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#### Abstract

Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function, and let $[t]$ be the integral part of real number $t$. In this note, we prove that for any $\varepsilon>0$ the asymptotic formula $$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{9 / 19+\varepsilon}\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty)
$$ holds. This improves a recent result of Bordellès, which requires $\frac{97}{203}$ in place of $\frac{9}{19}$.


## 1. Introduction

The prime number theorem is a basic result in number theory and has many applications. Denoting by $\Lambda(n)$ the von Mangoldt function, the prime number theorem states, in strong form, as follows: there is a constant $c>0$ such that for $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda(n)=x+O\left(x \exp \left\{-c(\log x)^{3 / 5}(\log \log x)^{-1 / 5}\right\}\right)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda(n)=x+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right) \Leftrightarrow \text { Riemann Hypothesis, }
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrarily small positive constant. Clearly it is also interesting to study the distribution of prime numbers in different sequences of integers such as the arithmetic progressions, the Beatty sequence $([\alpha n+\beta])_{n \geqslant 1}$, the Piatetski-Shapiro sequence $\left(\left[n^{c}\right]\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$, etc, where $[t]$ denotes the integral part of the real number. For example, Banks and Shparlinski [2, Corollary 5.6] proved the following result: Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be fixed real numbers with $\alpha>0$, irrational and of finite type, then there is a positive constant $c=c(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda([\alpha n+\beta])=x+O\left(x \exp \left\{-c(\log x)^{3 / 5}(\log \log x)^{-1 / 5}\right\}\right)
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. About works related to the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem, we infer the reader to see [12, 8, 13]. On the other hand, Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski (4) established an asymptotic formula of

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f}(x):=\sum_{n \leqslant x} f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right\rfloor\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under some simple assumptions of $f$. Subsequently, Wu [16] and Zhai [17] improveed their results independently. In particular, applying [16, Theorem 1.2(i)] or [17, Theorem 1] to the von Mangoldt function $\Lambda(n)$, we have

$$
S_{\Lambda}(x)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)
$$
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for $x \geqslant 1$. With the help of the Vaughan identity and the method of one-dimensional exponential sum, Ma and Wu [11] breaked the $\frac{1}{2}$-barrier:

$$
S_{\Lambda}(x)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{35 / 71+\varepsilon}\right) \quad(x \geqslant 1) .
$$

Very recently Bordellès [3, Corollary 1.3] sharpened the exponent $\frac{35}{71}$ to $\frac{97}{203}$ by using a result of Baker on 2-dimensional exponential sums [1, Theorem 6].

The aim of this short note is to propose a better exponent by establishing an estimate on 3 -dimensional exponential sums (see Proposition 3.1 below).

Theorem 1. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Lambda}(x)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{9 / 19+\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For comparaison, we have $\frac{97}{203} \approx 04778$ and $\frac{9}{19} \approx 0.4736$. In fact, Bordellès established a more general result (see [3, Theorem 1.1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Lambda}(x)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\frac{14(\kappa+1)}{29 \kappa-\lambda+30}+\varepsilon}\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\kappa, \lambda)$ is an exponent pair satisfying $\kappa \leqslant \frac{1}{6}$ and $\lambda^{2}+\lambda+3-\kappa(5+9 \kappa-\lambda)>0$. The exponent $\frac{97}{203}$ comes from the choice of $(\kappa, \lambda)=\left(\frac{13}{84}+\varepsilon, \frac{55}{84}+\varepsilon\right)$ - Bourgain's new exponent pair [5, Theorem 6]. We note that under the exponent pair hypothesis (i.e. $\left(\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right)$ is an exponent pair, see [7]), Bordellès' (1.3) only gives the exponent $\frac{28}{59} \approx 0.4745$, which is larger than our constant $\frac{9}{19} \approx 0.4736$.

