

# UPPER BOUNDS FOR A NONLINEARLY DAMPED 2D MINDLIN-TIMOSHENKO SYSTEM

AHMED BCHATNIA<sup>1</sup>, SABRINE CHEBBI<sup>1</sup>, AND MAKRAM HAMOUDA<sup>2\*</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We study in this article the asymptotic behavior of the Mindlin-Timoshenko system subject to a nonlinear dissipation acting only on the equations of the rotation angles. First, we briefly recall the existence of the solution of this system. Then, we prove that the energy associated with the Mindlin-Timoshenko system fulfills a dissipation relationship showing that the energy is decreasing. Moreover, when the wave speeds are equal, we establish an explicit and general decay result for the energy.

**Key words:** Mindlin-Timoshenko system, Stability, Asymptotic behavior, Optimal decay.

## 1. Introduction

In the recent years, the Timoshenko system has been attracting a considerable attention. Indeed, this system is important not only from the mathematical point of view but also from the physical perspective in view of the multiple applications in mechanics and aeronautics among many other sciences. The Timoshenko system is considered also as one of the simplest models which describes the transverse vibration of a beam with fixed extremities. The transverse vibration of a beam under the Timoshenko approach is mathematically described by the following coupled system:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \rho\varphi_{tt} - K(\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0, & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, +\infty), \\ I_\rho\psi_{tt} - (EI\psi_x)_x + K(\varphi_x + \psi) = 0, & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

The functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  denote, respectively, the transverse displacement of the beam and the rotation angle of the filament.

In [26, 39], the authors consider a one-dimensional system with a linear damping term given as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1\varphi_{tt} - k(\varphi_x + \psi)_x = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \rho_2\psi_{tt} - b\psi_{xx} + k(\varphi_x + \psi) + d\psi_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{cases}$$

They proved that the solution of the system (1.2) is exponentially stable if and only if the wave speeds are equal ( $\frac{k}{\rho_1} = \frac{b}{\rho_2}$ ). Such a result has been the common point in several works [11, 12, 26, 35, 37] with different types of dissipation. Moreover, for the damped Timoshenko system (namely (1.2) with  $d\psi_t$  replaced by  $g(\psi_t)$ ) with a nonlinear damping term  $g(\psi_t)$  and without any growth assumption at the origin on the function  $g$ , Alabau-Boussouira established in [2] a general semi-explicit formula for the decay rate of the energy at infinity in the case of equal wave-speeds, and she proved a polynomial decay in the case of different speeds of propagation, for both linear and nonlinear globally Lipschitz feedbacks  $g(\psi_t)$ . Then, in [4], the same author established a strong lower energy estimate for the solutions of the nonlinearly damped Timoshenko beams after proving some additional regularity results.

Recently, the authors in [8] proved a general semi-explicit lower estimate for the energy associated with the nonlinear Timoshenko system with thermoelasticity coupled with the heat flux which is given by Cattaneo's law. This result together with the one on the upper estimate for the energy of the above mentioned system, obtained in [7], constitute an interesting step to better understand the optimality

in terms of *optimal feedbacks*.

Based on these simple observations in the history of the problem, some questions naturally arise, we list them in the following.

- Q1– Can we generalize the results obtained for the Timoshenko beam system in dimension one to higher dimensions (mainly the dimension two (2D) which will be considered in the present article) by investigating the upper and lower estimates, and then target the optimality?
- Q2– What should be the "sufficient" dissipation which allows the stabilization of the 2D system and that generates the exponential decay of the energy? Does the stability depend on any relationship between the physical coefficients in the system?
- Q3– In the case of a non-exponential decay rate, what is the type of decay (polynomial or others) and how can we investigate the optimality?

Of course to answer all these questions, we need to start by the most necessary ones. Hence, we consider in this article, as an extension of the one-dimensional Timoshenko system, the 2D model which is subsequently developed by Reissner [38] and Mindlin [33]. The Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko plate theory can be viewed as a two-dimensional generalization of the thin beam model. Indeed, this model takes into account the shear deformations in addition to the assumption that the filaments of the plate must remain perpendicular to its mid-plane.

Mathematically, the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko model is actually a hyperbolic system composed of three coupled second-order partial differential equations in space dimension two:

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_1 w_{tt} - K(\psi + w_x)_x + (\varphi + w_y)_y = 0,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - D\psi_{xx} - D\left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right)\psi_{yy} - D\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)\varphi_{xy} + K(\psi + w_x) = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \rho_2 \varphi_{tt} - D\varphi_{yy} - D\left(\frac{1-\mu}{2}\right)\varphi_{xx} - D\left(\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right)\psi_{xy} + K(\varphi + w_y) = 0,$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain, the functions  $w$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  depend on  $(t, x, y) \in [0, +\infty) \times \Omega$  and denote, respectively, the transverse displacement and the rotational angles of the plate. The parameter  $\rho$  is the (constant) mass per unit of surface area,  $\mu$  is the Poisson's ratio ( $0 < \mu < 1/2$ ),  $D = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\mu^2)}$  is the modulus of flexural rigidity where  $h$  is the (uniform) plate thickness and  $K = \frac{kEh}{2(1+\mu)}$  is the shear modulus where  $E$  is the Young's modulus and  $k$  is the shear correction. The speeds of wave propagation for the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko system are given as follows:

$$(1.6) \quad v_1^2 := \frac{K}{\rho_1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2^2 := \frac{D}{\rho_2}.$$

Concerning the stability results of the system (1.3)–(1.5), the most known ones are due to Lagnese [30] where a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with a Lipschitz boundary  $\Gamma$  is considered. Moreover, the boundary  $\Gamma$  is taken such that  $\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma_0} \cup \overline{\Gamma_1}$  where  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are relatively open, disjoint subsets of  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$ . In addition to the aforementioned assumptions on the domain  $\Omega$ , Lagnese considered the equations (1.3)–(1.5) with the following boundary conditions:

$$(1.7) \quad w = \psi = \varphi = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0,$$

$$(1.8) \quad K \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \psi, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \varphi \right) \cdot v = m_1, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$

$$(1.9) \quad D \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right) \right) \cdot v = m_2, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$

$$(1.10) \quad D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\varphi}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) \right) \cdot v = m_3, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1,$$

where  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  is the unit exterior normal to  $\Gamma$  and  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$  denote the linear boundary dissipations given by

$$\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} = -F\{w_t, \psi_t, \varphi_t\},$$

with  $F = [f_{ii}]$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix of real  $L^\infty(\Gamma_1)$ -functions such that  $F$  is symmetric and positive semi-definite on  $\Gamma_1$ . Lagnese [30] proved that the undamped system is *exponentially stable*, without any restriction on the coefficients of the system. Later, Munoz Rivera and Portillo Oquendo [36] consider the system (1.3)–(1.5) with a boundary dissipation of memory type. They proved the exponential stability when the kernels own an exponential behavior and a polynomial one when the kernels are of polynomial type. Let us further mention some other interesting results as e.g. [4], the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko system (1.3)–(1.5), with frictional dissipations acting on the equations of the rotation angles, is not exponentially stable independently of any relationship between the constants of the system. This is not the case in [10] where the existence of a critical number, that exponentially stabilizes the system (1.3)–(1.5) with the frictional dissipation acting only on the equation of the transverse displacement, is proven.

In a recent work [10], where the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko system is damped by two linear feedback laws acting on the rotation angles, we have the existence of a critical number that stabilizes the system exponentially. Finally, it is clear, from the above cited papers, that the linear dissipations have been widely studied in the literature. However, there has been less focus on the interaction of nonlinear damping terms within the 2D system (the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko system).

For recent results on coupled PDE dynamics with interface on the Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko plate equations, we refer the reader to the works by Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen [20, 21, 22, 23, 24] and the references therein, Giorgi and Naso [16], and Avalos and Toundykov [14, 15].

It is worth mentioning that the above mentioned results precise some of the necessary conditions that lead to the exponential stabilization of the model (depending on the choice of the boundary conditions, the equality or non-equality of the wave speeds and the nature of damping terms). Here, the challenge consists in considering nonlinear dampings which are distributed everywhere in the domain and acting only on the rotational angles. Taking into account the work of F. Alabau-Boussouira [2, 4], we aim here to establish a general and explicit decay result for the energy associated with the obtained system (1.11)–(1.13) below. More precisely, we prove that the upper energy decay rate, as introduced in [2] for a nonlinearly damped hyperbolic system coupled by velocities, can be extended to our plate model. The proofs of our results are based on multiplier techniques, weighted nonlinear integral inequalities and the optimal-weight convexity method used in [1, 2]. Indeed, the latter method is originally developed in [1] where the author completed the study carried out in [28] and improved the results in [32]. In the present work we assume a convexity assumption on the feedback as we will see later on, and we prove the results of asymptotic behavior of the energy in higher dimensions. For more details, we refer the reader to [6] for the wave equation and [2] for the one-dimensional Timoshenko system.

