Homodyne detection of a two-photon resonance assisted by cooperative emission
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Abstract. Using a transient regime approach, we explore atomic two-photon spectroscopy with self-aligned homodyne interferometry in the Λ-system. The two light sources at the origin of the interference, are the single-photon transient transmission of the probe, and the slow light of the electromagnetically induced transparency, whereas the atomic medium is characterized by a large optical depth. After an abrupt switch off of the probe laser (flash effect), the transmission signal is reinforced by cooperativity, showing enhanced sensitivity to the two-photon frequency detuning. If the probe laser is periodically switched on and off, the amplitude of the transmission signal varies and remains large even at high modulation frequency. This technique has potential applications in sensing, such as magnetometry and velocimetry, and in coherent population trapping clock.
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1. Introduction

Since the first experimental evidences of coherent population trapping (CPT) [1] and electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [2], the narrow dark two-photon resonance [3] has been a key feature for many fundamental and practical phenomena. Without being exhaustive, we point out: slowing and storage of light [4, 5], quantum memory [6, 7], CPT clock [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], microwave and terahertz generation and detection [14, 15, 16, 17], laser cooling [18, 19], Raman velocimetry [20], and nonlinear phenomena and many-body photonics systems [21, 22, 23, 24]. A generalization of CPT involving dark multi-photon resonances has been also demonstrated recently [25]. Improvement in the precision of the two-photon resonance can be achieved using cooperative effect, for example, by embedding the atomic medium into an optical resonator [26]. In particular, linewidth of bad cavities have been significantly narrowed down [27, 28, 29, 30]. Without cavities, EIT resonances are narrowed down using medium with large single-photon optical depth (OD) [31, 32]. Here, the two-photon resonance linewidth is inversely proportional to the OD of the medium, the cooperativity parameter.

In this paper, we propose a new method to measure the two-photon detuning using cooperative effect in a dilute EIT medium with large OD. We abruptly switch off or perform a square pulse modulation of the probe beam (see Fig. 1a), leading to two major improvements over above mentioned techniques. First, cooperativity can further enhance the transient signal as observed in flash experiments [33]. Second, the difference in time scales in the atomic system naturally leads to a high repetition rate homodyne interference between the transient transmitted field component coming from the single-photon response of the medium and the EIT slow light field. Since these two fields share the same electromagnetic mode, the homodyne interference is self-aligned in space. We note that pulsed techniques were already considered in CPT clock either in Ramsey-type configurations [34, 35, 36, 37], or with periodic pulse sequences [38]. However, in these configurations both the probe and control laser beams are modulated, and do not lead to a homodyne interference in the transmitted field.

2. Model and Results

We now describe the model and the assumptions used in our study. We consider a plane wave probe beam shining on a slab of zero temperature atomic medium with thickness $L$. For a weak probe beam below saturation, the transmitted field at time $t$ is $E(t)\exp(-i\omega pt)$, where the complex amplitude reads $b(\omega) = \frac{\gamma_21}{kL}$.

\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_i(\omega) \exp \left[ \frac{-\delta}{2} + i\phi(\delta) \right] e^{-i(\omega-\omega_p)t} d\omega. 
\]

$\tilde{E}_i(\omega)$ is the Fourier component of the incident field at frequency $\omega$, $\delta = \omega - (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$ is the frequency detuning of the Fourier component with respect to the resonance of the probed $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ transition (see Fig. 2), and $\omega_p$ is the carrier frequency of the probe beam. $b(\delta) = \Im\{\chi(\delta)\}kL$ and $\phi(\delta) = \Re\{\chi(\delta)\}kL/2$ are the OD and the phase shift for an optical field at detuning $\delta$, respectively.

2.1. Two-level system

As a preliminary study, we consider a two-level system, where $\chi(\delta) = \chi_{1p}(\delta) = -\frac{6\pi\rho\gamma_21}{kL} \left(\delta + i\gamma_21\right)^{-1}$ is the one-photon susceptibility of the dilute atomic ensemble assuming each atom scatters light independently. Here, $\gamma_21$ is the transition linewidth, $\rho$ is the number density of the medium, and $k$ is the wave-number of the optical field. The bulk properties of the medium $i.e., \rho$ and $L$, are encapsulated into a single parameter, $b_0 \equiv b(0) = \frac{6\pi\rho L}{k\gamma_21}$, which is the resonant single-photon OD. We assume that $b_0 \gg 1$ and disregard the incoherent multiple scattering field in the forward transmission [40].

