# Global existence of solutions to Keller-Segel chemotaxis system with heterogeneous logistic source and nonlinear secretion

Gurusamy Arumugam<sup>a</sup>, Asha K. Dond<sup>b</sup>, André H. Erhardt<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Calicut, Kerala, India. <sup>b</sup>School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India. <sup>c</sup>Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstraße 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany

## Abstract

We study the following Keller-Segel chemotaxis system with logistic source and nonlinear secretion:

$$u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) + \kappa(|x|)u - \mu(|x|)u^p$$
 and  $0 = \Delta v - v + u^{\gamma}$ ,

where  $\kappa(\cdot)$ ,  $\mu(\cdot) : [0, R] \to [0, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $p \in (\gamma + 1, \infty)$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . For this system, we prove the global existence of solutions under suitable assumptions on the initial condition and the functions  $\kappa(\cdot)$  and  $\mu(\cdot)$ .

*Keywords:* Chemotaxis, Parabolic systems, Global-in-time existence 2010 MSC: 35D30, 35A01, 35K40

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the following Keller-Segel chemotaxis system, which depends on a logistic source term [1, 2, 3, 4] and nonlinear secretion [5, 6, 7], and reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) + g(x, u) \\ 0 = \Delta v - v + u^{\gamma} \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{1.1}$$

with initial values  $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(x)$  for  $x \in \Omega$  and homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 2$ , *u* denotes the cell density and *v* denotes the concentration of chemical signal. In addition, we consider the environment depending logistic source  $g(x, u) = \kappa(|x|)u - \mu(|x|)u^p$ . The function  $\kappa(|x|)$  represents the self-growth, while  $\mu(|x|)$  the self-limitation of the mobile species. This type of system is relevant in the modelling of micro- and macroscopic population dynamics or tumour invasion processes, see e.g. [2].

Historically, mathematical modelling of chemotaxis phenomenon dates to the pioneering works of Patlak in the 1950s [8] and Keller-Segel in the 1970s [9, 10]. The study of Keller-Segel (type) system is motivated by numerous applications, see for instance [11, 12]. The general form of the Keller-Segel chemotaxis system is given by

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (\phi(u, v)\nabla u - \psi(u, v)\nabla v) + f(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau v_t = d\Delta v + g(u, v)u - h(u, v)v, \tag{1.2}$$

where *u* represents the cell (or organism) density on a given domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and *v* denotes the concentration of the chemical signal. The cell dynamics derive from population kinetics and movement, the latter comprising a diffusive flux modelling undirected (random) cell migration and an advective flux with velocity dependency on the gradient of the signal. The motility function  $\phi(u, v)$  describes the diffusivity of the cells and  $\psi(u, v)$  represents the chemotactic sensitivity. The function f(u, v) describes cell growth and death, while the functions g(u, v) and h(u, v) are kinetic functions that describe production and degradation of the chemical signal, respectively. Organisms or cell moves from a lower concentration to its higher concentration of the chemoattractant, which is known as positive chemotaxis.

*Email addresses:* guru.poy@gmail.com (Gurusamy Arumugam), ashadond@iisertvm.ac.in (Asha K. Dond), andre.erhardt@wias-berlin.de (André H. Erhardt)

Notice that, the general form of chemotactic term is of the form  $\psi(u, v, |\nabla v|)$  [13]. The important properties of system (1.2) are self aggregation phenomenon and spatial pattern formation. Due to the important applications of chemotaxis in medical and biological sciences, the research on chemotaxis phenomenon has become an increasing interest in applied mathematics.

