# Helicity polarization in relativistic heavy ion collisions

Jian-Hua Gao<sup>1, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy and Solar-Terrestrial Environment, Institute of Space Sciences, Shandong University, Weihai, Shandong 264209, China

We discuss the helicity polarization which can be locally induced from both vorticity and helicity charge in non-central heavy ion collisions. Helicity charge redistribution can be generated in viscous fluid and contributes to azimuthal asymmetry of the polarization along global angular momentum or beam momentum. We also discuss on detecting the initial net helicity charge from topological charge fluctuation or initial color longitudinal field by the helicity correlation of two hyperons and the helicity alignment of vector mesons in central heavy ion collisions.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Nq, 12.38.Mh

# INTRODUCTION

Spin polarization effect has drawn much attention in relativistic heavy ion collisions recently. Spin freedom provides us a unique probe to detect the feature of quark gluon plasma in quantum level. Much development has been made along this direction on either experimental aspect [1–8] or theoretical aspect[9–43]. Some relevant review on spin effects in relativistic heavy ion collisions are available in Refs [44–50]. Most of these works concentrate on the global or local polarization along the global angular momentum (transverse polarization) or beam momentum (longitudinal polarization) for hyperons or vector mesons. In this paper, we will discuss another possible spin polarization along the momentum of final hadrons. In order to distinguish such polarization with the longitudinal polarization along the beam momentum, we denote it as helicity polarization. Other earlier works associated with the helicity in heavy ion collisions can be found in Refs. [51–55].

#### HELICITY POLARIZATION

In relativistic heavy ion collisions, the single-particle mean spin vector  $S^{\mu}(p)$  is given by [14]

$$S^{\mu}(p) = -\frac{1}{8m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\nu} \frac{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} \overline{\varpi}_{\rho\sigma} f(1-f)}{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f}, \quad (1)$$

where f is Fermion-Dirac distribution function

$$f = \frac{1}{e^{\beta_{\mu}p^{\mu}} + 1}$$
, with  $\beta_{\mu} = \frac{u_{\mu}}{T}$  and  $u^2 = 1$  (2)

and  $\varpi_{\rho\sigma}$  is thermal vorticity

$$\varpi_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu}\beta_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\beta_{\mu} \right) \,. \tag{3}$$

In this paper, we also need the temperature vorticity tensor  $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$  defined by

$$\Omega^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu} \left( T u^{\nu} \right) - \partial^{\nu} \left( T u^{\mu} \right), \qquad (4)$$

and its dual tensor

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Omega_{\rho\sigma}.$$
 (5)

In the previous work, the polarization along the global angular momentum -y, impact parameter x or the bean momentum z are all investigated. Now let us consider the polarization along the direction of the particle's momentum — helicity polarization. We contract the unit 3-vector momentum  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  with Eq.(1) and obtain

$$S^{h} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{S}(p) = \frac{1}{8m} \frac{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\nabla \times \beta \mathbf{u}) f(1-f)}{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f}, \quad (6)$$

where the superscript h denotes helicity and  $\mathbf{u}$  is the spatial component of 4-vector  $u^{\mu}$ . It is very interesting that only the spatial components of vorticity tensor are involved and the time component does not contribute at all. Hence we can obtain the information of the pure spatial vorticity by measuring the helicity polarization if we neglect the helicity charge at the beginning.

Following the assumption used in Ref.[23] that the temperature vorticity vanishes at all times for ideal uncharged fluid and the temperature on the decoupling hyper-surface only depends on the Bjorken time, Eq. (1) can be reduced into

$$S^{\mu}(p) = \frac{1}{4mT} \frac{dT}{d\tau} \frac{p_{\nu} \partial_{\sigma}^{p} \int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f}{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f} \times \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu0\sigma} \cosh\eta - \epsilon^{\mu\nu3\sigma} \sinh\eta\right).$$
(7)

It is obvious that the first term contributes to the longitudinal polarization which has been fully discussed by Becattini and Karpenko in Ref.[23] and can be approximated at rapidity Y = 0 as

$$S^z \approx \frac{1}{mT} \frac{dT}{d\tau} v_2(p_T) \sin 2\phi,$$
 (8)

while the second term contributes to the helicity polarization and can be approximated as

$$S^{h} \approx \frac{Y}{mT} \frac{dT}{d\tau} v_{2}(p_{T}) \sin 2\phi = YS^{z}, \qquad (9)$$

at small rapidity. We note that the helicity polarization must be rapidity odd and vanish at Y = 0 which is different from the longitudinal polarization. Hence we should detect the helicity polarization locally with Y > 0 or Y < 0 separately.

