arXiv:2105.13436v2 [math.QA] 29 Mar 2022

MINIMAL EXTENSIONS OF TANNAKIAN CATEGORIES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

SHLOMO GELAKI

Abstract. We extend [DGNO1, Theorem 4.5] and [LKW, Theorem 4.22] to positive characteristic (i.e., to the finite, not necessarily fusion, case). Namely, we prove that if $\mathscr{D}$ is a finite non-degenerate braided tensor category over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, containing a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$, where G is a finite k-group scheme, then $\mathscr{D}$ is braided tensor equivalent to $\mathrm{Rep}(D^\omega(G))$ for some $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, where $D^\omega(G)$ denotes the twisted double of G [G]. We then prove that the group $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ of minimal extensions of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is isomorphic to the group $H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$. In particular, we use [EG2, FP] to show that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\mu_p)) = 1$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\alpha_p))$ is infinite, and if $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)^* = u(\mathfrak{g})$ for a semisimple restricted p-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma)) = 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma \times \alpha_p)) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{*(1)}$.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Finite tensor categories 4
2.2. Exact module categories 5
2.3. Exact sequences of finite tensor categories 5
2.4. Lagrangian subcategories 6
2.5. Exact commutative algebras 6
2.6. Finite group schemes 7
2.7. De-equivariantization 8
2.8. The categories $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ and $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ 8
2.9. The group $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ 9
3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 10
3.1. The free module functor F 10
3.2. The central structure on F 11
3.3. $\mathcal{O}(\mathscr{C}) = G(k)$ 13

Date: March 30, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Finite tensor categories, non-degenerate braided tensor categories, Tannakian categories, finite group schemes, restricted Lie algebras.

3.4. $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ 15
4. The proof of Corollary 1.2 16
5. The proof of Corollary 1.3 18
6. Examples 18
References 20

1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a finite symmetric tensor category over an algebraically closed field k with characteristic 0. A *minimal extension* of $\mathscr{E}$ is, by definition, a finite non-degenerate braided tensor category $\mathscr{D}$ over k, containing $\mathscr{E}$ as a Lagrangian subcategory (see 2.4 below). Given $\mathscr{E}$ as above, it is natural to try to classify its minimal extensions. Indeed, this problem was studied in several papers, e.g., [DN, DGNO1, DGNO2, DMNO, GS, GVR, JFR, LKW, VR, OY].

In particular, in the papers [DGNO1, DGNO2, LKW] the authors classify the minimal extensions of Tannakian categories $\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ over k (G a finite group). Note that in this case, $\mathscr{E}$ and all its minimal extensions are fusion categories. More explicitly, in [DGNO1, Theorem 4.5], [DGNO2, Theorem 4.64], it is proved that $\mathscr{D}$ is a minimal extension of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ if and only if $\mathscr{D}$ is braided tensor equivalent to the representation category $\mathrm{Rep}(D^\omega(G))$ of a certain quasi-Hopf algebra $D^\omega(G)$ for some $\omega \in H^3(G, k^\times)$. Then in [LKW, Theorem 4.22], it is shown that minimal extensions of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ carry a natural structure of a commutative group, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$, and that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G)) \cong H^3(G, k^\times)$ as groups.

Our goal in this paper is to extend the above mentioned results of [DGNO1, DGNO2, LKW] to minimal extensions of Tannakian categories in positive characteristic.

Namely, assume from now on that k is an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p > 0$, and let G be a finite k-group scheme. Then our goal is to relate minimal extensions of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ over k, and representation categories of twisted doubles $D^\omega(G)$, $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ [G].

More precisely, we first prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $\mathscr{D}$ is a finite non-degenerate braided tensor category over k containing a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory* $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$*, where G is a finite group scheme over k. Then there exists an element $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ such that $\mathscr{D} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ as braided tensor categories (equivalently, $\mathscr{D}$ is braided tensor equivalent to the representation category* $\mathrm{Rep}(D^\omega(G))$ *of a twisted double $D^\omega(G)$ of G).*

Fix a finite non-degenerate braided tensor category $\mathscr{D}$ over k, and consider the set of all triples (G, ω, F), where G is a finite group scheme over k, $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and $\mathrm{F} : \mathscr{D} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ is a braided tensor equivalence. We say that $(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1)$, $(G_2, \omega_2, \mathrm{F}_2)$ are equivalent, if there exists a tensor equivalence $\varphi : \mathrm{Coh}(G_1, \omega_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Coh}(G_2, \omega_2)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathrm{F}_2 = \varphi \circ \mathcal{F}_1 \circ \mathrm{F}_1$, where $\mathcal{F}_i : \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i)$ are the canonical forgetful functors, $i = 1, 2$. Let $[(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})]$ denote the equivalence class of (G, ω, F).

Then using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a finite non-degenerate braided tensor category over k. Then the following sets are in natural one to one correspondence with each other:*

(1) *Equivalence classes* $[(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})]$.
(2) *Tannakian Lagrangian subcategories of* $\mathscr{D}$.

Next we fix a finite group scheme G over k, and consider the set of pairs $(\mathscr{D}, \iota)$, where $\mathscr{D}$ is a non-degenerate braided tensor category over k, and $\iota : \mathrm{Rep}(G) \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathscr{D}$ is an injective braided tensor functor. We say that two pairs $(\mathscr{D}_1, \iota_1)$ and $(\mathscr{D}_2, \iota_2)$ are equivalent, if there exists a braided tensor equivalence $\psi : \mathscr{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{D}_2$ such that $\psi \circ \iota_1 = \iota_2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ denote the set of all equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathscr{D}, \iota)$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ has a natural structure of a commutative group [LKW].

Then using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following corollary, which extends [LKW, Theorem 4.22] to positive characteristic.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a finite group scheme over k. There is a group isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G)) \cong H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some necessary background on finite tensor categories and their exact module categories (2.1, 2.2), exact sequences of finite tensor categories (2.3), non-degenerate braided tensor categories and exact commutative algebras in finite braided tensor categories (2.4, 2.5), finite group schemes, de-equivariantization, the finite tensor categories $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ and their centers $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$, and the group $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ (2.6 - 2.9).

Sections 3, 4 and 5 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, respectively.

Finally, in Section 6 we give some examples. In particular, we use the results of [EG2] to show that the group $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\mu_p))$ is trivial (see

Example 6.1) and the group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\alpha_p))$ is infinite (see Example 6.2), and use [FP] to conclude that if $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)^* = u(\mathfrak{g})$ for a semisimple restricted p-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\Gamma))$ is the trivial group and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\Gamma \times \alpha_p)) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{*(1)}$ (see Examples 6.3 and 6.4).

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to Pavel Etingof for reading the manuscript and suggesting Example 6.4.

2. Preliminaries

Let k be an algebraically closed field with positive characteristic $p > 0$, and let Vec denote the category of finite dimensional k-vector spaces.

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be any k-linear category. Recall that for every $V \in \text{Vec}$, we have a natural functor

$$V \otimes - : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{B}, \;\; X \mapsto V \otimes X.$$

Namely, for $X \in \mathscr{B}$, the object $V \otimes X$ is uniquely defined through the Yoneda lemma by the formula

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathscr{B}}(Y, V \otimes X) \cong V \otimes_k \text{Hom}_{\mathscr{B}}(Y, X)$$

(and the existence of this object is checked by choosing a basis in V).

