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Abstract
We consider vacuum Bondi-Sachs metrics admitting conformal compactification which is smooth up to the scri $I^+$. We expand metric and the Einstein equations into inverse powers of the affine distance $r$. Metric coefficients are defined in a recursive way. In the case of a stationary solution with nonvanishing mass we show that it is approximately the Kerr metric with extra parameters which can appear in higher order terms in $1/r$.

1 Introduction

In 1958 Trautman [14, 15] began an era of theoretical description of gravitational waves in full nonlinearized Einstein theory. He defined outgoing radiation conditions and showed that total energy cannot increase in time what was interpreted as an effect of the radiation. In 1960 Bondi [3] announced his approach to the subject based on a foliation of spacetime by null surfaces $u = \text{const}$ imitating null cones in the Minkowski space. Complete description of the axially symmetric case were presented by Bondi, van der Burg and Metzner [4] and generalization to nonsymmetric metrics was given by Sachs [12]. In this formalism metric has a special form related to the null foliation. Metric coefficients are expanded into inverse powers of a radial coordinate $r$ and traced along expanding null rays. Energy is defined as an integral of a function (called the mass aspect) generalizing the mass parameter in the Schwarzschild metric. It diminishes in time in a rate given by the square of the Bondi news function (related to another component of metric).

In the Bondi-Sachs approach one uses an intuitive notion of a null infinity available in the limit $r \to \infty$ when $u$ stays bounded. Its geometrical definition was soon proposed by Penrose [11] who considered spacetimes with metric $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ admitting a conformal compactification to $(M, g = r^{-2} \tilde{g})$ with a boundary containing the future null infinity $I^+$. Originally the conformal metric $g$ was assumed to be smooth up to $I^+$. In 1983 Friedrich [7] and in 1985 Winicour [16] noticed that this assumption may be too strong. Anderson and Chruściel [1] suggested the so called polyhomogeneous expansion of metric admitting logarithmic terms $r^{-n} \log^k r$. In 1995 Chruściel, MacCallum and Singleton [6] generalized the Bondi-Sachs formalism assuming polyhomogeneous expansions. Still the class of smooth conformal metrics is sufficiently big in many situations (see e.g. [2]).

In this paper we reexamine the vacuum Einstein equations $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ with smooth $I^+$. We put the physical metric into the Bondi-Sachs form but we use the affine distance along null geodesics instead of the luminosity distance in the standard approach. (In our opinion the affine gauge is more natural from geometrical point of view and in most cases allows easier analysis of equations.) We
expand the conformal metric and $\tilde{R}_{\mu
u}$ into powers of $1/r$. Low order equations have the most interesting consequences as already noticed by Bondi, Sachs and others (see [15] for a review in the luminosity gauge). For nonsymmetric fields we describe a method of recursive solving of the equations (section 2). Perhaps it can be useful for numerical computations (see [17] for known results and perspectives). In section 3 we consider stationary metrics. First we prove that these metrics undergo equations from section 2 (this is not trivial observation since these equations are obtained for a specific choice of the conformal factor). From low order equations we obtain restrictions which, for nonvanishing mass $M$, allow to write solution as an approximate Kerr metric.

2 The Einstein equations near conformal boundary

Following the Penrose approach we assume that spacetime $\tilde{M}$ with metric $\tilde{g}$ can be partially compactified in a conformal way to $(M, g)$ with a future boundary $\mathcal{I}^+$ which can be foliated by surfaces diffeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere, $\mathcal{I}^+ = \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{S}^2$. We assume that metric $g$ is smooth in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{I}^+$. In this neighbourhood we introduce a system of Bondi-Sachs coordinates in the following way. First we define coordinates $u, x^A$ (with $A = 2, 3$) on $\mathcal{I}^+$ such that $u = \text{const}$ on leaves of the foliation and vector field $\partial_u$ is orthogonal to the foliation. Now from each point $p \in \mathcal{I}^+$ we emit a null geodesic with the tangent vector $v$ orthogonal to the leaf of foliation at $p$ and such that $g(v, \partial_u) < 0$. We propagate coordinates $u, x^A$ along these geodesics and choose the fourth coordinate $\Omega$ to be the affine parameter along geodesics such that $\Omega = 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $g(\partial_u, \partial_\Omega) = -1$. In the coordinates $x^0 = u, x^1 = \Omega$ and $x^A$ the compactified metric takes the form

$$g = du(\hat{g}_{00} du - 2 d\Omega + 2 \hat{g}_{0A} dx^A) + \hat{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B , \quad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{g}_{0A} = 0 \quad (2)$$

(the hat denotes value on $\mathcal{I}^+$).

