Electric field dissociation of weakly bound molecular ions
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We present a full quantum study on the dissociation of a weakly bound molecular ion in the presence of an external time-dependent electric field. We focus on the dissociation dynamics of a molecular ion in a Paul trap relevant for atom-ion hybrid traps. Our results show that a weakly bound molecular ion survives in a Paul trap giving a theoretical ground to previous experimental findings [A. Krückow et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 193201 (2016) and A. Mohammadi et al. Phys. Rev. Research 3, 013196 (2021)]. In particular, we find that weakly bound molecular ions are more likely to survive in traps with large RF frequency. Similarly, we show that applying an electric field ramp is an efficient method to state-selectively detect weakly bound molecular ions, analogous to the well-known selective field ionization technique applied in Rydberg atoms.

In this letter, we present a full quantum study of the dissociation dynamics of a weakly bound molecular ion in the presence of a time-dependent electric field. In particular, we mimic the electric field that an ion feels in a Paul trap characteristic of atom-ion hybrid traps, finding that the survival of a molecular ion depends on its binding energy and the trap frequency. In addition, we consider a Gaussian-type pulse to simulate an electric field ramp meant to dissociate the molecular ion in a controllable manner. As a result, we show that it is possible to probe the vibrational states of a weakly bound molecular ion individually via the electric field ramp method similar to the state selective field ionization method used to ionize Rydberg atoms.

The dynamics of a molecular ion in an external electric field can be described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}(t), \quad (1)$$

where $H_0$ represents the unperturbed part of the rovibrational Hamiltonian of the molecular ion. Therefore, $H_0$ is diagonal in the basis of the vibrational $v$ and rotational $j$ states, i.e., $H_0|vjm\rangle = E_{vj}|vjm\rangle$, where $E_{vj} = E_v + B_v \hbar^2 j(j+1)$, $\hbar$ being the reduced Planck constant, $E_v$ is the vibrational energy of the $v$-th rotational state, $B_v$ is the rotational constant of the assumed rigid rotor in the same vibrational state, and $m$ is the projection of the rotational quantum number on the quantization axis. $E_v$ and $B_v$ are calculated assuming a given atom-ion interaction. In Eq. (1) the time-dependent part of the Hamiltonian is given by the interaction between the dipole moment of the molecular ion $\vec{d}$ and the time-dependent external electric field $\vec{F}(t)$ as

$$H_{\text{int}}(t) = -\vec{d} \cdot \vec{F}(t), \quad (2)$$
where we assume $\vec{d} = q\vec{R}$, with $q$ denoting the charge of the ion and $R$ representing the relative position vector between the atoms within the diatomic molecular ion.

First, we calculate eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (1) for $^{174}$Yb$^{87}$Rb$^+$ with $m = 0$, as an example, as a function of the electric field strength, including ten vibrational states and 20 rotational states with $j \leq 19$ ensuring the convergence of the results. It is worth noticing that the vibrational states are labeled from the dissociation threshold in this work, i.e., $v = -1$ is the vibrational state with the smallest binding energy, and the larger the value of $v$, the larger the binding energy. The atom-ion interaction is taken as $-C_4/R^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R_{eq}}{R_m}\right)^4\right)$ with $C_4 = 160$ a.u. and $R_m = 10.142$ a$_0$ ($a_0 = 0.529177 \times 10^{-10}$ m) is the equilibrium distance, corresponding to the $a^3\Sigma^+$ electronic state of the system [39]. The vibrational wave functions are obtained by numerically solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation for the vibrational motion using the Numerov method with an equispaced radial grid of $10^5$ steps between 5.25 $a_0$ and 1725 $a_0$ using $10^5$ steps to ensure a convergence of the vibrational energies within 0.1%.

