

$H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theory for a weighted gradient system of 3D radial defocusing NLS

Xianfa Song*

Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Tianjin University,
Tianjin, 300072, P. R. China

March 19, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, using I -method, we establish $H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theories for the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = \lambda|v|^2u, & iv_t + \Delta v = \mu|u|^2v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Here $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $(u_0, v_0) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$.

Keywords: Weighted(or essential) gradient system; Schrödinger equation; Scattering; I -method.

2010 MSC: 35Q55.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = \lambda|v|^2u, & iv_t + \Delta v = \mu|u|^2v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $(u_0, v_0) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. Model (1.1) often appears in condensed matter theory, in quantum mechanics, in nonlinear optics, in plasma physics and in the theory of Heisenberg ferromagnet and magnons. $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ mean the nonlinearities are defocusing ones. An interesting topic on (1.1) is scattering phenomenon which we will study in this paper.

First, we would like to review some scattering results on the following Cauchy problem when $d = 3$

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = \lambda|u|^p u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $p > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, i.e., the equation in (1.2) has the defocusing nonlinearity. About the classical results on L_x^2 , Σ and H_x^1 scattering theories for (1.2), to see more details, we can refer to the books [5, 7, 14, 34] and the numerous references therein. Recently, different

*E-mail: songxianfa2004@163.com(X.F. Song)

types of scattering results on (1.2) when $d = 3$ were established by many authors, we can see [1, 4, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 35, 38]. We specially point out that the H_x^s scattering theory for (1.2) with $p = 2$ is also studied by many authors. Bourgain established the H_x^s scattering theory for (1.2) in [2] with $p = 2$ when $d = 2$ and initial data in H_x^s with $s > \frac{3}{5}$. In [3], for (1.2) with $p = 2$ when $d = 3$ and radial initial data u_0 , Bourgain proved global well-posedness and scattering for $s > \frac{5}{7}$. In [8], for (1.2) with $p = 2$, through introducing an operator $I : H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and tracking the change of $E(Iu(t))$, Colliander et. al. proved global well-posedness for the solution to (1.2) when $d = 2$ for $s > \frac{4}{7}$ and when $d = 3$ for $s > \frac{5}{6}$. Later, the result when $d = 3$ was respectively extend to $s > \frac{4}{5}$ by Colliander et. al. in [9], $s > \frac{5}{7}$ by Dodson in [12], $s > \frac{2}{3}$ by Su in [33]. Recently, using I -method, Dodson obtained the H_x^s scattering theory for (1.2) with $p = 2$ for $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

There are some results on the scattering theory for a system of Schrödinger equations. For $[H_x^1]^N$ -scattering theory, we can refer to [6, 16, 29, 30, 36, 37, 39] and see the details. In [31], Saanouni established the $[H_x^s]^N$ -scattering theory for $\frac{2}{3} < s < 1$. Very recently, in [32], we studied

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = \lambda|u|^\alpha|v|^{\beta+2}u, & iv_t + \Delta v = \mu|u|^{\alpha+2}|v|^\beta v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Besides establishing the local well-posedness of the $H_x^1 \times H_x^1$, $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ and $H_x^s \times H_x^s$ solutions, we found that there exists a critical exponents line $\alpha + \beta = 2$ in the sense that (1.3) always possesses a unique bounded $H_x^1 \times H_x^1$ -solution for any initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if $\alpha + \beta \leq 2$, while there exist some initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that it doesn't have the unique global $H_x^1 \times H_x^1$ -solution if $\alpha + \beta > 2$ and $\alpha = \beta$. We also established $H_x^1 \times H_x^1$ and $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ scattering theories when (α, β) below the critical exponents line when $d = 3$, and $\dot{H}_x^{s_c} \times \dot{H}_x^{s_c}$ scattering theory when $d \geq 5$, where $s_c = \frac{d-2}{2} > 1$.

As a special case of (1.3), (1.1) is a weighted gradient system of Schrödinger equations. Therefore, we can define the following weighted mass and energy

$$M_w(u, v) = \mu\|u\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda\|v\|_{L_x^2}, \quad E_w(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\mu|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda\mu|u|^2|v|^2] dx. \quad (1.4)$$

Naturally, we hope to establish the $H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theory for (1.1) and $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$.

In fact, using I -method, we will establish $H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theory for (1.1) as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ($H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theory for (1.1)). *Assume that $\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, (u_0, v_0) radial and $(u_0, v_0) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. Then the initial value problem (1.1) is globally well-posedness and scattering, i.e., there exist $(u_+, v_+) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u_-, v_-) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_+\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta} v_+\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}] = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} [\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_-\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta} v_-\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}] = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.2: 1. Scattering for (1.1) corresponds to $[\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}] < +\infty$.

