

# GLOBAL WELLPOSEDNESS FOR 2D QUASILINEAR WAVE WITHOUT LORENTZ

XINYU CHENG, DONG LI, JIAO XU, AND DONGBIN ZHA

ABSTRACT. We consider the two-dimensional quasilinear wave equations with standard null-form type quadratic nonlinearities. We prove global wellposedness without using the Lorentz boost vector fields.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote  $\square = \partial_{tt} - \Delta$  as the usual wave operator. We consider the Cauchy problem for the following two-dimensional quasilinear wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \square u = g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} u, & t > 2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=2} = \varepsilon f_1, \quad \partial_t u|_{t=1} = \varepsilon f_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here and throughout this note we adopt the Einstein summation convention with  $\partial_0 = \partial_t$  and  $\partial_l = \partial_{x_l}$  for  $l = 1, 2$ . For simplicity we assume  $g^{kij}$  are constant coefficients,  $g^{kij} = g^{kji}$  for any  $i, j$ , and satisfy the standard null condition:

$$g^{kij} \omega_k \omega_i \omega_j = 0, \quad \text{for any null } \omega, \text{ i.e. } \omega = (-1, \cos \theta, \sin \theta), \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (1.2)$$

In [3] Alinhac showed that under the general null condition (1.2) the system (1.1) has small data global wellposedness, and the highest norm of the solution grows at most polynomially in time. Alinhac's proof relies on the construction of an approximation solution, combined with a judiciously chosen time-dependent weighted energy estimate known since then as the ghost weight method. The energy estimate used therein involves a collection of vector fields which are well adapted to the d'Alembertian operator. In particular, in order to harness sufficient time-decay of the solution, the Lorentz boost vector fields were used heavily in conjunction with the scaling operator. Heuristically, the benefit of the Lorentz boost can be seen from the following identities (below  $\Omega_{i0} = t\partial_i + x_i\partial_t$  denotes the usual Lorentz boost):

$$L_0 = t\partial_t + r\partial_r, \quad \frac{x_i}{r}\Omega_{i0} = r\partial_t + t\partial_r. \quad (1.3)$$

Clearly away from the light cone (i.e.  $r \leq t/2$  or  $r \geq 2t$ ), we have  $\partial_t$  and  $\partial_r \approx \frac{1}{t}(O(L_0) + O(\Omega_{i0}))$  which readily leads to time-decay estimates. Whilst the Lorentz boost can produce strong decay estimates, they are not suitable for general wave systems which are not Lorentz invariant. Such systems include non-relativistic wave systems with multiple wave speeds (cf. [11, 26]), nonlinear wave equations on non-flat space-time (cf. [30]) and exterior domains (cf. [22]). From this perspective it is of fundamental importance to remove the Lorentz boost operator and develop a new strategy for the general non-Lorentz-invariant systems. In [9], Hoshiga considered a quasilinear system with multiple speeds of propagation, and proved global wellposedness under some suitable null conditions. A notable novelty in [9] is an  $L^\infty$ - $L^\infty$  estimate which relies on the fundamental solution of the wave equation. In [31] (see also [23]), Zha considered (1.1)–(1.2) with the following additional symmetry condition:

$$g^{kij} = g^{ikj} = g^{jik}, \quad \forall i, j, k. \quad (1.4)$$

For this case Zha proved the global wellposedness without using the Lorentz boost vector fields. Note that the condition (1.4) is a bit too restrictive. For example, it does not include the standard nonlinearity  $\partial(|\partial_t u|^2 - |\nabla u|^2)$ . In recent [13], the first three authors introduced a novel strong null form which includes several prototypical strong null forms such as  $\partial(|\partial_t u|^2 - |\nabla u|^2)$  in the literature as special cases. Moreover a new normal-form type strategy was developed in [13] to prove uniform boundedness of highest norm of the solution. Other related developments with different strategies can be found in the papers [14–20].

The purpose of this note is to develop further the program initiated in [13, 23, 31], and obtain a full wellposedness result under the standard null condition (1.2) without employing the

Lorentz boost vector fields. Thanks to the aforementioned developments, it is now possible to build a robust and streamlined Lorentz-free framework for general quasilinear equations. Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider (1.1) with  $g^{kij}$  satisfying the standard null condition (1.2). Let  $m \geq 5$  and assume  $f_1 \in H^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $f_2 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are compactly supported in the disk  $\{|x| \leq 1\}$ . There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  depending on  $g^{kij}$  and  $\|f_1\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|f_2\|_{H^m}$  such that for all  $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , the system (1.1) has a unique global solution. Furthermore, the highest norm of the solution is polynomially bounded in time, and the second highest norm of the solution remains uniformly bounded, namely*

$$\sup_{t \geq 2} E_{m-1}(u(t, \cdot)) = \sup_{t \geq 2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \|(\partial \Gamma^\alpha u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $\Gamma = \{\partial_t, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_\theta, t\partial_t + r\partial_r\}$  does not include the Lorentz boost (see (2.3) for notation).