The nonlinearly damped Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko system in two dimensions reads as follows:

$$(1.11) \quad \rho_1 w_{tt} - K(\psi + w_x)_x - K(\varphi + w_y)_y = 0,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - D\psi_{xx} - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{yy} - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xy} + K(\psi + w_x) + \chi_1(\psi_t) = 0,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \rho_2 \varphi_{tt} - D\varphi_{yy} - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xx} - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{xy} + K(\varphi + w_y) + \chi_2(\varphi_t) = 0,$$

where  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  denote the two nonlinear damping terms.

To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that the asymptotic behavior of the system (1.11)–(1.13) (with nonlinear damping terms acting only on the rotation angles and without any damping on the displacement) is studied.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we use the nonlinear semigroup theory to obtain the well-posedness of the system (1.11)–(1.13). In Section 3, we prove that the energy of the system (1.11)–(1.13) is a decreasing function of  $t$ . Finally, in Section 4, we show that the optimal-weight convexity method allows us to obtain an explicit decay rate formula of the total energy associated with the solution of the problem (1.11)–(1.13).

## 2. Well-posedness

We make the following assumptions on the damping functions  $\chi_i$ :

$$(H_0) \begin{cases} \chi_i \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is a monotone increasing function.} \\ \exists c_1, c_2 > 0 \text{ and a strictly increasing odd function } g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that,} \\ c_1 g(|s|) \leq |\chi_i(s)| \leq c_2 g^{-1}(|s|), & \text{for all } |s| \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ c_1 |s| \leq |\chi_i(s)| \leq c_2 |s|, & \text{for all } |s| \geq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $g^{-1}$  denotes the inverse function of  $g$ .

The domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is simply here a rectangle given by

$$\Omega = (0, L_1) \times (0, L_2), \quad \text{with } L_1, L_2 > 0.$$

We denote by  $\Gamma$  the boundary of  $\Omega$  that we decompose as follows:  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma := \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2$  where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{(x, y) \mid 0 < x < L_1; y = 0, y = L_2\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 = \{(x, y) \mid 0 < y < L_2; x = 0, x = L_1\}.$$

We associate with the system (1.11)–(1.13) the following boundary conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad w = \psi = \varphi = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and the initial data given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} w(x, y, 0) = w_0(x, y), & w_t(x, y, 0) = w_1(x, y), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi(x, y, 0) = \psi_0(x, y), & \psi_t(x, y, 0) = w_1(x, y), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi(x, y, 0) = \varphi_0(x, y), & \varphi_t(x, y, 0) = \varphi_1(x, y), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we will use the semi-group theory to show that the problem (1.11)–(1.13), associated with the initial conditions (2.2) and the Dirichlet boundary conditions (2.1), is well-posed. To this end, we write the system (1.11)–(1.13) in an abstract form, using the notation  $U := (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)^T$ , which leads to the the following Cauchy problem:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dU}{dt} = \mathcal{A}U + \mathcal{B}U, & \text{for } t > 0, \\ U(0) = U_0, \end{cases}$$

considered in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} := H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega),$$

with  $\mathcal{A}$  is the differential operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{K}{\rho_1} \Delta & 0 & \frac{K}{\rho_1} \partial_x & 0 & \frac{K}{\rho_1} \partial_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{I} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k}{\rho_2} \partial_x & 0 & \mathcal{A}_1 & 0 & \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \partial_x \partial_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{I} \\ -\frac{k}{\rho_2} \partial_y & 0 & \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \partial_x \partial_y & \mathcal{A}_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the differential operators defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left[ \partial_x^2 + \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \partial_y^2 \right] - \frac{K}{\rho_2} \mathcal{I},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left[ \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \right] - \frac{K}{\rho_2} \mathcal{I}.$$

In the above  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the identity operator, and

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = ((H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times H_0^1(\Omega))^3,$$

stands for the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The operator  $\mathcal{B}$  includes the nonlinear damping terms, and it is given by

$$\mathcal{B}(U) := \mathcal{B} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \\ u_5 \\ u_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi_1(u_4) \\ 0 \\ \chi_2(u_6) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The domain  $D(\mathcal{B})$  of the operator  $\mathcal{B}$  is given by  $D(\mathcal{B}) = \{U \in \mathcal{H} ; \mathcal{B}(U) \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . We endow the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with the inner product given by

$$\begin{aligned} (U, V)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \rho_1 \int_{\Omega} u_2 v_2 dx dy + \rho_2 \int_{\Omega} u_4 v_4 dx dy + \rho_2 \int_{\Omega} u_6 v_6 dx dy \\ &+ K \int_{\Omega} (u_3 + u_{1_x})(v_3 + v_{1_x}) dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} (u_5 + u_{1_y})(v_5 + v_{1_y}) dx dy \\ &+ D \int_{\Omega} u_{3_x} v_{3_x} dx dy + D \int_{\Omega} u_{5_y} v_{5_y} dx dy + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} (u_{3_y} + u_{5_x})(v_{3_y} + v_{5_x}) dx dy \\ &+ D\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{3_x} v_{5_y} dx dy + D\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{5_y} v_{3_x} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \rho_1 \int_{\Omega} |u_2|^2 dx dy + \rho_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_4|^2 dx dy + \rho_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_6|^2 dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} |u_3 + u_{1_x}|^2 dx dy \\ &+ K \int_{\Omega} |u_5 + u_{1_y}|^2 dx dy + D \int_{\Omega} |u_{3_x}|^2 dx dy \\ &+ D \int_{\Omega} |u_{5_y}|^2 dx dy + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_{3_y} + u_{5_x}|^2 dx dy \\ &+ D\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{3_x} u_{5_y} dx dy + D\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{5_y} u_{3_x} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)^T$  and  $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)^T$ .

Using the Korn and the Poincaré inequalities, we easily show that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is equivalent to the usual norm in  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [25]). In the following theorem, we formulate our result on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) associated with (2.1) and (2.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $(H_0)$  is satisfied. Then, the operator  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  generates a continuous semi-group  $(\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, for all initial data  $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , the Cauchy problem (2.3) has a unique solution  $U \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); \mathcal{H})$ , and for all initial data  $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , the solution  $U \in L^\infty([0, \infty); D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1, \infty}([0, \infty); \mathcal{H})$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we start by giving the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all  $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , we have  $(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} (K\Delta w + K\psi_x + K\varphi_y) w_t \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( -Kw_x + D \left( \psi_{xx} + \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{yy} \right) + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xy} \right) \psi_t \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( -Kw_y + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{xy} + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xx} + D\varphi_{yy} \right) \varphi_t \\
&+ K \int_{\Omega} (\psi_t + w_{tx})(\psi + w_x) dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} (\varphi_t + w_{ty})(\varphi + w_y) dx dy \\
&+ D \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \psi_x dx dy + D \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \varphi_y dx dy + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} (\psi_{ty} + \varphi_{tx})(\psi_y + \varphi_x) dx dy \\
&+ D\mu \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \varphi_y dx dy + D\mu \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \psi_x dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts and the boundary conditions ( $\varphi_t = \psi_t = \varphi = \psi = 0$  for  $x = 0, L_1$  and  $y = 0, L_2$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= -K \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) w_{tx} - K \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) w_{ty} - \int_{\Omega} Kw_x \psi_t \\
&- D \int_{\Omega} \psi_x \psi_{tx} - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_y \psi_{ty} + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} \psi_t \\
&+ D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} \varphi_t - K \int_{\Omega} w_y \varphi_t - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_x \varphi_{tx} - D \int_{\Omega} \varphi_y \varphi_{ty} \\
&+ K \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi + K \int_{\Omega} w_{tx} (\psi + w_x) + K \int_{\Omega} \psi_t w_x + K \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi + \varphi_t w_y + K \int_{\Omega} w_{ty} (\varphi + w_y) \\
&+ D \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \psi_x + D \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \varphi_y + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \psi_{ty} \psi_y + \int_{\Omega} \psi_{ty} \varphi_x + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{tx} \psi_y + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{tx} \varphi_x \right] \\
&+ D\mu \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \varphi_y + D\mu \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \psi_x.
\end{aligned}$$

After some computations, the equation (2.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= K \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi + K \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \psi_{ty} \varphi_x + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{tx} \psi_y \right] \\
&+ D\mu \left[ \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \varphi_y + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \psi_x \right] + \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} \psi_t + \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} \varphi_t \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Again by integration by parts in (2.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= K \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi + K \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi + D\mu \left[ \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tx} \varphi_y + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ty} \psi_x \right] \\
 (2.7) \qquad &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ K \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} (|\varphi|^2 + |\psi|^2) \right] + D\mu \left[ \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_x \varphi_y) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dissipative operator.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The operator  $\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A}$  is surjective from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Given for any  $W = (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6)^T \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \qquad (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})V = W.$$

That is,

$$\begin{cases} v_1 - v_2 = w_1, \\ v_2 - \frac{k}{\rho_1} \Delta v_1 - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{3x} - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{5y} = w_2, \\ v_3 - v_4 = w_3, \\ v_4 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1x} - \mathcal{A}_1 v_3 - \frac{D}{\rho_2} v_{5xy} = w_4, \\ v_5 - v_6 = w_5, \\ v_6 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1y} - \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) v_{3xy} - \mathcal{A}_2 v_4 = w_6. \end{cases}$$