Some related works on the quantity (1.1) can be found in [10, 15$]$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we shall cite three lemmas, which will be needed in the next section. The first one is [6, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$. For $M \geqslant 1, N \geqslant 1$ and $\Delta>0$, define

$$
\mathcal{D}(M, N ; \Delta):=\left|\left\{(m, \tilde{m}, n, \tilde{n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}: m, \tilde{m} \sim M ; n, \tilde{n} \sim N ;\left|\left(\frac{m}{\tilde{m}}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(\frac{n}{\tilde{n}}\right)^{\beta}\right| \leqslant \Delta\right\}\right|
$$

where $m \sim M$ means that $M<m \leqslant 2 M$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{D}(M, N) \ll_{\alpha, \beta} M N \log (2 M N)+\Delta(M N)^{2}
$$

uniformly for $M \geqslant 1, N \geqslant 1$ and $\Delta>0$, where the implied constant depends on $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
The second one is the Vaughan identity [14, formula (3)].
Lemma 2.2. There are six real arithmetical functions $\alpha_{k}(n)$ verifying $\left|\alpha_{k}(n)\right|<_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$ for ( $n \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant k \leqslant 6$ ) such that, for all $D \geqslant 100$ and any arithmetical function $g$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{D<d \leqslant 2 D} \Lambda(d) g(d)=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}:=\sum_{m \leqslant D^{1 / 3}} \alpha_{1}(m) \sum_{D<m n \leqslant 2 D} g(m n), \\
& S_{2}:=\sum_{m \leqslant D^{1 / 3}} \alpha_{2}(m) \sum_{D<m n \leqslant 2 D} g(m n) \log n, \\
& S_{3}:=\sum_{\substack{D^{1 / 3}\left\langle m, n \leqslant D^{2 / 3} \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D\right.}} \alpha_{3}(m) \alpha_{4}(n) g(m n), \\
& S_{4}:=\sum_{\substack{D^{1 / 3}<m, n \leqslant D^{2 / 3} \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D}} \alpha_{5}(m) \alpha_{6}(n) g(m n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sums $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are called as type I, $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ are called as type II.
The third one is due to Vaaler (see [7, Theorem A.6]).
Lemma 2.3. Let $\psi(t):=\{t\}-\frac{1}{2}$, where $\{t\}$ means the fractional part of real number $t$. For $x \geqslant 1$ and $H \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\psi(x)=-\sum_{1 \leqslant|h| \leqslant H} \Phi\left(\frac{h}{H+1}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}(h x)}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h}+R_{H}(x),
$$

where $\mathrm{e}(t):=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{it}}, \Phi(t):=\pi t(1-|t|) \cot (\pi t)+|t|$ and the error term $R_{H}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{H}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 H+2} \sum_{0 \leqslant|h| \leqslant H}\left(1-\frac{|h|}{H+1}\right) \mathrm{e}(h x) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Multiple exponential sums

Let $\alpha>0, \beta>0, \gamma>0$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be some constants. For $X>0, H \geqslant 1, M \geqslant 1$ and $N \geqslant 1$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\delta}=S_{\delta}(H, M, N):=\sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} a_{h, m} b_{n} \mathrm{e}\left(X \frac{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}}{H^{\alpha}} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{h, m}$ and $b_{n}$ are complex numbers such that $\left|a_{h, m}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $\left|b_{n}\right| \leqslant 1$. When $\delta=0$, this sum has been studied by Heath-Brown [8] and Fouvry-Iwaniec [6]. The aim of this section is to prove an estimate of $S_{\delta}$ by adapting and refining Heath-Brown's approach (see also [9]). The following proposition will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1 .

Proposition 3.1. Under the previous notation, for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\delta} \ll & \left((X H M N)^{1 / 2}+(H M)^{1 / 2} N+H M N^{1 / 2}+X^{-1 / 2} H M N\right) X^{\varepsilon},  \tag{3.2}\\
S_{\delta} \ll & \left(\left(X^{\kappa} H^{2+\kappa} M^{2+\kappa} N^{1+\kappa+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(2+2 \kappa)}+H M N^{1 / 2}\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.+(H M)^{1 / 2} N+X^{-1 / 2} H M N\right) X^{\varepsilon} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $M \geqslant 1, N \geqslant 1, H \leqslant M^{\beta-1} N^{\gamma}$ and $|\delta| \leqslant 1 / \varepsilon$, where $(\kappa, \lambda)$ is an exponent pair and the implied constant depends on ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon$ ) only.

