

Rigorous derivation of the full primitive equations by the scaled Boussinesq equations with rotation

Xueke Pu, Wenli Zhou

*School of Mathematics and Information Science,
Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China*
Email: puxueke@gmail.com, wywlzhou@163.com

Abstract

The primitive equations of large-scale oceanic dynamics form a fundamental model in geophysical flows. It is well-known that the primitive equations can be formally derived by the hydrostatic approximation. On the other hand, the mathematically rigorous derivation of the primitive equations without coupling with the temperature is also known. In this paper, we generalize the above result from the mathematical point of view. More precisely, we prove that the scaled Boussinesq equations with rotation converge to the full primitive equations in a strong sense, globally in time, with the convergence rate $O(\varepsilon)$, as the aspect ratio ε goes to zero.

AMS Subject Classification: 35Q35, 35Q86, 86A05, 86A10

Key Words: Boussinesq equations; Primitive equations; Hydrostatic approximation; Strong convergence

1 Introduction

For large-scale oceanic dynamics, an important feature is that the vertical scale of ocean is much smaller than the horizontal scale. From a physical point of view, this scale analysis means that we can use the hydrostatic approximation to simulate the motion of ocean in the vertical direction. Due to this fact, the primitive equations of oceanic dynamics can be formally derived from the Boussinesq equations with rotation (see [9, 27]).

Mathematically, there are already several results on the rigorous derivation of the primitive equations or the rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation. The weak convergence from the Navier-Stokes equations to the primitive equations was firstly proved by Azérad-Guillén [1], while the strong convergence from the Navier-Stokes equations to the primitive equations is due to the work of Li-Titi [29], see also Furukawa *et al.* [13] in the L^p settings. For the case where the limiting system is the primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity, we refer to the work of Li-Titi-Yuan [31]. Note that the limiting system they obtained was the primitive equations without temperature. Therefore, the aim of this paper is mathematically to derive the full primitive equations.

Let $\Omega_\varepsilon = G \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ be a ε -dependent domain, where $G = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Here, $\varepsilon = H/L$ is called the aspect ratio, which measures the ratio of vertical scale H to horizontal scale L of the ocean. For large-scale ocean circulation, the aspect ratio $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-3} \ll 1$. Consider the anisotropic Boussinesq equations with rotation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p - \theta \vec{k} + f_0 \vec{k} \times v = \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h u + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} u, \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \frac{1}{Rt_1} \Delta_h \theta + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Rt_2} \partial_{zz} \theta, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

in a thin domain Ω_ε , where the velocity field $u = (v, w) = (v_1, v_2, w)$, pressure p and temperature θ are the unknowns. f_0 is the Coriolis parameter. The unit vector $\vec{k} = (0, 0, 1)$ points to the z -direction. The parameters Re_1, Re_2, Rt_1 and Rt_2 are Reynolds numbers. Denote by $\nabla_h = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$ the horizontal gradient operator. Then the horizontal Laplacian operator Δ_h is given by

$$\Delta_h = \nabla_h \cdot \nabla_h = \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy}.$$

We firstly transform the ε -dependent domain Ω_ε into a fixed domain. To this end, we introduce some scaling transformations

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon &= (v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon), \quad v_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) = v(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), \\ w_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} w(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) = p(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), \\ \theta_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) &= \varepsilon \theta(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), \end{aligned}$$

for any $(x, y, z) \in \Omega =: G \times (-1, 1)$ and for any $t \in (0, \infty)$. Under these scalings, the system (1.1) defined on Ω_ε becomes the following scaled Boussinesq equations with rotation (SBE)

$$(SBE) \begin{cases} \partial_t v_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h v_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} v_\varepsilon + (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h) v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon \partial_z v_\varepsilon + \nabla_h p_\varepsilon + f_0 \vec{k} \times v_\varepsilon = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h w_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon \partial_z w_\varepsilon \right) + \partial_z p_\varepsilon - \theta_\varepsilon = 0, \\ \partial_t \theta_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Rt_1} \Delta_h \theta_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Rt_2} \partial_{zz} \theta_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h \theta_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon \partial_z \theta_\varepsilon = 0, \\ \nabla_h \cdot v_\varepsilon + \partial_z w_\varepsilon = 0, \end{cases}$$

defined on the fixed domain Ω , subject to

$$v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon \text{ and } \theta_\varepsilon \text{ are periodic in } x, y, z, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (v_0, w_0, \theta_0), \quad (1.3)$$

$$v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon \text{ and } \theta_\varepsilon \text{ are even, odd, even and odd in } z, \text{ respectively.} \quad (1.4)$$

We point out that the condition (1.4) is preserved by (SBE) provided that the initial data satisfy this condition. Owing to this fact and (1.2), in this paper, we always assume that the initial data satisfy the following compatibility conditions

$$v_0, w_0 \text{ and } \theta_0 \text{ are periodic in } x, y, z, \quad (1.5)$$

$$v_0, w_0 \text{ and } \theta_0 \text{ are even, odd and odd in } z, \text{ respectively.} \quad (1.6)$$

Throughout the paper, we will use the same notation $L^p(\Omega)$ or $H^m(\Omega)$ to denote both a space itself and its finite product spaces. For simplicity, we denote by notation $\|\cdot\|_p$ the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm.

