

GLOBAL EXISTENCE FOR THE JORDAN–MOORE–GIBSON–THOMPSON EQUATION IN BESOV SPACES

BELKACEM SAID-HOUARI

Department of Mathematics, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem of a model in nonlinear acoustic, named the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation. This equation arises as an alternative model to the well-known Kuznetsov equation in acoustics. We prove global existence and optimal time decay of solutions in Besov spaces with a minimal regularity assumption on the initial data, lowering the regularity assumption required in [31] for the proof of the global existence. Using a time-weighted energy method with the help of appropriate Lyapunov-type estimates, we also extend the decay rate in [31] and show an optimal decay rate of the solution for initial data in the Besov space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which is larger than the Lebesgue space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ due to the embedding $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence we removed the L^1 -assumption on the initial data required in [31] in order to prove the decay estimates of the solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear wave propagation has been proved recently to be interesting in ultrasound imaging and in other medical applications, such as lithotripsy and thermotherapy. See for instance [12, 15, 25, 26]. One of the classical models in nonlinear acoustics is the Kuznetsov equation

$$(1.1) \quad u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u - \nu \Delta u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{2A} (u_t)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right),$$

where $v(x, t) = -\nabla u(x, t)$ represents the acoustic velocity potential. Equation (1.1) was initially introduced in [22] and derived from a compressible nonlinear isentropic Navier–Stokes (for $\nu > 0$) and Euler (for $\nu = 0$) systems by assuming in the equation of the conservation of energy that the heat flux obeys the Fourier law of heat conduction:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{q}(x, t) = -K \nabla \theta(x, t),$$

where K is the thermal conductivity of the material and θ is the absolute temperature. The interested reader is referred to the recent paper by Dekkers and Rozanova-Pierrat [10] where the authors investigated (1.1) and proved a global existence result in the viscous case from small initial data of size roughly $\varepsilon \nu^{1/2}$, where ε is a small parameter. On the other hand, for the inviscid case, they proved a blow-up result.

It is well-known that using the Fourier law (1.2) may lead to the infinite signal speed paradox of the energy propagation; see [4, 17]. To overcome this drawback in the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L75, 35G25.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear acoustics, JMGT equation, memory kernel, asymptotic behavior.

Fourier law, other equations were considered to model the heat transfer. The Cattaneo (or the Maxwell–Cattaneo) law:

$$(1.3) \quad \tau \mathbf{q}_t(x, t) + \mathbf{q}(x, t) = -K \nabla \theta(x, t),$$

leads to a finite speed of propagation. In (1.3) τ is a small parameter known as the relaxation time of the heat flux. The use of the Cattaneo law instead of the Fourier law in the governing equations of fluid dynamics leads to the following third order “in time” equation known as the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau u_{ttt} + u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u - \beta \Delta u_t &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{2A} (u_t)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right), \\ u(t=0) &= u_0, \quad u_t(t=0) = u_1 \quad u_{tt}(t=0) = u_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \Omega$ (Ω is either a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$) and $t > 0$, and where $\tau > 0$ is a time relaxation parameter, c is the speed of sound, β is the parameter of diffusivity and A and B are the constants of nonlinearity. The reader is referred to the papers [16, 18] for the derivation of (1.4). We also mention the recent result [20], where different time-fractional (J)MGT models have been derived by considering instead of (1.3) a time-fractional heat-flux law.

In recent years there has been a lot of work investigating the JMGT equation and its linearized version; the Moore–Gibson–Thompson (MGT) equation. The study of the controllability properties of the MGT type equations can be found, for instance in [3, 24]. The MGT equation in \mathbb{R}^n with a power source nonlinearity of the form $|u|^p$ has been considered in [7] where some blow up results have been shown for the critical case $\tau c^2 = \beta$. The MGT and JMGT equations with a memory term have been also investigated recently. For the MGT with memory, the reader is referred to [2, 11, 35] and to [23, 28, 29] for the JMGT with memory. The singular limit problem when $\tau \rightarrow 0$ has been rigorously justified in [21]. The authors in [21] showed that in bounded domains, the limit of (1.4) as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ leads to the Kuznetsov equation (1.1).

For the nonlinear model (1.4) in a bounded domain, the first result seems to be the one of Kaltenbacher et al. in [19]. They investigated the equation (1.4) in its abstract form and proved that the problem is well-posed for the linear equation with variable viscosity and positive diffusivity and showed the exponential decay of solutions of the linear equation. After that they gave local and global well-posedness and exponential decay for the nonlinear equation in a certain range of the parameters and for small initial data.

The Cauchy problem associated to the MGT equation was first studied by Pellicer and the author of this paper in [30], where we showed the well-posedness and investigated the decay rate of the solution in the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . We used the energy method in the Fourier space to show that under the assumption $\beta > c^2 \tau$ the L^2 -norm of the vector $\mathbf{V} = (u_t + \tau u_{tt}, \nabla(u + \tau u_t), \nabla u_t)$, and of its higher-order derivatives decay as

$$(1.5) \quad \|\nabla^j \mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(1+t)^{-n/4-j/2} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C e^{-ct} \|\nabla^j \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

with $\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{V}(t=0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 \leq j \leq s$. In addition, we proved the optimality of the decay rate in (1.5) by the eigenvalues expansion method. We also

showed the following asymptotic behavior of the L^2 -norm of the solution:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{4}}, & n \geq 3 \\ \|u(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (1+t)^{1/2}, & n = 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from (1.6) that the L^2 -norm of the solution either decays very slowly (for $n \geq 3$) or has a time growth (for $n = 2$). This creates a difficulty in the nonlinear problem, since the integrability with respect to t of the L^2 -norm of the solution will be lost. See also the recent paper by Chen and Ikehata [6], where the authors proved the optimal growth or optimal decay of the norm $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$, depending on the space dimension.

In [31], the author together with Racke considered the JMGT problem (1.4) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 (the 3D case) and showed a local existence result in appropriate function spaces by using the contraction mapping theorem and a global existence result for small data, by using the energy method together with some interpolation inequalities such as the classical Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality. We also proved certain decay rates for the solution for small initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for $s > \frac{5}{2} = \frac{n}{2} + 1$. The proof of the global existence in [31] does not use the linear decay of the solution. It is based on nonlinear energy estimates.

Our goal in this paper is to consider system (1.4) in the three dimensional case and improve the global existence result and decay estimates in [31] by reducing the regularity assumption on the initial data. In fact, we show that for small initial data in some appropriate Besov spaces, system (1.4) has a unique global solution. These spaces considered here are larger than the Sobolev spaces in [31] (see the discussion in Section 3.1). This of course affects the size of the initial data required for the global existence, since the larger the space is, the smaller the initial data are. For instance, in [1, page 30] the authors considered: $\phi_\varepsilon = e^{i\frac{x}{\varepsilon}}\phi(x)$ for some function ϕ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and showed that $\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^s} \approx \varepsilon^{-s}$, $\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{L^p} \approx 1$ and $\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \varepsilon^\sigma$. It is clear that $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,r}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $r \geq 2$. Hence, for ε small, ϕ_ε has a large norm in $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but a small norm in the larger space $\dot{B}_{p,r}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p > d$. For this reason it is always interesting to show small-data global existence in the largest possible space. To prove the global existence result, we use nonlinear energy-type estimates by constructing an appropriate energy norm in some Besov spaces with critical regularity and show that this norm remains uniformly bounded with respect to time. We point out that in the proof of global existence result, we do not use the linear decay, which is a standard way of proving small data existence for non-linear evolution equations. Our proof here is purely based on energy method. In order to prove the decay rate for dissipative partial differential equations, it is quite common to make smallness assumption on the L^1 -norm of the initial data and combine it with the L^2 -type energy estimates through Duhamel principle to obtain large time decay estimates. However, in many situations, it is difficult to work with the L^1 -norm since it is not always possible to propagate the L^1 -norm along the time evolution. So, it is of a great interest to prove decay estimates for initial data in L^2 based spaces that contain L^1 . In this paper and inspired by [33], we prove decay estimates for the solution of (1.4) for initial data in the homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which contains $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see Lemma A.7 below).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the necessary theoretical preliminaries, which allow us to rewrite the equation with the corresponding initial data as a first-order Cauchy problem and define the main energy norm with the associated dissipative norm in appropriate Besov-type spaces. In Section 3, we state and discuss our main result. In Section 4, we derive the main energy estimate and prove the global existence result. Section 5 is dedicated to the proof of the decay estimates of the linearized problem, where we extend and improve the result in [30]. In Section 6, we prove the decay estimates of the nonlinear problem. In Appendix A, we recall the Littlewood–Paley decomposition theory and give the definition and some useful properties of the Besov spaces. We also present a useful interpolation inequality in Besov spaces and other integral inequalities that we used in the proofs.