Proof. Obviously we have

$$
h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta} \leqslant C_{1} H^{\alpha} M^{-\beta}=: \Xi \quad(h \sim H, m \sim M)
$$

where the $C_{j}=C_{j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ denotes some positive constant depending on $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ at most. Let $K \geqslant 1$ be a parameter to be chosen later. Introducing the following set

$$
T_{k}:=\left\{(h, m): h \sim H, m \sim M, \Xi(k-1)<h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta} K \leqslant \Xi k\right\},
$$

we can write

$$
S_{\delta}=\sum_{n \sim N} b_{n} \sum_{k \leqslant K} \sum_{(h, m) \in T_{k}} a_{h, m} \mathrm{e}\left(X \frac{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}}{H^{\alpha}} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}\right) .
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive

$$
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} \leqslant N K \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{k \leqslant K} \sum_{(h, m) \in T_{k}} \sum_{\left(h^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right) \in T_{k}} a_{h, m} \overline{a_{h^{\prime}, m^{\prime}}} \mathrm{e}\left(X \frac{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}}{H^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}-\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}\right)\right) .
$$

Inverting the order of summations, it follows that

$$
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} \leqslant N K \sum_{k \leqslant K} \sum_{(h, m) \in T_{k}} \sum_{\left(h^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right) \in T_{k}}\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)\right|,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{n \sim N} \mathrm{e}\left(X \frac{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}}{H^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}\right)\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $(h, m),\left(h^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right) \in T_{k}$ imply $\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant \Xi K^{-1}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} \leqslant N K \sum_{\substack{h, h^{\prime} \sim H \\\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant \Xi K^{-1}}} \sum_{\substack{\prime} M}\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant N K\left(S_{\delta}^{\dagger}+S_{\delta}^{\sharp}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\delta}^{\dagger}:= & \sum_{\substack{h, h^{\prime} \sim H}} \sum_{\substack{m, m^{\prime} \sim M \\
\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant \Xi(H M)^{-1}}}\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)\right|, \\
S_{\delta}^{\sharp}:= & \sum_{\substack{h, h^{\prime} \sim H}} \sum_{m, m^{\prime} \sim M}\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

[We have made the convention that $S_{\delta}^{\sharp}=0$ if $K \leqslant H M$.] Since

$$
\left|\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta}}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta}}\right| \leqslant \frac{\Xi}{H M} \Rightarrow\left|\frac{h^{\alpha}}{h^{\prime \alpha}}-\frac{m^{\beta}}{m^{\prime \beta}}\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{2}}{\Xi}\left|\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta}}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta}}\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{2}}{H M},
$$

Lemma 2.1 implies that the number of ( $h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}$ ) verifying $\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant$ $\Xi(H M)^{-1}$ is $\ll \mathcal{D}\left(H, M ; C_{2} / H M\right)<_{\varepsilon} H M X^{\varepsilon}$. Thus we have trivially

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\delta}^{\dagger} \ll_{\varepsilon} H M N X^{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we bound $S_{\delta}^{\sharp}$. We write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta} \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{\gamma}}\left(\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta}}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta}}\right)-\frac{\delta}{n^{\gamma}}\left(\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta}\left(m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta\right)}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta}\left(m^{\prime \beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta\right)}\right)=: f(n) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H \leqslant M^{\beta-1} N^{\gamma}$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{h^{\alpha}}{m^{\beta}\left(m^{\beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta\right)}-\frac{h^{\prime \alpha}}{m^{\prime \beta}\left(m^{\prime \beta} n^{\gamma}+\delta\right)}\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{3} \Xi}{M^{\beta} N^{\gamma}} \ll \frac{\Xi}{H M} \quad\left(h, h^{\prime} \sim H ; m, m^{\prime} \sim M\right),
$$

Therefore for $\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)$ verifying $\Xi(H M)^{-1}<\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant \Xi K^{-1}$, the first member on the right-hand side of (3.8) dominates the second one. Split $\left(\Xi(H M)^{-1}, \Xi K^{-1}\right]$ into dyadic intervals $(\Delta \Xi, 2 \Delta \Xi]$ with $1 / H M \leqslant \Delta \leqslant 1 / K$. Take $K=\max \left\{2 C_{4} X / N, 1\right\}$ such that for $\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)$ verifying $\Xi \Delta<\left|h^{\alpha} m^{-\beta}-h^{\prime \alpha} m^{\prime-\beta}\right| \leqslant 2 \Xi \Delta$ we have

$$
\max _{n \sim N}\left|f^{\prime}(n)\right|=C_{4} X \Delta N^{-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