#### HELICITY CHARGE REDISTRIBUTION

The helicity charge could contribute to helicity polarization as well. For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the chiral limit so that we can use the chiral kinetic theory to deal with it. At chiral limit, the helicity coincides with the chirality except a trivial opposite sign for antiparticles. In the chiral kinetic theory, the polarization vector of fermion particle is proportional to the axial Wigner function. In a chiral system with free fermions in local equilibrium, the axial Wigner function is given by [56]

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(x,p) = \frac{p^{\mu}}{E_p} \sum_{\lambda} \lambda f_{\lambda} - \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\nu} \varpi_{\rho\sigma}}{4E_p} \sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}(1-f_{\lambda}), (10)$$

where  $E_p = |\mathbf{p}|$  and  $\lambda = \pm 1$  denotes the particle's helicity or chirality with righthand ( $\lambda = +1$ ) or lefthand ( $\lambda = -1$ ). Here  $f_{\lambda}$  represents the Fermi-Dirac distribution with helicity  $\lambda$ 

$$f_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta_{\mu}p^{\mu} - \lambda\bar{\mu}_5} + 1},\tag{11}$$

where  $\bar{\mu}_5 = \mu_5/T$  with  $\mu_5$  being axial chemical potential. When there is no axial charge with  $\mu_5 = 0$ , the first term will vanish and the second term will give rise to the polarization in Eq.(1). However the chiral separate effect or local polarization effect from the vorticity [57] can induce a redistribution of axial charge and initial zero axial charge can evolve into a dipole distribution along the vorticity direction. Then the axial charge can exist locally. If we assume the axial charge density or axial chemical potential  $\bar{\mu}_5$  is small, we can neglect the axial chemical potential in the second term in Eq.(10) because this term is the first order contribution, but we should keep the linear term of  $\bar{\mu}_5$  in the first term because it is actually from the zeroth order contribution.

Now let us consider how the axial charge can be separated and redistributed by using the relativistic hydrodynamics. Since the axial charge is zero initially, we can deal with the evolution of the axial charge in the background of the relativistic uncharged hydrodynamics. When there is no conserving charge, relativistic hydrodynamic equation is just energy-momentum conservation,

$$\partial_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor and the constitutive equation is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - P g^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (13)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the energy density, P is the pressure of the fluid and the symmetric tensor  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$  is all possible dissipative terms such as shear tensor or bulk tensor and so on. In Landau frame, the dissipative tensor  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$  is orthogonal to the fluid velocity, i.e.,  $\pi^{\mu\nu}u_{\nu} = \pi^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = 0$ . From the hydrodynamic equation (12), we can obtain the following equation directly,

$$u^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}\left(Tu^{\mu}\right) - \partial^{\mu}T = -\frac{1}{s}\Delta^{\mu\alpha}\partial^{\nu}\pi_{\alpha\nu},\qquad(14)$$

where s is entropy density in fluid comoving frame. With the definition of temperature vorticity in Eq.(12), this equation implies

$$\Omega^{\nu\mu}u_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{s}\Delta^{\mu\alpha}\partial^{\nu}\pi_{\alpha\nu}.$$
 (15)

When there is no electromagnetic field imposed on the chiral system, the axial current is conserved

$$\partial_{\mu}j_{5}^{\mu} = 0. \tag{16}$$

From the well-known constitutive equation of axial current [57, 58]

$$j_5^{\mu} = n_5 u^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \xi_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} u_{\sigma}, \qquad (17)$$

where for the free fermion system the axial charge density  $n_5$  and anomalous transport coefficient  $\xi_5$  are given by

$$n_5 = \frac{1}{3}\mu_5 T^2, \quad \xi_5 = \frac{1}{6}T^2,$$
 (18)

where we have neglected the high order term of  $\mu_5$ . Substituting Eq.(17) into Eq. (16) gives rise to

$$\partial_{\mu}(n_5 u^{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{24} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\Omega}^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (19)