1.1. Notation. Throughout the paper, the constant C denotes a generic positive constant that does not depend on time, and can have different values on different occasions. We often write $f \lesssim g$ where there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of parameters of interest such that $f \leq Cg$ and we analogously define $f \gtrsim g$. We sometimes use the notation $f \lesssim_\alpha g$ if we want to emphasize that the implicit constant depends on some parameter α . The notation $f \approx g$ is used when there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}g \leq f \leq Cg$. We define the operator Λ^γ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Lambda^\gamma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^\gamma \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2i\pi x \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we define the main energy norm with the associated dissipative norm in appropriate Besov-type spaces. To do this and to state our main result on the global existence and asymptotic decay, we first rewrite equation (1.4) as a first-order in time system, which is more convenient for analysis. Hence, we first introduce the new variables

$$(2.1) \quad v = u_t \quad \text{and} \quad w = u_{tt},$$

and rewrite the right-hand side of the first equation in (1.4) in the form

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{2A} (u_t)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{A} u_t u_{tt} + 2\nabla u \nabla u_t.$$

Thus, taking into account (2.2) and (2.1), we recast (1.4) as (without loss of generality, we assume from now on $c = 1$):

$$(2.3a) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = v, \\ v_t = w, \\ \tau w_t = \Delta u + \beta \Delta v - w + \frac{B}{A} vw + 2\nabla u \nabla v, \end{cases}$$

with the initial data

$$(2.3b) \quad u(t=0) = u_0, \quad v(t=0) = v_0, \quad w(t=0) = w_0.$$

Let $\mathbf{U} = (u, v, w)^T$ be the solution of (2.3). In order to state our main result, we introduce the energy norm, $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t)$ and the corresponding dissipation norm $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{U}](t)$, as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{E}^2[\mathbf{U}](t) := \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq t} \|\mathbf{U}(\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 &:= \|(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also we define

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{D}^2[\mathbf{U}](t) := \int_0^t \|\mathbf{U}(\sigma)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 d\sigma$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &:= \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also introduce

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(t) &:= \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq t} \left(\|v(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|(v + \tau w)(\sigma)\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla(u + \tau v)(\sigma)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u(\sigma)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v(\sigma)\|_{L^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We define (see Appendix A for the definition and properties of Besov spaces)

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M(t) &:= \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the following Besov-type norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 &:= \|(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\nabla(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the associated dissipation norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 &:= \|\nabla v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2 + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define for $s > 0$, the inhomogeneous Besov-type norms as:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s} &:= \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s} \\ \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^s} &:= \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

The above norms are carefully designed and take advantages of the good behavior of some linear combinations of the components of the vector solution $\mathbf{U} = (u, v, w)^T$. These linear combinations are very important and they help to find some cancelation

properties in system (2.3), which allow us to exploit the dissipation nature of system (2.3). Observe that the control of the norm $\|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}$ does not offer any control on the norm $\|u\|_{L^2}$ due to the absence of the Poincaré's inequality in the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, in the light of (1.6), this makes the proof of the nonlinear energy estimates more challenging since we need to avoid the use of the decay estimates of the linearized problem and as a consequence we do not assume the L^1 bound on the initial data.

We also define the following norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} &:= \|(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla v(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\nabla(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} \\ &\quad + \|w(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} &:= \|\nabla v(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\Delta v(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|w(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} \\ &\quad + \|\Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)} + \|\nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

We define the spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s)$ as in (A.3a) and (A.3b), where $\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s$ is replaced by $\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^s$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we state and discuss our main results. The global existence result is stated in Theorem 3.1, while the decay estimates are given in Theorem 3.2. (We refer to Appendix A for the definition of Besov spaces that we use in the statement of the main theorems below).

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. Assume that $u_0, v_0 \in B_{2,1}^{7/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $w_0 \in B_{2,1}^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exists a small positive constant δ such that if*

$$\|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \delta,$$

problem (1.4) has a unique global solution satisfying

$$\mathbf{U} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

In addition, the following energy inequality holds:

$$\|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{U}(t, x)$ be the global solution given in Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\mathbf{U}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}$ and $\mathbf{V}_0 = (u_1 + \tau u_2, \nabla(u_0 + \tau u_1), \nabla u_1) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E}_0 = \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

be sufficiently small. Then, it holds that

$$\|\Lambda^\ell \mathbf{V}(t)\|_{\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0 (1+t)^{-3/4-\ell/2}$$

for $0 \leq \ell < 3/2$, where $\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) = B_{2,1}^{3/2-\ell}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \dot{B}_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if $\ell = 3/2$.

In addition the following decay estimates also hold:

$$(3.2) \quad \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0(1+t)^{-3/2}, \quad \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0(1+t)^{-3/4}.$$

As we will see the proof of Theorems 3.1 relies on nonlinear energy estimates, whereas to prove Theorem 3.2, we prove first a decay estimate for the linearized problem and then represent the solution in an integral from via the frequency localization Duhamel principle (see [37] and [38] for similar ideas). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of Proposition 4.1 and the bootstrap argument. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given in Section 4.2 while the one of Theorem 3.2 is given in Section 6.2. The proof of a local well-posedness result can be done by using similar methods as in [31, Theorem 1.2]; i.e., by combining a fixed point argument together with the appropriate a priori estimates derived in Section 4. We omit it here.

3.1. Discussion of the main result. Before moving onto the proof, we briefly discuss the statements made above in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

1. The global existence result in [31] requires $u_0, v_0 \in H^{\frac{7}{2}+}$ and $w_0 \in H^{\frac{5}{2}+}$. Since $H^{s_0+} = B_{2,2}^{s_0+} \hookrightarrow B_{2,1}^{s_0}$ (see [39, Proposition 2.3]), the global existence result stated in Theorem 3.1 improves [31] for the minimal possible regularity. As we said in the introduction, this means initial data which are large in the small space H^{s_0+} might be small in $B_{2,1}^{s_0+}$. (Here $H^{s+} = H^\delta, B_{p,q}^{s+} = B_{p,q}^\delta$ for any $\delta > s$). In the proof of the main result, we took advantages of the embedding $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Such embedding fails if we replace the Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
2. By using the interpolation:

$$\|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}^{\frac{2}{3}\sigma} \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0}^{1-\frac{2}{3}\sigma},$$

we have from (3.2)

$$\|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0(1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 3/2$.

3. The decay estimates obtained in [31] hold under the assumption that the initial data $\mathbf{V}_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Theorem 3.2 does not require the initial data to be in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In fact, we assume that the initial data \mathbf{V}_0 to be in the Besov space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which satisfies $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
4. In this paper, although we restrict ourselves to the 3D case, the proof of the main results can be modified to include the general spaces dimension \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. The case $n = 2$ would require new estimates.
5. In Theorem 3.1, since we do not use the decay estimates of the linearized problem, we do not assume the extra $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the proof of the global existence result.

4. ENERGY ESTIMATES

The following proposition is crucial in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 4.1 will be given through several lemmas and uses some ideas and estimates from the work [31]. First, we recall the following estimate which has been proved in [31, Estimate (2.39)].

Lemma 4.1 ([31]). *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{E}^2[\mathbf{U}](t) + \mathcal{D}^2[\mathbf{U}](t) \leq \mathcal{E}^2[\mathbf{U}](0) + C(\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M_0(t))\mathcal{D}^2[\mathbf{U}](t),$$

uniformly with respect to t .

From (4.2) and recalling (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{L}^2)} + M_0(t)} \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{L}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal now is to prove a frequency-localized estimate similar to (4.3). This is the goal of Section 4.1.

