Obscuring digital route choice information prevents delay-induced congestion
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Although routing applications increasingly affect individual mobility choices, their impact on collective traffic dynamics remains largely unknown. Smart communication technologies provide accurate traffic data for choosing one route over other alternatives, yet inherent delays undermine the potential usefulness of such information. Here we introduce and analyze a simple model of collective traffic dynamics which result from route choice relying on outdated traffic information. We find for sufficiently small information delays that traffic flows are stable against perturbations. However, delays beyond a bifurcation point induce self-organized flow oscillations of increasing amplitude - congestion arises. Providing delayed information averaged over sufficiently long periods of time or, more intriguingly, reducing the number of vehicles adhering to the route recommendations may prevent such delay-induced congestion. We reveal the fundamental mechanisms underlying these phenomena in a minimal two-road model and demonstrate their generality in microscopic, agent-based simulations of a road network system. Our findings provide a way to conceptually understand system-wide traffic dynamics caused by broadly used non-instantaneous routing information and suggest how resulting unintended collective traffic states could be avoided.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digitization, real-time collection of data and access to information have transformed everyday life. For example, drivers nowadays often base their route choices on traffic information accessed en-route in almost real-time. In principle, choosing and adapting the travel route based on current travel times might lead to more efficient usage of the street infrastructure and help prevent congestion. However, this notion is controversial as providing information about the travel time is not necessarily beneficial for the overall state of the system and may even increase congestion\textsuperscript{1-6}, for example, if the available information is imperfect\textsuperscript{7}. Specifically, it has been shown from a systemic perspective that selfish routing may lead to non-optimal collective states in which the travel time averaged across all vehicles is higher than the theoretical optimum\textsuperscript{8-11}. Furthermore, unpleasant side-effects exist, such as increased usage of low-capacity roads through residential areas, use of complicated routes with higher accident risk, and increased noise and air pollution\textsuperscript{12-14}.

In addition to unintended negative effects of wide-spread usage of routing apps, the travel time information that drivers rely on to select their route is inherently outdated\textsuperscript{15,16}. The information only reflects temporary traffic states and relevant decisions of other drivers are not taken into account. Once all drivers choose the route with the predicted shortest travel time based on outdated information, it might not be the fastest route and unforeseen congested states may arise by the time they are en-route. This kind of collective behavior is termed as overreaction\textsuperscript{1} and may induce oscillations in route utilization\textsuperscript{17-21}.

Here, we analyze a simple macroscopic traffic flow model,
II. RESULTS

A. Minimal model system

The macroscopic state of traffic along a single street at time \( t \) is described by the number or density of vehicles \( N(t) \) which changes as vehicles enter and leave the street,

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} = \nu_{\text{in}} - \nu_{\text{out}}(N), \tag{1}
\]

where \( \nu_{\text{in}} \) denotes the rate of incoming vehicles and \( \nu_{\text{out}}(N) \) denotes the rate of vehicles leaving the system depending on the current traffic conditions.

On a street with a maximal capacity \( N_0 \), with an increasing street load, the distance between two vehicles is lowered, which forces drivers to slow down. Thus, the average speed of vehicles on a street decreases, which is equivalent to an increase of the travel time compared to the time \( t_0 \) a vehicle needs to drive through the empty street,

\[
t_{\text{travel}}(N) = t_0 \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right)^{-1} \left( \exp \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right) - 1 \right), \tag{2}
\]

i.e. the travel time grows exponentially with the number of vehicles. Such an exponential dependence has been suggested in early studies of traffic flow\(^{24}\) and correctly captures the qualitative dependence of travel time. For small densities \( N/N_0 \to 0 \), this function resembles the most common travel time function used\(^{25}\).

In this macroscopic description, the instantaneous rate of outflow is given as the number of vehicles currently on the street divided by the current travel time

\[
\nu_{\text{out}}(N) = \frac{N}{t_{\text{travel}}(N)} = \frac{N^2}{t_0 N_0} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}. \tag{3}
\]

