

# MULTIPLICITY AND CONCENTRATION RESULTS FOR A $(p, q)$ -LAPLACIAN PROBLEM IN $\mathbb{R}^N$

VINCENZO AMBROSIO AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter,  $1 < p < q < N$ ,  $\Delta_r u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{r-2} \nabla u)$ , with  $r \in \{p, q\}$ , is the  $r$ -Laplacian operator,  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function satisfying the global Rabinowitz condition, and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with subcritical growth. Using suitable variational arguments and Lyusternik-Shnirel'man category theory, we investigate the relation between the number of positive solutions and the topology of the set where  $V$  attains its minimum for small  $\varepsilon$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (P_\varepsilon)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter,  $1 < p < q < N$ ,  $\Delta_r u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{r-2} \nabla u)$ , with  $r \in \{p, q\}$ , is the  $r$ -Laplacian operator,  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous potential and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with subcritical growth.

We recall that this class of problems arises from a general reaction-diffusion system

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(D(u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0,$$

where  $D(u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}$ . As pointed out in [9], this equation appears in several applications such as biophysics, plasma physics and chemical reaction design. In these applications,  $u$  describes a concentration,  $\operatorname{div}(D(u) \nabla u)$  corresponds to the diffusion with a diffusion coefficient  $D(u)$ , and the reaction term  $f(x, u)$  relates to source and loss processes. Classical  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problems in bounded or unbounded domains have been studied by several authors; see for instance [3, 11–16, 20] and references therein.

In order to precisely state our result, we introduce the assumptions on the potential  $V$  and the nonlinearity  $f$ . Along the paper we assume that  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function satisfying the following condition introduced by Rabinowitz [21]:

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 < \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = V_\infty \in (0, \infty], \quad (V)$$

and the nonlinearity  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfills the following hypotheses:

- (f<sub>1</sub>)  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f(t) = 0$  for all  $t < 0$ ;
- (f<sub>2</sub>)  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(t)|}{|t|^{p-1}} = 0$ ;

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35B09, 35J62, 58E05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem, positive solutions, variational methods, Lyusternik-Shnirel'man theory.

(f<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $r \in (q, q^*)$ , with  $q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ , such that  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(t)|}{|t|^{r-1}} = 0$ ;

(f<sub>4</sub>) there exists  $\vartheta \in (q, q^*)$  such that

$$0 < \vartheta F(t) = \vartheta \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \leq tf(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0;$$

(f<sub>5</sub>) the map  $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t^{q-1}}$  is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Since we deal with the multiplicity of solutions of  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , we recall that if  $Y$  is a given closed subset of a topological space  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{cat}_X(Y)$  the Lyusternik-Shnirel'man category of  $Y$  in  $X$ , that is the least number of closed and contractible sets in  $X$  which cover  $Y$  (see [25] for more details).

Let us denote by

$$M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) = V_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(x, M) \leq \delta\}, \quad \text{for } \delta > 0.$$

Our main result can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that conditions (V) and (f<sub>1</sub>)-(f<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$ , problem  $(P_\varepsilon)$  has at least  $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$  positive solutions. Moreover, if  $u_\varepsilon$  denotes one of these solutions and  $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is a global maximum point of  $u_\varepsilon$ , then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0,$$

and there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2|x-x_\varepsilon|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be obtained by using suitable variational techniques and category theory. We note that Theorem 1.1 improves Theorem 1.1 in [3], in which the authors assumed  $f \in C^1$  and that there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\nu \in (p, q^*)$  such that