It is very interesting to note that the equation (19) is very similar to the chiral anomaly of the axial current induced from the electromagnetic field in QED, with the electromagnetic field tensor replaced by the temperature vorticity tensor up to a constant factor. By using Eq.(15), we obtain

$$\partial_{\mu}(n_{5}u^{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{6s}\omega_{T\mu}\partial_{\nu}\pi^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\approx -\frac{1}{3s}\omega_{T\mu}\Delta_{\nu\lambda}\left(\partial^{\lambda}\pi^{\mu\nu} - \pi^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\lambda}\ln T\right), \quad (20)$$

where we have introduced the temperature vorticity vector  $\omega_{T\mu} = \tilde{\Omega}_{\mu\nu} u^{\nu}$ . In order to arrive at the final equation in Eq.(20), we have used Eq.(14) again and neglected the dissipative terms. This result shows that the redistribution of axial charge in uncharged fluid only happens for viscous fluid from initial zero axial charge. The redistribution can be generated from the coupling from the spatial vorticity and spatial gradient of dissipative tensor or from the spatial vorticity, spatial gradient of temperature and dissipative tensor.

When the axial charge is redistributed, the first term in Eq.(10) will lead to extra contribution for local helicity polarization as

$$\Delta S^{h}(p_{T}, Y, \phi) \approx \frac{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} \bar{\mu}_{5} f(1-f)}{\int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f}.$$
 (21)

Hence the final polarization depends on the final distribution of the axial chemical potential and this can be determined by the relativistic hydrodynamical simulation. From the axial charge redistribution, we can also obtain the extra contribution to the spin polarization along x, y and z axis, respectively,

$$\Delta S^{x}(p_{T}, Y, \phi) = \frac{\cos \phi}{\cosh Y} \Delta S^{h}(p_{T}, Y, \phi),$$
  

$$\Delta S^{y}(p_{T}, Y, \phi) = \frac{\sin \phi}{\cosh Y} \Delta S^{h}(p_{T}, Y, \phi),$$
  

$$\Delta S^{z}(p_{T}, Y, \phi) = \tanh Y \Delta S^{h}(p_{T}, Y, \phi), \quad (22)$$

where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle of the particle. It should be noted that in Refs. [28, 33], the authors simulated the spin polarization with axial charge redistribution from the chiral kinetic equation and found that the quark local spin polarizations exhibit an azimuthal asymmetry similar to the experimental data for the  $\Lambda$  hyperon. Then it will be very valuable to investigate the spin polarization from the helicity charge redistribution in the scenario of the relativistic hydrodynamics.

### HELICITY CORRELATION

In previous discussion, we only restricted ourselves to the axial charge induced locally by redistribution from initial zero value. In the heavy ion collisions at very high energy, the net helicity could exist at the beginning due to classical color longitudinal fields just after the collision [59, 60] or QCD sphaleron transitions in the quark gluon plasma [61-65]. Such net initial axial charge could lead to the well-known chiral magnetic effect [66–68] and result in electric charge separation along the angular momentum in non-central heavy ion collisions. Because the net initial axial charge with positive and negative sign should be produced with equal probability in many events, the helicity polarization of one particle after averaging over these different events will vanish. However we can detect this net axial charge by measuring the helicity correlation of two hyperons event-by-evently [17, 55].

As we all know, the polarization of hyperons can be determined from the angular distribution of hyperon decay products in the hyperon rest frame

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta^*} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \alpha_H P_H^h \cos\theta^* \right).$$
 (23)

where  $\theta^*$  is the angle between the polarization direction (here it is just hyperon's momentum in lab frame) and the momentum of hyperon's decay products in hyperon's rest frame and  $P_H^h$  denotes the helicity polarization of hyperon H. Now we choose two hyperons  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ which must be in one same event and calculate the average value event-by-evently,

$$C_{H_1H_2} \equiv \left\langle \frac{dN_1}{d\cos\theta_1^*} \frac{dN_1}{d\cos\theta_2^*} \cos\theta_1^* \cos\theta_2^* \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{H_1} \alpha_{H_2} P_{H_1}^h P_{H_2}^h. \tag{24}$$

If the two hyperons are the same, then the correlation will be given by

$$C_{HH} = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_H^2 \left( P_H^h \right)^2, \qquad (25)$$

which is positive. If the two hyperons are particle and antiparticle and assume the polarization for them are the same, then the correlation will be given by

$$C_{H\bar{H}} = -\frac{1}{4} \alpha_H^2 \left( P_H^h \right)^2, \qquad (26)$$

which is negative. In measuring such initial helicity polarization, we do not need to determine the reaction plane and we can even detect it in central heavy ion collisions. After taking an average over many events without determining the reaction plane, we expect all the spin polarization from the helicity charge redistribution will be cancelled and only initial net helicity charge survives. Helicity polarization correlation measures the global helicity polarization.