4.1. Frequency-localized estimates. In this subsection, we employ a frequency-localization method and prove a nonlinear energy estimate in homogeneous Besov-type spaces. Applying the operator $\dot{\Delta}_q$ ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$) to the system (2.3a), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\Delta}_q u_t = \dot{\Delta}_q v, \\ \dot{\Delta}_q v_t = \dot{\Delta}_q w, \\ \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w_t = \Delta \dot{\Delta}_q u + \beta \Delta \dot{\Delta}_q v - \dot{\Delta}_q w + R_q \end{cases}$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} R_q &= \dot{\Delta}_q \left(\frac{B}{A} v w + 2 \nabla u \nabla v \right) \\ &= \frac{B}{A} [\dot{\Delta}_q, v] w + \frac{B}{A} v \dot{\Delta}_q w + 2 [\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla u] \nabla v + 2 \nabla u \nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v. \end{aligned}$$

We define the frequency-localized energy as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq t} \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q(v + \tau w)(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla(v + \tau w)(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Delta v(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Delta(u + \tau v)(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla(u + \tau v)(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w(\sigma)\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and its associated dissipative rate as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) &= \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Delta v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Delta(u + \tau v)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla(v + \tau w)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t)(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Following the same steps as in [31], where $\dot{\Delta}_q$ will play the role of ∇^k in [31], we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{E}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) + \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](0) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_i[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) d\sigma,$$

with

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{R}_q| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx, \\ \mathbf{I}_2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{R}_q| |\nabla(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx, \\ \mathbf{I}_3[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{R}_q| |\Delta(\dot{\Delta}_q u + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q v)| dx, \\ \mathbf{I}_4[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{R}_q| |\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v| dx, \\ \mathbf{I}_5[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{R}_q| |\dot{\Delta}_q w| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal now is to estimate the terms $\mathbf{I}_i[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}]$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$. This will be done by a repeated use of suitable functional inequalities, such as: Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and some embedding theorems in Besov spaces. These functional inequalities will help to control some commutator estimates. The proof will be done through several lemmas.

In the following lemma, we estimate $\mathbf{I}_2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}]$ and $\mathbf{I}_4[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}]$.

Lemma 4.2. *It holds that*

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\mathbf{I}_2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] + \mathbf{I}_4[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] \right) (\sigma) d\sigma \\ & \lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right) \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ & \quad + \left(\|v\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \right) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have by Hölder's inequality

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\mathbf{I}_2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) + \mathbf{I}_4[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) \right) d\sigma \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla \mathbf{R}_q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\|\nabla(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|\nabla R_q\|_{L^2}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla R_q &= \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla \left(\frac{B}{A} v w + 2 \nabla u \nabla v \right) \\
 &= \dot{\Delta}_q \left(\frac{B}{A} v \nabla w + \frac{B}{A} \nabla v w + 2 \nabla^2 u \nabla v + 2 \nabla u \nabla^2 v \right) \\
 (4.10) \quad &= \frac{B}{A} [\dot{\Delta}_q, v] \nabla w + \frac{B}{A} v \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla w + \frac{B}{A} [\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla v] w + \frac{B}{A} \nabla v \dot{\Delta}_q w \\
 &\quad + 2 [\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla^2 u] \nabla v + 2 \nabla^2 u \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v + 2 [\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla u] \nabla^2 v + 2 \nabla u \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla^2 v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (A.8), we estimate the commutators in (4.10) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\dot{\Delta}_q, v\| \nabla w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\
 \|\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla v\| w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\
 (4.11) \quad \|\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla^2 u\| \nabla v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\
 \|\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla u\| \nabla^2 v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla^2 v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We point out here and in the sequel that each $(c_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has possibly a different form, however we always have $\|c_q\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$.

On the other hand, we estimate the remaining terms in (4.10) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \|v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
 \|\nabla v \dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
 (4.12) \quad \|\nabla^2 u \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
 \|\nabla u \dot{\Delta}_q \nabla^2 v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla^2 v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging (4.11) and (4.12) into (4.9) and using the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then (4.8) holds. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Next, we estimate $\mathbf{I}_i[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}]$, $i = 3, 5$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.13) \quad &\int_0^t \left(\mathbf{I}_3[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] + \mathbf{I}_5[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] \right) (\sigma) d\sigma \\
 &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right) \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\
 &\quad + \left(\|v\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \right) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have, by recalling (4.7) and using Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^t \left(\mathbf{I}_3[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) + \mathbf{I}_5[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) \right) d\sigma \\
 &\lesssim \|R_q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \left(\|\Delta(\dot{\Delta}_q u + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q v)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, following the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{R}_q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\|v\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4.13) holds by collecting the above last two estimates and using the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which ends the proof of Lemma 4.3. \square

Now, we need to estimate $\mathbf{I}_1[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}]$. This will be done in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} (4.14) \quad &\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_1[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](\sigma) d\sigma \\ &\lesssim (\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ &\quad + c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have by recalling (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}] &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |[\dot{\Delta}_q, v]w| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v \dot{\Delta}_q w| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |[\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla u] \nabla v| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u \nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx \\ &:= \mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2 + \mathbf{T}_3 + \mathbf{T}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Our next goal is to estimate the terms \mathbf{T}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We begin by estimating \mathbf{T}_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_4 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u \nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v| |\dot{\Delta}_q v| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u \nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v| |\dot{\Delta}_q w| dx \\ &:= \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate \mathbf{J}_1 , we have by Hölder's inequality

$$(4.15) \quad \mathbf{J}_1 \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^6} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}.$$

Now, applying the interpolation inequality, which holds for $n = 3$,

$$(4.16) \quad \|f\|_{L^3} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{1/2},$$

we obtain

$$(4.17) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{1/2}.$$

This together with the Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad \mathbf{J}_1 &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of \mathbf{J}_2 is straightforward, so, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.19) \quad \mathbf{J}_2 &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, collecting (4.18) and (4.19) and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}_4 \lesssim (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}) \left(\|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

Consequently, this yields, by using (A.4),

$$(4.20) \quad \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_4(\sigma) d\sigma \lesssim (\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t).$$

Next, we estimate \mathbf{T}_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v \dot{\Delta}_q w| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v \dot{\Delta}_q w| |\dot{\Delta}_q v| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v| |\dot{\Delta}_q w|^2 dx \\ &:= \mathbf{J}_3 + \mathbf{J}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.21) \quad \mathbf{J}_3 &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^3} \|\dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^6} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim (\|v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}) \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we estimate \mathbf{J}_4 as

$$(4.22) \quad \mathbf{J}_4 \lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Collecting (4.21) and (4.22), we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}_2 \lesssim (\|v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}) \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q \nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

This yields, by using Hölder's inequality and (A.4),

$$(4.23) \quad \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_2(\sigma) d\sigma \lesssim (\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})}) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t).$$

Now, to estimate \mathbf{T}_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |[\dot{\Delta}_q, v]w| |(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)| dx \\ &\lesssim \|[\dot{\Delta}_q, v]w\|_{L^2} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (A.7), we find

$$\|[\dot{\Delta}_q, v]w\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4.24) \quad \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_1(\sigma) d\sigma &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|v\|_{L_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{L_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.4).

The term \mathbf{T}_3 can be estimated similarly. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}_3 &\lesssim \|[\dot{\Delta}_q, \nabla u] \nabla v\|_{L^2} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields as above,

$$\begin{aligned}(4.25) \quad \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_3(\sigma) d\sigma &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \|(\dot{\Delta}_q v + \tau \dot{\Delta}_q w)\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates (4.20), (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25) and using Hölder's inequality with respect to t , we obtain (4.14). This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Using (A.2) together with (A.4) and recalling (2.6), we have

$$(4.26) \quad \|v\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \lesssim M(t).$$

Now, plugging (4.8), (4.13) and (4.14) into (4.6) and exploiting (4.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{E}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) + \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](0) + (\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M(t)) \mathcal{D}^2[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ &\quad + c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|w\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \right) \\ &\quad + c_q 2^{-\frac{3q}{2}} \left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \right) M(t) \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t).\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2})} &\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above identity by $2^{\frac{3q}{2}}$, using Young's inequality and the elementary inequality $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b} \leq \sqrt{2(a+b)}$, $a, b > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) + 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](0) + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M(t)} 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{c_q 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t)} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{M(t)} \left(\sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\mathbb{B}_{2,1}^{1/2})}^2 + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}^2} \right) \sqrt{c_q 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t)},\end{aligned}$$

Exploiting (A.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) + 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\
& \lesssim c_q \|\mathbf{U}(0)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + c_q \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M(t)} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\
& \quad + c_q \sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2})} \\
& \quad + c_q \sqrt{M(t)} \left(\sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2})}} + \sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \right) \sqrt{\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{E}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) + 2^{\frac{3q}{2}} \mathcal{D}[\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}](t) \\
& \lesssim c_q \|\mathbf{U}(0)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + c_q \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M(t) + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, summing up on $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.27) \quad & \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}(0)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t) + M(t) + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.27) and using the fact that

$$M_0(t) + M(t) \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})},$$

we conclude that (4.1) is satisfied. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.1 \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. We put

$$Y(t) := \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})} + \|\mathbf{U}(t)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2})}.$$

It is clear that $\tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{s_2}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_t^\infty(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{s_1})$ and $\tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{s_2}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_t^2(\dot{\mathbb{B}}_{2,1}^{s_1})$ for $s_2 \geq s_1 \geq 0$.