$$f'(t)t^2 - (q-1)f(t)t \geq Ct^\nu \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Since we require that  $f$  is only continuous, the classical Nehari manifold arguments used in [3] do not work in our context, and in order to overcome the non-differentiability of the Nehari manifold, we take advantage of some variants of critical point theorems from [23]. Clearly, with respect to [3], a more accurate and delicate analysis will be needed to implement our variational machinery. To obtain multiple solutions, we use a technique introduced by Benci and Cerami in [7], which consists of making precise comparisons between the category of some sublevel sets of the energy functional  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  associated with  $(P_\varepsilon)$  and the category of the set  $M$ . Since we aim to apply Lyusternik-Shnirel'man theory, we need to prove certain compactness property for the functional  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ . In particular, we will see that the levels of compactness are strongly related to the behavior of the potential  $V$  at infinity. This kind of argument has been recently employed by the first author for nonlocal fractional problems; see for example [5, 6]. Finally, we prove the exponential decay of solutions by following some ideas from [13]. We would like to point out that our arguments are rather flexible and we believe that the ideas contained here can be applied in other situations to study problems driven by  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian operators,  $\phi$ -Laplacian operator, or also fractional  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problems, on the entire space.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect some facts about the involved Sobolev spaces and some useful lemmas. In Section 3 we provide some technical results which will be crucial to prove our main theorem. In Section 4 we deal with the autonomous problems associated to  $(P_\varepsilon)$ . In Section 5 we obtain an existence result for  $(P_\varepsilon)$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Section 6 is devoted to the multiplicity result for  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , and Section 7 to the concentration phenomenon.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some facts about the Sobolev spaces and we prove some technical lemmas which we will use later.

Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . We denote by  $|u|_{L^p(A)}$  the  $L^p(A)$ -norm of a function  $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belonging to  $L^p(A)$ . When  $A = \mathbb{R}^N$ , we simply write  $|u|_p$  instead of  $|u|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ . For  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $N > p$ , we define  $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as the closure of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to

$$|\nabla u|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

Let us denote by  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  the set of functions  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $|\nabla u|_p < \infty$ , endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p}^p = |\nabla u|_p^p + |u|_p^p.$$

We begin by recalling the following embedding theorem for Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** (see [1]) *Let  $N > p$ . Then there exists a constant  $S_* > 0$  such that, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,*

$$|u|_{p^*}^p \leq S_*^{-1} |\nabla u|_p^p.$$

*Moreover,  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuously embedded in  $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $t \in [p, p_s^*]$  and compactly in  $L_{loc}^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $t \in [1, p^*)$ .*

We recall the following Lions compactness lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** (see [17]) *Let  $N > p$  and  $r \in [p, p^*)$ . If  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^r dx = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

*where  $R > 0$ , then  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $t \in (p, p^*)$ .*

We also have the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** (see [2, 18]) *Let  $\eta_n : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$ ,  $K \geq 1$ , with  $\eta_n \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  ( $t > 1$ ),  $\eta_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^K$  and  $A(y) = |y|^{t-2}y$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^K$ . Then, if  $|\eta_n|_t \leq C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |A(\eta_n + w) - A(\eta_n) - A(w)|^{t'} dx = o_n(1)$$

*for each  $w \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \cdots \times L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  fixed, and  $t' = \frac{t}{t-1}$  is the conjugate exponent of  $t$ .*

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define the space

$$\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^p + |u|^q) dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \|u\|_{V,p} + \|u\|_{V,q},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{V,t}^t = |\nabla u|_t^t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^t dx \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

Then the following embedding lemma hold.

**Lemma 2.3.** (see [3]) *The space  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  is continuously embedded into  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  is continuously embedded in  $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $t \in [p, q^*]$  and compactly embedded in  $L^t(B_R)$ , for all  $R > 0$  and any  $t \in [1, q^*)$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** (see [3]) If  $V_\infty = \infty$ , the embedding  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \subset L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for any  $p \leq m < q^*$ .

Finally we have the following splitting lemma which will be very useful in this work.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Set  $v_n = u_n - u$ . Then we have

- (i)  $|\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla v_n|_q^q = (|\nabla u_n|_p^p + |\nabla u_n|_q^q) - (|\nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla u|_q^q) + o_n(1),$
- (ii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|v_n|^p + |v_n|^q) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u_n|^p + |u_n|^q) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^p + |u|^q) dx + o_n(1)$
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)) dx = o_n(1),$
- (iv)  $\sup_{\|w\|_\varepsilon \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(f(v_n) - f(u_n) + f(u)) w| dx = o_n(1).$