At first, the probe beam is turned on abruptly, and shined for a duration long enough for the steady-state regime to be established, before being turned off abruptly (see the black curve in Fig. 1b). Defining $\delta_p$ as the probe beam carrier detuning, the resonant ($\delta_p = 0$) transmitted intensity, $I(t) = |E(t)|^2$, is illustrated by the red curve in Fig. 1b. Two flashes of light are observed. The initial flash (see left inset in Fig. 1b), during probe ignition, corresponds to the Sommerfeld-Brillouin optical (SBO) precursors [41, 42, 39, 43, 44]. During probe extinction, the flash of light is related to the free induction decay (FID) signal [45, 46] (see right inset in Fig. 1b). The flash has a fast cooperative decay time that scales like $47$

$$
\tau_f \sim \frac{1}{b_0\gamma_21}. 
$$

(2)

For an optically thick medium, $\tau_f$ is much shorter than excited state lifetime $\tau = \gamma_21^{-1}$.

To gain physical insight, we decompose the amplitude of the transmitted field as

$$
E(t) = E_r(t) + E_s(t),
$$

(3)
where, \( E_i(t) \) and \( E_s(t) \) are the amplitudes of the incident and forward scattered fields, respectively, in complex notation. In the steady-state regime, \( E \approx 0 \) means that \( E_s \approx -E_i \approx -1 \), i.e., a destructive interference between the incident field and the forward scattered field. Here, we use the normalisation: \(|E_i| = 1\) when the field is on, and the following notation convention: when quantities do not explicitly depend on time, they correspond to the steady-state values. When the incident field is switched off at \( t = 0^+ \), since the atom has a finite response time, \( E(0^+) \approx E_s \approx -1 \) and \( I(0^+) \approx 1 \) (see Fig. 1b). As pointed out in Refs. [38, 33], the FID flash is a measurement of \( E_s \).

The FID flash and SBO precursors in Fig. 1 have the same temporal profile. This can be understood from the temporal version of Babinet principle [49]. For \( \delta_p = 0 \) and \( b_0 \gg 1 \), the fields during probe ignition and extinction should sum to zero, i.e., the steady-state transmitted field value [48]. In other words, the FID flash and SBO precursor fields are complementary at resonance, i.e., they have equal amplitudes but opposite signs.

### 2.2. Three-level Λ system

We now turn to the case of the Λ-system, with an additional state [3]. In the presence of a continuous control beam on the transition \(|3 \rangle \rightarrow |2 \rangle\) (\( \Omega_c \neq 0 \), see Fig. 1f), EIT phenomenon takes place and the susceptibility of the probe beam reads,

\[
\chi(\delta) = \chi_{tp}(\delta) \left[ 1 - \frac{|\Omega_c|^2/4}{\left( \delta + \frac{\gamma_{31}}{2} \right) \left( \delta - \delta_c + \frac{\gamma_{21}}{2} \right)} \right]^{-1},
\]

where \( \gamma_{31}/2 \) is the relaxation rate of coherence between the ground states \(|3 \rangle \) and \(|1 \rangle \), and \( \delta_c \) is the frequency detuning of the control beam. Since OD is large, the transmission signal weakly depends on \( \delta_c \), and we set \( \delta_c = 0 \). Therefore, \( \delta_p = 0 \) also indicates the two-photon resonance condition. Under this condition, the temporal evolution of the transmitted intensity is shown in Fig. 1f, and can be directly compared to the two-level case of Fig. 1b. A salient difference is the increase of the transmission well after the SBO precursor \((t \sim -500 \gamma_{21}^{-1})\), due to the development of the EIT slow light. For \( \gamma_{31} \ll \Omega_c, \gamma_{21} \), this occurs after a group delay of (See the appendix)

\[
\tau_{EIT} = b_0 \frac{\gamma_{21}}{|\Omega_c|^2}.
\]

As previously observed with a rubidium cold gas [39], we find an anti-flash at probe extinction, i.e., \( I(0^+) \approx 0 \). To understand the appearance of this anti-flash, we consider the following modified field decomposition,

\[
E(t) = E_i(t) + E_s(t) + E_{EIT}(t).
\]