Metric $\hat{g}$ is related to $g$ via a conformal factor $\Omega' = f \Omega$, where $f$ is a function nonvanishing and regular up to the boundary $\mathcal{I}^+$. In the coordinates $u, x^A$ and $r = \Omega^{-1}$ it is given by

$$\tilde{g} = du(\tilde{g}_{00} du + 2 f^{-2} dr + 2 \tilde{g}_{0A} dx^A) + \tilde{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B , \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{g}_{00}, \tilde{g}_{AB}$ are of the order $r^2$ and $\tilde{g}_{0A} = O(r)$. Taking coordinate $r' = \int f^{-2} dr$ instead of $r$ leads to elimination of $f$

$$\tilde{g} = du(\tilde{g}'_{00} du + 2 dr' + 2 \tilde{g}'_{0A} dx^A) + \tilde{g}_{AB} dx^A dx^B . \quad (4)$$

Instead of $g$ as the compactified metric we can take $r'^{-2} \tilde{g}$, which has the form (11) with $1/r'$ as a new coordinate $\Omega$. Thus, without a loss of generality we can assume that unphysical metric is (11) and the physical metric is given by

$$\tilde{g} = \Omega^{-2} g . \quad (5)$$
It follows from (5) that the Einstein vacuum equations
\[ \ddot{R}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \] (6)
can be written in the form
\[ R_{\mu\nu} - 2Y_{\mu\nu} - Y g_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \] (7)
where
\[ Y_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\Omega} \Omega^1_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} \Omega^i_{\mu\nu} \Omega^i_{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} , \quad Y = Y^\alpha_{\alpha} \] (8)
and \( g_{\mu\nu} \) denotes the covariant derivative related to \( g \). In the Bondi-Sachs coordinates nonvanishing components of \( g_{\mu\nu} \) are given by
\[ g^{01} = -1 , \quad g^{11} = g_{00} + g_{0A}g^A_0 , \quad g^{1A} = g^A_0 , \quad g^{AB} , \] (9)
where \( g_{0A} = g^{AB}g_{0B} \) and \( g^{AB} \) is an inverse matrix to \( g_{AB} \). Tensor \( Y_{\mu\nu} \) and its trace can be written in the form
\[ Y_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \Gamma^i_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} g^{11} g_{\mu\nu} , \] (10)
\[ Y = -\frac{1}{\Omega} \sqrt{|g|} (\sqrt{|g|} g^{1A})_{,\alpha} + \frac{2}{\Omega^2} g^{11} , \] (11)
where \( \Gamma^i \)'s denote the Christoffel symbols and
\[ |g| = \det g_{AB} . \] (12)

We will expand metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) into the Taylor series in \( \Omega \) and study equations (7) in all orders. Even if this procedure allows to solve the equations the resulting series does not have to be convergent. Nevertheless a cutoff of this series at some order can approximate a true solution of the Einstein equations. We begin with a rather mild assumption that \( \ddot{R}_{\mu\nu} \) is finite on the boundary \( \mathcal{I}^+ \). Then \( Y_{\mu\nu} \) must be also finite. In order to avoid a second order pole at \( \Omega = 0 \) one has to assume the following expansion of \( g_{00} \)
\[ g_{00} = a\Omega + b\Omega^2 - 2M\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4) . \] (13)
Now, regularity of \( Y_{1A} \) implies
\[ g_{0A} = q_A\Omega^2 + 2L_A\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4) \] (14)
and regularity of \( Y_{AB} \) is equivalent to
\[ \dot{g}_{AB,u} = a\dot{g}_{AB} . \] (15)
All remaining components of \( Y_{\mu\nu} \) are nonsingular at \( \Omega = 0 \) under assumptions (13)-(15).

Equation (15) implies that \( \dot{g}_{AB} \) is proportional to an \( u \)-independent 2-dimensional metric which, thanks to the uniformization theorem and freedom of transformation of coordinates \( x^A \), is proportional to the standard metric \( s_{AB} \) of the sphere. Thus,
\[ \dot{g}_{AB} = -\gamma^2 s_{AB} , \quad a = 2(\ln \gamma)_0 , \quad \gamma > 0 , \] (16)
where $\gamma$ is an arbitrary function. Now, we can choose new coordinates $\Omega'$ and $u'$ such that
\[
\frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} = \gamma, \quad u' = \int \gamma du
\] (17)
on the boundary. This transformation leads to $\gamma' = 1$ and $a' = 0$, hence we can assume without a loss of generality that
\[
g_{00} = b\Omega^2 - 2M\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4)
\] (18)and
\[
g_{AB} = \hat{g}_{AB} + n_{AB}\Omega + p_{AB}\Omega^2 + O(\Omega^3), \quad \hat{g}_{AB} = -s_{AB}.
\] (19)Still metric $s_{AB}$ is defined up to the conformal group of the sphere, which together with “supertranslations” of $u$ form the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs group of asymptotic symmetries. These transformations can be also combined with the shift of $r$
\[
r' = r + h(u, x^A) + O(\Omega)
\] (20)which can be used e. g. to obtain
\[
n = 0,
\] (21)where
\[
n = \hat{g}^{AB}n_{AB}.
\] (22)Before we start a more advanced analysis of the Einstein equations we will reduce their number by means of the Bianchi identity
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0
\] (23)in a slightly different way than that of Bondi and Sachs (see [10]). To this end we write the identity in the form
\[
2\Omega^2(\sqrt{|g|}\Omega^{-4}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\nu},\alpha + \sqrt{|g|}g^{\alpha\beta}_{\nu}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} + (\sqrt{|g|}\Omega^{-2})_{,\nu}\tilde{R} = 0.
\] (24)
Note that $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ in the middle term and
\[
\Omega^{-2}\tilde{R} = -2\tilde{G}_{01} + g^{11}\tilde{R}_{11} + 2g^{1A}\tilde{R}_{1A} + g^{AB}\tilde{R}_{AB}
\] (25)
\[
\tilde{G}_{01} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{11}\tilde{R}_{11} + 2g^{1A}\tilde{R}_{1A} + g^{AB}\tilde{R}_{AB})
\]
\[
\tilde{G}_{11} = \tilde{R}_{11}, \quad \tilde{G}_{A1} = \tilde{R}_{1A}.
\]
In equation (24) with $\nu = 1$ function $\tilde{G}_{01}$ appears only in the last term. Solving this equation with respect to $\tilde{R}_{01}$ yields
\[
\tilde{R}_{01} = \tilde{G}_{01} + f((\sqrt{|g|}\Omega^{-4}\tilde{G}_{\alpha\nu}) ,\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-2}\sqrt{|g|}g^{\alpha\beta}_{\nu}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta})
\] (26)provided that
\[
f = ((\sqrt{|g|}\Omega^{-2})_{,\Omega})^{-1}
\] (27)is well defined. In a neighbourhood of the scri there is
\[
f = -\frac{\Omega^3}{2\sqrt{|g|}}(1 + O(\Omega))
\] (28)
and from (26) it follows that satisfaction of equations
\[ \tilde{R}^{(l)}_{11} = \tilde{R}^{(l)}_{1A} = \tilde{R}^{(l)}_{AB} = 0, \quad l \leq k \] (29)
guarantees \( \tilde{R}_{01}^{(k)} = 0 \), where \((k)\) denotes the \(k\)-th coefficient in the Taylor expansion in \(\Omega\). If \(\nu = A\) functions \(\tilde{R}_{0A}\) appear in (24) only in the expression
\[-2\Omega^2(\sqrt{|g|}\Omega^{-2}\tilde{R}_{0A})_{,\Omega} \]
which vanishes if (29) is satisfied. Hence \(\tilde{R}_{0A}^{(k)} = 0\) for all \(k\) except \(k = 2\). Finally we obtain the same result taking \(\nu = 0\). Thus, in order to solve the Einstein equations up to the order \(k \geq 2\) it is sufficient to consider (29) and
\[ \tilde{R}_{00}^{(2)} = \tilde{R}_{0A}^{(2)} = 0. \] (30)