2.1. **Finite tensor categories.** (See [EGNO, Chapter 6].) Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a *finite* tensor category over k. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathscr{B})$ denote the complete set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathscr{B}$. Let $\text{Gr}(\mathscr{B})$ denote the Grothendieck ring of $\mathscr{B}$, and let $\text{Pr}(\mathscr{B})$ denote the group of isomorphism classes of projective objects in $\mathscr{B}$. Recall that $\text{Pr}(\mathscr{B})$ is a bimodule over $\text{Gr}(\mathscr{B})$, and we have a natural homomorphism $\tau : \text{Pr}(\mathscr{B}) \to \text{Gr}(\mathscr{B})$.

Recall [EO1, Subsection 2.4] that we have a character

$$\text{FPdim} : \text{Gr}(\mathscr{B}) \to \mathbb{R}, \;\; X \mapsto \text{FPdim}(X),$$

attaching to $X \in \mathscr{B}$ its *Frobenius-Perron dimension*. Recall also that

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathscr{B}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathscr{B})} \text{FPdim}(X)\text{FPdim}(P(X)),$$

where $P(X)$ denotes the projective cover of X and $\text{FPdim}(P(X))$ is defined to be $\text{FPdim}(\tau(P(X)))$.

Finally, recall that $\mathscr{A}$ is a *tensor subcategory* of $\mathscr{B}$ if $\mathscr{A}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathscr{B}$, closed under taking subquotients, tensor products, and duality [EGNO, Definition 4.11.1].

2.2. **Exact module categories.** Retain the notation from 2.1. Recall that a left $\mathscr{B}$-module category $\mathscr{M}$ is said to be *indecomposable* if it is not a direct sum of two nonzero module categories, and *exact* if $P \otimes M$ is projective in $\mathscr{M}$, for every $P \in \Pr(\mathscr{B})$ and $M \in \mathscr{M}$.

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be an indecomposable exact $\mathscr{B}$-module category[1] (such a category is always finite [EO1, Lemma 3.4]). Let $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$ be the abelian category of *right exact* endofunctors of $\mathscr{M}$, and let $\mathscr{B}^*_{\mathscr{M}} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{B}}(\mathscr{M})$ be the dual category of $\mathscr{B}$ with respect to $\mathscr{M}$, i.e., the category of $\mathscr{B}$-linear (necessarily exact) endofunctors of $\mathscr{M}$. Recall that composition of functors turns $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$ into a *monoidal* category, and $\mathscr{B}^*_{\mathscr{M}}$ into a finite tensor category.

Let A be an algebra object in $\mathscr{B}$, and let $\mathscr{M} := \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{B}}$ denote the category of *right* A-modules in $\mathscr{B}$. Recall that $\mathscr{M}$ has a natural structure of a left $\mathscr{B}$-module category, and A is called *exact* if $\mathscr{M}$ is indecomposable and exact over $\mathscr{B}$ (see [EGNO, Section 7.5]). Recall [EGNO, Proposition 7.11.6] that in this case, $\mathscr{B}^*_{\mathscr{M}} \cong \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{B}}(A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a finite tensor category (where $\mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{B}}(A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is $\mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{B}}(A)$ equipped with the opposite tensor product).

The following result will be crucial for us.

Theorem 2.1. [EO2, Corollary 12.4] *If $\mathscr{C}$ is a tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{B}$, and A is an exact algebra in $\mathscr{C}$, then A is an exact algebra in $\mathscr{B}$.* □

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be an exact indecomposable module category over $\mathscr{B}$. Fix a nonzero object $M \in \mathscr{M}$, and let $A := \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathscr{B}}(M)$ be the internal Hom from M to M in the $\mathscr{B}$-module category $\mathscr{M}$. Recall that A is an exact algebra in $\mathscr{B}$, and there is a canonical equivalence of $\mathscr{B}$-module categories $\mathscr{M} \cong \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{B}}$ (see, e.g., [EGNO, Theorem 7.10.1]).

2.3. **Exact sequences of finite tensor categories.** Let $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ be finite tensor categories, and let $\iota : \mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathscr{B}$ be an injective tensor functor. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be an indecomposable exact module category over $\mathscr{A}$, fix a nonzero object $M \in \mathscr{M}$, and consider the algebrta $A := \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathscr{A}}(M)$ (see 2.1, 2.2). We have $\mathscr{M} = \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{A}}$, and $\mathscr{B} \boxtimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{M} = \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{B}}$.

Let $F : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$ be a surjective [2] monoidal functor, such that $\iota(\mathscr{A}) \subset \mathscr{B}$ is the subcategory consisting of all objects $X \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $F(X) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$. Recall [EG1] that F defines an *exact sequence of tensor categories*

$$\mathscr{A} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathscr{B} \xrightarrow{F} \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M}) \tag{2.1}$$

[1] Here and below, by "a module category" we will mean a *left* module category, unless otherwise specified.

[2] I.e., any object of $\mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$ is a subquotient of $F(X)$ for some $X \in \mathscr{B}$.

with respect to $\mathscr{M}$, if for every object $X \in \mathscr{B}$ there exists a subobject $X_0 \subset X$ such that $F(X_0)$ is the largest subobject of $F(X)$ contained in $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M}) \subset \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$. Recall [EG1, Theorem 2.9] that the functor F induces on $\mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ a structure of an exact $\mathscr{B}$-module category.

Theorem 2.2. [EG1, Theorems 3.4, 3.6] *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *(2.1) defines an exact sequence of finite tensor categories.*
(2) $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{A})\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C})$.
(3) *The natural functor* $T : \mathscr{B} \boxtimes_{\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$, *given by*

$$\mathscr{B}\boxtimes_{\mathscr{A}}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{F\boxtimes_{\mathscr{A}}\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{M}}} \mathscr{C}\boxtimes\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})\boxtimes_{\mathscr{A}}\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{C}\boxtimes\mathscr{M}\boxtimes\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{M}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{C}}\boxtimes\rho} \mathscr{C}\boxtimes\mathscr{M},$$

is an equivalence (where $\rho : \mathscr{M} \boxtimes \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{M}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \to \mathscr{M}$ *is the right action of* $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{M}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$ *on* $\mathscr{M}$*).* □

Let $\mathscr{N}$ be an indecomposable exact module category over $\mathscr{C}$. Then $\mathscr{N} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ is an exact module category over $\mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M})$, and we have $(\mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{M}))^*_{\mathscr{N}\boxtimes\mathscr{M}} \cong \mathscr{C}^*_{\mathscr{N}}$. By [EG1, Theorem 4.1], the dual sequence to (2.1) with respect to $\mathscr{N} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$

$$\mathscr{A}^*_{\mathscr{M}} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{N}) \xleftarrow{\iota^*} \mathscr{B}^*_{\mathscr{N}\boxtimes\mathscr{M}} \xleftarrow{F^*} \mathscr{C}^*_{\mathscr{N}} \tag{2.2}$$

is exact with respect to $\mathscr{N}$.