In addition to the first two right-hand-side terms that are found in the two-level case, a third term is added to include the field of the EIT slow light. There is a physical interest in this new field decomposition, because of the clear time scale separation between the flash and the slow EIT light, i.e., \( \tau_f \ll \tau_{EIT} \). The SBO precursors are identical for the two-level and three-level schemes as shown on left insets of Fig. 1b and Fig. 1f, respectively. Indeed, the short time scale of the SBO precursors means that they are governed by the two-level response of the probed transition. By complementary argument, the FID flashes (i.e., \( E_s \)) are also identical for the two-level and three-level cases. Hence, the clear difference in the FID flashes time profiles (compare right insets in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1f), solely originates from the interference with the additional \( E_{EIT} \) term. At \( \delta_p = 0 \) and \( \gamma_{31} = 0 \), the three-level medium
is transparent in the steady-state regime, meaning $E \approx 1$. Since $E_s \approx -1$ from the two-level case, we have $E_{EIT} \approx E \approx 1$. Thus $E(0^+) \approx E_s + E_{EIT} \approx 0$ during probe extinction, as observed in the right inset of Fig. 1. This anti-flash results from an destructive interference between the FID flash ($E_s$) and the EIT field ($E_{EIT}$).

The nature of the interference, constructive or destructive during probe extinction, depends upon the steady-state phase difference between $E_{EIT}$ and $E_s$. This reads

$$\Delta \phi = \phi_{EIT} - \phi_s \approx \delta_p \tau_{EIT} - \pi = b_0 \frac{\gamma_{21}}{|\Omega_c|^2} \delta_p - \pi . \quad (7)$$

For a small probe detuning of $\delta_p \ll \gamma_{21}$, the phase of $E_s$ has an approximately constant value of $\phi_s \approx \pi$. Neglecting off-resonance absorption inside the transparency window, the fringe maxima (constructive interference) is located at $\Delta \phi = 0 \, (\text{mod} \, 2\pi)$, i.e., $\phi_{EIT} = \pi \, (\text{mod} \, 2\pi)$. This condition gives $E_{EIT} = E_s = -1$, and a maximum value of $I(0^+) = 4$, according to Eq. (6). The first maxima is located at $|\delta_p| = \delta_x$ where $\delta_x$.

$$\delta_x \approx \frac{\pi |\Omega_c|^2}{b_0 \gamma_{21}} . \quad (8)$$

The $b_0^{-1}$ prefactor in Eq. (8) encapsulates the cooperative narrowing of the fringe pattern. We confirm this analysis, by integrating Eq. (1) at $t = 0^+$ with $\gamma_{31} = 0$ for various values of $\delta_p$ and $b_0$ (see 2D color plot Fig. 2). The detuning at the first bright fringe is well captured by Eq. (8) of $\delta_x$ (black dotted curve), and we clearly observed the enhancement of the phase measurement sensitivity, due to cooperative narrowing of the fringes when $b_0$ increases.

A section at $b_0 = 200$ is represented by the light grey curve in Fig. 2. The first maximum does not reach the value of four as predicted, due to the presence of residual absorption when the two-photon resonances condition is not exactly fulfilled. The absorption becomes more important at larger detuning which explains the damping of the fringes. The damping is reinforced, when we include a non-zero relaxation of the ground state coherence, as illustrated for $\gamma_{31} = \gamma_{21}/1000$ by the light grey dashed curve in Fig. 2. If the temperature of the atomic ensemble is finite, we expect similar results as far as the cooperative characteristic rate $\tau_f^{-1}$ is larger than the Doppler broadening [50], and the relaxation of the ground state coherence remains small (for example, with buffer gas [51] or anti-reflection coated [52] [53] [54] vapor cells).

We have so far analyzed the case of long probe duration where the steady-state regime of EIT transparency is achieved before the pulse extinction. Considering a realistic experimental parameters for alkali metal atoms of $b_0 = 200$, $\gamma_{21} = 2\pi \times 6 \, \text{MHz}$, and $\Omega_c = \gamma_{21}/2$, the square pulse duration has to be longer than $\tau_{EIT} \approx 21 \, \mu$s. The homodyne measurement takes place within the decay time of the flash, typically $\tau_I \sim 130 \, \text{ps}$, implying an unfavourably low duty cycle.