The above system can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_2 = v_1 - w_1, \\ v_1 - \frac{k}{\rho_1} \Delta v_1 - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{3x} - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{5y} = w_2 + w_1, \\ v_4 = v_3 - w_3, \\ v_3 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1x} - \mathcal{A}_1 v_3 - \frac{D}{\rho_2} v_{5xy} = w_4 + w_3, \\ v_6 = v_5 - w_5, \\ v_5 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1y} - \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) v_{3xy} - \mathcal{A}_2 v_3 = w_6 + w_5 - \mathcal{A}_2 w_3. \end{cases}$$

Now, it suffices to solve the following system, for  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$  and  $v_5$ ,

$$(2.9) \qquad \begin{cases} -\frac{k}{\rho_1} \Delta v_1 - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{3x} - \frac{k}{\rho_1} v_{5y} + v_1 = w_2 + w_1, \\ v_3 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1x} - \mathcal{A}_1 v_3 - \frac{D}{\rho_2} v_{5xy} = w_4 + w_3, \\ v_5 + \frac{k}{\rho_2} v_{1y} - \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) v_{3xy} - \mathcal{A}_2 v_3 = w_6 + w_5 - \mathcal{A}_2 w_3. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying (2.9)<sub>1</sub> by  $v_1$ , (2.9)<sub>2</sub> by  $v_3$ , (2.9)<sub>3</sub> by  $v_5$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain for the system (2.9) the following variational formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & -\frac{k}{\rho_1} \int_{\Omega} \Delta v_1 v_1 dx dy + \frac{k}{\rho_2} \int_{\Omega} v_{1x} v_3 dx dy - \frac{k}{\rho_1} \int_{\Omega} v_{3x} v_1 dx dy - \mathcal{A}_1 \int_{\Omega} v_3 v_3 dx dy \\
& + \int_{\Omega} v_3^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} v_1^2 dx dy - \frac{D}{\rho_2} \int_{\Omega} v_{5xy} v_3 dx dy - \frac{k}{\rho_1} \int_{\Omega} v_{5y} v_1 dx dy + \int_{\Omega} v_5^2 dx dy \\
& + \frac{k}{\rho_2} \int_{\Omega} v_{1y} v_5 dx dy - \frac{D}{\rho_2} \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} v_{3xy} v_5 dx dy - \mathcal{A}_2 \int_{\Omega} v_3 v_5 dx dy \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (w_1 + w_2) v_1 + (w_4 + w_3) v_3 + (w_6 + w_5 - \mathcal{A}_2 w_3) v_5 dx dy
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Lax-Milgram theorem (see [9]), we deduce that the equation (2.8) admits a unique solution  $V \in D(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal operator.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The operator  $\mathcal{B}$  is Lipschitz and continuous on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the assumption  $(H_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{B}U - \mathcal{B}\tilde{U}\|_H &= \|\chi_1(\psi_t) - \chi_1(\tilde{\psi}_t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\chi_2(\varphi_t) - \chi_2(\tilde{\varphi}_t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C_{\chi} \left[ \|\psi_t - \tilde{\psi}_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right] \\
&\leq C_{\chi} \|U - \tilde{U}\|_H,
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)^T \in H$ ,  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}_t, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}_t, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}_t)^T \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $C_{\chi} > 0$ .

Consequently, the operator  $\mathcal{B}$  is Lipschitz. Furthermore, the condition  $\chi_i(0) = 0, \forall i \in \{1, 2\}$  gives the continuity of the operator  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

Now, let us summarize the previous results. Thanks to Lemma 2.2 we have that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dissipative operator, from Lemma 2.3 we showed that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal and Lemma 2.4 gives that the operator  $\mathcal{B}$  is Lipschitz and continuous. Finally, Tanks to the nonlinear Hille-Yosida theorem ([9]), we deduce that the Cauchy problem (2.3) has a unique solution  $U$  with respect to the regularity of the initial data  $U_0$ .

### 3. Dissipation of the energy

First, we define the energy associated with the system (1.11)–(1.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad E(t) = E(t, w, \psi, \varphi) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [\rho_1 |w_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\psi_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\varphi_t|^2 + K |\psi + w_x|^2 + K |\varphi + w_y|^2 \\
&+ D |\psi_x|^2 + D |\varphi_y|^2 + \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) D |\psi_y + \varphi_x|^2 + 2D\mu \psi_x \varphi_y] dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that  $E(t)$  is a decreasing function in  $t$ , we multiply the equation (1.11) by  $w_t$ , (1.12) by  $\psi_t$  and (1.13) by  $\varphi_t$  (for more details on the proof in the case of linear damped Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko, see [10, Proposition 2.3]). Then, we integrate the obtained equation over  $\Omega$  and we get the classical energy estimates. Hence, the dissipation relationship in our case here (with nonlinear damping) is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)^T$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13). Then, the energy  $E(t)$  satisfies the following dissipation relationship:*

$$(3.2) \quad E'(t) = - \int_{\Omega} (\psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) + \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t)) dx dy, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and, hence, we have

$$E(t) \leq E(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$



*Proof.* Formally, we multiply the equations (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) by  $w_t$ ,  $\psi_t$  and  $\varphi_t$ , respectively. Then, using integration by parts and the boundary conditions (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\rho_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} |w_t|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} |\psi_t|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} |\varphi_t|^2 \, dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) w_{xt} \, dx dy \\
 & + K \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) w_{yt} \, dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) \psi_t \, dx dy + K \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) \varphi_t \, dx dy \\
 & + \frac{D}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_x|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{D}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_y|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{D}{2} \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_y|^2 \, dx dy \\
 & + \frac{D}{2} \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_x \psi_{yt} \, dx dy + \frac{D}{2} \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_y \varphi_{xt} \, dx dy \\
 & + \frac{D}{2} \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_x|^2 \, dx dy + D\mu \int_{\Omega} \varphi_y \psi_{xt} \, dx dy + D\mu \int_{\Omega} \psi_x \varphi_{yt} \, dx dy \\
 & = - \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) + \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t) \, dx dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

and we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\rho_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |w_t|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} |\psi_t|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_t|^2 \, dx dy \\
 & + \frac{K}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi + w_y|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{K}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 \, dx dy \\
 & + \frac{D}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_x|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{D}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_y|^2 \, dx dy + \frac{D}{2} \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_x + \psi_y|^2 \, dx dy \\
 & + 2D\mu \int_{\Omega} \psi_x \varphi_y \, dx dy = - \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) \psi_t - \chi_2(\varphi_t) \varphi_t \, dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) \psi_t - \chi_2(\varphi_t) \varphi_t \, dx dy \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

since  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  satisfy the assumption  $(H_0)$ .

Hence, we obtain the energy dissipation law  $E(t) \leq E(0)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. General asymptotic behavior

In this section, we establish an upper energy estimate of the energy  $E(t)$ , given by (3.1), this will show in particular the decay of the energy to zero, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

##### 4.1. Preliminaries.

The purpose of this subsection is to give the several assumptions on the data, as stated in (1.11)–(1.13), that we will use to prove our main results. Furthermore, we introduce some useful basic definitions.

Throughout the rest of this article, we will denote by  $C$  a generic positive constant whose value may change from line to another.

*Assumptions.* We assume that the function  $H$  satisfies the following properties:

$$(H_1) \quad \begin{cases} H(x) = \sqrt{x}g(\sqrt{x}); \\ H \text{ is a strictly convex function on } [0, r_0^2] \text{ for } r_0 \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\hat{H}$  be a function given by

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{H}(x) := \begin{cases} H(x), & \text{if } x \in [0, r_0^2], \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, r_0^2]. \end{cases}$$

Next, we define the function  $L$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  as

$$(4.2) \quad L(y) := \begin{cases} \frac{\widehat{H}^*(y)}{y} & \text{if } y > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } y = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{H}^*$  is the convex conjugate function of  $\widehat{H}$  which is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \widehat{H}^*(y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{xy - \widehat{H}(x)\}.$$

Then, we introduce the function  $\Lambda_H$  on  $(0, r_0^2]$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \Lambda_H(x) := \frac{H(x)}{xH'(x)},$$

which is an essential tool to classify the feedback growth around 0. To the best of our knowledge, this function has been introduced for the first time in [3] giving thus a simplification of the decay estimate formula obtained in [1].

Finally, let  $\eta > 0$  and  $M > 0$  be two positive numbers and  $f$  be a strictly increasing function from  $[0, \eta]$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ . For any  $r \in (0, \eta)$ , we define the function  $K_r$  from  $(0, r]$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  by

$$(4.5) \quad K_r(\tau) := \int_{\tau}^r \frac{dy}{yf(y)},$$

and a strictly increasing function  $\psi_r$  given by,

$$(4.6) \quad \psi_r(z) = z + K_r \left( f^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) \right) \geq z, \quad \forall z \geq \frac{1}{f(r)},$$

where  $f$  is a nonnegative and strictly increasing  $C^1$ -function defined from  $[0, 2\beta r_0^2)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$  (see Remark 4.1 below for the expression and [1] for the proof concerning the properties of  $f$ ).

