

RESTRICTED WEAK TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR THE ONE-SIDED HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL OPERATOR IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

FABIO BERRA

ABSTRACT. We give a quantitative characterization of the pairs of weights (w, v) for which the dyadic version of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator satisfies a restricted weak (p, p) type inequality, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. More precisely, given any measurable set E_0 the estimate

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{+,d}(\mathcal{X}_{E_0})(x) > t\}) \leq \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{t^p} v(E_0)$$

holds if and only if the pair (w, v) belongs to $A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})$, that is

$$\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})} \left(\frac{v(E)}{w(Q)} \right)^{1/p}$$

for every dyadic cube Q and every measurable set $E \subset Q^+$. The proof follows some ideas appearing in [8].

We also obtain a similar quantitative characterization for the non-dyadic case in \mathbb{R}^2 by following the main ideas in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1986 ([10]) E. Swayer started the theory of one-sided weights. Namely, he introduced the class of weights A_p^+ and showed that this class is necessary and sufficient for the weighted boundedness of the one-side Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Some extensions and generalizations were given consequently in the articles [5], [6] and [7], among others.

In [9] the author characterizes the functions w for which the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$M_v^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{\int_x^{x+h} |f|v}{\int_x^{x+h} v}$$

verifies a restricted weak (p, p) type on the real line, that is, a weak type inequality applied to the function $f = \mathcal{X}_E$, where E is an arbitrary measurable set. More precisely, the inequality

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : M_v^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) > t\}) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E)$$

holds if and only if $w \in A_p^+(\mathcal{R})(v dx)$. This set corresponds to the class of weights that satisfy a restricted A_p^+ condition with respect to the measure $d\mu = v(x) dx$ (see section below for details).

Although the theory in this setting was deeply developed and the main results were improved and generalized, most of the results were set on \mathbb{R} .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25, 28B99.

Key words and phrases. Restricted weak type, one-sided maximal operators.

The author was supported by CONICET and UNL.

In [8] Ombrosi characterized the pair of weights (w, v) for those the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{+,d} f(x) > t \right\} \right) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v$$

holds for every positive t , and where $1 \leq p < \infty$. The operator $M^{+,d}$ is a dyadic version of M^+ defined on \mathbb{R}^n . A similar result was also obtained for $M^{-,d}$.

It is well-known that the operators $M^+ f$ and $M^{+,d} f$ are pointwise equivalent on \mathbb{R} (see [6]). However, this result is false in general in higher dimensions. This means that a non-dyadic version of (1.1) cannot be obtained directly from the dyadic case, and the problem of find such an estimate remained open.

In [1] Forzani, Martín-Reyes and Ombrosi proposed a way to generalize the operators M^+ and M^- to higher dimensions and solved the problem discussed above on \mathbb{R}^2 . The technique used, although newfangled and quite delicate, relied heavily upon the dimension. This means that the corresponding problem for $n \geq 3$ still remains open.

Related to strong estimates in dimension greater than one, some partial results were obtained in [4]. At this point we would also like to mention interesting applications of this theory to parabolic differential equations obtained by J. Kinnunen and O. Saari in [2] and [3].

In this article we use some ideas of [8] and [1] to give a characterization of the pairs of weights for which the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator satisfies a restricted weak type inequality in higher dimensions.

Concretely, for the dyadic case we have the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let (w, v) be a pair of weights and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The operator $M^{+,d}$ is of restricted weak (p, p) type with respect to (w, v) , that is, there exists a positive constant C such that the inequality*

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{+,d}(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) > t \right\} \right) \leq \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{t^p} v(E)$$

holds for every positive t and every measurable set E ;

- (b) *(w, v) belongs to $A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})$.*

For the non-dyadic case we prove the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let (w, v) be a pair of nonnegative measurable functions defined in \mathbb{R}^2 and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The operator M^+ is of restricted weak (p, p) type with respect to (w, v) , that is, there exists a positive constant C such that the inequality*

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : M^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) > t \right\} \right) \leq \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{t^p} v(E)$$

holds for every positive t and every measurable set E ;

- (b) *(w, v) belongs to $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$.*

The article is organized as follows. In § 2 we give the preliminaries and definitions required for these main results. In § 3 and § 4 we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

We shall deal with dyadic cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Given a dyadic cube $Q = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i)$, we will denote with $Q^+ = \prod_{i=1}^n [b_i, 2b_i - a_i)$ and $Q^- = \prod_{i=1}^n [2a_i - b_i, a_i)$.