2. If $u_0(x) \equiv v_0(x) \equiv w_0(x) \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\lambda = \mu$, then (1.1) degrades into the scalar Schrödinger equation $iw_t + \Delta w = \lambda|w|^2 w$ subject to $w(x, 0) = w_0(x)$, and the conclusions of Theorem 1.3 meet with those of Theorem 1.2 in [14]. In this sense, our results cover those of Theorem 1.2 in [14].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we will use I -method to establish $H_x^s \times H_x^s$ scattering theory for (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some notations and useful lemmas which were mentioned in [14].

We use $L_t^q L_x^r(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ to denote the Banach space of functions $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ subject to the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} := \left(\int_I \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$$

for any spacetime slab $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with the usual modifications when q or r is infinity. Especially, we abbreviate $L_t^q L_x^r$ as $L_{t,x}^q$ if $q = r$.

The Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^3 and the fractional differential operators $|\nabla|^s$ are defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \widehat{|\nabla|^s f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi),$$

and the homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev norms are respectively defined by

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \| |\nabla|^s f \|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \| |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi) \|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \|f\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \| (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) \|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $e^{it\Delta}$ be the free Schrödinger propagator and the generated group of isometries $(\mathcal{J}(t))_{t \in \mathcal{R}}$. Then for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$,

$$\mathcal{J}(t)f(x) := e^{it\Delta} f(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i|x-y|^2/4t} f(y) dy, \quad t \neq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\widehat{e^{it\Delta} f}(\xi) = e^{-4\pi^2 it |\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad t \neq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim |t|^{-3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|f\|_{L_x^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad t \neq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

And the following Duhamel's formula holds

$$u(t) = e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} u(t_0) - i \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (iu_t + \Delta u)(s) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

We mention some definitions and estimates which also appeared in [14] below.

Definition 2.1 (Strichartz space). Let $\tilde{S}^0(I)$ be the Strichartz space

$$\tilde{S}^0(I) = L_t^\infty L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_t^2 L_x^6(I \times \mathbb{R}^3), \quad (2.7)$$

and $\tilde{N}^0(I)$ be the dual

$$\tilde{N}^0(I) = L_t^1 L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3) + L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.8)$$

And the following Strichartz estimates hold

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u_0\|_{S^0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{S^0(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|F\|_{N^0(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.2 (Littlewood-Paley decomposition). *Given a radial and decreasing function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 2$. Let*

$$\phi_j(x) = \psi(2^{-j}x) - \psi(2^{-j+1}x) \quad (2.10)$$

and P_j be the Fourier multiplier defined by

$$\widehat{P_j f}(\xi) = \phi_j(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for any } j. \quad (2.11)$$

This gives the following Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} P_j f \quad \text{at least in the } L^2 \text{ sense.} \quad (2.12)$$

In convenience, we write

$$P_{\leq N} u = \sum_{j: 2^j \leq N} P_j u, \quad P_{> N} u = u - P_{\leq N} u. \quad (2.13)$$

We may abbreviate $u_{\leq N} = P_{\leq N} u$ and $u_{> N} = P_{> N} u$ in the sequels.

The following proposition gives some well-known properties for the Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

Proposition 2.3. *For any $1 < p < +\infty$,*

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \sim_p \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |P_j f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_s \|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad \text{for } s = 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right). \quad (2.15)$$

We also have for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\|P_j f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{3j(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|P_j f\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.16)$$

and the radial Sobolev embedding

$$\| |x| P_j f \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|P_j f\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Let ψ is the same ψ as in Definition 1 and $\chi(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{2}) - \psi(x)$. Then

$$\left\| \psi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) e^{it\Delta} (P_j u_0) \right\|_{L_{t,x}^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \|P_j u_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\left\| \int_I e^{-it\Delta} \psi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) (P_j F(t)) dt \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \psi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) P_j F \right\|_{L_{t,x}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.19)$$

and for any $q < 2$, if F is supported on $|x| \leq R$,

$$\| |\nabla|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\Delta} F(t, x) dt \|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim R^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|F\|_{L_t^q L_x^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\lesssim R^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|F\|_{X_R(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\|F\|_{X_R(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \|\psi(Rx)F\|_{L_t^q L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\chi(2^{-j}Rx)F\|_{L_t^q L_x^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Definition 2.4(U_Δ^p spaces). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and U_Δ^p be an atomic space whose atoms are piecewise solutions of the linear equation,*

$$u_j = \sum_k 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1})} e^{it\Delta} u_k, \quad \sum_k \|u_k\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p = 1. \quad (2.23)$$