By Kusmin-Landau's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\delta}^{\sharp} \ll \varepsilon X^{\varepsilon} \max _{4 / H M \leqslant \Delta \leqslant 1 / K} \Delta(H M)^{2}\left(X \Delta N^{-1}\right)^{-1}<_{\varepsilon} X^{-1+\varepsilon}(H M)^{2} N \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.9) with (3.6) gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} & <_{\varepsilon}\left(H M N^{2} K+X^{-1}(H M N)^{2} K\right) X^{\varepsilon} \\
& <_{\varepsilon}\left(X H M N+H M N^{2}+(H M)^{2} N+X^{-1}(H M N)^{2}\right) X^{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.2).
Next we prove (3.4). If $X \leqslant H M$, then (3.2) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\delta} \ll_{\varepsilon}\left((H M)^{1 / 2} N+H M N^{1 / 2}+X^{-1 / 2} H M N\right) X^{\varepsilon} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can suppose that $X \geqslant H M$. Applying the exponent pair $(\kappa, \lambda)$ to $\mathcal{S}\left(h, h^{\prime}, m, m^{\prime}\right)$. and using Lemma 2.1, we can derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\delta}^{\sharp} & <_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon} \max _{4 / H M \leqslant \Delta \leqslant 1 / K} \Delta(H M)^{2}\left(\left(X \Delta N^{-1}\right)^{\kappa} N^{\lambda}+\left(X \Delta N^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& <_{\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon}\left(X^{\kappa} H^{2} M^{2} N^{-\kappa+\lambda} K^{-1-\kappa}+X^{-1} H^{2} M^{2} N\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.11) with (3.6) gives us

$$
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} \ll_{\varepsilon}\left(X^{\kappa} H^{2} M^{2} N^{1-\kappa+\lambda} K^{-\kappa}+H M N^{2} K\right) X^{\varepsilon}
$$

for all $K \in[1, H M]$, where we have removed the term $X^{-1} H^{2} M^{2} N^{2} K\left(\leqslant H M N^{2} K\right.$ since we have suppose that $X \geqslant H M)$. Noticing that this estimate is trivial if $K \geqslant H M$, we can optimise the parameter $K$ over $[1, \infty)$ to get

$$
\left|S_{\delta}\right|^{2} \ll_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(X^{\kappa} H^{2+\kappa} M^{2+\kappa} N^{1+\kappa+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(1+\kappa)}+H M N^{2}\right) X^{\varepsilon}
$$

Combining this with (3.10), we obtain (3.4).

