Comments on "Minimal Model for Fast Scrambling"

Kazuki Ikeda^{1, *}

¹Research Institute for Advanced Materials and Devices, Kyocera Corporation, Soraku, Kyoto 619-0237, Japan

In the Letter [1] the authors studied a variant of fast scrambling models. They claim that their all-to-all interacting model is the minimal as a fast scrambler. In this Comment I show that this is not the case. To elaborate on this issue, I show that a Hamiltonian obtained by specifying desired combination of some sectors can be a fast scrambler regardless of the absence of all-to-all interactions. To this end, I consider a spin chain

$$H = -\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\sum_{i} Z_{i} Z_{i+1} + \lambda \sum_{i} Z_{i} Z_{i+2} \right) - f \sum_{i} X_{i} - g \sum_{i} Z_{i}.$$
(1)

I work with the system in the zero-momentum sector of positive parity. This model is non-integrable for general $\lambda, f, g \neq 0$. To see this I compute the average $\langle r \rangle$ of the r-parameter which is the average of $r_n = \frac{\min\{s_n, s_{n-1}\}}{\max\{s_n, s_{n-1}\}}$ for $s_n = E_n - E_{n-1} > 0$. It is known that $\langle r \rangle$ takes some benchmark values in the case of chaotic systems. For example, $\langle r \rangle_{\text{GOE}} \approx 0.54$ for random matrices of the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE). My case is exhibited in Fig.1, where the level spacing distribution shows a Wigner distribution, hence the model is chaotic. In what follows I use $(\lambda, f, g) = (0, 1.05, 0.5), (0.9, 0.84, 1)$ for the nearest neighbor interactions (Ising model) and the next nearest neighbor interactions, respectively.




FIG. 1: [Left] The average of the *r*-parameter becomes maximal 0.57 at $(\lambda, f) = (0.90, 0.84)$, if g = 1. [Right] the corresponding histogram of *s*.

I consider $C(t,r) = 1 - \text{Re}[\langle X_1(t)X_rX_1(t)X_r \rangle]$, where the expectation value is evaluated in a Haar-random pure state. I find some early-time exponential growth in Fig. 2 [Top Left]. The fast scrambling conjecture predicts that local information is distributed over an N-body system within $t_* \propto \log(N)$ [2]. In fact, comparing t_* read from Fig. 5 of [1], I can say that scrambling happens faster in this model. Moreover according to [1], a necessary condition for fast scrambling is that, before the




FIG. 2: [Top Left] Time-dependence of C(t, r = N) with N = 17 spins. [Top Right] Plots of $C(t = t_*, r = N)$ up to N = 17. [Bottom] Half-cut entanglement entropy of NN-interactions and NNN-interactions, starting from the paramagnetic state $\bigotimes_i |+\rangle_i$, where $|+\rangle_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_i + |1\rangle_i)$.

onset of exponential growth, the decay of correlations with N should be at most algebraic $C \propto N^{-\alpha}$ and not exponential. Fig. 2 [Top Right] shows $C \propto N^{-3}$ between the two ends of the chain after a fixed time. Furthermore the entanglement grows non-linearly in time before saturating and shows a significant speed up as exhibited in Fig. 2 [Bottom]. Therefore the model I studied in this Comment satisfies all criteria of fast scrambling. It has only short-range interactions, and the number of interactions and the dimension of the Hilbert space are smaller than those of [1], which the authors called "minimal". In short the "minimal" model [1] is not the minimal in the literature.

I thank Viktor Jahnke for reading the manuscript carefully and giving me appropriate feedback.

* kazuki.ikeda.gt@kyocera.jp

- R. Belyansky, P. Bienias, Y. A. Kharkov, A. V. Gorshkov, and B. Swingle, "Minimal Model for Fast Scrambling," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** no. 13, (2020) 130601.
- [2] Y. Sekino and L. Susskind, "Fast scramblers," Journal of High Energy Physics 2008 no. 10, (2008) 065.