Remark 1.1. The initial value w_0 for vertical velocity w_ε is uniquely determined by the incompressible condition and compatibility condition (1.6), which can be represented as

$$w_0(x, y, z) = - \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v_0(x, y, \xi) d\xi, \quad (1.7)$$

for any $(x, y) \in G$ and $z \in (-1, 1)$. From (1.6) and (1.7) it deduces that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0(x, y, z) dz = 0.$$

When the aspect ratio ε goes to zero, (SBE) formally converges to the full primitive equations (FPE)

$$(FPE) \begin{cases} \partial_t v - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h v - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} v + (v \cdot \nabla_h) v + w \partial_z v + \nabla_h p + f_0 \vec{k} \times v = 0, \\ \partial_z p - \theta = 0, \\ \partial_t \theta - \frac{1}{Rt_1} \Delta_h \theta - \frac{1}{Rt_2} \partial_{zz} \theta + v \cdot \nabla_h \theta + w \partial_z \theta = 0, \\ \nabla_h \cdot v + \partial_z w = 0, \end{cases}$$

corresponding to

$$v, w, p \text{ and } \theta \text{ are periodic in } x, y, z, \quad (1.8)$$

$$(v, \theta)|_{t=0} = (v_0, \theta_0), \quad (1.9)$$

$$v, w, p \text{ and } \theta \text{ are even, odd, even and odd in } z, \text{ respectively.} \quad (1.10)$$

In consequence, the aim of this paper is to prove the aspect ratio limit from (SBE) to (FPE). It is crucial to point out that the global well-posedness of strong solutions to (FPE) with (1.8)-(1.10) can be established by a similar argument in Cao-Titi [9, Theorem 2], for any initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^1$. Moreover, we can also use the method in [35, Theorem 2.1] to obtain this well-posedness result, which will play an important role in proving the aspect ratio limit from (SBE) to (FPE). Further results on the study of the hydrostatic approximation are available in [35, 36].

Next we want to recall some results concerning the primitive equations. The primitive equations are considered as a fundamental model in geophysical fluid dynamics (see, e.g., [33, 34, 38, 40, 41]). The global existence of weak solutions to the primitive equations with full viscosity and diffusivity was firstly given by Lions-Temam-Wang [26–28], but uniqueness of weak solutions to this mathematical model is still unknown except for some special cases [2, 22, 30, 39]. Moreover, the existence of global strong solutions to the primitive equations was proved by Kobelkov [21] and Kukavica-Ziane [24, 25] in the case of mixed Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, see also Hieber-Kashiwabara [19], Hieber *et al.* [18] and Giga *et al.* [14] in the L^p settings. In addition, for the initial data lying in the critical solenoidal Besov space, the unique global strong solution of primitive equations was obtained by Giga *et al.* [15].

Subsequently, the global strong solution to the primitive equations was naturally studied in the cases of partial dissipation. More details on these cases can be found in the work of Cao-Titi [10], Fang-Han [12], Li-Yuan [32], and Cao-Li-Titi [4–8]. We remark that the inviscid primitive equations is known to be ill-posed in Sobolev spaces [17, 37], and its smooth solutions may develop singularity in finite time [3, 20, 42]. However, the local well-posedness of the inviscid primitive equations can be established under the assumption of initial data belonging to the space of analytic function (see, e.g., [16, 23]).

Now we are to state the main result of this paper. Suppose that initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\Omega)$. Then from (1.7) it follows that $(v_0, w_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$. By the similar argument as Lions-Temam-Wang [27, Part IV], there exists a unique local strong solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ to (SBE) with (1.2)-(1.4). Denote by T_ε^* the maximal existence time of the local strong solutions to (SBE). Let

$$\begin{aligned} (U_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon) &= (V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon), \\ (V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon) &= (v_\varepsilon - v, w_\varepsilon - w, \theta_\varepsilon - \theta, p_\varepsilon - p). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (FPE) from (SBE), then difference function $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon)$ satisfies the following system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t V_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h V_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \\ + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v + \nabla_h P_\varepsilon + f_0 \vec{k} \times V_\varepsilon = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t W_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h W_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon \right) \\ + \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w + u \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w \right) + \partial_z P_\varepsilon - \Pi_\varepsilon = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\partial_t \Pi_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Rt_1} \Delta_h \Pi_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Rt_2} \partial_{zz} \Pi_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon = 0, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon + \partial_z W_\varepsilon = 0, \quad (1.14)$$

defined on $\Omega \times (0, T_\varepsilon^*)$. For this case, we have the following strong convergence theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a periodic function pair $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0 dz = 0$. Denote by $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ and (v, θ) the unique local strong solution of (SBE) subject to (1.2)-(1.4) and the unique global strong solution of (FPE) corresponding to (1.8)-(1.10), respectively.*

(i) *For any $T > 0$, there exists a small positive constant $\varepsilon(T) = \frac{2\lambda_0}{3\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_4(T)}}$ such that (SBE) has a unique strong solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ on the time interval $[0, T]$, and that the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\| (V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon) \|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_5(T), \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$, where both $\mathcal{M}_4(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_5(t)$ are the nonnegative continuously increasing functions that do not depend on ε ;