Then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|u\|_{U_\Delta^p} = \inf \left\{ \sum_j |c_j| : u = \sum_j u_j, \quad u_j \text{ are } U_\Delta^p \text{ atoms} \right\}. \quad (2.24)$$

Proposition 2.5. *If u solves*

$$iu_t + \Delta u = F_1 + F_2, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad (2.25)$$

on the interval $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$, then for $q < 2$,

$$\| |\nabla|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} u \|_{U_\Delta^2(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_q \| |\nabla|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} u_0 \|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|F_1\|_{X_R(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \| |\nabla|^{1-\frac{1}{q}} F_2 \|_{L_t^{2+} L_x^{6-}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.26)$$

3 Scattering result on (1.1)

In this section, we will use the I-method to establish scattering result on (1.1).

Definition 3.1(I -operator). *Let $I : H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Fourier multiplier*

$$\widehat{If}(\xi) = m_N(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$m_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq N, \\ \frac{N^{1-s}}{|\xi|^{1-s}} & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2N. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We first give some results on the I -operator and the modified energy $E(Iu(t), Iv(t))$. By Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\mu |\nabla Iu|^2 + \lambda |\nabla Iv|^2 + \lambda \mu |Iu|^2 |Iv|^2] dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla Iu|^2 + |\nabla Iv|^2 + |Iu|^4 + |Iv|^4] dx \\ &\lesssim \|Iu\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Iv\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Iu\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|Iv\|_{\dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|v\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and consequently

$$E_w(Iu(0), Iv(0)) \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s}) N^{2(1-s)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Meanwhile,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) + M_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)). \quad (3.5)$$

Here

$$M_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) = \mu \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \lambda \|v(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

Moreover, we can use the rescaling

$$(u(t, x), v(t, x)) \rightarrow (u_a(t, x), v_a(t, x)) = a^{\frac{1}{2}}(u(a^2t, ax), v(a^2t, ax)) \quad (3.6)$$

such that $E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \leq 1$. And there exists $a^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sim C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})N^{s-1}$ such that

$$E_w(Iu_\lambda(0), Iv_\lambda(0)) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim C(\|u(0)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})N^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}}\|u(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|v_\lambda(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim C(\|v(0)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})N^{\frac{1-s}{2s-1}}\|v(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proposition 3.2(Weight coupled interaction Morawetz estimate). *Assume that (u, v) is a solution of (1.1) on some interval J . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |u|^4 \|_{L_{t,x}^1(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \| |v|^4 \|_{L_{t,x}^1(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \| |u|^2 |v|^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^1(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim [\| u \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \| v \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2] [\| u \|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \| v \|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof: Similar to [32], we define the following weight-coupled interaction Morawetz potential:

$$\begin{aligned} M_a^{\otimes 2}(t) &= 2\mu^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\nabla} a(x, y) \Im[\bar{u}(t, x) \bar{u}(t, y) \tilde{\nabla}(u(t, x)u(t, y))] dx dy \\ &+ 2\lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\nabla} a(x, y) \Im[\bar{v}(t, x) \bar{v}(t, y) \tilde{\nabla}(v(t, x)v(t, y))] dx dy \\ &+ 2\lambda\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\nabla} a(x, y) \Im[\bar{u}(t, x) \bar{v}(t, y) \tilde{\nabla}(u(t, x)v(t, y))] dx dy \\ &+ 2\lambda\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\nabla} a(x, y) \Im[\bar{v}(t, x) \bar{u}(t, y) \tilde{\nabla}(v(t, x)u(t, y))] dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $a(x, y) = |x - y|$, $\tilde{\nabla} = (\nabla_x, \nabla_y)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. By the inequality (4.10) in [32], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|u(t, x)|^4 + |v(t, x)|^4] dx dt \lesssim |M_a^{\otimes 2}(t)| \\ & \lesssim [\| u \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \| v \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2] [\| u \|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \| v \|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2], \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.10) because $|u|^2 |v|^2 \leq |u|^4 + |v|^4$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $E(Iu(a_i), Iv(a_i)) \leq 1$, $J_i = [a_i, b_i]$, and $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J_i \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L_{t,x}^4(J_i \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, then*