From, (4.1) yields

$$(4.28) \quad Y(t) \leq CY(0) + CY^{3/2}(t),$$

where C is a positive constant that does not depend on t . From (4.28), we conclude in a standard way (see Lemma A.10) that there is $\delta > 0$ small enough, such that if $Y(0) = \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq \delta$, then there is $K > 0$, independent of time, such that

$$Y(t) \leq K.$$

This uniform estimate allows to continue the local solution to be global in time.

5. DECAY ESTIMATES OF THE LINEAR EQUATION

In this section, we show decay estimates for some energy norms for the solution of linearized system

$$(5.1a) \quad \tau u_{ttt} + u_{tt} - \Delta u - \beta \Delta u_t = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$

with the initial conditions:

$$(5.1b) \quad u(t=0) = u_0, \quad u_t(t=0) = u_1 \quad u_{tt}(t=0) = u_2,$$

by assuming additionally that our initial data are in $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\rho}$ with $1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\rho}{n}$. This improves the decay estimates given in [30], due to the embedding $L^1 \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\rho}$ (see Lemma A.7). These decay estimates of the linearized problem are crucial in the proof of the decay estimates of the nonlinear problem stated in Theorem 3.2. We define the vector $\mathbf{V} = (u_t + \tau u_{tt}, \nabla(u + \tau u_t), \nabla u_t)$ and show the following decay estimates for the vector solution \mathbf{V} , where here we are not restricted to the 3D case.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. Suppose that $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sigma + s > 0$, then for all $t \geq 0$, the solution of (5.1) has the following decay estimate:*

$$(5.2a) \quad \|\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}},$$

Also if $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\sigma \geq 0$ and $s > 0$, then the solution of (5.1) has the following decay estimate:

$$(5.2b) \quad \|\Lambda^k \mathbf{V}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma-k}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{k+s}{2}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq \sigma$.

The result of Theorem 5.1 provides the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, it holds that for $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < p$,*

$$(5.3) \quad \|\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

Proof of Corollary 5.1. Using for $(2 \leq p < \infty)$, the estimate

$$(5.4) \quad \|\mathbf{V}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{H}^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{n(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}}$$

we obtain from (5.2a) and for $s = n(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2})$, $(1 \leq q < p)$, the estimate (5.3). \square

Remark 1. *The decay rate (5.3) has been proven in [30] for $p = 2$ and for initial data in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Comparing (5.3) to the decay estimates in [30] we can say that the assumption $\mathbf{V}_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is relaxed due to the embedding $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma A.7). However the assumption $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is more restrictive than $\mathbf{V}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ due to the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The following proposition has been proved in [30, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. There exists a functional $\mathcal{L}[\hat{\mathbf{U}}]$ and three positive constants c_1, c_2 and η such that for all $t \geq 0$, it holds that*

$$c_1 E_1[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t) \leq \mathcal{L}[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t) \leq c_2 E_1[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t) + \eta \frac{|\xi|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \mathcal{L}[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t) \leq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1[\hat{\mathbf{U}}](t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |\hat{v} + \tau \hat{w}|^2 + \tau(\beta - \tau) |\xi|^2 |\hat{v}|^2 + |\xi|^2 |\hat{u} + \tau \hat{v}|^2 \right\} \\ &\approx |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\dot{\Delta}_q$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ to (5.1) and following the same steps as in the proof of the Proposition 3.1 in [30], we obtain the frequency-localized estimate stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. There exists a functional $\mathcal{L}[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}]$ and three positive constants c_1, c_2 and η such that for all $t \geq 0$, it holds that*

$$c_1 E_1[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq c_2 E_1[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}](t),$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}](t) + \eta \frac{|\xi|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq 0.$$

Similar to Proposition 5.2, applying the frequency localized operator Δ_q , $q \geq -1$ to system (5.1) and using the same method in [30], we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. There exists a functional $\mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}]$ and three positive constants c_1, c_2 and η such that for all $t \geq 0$, it holds that for all $q \geq -1$ (with $\Delta_{-1} = S_0$)*

$$c_1 E_1[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq c_2 E_1[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}](t),$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}](t) + \eta \frac{|\xi|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}](t) \leq 0.$$

5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since $\mathcal{L}[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}] \approx E_1[\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{U}}] \approx |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}}|^2$, then, it holds from (5.5) that

$$(5.7) \quad |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}}|^2 \lesssim e^{-\eta \frac{|\xi|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} t} |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0}|^2.$$

Following [37] and using the definition of the localization operator $\dot{\Delta}_q$, we have $|\xi| \sim 2^q$ and thus, for $q < 0$, then $|\xi| < 1$ and for $q \geq 0$, then $|\xi| \geq 1$. Hence, we separate the proof into two frequency regions, low frequency $|\xi| < 1$ and high frequency $|\xi| \geq 1$.

Case 1. For $q \geq 0$, multiplying (5.7) by $|\xi|^k$ and by using Plancherel's theorem, we deduce that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(5.8) \quad \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^k \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^k \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2}.$$

We multiply the above inequality by $2^{q(\sigma-k)}$, summing the resulting inequality over $q \geq 0$ and using Lemma A.1, we get

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(\sigma-k)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^k \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim e^{-ct} \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(\sigma-k)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^k \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. For $q < 0$, we have $\frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2} \approx |\xi|^2$ and $|\xi| \sim 2^q$. Thus, we have, for some $\eta_1 > 0$,

$$(5.10) \quad |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}}| \lesssim e^{-\eta_1 |\xi|^2 t} |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0}| \approx e^{-\eta_1 2^{2q} t} |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0}|.$$

Hence, integrating (5.10) over \mathbb{R}_ξ^3 and using Plancherel's theorem, we obtain

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-\eta_1 (2^q \sqrt{t})^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma + s > 0$, then we multiply the above inequality by $2^{q\sigma}$, we get

$$(5.11) \quad 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}} \left[(2^q \sqrt{t})^{\sigma+s} e^{-\eta_1 (2^q \sqrt{t})^2} \right]$$

since $\sup_{t>0} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^q \sqrt{t})^{\sigma+s} e^{-c(2^q \sqrt{t})^2} \lesssim 1$ (see [1, Lemma 2.35]), then we obtain

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{q < 0} 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}}.$$

Consequently, collecting (5.9) and (5.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} &= \sum_{q < 0} 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}} + e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (5.2a).

Now, we need to show (5.2b). Since for $q \geq 0$ we have $\dot{\Delta}_q f = \Delta_q f$, then the high-frequency estimate holds as in the homogeneous case, that is

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(\sigma-k)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^k \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma}.$$

To show the low-frequency estimate, we take $q = -1$ in (5.6), we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_{-1} \mathbf{U}}](t) + \eta_2 |\xi|^2 |\widehat{\Delta_{-1} \mathbf{V}}|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0.$$

Multiplying (5.13) by $|\xi|^{2k}$ and using Plancherel's theorem, it follows that

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1} \mathbf{U}](t) + \eta_2 \|\Lambda^{k+1} \Delta_{-1} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0$$

where

$$(5.15) \quad \mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi^3} |\xi|^{2k} \mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}}] d\xi \approx \|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Following [14] and applying (A.9), we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \|\Lambda^{k+1}\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \gtrsim \|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1}{k+s}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}^{-\frac{1}{k+s}}.$$

Our next goal is to show that

$$(5.17) \quad \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}.$$

Indeed, using the fact that $\mathcal{L}[\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{U}}] \approx |\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{V}}|^2$, we obtain from (5.5) together with Plancherel's theorem

$$(5.18) \quad \|\dot{\Delta_q \mathbf{V}}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\dot{\Delta_q \mathbf{V}}_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Multiplying (5.18) by 2^{-qs} and taking the ℓ^∞ norm yields (5.17).