2.3. Square-pulse amplitude modulation

We now show that it is possible to improve the duty cycle by several orders of magnitude. To this end, we consider a periodic square-pulse amplitude modulation of the probe beam, with

$$E_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & -\frac{T}{2} < t < 0, \\ 0, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2} . \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and $E_i(t + nT) = E_i(t)$ for all integers $n$. In Fig. 3 upper panel, we plot the transmitted intensity at the falling edge $I(0^+)$ for $b_0 = 200$ and $\Omega_c = \gamma_{21}/2$, in the 2D parameter space of pulse period $T$ and probe detuning $\delta_p$. For the slow regime of $T \gg \tau_{EIT}$, the EIT steady state is achieved within the probe duration. The interference fringe pattern is independent of the modulation period and depends only on $\Delta \Phi$ as given in Eq. (4). The same fringe pattern is also observed for $T \ll \tau_{EIT}$. In this case, as far as the EIT response of the medium is concerned, the probe beam can be considered as a time-averaged constant field with half amplitude. The resulting EIT field is also a constant field, with a phase shift of $\phi_{EIT}$. This is the basis for extending the self-aligned homodyne detection technique to higher modulation frequencies. Its performance at higher frequencies is summarized in the
lower panel of Fig. 3 by the black curve for the maximum flash $I_{\text{max}}$ and the red dashed curve for the fringe contrast $(I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})/(I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}})$. $I_{\text{max}}$ is defined as the intensity of the first fringe maximum of $I(0^+)$. The minimum intensity $I_{\text{min}}$ is found at resonance, where the destructive interference between $E_s$ and $E_{\text{EIT}}$ gives an anti-flash dip at the falling edge of the square pulse.

There are two regimes with unity contrast. The first one at $T \gg \tau_{\text{EIT}}$ is the steady-state regime discussed previously. The second regime at $T \ll \tau_f$ (i.e., $T/\tau \ll b_0^{-1}$) is of practical interest because of the much higher duty cycle. Due to the high modulation frequency, the sidebands are far-detuned and are transmitted unaffected through the atomic medium, summing up to an amplitude of $E_i(t) - 1/2$. Neglecting the off-resonance absorption in the transparency window, the transmitted carrier field amplitude is $\exp(i\phi_{\text{EIT}})/2$. As a result, the total transmitted signal is $|E_i(t) + \exp(i\phi_{\text{EIT}}) - 1/2|^2$, and retains the square-pulse shape. Some examples of the calculated transmitted signals at different $\delta_p$ values are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4. We observed a flip of the square pulse when $|\delta_p|$ goes from zero to $\delta_s$, with a slight reduction of the signal due to the residual absorption. This signal, sensitive to the two-photon detuning, can be easily detected using standard amplitude-modulation spectroscopy. The fields decomposition of Eq. (6) can also be applied in this regime, noting that both the two-level and EIT responses of the atomic medium sees a “time-averaged” incident beam. As an example, we discuss the resonance case where $E_s \approx -0.5$ and $E_{\text{EIT}} \approx 0.5$, giving $I(t) \approx |E_i(t)|^2$.

This can be easily extended to the case of $\delta_p \neq 0$.

To take advantage of the cooperative enhancement of the transient signals, we consider now the intermediate regime of $\tau_f < T \lesssim \tau$. While the duty cycle is still high, the modulation frequency is now long enough for the flashes to develop at both the leading and falling edges. At some modulation period, this leads to a higher $I_{\text{max}}$ (see lower panel of Fig. 4 for case of $T\gamma_{21} = 1$). Here, the subsequent flash occurs during the transient of the previous flash. This leads to the oscillations in the values of $I_{\text{max}}$ and the contrast, as seen in lower panel of Fig. 3. This regime was previously studied in the two-level system [47]. Importantly, the contrast remains high for the cases where $I_{\text{max}}$ is maximal, making them useful for future applications.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have studied a new approach to determine the two-photon resonance frequency of an optically thick three-level $\Lambda$-system, in the transient regime. This technique is based on a self-aligned homodyne detection of the slow light of EIT through its interference with a flash. The latter is the fast cooperative emission of light after an abrupt extinction of the incident field, and has a time-scale that is well-separated from the EIT slow light. We showed that an amplitude-modulation spectroscopy with square pulse, allows a sensitive determination of the two-photon resonance, thanks to cooperative effects. This technique could be considered for CPT clocks [9], velocimetry [20] and magnetometry [55, 56] applications. Importantly, this technique can be performed in a wide range of modulation frequency that is higher than transition
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linewidth, relying on a time-averaged regime of the EIT response. The optimum modulation frequency is a best compromise between the fast and the intermediate regime. In the fast regime, the transmitted signal retains its square-pulse profile, with unity contrast in the fringe pattern. The intermediate regime, on the other hand, benefits from the cooperative flash emission for larger signal amplitude. At the same time, the fringe contrast remains high.
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Appendix