The simplest one from this reduced set of equations is \(\tilde{R}_{11} = 0\). It is equivalent to \(R_{11} = 0\) and reads
\[-\frac{1}{2} (\ln |g|)_{,11} + \frac{1}{4} g_{AB,1} g^{AB,1} = 0. \] (31)

In the order \(k \geq 1\) this equation takes the form
\[-\frac{1}{2} (k+1)(k+2)g^{AB}g_{AB}^{(k+2)} + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)^2n^{AB}g_{AB}^{(k+1)} = \langle g_{AB}^{(l)}, l \leq k \rangle, \quad k \geq 1, \] (32)
where indicies \(A, B\) are raised by means of \(\hat{g}^{AB} = -s^{AB}\) and \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denotes an expression depending on functions in the bracket. For \(k = 0\) equation (31) yields
\[ p = \frac{1}{4} n_{AB} n^{AB}, \] (33)
where \(p = p^A_A\). Thus, for all values \(k \geq 0\) one has
\[ \hat{g}^{AB}g_{AB}^{(k+1)} = \langle g_{AB}^{(l)}, l \leq k+1 \rangle, \quad k \geq 0. \] (34)

Equations \(\tilde{R}_{1A} = 0\) in the order \(k = 0\) define
\[ q_A = -\frac{1}{2} n_{A,B} + \frac{1}{2} n_{,A}, \] (35)
where symbol \(|A\) denotes the covariant derivative with respect to \(\hat{g}_{AB}\). Taking into account (31) for \(k \geq 1\) one obtains
\[ \tilde{R}_{1A}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{k} \tilde{R}_{11,A}^{(k-1)} = -\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k+2)g_{0A}^{(k+2)} + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)n_A n_{0B}^{(k+1)} \] (36)
\[ -\frac{n}{4}(k+1)g_{0A}^{(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)(g_{AB}^{(k+1)})_{,B} + \langle g_{AB}^{(l)}, l \leq k \rangle = 0, \quad k \geq 1. \]

For \(k \neq 1\) it follows from (35) and (36) that
\[ g_{0A}^{(k+2)} = \langle g_{AB}^{(l)}, l \leq k+1 \rangle, \quad k \geq 0, \quad k \neq 1. \] (37)

Thanks to (33), (35) and the following identity in dimension 2
\[ n_{AC}n^C_B = nn_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}(n_{CD}n^{CD} - n^2)\hat{g}_{AB}, \] (38)
equation (36) with \( k = 1 \) reads
\[
(p^B_A - \frac{1}{4}n^n_A)_B - \frac{1}{8}(n^n_{BCn} - n^2)_A = 0 . \tag{39}
\]
Hence
\[
p_{AB} = \frac{1}{8}(n_{AB}n^{AB} - n^2)\hat{g}_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}nn_{AB} + \tilde{p}_{AB} , \tag{40}
\]
where \( \tilde{p}_{AB} \) is a symmetric TT-tensor
\[
\tilde{p}_{AA} = 0 , \quad \tilde{p}_{BA} |_{B} = 0 . \tag{41}
\]