*Main results*. The principal purpose of this work is to provide the global existence of solutions to the parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system (1.1). The main result reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded and smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\mu_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p > \gamma + 1$ ,  $q > \frac{n\gamma}{2}$  and  $\kappa$ ,  $\mu \in C^0([0, R]) \cap C^1((0, R))$  and let  $g(x, u) = \kappa(|x|)u - \mu(|x|)u^p$ . In addition, we assume that  $0 < \alpha < 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$ . If

$$\mu(s) \ge \mu_1 s^{\alpha}, \text{ for all } s \in [0, R]$$

$$(1.3)$$

then there exists a global-in-time classical solution to system (1.1) for any nonnegative initial datum  $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Notice that the assumption on  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $\gamma > 1$ , is required to guarantee the existence of a unique solution. Furthermore, we would like to refer to [2, Proposition 1.4] for the case n = 2 and  $\gamma = 1$ .

*Plan of the paper.* In Section 2 we state the local existence of classical solutions and certain preliminaries. Then, in Section 3 we derive a  $L^q$ -bound for the cell density u. In Section 4, we derive the  $L^{\infty}$ -bound for u and finally, we provide the global existence of solution in Section 5.

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state the local existence of solutions to system (1.1), which is based on a fixed point argument.

**Lemma 2.1.** Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, there exists  $T_{max} \in (0, \infty]$  and a local-in-time classical solution (u, v) to system (1.1) uniquely determined such that

$$u \in C^{0}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_{max})) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_{max}))$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$v \in \bigcap_{q > n} C^0([0, T_{max}); W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap C^{2,0}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_{max}))$$
(2.2)

and  $\int_{\Omega} v(\cdot, t) dx = 0$  for all  $t \in (0, T_{max})$ . Moreover, this solution is nonnegative in u, radially symmetric if  $u_0$  is radially symmetric and such that if  $T_{max} < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{t \to T_{max}} \sup \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = \infty.$$
(2.3)

We omit the proof of Lemma 2.1, since it is similar to the one in [14, Theorem 2.1]. In addition, the solution u satisfies the following  $L^1$  estimate:

**Lemma 2.2.** Under the assumption of Lemma 2.1 and for any nonnegative function  $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ , let (u, v) be the local in time classical solution of system (1.1). Then, u satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot,t) \mathrm{d}x \leq K, \ for \ all \ t \in (0,T_{max}), \ where \ K = \max\left\{\int_{\Omega} u_0, \frac{k_1}{\mu_1} |\Omega|\right\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is similar to the proof of [15, Lemma 2.2]. Furthermore, to make the paper self-contained we provide some basic inequalities.

**Lemma 2.3** (Interpolation inequality, [16], page 623). Assume  $1 \le s \le r \le t \le \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{s} + \frac{(1-\theta)}{t}$ . Suppose also  $u \in L^{s}(\Omega) \cap L^{t}(\Omega)$ . Then  $u \in L^{r}(\Omega)$  and

$$\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \le \|u\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}.$$
(2.4)

**Lemma 2.4** (Lemma 5.1 [17]). Let  $y(t) \ge 0$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + c_1 y^{\alpha} \le c_2\\ y(0) = y_0 \end{cases}$$

with some constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\theta \ge 1$ . Then we have

$$y(t) \le \max\left\{y_0, \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right\}, t > 0.$$

In addition, we will need the following lemma, which is established in [18, Lemma 1.3] and reads as follows:

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t\geq 0}$  be the Neumann heat semigroup in  $\Omega$ , and  $\lambda_1 > 0$  denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$  under the Neumann boundary conditions. Then there exist constants  $C_1, \ldots, C_4$  depending on  $\Omega$  only which have the following properties

(i) If  $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$  then

$$\|e^{t\Delta}w\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1}\left(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right)e^{-\lambda_{1}t}\|w\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

holds for all  $w \in L^q(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\int_{\Omega} w = 0$ .

(*ii*) If  $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$  then

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta}w\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2}\left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right)e^{-\lambda_{1}t}\|w\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

is true for each  $w \in L^q(\Omega)$ .