With a minor algebraic manipulation of Eq. (4) in main text, the susceptibility, for a weak probe beam of detuning $\delta_p$ within the $\Lambda$-system in the steady-state regime, is given by,

$$
\chi(\delta_p) = \frac{-6\pi \rho}{k^3} \frac{2\gamma_{21} [\delta_p - \delta_c + i\gamma_{31}]}{\delta^2 - 2\gamma_{21}\gamma_{31} \frac{\Omega_c^2}{4} + i\delta_p \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{31}}
$$

For $\delta_c = 0$ , the above expression reads,

$$
\chi(\delta_p) = \frac{-6\pi \rho}{k^3} \frac{2\gamma_{21}[\delta_p - i\gamma_{31}]}{\delta^2 - 2\gamma_{21}\gamma_{31} \frac{\Omega_c^2}{4}}
$$

In the limit of $\gamma_{31}$ and $\delta_p \ll \Omega_c, \gamma_{21}$, we can write, $\delta_p^2 - 2\gamma_{21}\gamma_{31} \frac{\Omega_c^2}{4} + i\delta_p \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{31} \approx -\frac{\Omega_c^2}{4} + i(\delta_p + i\gamma_{31}) \frac{\gamma_{21}}{2}$. Hence the expression for $\chi$ can be written as

$$
\chi(\delta_p) \approx \frac{-6\pi \rho}{k^3} \frac{\delta_p + i\gamma_{31}}{\frac{\Omega_c^2}{4}}
$$

Keeping to the first order in $\delta_p$ and $\gamma_{31}$, we have

$$
\chi(\delta_p) \approx \frac{6\pi \rho \gamma_{21}}{k^3} \frac{\gamma_{21}}{\Omega_c^2} (\delta_p + i\gamma_{31})
$$

The real and imaginary part of $\chi$ can be written as follows:

$$
\text{Re}\{\chi(\delta_p)\} \approx \frac{6\pi \rho \gamma_{21}}{k^3} \frac{\gamma_{21}}{\Omega_c^2} \delta_p,
$$

$$
\text{Im}\{\chi(\delta_p)\} \approx \frac{6\pi \rho \gamma_{21}}{k^3} \frac{\gamma_{21}}{\Omega_c^2} \gamma_{31}.
$$

(1)

We now derive the time delay. The group velocity, $v_g$ the probe laser can be written as

$$
v_g = \frac{c_0}{n(\omega_p) + \frac{\partial n}{\partial \omega_p} \omega_p}
$$

where $c_0$ is speed of light in vacuum, $n = 1 + \text{Re}\{\chi\}/2$. Further we know that, $n(\omega_p) \ll \frac{\partial n}{\partial \omega_p} \omega_p$ so $v_g \approx \frac{c_0}{n(\omega_p)}$.

Putting the value of the $\text{Re}\{\chi\}$ from Eq. (1) and using $k = \omega_p/c_0$, we get

$$
n \approx 1 + \frac{1 + 6\pi \rho c_0^3}{2} \frac{2\gamma_{21}}{\omega_p^2} \frac{\Omega_c^2}{\Omega_{c1}^2} \delta_p
$$

(2)

where, $\omega_0 = \frac{E_0 - E_2}{\hbar}$. We remind the reader that $\delta_p = \omega_p - \omega_0$, so

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \omega_p} \approx \frac{1 + 6\pi \rho c_0^3}{2} \frac{2\gamma_{21}}{\omega_p^2} \frac{1 - 3 \delta_p}{\Omega_{c1}^2}
$$

For the quasi-resonant case, $\delta_p \ll 1$, so the above equation becomes,

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \omega_p} \approx \frac{1 + 6\pi \rho c_0^3}{2} \frac{2\gamma_{21}}{\omega_p^2} \frac{1}{\Omega_{c1}^2},
$$

and the group velocity reads,

$$
v_g \approx \frac{\omega_p^2}{6\pi \rho c_0^3} \frac{2\gamma_{21}}{\Omega_{c1}^2}
$$

(3)

Finally, the time delay defined as $\tau_{EIT} = L/v_g - L/c_0 \approx L/v_g$ is given by,

$$
\tau_{EIT} \approx \frac{6\pi \rho c_0^3 L}{\omega_p^2} \frac{2\gamma_{21}}{\Omega_{c1}^2} \frac{1}{\Omega_{c1}^2}
$$

(4)
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