In order to analyse the remaining Einstein equations we need a more explicit form of (11). For \( k \geq 1 \) one obtains
\[
Y^{(k)} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \tilde{R}_{11,0}^{(k-1)} = k\theta_{00}^{(k+2)} + \frac{n}{2}\theta_{00}^{(k+1)} - (\theta_{0A}^{(k+1)})^A + \langle \theta_{l\mu\nu}^{(l)}, l \leq k \rangle , \quad k \geq 1 . \tag{43}
\]
Equation \( \tilde{R}_{AB}^{(k)} = 0 \) with \( k \geq 2 \) can be splitted into its trace (with respect to \( \hat{g}_{AB} \)) and a traceless part. The trace part
\[
\hat{g}^{AB} \tilde{R}_{AB}^{(k)} = 0 , \quad k \geq 2 \tag{44}
\]
allows to obtain \( g_{00}^{(k+2)} \) in terms of lower order coefficients
\[
g_{00}^{(k+2)} = \langle \theta_{l\mu\nu}^{(l)}, l \leq k + 1 \rangle , \quad k \geq 2 . \tag{45}
\]
The traceless part is equivalent to the equation
\[
\tilde{R}_{AB}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2}g^{CD} \tilde{R}_{CD}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{k+1} \hat{R}_{11,0}^{(k-1)} \hat{g}_{AB} = 0 , \quad k \geq 2 \tag{46}
\]
which yields a simple differential condition for \( g_{AB}^{(k+1)} \)
\[
g_{AB}^{(k+1)} = \langle g_{\mu\nu}^{(k+1)}, g_{\mu\nu}^{(l)}, l \leq k \rangle , \quad k \geq 2 . \tag{47}
\]
Now equation \( \tilde{R}_{11,0}^{(k-1)} = 0 \) plays a role of a constraint which is preserved by (47). Otherwise speaking, equation \( \tilde{R}_{11}^{(k-1)} = 0 \) defines the trace of \( g_{AB}^{(k+1)} \) with respect to \( \hat{g}_{AB} \), whereas (47) is an equation for the traceless part of \( g_{AB}^{(k+1)} \). In order to analyse equations \( \tilde{R}_{AB} = 0 \) in the order \( k = 0,1 \) we need the following expansion of \( \det(g_{AB}) \)
\[
|g| = |\hat{g}| (1 + n\Omega + (p + \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_{ABn}^{AB})\Omega^2) + O(\Omega^3) . \tag{48}
\]
Equation \( \tilde{R}_{AB}^{(0)} = 0 \) reads
\[
\tilde{R}_{AB} + (b - \frac{1}{2}n,0)\hat{g}_{AB} = 0 , \tag{49}
\]
In order to analyse equations \( \tilde{R}_{AB} = 0 \) in the order \( k = 0,1 \) we need the following expansion of \( \det(g_{AB}) \)
\[
|g| = |\hat{g}| (1 + n\Omega + (p + \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_{ABn}^{AB})\Omega^2) + O(\Omega^3) . \tag{48}
\]
Equation \( \tilde{R}_{AB}^{(0)} = 0 \) reads
\[
\tilde{R}_{AB} + (b - \frac{1}{2}n,0)\hat{g}_{AB} = 0 , \tag{49}
\]
hence
\[ b = 1 + \frac{1}{2}n,0. \tag{50} \]

In order to find a content of equation \( \tilde{R}^{(1)}_{AB} = 0 \) it is convenient to use the identity
\[ R'_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} R'_{gAB}, \tag{51} \]
where \( R'_{AB} \) is the Ricci tensor of \( g_{AB} \). It follows from (51) that equation \( \tilde{R}^{(1)}_{AB} = 0 \) does not carry any new information (it coincides with the \( u \)-derivative of (12)).

The last equations to consider are \( \tilde{R}^{(2)}_{00} = 0 \) and \( \tilde{R}^{(2)}_{0A} = 0 \). The first one takes the form
\[ M_{0} = \langle g_{\mu\nu}^{(l)}, l \leq 2 \rangle. \tag{52} \]
After integration over spherical coordinates \( x^{A} \) this equation is responsible for diminishing of the gravitational energy if time \( u \) increases. Equation \( \tilde{R}^{(2)}_{0A} = 0 \) yields
\[ L_{A,0} = -\frac{1}{3} M_{A} + \langle g_{\mu\nu}^{(l)}, l \leq 2 \rangle. \tag{53} \]

Let us summarize consequences of the vacuum Einstein equations expanded into powers of \( 1/r \).

**Proposition 2.1.** Vacuum metric with smooth scri \( \mathcal{I}^{+} \) is given by
\[ \tilde{g} = du(\tilde{g}_{00}du + 2\tilde{g}_{0A}dx^{A}) + \tilde{g}_{AB}dx^{A}dx^{B}, \tag{54} \]
\[ \tilde{g}_{00} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}n_{0} - \frac{2M}{r} + \Sigma_{2}^{\infty} g_{00}^{(k+2)} r^{-k}, \tag{55} \]
\[ \tilde{g}_{0A} = q_{A} + \frac{2L_{A}}{r} + \Sigma_{2}^{\infty} g_{0A}^{(k+2)} r^{-k}, \tag{56} \]
\[ \tilde{g}_{AB} = -r^{2} s_{AB} + m_{AB} + p_{AB} + \Sigma_{2}^{\infty} g_{AB}^{(k+1)} r^{1-k} \tag{57} \]

with coefficients defined recursively in the following steps:

- **Tensor** \( n_{AB} \) can be arbitrarily chosen up to a gauge condition e.g. \( n = 0 \). It defines \( q_{A} \) and \( p_{AB} \) according to (35) and (42). The traceless part of \( n_{AB,0} \) is equivalent to the Bondi news function.