Now, for  $x \geq \frac{1}{H'(r_0^2)}$ , we define

$$(4.7) \quad \psi_0(x) := \frac{1}{H'(r_0^2)} + \int_{1/x}^{H'(r_0^2)} \frac{1}{s^2(1 - \Lambda_H((H')^{-1}(s)))} ds.$$

*Remark 4.1.* The function  $L$ , defined in (4.2), is a strictly increasing and continuous function from  $[0, +\infty)$  onto  $[0, r_0^2]$ ; see the proof in [1, Proposition 2.2].

We recall here the definition of the function  $f$  given in [2] by

$$(4.8) \quad f(s) = L^{-1} \left( \frac{s}{2\beta} \right), \quad \forall s \in [0, 2\beta r_0^2),$$

where  $\beta = \beta(E(0))$  is given by (4.12) below.

The first main result of this section is given by the following theorem. The method used for the proof is adapted from the general approach in [1] and applied here to the plate coupled system.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that  $v_1^2 = v_2^2$  and that the assumptions  $(H_0)$  and  $(H_1)$  hold true. Moreover, suppose that the initial energy  $E(0)$  and the parameter  $\beta$  satisfy*

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{E(0)}{2L(H'(r_0^2))} \leq \beta.$$

*Then, the total energy of (1.11)–(1.13), which is defined by (3.1), decays as*

$$(4.10) \quad E(t) \leq \beta L \left( \frac{1}{\psi_0^{-1} \left( \frac{t}{\sigma} \right)} \right), \quad \forall t \geq \frac{\sigma}{H'(r_0^2)}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda_H(x) < 1$ , then  $E$  satisfies the following simplified decay rate

$$(4.11) \quad E(t) \leq \beta (H')^{-1} \left( \frac{\sigma}{t} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Here,  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  are, respectively, given by

$$(4.12) \quad \beta = \max \left( c_3, \frac{E(0)}{2L(H'(r_0^2))} \right),$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \sigma = 2 \left( \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) (H'(r_0^2)\alpha_2(c_4 + c_5) + 1) \right).$$

Before showing the proof of Theorem 4.2, we state and prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Assume that  $v_1^2 = v_2^2$  and  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  satisfy  $(H_0)$ . Let  $f$  be a strictly increasing function from  $[0, \eta)$  onto  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then, there exist positive constants  $\alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 3$ ) independent of  $f$ , such that the energy of the solutions of (1.11)–(1.13) verifies the following nonlinear weighted estimate:*

$$(4.14) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t))E(t)dt \leq \alpha_1 E(S)f(E(S)) + \alpha_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy \right) dt \\ + \alpha_3 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 + |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy \right) dt.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.3 is carried out in Subsection 4.3. To this end, we first show some auxiliary results that we gather in the following subsection.

#### 4.2. Technical Lemmas.

The main purpose of this subsection is to make the presentation clear and organized for the reader so that the tedious computations for the energy estimates can be divided in many steps. Hence, in the following we state and prove some useful technical lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) with the boundary conditions (2.1) and we assume that  $K > 1$ . Then for any nonnegative,  $C^1$  and strictly increasing function  $f$ .*

$$(4.15) \quad (K-1) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2} |\rho_2 - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K}|^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) |\psi_{tt}|^2 dx dy dt \\ + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt + cE(S)f(E(S)) + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\ + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt \\ + \frac{D}{2\varepsilon} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\ + \frac{D\varepsilon}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_x^2(L_1, y, t) - w_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt.$$

*Proof.* First, we multiply the equation (1.11) by  $f(E(t))\frac{D}{K}\psi_x$  and the equation (1.12) by  $f(E(t))(\psi + w_x)$ , then we integrate the resulting equations over  $[S, T] \times \Omega$ . Hence, we obtain, respectively,

$$(4.16) \quad \rho_1 \frac{D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_{tt} \psi_x dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x \psi_x dx dy dt \\ - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad & \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xx}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t)(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Second, summing the equations (4.16) and (4.17) and using an integration by parts with respect to the variable  $x$  in the term  $D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xx}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt$ . We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.18) \quad & K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\
& = D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt - \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& - \rho_1 \frac{D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_{tt} \psi_x dx dy dt + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt - \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t)(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x(L_1, y, t) w_x(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x(0, y, t) w_x(0, y, t) dy \right] dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, integrating by parts with respect to the space  $x$  and the time variable  $t$  in some terms of the above equality (4.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.19) \quad & K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\
& = \left( \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} - \rho_2 \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt}(w_x + \psi) dx dy dt + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \\
& - \left[ \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi \right]_S^T + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi dx dy dt \\
& - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (w_t \psi_x + w_x \psi_t)_t dx dy dt \\
& + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_t(L_1, y, t) \varphi_t(L_1, y, t) - w_t(0, y, t) \varphi_t(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\
& + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x(L_1, y, t) w_x(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x(0, y, t) w_x(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\
& + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy}(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\
& + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt - \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t)(\psi + w_x) dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi = w = 0$  at the boundary, then

$$\frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_t(L_1, y, t) \varphi_t(L_1, y, t) - w_t(0, y, t) \varphi_t(0, y, t) dy \right] dt = 0.$$

Now, using the Young inequality and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we estimate the following term which actually appears because of the non-vanishing boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x(L_1, y, t) w_x(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x(0, y, t) w_x(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\ & \leq \frac{D}{2\varepsilon} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\ & \quad + \frac{D\varepsilon}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_x^2(L_1, y, t) - w_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} - \rho_2 \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt} (w_x + \psi) dx dy dt + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \\ & \quad - \left[ \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi \right]_S^T + \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi dx dy dt \\ & \quad - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (w_t \psi_x + w_x \psi_t)_t dx dy dt + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} (\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\ (4.20) \quad & + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} (\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\ & \quad + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt - \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) (\psi + w_x) dx dy dt \\ & \quad + \frac{D}{2\varepsilon} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) - \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt \\ & \quad + \frac{D\varepsilon}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_x^2(L_1, y, t) - w_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we will estimate some terms appearing in (4.20) using Young's inequality. First, we see that

$$(4.21) \quad \left| \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) (\psi + w_x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (|\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + |\psi + w_x|^2) dx dy dt,$$

and

$$(4.22) \quad \left| \left( \rho_2 - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt} (w_x + \psi) dx dy dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\rho_2 - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K}|^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_{tt}|^2 dx dy dt$$

$$(4.23) \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |w_x + \psi|^2 dx dy dt,$$

Then, we perform an integration by parts for the term  $-\frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (w_t \psi_x + w_x \psi_t)_t dx dy dt$  (appearing in (4.20)). Since  $E$  is a nondecreasing function, whereas  $f$  is an increasing function, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \left| \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t(\psi + w_x) + w_t \psi_x dx dy \right]_S^T \right| \leq \left| \left[ \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} f(E(t)) E(t) \right]_S^T \right| \\ \leq cE(S)f(E(S)), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T,$$

where  $c$  is a generic constant which may depend on  $L_1, L_2, \rho_1, \rho_2, K$  and  $D$ . Similarly for any  $0 \leq S \leq T$ , we estimate the following term as

$$(4.25) \quad \left| \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t(\psi + w_x) + w_t \psi_x dx dy dt \right| \leq cE(S) \int_S^T f'(E(t)) (-E'(t)) E(t) \\ \leq cE(S)f(E(S)).$$

We inject the estimates (4.21), (4.22), (4.24) and (4.25) in (4.20), and recall that  $K > 1$ , we end up with the estimate (4.15).  $\square$

Now, we state and prove the following lemmas which show the control of the boundary terms appearing in (4.15).

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) with the boundary conditions (2.1). Then for any nonnegative and strictly increasing  $C^1$ -function  $f$  and  $q_1 \in C^1([0, L_2])$  such that  $q_1(0) = -q_1(L_1) = 2$ . We have, for all  $S \leq t \leq T$ ,*

$$(4.26) \quad D \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) + \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] \right) \\ \leq \kappa_1 E(S) f(E(S)) + \kappa_2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_x^2 dx dy dt \\ + \eta \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt + \kappa_1 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 dx dy dt \\ + \kappa_2 \left[ D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} \psi_x dx dy dt \right] \\ + \kappa_3 \left[ D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} \psi_x dx dy dt \right],$$

where  $\kappa_i, i = 1, \dots, 3$ , does not depend on  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* Multiplying the equation (1.12) by  $f(E(t))q_1\psi_x$ , we obtain

$$\rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{tt} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xx} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt \\ - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt \\ \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} K(\psi + w_x) q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) q_1 \psi_x = 0.$$

Then, integrating by parts with respect to the time variable  $t$  and the space variable  $x$  and using the fact that  $\psi = 0$  at the boundary, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{1x} \psi_t^2 dx dy dt + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_1 \psi_x \psi_t dx dy \right]_S^T - \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_1 \psi_x \psi_t dx dy dt \\
 & -\frac{D}{2} \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_0^{L_2} q_1(L_1) \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) - q_1(0) \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right) + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{1x} \frac{\psi_x^2}{2} dx dy dt \\
 & -D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt \\
 & +K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) q_1 \psi_x + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) q_1 \psi_x = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Employing  $q_1(0) = 2 = -q_1(L_1)$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} \psi_x^2(L_1, y, t) + \psi_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] \right) \\
 & = \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_1 \psi_x \psi_t dx dy dt - \rho_1 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_1 \psi_x \psi_t dx dy \right]_S^T \\
 (4.27) \quad & + \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{1x} \psi_t^2 dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{1x} \frac{\psi_x^2}{2} dx dy dt \\
 & + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt \\
 & - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt - \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt, \quad \forall S \leq t \leq T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $q_1$  is a bounded function, denoting  $\kappa = \max_{x \in [0, L_1]} |q_1(x)|$  and using the Young inequality, we can easily estimate the term  $K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) q_1 \psi_x dx dy dt$ . Hence, we obtain for all  $\eta > 0$  the desired result (4.26). □

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) with the boundary conditions (2.1). Then for any nonnegative and strictly increasing  $C^1$ -function  $f$  and  $q_1 \in C^1([0, L_2])$  such that  $q_1(0) = -q_1(L_1) = 2$ , we have, for all  $S \leq t \leq T$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.28) \quad & c \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_x^2(L_1, y, t) + w_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] \right) \\
 & \leq cE(S)f(E(S)) + c \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_x^2 + |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant depending on  $\kappa$ .