2.4. **Lagrangian subcategories.** Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a finite *braided* tensor category with braiding c (see, e.g., [EGNO, Chapter 8]), and let $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathscr{D}$ be a tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$. Recall that two objects $X, Y \in \mathscr{D}$ *centralize* each other if

$$\mathrm{c}_{Y,X} \circ \mathrm{c}_{X,Y} = \mathrm{Id}_{X\otimes Y}, \tag{2.3}$$

and the (Müger) *centralizer* of $\mathscr{E}$ is the tensor subcategory $\mathscr{E}' \subset \mathscr{D}$ consisting of all objects which centralize every object of $\mathscr{E}$ (see, e.g., [DGNO1]). The category $\mathscr{D}$ is called *non-degenerate* if $\mathscr{D}' = \mathrm{Vec}$.

By [S, Theorem 4.9], we have

$$\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{E})\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{E}') = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D})\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D}' \cap \mathscr{E}) \tag{2.4}$$

If $\mathscr{D}$ is non-degenerate and $\mathscr{E}' = \mathscr{E}$, then $\mathscr{E}$ is called a *Lagrangian* subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$. Thus, a Lagrangian subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$ is a maximal symmetric tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$.

2.5. **Exact commutative algebras.** Retain the notation from 2.4. Let A be an exact algebra in $\mathscr{D}$ (see 2.2), with multiplication and unit morphisms m_A and u_A, respectively. Recall that A is *commutative* if $\mathrm{m}_A = \mathrm{m}_A \circ \mathrm{c}_{A,A}$.

Assume from now on that A is an exact commutative algebra in $\mathscr{D}$. Consider the category $\mathscr{C} := \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{D}}$ (see 2.2). Let $(X, \mathrm{m}_X) \in \mathscr{C}$,

where $X \in \mathscr{D}$ and $\mathrm{m}_X : X \otimes A \to X$ is the A-module structure morphism. Recall that the braiding on $\mathscr{D}$ defines on X two structures of a *left* A-module as follows:

$$A \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}_{A,X}} X \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{m}_X} X, \quad A \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}^{-1}_{X,A}} X \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{m}_X} X.$$

Both structures make (X, m_X) into an A-bimodule, denoted by X_+ and X_-, respectively. By identifying $\mathscr{C}$ with a subcategory of the finite tensor category $\mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)$ (see 2.2) via the full embedding functor

$$\mathscr{C} \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A), \ \ (X, \mathrm{m}_X) \mapsto X_-,$$

(see [EGNO, Section 8.8]), we see that $\mathscr{C}$ is a finite tensor category, with the tensor product $\otimes_A$. Namely, the tensor product of $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$, denoted by $X \otimes_A Y$, is given by $X \otimes_A Y_-$ endowed with the structure map $\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes \mathrm{m}_Y : X \otimes_A Y_- \otimes A \to X \otimes_A Y_-$.

Now let

$$F : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}, \ \ X \mapsto (X \otimes A, \mathrm{Id}_X \otimes \mathrm{m}_A), \tag{2.5}$$

be the *free A-module functor*, and let

$$I : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}, \ \ (X, \mathrm{m}_X) \mapsto X \tag{2.6}$$

be the forgetful functor. Recall that F, I are adjoint functors [EGNO, Lemma 7.8.12], i.e., we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(F(X), Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}}(X, I(Y)), \ \ X \in \mathscr{D}, \, Y \in \mathscr{C}.$$

Recall that F is a surjective *tensor* functor [EGNO], with tensor structure $J = \{J_{X,Y} \mid X, Y \in \mathscr{D}\}$, where

$$J_{X,Y} : F(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} F(X) \otimes_A F(Y)$$

is the $\mathscr{C}$-isomorphism given by the composition

$$X \otimes Y \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes u_A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_A} X \otimes A \otimes_A Y \otimes A. \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.3. *We have* $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C}) = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D})/\mathrm{FPdim}(A)$.

Proof. Follows from [EGNO, Lemma 6.2.4]. □

2.6. **Finite group schemes.** (See, e.g., [W].) Let G be a finite group scheme over k, with coordinate algebra $\mathscr{O}(G)$. Then $\mathscr{O}(G)$ is a finite dimensional commutative Hopf algebra, and its representation category $\mathrm{Coh}(G)$ is a finite tensor category over k.

We will denote the dimension of $\mathscr{O}(G)$ by $|G|$.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}(G) = \mathrm{Corep}(\mathscr{O}(\mathrm{G}))$ denote the representation category of G over k. Recall that $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is a finite *Tannakian* category, that

is, a finite *symmetric* tensor category such that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Vec}$ is symmetric.

Set $\mathscr{E} := \mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Let $\mathrm{I} : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E} \boxtimes \mathscr{E}$ be the adjoint functor of the tensor functor $\mathscr{E} \boxtimes \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathscr{E}$. Recall that $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1})$ is an exact commutative algebra in $\mathscr{E} \boxtimes \mathscr{E}$, and $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1}))_{\mathscr{E}\boxtimes\mathscr{E}}$.

Let $\Delta : G \xrightarrow{1:1} G \times G$ be the diagonal morphism.

Lemma 2.4. *We have* $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathscr{O}(G \times G/\Delta(G))$.

Proof. Since the tensor functor $\mathscr{E} \boxtimes \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathscr{E}$ coincides with the functor

$$\mathscr{E} \boxtimes \mathscr{E} = \mathrm{Rep}(G \times G) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \mathrm{Rep}(G) = \mathscr{E},$$

the claim follows. □

2.7. **De-equivariantization.** Retain the notation of 2.4-2.6. Assume $\mathscr{D}$ contains $\mathscr{E} := \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ as a Tannakian subcategory.

Let $A := \mathscr{O}(G)$. The group scheme G acts on A via *left* translations, making A a *commutative* algebra in $\mathscr{E}$. Thus, A is a commutative algebra in $\mathscr{D}$, with $\mathrm{FPdim}(A) = |G|$.

Recall that $\mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{E}}$ is equivalent to the standard $\mathscr{E}$-module category Vec. Thus, $\mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{E}}$ is exact, so A is exact in $\mathscr{E}$. Thus by Theorem 2.1, A is exact in $\mathscr{D}$, so $\mathscr{C} := \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{D}}$ and $\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}} = \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ are finite tensor categories (see 2.2).

Let $\mathscr{C}^0 = \mathrm{Mod}^0(A)_{\mathscr{D}}$ denote the subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of all objects (X, m_X) such that $\mathrm{m}_X = \mathrm{m}_X \circ \mathrm{c}_{A,X} \circ \mathrm{c}_{X,A}$ (i.e., dyslectic, or local, A-modules). Recall that $\mathscr{C}^0$ is a tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$, which is moreover braided with the braiding inhereted from $\mathscr{D}$.

2.8. **The categories** $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ **and** $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$**.** Retain the notation of 2.6, and let $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be a normalized 3-cocycle. That is, $\omega \in \mathscr{O}(G)^{\otimes 3}$ is an invertible element satisfying the equations

$$(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \Delta)(\omega)(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\omega) = (1 \otimes \omega)(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\omega)(\omega \otimes 1),$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\omega) = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\omega) = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\omega) = 1.$$

Recall [G, Section 5] that the category $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ is just $\mathrm{Coh}(G)$ as abelian categories, equipped with the same tensor product, but with associativity constraint given by the action of ω. Then $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ is a finite *pointed* (i.e., every simple object is invertible) tensor category, and the group of its invertible objects is isomorphic to $G(k)$.