Given a positive number s , we denote $(Q)^{s,+} = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, a_i + sh)$, where $h = b_i - a_i$. Similarly, we denote $(Q)^{s,-} = \prod_{i=1}^n [b_i - sh, b_i)$.

For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $h > 0$, we denote $Q_{x,h} = \prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, x_i + h)$ and $Q_{x,h^-} = \prod_{i=1}^n [x_i - h, x_i)$. The one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators are given by

$$M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{|Q_{x,h}|} \int_{Q_{x,h}} |f(y)| dy, \quad \text{and} \quad M^- f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{|Q_{x,h^-}|} \int_{Q_{x,h^-}} |f(y)| dy.$$

We shall consider the dyadic version of these operators, that is,

$$M^{+,d} f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q^+} |f(y)| dy, \quad \text{and} \quad M^{-,d} f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q^-} |f(y)| dy.$$

where the supremum are taken over dyadic cubes.

Given $1 < p < \infty$, we say that a pair of weights (w, v) belongs to A_p^+ if there exists a positive constant C such that the inequality

$$\left(\int_Q w \right) \left(\int_{Q^+} v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leq C |Q|^p$$

holds for every cube Q in \mathbb{R}^n .

When $p = 1$, we say that (w, v) belongs to A_1^+ if there exist a positive constant C that verifies

$$M^- w(x) \leq C v(x),$$

for almost every x . The smallest constant for which these inequalities hold is denoted by $[(w, v)]_{A_p^+}$.

Similarly, we say that (w, v) belongs to $A_p^{+,d}$ if the inequalities above hold for every dyadic cube Q and, when $p = 1$, the involved operator is $M^{-,d}$. In this case, the corresponding smallest constant is denoted by $[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}}$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we say that $(w, v) \in A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})$ if there exists a positive constant C such that the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{|E|}{|Q|} \leq C \left(\frac{v(E)}{w(Q)} \right)^{1/p}$$

holds for every dyadic cube Q and every measurable set $E \subset Q^+$. The smallest constant C for which the inequality above holds will be denoted by $[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}$.

We say that a pair of weights (w, v) belongs to $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, if the inequality (2.1) holds for every cube Q and every measurable subset E of Q^+ .

Remark 1. By replacing Q^+ by Q^- and M^- by M^+ we can define the A_p^- classes, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The dyadic version of these classes, $A_p^{-,d}$, are defined by considering dyadic cubes on their definitions. The same occurs for $A_p^-(\mathcal{R})$ and $A_p^{-,d}(\mathcal{R})$.

Throughout the paper we shall present the results for M^+ , but the same arguments can be adapted to get the corresponding versions for M^- .

The novelty of considering restricted weak type inequalities relies on that although we take a particular function f , we consider a wider class of weights. This property is contained in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. $A_p^+ \subset A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ for every $1 < p < \infty$, and $A_1^+ = A_1^+(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and assume that $(w, v) \in A_p^+$. Fix a cube Q and a measurable subset E of Q^+ with $|E| > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &\leq \left(\int_E v \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{Q^+} v^{1-p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^+}^{1/p} \left(\frac{v(E)}{w(Q)} \right)^{1/p} |Q|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(w, v) \in A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ and $[(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^+}^{1/p}$.

On the other hand, set $p = 1$ and assume that $(w, v) \in A_1^+$. Fix a cube Q and a measurable set $E \subset Q^+$ with positive measure. Then, for every $x \in E$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{(Q^+)^-} w \leq [(w, v)]_{A_1^+} v(x),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_1^+} \frac{v(E)}{|E|},$$

and then $(w, v) \in A_1^+(\mathcal{R})$. Conversely, fix x and $h > 0$. Let $Q = Q_{x,h^-}$, $\lambda > \inf_{Q_{x,h}} v$ and $E = \{y \in Q_{x,h} : v(y) < \lambda\}$. Then we have that

$$\frac{w(Q_{x,h^-})}{|Q_{x,h^-}|} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_1^+(\mathcal{R})} \lambda.$$

By letting $\lambda \rightarrow \inf_{Q_{x,h}} v$ and then taking supremum over h we get that

$$M^- w(x) \leq [(w, v)]_{A_1^+(\mathcal{R})} v(x). \quad \square$$

The following lemma states a useful property for weights on the $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ class.