We now outline the key steps of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see section 2 for the relevant notation). To elucidate the idea, we fix any multi-index  $\alpha$  and denote  $v = \Gamma^\alpha u$  (we suppress the dependence on  $\alpha$  for simplicity of notation). By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\square v = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u. \quad (1.6)$$

In the forthcoming energy estimates, we shall sum over  $|\alpha| \leq m_0$ , where  $m_0$  is a running parameter. If  $m_0 = m - 1$ , we seek to show the uniform-in-time boundedness of  $E_{m-1}(u(t, \cdot))$ . If  $m_0 = m$ , we show  $t^{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$  ( $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  is a small exponent) growth of  $E_m(u(t, \cdot))$ .

Step 1. Weighted energy estimates: LHS of (1.6). We choose  $p(r, t) = q(r - t)$  with  $q'(s)$  nearly scales as  $\langle s \rangle^{-1}$  to derive

$$\int \square v \partial_t v e^p dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|e^{\frac{p}{2}} \partial v\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int e^p q' |Tv|^2 dx. \quad (1.7)$$

In more detail, we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \|e^{\frac{p}{2}} \partial v\|_2^2 \sim E_{m_0} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \|\partial \Gamma^\alpha u(t, \cdot)\|_2^2; \quad (1.8)$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \int e^p q' |Tv|^2 dx = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \int e^p q' |T\Gamma^\alpha u|^2 dx. \quad (1.9)$$

When carrying out the energy estimates, we shall use the convention (3.5).

Step 2. Refined decay estimates. To remedy the lack of Lorentz boost vector fields, one has to employ  $L^\infty$  and  $L^2$  estimates involving the weight-factor  $\langle r - t \rangle$ . At the expense of certain smallness of  $E_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 3}$  and using in an essential way the nonlinear null form (see Lemma 2.3), we obtain

$$\|\langle r - t \rangle (\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_0} u)(t, \cdot)\|_2 \lesssim \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_0 + 1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_2, \quad \forall l_0 \leq m - 1; \quad (1.10)$$

$$|\langle r - t \rangle (\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_0} u)(t, x)| \lesssim |(\partial \Gamma^{l_0 + 1} u)(t, x)|, \quad \forall r \geq t/10, l_0 \leq m - 1; \quad (1.11)$$

$$\|(\partial \Delta \Gamma^{\leq m-3} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t)} \lesssim t^{-2} \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq m-1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_2. \quad (1.12)$$

These in turn lead to a handful of strong decay estimates (see Lemma 2.5):

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq m-3} u\|_\infty + t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\| \frac{T\Gamma^{\leq m-3} u}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right\|_\infty + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{T\Gamma^{\leq m-2} u}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right\|_2 \lesssim E_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (1.13)$$

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle r - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq m-4} u\|_\infty + t^{\frac{3}{2}} \|T\partial \Gamma^{\leq m-4} u\|_\infty + t \|T\partial \Gamma^{\leq m-4} u\|_2 \lesssim E_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.14)$$

These decay estimates play an important role in the nonlinear energy estimates.

Step 3. Weighted energy estimates: nonlinear terms. We discuss several cases.

Case 1:  $\alpha_1 < \alpha$  and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha$ . Since  $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij}$  still satisfies the null condition, by Lemma 2.2 we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u \\ = & \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} (T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u - \omega_k \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T_i \partial_j \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u + \omega_k \omega_i \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T_j \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

By using the decay estimates proved in Step 2, we show that

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u \right\|_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_{\lfloor \frac{m_0}{2} \rfloor + 3}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.16)$$

Case 2: The quasilinear piece  $\alpha_1 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ . By using successive integration by parts, we have

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v \partial_t v e^p = \text{OK}, \quad (1.17)$$

where OK is in the sense of (3.5). Here a crucial observation is the algebraic identity (see (3.16))

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi \partial_i v \partial_j v - \partial_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v \\ & = -T_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi T_i v T_j v - T_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v - \omega_i \omega_j \partial_t \varphi (\partial_t v)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

where we take  $\varphi = \partial_k u$  or  $\varphi = e^p$ . The standard null form condition amounts to the annihilation of the term  $\omega_i \omega_j \omega_k$  when  $\varphi = \partial_k u$  and  $\partial_t \varphi$  is replaced by  $T_k \partial_t u - \omega_k \partial_{tt} u$ .

Case 3: the main piece  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0$ . By using Lemma 2.2 with the decay estimates, we derive

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k v \partial_{ij} u \partial_t v e^p = \text{OK} + \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \omega_i \omega_j T_k v \partial_{tt} u \partial_t v e^p}_{=: Y_1}. \quad (1.19)$$

We then discuss two sub-cases. If  $m_0 = m - 1$ , we show

$$|Y_1| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}} E_m^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.20)$$

If  $m_0 = m$ , we use Cauchy-Schwartz to bound  $Y_1$  as

$$|Y_1| \leq \text{OK} + \text{const} \cdot \int \frac{1}{q} |\partial_{tt} u|^2 |\partial_t v|^2 dx \leq \text{OK} + \frac{1}{t} E_3 E_m. \quad (1.21)$$