(iii) If  $2 \le p < \infty$  then

$$\|\nabla e^{t\Delta}w\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C_3 e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|w\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for all t > 0 is valid for all  $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

(iv) Let  $1 < q \le p < \infty$ . Then

$$\|e^{t\Delta}\nabla \cdot w\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{4}\left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}\right)e^{-\lambda_{1}t}\|w\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \text{ for all } t > 0$$

holds for all  $w \in (C_0^{\infty}(\Omega))^n$ . Consequently, for all t > 0 the operator  $e^{t\Delta}\nabla \cdot$  possesses a uniquely determined extension to an operator from  $L^q(\Omega)$  into  $L^p(\Omega)$ , with norm controlled according to the last inequality.

# 3. $L^q$ -bound for u

In this section, we establish the  $L^q$ -bound for the solution u of the system (1.1).

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p > \gamma + 1$ ,  $q > \frac{n\gamma}{2}$  and let  $0 < \alpha < 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$ . Then

$$||u(\cdot,t)||_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

*Proof.* Testing the first equation of the system (1.1) with  $u^{q-1}$ ,  $q > \frac{n\gamma}{2} > 1$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u^{q-1} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u u^{q-1} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) \cdot u^{q-1} + \int_{\Omega} \kappa(|x|) u u^{q-1} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \mu(|x|) u^p u^{q-1} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Integration by parts leads to

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx = -\frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u^{\frac{q}{2}}|^{2}dx + (q-1)\int_{\Omega}u^{q-1}\nabla v \cdot \nabla udx + \int_{\Omega}\kappa(|x|)u^{q}dx - \int_{\Omega}\mu(|x|)u^{p+q-1}dx.$$
 (3.1)

Next, multiplying the second equation of the system (1.1) by  $u^q$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta v u^{q} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} u^{q} v \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} u^{q+\gamma} \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

and integration by parts yields

$$-q \int_{\Omega} u^{q-1} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{q} v dx + \int_{\Omega} u^{q+\gamma} dx = 0.$$

This implies

$$q \int_{\Omega} u^{q-1} \nabla u \nabla v dx = -\int_{\Omega} u^{q} v dx + \int_{\Omega} u^{q+\gamma} dx, \qquad (3.2)$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx + \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u^{\frac{q}{2}}|^{2}dx \le \frac{(q-1)}{q}\int_{\Omega}u^{q+\gamma}dx + \int_{\Omega}\kappa(|x|)u^{q}dx - \int_{\Omega}\mu(|x|)u^{p+q-1}dx.$$
(3.3)

Estimating the integrand of the first term on the right-hand side of (3.3), we get

$$u^{q+\gamma} \le \epsilon_1 |x|^{\alpha} (u^{q+\gamma})^{\frac{p+q-1}{q+\gamma}} + \frac{p-1-\gamma}{p+q-1} (|x|^{\alpha} \epsilon_1)^{-\frac{q+\gamma}{p+q-1}} = \epsilon_1 |x|^{\alpha} u^{p+q-1} + \frac{p-1-\gamma}{p+q-1} (|x|^{\alpha} \epsilon_1)^{-\frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}},$$
(3.4)

where we used Young's inequality with the exponents  $\frac{p+q-1}{q+\gamma}$  and  $\frac{p+q-1}{p-1-\gamma}$ . Due to  $p > \gamma + 1$  we have  $p - \gamma - 1 > 0$  and  $p + q - 1 > q + \gamma$ . Thus,  $0 < \frac{q+\gamma}{p+q-1} < 1$  and  $0 < \frac{p-1-\gamma}{p+q-1} < 1$ . Using (1.3), we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \mu(|x|) u^{p+q-1} \mathrm{d}x \le -\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} u^{p+q-1} \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.5)

Choosing  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{q}{q-1}\mu_1$ , then inequality (3.4) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{q+\gamma} dx \leq \frac{q}{q-1} \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} u^{p+q-1} dx + c_1(p,q,\mu_1,\gamma) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha \frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{q}{q-1} \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} u^{p+q-1} dx + c_1(p,q,\mu_1,\gamma) \int_{0}^{R} r^{1-\alpha \frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}} dr.$$
(3.6)