This function qualitatively resembles the form of density-flow-diagrams (Fig. 1a) found empirically\(^{26}\). For low street
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FIG. 1. Route-choice model. (a) Vehicles enter a street with a rate \( \nu_{\text{in}} \) and leave it with a rate \( \nu_{\text{out}} \). The flow across the street depends on the current traffic conditions on the route [Eq. (3)]: the rate of outgoing vehicles increases linearly for few vehicles on the route, saturates at intermediate numbers of vehicles because the velocity of vehicles decreases, and finally decreases as the road becomes congested. At a given rate of incoming vehicles \( \nu_{\text{in}} \), the system [Eq. (1)] settles either into a stable fixed point with an expected number of \( N^* \), vehicles on the route (free flow) or becomes congested once more than \( N^* \) vehicles are on the street (congestion, grey shading). If the rate of incoming vehicles exceeds the capacity \( \nu_{\text{max}} \), the free flow state no longer exists and the route always becomes congested. (b) Among multiple route options drivers tend to choose the fastest route [Eq. (4)] based on the travel time information \( t_{\text{signal}} \) provided by, for example, a routing app. However, the travel time estimates only reflect the traffic conditions with a delay \( \tau \) due to measurement and updating delays of the information or before they become relevant to the driver later during the trip. In this time span, the traffic conditions may change due to unpredictable decisions of other drivers.
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loads, the outflow increases approximately linearly with the number of vehicles on the street, until a maximal outflow is reached. Above this maximum, the outrate decreases again – congestion arises.

Note that the general impact of outdated information does not depend on the exact curve given by Eq. (3) but rather on its qualitative form. Our choice for equation (2) thus does not put any limits on the generality of our findings.

If drivers have various route options \( i \) to reach their destination, the inflow rate \( v_{\text{in},i} \) of each of the routes \( i \) depends on the drivers’ route choices. Given that travel times \( t_{\text{signal},i} \) for all streets \( i \) are provided to the drivers, it has been found empirically that they choose one route \( i \) out of a set of route options indexed by \( j \) probabilistically, following a Boltzmann distribution as described by the multinomial logit model\(^{27,28}\)

\[
v_{\text{in},i} = v_{\text{in}}^0 \left( \frac{e^{-\beta t_{\text{signal},i}}}{\sum_j e^{-\beta t_{\text{signal},j}}} \right),
\]

where \( v_{\text{in}}^0 = \sum v_{\text{in},i} \) is a constant denoting the total rate of vehicles entering the road-system and \( \beta \) is a parameter which governs the impact of information on the individual decision: \( \beta = 0 \) corresponds to uniformly random choices, \( \beta \to \infty \) describes deterministic best response dynamics. Within the following, we set an intermediate value \( \beta = 1 \), such that drivers react sufficiently to travel time differences but route choices are not fully deterministic.

Within the first part of the paper, we study a two-road network, \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), with identical normalized length and capacities, \( N_{0,i} = t_{0,i} = 1 \), and interpret \( N_i \) as the density of vehicles on street \( i \).

1. **Stable equilibrium flow when providing up-to-date information**

If drivers are provided with up-to-date information on the travel times for both routes, their route choice is a reaction to current imbalances in street loads. Hence, the two-road system will only become congested if the inflow rate of vehicles is higher than the outflow rate. The maximal in-rate of a single street that does not lead to congestion, \( v_{\text{max}} \), is thus given by the maximum of the out-rate \( v_{\text{max}} = \max_N v_{\text{out}}(N) \) (Fig. 1a). So, for a two road system, traffic will flow freely for in-rates smaller than \( 2v_{\text{max}} \) if no information delay exists (Fig. 2a). As demonstrated by the plot of street load with time in Fig. 2b, the density of vehicles on both route options will settle at a stable equilibrium, which is given by the fixed point \( N_{\text{low}}^* \) marked in Fig. 1a. Once the inflow exceeds the critical in-rate of the two-street network, the traffic dynamics undergo a phase change and become congested.

2. **Unstable traffic flow due to outdated travel time information**

The general effect of route choice based on delayed information is a change in stability of the two-road network dynamics, as demonstrated in Fig. 2a. While for small delays the maximal in-rate is still only bounded by the street capacities, with increasing delay congestion sets in already for in-rates which are below the maximal values.

If drivers receive information about travel times based on measurements at a an earlier time \( t - \tau \), drivers keep choosing a route which was optimal at the moment of measurement but might not be the fastest route anymore. As a result, the road that seemed better at measurement time becomes even more crowded than the formerly worse alternative. Only when this change in relative street loads becomes visible in the delayed information, drivers adapt their route choice behavior.