Collecting all the estimates and assuming smallness of the initial data, we finally obtain

$$\sup_{t \geq 2} E_{m-1}(u(t, \cdot)) \leq \epsilon_3 \ll 1, \quad \sup_{t \geq 2} \frac{E_m(u(t, \cdot))}{t^{\epsilon_4}} \leq 1, \quad (1.22)$$

where  $\epsilon_3 > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_4 > 0$  are small constants. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Remark 1.1.* At this point, it is worthwhile pin-pointing exactly where the symmetry condition  $g^{kij} = g^{ikj} = g^{jik}$  for all  $k, i, j$  was used in [23]. In our notation, this comes from bounding the quasilinear piece  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ . Namely

$$\begin{aligned} \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v \partial_t v e^p dx &= \int g^{kij} \partial_j (\partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_t v e^p) - \int g^{kij} \partial_{kj} u \partial_i v \partial_t v e^p \\ &\quad - \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_{tj} v e^p - \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_t v \partial_j (e^p). \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

By using the symmetry  $g^{kij} = g^{kji}$  which is harmless, we have

$$- \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_{tj} v e^p = -\frac{1}{2} \int g^{kij} \partial_t (\partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_j v e^p) + \frac{1}{2} \int g^{kij} \partial_{tk} u \partial_i v \partial_j v e^p + \frac{1}{2} \int g^{kij} \partial_i v \partial_j v \partial_t (e^p). \quad (1.24)$$

It follows that

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v \partial_t v e^p dx = - \int g^{kij} \partial_{kj} u \partial_i v \partial_t v e^p + \frac{1}{2} \int g^{kij} \partial_{tk} u \partial_i v \partial_j v e^p + \frac{1}{2} \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_j v \partial_t (e^p) + \dots, \quad (1.25)$$

where  $\dots$  denotes harmless terms. The second term on the RHS of (1.25) is not a problem thanks to the good decay of  $\partial_{tk} u$ . On the other hand, in [23] the time-decay of  $\partial^2 u$  in the regime  $r \leq t/2$  was not sufficient to treat the first term on the RHS of (1.25). For this reason (see (3.11) in [23]), Peng and Zha made use of the other piece corresponding to  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  and the symmetry  $g^{kij} = g^{ikj}$  to eliminate the above term, i.e.:

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k v \partial_{ij} u \partial_t v e^p = \int g^{kij} \partial_i v \partial_{kj} u \partial_t v e^p. \quad (1.26)$$

One of the main novelty of this work is that we obtained  $t^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  decay of  $\partial^2 u$  in the regime  $r \leq t/2$ . This and several other new estimates can have useful applications in many other problems.

The rest of this note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries and useful lemmas. In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgement.** D. Li is supported in part by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF 16307317 and 16309518. D. Zha is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11801068 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Notation.** We shall use the Japanese bracket notation:  $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We denote  $\partial_0 = \partial_t$ ,  $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and (below  $\partial_\theta$  and  $\partial_r$  correspond to the usual polar coordinates)

$$\partial = (\partial_i)_{i=0}^2, \quad \partial_\theta = x_1 \partial_2 - x_2 \partial_1, \quad L_0 = t \partial_t + r \partial_r, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Gamma = (\Gamma_i)_{i=1}^5, \quad \text{where } \Gamma_1 = \partial_t, \Gamma_2 = \partial_1, \Gamma_3 = \partial_2, \Gamma_4 = \partial_\theta, \Gamma_5 = L_0; \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Gamma^\alpha = \Gamma_1^{\alpha_1} \Gamma_2^{\alpha_2} \Gamma_3^{\alpha_3} \Gamma_4^{\alpha_4} \Gamma_5^{\alpha_5}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5) \text{ is a multi-index}; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\partial_+ = \partial_t + \partial_r, \quad \partial_- = \partial_t - \partial_r; \quad (2.4)$$

$$T_i = \omega_i \partial_t + \partial_i, \quad \omega_0 = -1, \quad \omega_i = x_i/r, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that in (2.2) we do not include the Lorentz boosts. Note that  $T_0 = 0$ . For simplicity of notation, we define for any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\Gamma^k = (\Gamma^\alpha)_{|\alpha|=k}$ ,  $\Gamma^{\leq k} = (\Gamma^\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq k}$ . In particular

$$|\Gamma^{\leq k} u| = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\Gamma^\alpha u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Informally speaking, it is useful to think of  $\Gamma^{\leq k}$  as any one of the vector fields  $\Gamma^\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . For integer  $J \geq 3$ , we shall denote

$$E_J = E_J(u(t, \cdot)) = \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq J} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2. \quad (2.7)$$

For any two quantities  $A, B \geq 0$ , we write  $A \lesssim B$  if  $A \leq CB$  for some unimportant constant  $C > 0$ . We write  $A \sim B$  if  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ . We write  $A \ll B$  if  $A \leq cB$  and  $c > 0$  is a sufficiently small constant. The needed smallness is clear from the context.