Let $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ denote the center of $\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ (see, e.g., [EGNO], [G, Section 5]). By [S, Theorem 4.2], $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ is a finite *non-degenerate* braided tensor category (see 2.4). Recall moreover that

$\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(D^\omega(G))$ as braided tensor categories, where $D^\omega(G)$ is a quasi-Hopf algebra, called the twisted double of G.

Note that for every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, we have

$$\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega_1)) \boxtimes \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega_2)) \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G\times G, \omega_1\times\omega_2)) \tag{2.8}$$

as braided tensor categories.

Let

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)$$

be the *forgetful functor*. The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.5. *The tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))$ consisting of all objects mapped to* Vec *under $\mathcal{F}$ is tensor equivalent to* $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$*. Thus, $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))$ canonically contains* $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ *as a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory.* □

Now let

$$\mathcal{I} : \mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega) \to \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))$$

be the adjoint functor of $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 2.6. *The following hold:*

(1) $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathscr{O}(G)$ *as objects of* $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.
(2) $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathscr{O}(G)$ *is an exact commutative algebra in* $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))$.
(3) $\mathcal{I}$ *induces a tensor equivalence*

$$\mathcal{I} : \mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G))_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))}.$$

(4) $\mathcal{I}\circ\mathcal{F}$ *coincides with the free $\mathscr{O}(G)$-module functor*

$$F : \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G))_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega))},\ \ X \mapsto X\otimes\mathscr{O}(G).$$

Proof. (1) is clear, and since $\mathscr{O}(G)$ is an exact commutative algebra in $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ (see 2.7), (2) follows from Theorem 2.1. The proof of (3), (4) is now similar to [EGNO, Lemma 8.12.2]. □

2.9. **The group $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$.** Fix a finite group scheme G over k. Set $\mathscr{E} := \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, and let $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathscr{E}\boxtimes\mathscr{E}$ be as in Lemma 2.4.

Consider the set of pairs $(\mathscr{D}, \iota)$, where $\mathscr{D}$ is a non-degenerate braided tensor category over k, and $\iota : \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathscr{D}$ is an injective braided tensor functor. We say that $(\mathscr{D}_1,\iota_1)$, $(\mathscr{D}_2,\iota_2)$ are equivalent, if there exists a braided tensor equivalence $\psi : \mathscr{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{D}_2$ such that $\psi\circ\iota_1 = \iota_2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathscr{E})$ denote the set of all equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathscr{D},\iota)$.

Now for $(\mathscr{D}_1,\iota_1), (\mathscr{D}_2,\iota_2)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathscr{E})$, let $B := (\iota_1\boxtimes\iota_2)(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{1}))$. Then B is an exact commutative algebra in $\mathscr{D}_1\boxtimes\mathscr{D}_2$. Consider the braided

tensor category $\mathscr{D} := \mathrm{Mod}^0(B)_{\mathscr{D}_1 \boxtimes \mathscr{D}_2}$ (see 2.7), and let $\iota : \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathscr{D}$ be the injective functor

$$\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{Mod}(I(\mathbf{1}))_{\mathscr{E}\boxtimes\mathscr{E}} \xrightarrow{\iota_1\boxtimes\iota_2} \mathrm{Mod}^0(B)_{\mathscr{D}_1\boxtimes\mathscr{D}_2} = \mathscr{D}.$$

Recall [LKW] that the product rule

$$(\mathscr{D}_1, \iota_1)\widetilde{\boxtimes}(\mathscr{D}_2, \iota_2) = (\mathscr{D}, \iota)$$

determines a commutative group structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathscr{E})$, with unit element $(\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G)), \iota_0)$, where $\iota_0 : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G))$ is the inclusion functor (see Lemma 2.5).

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Let G be a finite k-group scheme, and let $\mathscr{E} := \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ (see 2.6).

Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a finite *non-degenerate* braided tensor category over k, containing $\mathscr{E}$ as a Lagrangian Tannakian subcategory (see 2.4). By (2.4), we have $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D}) = |G|^2$ (see 2.1).

3.1. **The free module functor F.** Let $A := \mathscr{O}(G)$, and consider the G-de-equivariantization category $\mathscr{C} := \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{D}}$ (see 2.7). Since A is exact in $\mathscr{D}$, $\mathscr{C}$ is a finite tensor category. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C}) = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D})/\mathrm{FPdim}(A) = |G|^2/|G| = |G|. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $F : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{C}$ be the free A-module functor (2.5), and let $\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathscr{D}$ be the inclusion functor.

Proposition 3.1. *We have an exact sequence of tensor categories*

$$\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathscr{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathscr{C} \tag{3.2}$$

with respect to the standard $\mathscr{E}$-module category Vec *(see 2.3).*

Proof. For every $\mathbf{V} = (V, \rho) \in \mathscr{E}$, we have $\mathbf{V} \otimes A \cong V \otimes A$ in $\mathscr{E}$. Thus, $F(\mathbf{V}) \cong V \otimes A$ lies in $\mathrm{Vec} \subset \mathscr{C}$ (as A is the unit object of $\mathscr{C}$), so F maps $\mathscr{E}$ to Vec. Since by (3.1), $\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{D}) = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{E})\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C})$, the claim follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Recall that $\mathscr{C}^*_{\mathscr{C}} \cong \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ as tensor categories. Thus, dualizing (3.2) with respect to the exact indecomposable $\mathscr{D}$-module category $\mathscr{C}$ [EG1, Theorem 4.1] (see (2.2)), we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{F^*} \mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}} \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \mathscr{E}^*_{\mathrm{Vec}} \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{C})$$

of finite tensor categories with respect to the indecomposable exact $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module category $\mathscr{C}$. Since $\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{C}) \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{C})^{\mathrm{op}}$ as monoidal categories, and $(\mathscr{E}^*_{\mathrm{Vec}})^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathscr{E}^*_{\mathrm{Vec}} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G)$ as tensor categories (see, e.g.,

[EGNO, Example 7.9.11]), we get an exact sequence

$$\mathscr{C} \xrightarrow{F^*} \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \mathrm{Coh}(G) \boxtimes \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{C}) \tag{3.3}$$

of finite tensor categories with respect to the indecomposable exact $\mathscr{C}$-module category $\mathscr{C}$. In particular, $\mathscr{C}$ can be identified with a tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$ via F^*. Also, since $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)$ as tensor categories (see 2.2), $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$ contains $\mathrm{Coh}(G) = \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{Vec}}^* = \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{E}}(A)$ as a tensor subcategory.