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{\tilde{S}^0(J_i \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{\tilde{S}^0(J_i \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof: Let

$$Z_I(t) = \|\nabla Iu\|_{\tilde{S}^0([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{\tilde{S}^0([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Applying ∇I to the equations of (1.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} i(\nabla Iu)_t + \Delta(\nabla Iu) = \lambda \nabla I(|v|^2 u), & i(\nabla Iv)_t + \Delta(\nabla Iv) = \mu \nabla I(|u|^2 v), \\ \nabla Iu(x, 0) = \nabla Iu_0, & \nabla Iv(x, 0) = \nabla Iv_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Using Strichartz estimates with $q' = r' = \frac{10}{7}$, then applying a fractional Leibniz rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_I(t) &\lesssim \|\nabla Iu_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla I(|v|^2 u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{10}{7}}([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla I(|u|^2 v)\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{10}{7}}([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{10}{3}}([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{10}{3}}([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}] [\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2] \\ &\quad + \|\nabla Iu_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Since the $L_{t,x}^{\frac{10}{3}}$ factors are bounded by $Z_I(t)$, we only need to consider the bounds of $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2$ and $\|v\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2$. In fact, similar to the proof of (3.10) in [9], we can get

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, b_l] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon^{\delta_1} (\|\nabla Iu\|_{\tilde{S}^0([a_l, b_l] \times \mathbb{R}^3)})^{\delta_2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|v\|_{L_{t,x}^5([a_l, b_l] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon^{\delta_1} (\|\nabla Iv\|_{\tilde{S}^0([a_l, b_l] \times \mathbb{R}^3)})^{\delta_2} \quad (3.17)$$

for some $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$.

By (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$Z_I(t) \lesssim 1 + \epsilon^{\delta_3} (Z_I(t))^{1+\delta_4} \quad \text{for some } \delta_3 > 0, \delta_4 > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

For ϵ sufficiently small, (3.18) yields (3.12). \square

(3.12) implies that

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J_I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \quad (3.19)$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $0 \in J$ be an interval such that $E(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \leq 1$ on J . Then for $N(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s})$ sufficiently large,*

$$\|P_{> \frac{N}{8}} \nabla Iu\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|P_{> \frac{N}{8}} \nabla Iv\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof: Decomposing

$$\begin{aligned} |v|^2 u &= \emptyset((v_{> \frac{M}{8}})^2 u) + \emptyset(v_{> \frac{M}{8}} u_{> \frac{M}{8}} v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}) + \emptyset(v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}} u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}} v_{> \frac{M}{8}}) + \emptyset((v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}})^2 u_{> \frac{M}{8}}) \\ &\quad + \emptyset((v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}})^2 u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^2 v &= \emptyset((u_{> \frac{M}{8}})^2 v) + \emptyset(u_{> \frac{M}{8}} v_{> \frac{M}{8}} u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}) + \emptyset(u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}} v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}} u_{> \frac{M}{8}}) + \emptyset((u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}})^2 v_{> \frac{M}{8}}) \\ &\quad + \emptyset((u_{\leq \frac{M}{8}})^2 v_{\leq \frac{M}{8}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

we can get

$$P_{>M}(|v|^2u) = P_{>M}[\emptyset((v_{>\frac{M}{8}})^2u)] + P_{>M}[\emptyset(v_{>\frac{M}{8}}u_{>\frac{M}{8}}v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})] + P_{>M}[\emptyset(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}v_{>\frac{M}{8}})] \\ + P_{>M}[\emptyset((v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})^2u_{>\frac{M}{8}})], \quad (3.23)$$

$$P_{>M}(|u|^2v) = P_{>M}[\emptyset((u_{>\frac{M}{8}})^2v)] + P_{>M}[\emptyset(u_{>\frac{M}{8}}v_{>\frac{M}{8}}u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})] + P_{>M}[\emptyset(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}u_{>\frac{M}{8}})] \\ + P_{>M}[\emptyset((u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})^2v_{>\frac{M}{8}})], \quad (3.24)$$

because $P_{>M}[\emptyset((v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})^2u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})] = 0$ and $P_{>M}[\emptyset((u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})^2v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})] = 0$. Since ∇I is a Fourier multiplier whose symbol is increasing as $|\xi| \nearrow +\infty$, by the product rule and (2.26), we obtain

$$\|\nabla IP_{>M}u(t)\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ \lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>M}u(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>M}\emptyset[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})^2u]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ + \|\nabla IP_{>M}\emptyset[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(u_{>\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} + \|P_{>M}\emptyset[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} \\ + \|P_{>M}\emptyset[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} + \|P_{>M}\emptyset[(u_{>\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} \\ + M^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \left(\|P_{>M}\emptyset[(\nabla I v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{X_R} + \|P_{>M}\emptyset[(\nabla I u_{>\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})^2]\|_{X_R} \right) \\ := \|\nabla IP_{>M}u(0)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV) + (V) + (VI) + (VII) \quad (3.25)$$