**Lemma 2.1** (Sobolev and Hardy). *For  $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have*

$$|v(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_r^{\leq 1} \partial_\theta^{\leq 1} v\|_2 + \|\Delta v\|_2). \quad (2.8)$$

Suppose  $u = u(t, x)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) is smooth and compactly supported in  $\{(t, x) : |x| \leq 1 + t\}$ , then

$$\|\langle |x| - t \rangle^{-1} u\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\partial_r u\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \langle |x| - t \rangle^{-1} |u(t, x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq 1} u\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* See Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 of [13].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $g^{kij}$  satisfies the null condition, then for  $t > 0$  we have*

$$g^{kij} \partial_k f \partial_{ij} h = g^{kij} (T_k f \partial_{ij} h - \omega_k \partial_t f T_i \partial_j h + \omega_k \omega_i \partial_t f T_j \partial_t h), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $T = (T_1, T_2)$  is defined in (2.5). It follows that

$$|g^{kij} \partial_k f \partial_{ij} h| \lesssim |Tf| |\partial^2 h| + |\partial f| |T \partial h| \quad (2.11)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle r+t \rangle} (|Tf| |\partial^2 h| + |\partial f| |\Gamma \partial h| + |\partial f| \cdot |\partial^2 h| \cdot |r-t|). \quad (2.12)$$

Suppose  $g^{kij}$  satisfies the null condition and  $\square u = g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} u$ . Then for any multi-index  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\square \Gamma^\alpha u = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u, \quad (2.13)$$

where for each  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij}$  also satisfies the null condition. In addition, we have  $g_{\alpha, 0}^{kij} = g_{0, \alpha}^{kij} = g^{kij}$ .

*Proof.* See Lemma 2.3 of [13].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(t, x)$  has continuous second order derivatives. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle r-t \rangle \partial_{tt} \tilde{u}(t, x)| + |\langle r-t \rangle \partial_t \nabla \tilde{u}(t, x)| + |\langle r-t \rangle \Delta \tilde{u}(t, x)| \\ & \lesssim |(\partial \Gamma^{\leq 1} \tilde{u})(t, x)| + (r+t)|(\square \tilde{u})(t, x)|, \quad r = |x|, t \geq 0; \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$|\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 \tilde{u}(t, x)| \lesssim |(\partial \Gamma^{\leq 1} \tilde{u})(t, x)| + (r+t)|(\square \tilde{u})(t, x)|, \quad \forall r \geq t/10, t \geq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Suppose  $T_0 \geq 1$  and  $u \in C^\infty([1, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  solves (1.1) with support in  $|x| \leq t+1$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq T_0$ . For any integer  $l_0 \geq 2$ , there exists  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  depending only on  $l_0$ , such that if at some  $1 \leq t \leq T_0$ ,

$$\|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq \lceil \frac{l_0}{2} \rceil + 2} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_1, \quad (\text{here } [z] = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq z\}) \quad (2.16)$$

then for the same  $t$ , we have the  $L^2$  estimate:

$$\|(\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_0} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_0+1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (2.17)$$

For any integer  $l_1 \geq 2$ , there exists  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  depending only on  $l_1$ , such that if at some  $1 \leq t \leq T_0$ ,

$$\|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1+1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon_2, \quad (2.18)$$

then for the same  $t$ , we have the point-wise estimate:

$$|(\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1} u)(t, x)| \lesssim |(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1+1} u)(t, x)|, \quad \forall r \geq t/10. \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|\partial \Delta \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_{L_x^2(|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t)} \lesssim t^{-2} \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1+1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (2.20)$$

*Remark 2.1.* It also holds that

$$\|\partial^3 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_{L_x^2(|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t)} \lesssim t^{-2} \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1+1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

*Proof.* All estimates except (2.20) were proved in Lemma 2.4 of [13]. We now sketch the proof of (2.20). Applying (2.14) to  $\tilde{u} = \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u$  with  $r \leq \frac{2}{3}t$ , we get

$$|\Delta \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| \lesssim \frac{1}{t} |\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1} u| + |\partial \square \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|. \quad (2.21)$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial \square \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| & \lesssim \sum_{a+b \leq l_1-1} |\partial(\partial \Gamma^a u \partial^2 \Gamma^b u)| \\ & \lesssim |\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| |\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| + |\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| |\partial^3 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^3 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| & \lesssim \underbrace{|\partial_{tt} \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|}_{\partial_t \text{ appears twice or more}} + \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 2} \underbrace{|\partial \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|}_{\partial_t \text{ appears at most once}} \\ & \lesssim |\partial \square \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| + |\partial \tilde{\partial}^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where we have denoted  $\tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$ . By using the smallness of the pre-factor  $\|\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_\infty$  and (2.23), we then derive from (2.22)

$$|\partial \square \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| \lesssim |\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| |\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| + |\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u| |\partial \tilde{\partial}^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u|. \quad (2.24)$$

Clearly by Sobolev embedding  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we get (below denote  $X = \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1+1} u)(t, \cdot)\|_2$ )

$$\|\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_4 \lesssim \|\partial^{\leq 1}(\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u)\|_2 \lesssim X; \quad (2.25)$$

$$\|\langle r-t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_\infty \lesssim X, \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}). \quad (2.26)$$