(ii) *As a consequence, we have the following strong convergences*

$$\begin{aligned} & w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), (v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (v, 0, \theta) \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ & \left(\frac{\nabla_h v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_1}}, \frac{\partial_z v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, \frac{\varepsilon \nabla_h w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_1}} \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\nabla_h v}{\sqrt{Re_1}}, \frac{\partial_z v}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, 0 \right) \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ & \left(w_\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon \partial_z w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, \frac{\nabla_h \theta_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Rt_1}}, \frac{\partial_z \theta_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Rt_2}} \right) \rightarrow \left(w, 0, \frac{\nabla_h \theta}{\sqrt{Rt_1}}, \frac{\partial_z \theta}{\sqrt{Rt_2}} \right) \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence rate is of the order $O(\varepsilon)$.

Remark 1.2. (i) The convergence results in Theorem 1.1 imply a rigorous justification of hydrostatic approximation. In other words, (FPE) can be obtained by replacing the vertical momentum equation in (SBE) with the hydrostatic approximation

$$\partial_z p - \theta = 0.$$

(ii) We point out that the convergence results here are global in time but not uniform in time compared with [29]. The main reason is that the energy estimate on the strong solutions to the primitive equations with full viscosity and diffusivity is controlled by a nonnegative continuously increasing function with respect to time [9, 35].

(iii) Roughly speaking, Proposition 4.2 in [29] in fact can be seen as performing the basic energy estimate for a difference function of weak solutions and strong solutions. Therefore, this paper only focuses on the case where the initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\Omega)$, which allows us to deal with the problem in the framework of strong solutions. In this case, we can carry out the L^2 estimate on the difference function directly (see Proposition 2.2). The convergence of the global ‘‘Leray-Hopf-type’’ weak solutions of the Boussinesq equation to the global strong solutions of the primitive equations in different cases can be found in [35, 36].

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we carry out the basic energy estimate on the system (1.11)-(1.14). In section 3, the first order energy estimate on this system is established under some smallness condition. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented in Section 4.

2 The L^2 estimate on $(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)$

Under the assumption of initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, the global well-posedness of strong solutions to (FPE) with Neumann boundary conditions was established by Cao-Titi [9]. Similarly, we also have the following well-posedness result for the case of periodic boundary conditions.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0 dz = 0$. Then the following assertions hold true:*

(i) For any $T > 0$, there exists a unique strong solution (v, θ) to (FPE) subject to (1.8)-(1.10) such that

$$(v, \theta) \in C([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(\partial_t v, \partial_t \theta) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega));$$

(ii) The global strong solution (v, θ) to (FPE) satisfies the following energy estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|(v, \theta)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\|(\nabla v, \nabla \theta)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|(\partial_t v, \partial_t \theta)\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \mathcal{M}_1(t), \quad (2.1)$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, where $\mathcal{M}_1(t)$ is a nonnegative continuously increasing function.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is similar to that of [9, Theorem 2], see also [35, Theorem 2.1], so we omit it. Next we present a crucial lemma (see [5, Lemma 2.1]), which will be frequently used in the rest of this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 \varphi(x, y, z) dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \psi(x, y, z) \phi(x, y, z) dz \right) dx dy$$

$$\leq C \|\varphi\|_2^{1/2} \left(\|\varphi\|_2^{1/2} + \|\nabla_h \varphi\|_2^{1/2} \right) \|\psi\|_2^{1/2} \left(\|\psi\|_2^{1/2} + \|\nabla_h \psi\|_2^{1/2} \right) \|\phi\|_2,$$

$$\int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 \varphi(x, y, z) dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \psi(x, y, z) \phi(x, y, z) dz \right) dx dy$$

$$\leq C \|\psi\|_2^{1/2} \left(\|\psi\|_2^{1/2} + \|\nabla_h \psi\|_2^{1/2} \right) \|\phi\|_2^{1/2} \left(\|\phi\|_2^{1/2} + \|\nabla_h \phi\|_2^{1/2} \right) \|\varphi\|_2,$$

for every φ, ψ, ϕ such that the quantities on right-hand side make sense, where C is a positive constant.

Based on Proposition 2.1, we will perform the basic energy estimate on the system (1.11)-(1.14).

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0 dz = 0$. Then the system (1.11)-(1.14) has the following basic energy estimate*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_2(t),$$

for any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_2(t) = Ce^{\{Ct + C(t+1)[\mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t)]\}} \left[(1+t)^2 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) \right].$$

Here C is a positive constant depending only on Re_1, Re_2, Rt_1 and Rt_2 .

