Level-rank duality of $SU(2)_k$ Chern-Simons theory, and of hypergraph and magic states
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Abstract: The level-rank duality of $SU(2)_k$ Chern-Simons theory is discussed, and applied to graph, hypergraph, and magic states.
1 Introduction

Level-rank duality of Chern-Simons theory and WZW theories has a long history, [1–6] with many applications. It generally involves transposition of the Young tableaux of the representations for a pair of theories, linked by the duality. However, in general level-rank duality is not a one-to-one map between the tableaux of the two theories. For example, $SU(2)_3$ has four states, while $SU(3)_2$ has six. However, using cominimal equivalent or simple current maps relating states, [5] a one-to-one map of the representations is possible. Note that $SU(2)_3/\mathbb{Z}_3$ and $SU(3)_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ have just two states related one-to-one by transposition of the respective Young tableaux. The generalization of this theme is central to this paper.

It is the purpose of this paper to discuss the level-rank duality of $SU(2)_k$ and its relationship to $SU(k)_2$. The generalized Pauli group can be defined for each side of the duality, which can then be used to construct graph and hypergraph states for the level-rank pairs. Since a sub-set of hypergraph states describe magic states, level-rank duals of magic states also results.

2 Level-rank dualities of $SU(2)_k$

The integral representations of $SU(2)_k$ Chern-Simons theory can be described by a single-row Young tableau with $0 \leq k$ boxes, while that of $SU(k)_2$ is described by a Young tableau with two columns, $l_1$ and $l_2$, where $l_1 \geq l_2$, and $l_1$ ranges from 0 to $k - 1$. Thus, $SU(2)_k$ has $k + 1$ states, while $SU(k)_2$ has $\frac{1}{2}k(k + 1)$ states. Therefore a transpose map between the representations of $SU(2)_k$ and $\tilde{SU}(k)_2$ is not one-to-one, where $\tilde{SU}$ indicates the transpose of the Young tableau. However, making use of the orbits of cominimal maps or equivalently simple current maps, [5] one can obtain level-rank dual maps which are one-to-one for the Young tableaux. The two cases that we focus on are

$$SU(2)_{2k} = \tilde{SU}(2k)_2/\mathbb{Z}_k \quad (2.1)$$

which has $2k + 1$ states, and

$$SU(2)_{2k+1}/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \tilde{SU}(2k + 1)_2/\mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} \quad (2.2)$$

which has $k + 1$ states. In the next subsection we consider the generalized Pauli group for these two cases.
2.1 Generalized Pauli group

The qudit Pauli group for a $d$-dimensional system, for both $d$ odd and even, is described by Farinholt [7]. One defines the operators

$$x = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_d} |x + 1\rangle \langle x|$$

and

$$Z = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \omega^x |x\rangle \langle x|$$

where $\omega = \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i}{d} \right)$ is a primitive root of unity. We focus on $d$-odd for simplicity for the dual pairs (2.1) and (2.2). For the dual pairs of (2.1), $d$ is odd for any integer $k$. However, for (2.2), $d$ odd requires $k$ even.

With these restrictions, the operators $X$ and $Z$ are defined for the level-rank dual pairs (2.1) and (2.2). Given the level-rank dual pairs (2.1) and (2.2), and the restriction to $d$ odd, identify $X = \tilde{X}$ and $Z = \tilde{Z}$ for the Pauli operators of both sides of (2.1) and (2.2). Thus the dual pairs of $X = \tilde{X}$ and $Z = \tilde{Z}$ enables one to define level-rank dual pairs of graphs and hypergraph states, which we consider in the next sub-section.