- **Coefficients** \( M \) and \( L_{A} \) are defined in quadratures by equation (52) and (53), respectively.

- **Trace** \( s_{AB} g_{AB}^{(3)} \) is given by (52) with \( k = 1 \) and the traceless part of \( g_{AB}^{(3)} \) is defined in quadratures by equation (47) with \( k = 2 \).

- For \( l \geq 4 \) components \( g_{\mu\nu}^{(l)}, g_{0A}^{(l)} \) and \( s_{AB} g_{AB}^{(l)} \) follow directly from equations (44), (36) and (31), respectively. Then the traceless part of \( g_{AB}^{(l)} \) is defined in quadratures by equation (46).

It is interesting that, despite of a complicated nature of the Einstein equations, they can be solved recursively with respect to coefficients \( g_{\mu\nu}^{(l)} \). This property is characteristic for ordinary differential equations. Of course, here
expressions for the coefficients are more complicated since they contain derivatives with respect to coordinates $u, x^A$ and integrals over $u$. Free data consist of 2 arbitrary functions on the boundary (the traceless part of $n_{AB}$) and initial values of $M, L_A$ and the traceless parts of $g_{AB}^{(l)}$ with $l \geq 3$ on a section $u = u_0$ of the scri. Together there are 4 free functions of 3 variables, as many as in maximal initial data on a spacelike hypersurface if a coordinate freedom and the constraint equations are used to reduce a number of unknown functions. The free data agrees completely with those considered by Bondi and Sachs. A new element here is an algorithm for finding metric coefficients of higher order in $1/r$ (low order coefficients in the luminosity gauge can be found in [10]). Whether it can be useful in numerical calculations is an open question.

In order to define the total energy-momentum at $u=\text{const}$ one should pass from the affine gauge to the luminosity gauge of Bondi. It means that we should replace coordinate $r$ by

$$r_B = \left( \frac{\det \tilde{g}_{AB}}{\det s_{AB}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (58)

Then in place of $M$ it appears the so-called Bondi mass aspect $M_B$. When integrated over the unit sphere it yields the total energy

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} M_B d\sigma.$$ \hspace{1cm} (59)

In order to relate $M_B$ to $M$ it is sufficient to consider an approximate formula

$$r_B = r + \frac{1}{4} n - \frac{1}{8r} n_{AB} n^{AB} + O(r^{-2}),$$ \hspace{1cm} (60)

which leads to

$$M_B = M - \frac{1}{16} (n_{AB} n^{AB} - \frac{1}{2} n^2)_{,0}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (61)

Now equation (52) assures that $E, 0 \leq 0$ what is interpreted as a loss of energy due to emission of gravitational waves.

### 3 Stationary metrics

If the physical metric admits the conformal compactification and a timelike Killing vector $K$ then we can find coordinates in which metric takes the form \[ \text{with } u\text{-independent coefficients and } K = \partial_u. \] This can be done by fixing a null surface $\Sigma_0$ intersecting $\mathcal{I}^+$ along a spherical surface and then using the 1-parameter group of motion $\phi_u$ related to $K$ in order to construct a foliation by surfaces $u = \text{const}$. Coordinates $r, x^A$ are transported from $\Sigma_0$ and eventually the affine coordinate $r$ may be changed in order to get $g_{00} = 1$. Using the conformal factor $\Omega = \frac{1}{r}$ leads to unphysical metric \[ \text{with } u\text{-independent coefficients. Since } \mathcal{I}^+ \text{ must be null coefficients } g_{00} \text{ and } g_{0A} \text{ should vanish on the scri. Also function } a \text{ in (13) should vanish in order to avoid singularity in (10).} \]

Hence

$$g_{00} = b\Omega^2 - 2M\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4),$$ \hspace{1cm} (62)

$$g_{0A} = q_A\Omega^2 + 2L_A\Omega^3 + O(\Omega^4).$$ \hspace{1cm} (63)
and $K$ becomes the null generator of the scri. Still we would like to show that $\hat{g}_{AB} = -s_{AB}$ and $b = 1$ (otherwise the total energy would depend paradoxically on $u$). To this end we can use equation $\hat{R}^{(2)}_{00} = 0$ which now takes the form

$$\hat{\Delta} b = 0$$

(64)

where $\hat{\Delta}$ is the Laplace operator related to the metric $\hat{g}_{AB}$ on the sphere. Multiplying (64) by $b$ and integrating over the sphere shows that $b = \text{const}$. A rescaling of $u$ and $r$ allows us to assume

$$b = 1$$

(65)

Then equation $\hat{R}^{(0)}_{AB} = 0$ (see (49)) yields $\hat{g}_{AB} = -s_{AB}$.