By using a smooth cut-off function localized to  $|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t$ , we then derive

$$\|\Delta \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_{L_x^2(|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} X. \quad (2.27)$$

It follows that (recall  $\tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$ )

$$\|\tilde{\partial}^2 \partial \Gamma^{\leq l_1-1} u\|_{L_x^2(|x| \leq \frac{2}{3}t)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} X. \quad (2.28)$$

Plugging this estimate into (2.24), we then obtain the estimate (2.20).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have

$$\langle |x_0| - t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} |f(x_0)| \lesssim \|f\|_2 + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \nabla f\|_2 + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial_1 \partial_2 f\|_2, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \geq 0; \quad (2.29)$$

$$\|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial f\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial f\|_2 + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial^2 f\|_2 + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial^3 f\|_2, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.30)$$

It follows that

$$\|f\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|f\|_2 + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \nabla \tilde{\Gamma}^{\leq 1} f\|_2), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.31)$$

where  $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_\theta)$ .

*Proof.* See Lemma 2.5 of [13].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** (Decay estimates). Suppose  $T_0 \geq 2$  and  $u \in C^\infty([2, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  solves (1.1) with support in  $|x| \leq t + 1$ ,  $2 \leq t \leq T_0$ . Suppose  $J \geq 3$  and

$$E_J = E_J(u(t, \cdot)) = \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq J} u)(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 \leq \tilde{\epsilon}, \quad (2.32)$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$  is sufficiently small. Then we have the following decay estimates:

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq J-2} u\|_{L_x^\infty} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_{L_x^\infty(|x| > \frac{t}{10})} + \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (2.33)$$

$$\|\partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_{L_x^\infty(|x| < \frac{t}{2})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (2.34)$$

$$\|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (2.35)$$

$$\left\| \frac{T \Gamma^{\leq J-2} u}{\langle |x| - t \rangle} \right\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|T \partial \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (2.36)$$

$$\left\| \frac{T \Gamma^{\leq J-1} u}{\langle |x| - t \rangle} \right\|_{L_x^2} + \|T \partial \Gamma^{\leq J-1} u\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.37)$$

More generally, for any integer  $J_1 \geq 1$ , we have

$$\left\| \frac{T \Gamma^{\leq J_1} u}{\langle |x| - t \rangle} \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq J_1+1} u\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (2.38)$$

*Proof.* We shall take  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  sufficiently small so that Lemma 2.3 can be applied. The estimate (2.33) follows from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3. To derive the estimate (2.34), we choose  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\psi(z) \equiv 1$  for  $|z| \leq 0.5$  and  $\psi(z) \equiv 0$  for  $|z| \geq 0.52$ . Applying the interpolation inequality  $\|\tilde{v}\|_\infty \lesssim \|\tilde{v}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta \tilde{v}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  with  $\tilde{v}(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u$ , we obtain

$$\|\psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_\infty \lesssim \|\psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta(\psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.39)$$

By Lemma 2.3, it is not difficult to check that

$$\|\Delta(\psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u)\|_2 \lesssim t^{-2} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\psi(\frac{x}{t}) \partial^2 \Gamma^{\leq J-3} u\|_2 \lesssim t^{-1} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.40)$$

The estimate (2.34) then follows. For the estimate (2.35) we only need to examine the regime  $|x| \geq t/2$ . But this follows from Lemma 2.3 and 2.4.

For (2.36), we note that the case  $|x| \leq \frac{t}{2}$  follows from (2.34). On the other hand, for  $|x| > \frac{t}{2}$  we denote  $\tilde{u} = \Gamma^{\leq J-2} u$  and estimate  $\left\| \frac{T_1 \tilde{u}}{\langle |x| - t \rangle} \right\|_{L_x^\infty(|x| > \frac{t}{2})}$  (the estimate for  $T_2$  is similar). Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 \tilde{u} &= \omega_1 \partial_t \tilde{u} + \partial_1 \tilde{u} = \omega_1 (\partial_t + \partial_r) \tilde{u} - \frac{\omega_2}{r} \partial_\theta \tilde{u} \\ &= \omega_1 \frac{1}{t+r} (2L_0 \tilde{u} - (t-r) \partial_- \tilde{u}) - \frac{\omega_2}{r} \partial_\theta \tilde{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Clearly for  $r = |x| \geq \frac{t}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{T_1 \tilde{u}}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \left( \left| \frac{L_0 \tilde{u}}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right| + |\partial_- \tilde{u}| \right) + \left| \frac{\partial_\theta \tilde{u}}{r \langle r - t \rangle} \right| \\ &\lesssim t^{-1} \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq 2} \tilde{u}\|_2 + t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + t^{-1} \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial \Gamma^{\leq 1} \partial_\theta \tilde{u}\|_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_J^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

where in the second last step we used Lemma 2.1 (for the term  $|\partial_- \tilde{u}|$  we use (2.33)). The estimates for (2.37)–(2.38) is similar. We omit the details.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we carry out the proof of Theorem 1.1. Write  $v = \Gamma^\alpha u$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\square v = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u \quad (3.1)$$

$$= g^{kij} \partial_k v \partial_{ij} u + g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v + \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha; \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u. \quad (3.2)$$