Now, combining (5.14), (5.16) and (5.17), we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](t) + C(\|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}\|_{L^2}^2)^{1+\frac{1}{k+s}} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}^{-\frac{2}{k+s}} \leq 0.$$

Recalling (5.15), we have from (5.19) for some $C_0 > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](t) + C_0(\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](t))^{1+\frac{1}{k+s}} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}^{-\frac{2}{k+s}} \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](t) \leq \left([\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{U}](0)]^{-\frac{1}{k+s}} + C_0 \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}^{-\frac{2}{k+s}} \frac{t}{k+s} \right)^{-k+s}.$$

Using again (5.15), we obtain

$$(5.20) \quad \|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left(\left[\|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}(0)\|_{L^2} \right]^{-\frac{2}{k+s}} + C_0 \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}}^{-\frac{2}{k+s}} \frac{t}{k+s} \right)^{-k+s}$$

Then, we have by taking the square root of (5.20) (see [36])

$$(5.21) \quad \|\Lambda^k \Delta_{-1}\mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{k+s}{2}}.$$

Collecting (5.9) and (5.21), we obtain (5.2b). This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.2. Decay estimates for u_t . Theorem 5.1 does not directly yield a decay rate for $\|u_t\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma} = \|v\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma}$ (where $X_{2,1}^\sigma$ is either $\dot{B}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $B_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Such a decay rate is necessary to prove the decay estimates of the nonlinear equation. However, we can obtain it through the bound

$$\|v\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \|(v + \tau w)\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma} + \|w\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma}$$

and (5.2), if we have a decay rate for $\|w\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma}$. The decay rate of $\|w\|_{X_{2,1}^\sigma}$ is the result of the next proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. If $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $w_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sigma + s + 1 > 0$, then it holds that*

$$(5.22) \quad \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \lesssim \left(\|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma+1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} + \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma} \right) (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s+1}{2}}$$

provided that the thermal relaxation $\tau > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Proof. For proving the above estimate, we need to employ the decay rates of the Fourier transform of the solution. It has been proved in [30, Estimate 3.6] that the following estimate holds:

$$(5.23) \quad |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, t)|^2 \lesssim |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, 0)|^2 \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2} t\right)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. The constant λ is positive and independent of t and ξ . For the linearized problem, it holds from the third equation of (2.3a)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau |w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta u w dx + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta v w dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \beta^2 \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau |w|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 dx \lesssim \|\Delta(u + \tau v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus we know that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \tau |\hat{w}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\hat{w}|^2 \lesssim |\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, t)|^2.$$

By plugging in estimate (5.23) for $|\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, t)|^2$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\hat{w}|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{\tau} |\hat{w}|^2 + C |\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, 0)|^2 \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2} t\right).$$

Using the factorization $\frac{d}{dt} |\hat{w}|^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} |\hat{w}|^2 = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{\frac{t}{\tau}} |\hat{w}|^2)$ to arrive at

$$|\hat{w}|^2 \leq |\hat{w}_0|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} t\right) + C |\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, 0)|^2 \int_0^t \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2} s\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} (t-s)\right) ds,$$

which directly leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{w}|^2 &\leq |\hat{w}_0|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} t\right) \\ &\quad + C |\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, 0)|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} t\right) \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}\right)^{-1} \left[\exp\left(-\left(\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2} - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) t\right) - 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

To further bound the right-hand side side, we can use the identity

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau} - \lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}} = \frac{\tau (|\xi|^2 + 1)}{|\xi|^2 (1 - \lambda \tau) + 1}.$$

Assuming that the thermal relaxation is small enough so that $\tau < \frac{1}{\lambda}$, it holds

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\tau} - \lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}} \leq \frac{\tau}{1 - \lambda \tau}.$$

Altogether, for small $\tau > 0$, we obtain

$$|\hat{w}|^2 \leq |\hat{w}_0|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}t\right) + C|\xi|^2 |\hat{\mathbf{V}}(\xi, 0)|^2 \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t\right).$$

Using the third equation of the linearized system of (4.4) (i.e., $R_q = 0$) and following the above steps, we can prove the following estimate (here $|\xi| \approx 2^q$)

$$(5.24) \quad |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q w}|^2 \leq |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q w_0}|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}t\right) + C2^{2q} |\widehat{\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0}|^2 \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t\right).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, for $q \geq 0$, we have from (5.24) and Plancherel's theorem

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \left(\|\dot{\Delta}_q w_0\|_{L^2} + 2^q \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2} \right).$$

We multiply the above inequality by $2^{q\sigma}$, summing the resulting inequality over $q \geq 0$ and using Lemma A.1, we get

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} &\lesssim e^{-ct} \sum_{q \geq 0} \left(2^{q(\sigma+1)} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2} + 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w_0\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim e^{-ct} (\|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\sigma+1}} + \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $q < 0$, we have as in (5.10)

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2\tau}t} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w_0\|_{L^2} + 2^q e^{-\eta_1(2^q \sqrt{t})^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}_0\|_{L^2}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma + s + 1 > 0$, then we multiply the above inequality by $2^{q\sigma}$, we get

$$(5.26) \quad \sum_{q < 0} 2^{q\sigma} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s} + \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma+s+1}{2}}.$$

Hence, (5.22) holds by collecting (5.25) and (5.26). \square

6. DECAY OF THE NONLINEAR MODEL

Our goal in this section is to extend the decay estimates in Theorem 5.1 to the nonlinear model (1.4). We follow the method in [37], which is based on a frequency-localization Duhamel principle.

First, taking the Fourier transform of linearized system associated to (2.3a), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_t = \hat{v}, \\ \hat{v}_t = \hat{w}, \\ \hat{w}_t = -\frac{|\xi|^2}{\tau} \hat{u} - \frac{\beta|\xi|^2}{\tau} \hat{v} - \frac{1}{\tau} \hat{w}. \end{cases}$$

We can write the previous system in a matrix form as

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_t(\xi, t) = \Phi(\xi) \hat{\mathbf{U}}(\xi, t),$$

with the initial data

$$(6.1a) \quad \hat{\mathbf{U}}(\xi, 0) = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_0(\xi),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{U}}(\xi, t) = (\hat{u}(\xi, t), \hat{v}(\xi, t), \hat{w}(\xi, t))^T$ and

$$\Phi(\xi) = L + |\xi|^2 A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix} + |\xi|^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} & -\frac{\beta}{\tau} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{G}(t, x)$ the Green matrix associated with the linearized system and defined through its Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}f}(t, \xi) = e^{t\Phi(\xi)} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Hence, the solution \mathbf{U} of the nonlinear problem (2.3a) can be expressed, using Duhamel's formula, as

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^0 + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U}),$$

where \mathbf{U}^0 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U})$ are given by

$$\mathbf{U}^0 = \mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U}) = \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(t-r, x) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r) dr.$$

\mathbf{U}^0 satisfies the linear equation

$$\mathbf{U}_t^0 - \mathcal{A} \mathbf{U}^0 = 0, \quad \mathbf{U}^0(x) = \mathbf{U}_0(x),$$

and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U})$ satisfies the nonlinear equation with zero initial data, that is

$$\partial_t \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U}), \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{U})(x, 0) = 0,$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \\ \frac{1}{\tau} \Delta(u + \beta v) - \frac{1}{\tau} w \end{pmatrix}$$

and \mathcal{F} is the nonlinear term

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{B}{A} vw + \frac{2}{\tau} \nabla u \nabla v \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the next lemma, we state the frequency localization principle.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $0 < \tau < \beta$. Let $\mathbf{U} = (u, v, w)^T$ be the solution of (2.3). Then, it holds that*

$$\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}(t, x) = \Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0] + \int_0^t \Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-r, x) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)] dr$$

for $q \geq -1$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}(t, x) = \dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0] + \int_0^t \dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-r, x) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)] dr,$$

for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of Lemma 6.1 follows as in [37, Lemma 5.1]. We omit the details. We define

$$\mathbf{E}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq t} \|\mathbf{U}(\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}$$

and inspired by (5.2b) and (5.4), we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t) := & \sup_{0 \leq \ell < 3/2} \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} (1+r)^{\frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{3}{4}} \|\Lambda^\ell \mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}-\ell}} + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} (1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \\ & + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} (1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|w(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} (1+r)^{\frac{3}{4}} \|w(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} (1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We point out here that $\|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}}$ should be expected to decay at the rate $(1+r)^{-2}$, but it seems not clear how to reach this for the nonlinear problem. However, the decay of rate $(1+r)^{-3/2}$ is enough to prove our result.