if $M \leq N$. Here all the $L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}$ norms are on $(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Choosing $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $(2 - \epsilon, \frac{6}{5} + \delta(\epsilon))$ is the dual of an admissible pair, using the properties of ∇I and Hölder's inequality, Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$(I) \lesssim \|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^6}^2 + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^6} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^2} \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{6+\delta(\epsilon)}} \\ + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^6} \|P_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^3} \|P_{>N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{3+\delta(\epsilon)}} \\ \lesssim \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2L_x^6} \left(M^{-1} [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} + \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{6+\delta(\epsilon)}}] + M^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|P_{>N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{3+\delta(\epsilon)}} \right) \\ \lesssim M^{-1} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Here all the norms are on $(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, and we have use the following facts

$$\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} + \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{6+\delta(\epsilon)}} \lesssim_{s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\|P_{>N}u\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{3+\delta(\epsilon)}} \lesssim_{s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}} N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}}. \quad (3.28)$$

Similarly,

$$(II) \lesssim M^{-1} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}] \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$(III) = \|P_{>M}\emptyset[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^{2-}L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} \lesssim \|\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon}L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} \|v_{>\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^2L_x^6} \|u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^6} \\ \lesssim M^{-1} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}}v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}], \quad (3.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(IV) &= \|P_{>M} \mathcal{O}[(v_{>\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} \lesssim \|\nabla u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon} L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} \|v_{>\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} \|v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^6} \\
&\lesssim M^{-1} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}], \tag{3.31}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(V) &= \|P_{>M} \mathcal{O}[(u_{>\frac{M}{8}})(\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})]\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{6}{5}+}} \lesssim \|\nabla v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon} L_x^{2+\delta(\epsilon)}} \|u_{>\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} \|v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^6} \\
&\lesssim M^{-1} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}]. \tag{3.32}
\end{aligned}$$

To give the estimates for (VI) and (VII), we first recall the following radial Sobolev embedding

$$\| |x|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} u \|_{L_x^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \| |x|^{\frac{3}{2}-s} v \|_{L_x^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.33}$$

Interpolating (3.33), by Strichartz estimates, using (3.8), (3.9) and (3.19), we have

$$\|Iu\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\infty}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 + \|Iv\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\infty}(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1}}, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} Iu \|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon} L_x^{\infty}} + \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} Iv \|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon} L_x^{\infty}} \lesssim s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} N^{\frac{(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot [\frac{3\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2-3\epsilon}]}. \tag{3.35}$$

Choosing $R = N$ in (2.18), by (3.33) and (3.35), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} M^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \|\psi(Rx)(\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v)(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})\|_{L_t^q L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} M^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \|\psi(Rx)(\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v)\|_{L_{t,x}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{4-4\epsilon}{(2-\epsilon)}}} \|u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{4-4\epsilon}{(2-\epsilon)}}} \\
&\lesssim s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} N^{\frac{-4+3\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} M^{\frac{-4+3\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot \frac{2\epsilon}{2-\epsilon}} M^{\frac{2-2\epsilon}{2-\epsilon}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, by (2.18) and (3.35),

$$\begin{aligned}
&M^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} 2^{j(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\chi(2^{-j} Rx)(\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v)(v_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})(u_{\leq\frac{M}{8}})\|_{L_t^q L_x^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim M^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} R 2^{-j} R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} 2^{j(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\chi(2^{-j} Rx)(\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v)\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} Iv \|_{L_t^{\infty-\epsilon} L_x^{\infty}} \| |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} Iu \|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \\
&\lesssim s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} N^{\frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} M^{\frac{\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)}} N^{\frac{(1-s)}{2s-1} \cdot [\frac{3\epsilon}{2(2-\epsilon)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2-3\epsilon}]} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.37}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for (VI) can be given by (3.36) and (3.37). We can obtain the estimate for (VII) similar to (3.36) and (3.37).

Combining (3.25), (3.26), (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) with the estimates for (VI) and (VII), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla IP_{>M} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{N^{C_1(s)\epsilon}}{M^{1-C_2(s)\epsilon}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{N^{C_1(s)\epsilon}}{M^{1-C_2(s)\epsilon}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{3.38}
\end{aligned}$$

for any s with $\epsilon = \epsilon(s)$ sufficiently small, $C_1(s)\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ and $C_2(s)\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla IP_{>M} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{N^{C_1(s)\epsilon}}{M^{1-C_2(s)\epsilon}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{N^{C_1(s)\epsilon}}{M^{1-C_2(s)\epsilon}} \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.39}
\end{aligned}$$

If $M > C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})$, (3.38) and (3.39) mean that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla IP_{>M} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>M} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim_{s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}} 1 + N^{-\frac{1}{4}} C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\ & \quad \times [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{M}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Meanwhile, recalling (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla IP_{>C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s})N^{\frac{2}{3}}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s})N^{\frac{2}{3}}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{4s-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

By induction, for $C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})$ sufficiently large,

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_{s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s}} 1 + N^{\frac{3(1-s)}{4s-2}} N^{-c \ln(N)}. \quad (3.42)$$

Choosing N sufficiently large such that $c \ln(N) > \frac{3(1-s)}{4s-2}$, then using (3.42), we get

$$\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}} u\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}} v\|_{U_{\Delta}^2(J \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_{s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s}} 1, \quad (3.43)$$

which implies (3.20). \square

We give a bound on the modified energy increment.