Choose  $p(t, r) = q(r - t)$ , where

$$q(s) = \int_0^s \langle \tau \rangle^{-1} (\log(2 + \tau^2))^{-2} d\tau, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (3.3)$$

$$- \partial_t p = \partial_r p = q'(r - t) = \langle r - t \rangle^{-1} (\log(2 + (r - t)^2))^{-2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by  $e^p \partial_t v$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \int e^p \partial_{tt} v \partial_t v - \int e^p \Delta v \partial_t v = \int e^p \partial_{tt} v \partial_t v + \int e^p \nabla v \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + \int e^p \nabla v \cdot \nabla p \partial_t v \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int e^p (\partial v)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int e^p |\partial v|^2 p_t + \int e^p \nabla v \cdot \nabla p \partial_t v \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e^{\frac{p}{2}} \partial v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int e^p q' \cdot \left( |\partial_+ v|^2 + \frac{|\partial_\theta v|^2}{r^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|e^{\frac{p}{2}} \partial v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int e^p q' |Tv|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We shall sum over  $|\alpha| \leq m_0$ , where  $m_0 = m - 1$  or  $m$  is a running parameter. To simplify the notation in the subsequent nonlinear estimates, we introduce the following terminology.

**Notation.** For a quantity  $X(t)$ , we shall write  $X(t) = \text{OK}$  if  $X(t)$  can be written as

$$X(t) = \frac{d}{dt} X_1(t) + X_2(t) + X_3(t), \quad (3.5)$$

where (below  $\alpha_0 > 0$  is some constant)

$$|X_1(t)| \ll \|(\partial \Gamma^{\leq m_0} u)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \quad |X_2(t)| \ll \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_0} \int e^p q' |(T\Gamma^\alpha u)(t, x)|^2 dx, \quad |X_3(t)| \lesssim t^{-1-\alpha_0}. \quad (3.6)$$

In yet other words, the quantity  $X$  will be controllable if either it can be absorbed into the energy, or can be controlled by the weighted  $L^2$ -norm of the good unknowns from the Alinhac weight, or it is integrable in time.

We now proceed with the nonlinear estimates. We shall discuss several cases.

**3.1. The case  $\alpha_1 < \alpha$  and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha$ .** Since  $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij}$  still satisfies the null condition, by (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} (T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u - \omega_k \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T_j \partial_j \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u + \omega_k \omega_j \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T_j \partial_t \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

**Estimate of  $\|T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2$ .** If  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|$ , then by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\|T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2 \lesssim \left\| \frac{T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right\|_\infty \cdot \|\langle r - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_{\lfloor \frac{m_0}{2} \rfloor + 2}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

If  $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2|$ , then we have

$$\|T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2 \lesssim \left\| \frac{T_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u}{\langle r - t \rangle} \right\|_2 \cdot \|\langle r - t \rangle \partial^2 \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_{\lfloor \frac{m_0}{2} \rfloor + 3}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

**Estimate of  $\|\partial \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T \partial \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2$ .** If  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2|$ , we have

$$\|\partial \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u T \partial \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2 \lesssim \|\partial \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u\|_\infty \cdot \|T \partial \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u\|_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_{\lfloor \frac{m_0}{2} \rfloor + 3}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

If  $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2|$ , we have

$$\|\partial\Gamma^{\alpha_1}uT\partial\Gamma^{\alpha_2}u\|_2 \lesssim \|\partial\Gamma^{\alpha_1}u\|_2 \cdot \|T\partial\Gamma^{\alpha_2}u\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_{\lfloor\frac{m_0}{2}\rfloor+3}^{\frac{1}{2}}E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Collecting the estimates, we have proved

$$\left\| \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 < \alpha, \alpha_2 < \alpha \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq \alpha}} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{kij} \partial_k \Gamma^{\alpha_1} u \partial_{ij} \Gamma^{\alpha_2} u \right\|_2 \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_{\lfloor\frac{m_0}{2}\rfloor+3}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

**3.2. The case  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ .** Noting that  $g_{0, \alpha}^{kij} = g^{kij}$ , we have

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v \partial_t v e^p = \text{OK} - \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_{jk} u \partial_i v \partial_t v e^p}_{I_1} - \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_t v \partial_j (e^p)}_{I_2} - \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_{tj} v e^p. \quad (3.13)$$

Here in the above, the term ‘‘OK’’ is zero if  $\partial_j = \partial_1$  or  $\partial_2$ . This term is nonzero when  $\partial_j = \partial_t$ , i.e. we should absorb it into the energy when integrating by parts in the time variable.