Now, we define the norm

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad \|\mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla(u + \tau v)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v + \tau w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{V}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The goal is next to prove that $\mathcal{M}(t)$ is uniformly bounded for all time if \mathbb{E}_0 (see (3.1)) is sufficiently small. The main step towards this goal is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathbf{U}(t, x)$ be the global solution given in Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\mathbf{U}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}$ and $\mathbf{V}_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, it holds that*

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(t) \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t),$$

where we recall that $\mathbb{E}_0 = \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

6.1. Proof of Proposition 6.1. In this section, we prove (6.3). This will be done through four steps.

Step 1. High-frequency estimate. Keeping in mind that $\Delta_q f = \dot{\Delta}_q f$, for $q \geq 0$, we show the estimates just for the inhomogeneous case. Recalling (5.8) and keeping in mind (6.2), we have

$$\|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all $q \geq 0$. Now, using Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \int_0^t \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-r, x) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr \\ &\lesssim e^{-ct} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-r)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind (6.2), we write

$$\begin{aligned} (6.5) \quad \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\| \Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{B}{A} vw + \frac{2}{\tau} \nabla u \nabla v \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell (vw + \nabla u \nabla v)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to by recalling (6.2) and (A.1), together with (6.5)

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(3/2-\ell)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-r)} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} dr$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 3/2$. Our goal now is to estimate the term $\|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}$. As $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra, we have

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} &\lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t) \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\ell/2} \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, plugging (6.7) into (6.6) and using the elementary inequality

$$(6.8) \quad \int_0^t (1+\sigma)^{-\beta} e^{-\gamma(t-\sigma)} d\sigma \lesssim (1+t)^{-\beta}, \quad \gamma, \beta > 0,$$

we obtain

$$(6.9) \quad \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{q(3/2-\ell)} \|\Delta_q \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} + (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t)$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 3/2$. We point out that we have $\|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{3/2}}$

Step 2. Low-frequency estimate. Now, our goal is to prove the low-frequency estimate. We discuss separately the two cases $0 \leq \ell < 3/2$ and $\ell = 3/2$.

(i) The case $0 \leq \ell < 3/2$:

In this case and following [27], we have for the low-frequency estimate as in (5.21) and for $0 \leq \ell < 3/2$,

$$(6.10) \quad \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t, x) \mathbf{U}_0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Hence, this yields by using Lemma 6.1,

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t) \mathbf{U}_0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \int_0^t \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-r) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} + K_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_1 = \int_0^t \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell [\mathcal{G}(t-r) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr.$$

To estimate K_1 , applying (6.10) for $s = 3/2$ and using the embedding $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we deduce the following estimate

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &\lesssim \int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} dr \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{L^1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We have by using Hölder's inequality,

$$(6.13) \quad \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Applying (5.4), we get $\|w(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|w(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0}$ and hence, we obtain

$$(6.14) \quad \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}^2(t).$$

Using the embedding $B_{2,1}^{3/2} \hookrightarrow L^2$, we find

$$(6.15) \quad \|\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}^2(t).$$

Plugging (6.14) and (6.15) into (6.13), we arrive at

$$(6.16) \quad \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(t)\|_{L^1} \leq (1+t)^{-3/2} \mathcal{M}^2(t).$$

Hence, inserting (6.16) into (6.12), we find

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &\lesssim \mathcal{M}^2(t) \int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}} (1+r)^{-3/2} dr \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Lemma A.9 in the last inequality.

Now, plugging (6.17) into (6.11), we deduce that

$$(6.18) \quad \|\Delta_{-1} \Lambda^\ell \mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} + \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2}}.$$

(ii) The case $\ell = 3/2$:

In this case, we have by using Lemma 6.1,

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} &= \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} [\mathcal{G}(t) \mathbf{U}_0]\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} [\mathcal{G}(t-r) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + K_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.12) together with the fact that $\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \approx 2^{q\frac{3}{2}} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2}$. To estimate K_2 , we apply (5.12), to get

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \int_0^t \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} [\mathcal{G}(t-r) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}, \nabla \mathbf{U})(r)]\|_{\mathcal{H}} dr \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} dr \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

as in the estimate of K_1 . Consequently, we deduce that

$$(6.20) \quad \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Collecting (6.9) and (6.20), we obtain

$$(6.21) \quad \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \lesssim (\mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t)) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Step 3. The estimate of $\|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}}$. Taking $\ell = 5/2$ in (6.4), we get as in Step 1:

$$(6.22) \quad \sum_{q \geq 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-r)} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} dr.$$

Now, recalling (A.6), we obtain

$$(6.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} &\lesssim \|w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have by using the embedding $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, together with (A.1),

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|w\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} (\|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|v + \tau w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}}) \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} (\|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|v + \tau w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}}) \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} (\|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, collecting (6.23), (6.24) and (6.25), we get by plugging into (6.22) and recalling the definition of $\mathcal{M}(t)$,

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q \geq 0} 2^{5q/2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t) \int_0^t e^{-c(t-r)} (1+r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dr \\ &\lesssim e^{-ct} \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.8). We also point out that we have $\|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}}$.

Now, for the low frequency estimate, we proceed as in (6.19) and obtain

$$\sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-2} + K_3$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &= \int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-2} \|(vw + \nabla u \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} dr \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-3/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this yields

$$(6.27) \quad \sum_{q < 0} \|\dot{\Delta}_q \Lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3/2}} (1+t)^{-2} + \mathcal{M}^2(t) (1+t)^{-3/2}.$$

Collecting (6.26) and (6.27), we deduce that

$$(6.28) \quad \|\mathbf{V}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \lesssim (\mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t) \mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t)) (1+t)^{-3/2}.$$

Step 4. The estimates of $\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0}$ and $\|w(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0}$. We multiply the third equation in (4.4) by $\dot{\Delta}_q w$ and integrate with respect to space, using Hölder's inequality, to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{R}_q\|_{L^2} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\tau} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{R}_q\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \left(\|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q (u + \tau v)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \dot{\Delta}_q v\|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\dot{\Delta}_q (vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\tau} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_q (vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)\|_{L^2} \right).$$

We multiply the above inequality by e^t , we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{\frac{1}{\tau} t} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} e^{\frac{1}{\tau} t} \left(\|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_q (vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)\|_{L^2} \right).$$

By integrating with respect to time, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6.29) \quad \|\dot{\Delta}_q w\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} t} \|\dot{\Delta}_q w_0\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} (t-r)} \left(\|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_q \mathbf{V}(r)\|_{L^2} + \|\dot{\Delta}_q (vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)(r)\|_{L^2} \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply the above inequality by $2^{\frac{3q}{2}}$, summing the resulting inequality over $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(t-r)} \left(\|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{5/2}} + \|(vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \right).$$

Now, recalling (6.28), (6.7) and using (6.8), we obtain

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} &\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} + (\mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t))(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (\mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t))(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method, we can further show that summing (6.29) over $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ (we omit the details)

$$\|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} \|w_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}(t-r)} \left(\|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} + \|(vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \right).$$

First, we have from (6.9) and (6.18) (for $\ell = 0$)

$$\|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim (\mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t))(1+r)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Now, using the estimate (see Lemma A.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(vw + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v)(r)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} &\lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\nabla v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t)(1+r)^{-3/4} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain as in the proof of (6.30),

$$(6.31) \quad \|w\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \lesssim (\mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}(t)\mathcal{M}(t) + \mathcal{M}^2(t))(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Consequently, (6.3) holds by collecting (6.9), (6.18), (6.21), (6.28), (6.30) and (6.31). This finishes the proof of Proposition 6.1.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. From Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\mathbf{E}(t) \lesssim \|\mathbf{U}_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0.$$

Hence, for \mathbb{E}_0 sufficiently small, we have from (6.3)

$$(6.32) \quad \mathcal{M}(t) \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0 + \mathcal{M}^2(t).$$

Applying Lemma A.10, we deduce from (6.32) that

$$(6.33) \quad \mathcal{M}(t) \lesssim \mathbb{E}_0$$

provided that \mathbb{E}_0 is sufficiently small. Consequently, the decay estimates in Theorem 3.2 results from (6.33). This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.

APPENDIX A. ANALYTIC TOOLS

In this appendix, we introduce the Littlewood–Paley decomposition, define the Besov spaces and list some of their properties. See e.g. [1] for more details.