*Proof.* First, we multiply the equation (1.11) by  $f(E(t))q_1 w_x$  and we integrate with respect to time and space variable. Hence, we obtain, for all  $S \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.29) \quad & c \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_2} w_x^2(L_1, y, t) + w_x^2(0, y, t) dy \right] \right) \\
& = \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_1 w_x w_t dx dy dt - \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_1 w_x w_t dx dy \right]_S^T \\
& \quad - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{1x} \frac{w_x^2}{2} dx dy dt - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_x q_1 w_x dx dy dt \\
& \quad - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y q_1 w_x dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $q_1$  is a bounded function, recalling the definition  $\kappa = \max_{x \in [0, L_1]} |q_1(x)|$  and utilizing the Poincaré inequality for  $\psi$  to estimate the term  $K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_x q_1 w_x$  and the Young inequality, we end up with estimating the term  $\rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_1 w_x w_t dx dy dt$  and  $\rho_1 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_1 w_x w_t dx dy \right]_S^T$ . This concludes the desired result (4.28).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) with the boundary conditions (2.1). Assume that  $K > 1$ . Then, for any nonnegative and strictly increasing  $C^1$ -function  $f$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.30) \quad & C \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \leq C \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} - \rho_2 \right)^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_{tt}|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \quad + C \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 + |\psi_x|^2 dx dy dt + CE(S) f(E(S)) \\
& \quad + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x + \psi_x) \left( D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{yy} + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xy} \right) dx dy dt \\
& \quad + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant which depends on  $K, \eta, \kappa, \kappa_i; i = \{1, \dots, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* Combining the estimates (4.15), (4.26) and (4.28) yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $U = (w, w_t, \psi, \psi_t, \varphi, \varphi_t)$  be the solution of (1.11)–(1.13) with the boundary conditions (2.1). Then, for any nonnegative and strictly increasing  $C^1$ -function  $f$ , we find that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.31) \quad & C \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi + w_y|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \leq c \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy dt + cE(S) f(E(S)) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho_2 - \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} \right)^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{tt}|^2 dx dy dt + c \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_t|^2 + |\varphi_y|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y + \varphi_y) \left( D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xx} + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{xy} \right) dx dy dt \\
& \quad + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x \varphi_y dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* We multiply the equations (1.11) and (1.13), respectively, by  $f(E(t))\frac{D}{K}\varphi_y$  and  $f(E(t))(\varphi + w_y)$ , then we integrate the resulting equations over  $[S, T] \times \Omega$  as follows:

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1 \frac{D}{K} \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_{tt} \varphi_y dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x \varphi_y dx dy dt \\ - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \varphi_y dx dy dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{tt} (\varphi + w_y) dx dy dt + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi + w_y|^2 dx dy dt \\ - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xx} (\varphi + w_y) dx dy dt \\ - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} (\varphi + w_y) dx dy dt + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_2(\varphi_t) (\varphi + w_y) dx dy dt \\ - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{yy} (\varphi + w_y) dx dy dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, performing similar computations as in Lemma 4.4, adding the equations (4.32) and (4.33), and integrating by parts with respect to time and space, the following boundary term appears in the computations

$$(4.34) \quad D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left[ \int_0^{L_1} \varphi_y(x, L_2, t) w_y(x, L_2, t) - \varphi_y(x, 0, t) w_y(x, t) dy \right] dt.$$

In the purpose to estimate the above quantity, we use similar calculations as in the proof of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, namely we set the function  $q_2 \in C^1([0, L_2])$  satisfying  $q_2(0) = -q_2(L_2) = 2$  and we denote by  $\theta = \max_{x \in [0, L_2]} |q_2(x)|$ . Next, we multiply the equation (1.13) by  $f(E(t))q_2\varphi_y$  and (1.11) by  $f(E(t))q_2w_y$ , we obtain the following equalities

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{tt} q_2(x) \varphi_y dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{yy} q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt \\ - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xx} q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt \\ + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_2(\varphi_t) q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_{tt} q_2(x) w_y dx dy - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x q_2(x) w_y \\ - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y q_2(x) w_y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we start by the equation (4.35) where we integrate by parts with respect to the time variable  $t$  and the space variable  $x$ . Then, using the fact that  $\varphi = 0$  at the boundary, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.37) \quad & -\rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t^2 q_{2y} dx dy dt + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_2 \varphi_y \varphi_t \right]_S^T + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt \\
& - \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_2 \varphi_y \varphi_t dx dy dt + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_{2y} \frac{\varphi_y^2}{2} dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xx} q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt - \frac{D}{2} \left( \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_0^1 q_2(L_2) \varphi_y^2(x, L_2, t) - q_2(0) \varphi_y^2(x, 0, t) \right) \\
& - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_2(\varphi_t) q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Employing the fact that  $q_2$  is a bounded function and denoting  $\theta = \max_{x \in [0, L_1]} |q_2(x)|$  such that  $q_2(0) = 2 = -q_2(L_2)$ , we can easily estimate the terms  $\rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} q_2 \varphi_y \varphi_t dx dy dt$ , and  $\rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} q_2 \varphi_y \varphi_t dx dy \right]_S^T$ . Finally, we use the Young inequality to estimate the term

$$K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) q_2 \varphi_y dx dy dt.$$

Hence, we obtain for all  $\eta_0 > 0$  the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.38) \quad & D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_0^{L_1} \varphi_y^2(x, L_2, t) - \varphi_y^2(x, 0, t) dx dy dt \\
& \leq cE(S)f(E(S)) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta_0}\right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_y^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \eta_0 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi + w_y|^2 dx dy dt + \theta_1 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \theta_2 D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xx} \varphi_y dx dy dt \\
& + \theta_3 D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} \varphi_y dx dy dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 3$ ) are positive constants independent of  $\eta_0$ .

Furthermore, we perform some estimates for the terms in (4.36) in which we utilize the properties of the function  $q_2$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.39) \quad & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_0^{L_1} w_y^2(x, L_2, t) - w_y^2(x, 0, t) dx dt \leq cE(S)f(E(S)) \\
& + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_y^2 + |\varphi + w_y|^2 dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.38) and (4.39), we deduce the inequality (4.31).  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.3.** Now we are able to prove Proposition 4.3 thanks to the results obtained in Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.8.