Further integration by parts gives

$$- \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_{tj} v e^p = \text{OK} + \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_{tk} u \partial_i v \partial_j v e^p}_{I_3} + \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_j v \partial_t (e^p)}_{I_4} + \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{it} v \partial_j v e^p. \quad (3.14)$$

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{it} v \partial_j v e^p = \text{OK} - \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_{ik} u \partial_t v \partial_j v e^p}_{I_5} - \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_t v \partial_j v \partial_i (e^p)}_{I_6} - \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_t v \partial_{ij} v e^p. \quad (3.15)$$

It follows that

$$2 \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \partial_{ij} v \partial_t v e^p = (I_1 + I_3 + I_5) + (I_2 + I_4 + I_6) + \text{OK}.$$

Observe that if  $\varphi = \partial_k u$  or  $\varphi = e^p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi \partial_i v \partial_j v - \partial_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v \\ &= -T_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \omega_j \partial_t \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi \partial_i v \partial_j v - T_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v + \omega_i \partial_t \varphi \partial_t v T_j v - \omega_i \omega_j \partial_t \varphi (\partial_t v)^2 \\ &= -T_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi \partial_i v T_j v - T_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v + \omega_i \partial_t \varphi \partial_t v T_j v - \omega_i \omega_j \partial_t \varphi (\partial_t v)^2 \\ &= -T_j \varphi \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \varphi T_i v T_j v - T_i \varphi \partial_t v \partial_j v - \omega_i \omega_j \partial_t \varphi (\partial_t v)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By (3.16) and rewriting  $\partial_t \varphi = \partial_k \partial_t u = T_k \partial_t u - \omega_k \partial_{tt} u$ , we have

$$I_1 + I_3 + I_5 = \int g^{kij} (-T_j \partial_k u \partial_i v \partial_t v + \partial_t \partial_k u T_i v T_j v - T_i \partial_k u \partial_t v \partial_j v - \omega_i \omega_j T_k \partial_t u (\partial_t v)^2) e^p dx. \quad (3.17)$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have  $\|T\partial u\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\|\langle r-t \rangle \partial^2 u\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Clearly then

$$\int_{r < \frac{t}{2} \text{ or } r > 2t} |\partial^2 u| |Tv|^2 dx \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}, \quad \int_{r \sim t} |\partial^2 u| |Tv|^2 dx \ll \int e^p q' |Tv|^2 dx. \quad (3.18)$$

It follows that

$$I_1 + I_3 + I_5 = \text{OK}. \quad (3.19)$$

Plugging  $\varphi = e^p$  in (3.16) and noting that  $T_j(e^p) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 + I_4 + I_6 &= \int g^{kij} \partial_k u \left( -T_j(e^p) \partial_i v \partial_t v - T_i(e^p) \partial_t v \partial_j v - \omega_i \omega_j (\partial_t v)^2 \partial_t (e^p) + \partial_t (e^p) T_i v T_j v \right) \\ &= \int g^{kij} (-T_k u \cdot \omega_i \omega_j (\partial_t v)^2 \partial_t (e^p) + \partial_k u \partial_t (e^p) T_i v T_j v). \end{aligned}$$



By Lemma 2.5 we have  $\|Tu\|\partial_t(e^p) \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Clearly

$$\|\partial u \partial_t(e^p)\|_{L_x^\infty(r < \frac{t}{2}, \text{ or } r > 2t)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \int_{r \sim t} |\partial u \partial_t(e^p)| |Tv|^2 dx \ll \int e^p q' |Tv|^2 dx. \quad (3.20)$$

Thus

$$I_2 + I_4 + I_6 = \text{OK}.$$

This concludes the case  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ .

**3.3. The case  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0$ .** By (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int g^{kij} \partial_k v \partial_{ij} u \partial_t v e^p &= \int g^{kij} (T_k v \partial_{ij} u - \omega_k \partial_t v T_i \partial_j u + \omega_k \omega_i \partial_t v T_j \partial_t u) \partial_t v e^p \\ &= \int g^{kij} (T_k v T_i \partial_j u - \omega_i T_k v T_j \partial_t u + \omega_i \omega_j T_k v \partial_{tt} u - \omega_k \partial_t v T_i \partial_j u + \omega_k \omega_i \partial_t v T_j \partial_t u) \partial_t v e^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, all terms containing  $T\partial u$  decay as  $O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}E_{m_0})$ . Thus

$$\int g^{kij} \partial_k v \partial_{ij} u \partial_t v e^p = \text{OK} + \underbrace{\int g^{kij} \omega_i \omega_j T_k v \partial_{tt} u \partial_t v e^p}_{=: Y_1}. \quad (3.21)$$

We now discuss two cases.