Substituting (3.5), (3.6) into (3.3), we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx + \frac{4(q-1)}{q^{2}}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u^{\frac{q}{2}}|^{2}dx \leq \mu_{1}\int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha}u^{p+q-1}dx + c_{1}(p,q,\mu_{1},\gamma)\int_{0}^{R}r^{1-\alpha\frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}}dr + ||\kappa||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx - \mu_{1}\int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha}u^{p+q-1}dx \leq c\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx + c_{1}(p,q,\mu_{1},\gamma_{1})\int_{0}^{R}r^{1-\alpha\frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}}dr \leq c_{2}\int_{\Omega}u^{q}dx + c_{1}.$$
(3.7)

In order to ensure the integral  $\int_0^R r^{1-\alpha \frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}} dr$  is well defined, we need the condition  $1 - \alpha \frac{q+\gamma}{p-1-\gamma} > -1$ . From this, we can derive the condition for  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $0 < \alpha < 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$ . If we choose  $\alpha = 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$  or  $\alpha > 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$ , then we get a contradiction for q > 1. Set  $y(t) := \int_{\Omega} u^q dx$ . Then, (3.7) becomes an ODE  $y'(t) \le c_2 y(t) + c_1$ . Finally, Lemma 2.4 yields  $y(t) \le \max\left\{y(0), \frac{c_1}{c_2}\right\}$ . This implies  $||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^q(\Omega)} \le c$  for all  $t \in (0, T_{max})$ , where the constant c > 0.

### 4. $L^{\infty}$ -bound for *u*

In order to prove the global existence of solutions it is necessary to derive a  $L^{\infty}$  estimate. Thus, we derive the  $L^{\infty}$ -bounds of *u* and *v* by using the  $L^{q}$ -bound from Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded and smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p > \gamma + 1$ ,  $q > \frac{n\gamma}{2}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 2\frac{p-1-\gamma}{q+\gamma}$  and u belongs to  $L^q(\Omega)$  and let (u, v) be a local-in-time classical solution to (1.1) in  $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$  for some  $T_{max} > 0$ . Then, there exist c > 0 such that

$$\|v\|_{W^{1,s}(\Omega)} \le c \|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)}, \ n < s < q^* := \frac{nq}{n\gamma - q} \ and \ v \in L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(4.1)

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.1 we have that  $u(\cdot, t)$  is bounded in  $L^{\infty}((0, T_{max}); L^q(\Omega))$ . Then, by the standard elliptic regularity results, cf. [19, Theorem 19.1], applied to the second equation of (1.1) which warrant that

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,q/\gamma}(\Omega))} \le c \|u\|_{L^{q/\gamma}(\Omega)}$$
(4.2)

hence  $\|\nabla v\|_{W^{1,q/\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq c$ . The Sobolev embedding theorem [20, Corollary 7.11], [21, Corollary 1.3.1] or [22] gives  $v \in C^m(\overline{\Omega}), 0 \leq m < 2 - \frac{n\gamma}{q}$  and  $\nabla v \in L^s(\Omega)$  for all  $n < s < q^* := \frac{nq}{n\gamma - q}$ . In particular, we have

$$\|v\|_{L^s(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \le c$$

for some positive constant c > 0. Since  $W^{1,s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we also derive  $||v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1 be satisfied and let (u, v) be a local-in-time classical solution to system (1.1) in  $\Omega \times (0, T_{max})$  for some  $T_{max} > 0$ . Then, there exist c > 0 such that

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T_{max})\times\Omega)} \le c \text{ for all } t \in (0,T_{max}).$$

$$(4.3)$$

Proof. By the variation of constants formula, we can write

$$u(\cdot,t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla \cdot (u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla v(\cdot,\tau))d\tau + \int_0^t k(|x|)e^{(t-s)\Delta}u(\cdot,\tau)d\tau - \int_0^t \mu(|x|)e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}u^p(\cdot,\tau)d\tau.$$
(4.4)