Proof. Taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product of the third equation in (SBE) with θ_ε and then integrating the resulting differential equation in time between 0 to t yield

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\theta_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \theta_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \theta_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\theta_0\|_2^2. \quad (2.2)$$

We multiply the first two equation in (SBE) by v_ε and w_ε respectively and then integrate over $\Omega \times (0, t)$ to reach

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|v_0\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_2^2 \right) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \theta_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon dx dy dz ds. \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the Hölder inequality and Young inequality, from (2.2) it deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C \left(\|v_0\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_2^2 + t \|\theta_0\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product of (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) with V_ε , W_ε and Π_ε respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & = \int_\Omega [(U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v] \cdot (-V_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega \varepsilon^2 (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + u \cdot \nabla w) (-W_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon) (-\Pi_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega \left[\Pi_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w \right) \right] W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ & =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For the first integral term I_1 , using the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & := \int_\Omega [(U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v] \cdot (-V_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ & = \int_\Omega [(U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v] \cdot (-V_\varepsilon) dx dy dz = \int_\Omega [(U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon] \cdot v dx dy dz \\ & = \int_\Omega [(V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h) V_\varepsilon \cdot v + (\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon) V_\varepsilon \cdot v] dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega (V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z v) \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon d\xi \right) dx dy dz \\ & \leq C \|\partial_z v\|_2^{1/2} \|(\partial_z v, \nabla_h \partial_z v)\|_2^{1/2} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{1/2} \|(V_\varepsilon, \nabla_h V_\varepsilon)\|_2^{1/2} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2 \\ & + C \|v\|_{H^1} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{1/2} \left(\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{1/2} + \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^{1/2} \right) \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right), \quad (2.5)$$

note that the incompressible condition, integration by parts and Sobolev embedding theorem have been used. Thanks to the Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + u \cdot \nabla w) (-W_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla w) (-W_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w) (-W_\varepsilon) dx dy dz = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon) w dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 [w (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h W_\varepsilon) - w w_\varepsilon (\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon)] dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^2 \left(- \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) [(v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h W_\varepsilon) - w_\varepsilon (\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon)] dx dy dz \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^4 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \right) \\ &\quad + C \varepsilon^6 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \|\nabla_h w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

A similar argument as the first integral term I_1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &:= \int_{\Omega} (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon) (-\Pi_\varepsilon) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[(V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon) \theta + (\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon) \Pi_\varepsilon \theta + \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon d\xi \right) \Pi_\varepsilon \partial_z \theta \right] dx dy dz \\ &\leq C (\|\theta\|_6 \|V_\varepsilon\|_3 \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\theta\|_6 \|\Pi_\varepsilon\|_3 \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2) \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_z \theta\|_2^{1/2} \|(\partial_z \theta, \nabla_h \partial_z \theta)\|_2^{1/2} \|\Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^{1/2} \|(\Pi_\varepsilon, \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^{1/2} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2 \\ &\leq C \left(\|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^4 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) \left(\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, it remains to deal with the last integral term I_4 . We apply the integration by parts, Hölder inequality and Young inequality to write

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[\Pi_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w \right) \right] W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon^2 \left(- \int_{-1}^z \partial_t v d\xi - \frac{1}{Re_1} \nabla_h w \right) \cdot \nabla_h W_\varepsilon \right] dx dy dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[- \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \partial_z w (\partial_z W_\varepsilon) - \Pi_\varepsilon \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon d\xi \right) \right] dx dy dz \\ &\leq C \|\Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.5)-(2.8) into (2.4) and then applying the Gronwall inequality to the resulting differential equation, it follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$\left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ C \int_0^t \left(1 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t \left(\|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^4 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) ds \right\} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \left(\varepsilon^4 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \|\nabla_h w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t \left(\|v_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^4 \|w_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \right) ds \right\} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon^2 e^{\{Ct+C(t+1)[\mathcal{M}_1(t)+\mathcal{M}_1^2(t)]\}} \left[(1+t)^2 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

3 The L^2 estimate on $(\nabla V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla W_\varepsilon, \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon)$

For the purpose of establishing the first order energy estimate on the system (1.11)-(1.14), we need to carry out the second order energy estimate on (FPE) corresponding to (1.8)-(1.10). To this end, we firstly employ (1.10) to rewrite (FPE). Integrating the second equation in (FPE) with respect to z yields

$$p(x, y, z, t) = p_\nu(x, y, t) + \int_{-1}^z \theta(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi, \quad (3.1)$$

where $p_\nu(x, y, t)$ represents unknown surface pressure as $z = 0$. According to (3.1) and the incompressible condition, we can reformulate (FPE) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t v - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h v - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} v + (v \cdot \nabla_h) v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi \right) \partial_z v \\
& + \nabla_h p_\nu(x, y, t) + \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \theta(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi + f_0 \vec{k} \times v = 0,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\partial_t \theta - \frac{1}{Rt_1} \Delta_h \theta - \frac{1}{Rt_2} \partial_{zz} \theta + v \cdot \nabla_h \theta - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi \right) \partial_z \theta = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned}
& v \text{ and } \theta \text{ are periodic in } x, y, z, \\
& (v, \theta)|_{t=0} = (v_0, \theta_0), \\
& v \text{ and } \theta \text{ are even and odd in } z, \text{ respectively.}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0 dz = 0$. Then (FPE) has the following second order energy estimate*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \theta\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \mathcal{M}_3(t),$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_3(t) = C e^{C(t+1)[1+\mathcal{M}_1(t)+\mathcal{M}_1^2(t)]} \left[\|v_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) \right].$$

Here C is a positive constant depending only on Re_1, Re_2, Rt_1, Rt_2 and $|f_0|$.