*Proof.* It is clear that (i) and (ii) are consequences of the well-known Brezis-Lieb lemma [8]. The proofs of (iii) and (iv) are given in [3] for  $f \in C^1$ . Since here we are assuming  $f \in C^0$ , we need to use different arguments. We start by proving (iii). Let us note that  $u_n = v_n + u$  and

$$F(u_n) - F(v_n) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} F(v_n + tu) dt = \int_0^1 u f(v_n + tu) dt.$$

In view of (f<sub>2</sub>) and (f<sub>3</sub>), for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $c_\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq p\delta |t|^{p-1} + c_\delta |t|^{q^*-1} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$|F(t)| \leq \delta |t|^p + c'_\delta |t|^{q^*} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.3)$$

Using (2.2) with  $\delta = 1$  and  $(|a| + |b|)^r \leq C(r)(|a|^r + |b|^r)$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \geq 1$ , we can see that

$$|F(u_n) - F(v_n)| \leq C|v_n|^{p-1}|u| + C|u|^p + C|v_n|^{q^*-1}|u| + C|u|^{q^*}. \quad (2.4)$$

Fix  $\eta > 0$ . Applying the Young inequality  $ab \leq \eta a^r + C(\eta)b^{r'}$  for all  $a, b > 0$ , with  $r, r' \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ , to the first and the third term on the right hand side of (2.4), we deduce that

$$|F(u_n) - F(v_n)| \leq \eta(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^{q^*}) + C_\eta(|u|^p + |u|^{q^*})$$

which together with (2.3) with  $\delta = \eta$  implies that

$$|F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)| \leq \eta(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^{q^*}) + C'_\eta(|u|^p + |u|^{q^*}).$$

Let

$$G_{\eta,n}(x) = \max \left\{ |F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)| - \eta(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^{q^*}), 0 \right\}.$$

Then  $G_{\eta,n} \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (recall that  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and  $0 \leq G_{\eta,n} \leq C'_\eta(|u|^p + |u|^{q^*}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . As a consequence of the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{\eta,n}(x) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $G_{\eta,n}$ , it follows that

$$|F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)| \leq \eta(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^{q^*}) + G_{\eta,n}$$

which together with the boundedness of  $(u_n)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(v_n) - F(u_n) + F(u)| dx \leq C\eta.$$

By the arbitrariness of  $\eta > 0$  we can deduce that (iii) holds. Finally, we prove (iv). For any fixed  $\eta > 0$ , by (f<sub>2</sub>) we can choose  $r_0 = r_0(\eta) \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \eta |t|^{p-1} \quad \text{for } |t| \leq 2r_0. \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, by  $(f_3)$  we can pick  $r_1 = r_1(\eta) > 2$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \eta |t|^{q^*-1} \quad \text{for } |t| \geq r_1 - 1. \quad (2.6)$$

By the continuity of  $f$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(\eta) \in (0, r_0)$  satisfying

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq r_0^{p-1} \eta \quad \text{for } |t_1 - t_2| \leq \delta, |t_1|, |t_2| \leq r_1 + 1. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, by  $(f_3)$  there exists a positive constant  $c = c(\eta)$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq c(\eta) |t|^{p-1} + \eta |t|^{q^*-1} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.8)$$

In what follows, we shall estimate the following term:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |f(u_n - u) - f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx.$$

Using (2.8) and  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we can find  $R = R(\eta) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |f(u)w| dx &\leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-1}{q^*}} |w|_{q^*} + c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |w|_p \\ &\leq c\eta \|w\|_{1,q} + c\eta \|w\|_{1,p} \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0) : |u_n(x)| \leq r_0\}$ . Invoking (2.5) and applying the Hölder inequality we get

$$\int_{A_n \cap \{|u| \leq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq \eta (|u_n|_p^{p-1} + |u_n - u|_p^{p-1}) |w|_p \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0) : |u_n(x)| \geq r_1\}$ . Then (2.6) and the Hölder inequality yield

$$\int_{B_n \cap \{|u| \leq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq \eta (|u_n|_{q^*}^{q^*-1} + |u_n - u|_{q^*}^{q^*-1}) |w|_{q^*} \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