Lemma 4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, (w, v) be a pair of weights in $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ and a, b two positive constants. Then

- (a) $(w_0, v_0) = (\max\{w, a\}, \max\{v, b\})$ belongs to $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$, provided $a \leq b$;
- (b) $(w_1, v_1) = (\min\{w, a\}, \max\{v, b\})$ belongs to $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. Let us first prove (a). Fix a cube Q and a measurable subset E of Q^+ . We have to show that there exists a positive constant C , independent of Q and E , such that

$$\frac{w_0(Q)}{v_0(E)} \leq C \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^p.$$

We write

$$w_0(Q) = \int_{Q \cap \{w \geq a\}} w_0 + \int_{Q \cap \{w < a\}} w_0 = w(Q \cap \{w \geq a\}) + a|Q \cap \{w < a\}|,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{w_0(Q)}{v_0(E)} = \frac{w(Q \cap \{w \geq a\})}{v_0(E)} + \frac{a|Q \cap \{w < a\}|}{v_0(E)} = I + II.$$

Now observe that

$$I \leq \frac{w(Q)}{v(E)} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^p.$$

On the other hand,

$$II \leq \frac{a|Q|}{b|E|} \leq \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^p,$$

since $a \leq b$ and $|Q| \geq |E|$. Therefore, $(w_0, v_0) \in A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ and $[(w_0, v_0)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \leq \max \left\{ 1, [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \right\}$.

For the proof of (b), observe that $w_1 \leq w$ and $v_1 \geq v$, so

$$\frac{w_1(Q)}{v_1(E)} \leq \frac{w(Q)}{v(E)} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^p,$$

which shows that $(w_1, v_1) \in A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ with $[(w_1, v_1)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})} \leq [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})}$. \square

3. RESTRICTED WEAK (p, p) TYPE OF $M^{+,d}$ IN \mathbb{R}^n

We devote this section to prove 1. We start with a previous lemma which will be useful for this purpose. This result is an adaptation of Lemma 2.1 in [8].

Lemma 5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $(w, v) \in A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mu > 0$. Let E be a measurable set such that $0 < |E| < \infty$ and $\{Q_j\}_{j \in \Gamma_\mu}$ a disjoint family of dyadic cubes such that, for every $j \in \Gamma_\mu$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu < \frac{|E \cap Q_j^+|}{|Q_j|} \leq 2\mu.$$

Then we have that

$$\sum_{j \in \Gamma_\mu} w(Q_j) \leq \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{\mu^p} v \left(E \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in \Gamma_\mu} Q_j^+ \right) \right).$$

Proof. For $m \geq 0$, we define the sets

$$i_m = \{j \in \Gamma_\mu : \text{there exist exactly } m \text{ cubes } Q_s^+ : Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_s^+ \text{ with } s \in \Gamma_\mu\}$$

and also

$$\sigma_m = \bigcup_{j \in i_m} Q_j^+.$$

Also, we define $E_j^+ = E \cap Q_j^+$ and $F_m = \bigcup_{j \in i_m} E_j^+$.

Notice that $\Gamma_\mu = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} i_m$ and, if j_1 and j_2 belong to i_m for some m , then $Q_{j_1}^+ \cap Q_{j_2}^+ = \emptyset$. This yields

$$|F_m| = \sum_{j \in i_m} |E_j^+|.$$

On the other hand, $\sigma_{m+1} \subset \sigma_m$ for every $m \geq 0$, so

$$(3.2) \quad F_{m+1} \subset F_m \quad \text{and} \quad |F_{m+1}| \leq |F_m|.$$

For fixed m_0 and $j_0 \in i_{m_0}$, if $Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_{j_0}^+$ then $j \in i_m$ with $m > m_0$ and $Q_j \subset Q_{j_0}^{2,+}$. Therefore,

$$\bigcup_{m > m_0} \bigcup_{j \in i_m: Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_{j_0}^+} Q_j \subset (Q_{j_0})^{2,+}$$