Proof. Applying the gradient operator ∇ to the equation (3.2), then taking the dot product of the resulting equation with $\nabla(\partial_t v - \Delta v)$, and finally integrating over Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left[(v \cdot \nabla_h) v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_z v \right] : \nabla (\Delta v - \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \theta d\xi \right) : \nabla (\Delta v - \partial_t v) - \nabla (f_0 \vec{k} \times v) : \nabla \partial_t v \right] dx dy dz. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

note that we have used the following fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla \nabla_h p v(x, y, t) : \nabla (\partial_t v - \Delta v) dx dy dz = 0, \\
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla (f_0 \vec{k} \times v) : \nabla \Delta v dx dy dz = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the first integral term on the right-hand side of (3.4), the gradient operator ∇ will be divided into two parts, ∇_h and ∂_z . Then we use the Hölder inequality, Sobolev embedding theorem, Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left[(v \cdot \nabla_h) v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_z v \right] : \nabla (\Delta v - \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left[(\partial_j v \cdot \nabla_h) v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot \partial_j v d\xi \right) \partial_z v \right] \cdot (\partial_j \Delta v - \partial_j \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[(v \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_j v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_j \partial_z v \right] \cdot (\partial_j \Delta v - \partial_j \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[(\partial_z v \cdot \nabla_h) v - (\nabla_h \cdot v) \partial_z v \right] \cdot (\partial_z \Delta v - \partial_z \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[(v \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_z v - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_{zz} v \right] \cdot (\partial_z \Delta v - \partial_z \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& \leq C \left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right), \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_j \in \{\partial_x, \partial_y\}$. The Hölder inequality and Young inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \theta d\xi \right) : \nabla (\Delta v - \partial_t v) - \nabla (f_0 \vec{k} \times v) : \nabla \partial_t v \right] dx dy dz \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left[\int_{-1}^z \partial_j \nabla_h \theta d\xi \right] \cdot (\partial_j \Delta v - \partial_j \partial_t v) dx dy dz \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla_h \theta \cdot (\partial_z \Delta v - \partial_z \partial_t v) - \nabla (f_0 \vec{k} \times v) : \nabla \partial_t v \right] dx dy dz \\
& \leq C \left(\|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{C}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta\|_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (3.5) and (3.6) into (3.4), we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + C \left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v\|_2^2 \right) + C \left(\|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying the gradient operator ∇ to the equation (3.3), multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla (\partial_t \theta - \Delta \theta)$, and integrating over Ω , from the Hölder inequality, Sobolev embedding theorem, Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality it deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \theta\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \theta\|_2^2 \right) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left[v \cdot \nabla_h \theta - \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_z \theta \right] \cdot \nabla (\Delta \theta - \partial_t \theta) dx dy dz \\
& \leq C \left[\left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^4 \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right] \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \theta\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \theta\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.7) and (3.8), and using the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\|\Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v\|_2^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta\|_2^2 \right) (t) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \theta\|_2^2 (t) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Delta \theta\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \theta\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ C \int_0^t \left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + C \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^4 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) ds \right\} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \|\Delta v_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h v_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z v_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \theta_0\|_2^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\Delta \theta_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \theta_0\|_2^2 + C \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 \right) ds \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the result in Proposition 3.1 by (2.1). The proof is thus completed. \square

By means of Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1, we can perform the first order energy estimate on the system (1.11)-(1.14) under some smallness condition.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $(v_0, \theta_0) \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $\int_{-1}^1 \nabla_h \cdot v_0 dz = 0$. Then there exists a small positive constant λ_0 such that the system (1.11)-(1.14) has the following first order energy estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_4(t), \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*)$, provided that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (s) \leq \lambda_0^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_4(t) &= C \exp \left\{ C(t+1) [1 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t)] \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + (t+1) [\mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3^2(t)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here C is a positive constant depending only on Re_1 , Re_2 , Rt_1 and Rt_2 .

Proof. Taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product of (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) with $-\Delta V_\varepsilon$, $-\Delta W_\varepsilon$ and $-\Delta \Pi_\varepsilon$, respectively, then from integration by parts it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & = \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + u \cdot \nabla w) \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega \left[\varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w \right) - \Pi_\varepsilon \right] \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Pi_\varepsilon) \Delta \Pi_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ & + \int_\Omega [(U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v] \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ & =: R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following fact that

$$\int_\Omega f_0(\vec{k} \times V_\varepsilon) \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon dx dy dz = 0.$$