A.1. Littlewood-Paley theory. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions. For a given f in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$ and its inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{f}$ as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $(\varphi, \chi(\xi))$ be a couple of smooth functions valued in $[0, 1]$ such that φ is supported in the annulus $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$, χ is supported in the ball $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$, such that

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Denote by $h := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$ and $\tilde{h} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Delta}_q f &= \varphi(2^{-q}D)f = 2^{dq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(2^q y) f(x-y) dy, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ \Delta_q f &= \varphi(2^{-q}D)f = 2^{dq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(2^q y) f(x-y) dy, \quad q \geq 0; \\ \Delta_{-1} f &= \chi(D)f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{h}(y) f(x-y) dy, \quad \Delta_q f = 0 \text{ for } q \leq -2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\dot{\Delta}_q$ coincide with Δ_q for $q \geq 0$.

Now, denote by $\mathcal{S}'_0 = \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ the tempered distribution modulo polynomials \mathcal{P} . It is not hard to see that the space \mathcal{S}'_0 is exactly the space of tempered distributions for which we may write

$$u = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_q u.$$

This decomposition is called the homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

We recall the Bernstein inequality, which is the fundamental inequality in the Littlewood-Paley theory. See [1].

Lemma A.1 (Bernstein inequality). *Let $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and let k be an integer.*

(i): *If $\text{Supp}(\hat{f}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R_1 \lambda\}$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^k f\|_{L^a} \lesssim \lambda^{k+n(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})} \|f\|_{L^b}.$$

(ii): *If $\text{Supp}(\hat{f}) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : R_1 \lambda \lesssim |\xi| \leq R_2 \lambda\}$, then*

$$\|\Lambda^k f\|_{L^a} \approx \lambda^k \|f\|_{L^a}.$$

As a consequence of the above inequality, we have

$$(A.1) \quad \|\Lambda^\ell f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+\ell}} \quad (\ell \geq 0); \quad \|\Lambda^\ell f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \approx \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s+\ell}} \quad (\ell \in \mathbb{R}).$$

A.2. Besov spaces. Now, we introduce the definition of Besov spaces. These spaces are very useful in the study of nonlinear PDEs since many embedding theorems which fail in Sobolev spaces are correct in Besov spaces.

Definition 1. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ is defined as

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_0 : \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_q f\|_{L^p} \right)^r \right)^{1/r}, & r < \infty \\ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{qs} \|\dot{\Delta}_q f\|_{L^p}, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define the inhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s$ as:

Definition 2. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the inhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s$ is defined as

$$B_{p,r}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{qs} \|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p} \right)^r \right)^{1/r}, & r < \infty \\ \sup_{q \geq -1} 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q f\|_p, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We summarize the main properties of Besov spaces in the following lemma. See [38, Lemma 2.2] for the properties (1)-(5) and [8, Proposition 1.4] for the properties (6) and (7).

Lemma A.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, then

1. If $s > 0$, then $B_{p,r}^s = L^p \cap \dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ and $\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \approx \|f\|_p + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}$.
2. If $\tilde{s} \leq s$, then $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{p,r}^{\tilde{s}}$.
3. If $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r} \leq \infty$, then $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\tilde{r}}^s$ and $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{p,\tilde{r}}^s$.
4. If $1 \leq p \leq \tilde{p} \leq \infty$, then $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\tilde{p},r}^{s-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}$ and $B_{p,r}^s \hookrightarrow B_{\tilde{p},r}^{s-n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}$.
5. $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ and $B_{p,1}^{n/p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), where \mathcal{C}_0 is the space of bounded continuous functions which decay at infinity.
6. There exists a universal constant C such that

$$(A.2) \quad C^{-1} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^{s-1}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}.$$

$$7. \quad \|\nabla u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s}.$$

The Littlewood–Paley decomposition enables us to obtain bounds for each dyadic block in spaces of the form $L_T^\rho(L^p)$. Therefore, to go from this type of bounds to estimates in $L_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ we need to perform a summation in $\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})$. By doing this, we in fact do not bound the $L_T^\rho(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ norm, since the time integration has been performed

before the summation in $\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})$. This requires the introduction of the following spaces known as the Chemin–Lerner spaces. See [5].

Definition 3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, r, \theta \leq \infty$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Then the homogeneous mixed time-space Chemin–Lerner space $\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s) = \left\{ f \in L^\theta(0, T; \mathcal{S}') : \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} = \left\| 2^{sq} \left(\int_0^T \|\Delta_q f(\tau)\|_p^\theta d\tau \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})}$$

with the usual modification if $\theta = \infty$. Notice here that the integration in time is taken before the summation in $\ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$.

We also need the inhomogeneous mixed time-space $\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,q}^s)$, $s > 0$ whose norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(B_{p,q}^s)} = \|f\|_{L_T^\theta(L^p)} + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,q}^s)}.$$

We further define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T(B_{p,q}^s) &:= \tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{p,q}^s) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,q}^s) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_T^1(B_{p,q}^s) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; B_{p,q}^s) : \partial_t f \in \tilde{L}_T^\infty(B_{p,q}^s)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

and for $T = +\infty$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(B_{p,q}^s) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; B_{p,q}^s) : \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(B_{p,q}^s)} < +\infty\} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^1(B_{p,q}^s) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; B_{p,q}^s) : \|\partial_t f\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty(B_{p,q}^s)} < +\infty\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

where for notation simplicity, the index T will be omitted when $T = +\infty$.

Remark 2. Using Minkowski's inequality, we may easily show that the above spaces can be linked to the usual space-time mixed spaces $L_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)$ through the embedding inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} &\leq \|f\|_{L_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}, & \text{if } r \geq \theta, \\ \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} &\geq \|f\|_{L_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}, & \text{if } r \leq \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In addition the following product estimate holds (see [38, Lemma 2.4])

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_T^{\theta_1}(L^\infty)} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_2}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} + \|g\|_{L_T^{\theta_3}(L^\infty)} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_4}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}$$

whenever $s > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq \theta, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 \leq \infty$ and

$$\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} = \frac{1}{\theta_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_4}.$$

As a direct corollary, one has

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{L}_T^\theta(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_1}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_2}(\dot{B}_{p,r}^s)}$$

whenever $sp \geq n$ and $1/\theta = 1/\theta_1 + 1/\theta_2$.

A.3. Useful inequalities. Now, we introduce some useful inequalities such as the Moser-type product estimates, commutator estimates and the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequalities and some other useful inequalities.

We start with the following characterization of the homogeneous Besov spaces. (See [13, Corollary 1.3.2])

Lemma A.3. *Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then u belongs to $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(c_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $c_q > 0$, $\|c_q\|_{\ell^r} = 1$ and*

$$(A.5) \quad \|\dot{\Delta}_q u\|_{L^p} \lesssim c_q 2^{-sq} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s}.$$

Next, we recall the following lemma. (see [38, Proposition 2.1])

Lemma A.4 (Product estimate). *Let $s > 0$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. Let f and g be in $L^\infty \cap \dot{B}_{p,r}^s$. Then, it holds that*

$$(A.6) \quad \|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s},$$

where the hidden constant is depending only on n, p and s .

Since $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}$ is embedded in L^∞ , then it is an algebra and it holds that

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p}}.$$

Lemma A.5 ([9]). *The following inequality holds true:*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{n/2}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}$$

whenever $s \in (-n/2, n/2]$.

Now, we state some helpful commutator estimates (see [27, Proposition 2.3]).

Lemma A.6 (Commutator estimates). *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$ and $s \in (-\frac{n}{p} - 1, \frac{n}{p}]$. Then there exists a generic constant C depending only on s and n such that*

$$(A.7) \quad \|[f, \dot{\Delta}_q]g\|_{L^p} \leq C c_q 2^{-q(s+1)} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s}$$

and

$$(A.8) \quad \|[f, \dot{\Delta}_q]g\|_{L_T^\theta L^p} \leq C c_q 2^{-q(s+1)} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_1}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^{n/p+1})} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\theta_2}(\dot{B}_{p,1}^s)}$$

with $1/\theta = 1/\theta_1 + 1/\theta_2$ and $(c_q)_{q \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denotes a positive sequence such that $\|c_q\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$.

Lemma A.7. *Suppose that $\varrho > 0$ and $1 \leq p < 2$. It holds that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{r,\infty}^{-\varrho}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$$

with $1/p - 1/r = \varrho/n$. In particular this holds with $\varrho = n/2$, $r = 2$ and $p = 1$.