#### HELICITY ALIGNMENT

Similarly, we can measure the spin alignment along the direction of the vector meson's momentum, which can be denoted by helicity alignment. Spin alignment for vector mesons can be described by a Hermitian  $3 \times 3$ spin-density matrix  $\rho$ . The matrix element  $\rho_{00}$  can be determined from the angular distribution of the decay products[69],

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta^*} = \frac{3}{4} \left[ 1 - \rho_{00} + (3\rho_{00} - 1)\cos^2\theta^* \right], \quad (27)$$

where we take the vector meson's momentum direction  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$  as the quantization axis. When  $\rho_{00}$  deviates from 1/3, spin alignment will arise. We assume that the spin density matrix for quarks or antiquarks is diagonal as [10]

$$\rho_{q/\bar{q}}^{h} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + P_{q/\bar{q}}^{h} & 0\\ 0 & 1 - P_{q/\bar{q}}^{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

where  $P_{q/\bar{q}}^{h}$  denotes the polarization for quarks or antiquarks along the particle's momentum. In the recombination scenario, we assume that the quark and antiquark combine into a vector meson only when their momentum is along the similar direction. For simplicity, we will set the quark and antiquark in the same direction. Then we can obtain the density matrix for vector meson from Eq.(28) [10]

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{-1-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(29)

where

$$\rho_{11} = \frac{(1+P_q^h)(1+P_{\bar{q}}^h)}{3+P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h},$$
(30)

$$\rho_{00} = \frac{1 - P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h}{3 + P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h},\tag{31}$$

$$\rho_{-1-1} = \frac{(1 - P_q^h)(1 - P_{\bar{q}}^h)}{3 + P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h}.$$
(32)

Then the deviation from 1/3 for  $\rho_{00}$  is given by

$$\Delta \equiv \rho_{00} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1 - P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h}{3 + P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h} - \frac{1}{3} \approx -\frac{4}{9} P_q^h P_{\bar{q}}^h, \quad (33)$$

when the polarization of quark or anti-quark is very small. Given the helicity density matrix, we can calculate the spin density matrix along any spin-quantization axis and obtain the spin alignment along these different directions. We use  $\rho^x$ ,  $\rho^y$  and  $\rho^z$  to denote the density matrices when we quantize the spin in x, y and z axis, respectively. The matrix elements  $\rho_{00}^x, \rho_{00}^y$  and  $\rho_{00}^z$  are given by

$$\rho_{00}^{x} = \frac{1 - \rho_{00}}{2} + \frac{3\rho_{00} - 1}{2}\sin^{2}\theta\cos^{2}\phi, \qquad (34)$$

$$\rho_{00}^{y} = \frac{1 - \rho_{00}}{2} + \frac{3\rho_{00} - 1}{2}\sin^{2}\theta\sin^{2}\phi, \qquad (35)$$

$$\rho_{00}^{z} = \rho_{00} + \frac{1 - 3\rho_{00}}{2} \sin^{2}\theta.$$
(36)

We note that

$$\rho_{00}^x + \rho_{00}^y + \rho_{00}^z = 1. \tag{37}$$

Actually this identity holds for any normalized spin-1 density matrix, i.e., the sum of the 00-components of normalized spin density matrices in three orthogonal spinquantization axis must be unit. With the diagonal density matrix (29) and the results (34-36), we can easily obtain the 00-component of the transverse density matrix along any direction orthogonal to the particle's momentum

$$\rho_{00}^T = \frac{1 - \rho_{00}}{2}. \tag{38}$$

In heavy ion collision, we can choose this transverse direction as the normal vector of the reaction plane.

If we assume the momentum distribution of the vector meson in the form

$$E\frac{dN}{d^3p} = N_0 \left(1 + 2v_2 \cos 2\phi\right)$$
(39)

where only the elliptic flow  $v_2$  is retained. Then the averaged  $\rho_{00}^x$ ,  $\rho_{00}^y$  and  $\rho_{00}^z$  at Y = 0 are given by, respectively,

$$\bar{\rho}_{00}^{x} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta + \frac{3}{4}v_{2}\Delta, \qquad (40)$$

$$\bar{\rho}_{00}^y = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta - \frac{3}{4}v_2\Delta, \tag{41}$$

$$\bar{\rho}_{00}^{z} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \tag{42}$$

These results explicitly show how the helicity alignment from helicity charge can result in the spin alignment along the transverse or longitudinal directions.