and this implies that

$$\sum_{m > m_0} \sum_{j \in i_m: Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_{j_0}^+} |Q_j| \leq |(Q_{j_0})^{2,+}| = 2^n |Q_{j_0}|,$$

since the cubes Q_j are disjoint. Thus, by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m > m_0} |F_m \cap Q_{j_0}^+| &= \sum_{m > m_0} \sum_{j \in i_m: Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_{j_0}^+} |E_j^+| \\ &\leq 2\mu \sum_{m > m_0} \sum_{j \in i_m: Q_j^+ \subsetneq Q_{j_0}^+} |Q_j| \\ &\leq 2^{n+1} \mu |Q_{j_0}| \\ &\leq 2^{n+1} |E_{j_0}^+|. \end{aligned}$$

This last estimate implies that

$$\sum_{m=m_0+1}^{m_0+2^{n+2}} |F_m \cap Q_{j_0}^+| < 2^{n+1} |E_{j_0}^+|$$

and then there must be an index m , $m_0 + 1 \leq m \leq m_0 + 2^{n+2}$ such that

$$|F_m \cap Q_{j_0}^+| < \frac{|E_{j_0}^+|}{2}.$$

By (3.2) we get

$$|F_{m_0+2^{n+2}} \cap Q_{j_0}^+| \leq |F_m \cap Q_{j_0}^+| < \frac{|E_{j_0}^+|}{2},$$

and consequently

$$\frac{|Q_{j_0}^+ \cap F_{m_0+2^{n+2}}^c|}{|Q_{j_0}|} > \frac{1}{2} \frac{|E_{j_0}^+|}{|Q_{j_0}|} > \frac{\mu}{2}.$$

Now, we can estimate

$$\sum_{j \in \Gamma_\mu} w(Q_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in i_m} w(Q_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in i_m} w(Q_j) \left(\frac{|Q_j^+ \cap F_{m+2^{n+2}}^c|}{|Q_j|} \right)^p \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in i_m} [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p w(Q_j) \frac{v(Q_j^+ \cap F_{m+2^{n+2}}^c)}{w(Q_j)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^p [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_m - \sigma_{m+2^{n+2}}}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_E v \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^p [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+2}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{2^{n+2}m+k} - \sigma_{2^{n+2}(m+1)+k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_E v \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{\mu}\right)^p [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+2}-1} \int_{\sigma_k}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_E v \\
&\leq \frac{2^{n+p+2} [(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{\mu^p} v(E \cap \sigma_0). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall first prove that (a) implies (b). Fix a dyadic cube Q and a measurable subset E of Q^+ . Assume that $|E| > 0$, since otherwise the condition follows immediately. For every x in Q we have that

$$M^{+,d} \mathcal{X}_E(x) \geq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q^+} \mathcal{X}_E = \frac{|E|}{|Q|},$$

which implies that $Q \subset \{x : M^{+,d} \mathcal{X}_E(x) > |E|/(2|Q|)\}$. By using (a) we get

$$w(Q) \leq C \left(\frac{|Q|}{|E|} \right)^p v(E),$$

which shows that $(w, v) \in A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})$.

Now we prove that (b) implies (a). Fix a measurable set E and assume, without loss of generality, that $0 < |E| < \infty$. Fix $t > 0$ and let $\{Q_j\}_j$ the family of dyadic cubes that verify

$$w(\{x : M^{+,d} \mathcal{X}_E(x) > t\}) = \bigcup_j Q_j,$$

where $|E \cap Q_j^+|/|Q_j| > t$ for every j . We shall consider a partition of this family of cubes. Given $k \geq 0$, we set

$$C_k = \left\{ j : 2^k t < \frac{|E \cap Q_j^+|}{|Q_j|} \leq 2^{k+1} t \right\}$$

and apply Lemma 5 to the family C_k with $\mu = 2^k t$, for every k . Therefore,

$$\sum_{j \in C_k} w(Q_j) \leq \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{(2^k t)^p} v \left(\bigcup_{j \in C_k} E_j^+ \right).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\{x : M^{+,d}\mathcal{X}_E(x) > t\}) &= \sum_j w(Q_j) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in C_k} w(Q_j) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{(2^k t)^p} v(E) \\
&= \frac{C[(w, v)]_{A_p^{+,d}(\mathcal{R})}^p}{t^p} v(E),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. RESTRICTED WEAK (p, p) TYPE OF M^+ IN \mathbb{R}^2

We devote this section to the proof of Theorem 2. Along this section we shall assume that the space where we work is \mathbb{R}^2 . We begin by introducing some specifics in this setting.