We also have the following interpolation inequality see for instance [37, Lemma 8.2].

Lemma A.8. *Suppose that $k \geq 0$ and $m, \varrho > 0$. Then the following inequality holds*

$$(A.9) \quad \|\Lambda^k f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{k+m} f\|_{L^2}^\theta \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\varrho}}^{1-\theta} \quad \text{with} \quad \theta = \frac{\varrho + k}{\varrho + k + m}.$$

We recall now the following inequality which has been proved in [32].

Lemma A.9. *Let $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ be constants such that $\max(a, b) > 1$. Then, we have*

$$\int_0^t (1+t-r)^{-a} (1+r)^{-b} dr \leq C (1+t)^{-\min(a,b)}.$$

The next lemma has been proved in [34, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma A.10. *Let $F = F(t)$ be a non-negative continuous function satisfying the inequality*

$$F(t) \leq c_1 + c_2 F(t)^\kappa,$$

in some interval containing 0, where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants and $\kappa > 1$. If $F(0) \leq c_1$ and

$$c_1 c_2^{1/(\kappa-1)} < (1 - 1/\kappa) \kappa^{-1/(\kappa-1)},$$

then in the same interval

$$F(t) < \frac{c_1}{1 - 1/\kappa}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to warmly thank Vanja Nikolić and Reinhard Racke for sharing their many insights about this problem and for the many discussions on the first draft of this work. The author would also like to thank the reviewers for the careful reading of the manuscript and the thoughtful comments, which greatly helped improve this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [2] H. BOUNADJA AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Decay rates for the Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory*, *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*, (to appear, doi: 10.3934/eect.2020074).
- [3] F. BUCCI AND I. LASIECKA, *Feedback control of the acoustic pressure in ultrasonic wave propagation*, *Optimization*, 68 (2019), pp. 1811–1854.
- [4] D. S. CHANDRASEKHARAIAH, *Thermoelasticity with second sound: A Review*, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 39 (1986), pp. 355–376.
- [5] J.-Y. CHEMIN AND N. LERNER, *Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier–Stokes*, *J. Differential Equations*, 121 (1995), pp. 314–328.
- [6] W. CHEN AND R. IKEHATA, *The Cauchy problem for the Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation in the dissipative case*, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 292 (2021), pp. 176–219.
- [7] W. CHEN AND A. PALMIERI, *Nonexistence of global solutions for the semilinear Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation in the conservative case*, *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 40 (2020).
- [8] R. DANCHIN, *Local theory in critical spaces for compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases*, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 26 (2001), pp. 1183–1233.

- [9] ———, *Well-posedness in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids with truly not constant density*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007), pp. 1373–1397.
- [10] A. DEKKERS AND A. ROZANOVA-PIERRAT, *Cauchy problem for the Kuznetsov equation*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 39 (2019), pp. 277–307.
- [11] F. DELL’ORO, I. LASIECKA, AND V. PATA, *The Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory in the critical case*, Journal of Differential Equations, 261 (2016), pp. 4188–4222.
- [12] F. A. DUCK, *Nonlinear acoustics in diagnostic ultrasound*, Ultrasound in medicine & biology, 28 (2002), pp. 1–18.
- [13] G. GUI, *Stability to the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations*, vol. 132, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [14] Y. GUO AND Y. WANG, *Decay of dissipative equations and negative Sobolev spaces*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 37 (2012), pp. 2165–2208.
- [15] Y. HE, S. CHEN, D. ZHOU, S. HUANG, AND P. WANG, *Shared excitation based nonlinear ultrasound and vibrothermography testing for CFRP barely visible impact damage inspection*, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 14 (2018), pp. 5575–5584.
- [16] P. JORDAN, *Nonlinear acoustic phenomena in viscous thermally relaxing fluids: Shock bifurcation and the emergence of diffusive solitons.*, The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 124 (2008), pp. 2491–2491.
- [17] L. JOSEPH AND D. D. PREZIOSI, *Heat waves*, Rev. Mod. Physics, 61 (1989), pp. 41–73.
- [18] B. KALTENBACHER, I. LASIECKA, AND R. MARCHAND, *Wellposedness and exponential decay rates for the Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound*, Control and Cybernetics, 40 (2011), pp. 971–988.
- [19] B. KALTENBACHER, I. LASIECKA, AND M. K. POSPIESZALSKA, *Well-posedness and exponential decay of the energy in the nonlinear Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 22 (2012), pp. 1250035, 34.
- [20] B. KALTENBACHER AND V. NIKOLIĆ, *Time-fractional Moore–Gibson–Thompson equations*, arXiv:2104.13967, pp. 1–40.
- [21] B. KALTENBACHER AND V. NIKOLIĆ, *On the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation: Well-posedness with quadratic gradient nonlinearity and singular limit for vanishing relaxation time*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 29 (2019), pp. 2523–2556.
- [22] V. P. KUZNETSOV, *Equations of nonlinear acoustics*, Sov. Phys. Acoust, 16 (1971), p. 467.
- [23] I. LASIECKA, *Global solvability of Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory arising in nonlinear acoustics*, Journal of Evolution Equations, 17 (2017), pp. 411–441.
- [24] C. LIZAMA AND S. ZAMORANO, *Controllability results for the moore-gibson-thompson equation arising in nonlinear acoustics*, J. Differential Equations, 266 (2019), pp. 7813–7843.

- [25] D. MARESCA, A. LAKSHMANAN, A. LEE-GOSSELIN, J. M. MELIS, Y.-L. NI, R. W. BOURDEAU, D. M. KOCHMANN, AND M. G. SHAPIRO, *Nonlinear ultrasound imaging of nanoscale acoustic biomolecules*, Applied physics letters, 110 (2017), p. 073704.
- [26] J. MELCHOR, W. J. PARNELL, N. BOCHUD, L. PERALTA, AND G. RUS, *Damage prediction via nonlinear ultrasound: A micro-mechanical approach*, Ultrasonics, 93 (2019), pp. 145–155.
- [27] N. MORI, J. XU, AND S. KAWASHIMA, *Global existence and optimal decay rates for the Timoshenko system: the case of equal wave speeds*, J. Differential Equations, 258 (2015), pp. 1494–1518.
- [28] V. NIKOLIĆ AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Mathematical analysis of memory effects and thermal relaxation in nonlinear sound waves on unbounded domains*, J. Differential Equations, 273 (2021), pp. 172–218.
- [29] V. NIKOLIĆ AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *On the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson wave equation in hereditary fluids with quadratic gradient nonlinearity*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 23 (2021), pp. DOI:10.1007/s00021-020-00522-6.
- [30] M. PELLICER AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Wellposedness and decay rates for the Cauchy problem of the Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound*, Appl Math. Optim, 80 (2019), pp. 447–478.
- [31] R. RACKE AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Jordan–Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, DOI:10.1142/S0219199720500698, pp. 1–39.
- [32] I. E. SEGAL, *Dispersion for non-linear relativistic equations, ii*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 1 (1968), pp. 459–497.
- [33] V. D. C. SOHINGER AND R. M. STRAIN, *The Boltzmann equation, Besov spaces, and optimal time decay rates in \mathbb{R}_x^n* , Advances in Mathematics, 261 (2014), pp. 274–332.
- [34] W. A. STRAUSS, *Decay and asymptotics for $\square u = F(u)$* , J. Functional Analysis, 2 (1968), pp. 409–457.
- [35] Z. C. W. LIU AND D. CHEN, *New general decay results for a Moore–Gibson–Thompson equation with memory*, Applicable Analysis., <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2019.1577390> (2019), pp. 1–19.
- [36] Y. WANG, J. XU, AND S. KAWASHIMA, *Global existence and optimal time-decay estimates of solutions to the generalized double dispersion equation on the framework of Besov spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 481 (2020), p. 123455.
- [37] J. XU AND S. KAWASHIMA, *The optimal decay estimates on the framework of Besov spaces for generally dissipative systems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 218 (2015), pp. 275–315.
- [38] J. XU, N. MORI, AND S. KAWASHIMA, *Global existence and minimal decay regularity for the Timoshenko system: The case of non-equal wave speeds*, Journal of Differential Equations, 259 (2015), pp. 5533–5553.
- [39] S. YOSHIHIRO, *Theory of Besov Spaces*, Springer Singapore, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P. O. BOX: 27272, SHARJAH, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Email address: [bhouri@sharjah.ac.ae](mailto:bhouari@sharjah.ac.ae)