(66)

Thus, metric $\hat{g}$ is transparently asymptotically flat in coordinates adapted to the symmetry $\partial_u$. We can apply all the equations from the previous section with vanishing derivatives over $u$ (in some cases we will have to complete equations by lower order coefficients). We are free to do internal transformations of spherical coordinates

$$x'{}^A = x^A(x^B)$$

(67)

and transformations induced by the change $u' = u + f(x^A)$ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ and a shift of the radial coordinate $r' = r + h(x^A)$. Up to $O(\Omega^2)$ they are given by

$$u' = u + f + \frac{1}{2} f^A f_A \Omega, \quad r' = r + h + f^A \left(\frac{1}{2} f - h\right) \Omega, \quad x'{}^A = x^A + f^A \Omega$$

(68)

They induce the following transformation of $n_{AB}$

$$n'_{AB} = n_{AB} + f_{[A} f_{B]} + 2 h s_{AB}$$

(69)

Let us consider the remaining low order Einstein equations. An explicit calculation of the r. h. s. of (68) leads to the equation

$$\frac{1}{3} M_{,A} = q_{[B;A]} B$$

(70)

Applying operator $\eta^{AC} \hat{\nabla}_C$, with $\eta^{AC}$ being the Levi-Civita tensor of $s_{AB}$, to both sides of (70) yields $\Delta \alpha = 0$, where $\alpha = \eta^{AB} q_{A[B}$ and $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator related to $s_{AB}$

$$\Delta = s^{AB} \nabla_A \nabla_B$$

(71)

Hence $\alpha = \text{const}$ and

$$d(q_A dx^A) = -2\alpha \eta$$

(72)

where $\eta$ is the volume form of $s_{AB}$. Integration over the sphere implies $\alpha = 0$ and

$$q_A = q_A$$

(73)

where $q$ is a function. Substituting (73) back to (70) yields

$$M = \text{const}$$

(74)

Consider now equation $\hat{R}^{(1)}_{1A} = 0$ (see (55)) in the gauge $n = 0$

$$q_A = -\frac{1}{2} n_B A_{[B}, \quad n = 0$$

(75)
Lemat 3.1. Every traceless tensor \( n_{AB} \) on \( S_2 \) admits functions \( f, h \) such that

\[
n_{AB} = f_{[AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta f_{AB} + \eta^C_{(A} h_{|B)C} .
\]  

(76)

Functions \( f \) and \( h \) are defined up to \( e^m Y_{1m} + c \), where \( e^m \) and \( c \) are constants.

Proof. Let us decompose the form \( n_A^B dx^A \) on \( S_2 \) into an exact and coexact form

\[
n_A^B = F_A + \eta^C_A H_C .
\]  

(77)

If \( H = \text{const} \) and \( F \) is given it is sufficient to assume

\[
n_{AB} = f_{[AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta f_{AB} \quad (78)
\]

with function \( f \) satisfying

\[
(\Delta + 2) f = 2F + 2c .
\]  

(79)

Expanding \( F \) and \( f \) into the spherical harmonics \( Y_{lm} \) shows that only the dipole part of \( F \) has no counterimage in \( f \). However, a direct integration of equation (77) with \( F = e^m Y_{1m} \neq 0 \) and \( H = \text{const} \) shows that regular solutions \( n_{AB} \) are not admitted in this case. It means that \( F \) cannot contain harmonics \( Y_{1m} \) and solution \( f \) of (79) always exists.

If \( F = \text{const} \) and \( H \) is given equation (77) can be written in the form

\[
* n_A^B = H_A ,
\]  

(80)

where \( * n_{AB} = \eta_A^C n_{CB} \) is also traceless and symmetric. Equation (80) is satisfied by

\[
* n_{AB} = h_{[AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta h_{AB} \quad (81)
\]

provided

\[
(\Delta + 2) h = 2H + 2c .
\]  

(82)

It follows from (81) that \( n_{AB} = \eta_A^C h_{[AB]C} \).

Thus, all admitted values of \( n_A^B \), represented by \( F \) and \( H \), can be obtained from \( n_{AB} \) of the form (78). Any other tensor \( n_{AB} \) leading to the same \( F \) and \( H \) differs from (78) by a TT-tensor on \( S_2 \), which is necessarily trivial. Hence, all tensors \( n_{AB} \) can be represented by (78). Note that solutions \( f \) and \( h \) of equations (79) and (82) are defined up to \( e^m Y_{1m} + c \) but this ambiguity disappears in formula (78).

\[\square\]

It follows from (75) and the proof of the Lemma that \( n_{AB} \) has the form (78). In consequence, \( n_{AB} \) can be gauged away by means of transformation (68) with \( h = -\frac{1}{4} \Delta f \). Thus, there are coordinates adapted to the symmetry \( \partial_u \) such that

\[
n_{AB} = 0 .
\]  

(83)

It follows from (83), (42) and (75) that

\[
p_{AB} = 0 , \quad q_A = 0 .
\]  

(84)
The Lemma shows that transformations (68) reduce to the case

\[ f = e^mY_{1m} + c, \quad h = c - f. \]  

(85)

Note that these residual transformations play a role of the translation subgroup in the BMS group. Together with the group of rotations preserving \( s_{AB} \) it forms the group of Euclidean motions of \( R^3 \) completed by time translations (constant \( c \)).