Using the positivity of u, we can rewrite (4.4) as

$$u(\cdot,t) \leq e^{t\Delta}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \nabla \cdot (u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla v(\cdot,\tau)) d\tau + \int_0^t k(|x|) e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}u(\cdot,\tau) d\tau$$

Taking sup norm on both sides of the last inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \int_{0}^{t} \|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla v(\cdot,\tau))\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|k(|x|)e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}d\tau \\ &=:\|u_{1}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|u_{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|u_{3}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5)$$

Now, we use the known smoothing estimates for the Neumann heat semigroup that is Lemma 2.5 to estimate R.H.S of (4.5). First we estimate  $||u_1(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$  as follows

$$\|u_{1}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{\infty})}\right) e^{-\lambda_{1}t} \|u_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1}(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}}) e^{-\lambda_{1}t} \|u_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}.$$
(4.6)

Next, we estimate  $||u_2(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ : for all t > 0 and r > n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla\nu(\cdot,\tau))\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\leq C_{2}\left(1+(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\pi}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\infty})}\right)e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-\tau)}\|u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla\nu(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{2}(1+(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\pi}{2r}})e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-\tau)}\|u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla\nu(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first non-zero eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$  under the Neumann boundary condition. Since s > n, we take n < r < s to estimate (4.7). By using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we gain that

$$\|u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla v(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{rs}{(s-r)}}(\Omega)} \|\nabla v(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)}.$$

Next, using the interpolation inequality (2.4) and (4.1) in the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{rs}{(s-r)}}(\Omega)} \le \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{(1-\theta)},\tag{4.8}$$

where  $\theta = 1 - \frac{(s-r)q}{rs} \in (0,1)$ , cf. e.g. [23, page 12]. For any given  $\overline{t} \in (0, T_{max})$ , let us define the function  $N : (0, T_{max}) \to \mathbb{R}, \overline{t} \to \sup_{t \in (0,\overline{t})} ||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$  such that  $N(\overline{t}) := \sup_{t \in (0,\overline{t})} ||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ . Substituting (4.8) into (4.7) and using Lemma 4.1, we gain that

$$\|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(u(\cdot,\tau)\nabla v(\cdot,\tau))\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2}(1+(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2r}})e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-\tau)}\|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\theta}\|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{(1-\theta)}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|u_{2}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2} \int_{0}^{t} (1+(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\pi}{2r}}) e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-\tau)} \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{(1-\theta)} d\tau.$$

By using Lemma 3.1, the above inequality becomes

$$\|u_{2}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2} \int_{0}^{t} (1+(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{2r}}) e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-\tau)} \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(4.9)

Finally, similar to [24] – By the order property of the Neumann heat semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t\geq 0}$  due to the maximum principle – we estimate

$$\int_0^t \|k(|x|)e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\mathrm{d}\tau \le C_3.$$
(4.10)

Substituting (4.6), (4.9) and (4.10) into (4.5) and recalling the definition of N(t), we obtain that

$$N(\overline{t}) \le C_4 + C_4 N^{\theta}(\overline{t}).$$

Since  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and by means of [23, Lemma 2.4], we can deduce,

$$N(t) \le c, \tag{4.11}$$

where *c* is a positive constant. Finally, the right hand side of (4.11) is independent of  $\overline{t} \in (0, T_{\max})$  and thus, the uniform boundedness of  $||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}((0, T_{\max}); L^{\infty}(\Omega))}$  is obtained.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the last section, we prove Theorem 1.1. The proof is based on the previous results and is done by contradiction.

*Proof.* The proof is based on the contradiction. Assume that  $T_{max} < \infty$ . From 4.2 we have that

$$||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}((0, T_{max}); L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \leq C \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_{max}),$$

Which is a contradiction to the blow-up criterion (2.3). Hence,  $T_{max} = \infty$  and  $||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{\infty}((0,\infty);L^{\infty}(\Omega))} \leq C$ . Therefore this completes the proof of main theorem.

