_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow \ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(\left[H^{p}, H^{\infty}\right],\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)$ is isomorphic and onto. This converts $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq$ $\ell_{\frac{\alpha}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}^{p}\left(H^{p},\left\{\Delta_{n}^{\omega}\right\}\right)$ via the $H^{2}$-pairing, valid by (2.13), to $\left(A_{\sigma}^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\star} \simeq A_{\nu}^{p}$ via the $A_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing, and thus finishes the proof of the first statement.

Now assume that $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$. Then a calculation shows that $\nu=\omega \widehat{\omega}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}$, and $P_{\omega}^{+}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded by [18, Theorem 13]. Reciprocally, if $P_{\omega}^{+}: L_{\nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\nu}^{p}$ is bounded, then $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ by [18, Theorem 13]. Alternatively, we can use Lemma B and [18, Proposition 20] to get the conditions $A_{p}(\omega, \nu)<\infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$.

## 3. Calderón and Stieltjes operators, and Hörmander maximal function

We will prove the following reformulation of Theorem 4 using ideas coming from [7].
Theorem 5. Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\omega$ be a radial weight. Let $\nu:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\nu \in L_{\omega}^{1}([0,1))$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(ii) $S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(iii) $M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded;
(iv) $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$.

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}=\left\|S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}=\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}=A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before embarking on the proof of Theorem [5] two auxiliary results are in order. For a radial weight $\eta$, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\eta} f(t)=\sup _{b<t} \frac{\int_{b}^{1}|f(s)| \eta(s) d s}{\widehat{\eta}(b)}, \quad 0<t<1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By denoting $f_{\theta}(r)=f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ for all $r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, (1.4) shows that $M_{\eta, \text { rad }}(f)(z)=M_{\eta} f_{\theta}(r)$. Further, for a given measurable function $f, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a radial weight $\omega$, define

$$
E_{k}=E_{k}\left(f_{\theta}, \omega\right)=\left\{2^{k}<M_{\omega_{1}} f_{\theta}(r) \leqslant 2^{k+1}\right\}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi
$$

For each $\theta$, the function $M_{\omega_{1}} f_{\theta}$ is nondecreasing, and hence $E_{k}=\left(b_{k}(\theta), b_{k+1}(\theta)\right.$ ] for some $b_{k}(\theta)<b_{k+1}(\theta)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} f_{\theta}(s) \omega_{1}(s) d s}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)}=2^{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k+1}(\theta)\right)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)=\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \omega_{1}(s) d s+\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k+1}(\theta)\right) \leqslant \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \omega_{1}(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} \widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right) \leqslant 2 \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \omega_{1}(s) d s, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\omega$ be a radial weight. Let $\nu:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C=C(\omega, \nu, p)>0$ such that

$$
\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu(s)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega(s) s d s \leqslant C \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(s)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega(s) s d s, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi
$$

Proof. The definition of $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)$, (3.5) and Hölder's inequality yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu(s) \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu(s)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \quad \lesssim \widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right) \lesssim \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \omega_{1}(s) d s \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(s) \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(s)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu(s) \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(s)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega(s) s d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the assertion follows.

Lemma 7. Let $1<p<\infty$ and let $\eta$ be radial weight. Then $M_{\eta}: L^{1}([0,1), \eta) \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}([0,1), \eta)$ is bounded with norm at most one. In particular, for each $1<p<\infty, M_{\eta}: L^{p}([0,1), \eta) \rightarrow$ $L^{p}([0,1), \eta)$ is bounded with norm depending only on $p$.

Proof. Since $M_{\eta} f$ is an increasing function, for each $\lambda>0$ and $f \in L^{1}([0,1), \eta)$, the level set $\left\{t \in(0,1): M_{\eta} f(t)>\lambda\right\}$ coincides with the interval $(b, 1)$ for some $b=b(f, \eta, \lambda) \in(0,1)$ such that $\int_{b}^{1}|f(s)| \eta(s) d s=\widehat{\eta}(b) \lambda$. Therefore

$$
\int_{\left\{s \in(0,1): M_{\eta} f(s)>\lambda\right\}} \eta(s) d s=\widehat{\eta}(b)=\frac{\int_{b}^{1}|f(s)| \eta(s) d s}{\lambda} \leqslant \frac{\int_{0}^{1}|f(t)| \eta(s) d s}{\lambda},
$$

and thus $M_{\eta}: L^{1}([0,1), \eta) \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}([0,1), \eta)$ is bounded with norm at most one.
Now that $M_{\eta}$ is clearly bounded from $L^{\infty}([0,1), \eta)$ into itself with norm at most one, the statement for $1<p<\infty$ follows from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