*Proof.* First, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi + w_x|^2 + |\varphi + w_y|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \leq c \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\rho_1 D}{K} - \rho_2 \right|^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_{tt}|^2 + |\varphi_{tt}|^2 dx dy dt \\
& \quad + c \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 + |\psi_x|^2 + |\varphi_t|^2 + |\varphi_y|^2 dx dy dt + cE(S)f(E(S)) \\
(4.40) \quad & + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x + \psi_x) \left( D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{yy} + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xy} \right) dx dy dt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y + \varphi_y) \left( D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \varphi_{xx} + D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \psi_{xy} \right) dx dy dt \\
& \quad + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y \psi_x dx dy dt + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x \varphi_y dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, we multiply the equation (1.11) by  $f(E(t))w$  and the equation (1.12) by  $f(E(t))\psi$ , then we integrate over  $[S, T] \times \Omega$  and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.41) \quad & \rho_1 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_t w dx dy \right]_S^T - \rho_1 \int_S^T f'(E(t))E'(t) \int_{\Omega} w w_t dx dy dt \\
& - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x)_x w dx dy dt - \rho_1 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |w_t|^2 dx dy dt \\
& - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y)_y w dx dy dt = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.42) \quad & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_1(\psi_t) \psi dx dy dt - \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t))E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi dx dy dt \\
& - \rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{yy} \psi dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xy} \psi dx dy dt - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xx} \psi dx dy dt \\
& + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi + w_x) \psi dx dy dt + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi \psi_t dx dy \right]_S^T = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, multiplying the equation (1.13) by  $f(E(t))\varphi$  and integrating over  $[S, T] \times \Omega$  yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.43) \quad & -\rho_2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi dx dy \right]_S^T \\
& + \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t))E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{xx} \varphi dx dy dt \\
& - D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{yy} \varphi dx dy dt - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{xy} \varphi dx dy dt \\
& + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\varphi + w_y) \varphi dx dy dt + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \chi_2(\varphi_t) \varphi dx dy dt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by summing (4.41), (4.42) and (4.43), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.44) \quad & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \rho_1 |w_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\varphi_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt = -\rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \psi_t \psi dx dy dt \\
& + \rho_1 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w_t w \right]_S^T - \rho_1 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} w w_t dx dy dt \\
& + \rho_2 \int_S^T f'(E(t)) E'(t) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi dx dy dt + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi \psi_t \right]_S^T \\
& + \rho_2 \left[ f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_t \varphi \right]_S^T - K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} ((\varphi + w_y)_y w + (\psi + w_x)_x w) dx dy dt \\
& + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} ((\varphi + w_y) \varphi + (\psi + w_x) \psi) dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi_{yy} \psi + \varphi_{xx} \varphi) dx dy dt \\
& + D \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_x|^2 + |\varphi_y|^2) dx dy dt \\
& - D \left( \frac{1+\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\psi_{xy} \varphi + \varphi_{xy} \psi) dx dy dt \\
& + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\chi_1(\psi_t) \psi + \chi_2(\varphi_t) \varphi) dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.45) \quad & D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_y + \varphi_x|^2 dx dy dt \\
& = D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (|\psi_y|^2 + |\varphi_x|^2) dx dy dt \\
& + D(1-\mu) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} \psi_y \varphi_x dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.40), (4.44) and (4.45), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_S^T f(E(t)) E(t) dt & \leq \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (\rho_1 |w_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\varphi_t|^2 + \rho_2 |\psi_t|^2 + D |\psi_x|^2 + D |\varphi_y|^2) dx dy dt \\
& + K \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (|\varphi + w_y|^2 + |\psi + w_x|^2) dx dy dt \\
& + \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (2D\mu \partial_y \partial_x (\psi \varphi) + 2D\mu \psi_x \varphi_y) dx dy dt \\
& + D \left( \frac{1-\mu}{2} \right) \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_y + \varphi_x|^2 dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, remembering the definition of  $E$ , we end up with the desired estimate (4.14).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *We assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then, we have the following inequality:*

$$(4.46) \quad \int_S^T E(t) f(E(t)) dt \leq \sigma E(S),$$

where  $\sigma$  is a positive constant given by (4.13).

*Proof.* We start by choosing  $\varepsilon_1$  sufficiently small, namely  $\varepsilon_1 = g(\frac{r_0}{\sqrt{2}})$ . Then, for a fixed  $t \geq 0$ , we define the subset  $\Omega_0^t$  as follows:

$$\Omega_0^t = \{(x, y) \in \Omega \text{ such that } |\psi_t(t, x, y)| \leq \varepsilon_1 \text{ and } |\varphi_t(t, x, y)| \leq \varepsilon_1\}.$$

Thanks to the assumption  $(H_0)$ , there exist constants  $c_3 > 0$  and  $c_4 > 0$  such that

$$c_3 g(|\nu|) \leq |\chi_1(\nu)| \leq c_4 g^{-1}(|\nu|), \quad \forall |\nu| \leq \varepsilon_1,$$

and two other positive constants  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  such that

$$c_6 g(|\nu|) \leq |\chi_2(\nu)| \leq c_5 g^{-1}(|\nu|), \quad \forall |\nu| \leq \varepsilon_1.$$

In particular, for  $\nu = \psi_t(t, x, y)$ , we have

$$|\chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))|^2 \leq (c_4 g^{-1}(|\psi_t(t, x, y)|))^2, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_0^t.$$

Similarly, for  $\nu = \varphi_t(t, x, y)$  we have

$$|\chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y))|^2 \leq (c_5 g^{-1}(|\varphi_t(t, x, y)|))^2, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_0^t.$$

Since  $g^{-1}$  is a monotone increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|\chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))|^2 \leq (c_4^2 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1))^2 = \frac{c_4 r_0^2}{2}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_0^t,$$

and

$$|\chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y))|^2 \leq (c_5^2 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1))^2 = \frac{c_4 r_0^2}{2}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_0^t.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(4.47) \quad |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_4^{-1} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \in [0, \frac{r_0^2}{2}],$$

and

$$(4.48) \quad |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_5^{-1} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \in [0, \frac{r_0^2}{2}].$$

On the other hand,  $H$  is a strictly convex function on  $[0, r_0^2]$ , then applying the Jensen's inequality to the quantities in (4.47) and (4.48), and using the definition of the function  $H(x) = \sqrt{x}g(\sqrt{x})$ , we obtain the following estimates:

$$(4.49) \quad H \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_4^{-1} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \right) \leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \frac{1}{c_4} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) g \left( \frac{1}{c_4} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) \right) dx dy,$$

and

$$(4.50) \quad H \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_5^{-1} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \right) \leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \frac{1}{c_5} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) g \left( \frac{1}{c_5} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \right) dx dy.$$

Now, using the fact that  $g$  is an increasing function, we have

$$(4.51) \quad g \left( \frac{1}{c_4} \chi_1(|\psi_t(t, x, y)|) \right) \leq \psi_t(t, x, y), \quad \text{on } \Omega_0^t,$$

and

$$(4.52) \quad g \left( \frac{1}{c_5} \chi_2(|\varphi_t(t, x, y)|) \right) \leq \varphi_t(t, x, y), \quad \text{on } \Omega_0^t.$$

Knowing that  $H(x^2) = xg(x)$  and using the inequalities (4.49)–(4.52), we infer that

$$(4.53) \quad H \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_4^{-1} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \right) \leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} c_4^{-1} \psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) dx dy,$$

and

$$(4.54) \quad H \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |c_5^{-1} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y))|^2 dx dy \right) \leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} c_5^{-1} \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t) dx dy.$$

Since  $\chi_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , are increasing functions, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_5^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) dx dy &\leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_1(\varepsilon_1) \varepsilon_1 dx dy \\ &\leq c_4^{-1} \varepsilon_1 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1) = H\left(\frac{r_0^2}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))| |\psi_t(t, x, y)| dx dy &\leq |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_1(\varepsilon_1) \varepsilon_1 dx dy \\ &\leq c_4^{-1} \varepsilon_1 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1) = H\left(\frac{r_0^2}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(4.55) \quad |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y))| |\psi_t(t, x, y)| dx dy \in \left[ 0, H\left(\frac{r_0^2}{2}\right) \right],$$

and

$$(4.56) \quad |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_5^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) dx dy \in \left[ 0, H\left(\frac{r_0^2}{2}\right) \right].$$

Consequently, we get

$$(4.57) \quad H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} c_4^{-1} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) \psi_t(t, x, y) dx dy \right) \in \left[ 0, \frac{r_0^2}{2} \right],$$

and

$$(4.58) \quad H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} c_5^{-1} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) dx dy \right) \in \left[ 0, \frac{r_0^2}{2} \right].$$

Therefore, from the inequalities (4.53) and (4.54), we deduce that

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_S^T f(E(t)) \left( \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq c_4^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t| c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) \psi_t(t, x, y) \right) \\ &\quad + c_5^2 \int_S^T f(E(t)) H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t| c_5^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, applying the following Young's inequality

$$(4.60) \quad AB \leq \widehat{H}^*(A) + \widehat{H}(B),$$

for  $A = f(E(t))$  and for the two values of  $B$  as below; first we consider

$$B = B_1(t) := H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) \psi_t(t, x, y) \right),$$

and then

$$B = B_2(t) := H^{-1} \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_5^{-1} \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) \right).$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.61) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left( \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy \right) dt \\ & \leq c_4^2 |\Omega_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt + |\Omega_0^t| \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_4 \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_1(\psi_t(t, x, y)) \psi_t(t, x, y) dx dy \right) \\ & + c_5^2 |\Omega_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt + |\Omega_0^t| \left( |\Omega_0^t|^{-1} c_5 \int_{\Omega_0^t} \chi_2(\varphi_t(t, x, y)) \varphi_t(t, x, y) dx dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the dissipation relation (3.2), it is clear that, for all  $0 \leq S \leq T$ ,

$$(4.62) \quad \int_S^T \int_{\Omega_0^t} (c_4 \psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) + c_5 \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t)) dx dy dt \leq \max(c_4, c_5) (E(S) - E(T)) \leq \max(c_4, c_5) E(S).$$

Using (4.61) and (4.62), we deduce that

$$(4.63) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \left( \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 dx dy + \int_{\Omega_0^t} |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2 dx dy \right) dt \\ & \leq \left( (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt \right) + \int_S^T \int_{\Omega_0^t} (c_4 \psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) + c_5 \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t)) dx dy ds \\ & \leq (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt + \max(c_4, c_5) E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $0 \leq S \leq T$ , we have

$$(4.64) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0^t} (|\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2) dx dy dt \\ & \leq \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0^t} (c_4 |\psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t)| + c_5 |\varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t)|) dx dy dt \\ & \leq \max(c_5, c_4) \int_S^T f(E(t)) (-E'(t)) dt \\ & \leq \max(c_5, c_4) E(S) f(E(S)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the inequalities (4.61) and (4.64), we obtain for  $0 \leq S \leq T$ ,

$$(4.65) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} (|\chi_1(\psi_t)|^2 + |\chi_2(\varphi_t)|^2) dx dy dt \leq (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt \\ & + \max(c_4, c_5) E(S) (f(E(S))) + \max(c_4, c_5) E(S). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the second step of the proof starts by choosing a sufficiently small number  $\varepsilon_2 = \min(r_0, g(r_1))$  where  $r_1$  is a positive constant such that  $H(r_1^2) = \max(\frac{c_3}{c_4}, \frac{c_5}{c_6}) H(r_0^2)$ .