Case 1:  $m_0 = m - 1$ . By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\left\| \frac{Tv}{\langle |x| - t \rangle} \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-1} E_{m_0+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} = t^{-1} E_m^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\langle |x| - t \rangle \partial_{tt} u\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Thus

$$|Y_1| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}} E_m^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{m_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Case 2:  $m_0 = m$ . By using Cauchy-Schwartz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_1| &\leq \text{OK} + \text{const} \cdot \int \frac{1}{q'} |\partial_{tt} u|^2 |\partial_t v|^2 dx \\ &\leq \text{OK} + \frac{1}{t} E_3 E_m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Collecting all the estimates and assuming the norm of the initial data is sufficiently small, we then obtain for some small constants  $\epsilon_3 > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_4 > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t \geq 2} E_{m-1}(u(t, \cdot)) \leq \epsilon_3 \ll 1, \quad \sup_{t \geq 2} \frac{E_m(u(t, \cdot))}{t^{\epsilon_4}} \leq 1. \quad (3.25)$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agemi. Global existence of nonlinear elastic waves, *Invent. Math.*, 142: 225-250, 2000.
- [2] S. Alinhac. Temps de vie des solutions régulières des équations d'Euler compressible axisymétriques en dimension deux, *Invent. Math.*, 111: 627-670, 1993.
- [3] S. Alinhac. The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I, *Invent. Math.*, 145: 597-618, 2001.
- [4] S. Alinhac. The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions II, *Amer. J. Math.*, 123: 1071-1101, 2001.
- [5] S. Alinhac. *Geometric Analysis of Hyperbolic Differential Equations: An Introduction.*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 374, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] D. Christodoulou. Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39: 267-282, 1986.
- [7] L. Hörmander. The lifespan of classical solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations, *Pseudo-differential Operators, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1256, Oberwolfach, 1986, Springer, Berlin, 214-280, 1987.
- [8] L. Hörmander. *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications]*, vol. 26, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [9] A. Hoshiga. The existence of global solutions to systems of quasilinear wave equations with quadratic nonlinearities in 2-dimensional space., *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 49: 357-384, 2006.

- [10] F. John. Nonlinear Wave Equations, Formation of Singularities University Lecture Series, vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 1990, Seventh Annual Pitcher Lectures delivered at Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, April 1989.
- [11] S. Klainerman, T.C. Sideris. On almost global existence for nonrelativistic wave equations in 3D., *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49: 307-321, 1996.
- [12] T. Li, Y. Zhou. Nonlinear Wave Equations (in Chinese)., Series in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 1, Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, 2016.
- [13] X.Y. Cheng, D. Li and J. Xu. Uniform boundedness of highest norm for 2D quasilinear wave. Preprint arXiv: 2104.10019.
- [14] Z. Lei. Global wellposedness of incompressible elastodynamics in 2D. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69 (2016), 2072–2106.
- [15] Y. Cai, Z. Lei and N. Masmoudi. Global wellposedness for 2D nonlinear wave equations without compact support. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 114 (2018), 211-234.
- [16] Y. Cai. Uniform bound of the highest-order energy of the 2D incompressible elastodynamics. Preprint arXiv: 2010.08718.
- [17] S. Dong, P. LeFloch, and Z. Lei. The top-order energy of quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions is uniformly bounded. Preprint arXiv: 2103.07867.
- [18] F. Hou, H. Yin. Global small data smooth solutions of 2-D null-form wave equations with non-compactly supported initial data. *J. Differential Equations* 268 (2020), no. 2, 490?512.
- [19] D. Zha. Global and almost global existence for general quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 123 (2019), 270?299.
- [20] S. Katayama. Global Solutions and the Asymptotic Behavior for Nonlinear Wave Equations with Small Initial Data, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 36. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo (2017)
- [21] J. Metcalfe, C.D. Sogge. Long-time existence of quasilinear wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles via energy methods *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 38: 188-209, 2006.
- [22] J. Metcalfe, C.D. Sogge. Global existence of null-form wave equations in exterior domains., *Math. Z.*, 256: 521-549, 2007.
- [23] W. Peng and D. Zha. A note on quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions II: Almost global existence of classical solutions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 439(1), pp.419–435, 2016.
- [24] T.C. Sideris. Delayed singularity formation in 2D compressible flow., *Amer. J. Math.*, 119: 371-422, 1997.
- [25] T.C. Sideris. Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves *Ann. of Math.* 151(2): 849-874, 2000.
- [26] T.C. Sideris and S.-Y. Tu. Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in 3D with multiplier speeds. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33 (2001), 477–488.
- [27] C.D. Sogge. *Lectures on Non-linear Wave Equations* (2nd ed.), International Press, Boston, MA, 2008.
- [28] C. Wang, X. Yu. Global existence of null-form wave equations on small asymptotically Euclidean manifolds., *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266: 5676-5708, 2014.
- [29] S. Yang. Global solutions of nonlinear wave equations in time dependent inhomogeneous media., *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 209: 683-728, 2013.
- [30] S. Yang. On the quasilinear wave equations in time dependent inhomogeneous media., *Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations.*, 13(2): 273-330, 2016.
- [31] D. Zha. A note on quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions., *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, Ser. A, 36: 2855-2871, 2016.

X.Y. CHENG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA

*Email address:* xycheng@math.ubc.ca

D. LI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY, CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

*Email address:* mpdongli@gmail.com

J. XU, SUSTECH INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* xuj7@sustech.edu.cn

D.B. ZHA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR NONLINEAR SCIENCES, DONGHUA UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* ZhaDongbing@dhu.edu.cn, ZhaDongbing@163.com