Similar to the polarization correlation, the deviation is also proportional to the square of the quark's polarization. However the difference between them is that the helicity polarization correlation must be from the average or net global helicity charge, but the spin alignment could be from the local polarization or event-by-event fluctuation [35, 36]. The relevant quantity for hyperon polarization correlation is the square of mean polarization  $\langle P_{q/\bar{q}} \rangle^2$ while the relevant quantity for spin alignment is the average of the square of polarization  $\langle P_{q/\bar{q}}^2 \rangle$ . Hence in general, the spin alignment should be larger than the polarization for the hyperon. Because of this local polarization effect or fluctuation, it is hard to detect the initial net helicity charge by helicity alignment even in central heavy ion collisions if there exists strong topological charge fluctuation. The strong alignment measured by STAR and ALICE which is not consistent with hyperon polarization might be due to the fact that the global polarization is very small while local polarization fluctuation is very large.

# SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have discussed the possible spin polarization along the direction of the detected hadrons—helicity polarization. We find that the vorticity produced in non-cental heavy-ion collisions can also lead to the helicity polarization, which could emerge locally with azimuthal asymmetry and rapidity odd dependence. The viscous hydrodynamics could induce the temperature vorticity from initial zero value and lead to the helicity charge redistribution. Such helicity charge redistribution can result in helicity polarization and longitudinal or transverse polarization as well. When the topological charge or initial color longitudinal field fluctuates event-by-evently, the global net helicity charge could be measured by the correlation of two hyperon's helicity polarization and local helicity charge fluctuation could be measured by the spin alignment along the vector meson's momentum in central heavy ion collisions at very high energies.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Ai-Hong Tang for fruitful discussion. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Nos. 11890710, 11890713 and 11475104 and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province under No. JQ201601.

\* Electronic address: gaojh@sdu.edu.cn

- B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. C **76**, 024915
   (2007) [erratum: Phys. Rev. C **95**, no.3, 039906 (2017)]
- [2] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. C 77, 061902 (2008)
- [3] L. Adamczyk et al. [STAR], Nature 548, 62-65 (2017)
- [4] J. Adam *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. C **98**, 014910 (2018)
- [5] J. Adam *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, no.13, 132301 (2019)
- [6] S. Acharya *et al.* [ALICE], Phys. Rev. C 101, no.4, 044611 (2020)
- [7] S. Acharya *et al.* [ALICE], Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, no.1, 012301 (2020)
- [8] J. Adam *et al.* [STAR], Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, no.16, 162301 (2021)
   [9]
- [9] Z. T. Liang and X. N. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 102301 (2005) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 039901 (2006)].
- [10] Z. T. Liang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 629, 20 (2005).
- [11] B. Betz, M. Gyulassy and G. Torrieri, "Polarization probes of vorticity in heavy ion collisions," *Phys. Rev.* C 76, 044901 (2007).
- [12] J. H. Gao, S. W. Chen, W. t. Deng, Z. T. Liang, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, *Phys. Rev. C* 77, 044902 (2008).
- [13] X. G. Huang, P. Huovinen and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 84, 054910 (2011)
- [14] F. Becattini, V. Chandra, L. Del Zanna and E. Grossi, Annals Phys. 338, 32-49 (2013)
- [15] F. Becattini, L. Csernai and D. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 88, no.3, 034905 (2013) [erratum: Phys. Rev. C 93, no.6, 069901 (2016)]
- [16] Y. Xie, R. C. Glastad and L. P. Csernai, Phys. Rev. C 92, no.6, 064901 (2015)
- [17] L. G. Pang, H. Petersen, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no.19, 192301 (2016)
- [18] F. Becattini, I. Karpenko, M. Lisa, I. Upsal and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C 95, no.5, 054902 (2017)
- [19] I. Karpenko and F. Becattini, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, no.4, 213 (2017)
- [20] H. Li, L. G. Pang, Q. Wang and X. L. Xia, Phys. Rev. C 96, no.5, 054908 (2017)