Thanks to  $(H_0)$  there exist constants, still denoted here by  $c_3 > 0$  and  $c_4 > 0$  to avoid too many notations, such that

$$(4.66) \quad \begin{cases} c_3|v| \leq |\chi_1(v)| \leq c_4|v|, & \forall |v| \geq \varepsilon_2, \\ c_3|g(|v|)| \leq |\chi_1(v)| \leq c_4g^{-1}(|v|), & \forall |v| \leq \varepsilon_2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exist constants, still denoted also by  $c_5 > 0$  and  $c_6 > 0$ , such that

$$(4.67) \quad \begin{cases} c_6|v| \leq |\chi_2(v)| \leq c_5|v|, & \forall |v| \geq \varepsilon_2, \\ c_6|g(|v|)| \leq |\chi_2(v)| \leq c_5g^{-1}(|v|), & \forall |v| \leq \varepsilon_2. \end{cases}$$

At this level, we need to introduce two sets  $G_0^t$  and  $G_1^t$  which are defined as follows:

$$G_0^t = \{(x, y) \in \Omega, |\psi_t(t, x, y)| \leq \varepsilon_2\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and

$$G_1^t = \{(x, y) \in \Omega, |\varphi_t(t, x, y)| \leq \varepsilon_2\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then, for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$(4.68) \quad |G_0^t|^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy \in [0, r_0^2],$$

and

$$(4.69) \quad |G_1^t|^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t(t, x, y)|^2 dx dy \in [0, r_0^2].$$

Using the inequalities (4.66) and (4.67), we obtain

$$g(|\psi_t|) \leq c_3^{-1}\chi_1(\psi_t) \text{ on } G_1^t, \quad \text{and} \quad g(|\varphi_t|) \leq c_6^{-1}\chi_2(\varphi_t) \text{ on } G_1^t.$$

Following the same arguments as in (4.49), we obtain

$$(4.70) \quad \begin{aligned} H \left( |G_0^t|^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy \right) &\leq |G_0^t|^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} H(|\psi_t(t, x, y)|^2) dx dy \\ &\leq |G_0^t|^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t| g(|\psi_t(t, x, y)|) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_6} \int_{G_0^t} \int_{G_0^t} |\varphi_t| |\chi_2(\varphi_t)| dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.71) \quad \begin{aligned} H \left( |G_1^t|^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy \right) &\leq |G_1^t|^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} H(|\varphi_t(t, x, y)|^2) dx dy \\ &\leq |G_1^t|^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t| g(|\varphi_t(t, x, y)|) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_3} |G_1^t| \int_{G_1^t} |\psi_t| |\chi_1(\psi_t)| dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since  $H$  is an increasing function, we have

$$\int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq \int_S^T f(E(t)) |G_1^t| H^{-1} \left( |G_1^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t| |\chi_2(\varphi_t)| dx dy \right) dt,$$

and

$$\int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq \int_S^T f(E(t)) |G_0^t| H^{-1} \left( |G_0^t|^{-1} c_4^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t| |\chi_1(\psi_t)| dx dy \right) dt.$$



Now, we use the Young's inequality (4.60) with  $A = f(E(t))$  and  $B$  taking successively the two following values:

$$B = B_3(t) := H^{-1} \left( |G_0^t|^{-1} c_3^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\psi_t| |\chi_1(\psi_t)| dx dy \right),$$

and

$$B = B_4(t) := H^{-1} \left( |G_1^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t| |\chi_2(\varphi_t)| dx dy \right).$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$(4.72) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq |G_0^t| \int_S^T \left[ \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) + \widehat{H} \left( H^{-1}(|G_0^t|^{-1} \frac{1}{c_3} \int_{G_0^t} \chi_1(\psi_t) \psi_t) \right) \right] dt,$$

and

$$(4.73) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq |G_1^t| \int_S^T \left[ \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) + \widehat{H} \left( H^{-1}(|G_1^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t)) \right) \right] dt.$$

On the other hand, thanks to (4.66) and (4.67), we have

$$(4.74) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_0^t|^{-1} c_3^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} \psi_t \chi_1(\psi_t) dx dy &\leq c_4 |G_0^t|^{-1} c_3^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} \psi_t g^{-1}(\psi_t) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{c_4}{c_3} \varepsilon_1 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1) = \frac{c_4}{c_3} H(r_1^2) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{c_4}{c_3}, \frac{c_5}{c_6}\right) H(r_1^2) = H(r_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(4.75) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_1^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t| |\chi_2(\varphi_t)| dx dy &\leq c_5 |G_0^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_0^t} \varphi_t g^{-1}(\varphi_t) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{c_5}{c_6} \varepsilon_1 g^{-1}(\varepsilon_1) = \frac{c_5}{c_6} H(r_1^2) \\ &\leq \max\left(\frac{c_4}{c_3}, \frac{c_5}{c_6}\right) H(r_1^2) = H(r_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $H$  is an increasing function, we have

$$H^{-1} \left( |G_0^t|^{-1} \frac{1}{c_3} \int_{G_0^t} \chi_1(\psi_t) \psi_t \right) \in [0, r_0^2], \quad \text{and} \quad H^{-1} \left( |G_1^t|^{-1} c_6^{-1} \int_{G_1^t} \varphi_t \chi_2(\varphi_t) \right) \in [0, r_0^2].$$

Remember that  $\widehat{H}(x) = H(x)$ , for all  $x \in [0, r_0^2]$ , and using the dissipation formula (3.2), we find that

$$(4.76) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_0^t} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq |G_0^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt + \frac{1}{c_3} E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T,$$

and

$$(4.77) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{G_1^t} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq |G_1^t| \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt + \frac{1}{c_6} E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T.$$

As for (4.64), we conclude that

$$\int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega \setminus G_0^t} |\psi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq c_3^{-1} E(S) f(E(S)), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T,$$

and

$$\int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega \setminus G_1^t} |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq c_6^{-1} E(S) f(E(S)), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(4.78) \quad \int_S^T f(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} |\psi_t|^2 + |\varphi_t|^2 dx dy dt \leq \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) E(S) f(E(S)) \\ + (|G_0^t| + |G_1^t|) \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt \\ + \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T.$$

Finally, using the estimates (4.14), (4.61) and (4.78), we obtain

$$(4.79) \quad \int_S^T E(t) f(E(t)) dt \leq \left( \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) + \alpha_2 (c_4 + c_5) \right) E(S) f(E(S)) \\ + (\alpha_3 (|G_0^t| + |G_1^t|) + \alpha_2 (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t|) \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt \\ + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T.$$

In order to achieve the proof of this proposition, we need to estimate the term  $f(E(S))$ . For that purpose, we define the constant  $\beta$ , which depends on  $E(0)$ , as follows:

$$(4.80) \quad \beta = \max \left( c_3, \frac{E(0)}{2L(H'(r_0^2))} \right),$$

where  $L$  is defined in (4.2) and  $c_3 = \alpha_3 (|G_0^t| + |G_1^t|) + \alpha_2 (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t|$ . Here  $c_3$  is a positive constant which depends on the physical characteristics of the plate ( $\rho_1, \rho_2, b$  and  $K$ ) but it is independent of  $E(0)$ .