In the nonstationary case equations \( \tilde{R}^{(k)}_{AB} = 0 \) with \( k \geq 2 \) were used to define \( g_{\tilde{0}0}^{(k+2)} \) and \( g_{AB,0}^{(k+1)} \). Since the time derivative vanishes for stationary metrics let us write equations \( \tilde{R}^{(k)}_{AB} = 0 \) in more detail

\[-(k - 1)g_{\tilde{0}0}^{(k+2)}g_{AB} - (k - 1)g_{0(A;B)}^{(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2}k(k - 1)g_{AB}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2}R^{(k)}g_{AB} = (g_{\tilde{0}l}^{(0)}, g_{AB}^{(l-1)}, l \leq k), \quad k \geq 2.\]  

(86)

For \( k = 2 \) the trace of (86) yields

\[ g_{\tilde{0}0}^{(4)} = L_{C}^{[C} \]  

(87)

and the traceless part is

\[ L_{(A;B)} = \alpha s_{AB}, \]  

(88)

where \( \alpha \) is a function. It follows from (86) that \( L^{A}\partial_{A} \) is a conformal Killing field of the spherical metric. In terms of the position vector on the sphere \( \vec{r} \in S_2 \subset R^3 \) the general smooth solution of (86) is

\[ L_{A}dx^{A} = \vec{A}(\vec{r} \times d\vec{r}) + \vec{B}d\vec{r}, \]  

(89)

where \( \vec{A} \) and \( \vec{B} \) are constant vectors. Function \( \vec{B}\vec{r} \) is a composition of harmonics \( Y_{1m} \) so there is a chance to gauge it away by means of a transformation given by (68) and (85). This transformation induces the following change

\[ L'_{A} = L_{A} + Mf_{,A}. \]  

(90)

Hence, for \( M \neq 0 \) we can eliminate \( \vec{B} \) by taking \( f = -\vec{B}\vec{r}/M \). Then we can use the rotation freedom to direct \( \vec{A} \) along z-axis. Thus, in the adapted spherical coordinates \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \), for \( M \neq 0 \) one obtains

\[ L_{A}dx^{A} = aM \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi, \]  

(91)

where \( a \) is the angular momentum parameter known from the Kerr metric. In the (unphysical) case \( M = 0 \) we can use only the rotation group to reduce the number of free parameters in \( \vec{A}, \vec{B} \) to 3. For instance one can obtain

\[ L_{A}dx^{A} = a_{1}\sin^{2}\theta d\varphi + d(a_{2}\sin \theta \cos \varphi + a_{3}\cos \theta), \]  

(92)

where \( a_{i} \) are constants. Note that for \( a_{2} \neq 0 \) metric cannot be axially symmetric. From (91) and (92) one obtains

\[ L_{C}^{[C} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad M \neq 0. \]  

(93)
\[ L_C^{(k)} = 2(a_2 \sin \theta \cos \varphi + a_3 \cos \theta) \quad \text{if} \quad M = 0. \tag{94} \]

At this stage the physical metric is given by (53) with components

\[ \tilde{g}_{00} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{L_C^{[C}}{r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad M = \text{const}, \tag{95} \]

\[ \tilde{g}_{AB} = -r^2 s_{AB} + O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \tag{96} \]

and

\[ L_C^{[C} = 0, \quad \tilde{g}_{0A}dx^A = \frac{2aM}{r} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad \text{if} \quad M \neq 0 \tag{97} \]

or

\[ \tilde{g}_{0A}dx^A = \frac{1}{r}(2a_1 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi + dL_C^{[C}) + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad \text{if} \quad M = 0 \tag{98} \]

with \( L_C^{[C} \) given by (54).

Let us consider now equation (86) with \( k \geq 3 \). Its trace defines \( g_{00}^{(k+2)} \)

\[ g_{00}^{(k+2)} = \frac{1}{(k-2)(k+1)} \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{CD} + \{g_{0w}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\}, \quad k \geq 3. \tag{99} \]

and the traceless part reads

\[ -g_{0(B)}^{(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{0C}^{(k+1)C} g_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} k g_{AB} = \{g_{0w}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\}, \tag{100} \]

where

\[ g_{AB}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}^{CD} g_{CD}) g_{AB}. \tag{101} \]

Still coefficients \( g_{0A}^{(k+1)} \) can be expressed in terms of \( g_{AB}^{(k)} \) due to (56)

\[ g_{0A}^{(k+1)} = \frac{k}{(k-2)(k+1)} g_{AC}^{(k)} + \{g_{l\mu}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\}, \quad k \geq 3. \tag{102} \]

Substituting (102) into (100) and eliminating \( g_{0w}^{(k)} \) via (102) and (103) and trace of \( g_{AB}^{(k)} \) via (53) yields

\[ \tilde{g}_{C(A)}^{(k)} g_{B)}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{CD}^{(k)} g_{AB}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} (k-2)(k+1) g_{AB}^{(k)} = \{g_{l\mu}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\}. \tag{103} \]

This equation can be written in a simpler form

\[ (\Delta + k^2 - k - 4) g_{AB}^{(k)} = \{g_{l\mu}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\} \tag{104} \]

due to an identity following from (52)

\[ \tilde{g}_{C(A)}^{(k)} g_{B)}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{CD}^{(k)} g_{AB}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2} (g_{AB}^{(k)}) C - g_{AB}^{(k)} = \{g_{l\mu}^{(k)} l \leq k-1\}, \tag{105} \]

however (103) is better for further proceeding. Note that the Laplace operator in (104) is covariant and it mixes indices in \( \tilde{g}_{AB}^{(k)} \).