- [21] Y. Sun and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 96, no.2, 024906 (2017)
- [22] Z. Z. Han and J. Xu, Phys. Lett. B 786, 255-259 (2018)
- [23] F. Becattini and I. Karpenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, no.1, 012302 (2018)
- [24] Y. G. Yang, R. H. Fang, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 97, no.3, 034917 (2018)
- [25] E. E. Kolomeitsev, V. D. Toneev and V. Voronyuk, Phys. Rev. C 97, no.6, 064902 (2018)
- [26] X. L. Xia, H. Li, Z. B. Tang and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 98, 024905 (2018)
- [27] D. X. Wei, W. T. Deng and X. G. Huang, Phys. Rev. C 99, no.1, 014905 (2019)
- [28] Y. Sun and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C 99, no.1, 011903 (2019)
- [29] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, R. Ryblewski and A. Mazeliauskas, Phys. Rev. C 100, no.5, 054907 (2019)
- [30] X. L. Xia, H. Li, X. G. Huang and H. Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. C 100, no.1, 014913 (2019)
- [31] H. Z. Wu, L. G. Pang, X. G. Huang and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. Research. 1, 033058 (2019)
- [32] X. Guo, J. Liao and E. Wang, Sci. Rep. 10, no.1, 2196 (2020)
- [33] S. Y. F. Liu, Y. Sun and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, no.6, 062301 (2020)
- [34] X. L. Sheng, L. Oliva and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 101, no.9, 096005 (2020)
- [35] X. L. Sheng, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 102, no.5, 056013 (2020)
- [36] X. L. Xia, H. Li, X. G. Huang and H. Zhong Huang, Phys. Lett. B 817, 136325 (2021)
- [37] Z. T. Liang, J. Song, I. Upsal, Q. Wang and Z. B. Xu, Chin. Phys. C 45, no.1, 014102 (2021)
- [38] B. Fu, K. Xu, X. G. Huang and H. Song, Phys. Rev. C 103, no.2, 024903 (2021)
- [39] Z. Wang and P. Zhuang, [arXiv:2101.00586 [hep-ph]].
- [40] S. Y. F. Liu and Y. Yin, [arXiv:2103.09200 [hep-ph]].
- [41] B. Fu, S. Y. F. Liu, L. Pang, H. Song and Y. Yin, [arXiv:2103.10403 [hep-ph]].
- [42] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli and A. Palermo, [arXiv:2103.10917 [nucl-th]].
- [43] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, A. Palermo, G. Inghirami and I. Karpenko, [arXiv:2103.14621 [nucl-th]].
- [44] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar and R. Ryblewski, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 108 (2019), 103709
- [45] F. Becattini and M. A. Lisa, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 70 (2020), 395-423
- [46] Y. C. Liu and X. G. Huang, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 31 (2020) no.6, 56
- [47] J. H. Gao, G. L. Ma, S. Pu and Q. Wang, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 31 (2020) no.9, 90 Copy to Clipboard Download
- [48] J. H. Gao, Z. T. Liang, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, [arXiv:2009.04803 [nucl-th]].
- [49] X. G. Huang, J. Liao, Q. Wang and X. L. Xia, [arXiv:2010.08937 [nucl-th]].
- [50] F. Becattini, J. Liao and M. Lisa, [arXiv:2102.00933 [nucl-th]].
- [51] M. Jacob and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. B 190, 173-176 (1987)
- [52] V. E. Ambrus, JHEP **08**, 016 (2020)
- [53] V. E. Ambrus and M. N. Chernodub, [arXiv:1912.11034 [hep-th]].
- [54] V. E. Ambrus and M. N. Chernodub, [arXiv:2010.05831 [hep-ph]].

- [55] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, A. Palermo and G. Prokhorov, [arXiv:2009.13449 [hep-ph]].
- [56] J. h. Gao, S. Pu and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 96, no.1, 016002 (2017)
- [57] J. H. Gao, Z. T. Liang, S. Pu, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 232301 (2012)
- [58] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias and F. Pena-Benitez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 021601 (2011)
- [59] D. Kharzeev, A. Krasnitz and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Lett. B 545, 298-306 (2002)
- [60] T. Lappi and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 200-212 (2006)
- [61] L. D. McLerran, E. Mottola and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. D 43, 2027-2035 (1991)
- [62] G. D. Moore, Phys. Lett. B 412, 359-370 (1997)

- [63] G. D. Moore and K. Rummukainen, Phys. Rev. D 61, 105008 (2000)
- [64] D. Bodeker, G. D. Moore and K. Rummukainen, Phys. Rev. D 61, 056003 (2000)
- [65] E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 67, 014006 (2003)
- [66] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 3080 (1980).
- [67] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, Nucl. Phys. A 803, 227 (2008).
- [68] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. D 78, 074033 (2008).
- [69] K. Schilling, P. Seyboth and G. E. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B 15, 397-412 (1970) [erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 18, 332 (1970)]