Finally, define  $C_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0) : r_0 \leq |u_n(x)| \leq r_1\}$ . Since  $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  it follows that  $|C_n| < \infty$ . Now (2.7) gives

$$\int_{C_n \cap \{|u| \leq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq r_0^{p-1} \eta |w|_p |C_n|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \eta |u_n|_p |w|_p \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

Putting together (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain that

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \leq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.12)$$

Next, we note that (2.8) implies

$$|f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| \leq \eta (|u_n|^{q^*-1} + |u_n - u|^{q^*-1}) + c(\eta) (|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n - u|^{p-1}),$$

so we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \\ &\leq \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}} \left[ \eta (|u_n|^{q^*-1} + |u_n - u|^{q^*-1}) |w| + c(\eta) (|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n - u|^{p-1}) |w| \right] dx \\ &\leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}} c(\eta) (|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n - u|^{p-1}) |w| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we get  $|(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Then choosing  $R = R(\eta)$  large enough we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}} c(\eta)(|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n - u|^{p-1})|w| dx \\ & \leq c(\eta)(|u_n|_{q^*}^{p-1} + |u_n - u|_{q^*}^{p-1})|w|_{q^*} |(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{u \geq \delta\}|^{\frac{q^*-p}{p}} \leq \eta \|w\|_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the generalized Hölder inequality. Therefore

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)) \cap \{|u| \geq \delta\}} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which combined with (2.12) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |f(u_n) - f(u) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, recalling that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we may assume that, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly converges in  $L^p(B_R(0))$  and there exists  $h \in L^p(B_R(0))$  such that  $|u_n(x)|, |u(x)| \leq |h(x)|$  for a. e.  $x \in B_R(0)$ .

It is clear that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon \quad (2.14)$$

provided that  $n$  is big enough. Let us define  $D_n = \{x \in B_R(0) : |u_n(x) - u(x)| \geq 1\}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_n} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx & \leq \int_{D_n} \left( c(\eta)(|u|^{p-1} + |u_n|^{p-1}) + \eta(|u_n|^{q^*-1} + |u|^{q^*-1}) \right) |w| dx \\ & \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon + 2c(\eta) \int_{D_n} |h|^{p-1} |w| dx \\ & \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon + 2c(\eta) \left( \int_{D_n} |h|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |w|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $|D_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can deduce that

$$\int_{D_n} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \quad (2.15)$$

Since  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we know that  $|\{|u| \geq L\}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , so there exists  $L = L(\eta) > 0$  such that for all  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(B_R(0) \setminus D_n) \cap \{|u| \geq L\}} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx \\ & \leq \int_{(B_R(0) \setminus D_n) \cap \{|u| \geq L\}} \left[ \eta(|u_n|^{q^*-1} + |u|^{q^*-1})|w| + c(\eta)(|u_n|^{p-1} + |u|^{p-1})|w| \right] dx \\ & \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon + c(\eta)(|u_n|_{q^*}^{p-1} + |u|_{q^*}^{p-1})|w|_{q^*} |(B_R(0) \setminus D_n) \cap \{|u| \geq L\}|^{\frac{q^*-p}{p}} \\ & \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, by the dominated convergence theorem we can infer

$$\int_{(B_R(0) \setminus D_n) \cap \{|u| \leq L\}} |f(u_n) - f(u)|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{(B_R(0) \setminus D_n) \cap \{|u| \leq L\}} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon \quad (2.17)$$

for  $n$  large enough. Putting together (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |f(u_n) - f(u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon.$$

This and (2.14) yield

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |f(u_n) - f(u) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon. \quad (2.18)$$

Taking into account (2.13) and (2.18), we can conclude that for  $n$  large enough

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n) - f(u) - f(u_n - u)| |w| dx \leq c\eta \|w\|_\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of lemma.  $\square$

### 3. FUNCTIONAL SETTING

In this section we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (P_\varepsilon)$$

In order to study  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , we look for critical points of the functional  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} |\nabla u|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left( \frac{1}{p} |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} |u|^q \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$  and its differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \varphi dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $u, \varphi \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Now, let us introduce the Nehari manifold associated to  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ , that is

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

and define

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u).$$

Let us note that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  possesses a mountain pass geometry [4].