As long as the information delay \( \tau \) is smaller than a critical delay \( \tau_c(v_{\text{in}}^0) \) the load differences between the two roads stay small such that some drivers keep choosing the seemingly worse option. Moreover, the rate of outgoing vehicles is sufficiently high even for maximal loads to counterbalance the extreme values of street load, as shown in Fig. 2c: the number of vehicles on each street oscillates around the stable fixed point \( N_{\text{low}}^* \) with decreasing amplitude.

If the information delay \( \tau \) increases above the critical value, the fixed point \( N_{\text{low}}^* \) becomes unstable. Drivers choose one route preferentially for longer before the travel time information updates. The larger travel time differences cause them to overreact even stronger in the other direction, reinforcing the dynamics and leading to oscillations of the street load with growing amplitude, as illustrated in Fig. 2d. Finally, once the number of vehicles on one of the two streets exceeds the second, unstable fixed point \( N_{\text{high}}^* \), the outflow of vehicles exceeds the inflow and congestion arises. As a consequence, every incoming driver now chooses the alternative road, which will thus eventually also congest. Fig. 2e depicts this long-term effect of large information delays.

The critical delay \( \tau_c(v_{\text{in}}^0) \) for a given in-rate derived from stability analysis of the delay-differential equation\(^{29}\) that governs the dynamics of street loads,

\[
\frac{dN_i}{dt} = v_{\text{in},i}[N_1(t - \tau), N_2(t - \tau)] - v_{\text{out},i}[N_1(t), N_2(t)],
\]

agrees well with the stability regimes obtained from the direct numerical integration of the delay-differential equation (5) (see Fig. 2a; for details see Appendix A).

3. **Averaging over outdated travel-time information prevents congestion**

Outdated information induces congestion due to an overreaction of drivers to the travel time information they get. To prevent this fatal overreaction, we reduce the differences in the signalled travel times of the two route options by providing travel time information based on the average load,

\[
t_{\text{signal},i} = t_{\text{travel}}(\langle N_i(t - \tau) \rangle_{T_{av}}),
\]

where

\[
\langle N_i(t - \tau) \rangle_{T_{av}} = \frac{1}{T_{av}} \int_{t - \tau - T_{av}}^{t - \tau} N_i(t') \, dt'.
\]
To demonstrate the robustness of the analysis from the macroscopic traffic model, i.e. the minimal two-road system, we analyze the impact of delayed information for larger networks with microscopic agent-based simulations of individual vehicles in a 5x5-square grid. Within the simulation, we set the capacity measure $N_{0,e} = 10$ for all streets $e$, leaving all other parameters in equations Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) unchanged. Vehicles enter the system following a Poisson process with a rate $v^0_{in}$ with origin and destination sampled from a uniform distribution. When a vehicle enters the system, the driver chooses a path $i$ from one of the possible shortest paths between their origin and destination based on the available (possibly outdated) information, where the travel time along the route $i$ is the sum of the travel times along all streets on this
1. Classifying congested traffic

Microscopic, agent-based simulations of drivers relying on delayed travel time information for their routing decisions on non-trivial street networks pose several challenges. First, stochastic fluctuations of the number of inflowing vehicles may induce spontaneous and persistent congestion. Second, as the routes of vehicles typically consist of more than one street segment, a natural additional information delay occurs if drivers make their route choice at the beginning of their trip without later adaptation. Third, classifying a state as congested becomes more subtle in such a stochastic system. Sudden peaks in the street loads can occur randomly without leading to permanent congestion. To circumvent wrong characterizations due to random fluctuations in our simulation, we increase the threshold at which we consider the system as congested from \( N_{\text{max}} := N(\nu_{\text{max}}) \approx 16 \), which we find from Eq. (1), to \( N_{\text{threshold}} = 100 \) vehicles. We furthermore choose a comparatively long simulation time of 400 time steps to ensure that no eventually congested state is overlooked.

2. Averaging prevents congestion in complex street networks

The impact of information delay on the collective traffic dynamics on larger street networks is comparable to the impact observed in the minimal two-road setting. The higher the delay, the lower is the critical in-rate for which congestion occurs. This general change in traffic flow dynamics is summarized in the bifurcation diagram 4a, in which stochastic effects are accounted for by showing the fraction of 100 simulation runs with equal parameters that end in a congested state.