Noting that the fact $|v| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |v| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z v| dz$, we use the incompressible condition, Lemma 2.1 and Young inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + u \cdot \nabla w) \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_h W_\varepsilon - (\partial_z W_\varepsilon) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon d\xi \right] \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[(\nabla_h \cdot v) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon d\xi - V_\varepsilon \cdot \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h (\nabla_h \cdot v) d\xi \right] \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[v \cdot \nabla_h W_\varepsilon + (\nabla_h \cdot V_\varepsilon) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right] \Delta W_\varepsilon dx dy dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left[(\nabla_h \cdot v) \int_{-1}^z (\nabla_h \cdot v) d\xi - v \cdot \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h (\nabla_h \cdot v) d\xi \right] \Delta W_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
\leq & \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 (|V_{\varepsilon}| + |\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}|) dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_{\varepsilon}| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h v| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h^2 v| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |V_{\varepsilon}| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 (|v| + |\partial_z v|) dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h v| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h v| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h v| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_G \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_h^2 v| dz \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |v| |\Delta W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) dx dy \\
\leq & C \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \right. \\
& + \left[(1 + \varepsilon^2) \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right] \\
& + \left[\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^4 + (1 + \varepsilon^4) \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 \right] \left. \right\} \|\nabla(V_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon W_{\varepsilon})\|_2^2 \\
& + C \varepsilon^2 \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the the incompressible condition, Hölder inequality and Young inequality, we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 & := \int_{\Omega} \left[\varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_t w - \frac{1}{Re_1} \Delta_h w - \frac{1}{Re_2} \partial_{zz} w \right) - \Pi_{\varepsilon} \right] \Delta W_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(- \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot \partial_t v d\xi + \frac{1}{Re_1} \int_{-1}^z \Delta_h (\nabla_h \cdot v) d\xi + \frac{1}{Re_2} \nabla_h \cdot \partial_z v \right) \Delta W_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[- \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon} \cdot \left(\int_{-1}^z \nabla_h (\nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon}) d\xi \right) - \partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon} (\nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon}) \right] dx dy dz \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 \right) + C \left(\|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

By the similar method as the integral term R_1 , the integral term R_3 can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3 & := \int_{\Omega} (U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \Pi_{\varepsilon} + U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \Pi_{\varepsilon}) \Delta \Pi_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left[V_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon} - (\partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon} d\xi + V_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_h \theta \right] \Delta \Pi_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\Omega} \left[v \cdot \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon} - (\partial_z \theta) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon} d\xi - (\partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right] \Delta \Pi_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
\leq & C \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \right. \\
& + \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 \right) \\
& + \left. \left(\|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 + \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \right\} \left(\|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \\
& + C \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4 & := \int_{\Omega} [(U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) V_{\varepsilon} + (u \cdot \nabla) V_{\varepsilon} + (U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) v] \cdot \Delta V_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left[(V_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_h) V_{\varepsilon} - (\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon} d\xi \right] \cdot \Delta V_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[(V_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_h) v - (\partial_z v) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot V_{\varepsilon} d\xi \right] \cdot \Delta V_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left[(v \cdot \nabla_h) V_{\varepsilon} - (\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}) \int_{-1}^z \nabla_h \cdot v d\xi \right] \cdot \Delta V_{\varepsilon} dx dy dz \\
& \leq C \left(\|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 + \|\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla(V_{\varepsilon}, \Pi_{\varepsilon})\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{11}{12} \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{11}{12} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \\
\leq & C_{\sigma} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right) \right. \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \left(\|\nabla_h \Pi_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 \right) \right] \\
& + \left[1 + (1 + \varepsilon^2) \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_z V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \right] \\
& + \left[\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^4 + (1 + \varepsilon^4) \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 \right] \left. \right\} \|\nabla(V_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon W_{\varepsilon}, \Pi_{\varepsilon})\|_2^2 \\
& + C_{\sigma} \varepsilon^2 \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^4 \right) \\
& + C_{\sigma} \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 \right) + C_{\sigma} \left(\|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) \|V_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{12C_\sigma}}$, and then using the following smallness condition

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (s) \leq \lambda_0^2,$$

it deduces from (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\ & \leq C_\sigma \left\{ \left[1 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + (1 + \varepsilon^2) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right] \right. \\ & + \left(\|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \right) \\ & + \left. \left[\|v\|_2^4 + (1 + \varepsilon^4) \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 \right] \right\} \|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \\ & + C_\sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \right) \\ & + C_\sigma \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 \right) + C_\sigma \left(\|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the Gronwall inequality, from Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C_\sigma \exp \left\{ C_\sigma \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \right. \\ & + C_\sigma \int_0^t \left[(1 + \varepsilon^2) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right] ds \\ & + C_\sigma \int_0^t \left[\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^4 + (1 + \varepsilon^4) \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 \right] ds \left. \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \left(\|v\|_2^4 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^4 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 \right) ds \right. \\ & + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \partial_t v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \Delta v\|_2^2 \right) ds + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_2^2 \right) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 ds \left. \right\} \\ & \leq C_\sigma \varepsilon^2 \exp \left\{ C_\sigma (t+1) \left[1 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t) \right] \right. \\ & \left. \times \left\{ \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + (t+1) \left[\mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3^2(t) \right] \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

note that we have used the fact $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

With the help of Proposition 2.2 and 3.2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that we also need to eliminate the effect of the smallness condition in Proposition 3.2. This can be achieved by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Denote by T_ε^* the maximal existence time of the strong solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ to (SBE) with (1.2)-(1.4). Then, for any $T > 0$, there is a small positive constant $\varepsilon(T) = \frac{2\lambda_0}{3\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_4(T)}}$ such that $T_\varepsilon^* > T$ as long as $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$. Furthermore, the following energy estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_4(t)), \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$, where both $\mathcal{M}_2(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_4(t)$ are nonnegative continuously increasing functions that do not depend on ε .