## 4. A KEY INEQUALITY

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition, which will play a key role for the proof of Theorem 1, Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(x, D):=\sum_{d \sim D} \Lambda(d) \psi\left(\frac{x}{d+\delta}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $\delta \notin-\mathbb{N}$ be a fixed constant. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(x, D) \ll & \left(\left(x^{2 \kappa} D^{3+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(4 \kappa+4)}+D^{5 / 6}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(x^{3 \kappa^{\prime}} D^{-2 \kappa^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 /\left(3 \kappa^{\prime}+3\right)}+\left(x^{3 \kappa^{\prime}} D^{-5 \kappa^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 / 3}\right) x^{\varepsilon} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $x \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant D \leqslant x^{2 / 3}$, where $(\kappa, \lambda)$ and ( $\kappa^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}$ ) are exponent pairs. In particular, uniformly for $x^{6 / 13} \leqslant D \leqslant x^{2 / 3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(x, D)<_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{2} D^{7}\right)^{1 / 12} x^{\varepsilon} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply the Vaughan identity (2.1) with $g(d)=\psi\left(\frac{x}{d+\delta}\right)$ to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(x, D)=\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 1}+\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 2}+\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}+\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 4}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 1} & :=\sum_{m \leqslant D^{1 / 3}} \alpha_{1}(m) \sum_{D<m n \leqslant 2 D} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 2} & :=\sum_{m \leqslant D^{1 / 3}} \alpha_{2}(m) \sum_{D<m n \leqslant 2 D} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right) \log n, \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3} & :=\sum_{\substack{D^{1 / 3}<m, n \leqslant D^{2 / 3} \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D}} \alpha_{3}(m) \alpha_{4}(n) \psi\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 4} & :=\sum_{\substack{D^{1 / 3}<m, n \leqslant D^{2 / 3} \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D}} \alpha_{5}(m) \alpha_{6}(n) \psi\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly we estimate $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}$. In view of Lemma 2.3, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{H^{\prime}} \sum_{M} \sum_{N}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)+\overline{\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)\right)+\sum_{M} \sum_{N} \mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}(M, N) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M N \asymp D$ (i.e. $D \ll M N \ll D$ ), $\alpha(h):=\frac{H^{\prime}}{h} \Phi\left(\frac{h}{H+1}\right) \ll 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right) & :=\frac{1}{H^{\prime}} \sum_{h \sim H^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{m \sim M \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D}} \sum_{n \sim N} \alpha(h) \alpha_{3}(m) \alpha_{4}(n) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{h x}{m n+\delta}\right), \\
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}(M, N) & :=\sum_{\substack{m \sim M \sim M \\
D<m n \leqslant 2 D}} \sum_{\substack{n \sim N}} \alpha_{3}(m) \alpha_{4}(n) R_{H}\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly we bound $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)$. We remove the extra multiplicative condition $D<$ $m n \leqslant 2 D$ at the cost of a factor $\log x$. On the other hand, in view of the symmetry of the variables $m$ and $n$, we can suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{1 / 3} \leqslant M \leqslant D^{1 / 2} \leqslant N \leqslant D^{2 / 3} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.1 with $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$ and $(X, H, M, N)=\left(x H^{\prime} / M N, H^{\prime}, M, N\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)<_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x^{\kappa} M^{2} N^{1+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(2+2 \kappa)}+M N^{1 / 2}+M^{1 / 2} N+\left(x^{-1} D H^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) x^{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $H^{\prime} \leqslant H \leqslant N$.
Secondly we bound $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}(M, N)$. Using (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}(M, N) & \ll x^{\varepsilon} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N}\left|R_{H}\left(\frac{x}{m n+\delta}\right)\right| \\
& \ll \frac{x^{\varepsilon}}{H} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{0 \leqslant|h| \leqslant H}\left(1-\frac{|h|}{H+1}\right) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{h x}{m n+\delta}\right) \\
& \ll x^{\varepsilon}\left(D H^{-1}+\max _{1 \leqslant H^{\prime} \leqslant H}\left|\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right):=\frac{1}{H} \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{h \sim H^{\prime}}\left(1-\frac{|h|}{H+1}\right) \mathrm{e}\left(\frac{h x}{m n+\delta}\right) .
$$

Clearly we can bound $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)$ in the same way as $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{b}\left(H^{\prime}, M, N\right)$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}^{\dagger}(M, N)<_{\varepsilon}\left(D H^{-1}+\left(x^{\kappa} M^{2} N^{1+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(2+2 \kappa)}\right.  \tag{4.8}\\
&\left.+M N^{1 / 2}+M^{1 / 2} N+\left(x^{-1} D H\right)^{1 / 2}\right) x^{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $H \leqslant N$. Combining (4.7) and (4.8) with (4.5) and using (4.6), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3} & \lll \varepsilon\left(D H^{-1}+\left(x^{\kappa} M^{2} N^{1+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(2+2 \kappa)}+M N^{1 / 2}+M^{1 / 2} N+\left(x^{-1} D H\right)^{1 / 2}\right) x^{\varepsilon} \\
& <_{\varepsilon}\left(D H^{-1}+\left(x^{2 \kappa} D^{3+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(4+4 \kappa)}+D^{5 / 6}+\left(x^{-1} D H\right)^{1 / 2}\right) x^{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $H \leqslant D^{1 / 2}(\leqslant N)$. Optimising $H$ over $\left[1, D^{1 / 2}\right]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 3}<_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x^{2 \kappa} D^{3+\lambda}\right)^{1 /(4+4 \kappa)}+D^{5 / 6}+\left(x^{-1} D^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right) x^{\varepsilon} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly the same estimate also holds for $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, 4}$.
On the other hand, let ( $\kappa^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}$ ) be an exponent pair, then [11, (5.16)] gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta, j} \ll\left(\left(x^{3 \kappa^{\prime}} D^{-2 \kappa^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 /\left(3 \kappa^{\prime}+3\right)}+x^{\kappa^{\prime}} D^{\left(-5 \kappa^{\prime}+2 \lambda^{\prime}+1\right) / 3}+x^{-1} D^{2}\right) x^{\varepsilon} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1,2$. Inserting (4.10) and (4.9) into (4.4) and using the fact that