**Lemma 3.1.** *The functional  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *there exist  $\alpha, \rho > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) \geq \alpha$  with  $\|u\|_\varepsilon = \rho$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists  $e \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  with  $\|e\|_\varepsilon > \rho$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(e) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Using  $(f_2)$  and  $(f_3)$ , for any given  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $C_\xi > 0$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \xi |t|^{p-1} + C_\xi |t|^{r-1} \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$|F(t)| \leq \frac{\xi}{p} |t|^p + \frac{C_\xi}{r} |t|^r \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, taking  $\xi \in (0, V_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{V,p}^p + \frac{1}{q}\|u\|_{V,q}^q - \frac{\xi}{p}|u|_p^p - \frac{C_\xi}{r}|u|_r^r \\ &\geq C_1\|u\|_{V,p}^p + \frac{1}{q}\|u\|_{V,q}^q - C'_\xi\|u\|_\varepsilon^r. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\|u\|_\varepsilon = \rho \in (0, 1)$  and using  $1 < p < q$ , we have  $\|u\|_{V,p} < 1$  and therefore  $\|u\|_{V,p}^p \geq \|u\|_{V,p}^q$  which combined with  $a^t + b^t \geq C_t(a+b)^t$  for any  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $t > 1$ , yields

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) \geq C\|u\|_\varepsilon^q - C'_\xi\|u\|_\varepsilon^r.$$

Since  $r > q$  we can find  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) \geq \alpha > 0$  for  $\|u\|_\varepsilon = \rho$ .

(ii) By  $(f_4)$  we can infer

$$F(t) \geq C_1|t|^\vartheta - C_2 \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0,$$

for some  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ . Taking  $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $v \geq 0$ ,  $v \not\equiv 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tv) \leq \frac{t^p}{p}\|v\|_\varepsilon^p + \frac{t^q}{q}\|v\|_\varepsilon^q - t^\vartheta C_1 \int_{\text{supp } v} v^\vartheta dx + C_2|\text{supp } v| \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Now, in view of Lemma 3.1, we can use a version of mountain pass theorem without the Palais-Smale condition [25] to deduce the existence of a  $(PS)$ -sequence  $\{u_n\}$  at level  $c'_\varepsilon$ , namely

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c'_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $c'_\varepsilon$  is the mountain pass level of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  defined as

$$c'_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)),$$

and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C^0([0,1], \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon) : \gamma(0) = 0, \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *The following holds*

$$c'_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu).$$

*Proof.* For each  $u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t > 0$ , let us introduce the function  $h(t) = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu)$ . Following the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we deduce that  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(t) < 0$  for  $t$  sufficiently large and  $h(t) > 0$  for  $t$  sufficiently small. Hence,  $\max_{t \geq 0} h(t)$  is achieved at  $t = t_u > 0$  satisfying  $h'(t_u) = 0$  and  $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ .

Note that, if  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  then  $u^+ \neq 0$ . Indeed, from  $(f_1)$ , we can deduce that

$$\|u\|_{V,p}^p + \|u\|_{V,q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^+)u^+ \, dx.$$

Now, if  $u^+ \equiv 0$ , then  $\|u\|_{V,p}^p + \|u\|_{V,q}^q = 0$ , that is  $u \equiv 0$ , and this is a contradiction in view of  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ .

Next, we prove that  $t_u$  is the unique critical point of  $h$ . Assume by contradiction that there exist  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that  $t_1 u, t_2 u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ , that is

$$t_1^{p-q}|\nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla u|_q^q + t_1^{p-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^q \, dx = \int_{\{u>0\}} \frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{q-1}} u^q \, dx$$

and

$$t_2^{p-q}|\nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla u|_q^q + t_2^{p-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^p \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^q \, dx = \int_{\{u>0\}} \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{q-1}} u^q \, dx.$$



Subtracting term by term in the above equalities we get

$$(t_1^{p-q} - t_2^{p-q})|\nabla u|_p^p + (t_1^{p-q} - t_2^{p-q}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^p dx = \int_{\{u>0\}} \left[ \frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{q-1}} \right] u^q dx.$$