Proof. For any $T > 0$, we set $\mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon = \min\{T_\varepsilon^*, T\}$. Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for every $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_2(t) = C e^{\{Ct + C(t+1)[\mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t)]\}} \left[(1+t)^2 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) \right].$$

Define

$$t'_\varepsilon := \sup \left\{ t \in (0, \mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon) \mid \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) \leq \lambda_0^2 \right\},$$

note that λ_0 is the small positive constant in Proposition 3.2. Thanks to Proposition 3.2, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_4(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for every $t \in [0, t'_\varepsilon)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_4(t) &= C \exp \left\{ C(t+1) [1 + \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + \mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t)] \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t) + (t+1) [\mathcal{M}_1^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_2^2(t) + \mathcal{M}_3^2(t)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon(T) = \frac{2\lambda_0}{3\sqrt{\mathcal{M}_4(T)}}$. Owing to (4.2), we reach

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla \nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\nabla \partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq \frac{4\lambda_0^2 \mathcal{M}_4(t)}{9\mathcal{M}_4(T)} \leq \frac{4\lambda_0^2}{9} < \lambda_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0, t'_\varepsilon)$, and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$, which leads to

$$\sup_{0 \leq s < t'_\varepsilon} \left(\|\nabla(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_2^2 \right) (s) < \lambda_0^2. \quad (4.3)$$

The definition of t'_ε and (4.3) imply that $t'_\varepsilon = \mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon$. On account of this, combining (4.1) with (4.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (s) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) ds \leq \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_4(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for every $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon)$, and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$.

Next, it suffices to prove that $T_\varepsilon^* > T$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$. Assume that $T_\varepsilon^* \leq T$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon = \min\{T_\varepsilon^*, T\} = T_\varepsilon^*$. Then it is clear that

$$\limsup_{t' \rightarrow (T_\varepsilon^*)^-} \left(\|(v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t') = \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

since T_ε^* is the maximal existence time of the strong solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ to (SBE). By virtue of Proposition 2.1 and 3.1, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \left(\|(v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t') \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \left(\|(v, \varepsilon w, \theta)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t') + \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t') \\ & \leq \mathcal{M}_1(t) + \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{M}_1(t) + \mathcal{M}_3(t)) + \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{M}_2(t) + \mathcal{M}_4(t)), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon)$, where the incompressible condition and estimate (4.4) are used. It is obvious that (4.5) contradicts to the above estimate. This contradiction deduces that $T_\varepsilon^* > T$ and hence $\mathcal{T}'_\varepsilon = T$, completing the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 is shown as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $T > 0$, from Proposition 4.1 it follows that (SBE) corresponding to (1.2)-(1.4) exists a unique strong solution $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon)$ on the time interval $[0, T]$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(T))$. Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\|(V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon, \Pi_\varepsilon)\|_{H^1}^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Re_2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_1} \|\nabla_h W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{Re_2} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_1} \|\nabla_h \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{Rt_2} \|\partial_z \Pi_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{M}_2(T) + \mathcal{M}_4(T)) =: \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{M}_5(T), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_5(t)$ is a nonnegative continuously increasing function that does not depend on ε . By virtue of the above estimate, we obtain the following strong convergences

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (v, 0, \theta) \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ & \left(\frac{\nabla_h v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_1}}, \frac{\partial_z v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, \frac{\varepsilon \nabla_h w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_1}} \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\nabla_h v}{\sqrt{Re_1}}, \frac{\partial_z v}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, 0 \right) \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ & \left(\frac{\varepsilon \partial_z w_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_2}}, \frac{\nabla_h \theta_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Rt_1}}, \frac{\partial_z \theta_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Rt_2}} \right) \rightarrow \left(0, \frac{\nabla_h \theta}{\sqrt{Rt_1}}, \frac{\partial_z \theta}{\sqrt{Rt_2}} \right) \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the incompressible condition, it deduces from $\frac{\nabla_h v_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{Re_1}} \rightarrow \frac{\nabla_h v}{\sqrt{Re_1}}$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ and $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ that

$$w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

respectively. In addition, it is obvious that the convergence rate is of the order $O(\varepsilon)$. Theorem 1.1 is thus proved. \square

Acknowledgments. The work of X. Pu was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871172) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (No. 2019A1515012000). The work of W. Zhou was supported by the Innovation Research for the Postgraduates of Guangzhou University (No. 2021GDJC-D09).