Proof of Theorem 囵, We begin with showing that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. Assume first (iv), that is, $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$. Then, for each $0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi$, by the definition of $E_{k}$, (3.3) and
the hypothesis (iv), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(f_{\theta}\right) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(M_{\omega_{1}} f_{\theta}(r)\right)^{p} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{E_{k}}\left(M_{\omega_{1}} f_{\theta}(r)\right)^{p} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \\
& \leqslant 2^{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k p} \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \\
& =2^{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} f_{\theta}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r\right)^{p} \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)^{p}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{p} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left(\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} f_{\theta}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r\right)^{p} \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}\left(b_{k}(\theta)\right)^{p}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{p} A_{p}^{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} f_{\theta}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}{\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}\right)^{p} \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying now Lemmas 6 and 7 we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(f_{\theta}\right) & \lesssim A_{p}^{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} f_{\theta}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}{\int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{1} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r}\right)^{p} \int_{b_{k}(\theta)}^{b_{k+1}(\theta)} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \\
& \lesssim A_{p}^{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \int_{0}^{1}\left(M_{\nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} \omega_{1}}\left(f_{\theta} \nu^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\right)(r)\right)^{p} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \\
& \lesssim A_{p}^{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|f_{\theta}(r)\right| \nu^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r)\right)^{p} \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \\
& =A_{p}^{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{\theta}(r)\right|^{p} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(M_{\omega_{1}, \operatorname{rad}}(f)(z)\right)^{p} \nu(z) \omega(z) d A(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} I\left(f_{\theta}\right) d \theta
$$

this shows that $M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, assume that (iii) holds, that is, $M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded. Then it follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(M_{\omega_{1}} f(r)\right)^{p} \nu(r) \omega_{1}(r) d r \leqslant\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} f(r)^{p} \omega_{1}(r) \nu(r) d r
$$

for all non-negative radial functions $f$. By choosing $f(r)=\nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(r) \chi_{[a, 1)}(r)$, where $a \in[0,1)$, and using standard arguments, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \leqslant\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}^{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pointwise inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}(f)(z) & \leqslant \frac{\int_{|z|}^{1} f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) s \omega(s) d s}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(z)}+\int_{0}^{|z|} f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \frac{\omega_{1}(s)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(s)} d s \\
& =H_{\omega}(f)(z)+H_{\omega}^{\star}(f)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (i) implies (ii).
If $b<|z|$, then we have

$$
\frac{\int_{b}^{1} f\left(s \frac{z}{z \mid}\right) \omega(s) s d s}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(b)} \leqslant 2 \int_{b}^{1} \frac{f\left(s \frac{z}{|z|}\right)}{\widehat{\omega_{1}}(b)+\widehat{\omega_{1}}(z)} \omega(s) s d s \leqslant 2 S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}(f)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \geqslant 0,
$$

which implies $M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}(f) \leqslant 2 S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}(f)$ for all $f \geqslant 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|S_{\omega_{1}, \mathrm{rad}}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}}^{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (ii) implies (iii).
To complete the proof, it remains to show that (iv) implies (i) and

$$
\left\|H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)
$$

Assume (iv), that is, $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$. Then $\omega \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}$ is a weight, and therefore $\left(L_{\omega \nu}^{p}\right)^{\star} \simeq L_{\omega \nu^{p^{\prime}}}^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}$ and $\left(L_{\omega \nu}^{p^{\prime}}{ }^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\right)^{\star} \simeq L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ via the $L_{\omega}^{2}$-pairing. Therefore each linear operator $T$ is bounded from $L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ into itself if and only if its adjoint $T^{\star}$, defined by the identity $\langle T f, g\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}=\left\langle f, T^{\star} g\right\rangle_{L_{\omega}^{2}}$, is bounded from $L_{\omega \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}}^{p^{\prime}}$ into itself, and the operator norms are comparable. Since $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$ by the assumption, (3.6) yields $\left\|H_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)<\infty$. Moreover, $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)=$ $A_{p^{\prime}}\left(\omega, \omega \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\right)$, and hence (3.6) shows that $H_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}: L^{p^{\prime}}{ }_{\omega \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}}^{p}$ is bounded and the operator norm is bounded by a constant times $A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)^{\omega \nu^{-}}$. It follows that $H_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}^{\star}: L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}$ is bounded, and $\left\|H_{\omega_{1}, \text { rad }}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{\omega}+H_{\omega}^{\star}\right\|_{L_{\omega \nu}^{p} \rightarrow L_{\omega \nu}^{p}} \lesssim A_{p}(\omega, \omega \nu) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (i) is satisfied. The estimates (3.1) for the operator norms follows from the inequalities (3.6)-(3.10).
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