Lemma 3.2. *We have an equivalence of abelian categories*

$$\Psi : \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Coh}(G) \boxtimes \mathscr{C}$$

such that

$$\Psi(X \otimes Y) = X \boxtimes Y, \;\; X \in \mathrm{Coh}(G),\, Y \in \mathscr{C}.$$

In particular, $P_{\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^*}(\mathbf{1}) = P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(\mathbf{1}) \otimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1})$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.2(3) to the exact sequence (3.3) provides the natural equivalence of abelian categories

$$T : \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathscr{C}} \mathscr{C} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G) \boxtimes \mathscr{C},$$

and it is straightforward to verify that composing the natural equivalence $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathscr{C}} \mathscr{C}$ with T yields an abelian equivalence Ψ with the stated property, as claimed.

In particular, it follows from the above that for every simple objects $X \in \mathrm{Coh}(G)$ and $Y \in \mathscr{C}$, the object $X \otimes Y$ is simple in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$, and that every simple object in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$ is of this form. Furthermore, since $P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(X) \boxtimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(Y)$ is the projective cover of $X \boxtimes Y$ in $\mathrm{Coh}(G) \boxtimes \mathscr{C}$, and

$$\Psi(P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(X) \otimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(Y)) = P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(X) \boxtimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(Y),$$

it follows that $P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(X) \otimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(Y)$ is the projective cover of $X \otimes Y$ in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}^{*\mathrm{op}}$. Thus, we get the last statement for $X = Y = \mathbf{1}$. □

3.2. **The central structure on F.** For every $X \in \mathscr{D}$, $(Y, \mathrm{m}_Y) \in \mathscr{C}$, consider the morphism

$$\Phi_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes_A Y \cong Y \otimes_A F(X), \tag{3.4}$$

given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) \otimes_A Y = (X \otimes A) \otimes_A Y &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes (\mathrm{m}_Y \circ \mathrm{c}_{Y,A}^{-1})} X \otimes Y \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}_{X,Y}} Y \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_Y \otimes u_A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_X} Y \otimes A \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_Y \otimes c_{A,X}} Y \otimes (X \otimes A) \\ &\twoheadrightarrow Y \otimes_A F(X). \end{aligned}$$

Using that A is commutative, the following lemma can be verified in a straightforward manner.

Lemma 3.3. *The following hold:*

(1) $\{\Phi_{X,Y} \mid X \in \mathscr{D},\ Y \in \mathscr{C}\}$ *is a natural family of* $\mathscr{C}$*-isomorphisms.*
(2) *For every* $X, Z \in \mathscr{D}$ *and* $Y \in \mathscr{C}$*, we have*

$$\Phi_{X\otimes Z,Y} = (\mathrm{Id}_Y \otimes J^{-1}_{X,Z}) \circ (\Phi_{X,Y} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{F(Z)}) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{F(X)} \otimes \Phi_{Z,Y}) \circ (J_{X,Z} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_Y)$$

(see (2.7)).
(3) *For every* $X \in \mathscr{D}$ *and* $Y, Z \in \mathscr{C}$*, we have*

$$\Phi_{X,Y\otimes_A Z} = (\mathrm{Id}_Y \otimes \Phi_{X,Z}) \circ (\Phi_{X,Y} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_Z). \qquad \square$$

In particular, Lemma 3.3 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *The free* A*-module functor* F *(2.5) extends to a* braided *tensor functor*

$$\mathrm{F} : \mathscr{D} \to \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C}) \tag{3.5}$$

such that F *coincides with the composition of* F *and the forgetful tensor functor* $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{C}$*.* $\square$

Recall that $\mathscr{C}$ is an indecomposable exact module category over $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C})$ via the forgetful functor $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{C}$, and $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C})^*_{\mathscr{C}} \cong \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ as tensor categories (see, e.g., [EGNO, Theorem 7.16.1]).

Recall that since $\mathscr{D}$ is non-degenerate, $\mathscr{D} \boxtimes \mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D})$ as braided tensor categories. Recall also, that $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D}) \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}})$ as braided tensor categories [EGNO, Corollary 7.16.2].

Proposition 3.5. [DGNO1, Proposition 4.2] *The functor* F *(3.5) is an equivalence of braided tensor categories.*

Proof. Consider $\mathscr{C}$ as a module category over $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C})$ via F and the forgetful functor $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{C}$, respectively. In both cases, $\mathscr{C}$ is indecomposable and exact. Let

$$\mathrm{F}^* : \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}} \tag{3.6}$$

be the dual functor to F. Recall that $\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}} \cong \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ as tensor categories (see 2.2). Then it is straightforward to verify that we have

$$\mathrm{F}^*(X \boxtimes Y) = X_+ \otimes_A Y_-, \ \ X, Y \in \mathscr{C} \tag{3.7}$$

(see 2.5).

In particular, we see that the functor

$$\mathscr{D} \boxtimes \mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{F\boxtimes F} \mathscr{C} \boxtimes \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{F}^*} \mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}} = \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

coincides with the functor

$$\mathscr{D} \boxtimes \mathscr{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D}) \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}.$$

Since the forgetful functor $\mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}$ is surjective, we see that the functor F^* is surjective. Thus, F is injective [EGNO, Theorem 7.17.4].

Finally, since F is an injective tensor functor between categories of equal Frobenius-Perron dimension (see (3.1)), it is necessarily an equivalence [EGNO, Proposition 6.3.4], as claimed. □

Following Proposition 3.5, we now aim to prove that there exists $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ such that $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ as tensor categories.

3.3. $\mathcal{O}(\mathscr{C}) = G(k)$**.** In this section we show that $\mathscr{C}$ is pointed, and its group of invertible objects is isomorphic to $G(k)$.

Recall that $\mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{E}}(A) = \mathscr{E}^*_{\mathrm{Vec}} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G)$ as tensor categories, and $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Coh}(G)) \cong G(k)$. Let A_g, $g \in G(k)$, with $A_e = A = \mathbf{1}$, denote the invertible objects of $\mathrm{Coh}(G) = \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{E}}(A) \subset \mathrm{Bimod}_{\mathscr{D}}(A)$. Namely, $A_g = A$ as right A-modules, and the left A-action is determined by $g \in G(k)$.

Let $G(\mathscr{C})$ denote the group of invertible objects of $\mathscr{C}$.

Proposition 3.6. *The following hold:*

(1) *The category $\mathscr{C}$ is pointed (i.e., $\mathcal{O}(\mathscr{C}) = G(\mathscr{C})$).*

(2) *For every $X \in G(\mathscr{C})$, we have*

$$\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(X)) = \mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(\mathbf{1})).$$

(See 2.1.)

(3) *The tensor equivalence* F^* *(3.6) induces a group isomorphism*

$$G(k) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(\mathscr{C}), \;\; g \mapsto X_g.$$

(4) *The universal faithful group grading of $\mathscr{C}$ is given by*

$$\mathscr{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G(k)} \mathscr{C}_g,$$

where $\mathscr{C}_g \subset \mathscr{C}$ denotes the smallest Serre subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ containing the invertible object X_g (see [EGNO, Section 4.14]*).*

Proof. (1) Let X be simple in $\mathscr{C}$. By [EGNO, Proposition 6.3.1], X_+ is simple in $\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}$ (see 2.5). Since X^* is simple in $\mathscr{C}$, and F^* (3.6) is an equivalence, it follows from (3.7) that $\mathrm{F}^*(X \boxtimes X^*) = X_+ \otimes_A (X^*)_-$ is simple in $\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}$. Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(X_+ \otimes_A (X^*)_-, A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(X_+, X_+) = k,$$

it follows that $X_+ \otimes_A (X^*)_- = A$ in $\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}$, hence $X \otimes_A X^* = A$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Thus, X is invertible in $\mathscr{C}$, as claimed.