As in the two-road scenario, an increased delay leads to higher average loads on the streets in the network. The already existing imbalance between highly frequented central edges and less frequented boundary regions, visible in Fig. 4b, is reinforced if information is delayed, as demonstrated for \( \tau = 5 \) in Fig. 4c. Only beyond a critical delay the street loads increase above \( N_{\text{max}} \), i.e. congestion arises (Fig. 4d). Interestingly, the street loads of two alternative routes (marked by red and blue paths with arrows in Fig. 4d) undergo oscillations that grow in amplitude, similar to those occurring in the two-road model for high delays (see Fig. 4e). Thus, also in non-trivial street networks, the overreaction of drivers induces unbalanced, alternating street loads which eventually lead to a congested state.

When providing averaged information, the same qualitative changes of the traffic flow dynamics occur for larger grid networks as for the small two-road system. The differences in ratio of congested states between simulations with and without averaging plotted in Fig. 5 confirm that while for nearly up-to-date information, averaging leads to higher instability, it increases the bound of stable in-rates for high information-delay.
3. Informing only a fraction of drivers prevents congestion

In a real-world setting, not all drivers rely on (the same) traffic information when choosing their route. To find whether the impact of delay on traffic flow dynamics stays the same for partially informed drivers, we provide only a fraction $f$ of drivers with travel time information, while the remaining fraction $1 - f$ decide uniformly randomly for one of the alternative route options.

If information is almost up-to-date, ($\tau = 1$), overreaction is small and hardly impacts the stability of the free flow state (see Fig. 4a). On the contrary, the provided information assists drivers to react to current load imbalances and thus prevents congestion. Hence, a reduction of the fraction $f$ of informed drivers as in Fig. 6 always leads to a decrease of critical in-rates, i.e. an earlier occurrence of instability.

If information is delayed ($\tau = 5, 15$), reducing the fraction $f$ of informed drivers increases the critical in-rate, i.e. the system supports a higher amount of traffic (Fig. 6). This effect can be understood in the same way as averaging outdated information. By collectively choosing a route which drivers are told to be optimal, they cause load imbalance and, eventually, congested states. In a setting where only a fraction of drivers actually have access to the information, this overreaction is damped.

Nevertheless, if too many route choices are made randomly, important information on the load distribution within the network is lost, as e.g. the fact that central streets are typically more loaded than outer ones (Fig. 4b). If this general tendency of load imbalance is not known to more than a critical percentage of the drivers, congestion will arise already for lower in-rates compared to the case in which all drivers use the available information.
III. DISCUSSION

We have introduced and analyzed a macroscopic model of how delayed travel-time information may affect traffic flow dynamics. Information delays may lead to oscillations on alternative routes, both in a simple two-road system and in more complex street networks. Our finding of oscillations due to time delay is in line with previous results modelling traffic flow in a two-street network with agent-based simulations where information is provided with a delay that depends on the street load\textsuperscript{18}. Additionally, linear stability analysis of the corresponding delay differential equations has revealed that once the delay exceeds a critical value, the load imbalances diverge and congestion arises on both routes.

We have proposed two possible strategies to prevent overreaction and congestion due to outdated information. First, if travel time information averaged over past observations is provided instead of a value measured at a single time point, the capacity of the system, i.e., the critical in-rate at which congestion occurs, increases for intermediate or high information delays. Thus, this strategy prevents traffic congestion in a similar way in which it prevents destabilizing feedback loops in decentral smart power grids\textsuperscript{22} and reduces blocking probabilities in optical fiber networks\textsuperscript{23}. Second, we found that the collective overreaction is less severe if only a fraction of drivers receives the delayed information. This finding stands in contrast to the naïve expectation that providing available information to all individuals may optimally improve system performance. Indeed, our results show that random route choices of the uninformed drivers lead to the same increase of the critical in-rate at which congestion occurs as observed for averaged information, similar to previous work that investigated this effect on selfish routing without explicitly discussing the role of information delay\textsuperscript{14,31,32}.

The combination of analytical insights in the minimal two street network and the observations in networks suggests that our results may robustly transfer to more complex settings as well. Determining the magnitude of the reported effects in larger empirical street networks is left for future work. However, as delays are inherent in providing information in any real world scenario, for example due to updating times and unpredictable feedback mechanisms\textsuperscript{15,16}, we expect this effect to be relevant in a broad range of settings.