Using Lemma 2.1 let us represent tensor \( \tilde{g}_{AB}^{(k)} \) by scalar potentials \( f^{(k)} \) and \( h^{(k)} \) such that

\[ \tilde{g}_{AB}^{(k)} = f_{AB}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2} f^{(k)}_{(C} s_{AB} + \eta^{(k)}_{(A)} s_{B)}^{C} . \tag{106} \]
Equation (103) splits into two equations

\[(\Delta + k(k - 1))f^{(k)} = -2f^{(k)}_{\text{rhs}}\]

\[(\Delta + k(k - 1))h^{(k)} = -2h^{(k)}_{\text{rhs}},\]

where \(f^{(k)}_{\text{rhs}}\) and \(h^{(k)}_{\text{rhs}}\) are potentials related to the r. h. s. of (103). A lengthy analysis of explicit form of equation (103) shows that \(f^{(k)}\) and \(h^{(k)}_{\text{rhs}}\) do not contain \(Y_{l,m}\) with \(l \geq k\). Hence, solutions \(f^{(k)}\) and \(h^{(k)}\) exist and are given up to \(c^{m}Y_{k-1,m}\). These new parameters appear originally in \(g^{AB}_{(k+1)}\) and \(g^{(k+2)}_{00}\) via (102) and (110).

Below we summarize results of this section in terms of the physical metric \(\tilde{g}\).

We describe \(\tilde{g}\) by 6 scalar functions: \(\tilde{g}_{00}\) (square of the Killing vector \(K = \partial_{u}\)), \(P\) (related to the twist of \(K\)), \(Q\), \(F\), \(H\) and \(G = s^{\alpha\beta}_{\text{AB}}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\). The covariant derivatives, the Laplace operator \(\Delta\), the Levi-Civita tensor \(\eta\) and then are implemented in \(\tilde{g}^{(k+1)}_{00}\) and \(\tilde{g}^{(k+2)}_{00}\) via (102) and (110).

**Theorem 3.1.** Every stationary vacuum metric with a smooth conformal boundary \(\mathcal{I} = R \times S_{2}\) and nonvanishing mass (positive or negative) can be put in the following form in a neighbourhood of \(\mathcal{I}^{+}\)

\[\tilde{g} = du(\tilde{g}_{00}du + 2dr + 2\tilde{g}_{0A}dx^{A}) + \tilde{g}_{AB}dx^{A}dx^{B},\]

where

\[\tilde{g}_{00} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}^{(k)}_{00}}{r^{k}}, \quad M = \text{const} \neq 0,\]

\[\tilde{g}_{0A}dx^{A} = \frac{2aM}{r} \sin^{2} \theta d\varphi + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{k}}(dQ^{(k)} + \ast dP^{(k)}),\]

\[\tilde{g}_{AB} = -r^{2}s_{AB} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{k}}(F^{(k)}_{[AB]} + \eta^{C}_{(A}H^{(k)}_{B]C} + \frac{1}{2}(G^{(k)} - \Delta F)s_{AB}).\]

Functions parametrizing metric have the following properties when decomposed into spherical harmonics \(Y_{l,m}\)

\[Q^{(k)} = b^{km}Y_{km} + l.o.\]

\[P^{(k)} = a^{km}Y_{km} + l.o.\]

\[\tilde{g}^{(k+1)}_{00} = kQ^{(k)} + l.o.\]

\[F^{(k-1)} = -\frac{2}{k+1}Q^{(k)} + l.o.\]

\[H^{(k-1)} = -\frac{2}{k+1}P^{(k)} + l.o.\]

\[G^{(k-1)} = l.o.\]

where l.o. denotes terms spanned by \(Y_{l,m}\) with \(l \leq k-1\) (no l.o. terms for \(k = 2\)).

For each \(k \geq 2\) up to \(4k+2\) multipole moments \(a^{km}, b^{km}\) are admitted. They are restricted only by the convergence of the series representing the metric. In the axially symmetric case only 2 parameters for each \(k\) can appear \((a^{k0}\) and \(b^{k0}\)).

Above theorem can be also adapted to the case \(M = 0\). Then one should include extra term in the order \(1/r^{2}\) in \(\tilde{g}_{00}\) and in the order \(1/r\) in \(\tilde{g}_{0A}\) according to (13) and (15).
4 Summary

In section 2 we investigated the vacuum Einstein equations for metrics admitting the smooth null scri $\scri^+$. We wrote these metrics in the Bondi-Sachs form in the affine gauge ($\tilde{g}_{\alpha\nu} = 1$) instead of the traditional luminosity gauge ($\det \tilde{g}_{AB} = r^4 \det s_{AB}$). We defined a minimal set of independent equations (29) and (30), slightly different from that of Bondi and Sachs. Expanding metrics and equations into powers of $1/r$ led us to a hierarchy of equations which can be solved recursively (see Proposition 2.1).

In section 3 we assumed that metrics admit also a timelike Killing vector $\partial_u$. Using low order Einstein equations we showed that all other equations of section 2 can be applied in a manifestly $u$-invariant form. The main result of the section and the paper is given by Theorem 3.1 describing an asymptotic form of metric. It follows from it that every solution from the considered class tends to the Kerr metric without assuming the axial symmetry. A number of free parameters may be added at each higher order in $1/r$. They are multipole moments in a decomposition of the square of the Killing field and its twist into the spherical harmonics. These moments are defined in the highly specified Bondi-Sachs coordinates. Thus, they correspond rather to the Thorne moments at spacelike infinity [13] than those of Geroch [8] and Hansen [9].
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