(2) Since the equivalence F^* (3.6) maps $P_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(\mathbf{1})$ to $P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1}) \boxtimes P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1})$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(P_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1}))^2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(P_{\mathscr{D}^*_{\mathscr{C}}}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(\mathbf{1}))\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1})).$$

Therefore, we get $\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(\mathbf{1}))$.

Finally, since for every invertible object $X \in G(\mathscr{C})$,

$$\mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(X)) = \mathrm{FPdim}_{\mathscr{C}}((P_{\mathscr{C}}(\mathbf{1})),$$

the claim follows.

(3) Since F^* (3.6) is an equivalence, there exist unique simple objects $X_g, Y_g \in \mathscr{C}$ such that

$$(X_g)_+ \otimes_A (Y_g)_- = \mathrm{F}^*(X_g \boxtimes Y_g) = A_g.$$

Since $X_g, Y_g \in G(\mathscr{C})$ by (1), it follows that $(X_g)_+ = A_g \otimes_A (Y_g)^*_+$, so forgetting the left A-module structure yields $X_g = Y_g^*$. Thus, the functor F^* (3.6) induces an injective group homomorphism

$$G(k) \xrightarrow{1:1} G(\mathscr{C}), \quad g \mapsto X_g. \tag{3.8}$$

Now it follows from (1), (2) and 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &= \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C}) \\ &= \sum_{X \in G(\mathscr{C})} \mathrm{FPdim}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(X)) \geq \sum_{X_g \in G(\mathscr{C})} \mathrm{FPdim}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(X_g)) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G(k)} \mathrm{FPdim}(P_{\mathrm{Coh}(G)}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{FPdim}(\mathrm{Coh}(G)) = |G|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have an equality

$$\mathrm{FPdim}(\mathscr{C}) = \sum_{X_g \in G(\mathscr{C})} \mathrm{FPdim}(P_{\mathscr{C}}(X_g)),$$

which implies that the map (3.8) is also surjective, as claimed.

(4) By (1), $\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the smallest Serre tensor subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ containing $\mathbf{1}$ (see [EGNO, Section 4.14]). Thus, (3) implies that $G(k)$ is the universal group of $\mathscr{C}$, as claimed. □

Remark 3.7. Note that if G is an abstract group such that p does not divide $|G|$, then Theorem 1.1, and hence Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3, follow already from Proposition 3.6 (see [DGNO1, Theorem 4.5] and [LKW, Theorem 4.22]).

3.4. $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)$**.** In this section we show that $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega)$ as tensor categories.

For every $(X, \mathrm{m}_X) \in \mathscr{C}$, $\mathbf{V} = (V,\rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) \subset \mathscr{D}$, consider the $\mathscr{C}$-isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{V},X} : F(\mathbf{V}) \otimes_A X \xrightarrow{\cong} X \otimes_A F(\mathbf{V}),$$

given by the central structure on F (3.4):

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{V},X} = (\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes \mathrm{c}_{A,\mathbf{V}}) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes u_A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_V) \circ \mathrm{c}_{\mathbf{V},X} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_V \otimes (\mathrm{m}_X \circ \mathrm{c}^{-1}_{X,A})). \tag{3.9}$$

Since by Proposition 3.1, $F(\mathbf{V}) = V$, we obtain a family of $\mathscr{C}$-isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{V,X} : V \otimes X \xrightarrow{\cong} V \otimes X, \;\; V \in \mathrm{Vec},$$

given by

$$V \otimes X = \mathbf{V} \otimes A \otimes_A X \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathbf{V},X}} X \otimes_A \mathbf{V} \otimes A = X \otimes V = V \otimes X. \tag{3.10}$$

Thus by (3.10), we can view $\Phi_{V,X}$ as an element of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, (V^* \otimes_k V) \otimes X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \mathrm{End}_k(V) \otimes X),$$

so by Lemma 3.3, and the fact that $\mathrm{End}(F_{|\mathscr{E}}) = kG$ as Hopf algebras, we obtain a natural family $\Phi := \{\Phi_X \mid X \in \mathscr{C}\}$ of $\mathscr{C}$-morphisms

$$\Phi_X : (X, \mathrm{m}_X) \to (kG \otimes X, \mathrm{Id}_{kG} \otimes \mathrm{m}_X), \tag{3.11}$$

or equivalently, k-algebra maps

$$\Phi_X : \mathscr{O}(G) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}}(X). \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.8. *For every $X \in \mathscr{C}$, the morphism Φ_X (3.11) equips X with a structure of a kG-comodule in $\mathscr{C}$ (equivalently, an $\mathscr{O}(G)$-module in $\mathscr{C}$).*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3(2), for every $V, U \in \mathrm{Vec}$ and $X \in \mathscr{C}$, we have

$$\Phi_{V\otimes U,X} = (\Phi_{V,X} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_U) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_V \otimes \Phi_{U,X}).$$

Now it is straightforward to verify that this translates to the claim. □

Now by Proposition 3.6(1) and [EO1, Proposition 2.6], $\mathscr{C}$ admits a quasi-tensor functor to Vec. Let $Q : \mathscr{C} \to \mathrm{Vec}$ be such a functor. Then by Lemma 3.8, for every $X \in \mathscr{C}$, we get a k-algebra map

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_X : \mathscr{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\Phi_X} \mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}}(X) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathrm{End}_k(Q(X)),$$

so we see that we have defined a functor

$$\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathrm{Coh}(G), \;\; X \mapsto (Q(X), \widetilde{\Phi}_X). \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.9. *For every $g \in G(k)$, $\widetilde{\Phi}_{X_g} = g$ (where g is viewed as an $\mathscr{O}(G)$-module). Thus, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ induces an injective group homomorphism $G(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{1:1} G(k)$.*

Proof. The first claim follows from Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8. Also, it is straightforward to verify that for every $g \in G(k)$, the map $\Phi_{V,X_g} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathscr{C}}(V \otimes X_g)$ is given by $\rho(g) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{X_g}$ for every $V \in \mathrm{Vec}$, which proves the second claim. □

Corollary 3.10. *The functor $\widetilde{\Phi}$ (3.13) is a quasi-tensor equivalence.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3(3), we have

$$\Phi_{V,X\otimes_A Y} = (\mathrm{Id}_X \otimes \Phi_{V,Y}) \circ (\Phi_{V,X} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_Y)$$

for every $V \in \mathrm{Vec}$ and $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$. Now it is straightforward to verify that this implies that $\widetilde{\Phi}(A) = (k, \widetilde{\Phi}_A)$ is the unit object (since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = k$, so $\widetilde{\Phi}_A : \mathscr{O}(G) \to k$ is the trivial homomorphism), and we have an isomorphism

$$\Phi(X \otimes Y) = (X \otimes Y, \Phi_{X\otimes Y}) \cong (X, \Phi_X) \otimes (Y, \Phi_Y) = \Phi(X) \otimes \Phi(Y)$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$. Thus, Φ is a quasi-tensor functor, and hence so is $\widetilde{\Phi}$.