*Acknowledgement.* The authors would like to thank Michael Winkler for his valuable comments on a previous version of this manuscript that eventually led to an improved presentation. A.E., supported by the Kristine Bonnevie scholarship 2020 of the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Oslo, during his research stay at Lund University in 2020, wishes to thank Erik Wahlén and the Centre of Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Sweden for hosting him. A.E. was partially supported by the DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy – MATH<sup>+</sup>: The Berlin Mathematics Research Center (EXC-2046/1 – project ID: 390685689) via the project AA1-12<sup>\*</sup>.

## References

- Y. Zhang, S. Zheng, Global boundedness of solutions to a quasilinear parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with logistic source, Appl. Math. Lett. 52 (2016) 15–20.
- M. Fuest, Finite-time blow-up in a two-dimensional Keller-Segel system with an environmental dependent logistic source, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 52 (2020) 103022, 14.
- [3] J. Yan, M. Fuest, When do keller-segel systems with heterogeneous logistic sources admit generalized solutions?, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems B 26 (8) (2021) 4093–4109.
- [4] J. Zheng, Y. Li, G. Bao, X. Zou, A new result for global existence and boundedness of solutions to a parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system with logistic source, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 462 (1) (2018) 1–25.
- [5] X. Li, On a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with nonlinear signal secretion, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 49 (2019) 24-44.
- [6] T. Xiang, Dynamics in a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with growth source and nonlinear secretion, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 18 (1) (2019) 255–284.
- [7] J. Zhao, C. Mu, L. Wang, K. Lin, A quasilinear parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis-growth system with nonlinear secretion, Appl. Anal. 99 (1) (2020) 86–102.
- [8] C. S. Patlak, Random walk with persistence and external bias, Bull. Math. Biophys. 15 (1953) 311–338.
- [9] E. F. Keller, L. A. Segel, Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: A theoretical analysis, Journal of Theoretical Biology 30 (2) (1971) 235-248.
- [10] E. F. Keller, L. A. Segel, Model for chemotaxis, Journal of Theoretical Biology 30 (2) (1971) 225-234.
- [11] T. H. K. Painter, Volume-filling and quorum-sensing in models for chemosesitive movement, Can. Appl. Math. Q. 10 (2001) 501-543.
- [12] G. Arumugam, J. Tyagi, Keller-Segel chemotaxis models: a review, Acta Appl. Math. 171 (2021) Paper No. 6, 82.
- [13] M. Negreanu, J. I. Tello, On a parabolic-elliptic system with gradient dependent chemotactic coefficient, J. Differential Equations 265 (3) (2018) 733–751.
- [14] J. I. Tello, M. Winkler, A chemotaxis system with logistic source, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (4-6) (2007) 849-877.
- [15] Y. Wang, X. Zhang, On a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis-growth system with nonlinear diffusion, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 13 (2) (2020) 321–328.
- [16] L. C. Evans, Partial differential equations, 2nd Edition, Vol. 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [17] R. Temam, Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics, 2nd Edition, Vol. 68 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [18] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model, J. Differential Equations 248 (12) (2010) 2889–2905.
- [19] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, Dover Publications, 1967.
- [20] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, reprint of the 1998 edition.
- [21] Z. Wu, J. Yin, C. Wang, Elliptic & parabolic equations, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [22] R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev spaces, 2nd Edition, Vol. 140 of Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [23] G. Viglialoro, T. E. Woolley, Solvability of a Keller-Segel system with signal-dependent sensitivity and essentially sublinear production, Appl. Anal. 99 (14) (2020) 2507–2525.
- [24] T. Xiang, Chemotactic aggregation versus logistic damping on boundedness in the 3D minimal Keller-Segel model, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 78 (5) (2018) 2420–2438.