We say that a square Q has dyadic size if $\ell(Q) = 2^k$, for some integer k . $\ell(Q)$ denotes the length of the sides of Q . Given a square Q , αQ will denote the square with the same center as Q and sides of length $\alpha \ell(Q)$.

For $h > 0$ and $Q = [a, a + h] \times [b, b + h]$, we set \tilde{Q} the dilation of Q to the right and to the bottom in $\ell(Q)/2$. That is, $\tilde{Q} = [a, a + 3/2h] \times [b - h/2, b + h]$.




FIGURE 1. The cubes Q and \tilde{Q} .

Given $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $h > 0$ recall that $Q_{x,h} = [x_1, x_1 + h] \times [x_2, x_2 + h]$. We shall consider the following partition of a cube $Q_{x,h}$:


$$Q_{x,h} = Q_{x,h/2} \cup Q_{x,h}^1 \cup Q_{x,h}^2 \cup Q_{x,h}^3,$$

where

$$Q_{x,h}^1 = [x_1 + h/2, x_1 + h] \times [x_2 + h/2, x_2 + h],$$

$$Q_{x,h}^2 = [x_1 + h/2, x_1 + h] \times [x_2, x_2 + h/2],$$

$$Q_{x,h}^3 = [x_1, x_1 + h/2] \times [x_2 + h/2, x_2 + h].$$

FIGURE 2. Subsquares of $Q_{x,h}$.

The proof of Theorem 2 relies on the following covering lemma, that is a consequence of Lemma 3.1 stated and proved in [1], when we take $f = \mathcal{X}_E$. This result contains a covering argument that is related to the subcube $Q_{x,h}^2$. For the main proof we will require the corresponding versions for $Q_{x,h}^1$ and $Q_{x,h}^3$, that can be achieved by following similar ideas.

Lemma 6. *Let $t > 0$ and E a measurable set such that $0 < |E| < \infty$. Let $A = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose that, for every $1 \leq j \leq N$, we have a square of dyadic size Q_j , with x_j as its upper right corner and that satisfies*

$$\frac{t}{4} < \frac{|E \cap Q_j^{+2}|}{|Q_j|}.$$

Then there exists a set $\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \tilde{Q}_i$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{t}{4} < \frac{|E \cap \tilde{Q}_j^+|}{|Q_j|}.$$

Moreover, for every $i, j \in \Gamma$ with $i \neq j$ we have $\tilde{Q}_i \not\subseteq \tilde{Q}_j$ and the squares \tilde{Q}_i , $i \in \Gamma$, of the same size are almost disjoint, that is, there exists a positive constant C such that for every l

$$\sum_{i \in \Gamma, \ell(Q_i)=l} \mathcal{X}_{\tilde{Q}_i}(x) \leq C.$$

This implies that the squares $(\tilde{Q}_i)^+$ are almost disjoint too. Further, if

$$\frac{|E \cap (\tilde{Q}_j)^+|}{|Q_j|} \leq 8t$$

then there exists a family of sets $\{F_j\}_{j \in \Gamma}$ with $F_j \subset (\tilde{Q}_j)^+$ such that

$$\frac{t}{8} < \frac{|E \cap F_j|}{|Q_j|}$$

and they are almost disjoint, that is, there exists a positive constant C (independent of everything) such that

$$\sum_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{X}_{F_j}(x) \leq C.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. The fact that (a) implies (b) can be achieved in a similar way to Theorem 1. Let us prove then that (b) implies (a). The operator M^+ is pointwise equivalent to the operator

$$\mathcal{M}^+ f(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|Q_{x,2^k}|} \int_{Q_{x,2^k}} |f|,$$

that is, the one-sided maximal operator defined over squares of dyadic size. We shall consider, for $i = 1, 2$ and 3 the operators

$$\mathcal{M}^{+i} f(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|Q_{x,2^k}^i|} \int_{Q_{x,2^k}^i} |f|,$$

where the cubes $Q_{x,2^k}^i$ are depicted in Figure 2.