In Fig. 1 we show the rovibrational energy spectrum of the least bound states of the $^{174}$Yb$^{87}$Rb$^+$ molecular ion as a function of the electric field strength, where it is noticed that the pathway towards dissociation (the red shaded region) is somewhat complex and highly dependent on the initial state. However, we find that the $j = 0$ states lower their energy as the electric field magnitude increases, whereas high $j$ states show the opposite behavior. Therefore, $j = 0$ states will require a larger electric field to dissociate than states with higher $j$ values. In the same vein, focusing on the $|v = -1, j = 0\rangle$ state, we observe that it interacts with many close rovibrational states at electric fields $\lesssim 0.01$ V/cm (the same order of magnitude as the electric field that a molecular ion feels in a Paul trap) whereas higher $j$ states of the same vibrational state show a more direct pathway into the dissociation limit (the shaded red area). As a result, a static picture in which the dipole-electric field coupling lowers the dissociation threshold leading to the dissociation of the weakly bound states is an oversimplification of the real scenario.

To fully understand the dynamics of a weakly bound molecular ion in a time-dependent field we solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian (1) via the ansatz

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{vjm} c_{vjm}(t) |vjm\rangle e^{-iE_{vj}t/\hbar}, \quad (3)$$

yielding the following system of coupled first-order differential equations

$$\frac{dc_{v'j'm'}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{vjm} \langle v'j'm' |H_{\text{int}}(t)|vjm\rangle c_{vjm}(t) \times e^{-i(E_{v'j'} - E_{vj})t/\hbar}. \quad (4)$$

The coupling between different rovibrational states is mediated by the dipole moment of the molecular ion and
\[ \langle v' j' m' | H_{\text{int}}(t) | v j m \rangle = -\langle v' | d | v \rangle F(t) \delta_{mm'}(-1)^{j'} \sqrt{(2j + 1)(2j' + 1)} \begin{pmatrix} j & 1 & j' \\ m & 0 & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j & 1 & j' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5} \]

where
\[ \langle v' | d | v \rangle = q \int_0^\infty \phi_{v'}^*(R)R\phi_v(R) dR, \tag{6} \]

and (...) stands for the 3j symbol. Eq. (5) includes, apart from the expected rotational coupling via the 3j symbols, the intra-vibrational coupling through the dipole moment of the molecular ion.

The time-evolution of the energy associated with the \(|v = -1, j = 0\rangle\) state of \(^{174}\text{Yb}^{87}\text{Rb}^+\) for different time-dependent electric fields is shown in Fig. 2. Panel (a) displays the result for an electric field ramp to \(F_0\) in a time \(\tau\), which is simulated with a Gaussian pulse \(F_G(t) = F_0 \exp(-4\ln(2)(t-t_0)^2/\tau^2)\) centered at time \(t_0\), with electric field strength \(F_0\) and full width half maximum \(\tau\) [40]. Whereas, panel (b) refers to an RF field similar to the one a molecular ion feels in a Paul trap as \(F_{\text{RF}}(t) = F_{\text{DC}} + F_{\text{AC}} \cos(\omega_{\text{RF}}t)\), where \(F_{\text{DC}}\) and \(F_{\text{AC}}\) represent the DC and AC electric field components of the trap, respectively, and \(\omega_{\text{RF}}\) stands for the RF trap frequency. The energy presented is the result of adding the time-dependent rotational energy \(\langle E_{\text{rot}} \rangle = \sum_{mj} \langle v' j' m' | H_{\text{rot}}(t) | v j m \rangle^2 B_c \hbar^2 j(j + 1)\) (where only \(m = 0\) states are considered) to the initial energy of the molecular ion’s state. Indeed, as soon as this magnitude surpasses zero, the molecule dissociates. This criterion for dissociation considers the effect of nearby vibrational states apart from the expected rotational mixing due to the electric field-dipole interaction. As a result, as expected, the time-dependent shape of the electric field plays a major role in the dynamics of the system: for a Gaussian pulse, the molecular ion dissociates at \(t \sim \tau\) whereas, for the RF field, it takes a long time depending on the \(\omega_{\text{RF}}\). Another interesting observation from Fig. 2 is to realize that a time-dependent electric field induces a quenching of the vibrational state of the molecular ion. In particular, the vibrational quenching probability is more pronounced in the case of an RF field than in a Gaussian pulse, implying that the dissociation pathway for a Gaussian pulse is more direct than in the case of an RF field.