2.2 Graph and hypergraph states

Graph states There are many equivalent constructions of graph states [8–12]. We follow arxiv:1612.06418 for a definition of qudit graph states. The multigraph is $G = (V, E)$, with vertices $V$ and edges $E$, where an edge has multiplicity $m_e \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. To $G$ associate a state $|G\rangle$ such that to each vertex $i \in V$, there is a local state

$$|+\rangle = |p_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} |q\rangle$$

Define

$$S^*|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} |q\rangle = |+\rangle = |p_0\rangle.$$  

To each edge $e = \{i, j\}$ apply the unitary

$$Z_e^{m_e} = \sum_{q_i=0}^{d-1} |q_i\rangle \langle q_i| \otimes (Z_j^{m_e})^{q_i}$$
to the state

$$|+\rangle^V = \bigotimes_{i \in V} |+\rangle_i$$

(2.8)

The graph state is

$$|G\rangle = \prod_{e \in E} Z_{me}^e |+\rangle^V$$

(2.9)

$$= \prod_{e \in E} Z_{me}^e \bigotimes_{i \in V} |+\rangle_i$$

(2.10)

Every stabilizer state is LC equivalent to a graph state, while the Clifford group enables conversion between different multigraphs [8–12].

**Hypergraph states** We again follow arxiv:1612.06418 for the construction of qudit multi-hypergraph states. Given a multi-hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, associate a quantum state $|H\rangle$, with $m_e \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ the multiplicity of the hyperedge $e$. To each vertex $i \in V$, associate a local state

$$|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} |q\rangle$$

(2.11)

To each hyperedge $e \in E$, with multiplicity $m_e$, apply the controlled unitary $Z_{me}^e$ to the state

$$|+\rangle^V = \bigotimes_{i \in V} |+\rangle_i$$

(2.12)

The hypergraph state is

$$|H\rangle = \prod_{e \in E} Z_{me}^e |+\rangle^V$$

(2.13)

and the elementary hypergraph state is

$$|H\rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} |q\rangle\langle q| \otimes \left( Z_{me}^e \right)^q |+\rangle^V$$

(2.14)

For $d$ prime, all $n$-elementary hypergraph states are equivalent under SLOCC.

Hypergraph and graph states admit a representation in terms of Boolean functions,

$$|H\rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} \omega^f(q)|q\rangle$$

(2.15)
with $f : \mathbb{Z}_d^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_d$, where

$$f(x) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k \in V, \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in E} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$$

(2.16)

For graph states, $f(x)$ is quadratic, i.e.

$$f(x) = \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in V, \{i_1, i_2\} \in E} x_{i_1} x_{i_2}$$

(2.17)

while for $f(x)$ cubic or higher, $|H\rangle$ is a hypergraph state. Therefore, for quadratic $f(x)$, one has a representation of stabilizer states, up to LC equivalence. For $f(x)$ cubic or higher, $|H\rangle$ represents hypergraph states which contain “magic” states. Examples of magic states are the CCZ state and Toffoli states, constructed from appropriate gates. Thus

$$\text{CCZ}|x_1 x_2 x_3\rangle = \omega^{x_1 x_2 x_3} |x_1 x_2 x_3\rangle$$

(2.18)

with

$$|\text{CCZ}\rangle = \text{CCZ}|+ \otimes^3\rangle$$

(2.19)

as an example of a magic hypergraph state. Similarly

$$|\text{Toff}\rangle = \text{Toff}|+ \otimes^3\rangle$$

(2.20)

Explicitly,

$$\text{Toff}|i, j, k\rangle = |i, j, ij + k, \text{ mod } d\rangle$$

(2.21)

### 2.3 Level-rank duality

The level-rank duality of the Pauli operators $X, \tilde{X}, Z, \tilde{Z}$ in 2.1 allows one to express the graph and hypergraph states (2.7), (2.9), (2.10), (2.13), (2.14) as the level-rank duals of graph and hypergraph states for $SU(2)^k$ and for $SU(2)^{k+1}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ ($k$ even). Since the hypergraph states contain magic states, there is a one-to-one map between such magic states and their level-rank duals.

It has been shown, using level-rank duality, that a universal topological quantum computer based on Chern-Simons theory for $SU(2)_2$ [13] also implies an analogous universal quantum computer based on $SU(3)_2$ [14]. However, this result depends on the level-rank duality of the Jones representation of the braid group, which differs from the duality discussed in this paper.
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