Let us fix a measurable set E with $0 < |E| < \infty$. Let (w, v) be a pair of weights in $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$. We shall prove that

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) > t\}) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E),$$

for every $t > 0$. It will be enough to show that

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t < \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) \leq 2t\}) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E),$$

and this also reduces to prove that

$$(4.3) \quad w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t < \mathcal{M}^{+i}(\mathcal{X}_E)(x), \quad \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) \leq 2t\}) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E),$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We show the proof for $i = 2$, being similar for the other indices.

Given a positive number ξ we consider the truncated maximal operator defined by

$$M_\xi^{+2}(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) = \sup_{h=2^k > \xi, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{4|E \cap Q_{x,h}^2|}{h^2}.$$

Observe that $M_\xi^{+2}(\mathcal{X}_E) \uparrow \mathcal{M}^{+2}(\mathcal{X}_E)$ when $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, it will be enough to prove that

$$(4.4) \quad w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t < M_\xi^{+2}(\mathcal{X}_E)(x), \quad \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) \leq 2t\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E),$$

for every $t > 0$ and with C independent of ξ, E and t .

By virtue of Lemma 4 we can assume that $w \in L_{loc}^1$ and also that there exists a positive constant γ such that $0 < \gamma \leq w(x)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $\Omega_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t < M_\xi^{+2}(\mathcal{X}_E)(x), \quad \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}_E)(x) \leq 2t\}$. The measure $d\mu(x) = w(x) dx$ is finite over compact sets since we are assuming $w \in L_{loc}^1$. Therefore, inequality (4.4) follows if we prove that

$$w(K) \leq \frac{C}{t^p} v(E),$$

for every compact set $K \subset \Omega_t$ and with C independent of K .

Fix a compact set $K \subset \Omega_t$. For every $x = (x_1, x_2) \in K$ there exists a square $Q_x = [x_1 - \ell, x_1] \times [x_2 - \ell, x_2]$ with $\xi \leq \ell$, $\ell = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\frac{t}{4} < \frac{|E \cap Q_x^{+2}|}{|Q_x|}.$$

Let $Q_{x,2\ell} = [x_1, x_1 + 2\ell] \times [x_2, x_2 + 2\ell]$. We have that $(\tilde{Q}_x)^{+2} \subset Q_{x,2\ell}$ (see Figure 3) and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|E \cap (\tilde{Q}_x)^{+2}|}{|Q_x|} &\leq \frac{|E \cap Q_{x,2\ell}|}{|Q_x|} \\ &= \frac{4|E \cap Q_{x,2\ell}|}{|Q_{x,2\ell}|} \\ &\leq 4\mathcal{M}^+(\chi_E)(x) \leq 8t. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 3. $(\tilde{Q}_x)^{+2} \subset Q_{x,2\ell}$.

Therefore, we have that for every $x \in K$ there exists a square $Q_x = [x_1 - \ell, x_1] \times [x_2 - \ell, x_2]$ such that $\xi \leq \ell$,

$$\frac{t}{4} < \frac{|E \cap Q_x^{+2}|}{|Q_x|}$$

and

$$\frac{|E \cap (\tilde{Q}_x)^{+2}|}{|Q_x|} \leq 8t.$$

We have also that there exists a positive constant M , depending on t and E , such that $\ell \leq M$ since

$$|Q_x| \leq \frac{4|E \cap Q_x^{+2}|}{t} \leq \frac{4|E|}{t} < \infty.$$

This implies that there exists a square R such that $\bigcup_{x \in K} \tilde{Q}_x \subset R$. We shall consider the square $2R$. Since w is integrable in $2R$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that if $Q \subset R$ is a square, then

$$w((1 + \varepsilon)Q \setminus Q) \leq \gamma \xi^2.$$

If $Q \subset R$ verifies $\ell(Q) \geq \xi$, then

$$w((1 + \varepsilon)Q \setminus Q) \leq \gamma \xi^2 \leq \gamma |Q| \leq w(Q).$$

This yields

$$w((1 + \varepsilon)Q) \leq 2w(Q),$$

for every $Q \subset R$ with $\ell(Q) \geq \xi$. Particularly,

$$w((1 + \varepsilon)\tilde{Q}_x) \leq 2w(\tilde{Q}_x), \quad \text{for every } x \in K.$$

Let $B_x(r)$ be the ball of radius r centered at x . We have that $K \subset \bigcup_{x \in K} B_x\left(\frac{\xi\varepsilon}{2}\right)$, and then there exist $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in K$ such that $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^s B_{x_j}\left(\frac{\xi\varepsilon}{2}\right)$, since K is compact.