A detailed study on the dissociation dynamics of a molecular ion due to a Gaussian pulse is shown in Fig. 3 where the electric field strength for dissociating different vibrational states with \(j = 0\) are displayed. The calculations for \(^{174}\text{Yb}^{85}\text{Li}^+\) include five vibrational states and 20 rotational states with \(j \leq 20\) and the corresponding atom-ion interaction is characterized by \(R_m = 7.59\ a_0\) and \(C_4 = 82.1\ \text{a.u.}\), which correlates with its \(a^3\Sigma^+\) electronic state [41]. We notice that the larger the binding energy the larger the electric field strength needs to be, as expected. The duration of the pulse, \(\tau\), affects the dynamics of the the ion on the electric field and the results are shown in the inset of Fig. 3. For shorter pulses a smaller peak intensity of the electric field is required for dissociation whereas for a duration \(\tau \gtrsim T_{\text{rot}},\)
where $T_{\text{rot}} = \pi/B_{-1}$ represents the rotational period (it is illustrated as the vertical solid lines), the electric field strength for dissociation tends to a constant value since the system crosses the threshold of the adiabatic limit. Indeed, we notice that the electric field strength for dissociation depends on the duration of the pulse as $F(\tau) = a + b\tau^{-1/4}$, and it is independent of the molecular ion species in question. For the two systems under consideration we find $a = 0.009 \pm 0.001$ V/cm and $b = -0.04 \pm 0.01$ V/cm ns$^{-1/4}$ for the $|v = -1, j = 0 \rangle$ state of $^{174}$Yb$^{87}$Rb$^+$, whereas $a = 0.107 \pm 0.007$ V/cm, and $b = -0.26 \pm 0.03$ V/cm ns$^{-1/4}$ for the $|v = -1, j = 0 \rangle$ state of $^{174}$Yb$^6$Li$^+$. The effects of an RF-type electric field over a weakly bound molecular ion are summarized in Fig. 4, where the maximum amplitude of the applied AC electric field is plotted versus the RF frequency of the trap. As a result, we are able to present a “phase space” for dissociation of a weakly bound molecular ion in a Paul trap. The phase space shows two regions, one in which for a given RF frequency the molecular ion survives in a Paul trap and a second one where the molecular ion dissociates as it is schematically presented in the figure. The borderline between those regions depends in an involved manner on the trap frequency, the mass of the molecular ion and its binding energy. The DC electric field plays an important role on determining the borderline between those regions depends in an involved manner on the trap frequency, the mass of the molecular ion and its binding energy. The DC electric field plays an important role on determining the borderline between those regions depends in an involved manner on the trap frequency, the mass of the molecular ion and its binding energy. The DC electric field plays an important role on determining the borderline between those regions depends in an involved manner on
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represents the rotational period 1 ns. Indeed, we notice that the electric field strength for dissociation tends to a constant value since the system crosses the threshold of the adiabatic limit. The binding energy of the molecular ion is the same order of magnitude as the collision energy $[30]$ and in most of the traps $F_{\text{AC}} \sim 0.01$ V/cm. Therefore, $^{174}$Yb$^6$Li$^+$ after its formation via the reaction Yb$^+$ + Li$_2$ $\to$ YbLi$^+$ + Li survives in a Paul trap. On the contrary, $^{174}$Yb$^{87}$Rb$^+$ dissociates under similar circumstances unless a large trap frequency is used.

In summary, we have shown that the shape of the time-dependent electric field has a drastic effect on the dissociation dynamics of a weakly bound molecular ion. As a result, after defining the phase space for molecular ion dissociation, we find that a weakly bound molecular ion is hardly dissociated in an RF trap unless minimal RF frequencies are employed, and the required electric field depends drastically on the binding energy of the molecular ion. These results confirm previous experimental observations and reinforce theoretical predictions by including the Paul trap on the system’s dynamics. Last but not the least, we have shown that an electric field ramp is an effective method to state-selectively detect molecular ions, which may have a potential impact on detecting molecular ion products of relevant cold chemical reactions such as three-body recombination and ion-molecule collisions. Finally, we would like to point out another possible mechanism for molecular ion dissociation: photo-dissociation. In this case, the RF trap frequency is in resonance to a continuum state, which is an idea currently being investigated.
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