References

- [1] P. Azérad, F. Guillén, Mathematical justification of the hydrostatic approximation in the primitive equations of geophysical fluid dynamics, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33 (2001) 847-859.
- [2] D. Bresch, F. Guillén-González, N. Masmoudi, M.A. Rodríguez-Bellido, On the uniqueness of weak solutions of the two-dimensional primitive equations, *Differ. Integral Equ.*, 16 (2003) 77-94.
- [3] C. Cao, S. Ibrahim, K. Nakanishi, E.S. Titi, Finite-time blowup for the inviscid primitive equations of oceanic and atmospheric dynamics, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 337 (2015) 473-482.
- [4] C. Cao, J. Li, E.S. Titi, Local and global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with vertical eddy diffusivity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 214 (2014) 35-76.
- [5] C. Cao, J. Li, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with horizontal eddy diffusivity, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 257 (2014) 4108-4132.
- [6] C. Cao, J. Li, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity and diffusion, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69 (2016) 1492-1531.
- [7] C. Cao, J. Li, E.S. Titi, Strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with horizontal dissipation: near H^1 initial data, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 272 (2017) 4606-4641.
- [8] C. Cao, J. Li, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with horizontal viscosity and vertical diffusivity, *Phys. D*, 412 (2020) 132606, 25 pp.
- [9] C. Cao, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics, *Ann. Math.*, 166 (2007) 245-267.
- [10] C. Cao, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with partial vertical turbulence mixing heat diffusion, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 310 (2012) 537-568.
- [11] C. Cao, E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness and finite-dimensional global attractor for a 3-D planetary geostrophic viscous model, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56 (2003) 198-233.
- [12] D. Fang, B. Han, Global well-posedness for the 3D primitive equations in anisotropic framework, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 484 (2020), 123714, 22 pp.
- [13] K. Furukawa, Y. Giga, M. Hieber, A. Hussein, T. Kashiwabara, M. Wrona, Rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation for the primitive equations by scaled Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinearity*, 33 (2020) 6502-6516.
- [14] Y. Giga, M. Gries, M. Hieber, A. Hussein, T. Kashiwabara, The hydrostatic Stokes semi-group and well-posedness of the primitive equations on spaces of bounded functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 279 (2020), 108561, 46 pp.
- [15] Y. Giga, M. Gries, M. Hieber, A. Hussein, T. Kashiwabara, Analyticity of solutions to the primitive equations, *Math. Nachr.*, 293 (2020) 284-304.
- [16] T.-E. Ghoul, S. Ibrahim, Q. Lin, E.S. Titi, On the effect of rotation on the life-span of analytic solutions to the 3D inviscid primitive equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 243 (2022) 747-806.
- [17] D. Han-Kwan, T. Nguyen, Ill-posedness of the hydrostatic Euler and singular Vlasov equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 221 (2016) 1317-1344.
- [18] M. Hieber, A. Hussein, T. Kashiwabara, Global strong L^p well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with heat and salinity diffusion, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 261 (2016) 6950-6981.
- [19] M. Hieber, T. Kashiwabara, Global strong well-posedness of the three dimensional primitive equations in L^p -spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 221 (2016) 1077-1115.

- [20] S. Ibrahim, Q. Lin, E.S. Titi, Finite-time blowup and ill-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the inviscid primitive equations with rotation, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 286 (2021) 557-577.
- [21] G.M. Kobelkov, Existence of a solution in the large for the 3D large-scale ocean dynamics equations, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 343 (2006) 283-286.
- [22] I. Kukavica, Y. Pei, W. Rusin, M. Ziane, Primitive equations with continuous initial data, *Nonlinearity*, 27 (2014) 1135-1155.
- [23] I. Kukavica, R. Temam, V.C. Vicol, M. Ziane, Local existence and uniqueness for the hydrostatic Euler equations on a bounded domain, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 250 (2011) 1719-1746.
- [24] I. Kukavica, M. Ziane, The regularity of solutions of the primitive equations of the ocean in space dimension three, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 345 (2007) 257-260.
- [25] I. Kukavica, M. Ziane, On the regularity of the primitive equations of the ocean, *Nonlinearity*, 20 (2007) 2739-2753.
- [26] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang, New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications, *Nonlinearity*, 5 (1992) 237-288.
- [27] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang, On the equations of the large scale ocean, *Nonlinearity*, 5 (1992) 1007-1053.
- [28] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang, Mathematical theory for the coupled atmosphere-ocean models, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 74 (1995) 105-163.
- [29] J. Li, E.S. Titi, The primitive equations as the small aspect ratio limit of the Navier-Stokes equations: rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 124 (2019) 30-58.
- [30] J. Li, E.S. Titi, Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to viscous primitive equations for a certain class of discontinuous initial data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49 (2017) 1-28.
- [31] J. Li, E.S. Titi, G. Yuan. The primitive equations approximation of the anisotropic horizontally viscous Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 306 (2022) 492-524.
- [32] J. Li, G. Yuan. Global well-posedness of z -weak solutions to the primitive equations without vertical diffusivity, *J. Math. Phys.*, 63 (2022), 24 pp.
- [33] A. Majda, Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [34] J. Pedlosky, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, second edition, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [35] X. Pu, W. Zhou, On the rigorous mathematical derivation for the viscous primitive equations with density stratification, arXiv: 2203.10529.
- [36] X. Pu, W. Zhou, The hydrostatic approximation of the Boussinesq equations with rotation in a thin domain, arXiv: 2203.11418.
- [37] M. Renardy, Ill-posedness of the hydrostatic Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 194 (2009) 877-886.
- [38] D. Seidov, An intermediate model for large-scale ocean circulation studies, *Dynam. Atmos. Oceans*, 25 (1996) 25-55.
- [39] T. Tachim Medjo, On the uniqueness of z -weak solutions of the three-dimensional primitive equations of the ocean, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 11 (2010) 1413-1421.
- [40] G.K. Vallis, Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [41] W.M. Washington, C.L. Parkinson, An Introduction to Three Dimensional Climate Modeling, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [42] T.K. Wong, Blowup of solutions of the hydrostatic Euler equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143 (2015) 1119-1125.