We apply now Lemma 6 to the set $A = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^s$ and the squares $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^s$ associated to the points x_j . Then, there exists a set $\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$ that verifies $A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \tilde{Q}_{x_i}$ and there also exist $\{F_{x_i} : i \in \Gamma\}$, $F_{x_i} \subset (\tilde{Q}_{x_i})^+$,

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{t}{8} < \frac{|E \cap F_{x_i}|}{|Q_{x_i}|}$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{X}_{F_{x_i}}(x) \leq C.$$

Observe that if $x_j \in A$, there exists $i \in \Gamma$ such that $x_j \in \tilde{Q}_{x_i}$. Then $B_{x_j}\left(\frac{\xi\varepsilon}{2}\right) \subset (1 + \varepsilon)\tilde{Q}_{x_i}$. In fact, this is straightforward if we assume $0 < \xi < 1$. Consequently, we have that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^s B_{x_j}\left(\frac{\xi\varepsilon}{2}\right) \subset \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} (1 + \varepsilon)\tilde{Q}_{x_i},$$

which implies that

$$w(K) \leq \sum_{i \in \Gamma} w((1 + \varepsilon)\tilde{Q}_{x_i}) \leq 2 \sum_{i \in \Gamma} w(\tilde{Q}_{x_i}).$$

Thus, by using (4.5) and the $A_p^+(\mathcal{R})$ condition of (w, v) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(K) &\leq 2 \sum_{i \in \Gamma} w(\tilde{Q}_{x_i}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} \sum_{i \in \Gamma} w(\tilde{Q}_{x_i}) \left(\frac{|E \cap F_{x_i}|}{|Q_{x_i}|} \right)^p \\ &= \frac{C}{t^p} \sum_{i \in \Gamma} w(\tilde{Q}_{x_i}) \left(\frac{|(\tilde{Q}_{x_i})^+|}{|Q_{x_i}|} \right)^p \left(\frac{|E \cap F_{x_i}|}{|(\tilde{Q}_{x_i})^+|} \right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})}^p \sum_{i \in \Gamma} v(E \cap F_{x_i}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})}^p v \left(E \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} F_{x_i} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p} [(w, v)]_{A_p^+(\mathcal{R})}^p v(E). \end{aligned}$$

□

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to specially thank Ph. D. Sheldy Ombrosi for suggesting me these one-sided problems, as well as reading the manuscript and giving me useful advices for redaction and bibliography.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Forzani, F. J. Martín-Reyes, and S. Ombrosi, *Weighted inequalities for the two-dimensional one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 4, 1699–1719.
- [2] Juha Kinnunen and Olli Saari, *On weights satisfying parabolic Muckenhoupt conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. **131** (2016), 289–299.
- [3] ———, *Parabolic weighted norm inequalities and partial differential equations*, Anal. PDE **9** (2016), no. 7, 1711–1736.
- [4] Andrei K. Lerner and Sheldy Ombrosi, *A boundedness criterion for general maximal operators*, Publ. Mat. **54** (2010), no. 1, 53–71.
- [5] F. J. Martín-Reyes, *New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), no. 3, 691–698.
- [6] F. J. Martín-Reyes and A. de la Torre, *Two weight norm inequalities for fractional one-sided maximal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), no. 2, 483–489.
- [7] F. J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega Salvador, and A. de la Torre, *Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), no. 2, 517–534.
- [8] Sheldy Ombrosi, *Weak weighted inequalities for a dyadic one-sided maximal function in \mathbb{R}^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 6, 1769–1775.
- [9] Pedro Ortega Salvador, *Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions in Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 101–114.
- [10] E. Sawyer, *Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **297** (1986), no. 1, 53–61.

CONICET AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA (FIQ-UNL), SANTA FE, ARGENTINA.

Email address: fberra@santafe-conicet.gov.ar