Lemma 3.5. *Let N be sufficiently large such that*

$$\ln(N) \geq \frac{C_0(1-s)}{2s-1} + \ln(C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})),$$

then

$$\int_J \left| \frac{d}{dt} E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \right| dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-c}}. \quad (3.44)$$

Proof: Note that

$$E_w(Iu, Iv) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\mu |\nabla Iu|^2 + \lambda |\nabla Iv|^2 - \lambda \mu |Iu|^2 |Iv|^2] dx$$

and $\Re((\overline{Iu_t})(iIu_t)) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \\ & = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ \mu (\overline{Iu_t}) [\lambda |Iv|^2(Iu) - \Delta Iu - iIu_t] + \lambda (\overline{Iv_t}) [\mu |Iu|^2(Iv) - \Delta Iv - iIv_t] \} dx \\ & = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lambda \mu \{ (\overline{Iu_t}) [|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)] + (\overline{Iv_t}) [|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)] \} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Since I is a Fourier multiplier which is constant in time and Δ commutes with I , by the equations of (1.1),

$$\begin{cases} iIu_t + \Delta Iu = \lambda |Iv|^2(Iu) + \lambda [I(|v|^2u) - |Iv|^2(Iu)], & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \\ iIv_t + \Delta Iv = \mu |Iu|^2(Iv) + \mu [I(|u|^2v) - |Iu|^2(Iv)], & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.46)$$

Integrating the equations of (3.46) by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \\
&= -\mu \langle i\nabla u, \nabla(|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle - \lambda\mu \langle iI(|v|^2u), (|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle \\
&\quad - \lambda \langle i\nabla v, \nabla(|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)) \rangle - \lambda\mu \langle iI(|u|^2v), (|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)) \rangle. \quad (3.47)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u) &= (IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)) \\
&\quad + (IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)) \\
&\quad + (IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)) \\
&\quad + 2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u) - 2I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)) \\
&\quad + 2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u) - 2I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)) \\
&:= (1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5), \quad (3.48)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v) &= (IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)) \\
&\quad + (IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)) \\
&\quad + (IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)) \\
&\quad + 2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v) - 2I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)) \\
&\quad + 2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v) - 2I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)) \\
&:= (6) + (7) + (8) + (9) + (10), \quad (3.49)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|m(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4) - m(\xi_2)| \lesssim \frac{|\xi_3| + |\xi_4|}{|\xi_2|}. \quad (3.50)$$

By $E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) \leq 1$ and (3.20), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u))) \rangle dt \\
&+ \int_J \langle i\nabla Iv, \nabla((IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v))) \rangle dt \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{N} [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] [\|\nabla IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2 + \|\nabla IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2] \\
&\quad \times [\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}] \lesssim \frac{1}{N}, \quad (3.51)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u))) \rangle dt \\
&+ \int_J \langle i\nabla Iv, \nabla((IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{> \frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v))) \rangle dt \\
&\lesssim [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] [\|\nabla IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|\nabla IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] \\
&\quad \times [\|IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|IP_{> \frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] [\|P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|P_{\leq \frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}] \lesssim \frac{1}{N}, \quad (3.52)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla((IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u) - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u))) \rangle dt \\
& + \int_J \langle i\nabla Iv, \nabla((IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v) - I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v))) \rangle dt \\
& \lesssim [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] \\
& \times [\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^2 + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{N}, \tag{3.53}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla[2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u) - 2I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u))] \rangle dt \\
& + \int_J \langle i\nabla Iv, \nabla[2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v) - 2I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v))] \rangle dt \\
& \lesssim [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] \\
& \times [\|IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] [\|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}] \lesssim \frac{1}{N}, \tag{3.54}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle i\nabla Iu, \nabla[2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u) - 2I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u))] \rangle dt \\
& + \int_J \langle i\nabla Iv, \nabla[2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v) - 2I((P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v))] \rangle dt \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N} [\|\nabla Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2 + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2] \\
& \times [\|Iu\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|Iv\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}] \lesssim \frac{1}{N}. \tag{3.55}
\end{aligned}$$