$$
\max \left\{\left(x^{-1} D^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}, x^{-1} D^{2}\right\} \leqslant D^{5 / 6}
$$

for $1 \leqslant D \leqslant x^{2 / 3}$, we get (4.2).
Taking $(\kappa, \lambda)=\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in (4.2), we find that

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}(x, D) \ll\left(\left(x^{2} D^{7}\right)^{1 / 12}+D^{5 / 6}+\left(x^{3} D^{2}\right)^{1 / 9}+\left(x^{3} D^{-1}\right)^{1 / 6}\right) x^{\varepsilon},
$$

which implies (4.3), since the last three terms can be absorbed by the first one provided $x^{6 / 13} \leqslant D \leqslant x^{2 / 3}$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $N \in\left[x^{6 / 13}, x^{1 / 2}\right)$ be a parameter which can be chosen later. First we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right):=S_{1}(x)+S_{2}(x) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
S_{1}(x):=\sum_{n \leqslant N} \Lambda\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right), \quad S_{2}(x):=\sum_{N<n \leqslant x} \Lambda\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right\rfloor\right) .
$$

We have trivially

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(x)<_{\varepsilon} N x^{\varepsilon} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we bound $S_{2}(x)$. Putting $d=[x / n]$, then $x / n-1<d \leqslant x / n \Leftrightarrow x /(d+1)<n \leqslant x / d$. Thus we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2}(x) & =\sum_{d \leqslant x / N} \Lambda(d) \sum_{x /(d+1)<n \leqslant x / d} 1 \\
& =\sum_{d \leqslant x / N} \Lambda(d)\left(\frac{x}{d}-\psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)-\frac{x}{d+1}+\psi\left(\frac{x}{d+1}\right)\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
& =x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+\mathcal{R}_{1}(x)-\mathcal{R}_{0}(x)+O(N),
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the following bounds

$$
x \sum_{d>x / N} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}<_{\varepsilon} N x^{\varepsilon}, \quad \sum_{d \leqslant N} \Lambda(d)\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{d+1}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\right)<_{\varepsilon} N x^{\varepsilon}
$$

and defined

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\delta}(x)=\sum_{N<d \leqslant x / N} \Lambda(d) \psi\left(\frac{x}{d+\delta}\right)
$$

Writing $D_{j}:=x /\left(2^{j} N\right)$, we have $x^{6 / 13} \leqslant N \leqslant D_{j} \leqslant x / N \leqslant x^{7 / 13}$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{\log \left(x / N^{2}\right)}{\log 2}$ since $x^{6 / 13} \leqslant N \leqslant x^{1 / 2}$. Thus we can apply (4.3) of Proposition 4.1) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{R}_{\delta}(x)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \log \left(x / N^{2}\right) / \log 2}\left|\mathfrak{S}_{\delta}\left(x, D_{j}\right)\right| \\
& \ll \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant \log \left(x / N^{2}\right) / \log 2}\left(x^{2} D_{j}^{7}\right)^{1 / 12} x^{\varepsilon} \\
& \ll\left(x^{9} N^{-7}\right)^{1 / 12} x^{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this into (5.3) and taking $N=x^{9 / 19}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(x)=x \sum_{d \geqslant 1} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{d(d+1)}+O_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{9 / 19+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (5.2) with $N=x^{9 / 19}$ and (5.4) into (5.1), we get the required result.
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