In summary, our results suggest that providing partially or completely obscured information about travel times may prevent congestion induced by information delays when the delay exceeds a critical value. In the near future, these findings may be especially relevant for autonomous vehicle traffic\textsuperscript{33}. As traffic flow stability will not be limited by human imperfections such as random braking\textsuperscript{26,34}, the collective dynamics of vehicle fleets may play a dominant role in the emergence and prevention of congestion.
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Appendix A: Linear stability analysis

With delayed information, the differential equation governing the street load dynamics is

\[
\frac{dN_i}{dt} = N_i(t) = F_i(N_i, \{N_j(t-\tau)\}_j) = v_{in,i} \left( \left\{ t_{signal}^i[N_j(t-\tau)] \right\}_j \right) - \frac{N_i(t)}{N_0} \exp(N_i(t)/N_0) - 1 ,
\]

where \( j \) indexes all street segments, the rate of inflow \( v_{in,i} \) into a street \( i \) is defined according to Eq. (4) and the signalled travel time for road \( i \),

\[
t_{signal}^i[N_i(t-\tau)] = \frac{\exp(N_i(t-\tau)/N_0) - 1}{N_i(t)/N_0} ,
\]

depends on the street load at time \( t-\tau \). To find whether an equilibrium point \( N_i^* = N_j^* = N^* \), defined by \( \frac{dN_i}{dt} = 0 \), is stable, we consider a small perturbation from equilibrium \(^{29,35}\)

\[
N_i(t) = N_i^* + \delta N_i(t) ,
\]

linearize the differential equation and insert the exponential ansatz

\[
\delta N_i(t) = A_i e^{\lambda t} .
\]

The possible values for the exponent \( \lambda \) are determined by the characteristic equation

\[
\det \left( J_0 + e^{-\lambda \tau} J_{\tau} - \lambda I \right) = 0 , \tag{A1}
\]

with the entries

\[
J_{0,ij} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j(t)} F_i(t) \right|_{N_j(t)=N_j(t-\tau)=N^*} ,
\]

\[
J_{\tau,ij} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j(t-\tau)} F_i(t) \right|_{N_j(t)=N_j(t-\tau)=N^*} ,
\]

of the two Jacobi matrices. The equilibrium \( N^* \) is unstable if \( \Re(\lambda) > 0 \) for any solution \( \lambda \) of equation (A1). Thus, to determine the stability boundary shown in Fig. 3, we numerically calculate for each delay the critical in-rate \( v_{in}^{crit} \) for which \( \max \{ \Re(\lambda) \} = 0 \) (see Fig. 7).

To analyze the stability of the system when providing averaged information, we simplify the integral in equation (7) by adding a second differential equation for the averaged street loads \( N_{i,av} = \langle N_i(t-\tau) \rangle_{T_{av}} \), with two explicit delays \( \tau \) and \( \tau + T_{av} \). The dynamical system is thus described by two delay differential equations for each street \( i \),

\[
\frac{dN_i}{dt} = G_i(N_i, \{N_{j,av}\}_j) = v_{in,i} \left( \left\{ t_{signal}^i[N_{j,av}] \right\}_j \right) - \frac{N_i(t)}{N_0} \exp(N_i(t)/N_0) - 1 ,
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{i,av}}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_{av}} \left( N_i(t-\tau) - N_i(t-\tau - T_{av}) \right) ,
\]

and yields the characteristic equation

\[
\det \left( J_0 + e^{-\lambda \tau} J_{\tau} + e^{-\lambda (\tau+T_{av})} J_{\tau+2} - \lambda I \right) = 0 , \tag{A2}
\]

where the Jacobians are now 4x4 matrices with entries

\[
J_{0,\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} (t),
\]

\[
J_{\tau,\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} (t-\tau),
\]

\[
J_{\tau+2,\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} (t-\tau - T_{av}) ,
\]

for \( x_\alpha, x_\beta \in \{N_1, N_2, N_{1,av}, N_{2,av} \} \).

Again, we find the critical in-rate \( v_{in}^{crit} \) by determining for each delay \( \tau \) (and averaging time window \( T_{av} \)) the \( v_{in} \) for which a solution \( \lambda \) to Eq.(A2) with zero real part exists.

FIG. 7. Linear stability analysis predicts critical in-rate. We determine the stability boundary illustrated in Fig. 4 and 5 by finding the critical in-rate \( v_{in}^{crit} \) for each information delay \( \tau \) above which the traffic flow becomes unstable. This instability is characterized by a solution \( \lambda \) with a positive real part of the characteristic equation (A1) (or equivalently Eq. (A2) when providing averaged information).