All the norms above are on $J \times \mathbb{R}^3$. (3.51)–(3.55) give the estimates for

$$-\mu \langle i\nabla u, \nabla(|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle - \lambda \langle i\nabla v, \nabla(|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)) \rangle.$$

Now we consider the estimate for

$$-\lambda\mu \langle iI(|v|^2u), (|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle - \lambda\mu \langle iI(|u|^2v), (|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)) \rangle.$$

As a matter of convenience, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
I(|v|^2)u &= (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u) + 2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u) + (IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u) \\
& + (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u) + 2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u) + (IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u) \\
& := (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV) + (V) + (VI), \tag{3.56}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I(|u|^2)v &= (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v) + 2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v) + (IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v) \\
& + (IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v) + 2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v) + (IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u)^2(IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v) \\
& := (I)' + (II)' + (III)' + (IV)' + (V)' + (VI)'. \tag{3.57}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_J \langle I(|v|^2u), (|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle dt \\
& = \int_J (\langle (I), (1) \rangle + \langle (I), (2) \rangle + \langle (I), (3) \rangle + \langle (I), (4) \rangle + \langle (I), (5) \rangle) dt \\
& + \int_J (\langle (II), (2) \rangle + \langle (II), (3) \rangle + \langle (II), (4) \rangle + \langle (II), (5) \rangle) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_J (\langle (III), (3) \rangle + \langle (III), (4) \rangle + \langle (III), (5) \rangle + \langle (IV), (4) \rangle) dt \\
& + \int_J (\langle (IV), (5) \rangle + \langle (V), (5) \rangle + \langle (VI), (1) \rangle + \langle (VI), (2) \rangle) dt \\
& + \int_J (\langle (VI), (3) \rangle + \langle (VI), (4) \rangle + \langle (VI), (5) \rangle) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

Using Sobolev embedding theorem, Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\
& \lesssim [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] [P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L^\infty L_x^3}^2 + P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L^\infty L_x^3}^2] \lesssim \frac{1}{N},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

$$\int_J \langle (I), (1) \rangle dt \lesssim \|(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}, \tag{3.60}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_J \langle (I), (2) \rangle dt & \lesssim \|(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v)^2(IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^2} [\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}] \\
& \quad \times [\|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} + \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}] [\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3} + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3}] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_J \langle (I), (3) \rangle dt & \lesssim \int_J [|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u|^2 + |P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v|^2] [|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u|^2 + |P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v|^2] [|u|^2 + |v|^2] dt \\
& \lesssim [\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2 + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2] [\|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}^4 + \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}^4] \\
& \quad + [\|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^4 + \|P_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6}^4] [\|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}^4] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_J \langle (VI), (3) \rangle dt & \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2} [\|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2 + \|\nabla IP_{>\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6}^2] [\|IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}^3 + \|IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6}^3] \\
& \quad \times [\|IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|IP_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}v\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

All the norms above are on $J \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Similar to (3.61), we can get $\int_J \langle (I), (4) \rangle dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}$. Similar to (3.63), we can obtain $\int_J \langle (VI), (5) \rangle dt \lesssim \frac{1}{N^2}$. Similar to (3.62), the estimates for other terms in (3.58) can be bounded by $\frac{1}{N^2}$ because they all contain two $P_{>\frac{N}{8}}$ factors and two $P_{\leq\frac{N}{8}}$ factors. Putting all the results above together, we obtain the bound for $\int_J \langle I(|v|^2u), (|Iv|^2(Iu) - I(|v|^2u)) \rangle dt$.

Similarly, the bound for $\int_J \langle I(|u|^2v), (|Iu|^2(Iv) - I(|u|^2v)) \rangle dt$ can be established. Then substituting all the results into (3.47), we get (3.44). \square

Recalling that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \|u_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

and

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim E_w(Iu(t), Iv(t)) + \|u_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

by Lemma 5.10 and (3.44), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v(t)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim C(s, \|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)})[\|u_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v_0\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}]. \quad (3.64)$$

Let (p, q) be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -admissible pair which satisfies $\frac{2}{p} = 3(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6})$. Interpolating (3.10) with (3.64), we can get a bounded on $L_t^p L_x^q$. Now we can partition \mathbb{R} into finitely many pieces with $[\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(J_l \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(J_l \times \mathbb{R}^3)}] < \epsilon$ and use a perturbation argument to obtain $[\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|v\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}] < +\infty$, which implies scattering. \square