Moreover, by Proposition 3.6(3) and Lemma 3.8, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ induces a group isomorphism $G(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(k)$, which implies it is an equivalence. □

Finally, by Corollary 3.10, the associativity structure on $\mathscr{C}$ determines an associativity structure on $\mathrm{Coh}(G)$, i.e., a class $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, such that $\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ is a *tensor* equivalence, as desired.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. The proof of Corollary 1.2

Consider a braided tensor equivalence $\mathrm{F} : \mathscr{D} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega)$ be the forgetful functor. Let $f(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})$ denote the subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$ consisting of all objects sent to Vec under $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathrm{F}$. In other words, $f(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})$ is the preimage of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ under F (see Lemma 2.5). Then $f(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})$ is a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$, which is clearly independent of the equivalence class of (G, ω, F). Thus, every equivalence class $[(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})]$ gives rise to a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory $\mathrm{f}([G, \omega, \mathrm{F}]) := f(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})$ of $\mathscr{D}$.

Conversely, assume $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \subset \mathscr{D}$ is a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory of $\mathscr{D}$ for some finite group scheme G over k. Then by Theorem 1.1, we have a braided tensor equivalence $\mathrm{F} : \mathscr{D} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ for

some $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Thus, every Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ of $\mathscr{D}$ gives rise to an equivalence class $[(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})]$. Set $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{Rep}(G)) := [(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})]$.

We claim that the assignments f, h constructed above are inverse to each other.

Given a Tannakian Lagrangian subcategory $\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ of $\mathscr{D}$, let $A := \mathscr{O}(G)$ and $\mathscr{C} := \mathrm{Mod}(A)_{\mathscr{D}}$ (see 2.5). Since by Corollary 3.4, the functor $\mathscr{D} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{F}} \mathscr{Z}(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{Forget}} \mathscr{C}$ coincides with the free A-module functor, it follows that the category $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{h}(\mathscr{E})) = f(G, \omega, \mathrm{F})$ consists of all objects X in $\mathscr{D}$ such that $X \otimes A$ is a multiple of A. Since A is the regular object of $\mathscr{E}$, it follows that $\mathscr{E} \subset \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{h}(\mathscr{E}))$, so $\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{h}(\mathscr{E}))$ (as both categories have FP dimension $|G|$). Thus, $\mathrm{f} \circ \mathrm{h} = \mathrm{Id}$, as desired.

Given an equivalence class $[(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1)]$, we have to show now that $(\mathrm{h} \circ \mathrm{f})([G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1]) = [(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1)]$. Since $f(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$ as symmetric tensor categories (via F_1), it follows from [DM] that there exist a finite group scheme G_2 over k, and a group scheme isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} G_2$, such that $f(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1) = \mathrm{Rep}(G_2)$ and the restriction of F_1 to $f(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1)$ coincides with $\phi^* : \mathrm{Rep}(G_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$. Thus, we have

$$(\mathrm{h} \circ \mathrm{f})([G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1]) = \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{Rep}(G_2)) = [(G_2, \omega_2, \mathrm{F}_2)],$$

where $\mathrm{F}_2 : \mathscr{D} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_2, \omega_2))$ is a braided tensor equivalence for some $\omega_2 \in H^3(G_2, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since $\mathrm{F}_1(\mathscr{O}(G_2)) = \phi^*(\mathscr{O}(G_2)) = \mathscr{O}(G_1)$, it follows that F_1 induces a tensor equivalence

$$\mathrm{F}_1 : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G_2))_{\mathscr{D}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G_1))_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_1, \omega_1))}.$$

Also, F_2 induces a tensor equivalence

$$\mathrm{F}_2 : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G_2))_{\mathscr{D}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G_2))_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_2, \omega_2))}.$$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{I}_i : \mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Mod}(\mathscr{O}(G_i))_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i))}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

be the tensor equivalences given by Lemma 2.6(3), and consider the tensor equivalence

$$\varphi := \mathcal{I}_2^{-1} \circ \mathrm{F}_2 \circ \mathrm{F}_1^{-1} \circ \mathcal{I}_1 : \mathrm{Coh}(G_1, \omega_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Coh}(G_2, \omega_2).$$

Then we have $\mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathrm{F}_2 = \varphi \circ \mathcal{F}_1 \circ \mathrm{F}_1$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_i : \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(G_i, \omega_i), \quad i = 1, 2$$

are the forgetful functors. Thus, $[(G_1, \omega_1, \mathrm{F}_1)] = [(G_2, \omega_2, \mathrm{F}_2)]$, so we have $\mathrm{h} \circ \mathrm{f} = \mathrm{Id}$, as desired.

The proof of Corollary 1.2 is complete. $\square$

5. The proof of Corollary 1.3

Fix a finite group scheme G over k. Set $\mathscr{E} := \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, and retain the notation of 2.9.

By Theorem 1.1, we have a surjective map of sets

$$\alpha : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathscr{E}) \cong H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m), \ \ (\mathscr{D}, \iota) \mapsto \omega,$$

where $\omega \in H^3(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is such that $\mathscr{D} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega))$ as braided tensor categories. Moreover, it is clear that $\alpha(\mathscr{D}, \iota) = 1$ if and only if $\mathscr{D} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G))$ as braided tensor categories. Thus, it remains to show that α is a group homomorphism.

Set $A := \mathscr{O}(G \times G)$ and $B := \mathscr{O}(G \times G/\Delta(G))$ (see Lemma 2.4). Then $B \subset A$ are exact commutative algebras in the Tannakian category $\mathrm{Rep}(G \times G) = \mathrm{Rep}(G) \boxtimes \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, and we have to prove that

$$\mathrm{Mod}^0(B)_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega_1))\boxtimes\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G,\omega_2))} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega_1\omega_2))$$

as braided tensor categories. By (2.8), it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Mod}^0(B)_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G\times G,\omega_1\times\omega_2))} \cong \mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega_1\omega_2))$$

as braided tensor categories.

To this end, note that similarly to [DMNO, Example 4.11(ii)], one shows that exact subalgebras B of A are in one to one correspondence with tensor subcategories of $\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G \times G, \omega_1 \times \omega_2))$, such that B corresponds to the image of the functor

$$\mathrm{Mod}^0(B)_{\mathscr{Z}(\mathrm{Coh}(G\times G,\omega_1\times\omega_2))} \xrightarrow{-\otimes_B A} \mathrm{Coh}(G \times G, \omega_1 \times \omega_2). \tag{5.1}$$

Since the image of the functor (5.1) is

$$\mathrm{Coh}(\Delta(G), (\omega_1 \times \omega_2)_{|\Delta(G)}) \cong \mathrm{Coh}(G, \omega_1\omega_2),$$

we are done. □

6. Examples

Recall that a finite group scheme G over k is *commutative* if and only if $\mathscr{O}(G)$ is a finite dimensional commutative and *cocommutative* Hopf algebra. Thus, if G is a finite commutative group scheme over k then its *group algebra* $kG := \mathscr{O}(G)^*$ is also a finite dimensional commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, so it represents a finite *commutative* group scheme G^D over k, which is called the *Cartier dual* of G.