Now, using the definition of  $f$ , given by (4.8), the fact that  $E$  is a nondecreasing function and the inequality (4.9), we conclude that

$$\frac{E(t)}{2\beta} \leq \frac{E(0)}{2\beta} \leq L(H'(r_0^2)) < r_0^2.$$

Recall that  $f$  is an increasing function, we obtain

$$f(E(S)) \leq f(E(0)) = L^{-1} \left( \frac{E(0)}{2\beta} \right) \leq H'(r_0^2), \quad \forall S \geq 0.$$

Thus, the inequality (4.79) reduces to

$$(4.81) \quad \int_S^T E(t) f(E(t)) dt \leq \left( \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) + \alpha_2 (c_4 + c_5) \right) E(S) f(E(S)) \\ + (\alpha_3 (|G_0^t| + |G_1^t|) + \alpha_2 (c_4^2 + c_5^2) |\Omega_0^t|) \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt \\ + \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) E(S), \\ \leq \left( \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) (H'(r_0^2) \alpha_2 (c_4 + c_5) + 1) \right) E(S) \\ + C_3 \int_S^T \widehat{H}^*(f(E(t))) dt, \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T.$$

Following the same choice for the function  $f$  as in [3], we have

$$C_3 \widehat{H}^*(f(s)) \leq \beta \widehat{H}^*(f(s)) = \frac{sf(s)}{2}, \quad \forall s \in [0, \beta r_0^2].$$

The above estimate holds true for  $s = E(S)$ , hence, we deduce that

$$(4.82) \quad \int_S^T E(t)f(E(t))dt \leq \sigma E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T,$$

where

$$(4.83) \quad \sigma = 2 \left( \alpha_3 \left( \frac{1}{c_3} + \frac{1}{c_6} \right) (H'(r_0^2)\alpha_2(c_4 + c_5) + 1) \right).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.9.  $\square$

In the sequel, we will put together all the necessary estimates and then prove the results in Theorem 4.2 which will be the subject of the next subsection.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.2.** In this subsection, we conclude the proof of Theorem 4.2 for which we already prepared all the necessary material.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 4.2)

Thanks to the dissipative relation (3.2), the energy  $E(t)$ , defined by (3.1) and associated with the solution of the system (1.11)-(1.12), is a decreasing and absolutely continuous function from  $[0, +\infty)$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Moreover, this energy satisfies

$$(4.84) \quad \int_S^T E(t)f(E(t))dt \leq \sigma E(S), \quad \forall 0 \leq S \leq T,$$

where  $\sigma$  is a constant given by (4.83), and

$$f(E(t)) = L^{-1} \left( \frac{E(t)}{2\beta} \right).$$

Hence, by applying the results in [3, Theorem 2.3], we deduce that  $E(t)$  satisfies the estimate (4.10). This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to the Editor for handling this article and to the anonymous referee for the valuable remarks and suggestions which considerably enriched the content and the writing of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *Convexity and weighted integral inequalities for energy decay rates of nonlinear dissipative hyperbolic systems*. Appl. Math. Optim. 51, No. 1, 61–105 (2005).
- [2] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *Asymptotic behavior for Timoshenko beams subject to a single nonlinear feedback control*. NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 14, No. 5-6, 643–669, (2007).
- [3] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *A unified approach via convexity for optimal energy decay rates of finite and infinite dimensional vibrating damped systems with applications to semi-discretized vibrating damped systems*, J.Differ. Equations. 248, No. 1473–1517, (2010).
- [4] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *Strong lower energy estimates for nonlinearly damped Timoshenko beams and Petrowsky equation*, Nonlinear Differ. Equations Appl. 18, No 5, 571-597, (2011).
- [5] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *On some recent advances on stabilization for hyperbolic equations*. Lecture Note in Mathematics CIME Foundation Subseries Control of Partial Differential Equations, 101, (2012).
- [6] Alabau-Boussouira, F.: *A one-step optimal energy decay formula for indirectly nonlinearly damped hyperbolic systems coupled by velocities*, ESAIM: COCV, No. 23, 721–749, (2017).
- [7] Ayadi M.A, Bchatnia A, Hamouda M and Messaoudi S., *General decay in a Timoshenko-type system with thermoelasticity with second sound*. Advances Nonlinear Analysis, 4,236–284, (2015).

- [8] Bchatnia, A, Chebbi.S, Hamouda.M and Soufyane.A, *Lower bound and optimality for a nonlinearly damped Timoshenko system with thermoelasticity* Asymptotic Analysis 114, 73–91, (2019).
- [9] Brezis, H.: *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications*, Masson, Paris (1992).
- [10] Campelo, A.D.S., Almeida junior D.S., Santos, M.L.: *Stability to the dissipative Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko acting on displacement equation*. European journal of applied Mathematic Vol 27, 157–193, (2016).
- [11] Fernández Sare, H.D., Muñoz Rivera, J.E.: *Stability of Timoshenko systems with past history*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 , 482–502,(2008).
- [12] Fernández Sare, H. D., Racke, R.: *On the stability of damped Timoshenko systems- Cattaneo versus Fourier’s law*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 194 (1), 221–251, (2009).
- [13] Fernández Sare, H. D., *On the stability of Mindlin–Timoshenko plates* Quart. Appl. Math. 67, 249–263, (2009)
- [14] George Avalos and Daniel Toundykov, *On stability and trace regularity of solutions to Reissner-Mindlin-Timoshenko equations, Modern aspects of the theory of partial differential equations*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 216, pp. 79–91, (2011).
- [15] George Avalos and Daniel Toundykov, *Boundary stabilization of structural acoustic interactions with interface on a Reissner-Mindlin plate*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 12, No. 6, 2985–3013,(2011).
- [16] Giorgi, C., Naso, M. G.: *Mathematical models of Reissner-Mindlin thermoviscoelastic plates*. J. Thermal Stresses, 29(7):699–716, (2006).
- [17] Guesmia A, Messaoudi S A.: *On the control of a viscoelastic damped Timoshenko-type system*. Appl Math Compt, 206 (2), pp. 589–597, (2008).
- [18] Guesmia A, Messaoudi S A.: *General energy decay estimates of Timoshenko systems with frictional versus viscoelastic damping*. Math Meth Appl Sci, 32 (16), pp. 2102–2122,(2009).
- [19] Guesmia A, Messaoudi S A, Soufyane A. *Stabilization of a linear Timoshenko system with infinite history and applications to the Timoshenko-Heat systems*. Elect J. Differ. Equation, 193, 1–45 (2012).
- [20] Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen, M.: *On a structural acoustic model which incorporates shear and thermal effects in the structural component*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341(2), 1253–1270, (2008).
- [21] Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen, M.: *On a fluid-structure model in which the dynamics of the structure involves the shear stress due to the fluid*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 10, No. 3, 388–401, (2008).
- [22] Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen, M.: *Strong stabilization of models incorporating the thermoelastic Reissner-Mindlin plate equations with second sound*. Appl. Anal., 90(9), 1419–1449, (2011).
- [23] Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen, M.: *On the dissipative effect of a magnetic field in a Mindlin-Timoshenko plate model*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 63, No. 6, 1047–1065, (2012).
- [24] Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen, M.: *The role of magnetic fields in the strong stabilization of a hybrid magneto-elastic structure*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 35, No. 2, 228–237, (2012).
- [25] Haraux, A.: *Nonlinear evolution equations—global behavior of solutions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. vol. 841. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1981).
- [26] Kim, J.U., Renardy, Y.: *Boundary control of the Timoshenko beam*, SIAM Journal of Control Optim, 25(6), 1417–1429, (1987).
- [27] Lagnese, J.: *“Boundary Stabilization of Thin Plates”*. SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics SIAM, Philadelphia (1989)
- [28] Lasiecka, I. and D. Tataru *Uniform boundary stabilization of semilinear wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping*. Differential Integral Equations, 8, 507–533, (1993).
- [29] Lagnese, J. E. Lions, J. L. *Modelling, Analysis and Control of Thin Plates*, Collection RMA, Masson, Paris, (1988).
- [30] Lagnese, J.: *Boundary Stabilization of Thin Plates*. SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics SIAM, Philadelphia (1989).
- [31] Martinez,P., *A new method to obtain decay rate estimates for dissipative systems with localized damping*. Rev. Mat. Complut, 12, 251–283, (1999).
- [32] Martinez,P.: *A new method to obtain decay rate estimates for dissipative systems*. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 4, 419–444, (1999).
- [33] Mindlin, R. D.: *Thickness-shear and flexural vibrations of crystal plates*. J. Appl. Physics, 22, 316–323, (1951).
- [34]
- [35] Muñoz Rivera J.E, Racke, R.: *Mildly dissipative nonlinear Timoshenko systems—global existence and exponential stability*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 , 248–278, (2002).
- [36] Muñoz Rivera, J.E., Portillo Oquendo, H.: *Asymptotic behavior on a Mindlin-Timoshenko plate with viscoelastic dissipation on the boundary*. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 46, 363–382, (2003).
- [37] Muñoz Rivera J. E, Racke.R: *Global stability for damped Timoshenko systems*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems B, vol. 9, No. 6, 1625—1639, (2003).
- [38] Reissner, E.: *The effect of transverse shear deformation on the bending of elastic plates*. J. Appl. Mech., 12, 69–77, (1945).
- [39] Soufyane, A.: *Stabilisation de la poutre de Timoshenko*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 328 (8), 731—734, (1999).

<sup>1</sup> UR ANALYSE NON-LINÉAIRE ET GÉOMETRIE, UR13ES32, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF TUNIS, UNIVERSITY OF TUNIS EL-MANAR, 2092 EL MANAR II, TUNISIA

*Email address:* [ahmed.bchatnia@fst.utm.tn](mailto:ahmed.bchatnia@fst.utm.tn)

<sup>1</sup> UR ANALYSE NON-LINÉAIRE ET GÉOMETRIE, UR13ES32, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF TUNIS, UNIVERSITY OF TUNIS EL-MANAR, 2092 EL MANAR II, TUNISIA

*Email address:* [sabrine.chebbi@fst.utm.tn](mailto:sabrine.chebbi@fst.utm.tn)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, DEANSHIP OF PREPARATORY YEAR AND SUPPORTING STUDIES, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1982, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA

*Email address:* [mmhamouda@iau.edu.sa](mailto:mmhamouda@iau.edu.sa)