References

- [1] M. Beceanu, Q. Q. Deng, A. Soffer and Y. F. Wu, Large global solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations III, energy-supercritical cases, arXiv:1901.07709v1.
- [2] J. Bourgain, Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 5(1998), 253–283.
- [3] J. Bourgain, Scattering in the energy space and below for 3D NLS, *J. Anal. Math.*, 75(1998), 267–297.
- [4] J. Bourgain, Global well-posedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the radial case, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1999):145–171.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Global Solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [6] B. Cassano and M. Tarulli, H^1 -scattering for systems of N -defocusing weakly coupled NLS equations in low space dimensions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 430(2015), 528–548.
- [7] T. Cazenave, Semilinear Schrödinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [8] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(2002), 659–682.
- [9] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in R^3 , *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57(2004), 987–1014.
- [10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in R^3 , *Ann. of Math.*, 167(2008), 767–865.
- [11] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, L^2 -critical, nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $d \geq 3$, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(2012), 429–463.
- [12] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation when $n = 3$ via a linearnonlinear decomposition, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33(2013), 1905–1926.

- [13] B. Dodson, Global well-posedness and scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with algebraic nonlinearity when $d = 2, 3$ and u_0 is radial, *Camb. J. Math.*, 7(2019), 283–318.
- [14] B. Dodson, Defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 217. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019. xii+242 pp. ISBN: 978-1-108-47208-1.
- [15] T. Duyckaerts, J. Holmer and S. Roudenko, Scattering for the non-radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(2008), 1233–1250.
- [16] L. G. Farah and A. Pastor, Scattering for a 3D coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, *J. Math. Phys.*, 58(2017), 071502,33 pp.
- [17] C. W. Gao, Z. H. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing high dimensional inter-critical NLS, *J. Differential Equations*, 267(2019), 6198–6215.
- [18] C. Kenig, F. Merle, Scattering for $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(2010), 1937–1962.
- [19] R. Killip, J. Murphy and M. Visan, The initial-value problem for the cubic-quintic NLS with nonvanishing boundary conditions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(2018), 2681–2739.
- [20] R. Killip, T. Oh, O. Pocovnicu and M. Visan, Solitons and scattering for the cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on R^3 , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 225(2017), 469–548.
- [21] R. Killip and M. Visan, “Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing quintic NLS in three dimensions”, *Analysis and PDE*, 5(2012), 855–885.
- [22] R. Killip and M. Visan, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations at critical regularity. Evolution equations, 325–437, Clay Math. Proc., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [23] R. Killip, M. Visan and X. Y. Zhang, The focusing cubic NLS on exterior domains in three dimensions, *Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX*, 1(2016), 146–180.
- [24] R. Killip, M. Visan, and X. Y. Zhang, The mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with radial data in dimensions three and higher, *Analysis and PDE*, 1(2008), 229–266.
- [25] S. Masaki, H. Miyazaki and K. Uriya, Long-range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical homogeneous nonlinearity in three space dimensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(2019), 7925–7947.
- [26] C. X. Miao, G. X. Xu and L. F. Zhao, The dynamics of the 3D radial NLS with the combined terms, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 318(2013), 767–808.
- [27] J. Murphy, Intercritical NLS: critical \dot{H}^s -bounds imply scattering, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 46(2014), 939–997.
- [28] J. Murphy, The radial defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three space dimensions, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 40(2015), 265–308.
- [29] W. Q. Peng, S. F. Tian, X. B. Wang, T. T. Zhang and Y. Fang, Riemann-Hilbert method and multi-soliton solutions for three-component coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 146(2019), 103508, 9pp.

- [30] T. Saanouni, Damped non-linear coupled Schrödinger equations, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 13(2019), 1093-1110.
- [31] T. Saanouni, On coupled nonlinear Schrödinger systems, *Arab. J. Math.(Springer)*, 8(2019), 133-151.
- [32] X. F. Song, Critical exponents line, scattering theories for a weighted gradient system of semilinear Schrödinger equations, arXiv2101.03483
- [33] Q. Su, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing, cubic NLS in R^3 , *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(2012), 431–451.
- [34] T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations. Local and global analysis. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 106. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. xvi+373 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-4143-2.
- [35] T. T. Tao, M. Visan and X. Y. Zhang, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32 (2007), 1281–1343.
- [36] M. Tarulli, H^2 -scattering for systems of weakly coupled fourth-order NLS equations in low space dimensions, *Potential Anal.*, 51(2019), 291–313.
- [37] M. Tarulli and G. Venkov, Scattering for systems of N weakly coupled NLS equations on $R^D \times M^2$ in the energy space, *Pliska Stud. Math.*, 26(2016), 239–252.
- [38] J. Xie and D. Fang, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing \dot{H}^s -critical NLS, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 34(2013), 801–842.
- [39] Y. S. Xu, Global well-posedness, scattering, and blowup for nonlinear coupled Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Appl. Anal.*, 95(2016), 483–502.