Example 6.1. Let G be a *finite abelian p-group*. Then by [EG2, Corollary 5.8], $H^3(G^D, \mathbb{G}_m) = 1$, thus by Corollary 1.3, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(G^D)) = 1$ is the trivial group. For example, if $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ then $G^D = \mu_p$ is the Frobenius kernel of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ (see, e.g., [G, Section 2.2]), so $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\mu_p)) = 1$.

Example 6.2. Let $\alpha_{p,r}$ denote the *r-th Frobenius kernel of the additive group* $\mathbb{G}_a$ (see, e.g., [EG2, Section 2.6]). Let $G := \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{p,r_i}$. Then by [EG2, Corollary 5.10], we have $H^3(G^D, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^3(G^D, \mathbb{G}_a)$. Thus by Corollary 1.3, we have a group isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(G^D)) = H^3(G^D, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

For example, if $G = \alpha_p^n$ then $(\alpha_p^n)^D = \alpha_p^n$, so we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\alpha_p^n)) = H^3(\alpha_p^n, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

Thus by [EG2, Proposition 2.2], we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\alpha_p^n)) \cong \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}), \;\; p > 2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\alpha_2^n)) \cong S^3 \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\alpha_p^n)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ is the Frobenius twist of $\mathfrak{g}$ [3].

Example 6.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional *restricted p-Lie algebra* over k, and let $u(\mathfrak{g})$ be its *restricted universal enveloping algebra* (see, e.g., [G, Section 2.2]). Since $u(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is a finite dimensional commutative Hopf algebra over k, $u(\mathfrak{g})^* = \mathscr{O}(\Gamma)$ is the coordinate algebra of a finite group scheme Γ over k. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of Γ, and $\text{Rep}(\Gamma) \cong \text{Rep}(u(\mathfrak{g}))$ as symmetric tensor categories.

Now by [EG2, Theorem 5.4], we have $H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a)$. Thus by Corollary 1.3, we have a group isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\Gamma)) = H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a). \tag{6.1}$$

For example, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is *semisimple* (i.e., $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of a simple, simply connected algebraic group defined and split over $\mathbb{F}_p$) then by [FP, Corollary 1.6], $H^\bullet(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is zero in odd degrees. Thus, by (6.1), we have $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\Gamma)) = H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a) = 1$ is trivial in this case.

Example 6.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a *semisimple* restricted p-Lie algebra over k (see Example 6.3). Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the 1-dimensional abelian restricted p-Lie algebra over k with $u(\mathfrak{k})^* = \mathscr{O}(\alpha_p) = k[x]/x^p$, where x is a primitive element [4]. Recall that $H^1(\alpha_p, \mathbb{G}_a) = \text{sp}_k\{x\}$.

Consider now the restricted p-Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, and let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the finite group scheme over k such that $u(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})^* = \mathscr{O}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$. Then $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma \times \alpha_p$, and the cup product induces an isomorphism

$$H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a) \otimes H^1(\alpha_p, \mathbb{G}_a) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

[3] Namely, $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}$ as abelian groups, and $a \in k$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ as $a^{p^{-1}}$ does on $\mathfrak{g}$.

[4] I.e., $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$.

Equivalently, since x is a basis of $H^1(\alpha_p, \mathbb{G}_a)$, we have an isomorphism

$$H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \mathbb{G}_a), \ \ \xi \mapsto \xi \otimes x.$$

Now recall (see, e.g., [FP]) that for $p \neq 2, 3$, we have an isomorphism

$$\tau : \mathfrak{g}^{*(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_a), \ \ f \mapsto \mathfrak{g}_f,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{*(1)}$ is the Frobenius twist of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ [5], and $\mathfrak{g}_f = \mathfrak{g} \oplus k$ (as an abstract Lie algebra) with $[p]$-operator defined by $(v, a)^{[p]} := (v^{[p]}, f(v))$. Thus, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Omega : \mathfrak{g}^{*(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(\widetilde{\Gamma}, \mathbb{G}_a), \ \ f \mapsto \tau(f) \otimes x.$$

In particular, by (6.1), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(\widetilde{\Gamma})) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{*(1)}.$$

References

[DM] P. Deligne and J. Milne. Tannakian Categories. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **900**, 101–228, 1982.

[DN] A. Davydov and D. Nikshych. Braided Picard groups and graded extensions of braided tensor categories. *arXiv:2006.08022*.

[DGNO1] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik. Group-theoretical properties of nilpotent modular categories. *arXiv:0704.0195*.

[DGNO2] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik. On braided fusion categories I. *Selecta Mathematica New Series*, **16** (2010), 1–119.

[DMNO] A. Davydov, M. Müger, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik. The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **677** (2013), 135–177.

[EG1] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki. Exact sequences of tensor categories with respect to a module category. *Adv. Math.* **308** (2017), 1187–1208.

[EG2] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki. Finite symmetric integral tensor categories with the Chevalley property, with an Appendix by Kevin Coulembier and Pavel Etingof. *International Mathematics Research Notices* **12** (2021), 9083–9121.

[EO1] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik. Finite tensor categories. *Moscow Math. Journal* **4** (2004), 627–654.

[EO2] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik. On the Frobenius functor for symmetric tensor categories in positive characteristic. *arXiv:1912.12947*.

[EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik. Tensor categories. *AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs book series* **205** (2015), 362 pp.

[FP] E. Friedlander and B. Parshall. Cohomology of Lie Algebras and Algebraic Groups. *American Journal of Mathematics* (1986), **108**, No. 1, 235–253.

[G] S. Gelaki. Module categories over affine group schemes. *Quantum Topology* **6** (2015), no. 1, 1–37.

[5] Namely, $\mathfrak{g}^{*(1)}$ is the space of semi-linear maps $\mathfrak{g} \to k$.

[GS] S. Gelaki and D. Sebbag. On finite non-degenerate braided tensor categories with a Lagrangian subcategory. *Transactions of the AMS*. *arXiv:1835.01568*.

[GVR] C. Galindo and C. Venegas-Ramírez. Categorical Fermionic actions and minimal modular extensions. *arXiv:1712.07097*.

[JFR] T. Johnson-Freyd and D. Reutter. Minimal nondegenerate extensions. *arXiv:2105.15167*.

[LKW] T. Lan, L. Kong and XG. Wen. Modular Extensions of Unitary Braided Fusion Categories and 2+1D Topological/SPT Orders with Symmetries. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **351**, 709–739 (2017).

[OY] V. Ostrik and Z. Yu. On the minimal extension and structure of weakly group-theoretical braided fusion categories. *arXiv:2105.01814*.

[S] K. Shimizu. Non-degeneracy conditions for braided finite tensor categories. *Adv. Math.* **355** (2019), 106778, 36 pp.

[VR] C. F. Venegas-Ramírez. Minimal modular extensions for super-Tannakian categories. *arXiv:1908.07487*.

[W] W. Waterhouse. Introduction to affine group schemes. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **66**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. xi+164 pp.

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

Email address: gelaki@iastate.edu