Now, if  $t_1 < t_2$ , from  $(f_5)$  and recalling that  $p < q$ , we can infer

$$0 < (t_1^{p-q} - t_2^{p-q})|\nabla u|_p^p + (t_1^{p-q} - t_2^{p-q}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u|^p dx = \int_{\{u>0\}} \left[ \frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{q-1}} \right] u^q dx < 0,$$

which gives a contradiction. Now we can argue as in [25] to complete the proof.  $\square$

Next, we prove the following useful result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Palais-Smale sequence of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  at level  $c$ . Then*

- (i)  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $u_n^- \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and we may assume that  $u_n \geq 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* (i) From  $(f_4)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(1 + \|u_n\|_\varepsilon) &\geq \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \|u_n\|_{V,p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \|u_n\|_{V,q}^q + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(u_n)u_n - \vartheta F(u_n)) dx \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \|u_n\|_{V,p}^p + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \|u_n\|_{V,q}^q \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) (\|u_n\|_{V,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{V,q}^q). \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume by contradiction that  $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall distinguish among the following cases:

Case 1.  $\|u_n\|_{V,p} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|u_n\|_{V,q} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $p < q$ , we have, for  $n$  sufficiently large, that  $\|u_n\|_{V,q}^{q-p} \geq 1$ , that is  $\|u_n\|_{V,q}^q \geq \|u_n\|_{V,q}^p$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} C(1 + \|u_n\|_\varepsilon) &\geq \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) (\|u_n\|_{V,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{V,q}^p) \\ &\geq C_1 (\|u_n\|_{V,p} + \|u_n\|_{V,q})^p = C_1 \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction.

Case 2.  $\|u_n\|_{V,p} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|u_n\|_{V,q}$  is bounded.

We can see that

$$C(1 + \|u_n\|_{V,p} + \|u_n\|_{V,q}) \geq \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \|u_n\|_{V,p}^p$$

implies

$$C \left( \frac{1}{\|u_n\|_{V,p}^p} + \frac{1}{\|u_n\|_{V,p}^{p-1}} + \frac{\|u_n\|_{V,q}}{\|u_n\|_{V,p}^p} \right) \geq \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right),$$

and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $0 \geq \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) > 0$ , which yields a contradiction.

$\|u_n\|_{V,p}$  is bounded and  $\|u_n\|_{V,q} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Case 3. We can proceed similarly as in the case (2).

Hence,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

(ii) Since  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n^- \rangle = o_n(1)$ , where  $u_n^- = \min\{u_n, 0\}$ , and  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n^- dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{q-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n^- dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u_n|^{q-2} u_n) u_n^- dx = o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$\|u_n^-\|_{V,p}^p + \|u_n^-\|_{V,q}^q = o_n(1),$$

that is  $u_n^- \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Moreover,  $\{u_n^+\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n^+) \rightarrow c$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n^+) = o_n(1)$ . Clearly,  $\|u_n\|_{V,t} = \|u_n^+\|_{V,t} + o_n(1)$  for  $t \in \{p, q\}$ . On the other hand, by (3.2), the mean value theorem, and since  $u_n = u_n^+ + u_n^-$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n^+) dx \right| & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n|^{q-1}) |u_n^-| dx \\ & \leq C \|u_n^-\|_p + C \|u_n^-\|_q \leq C \|u_n^-\|_{V,p} + C \|u_n^-\|_{V,q} \leq C \|u_n^-\|_\varepsilon = o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n^+) \rightarrow c$ . Next, we claim that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n^+) = o_n(1)$ . Fix  $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), \varphi \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n^+), \varphi \rangle| \\ & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_n^+|^{p-2} \nabla u_n^+] \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_n|^{q-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_n^+|^{q-2} \nabla u_n^+] \nabla \varphi dx \right. \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) [(|u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u_n|^{q-2} u_n) - (|u_n^+|^{p-2} u_n^+ + |u_n^+|^{q-2} u_n^+)] \varphi dx \\ & \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u_n) - f(u_n^+)] \varphi dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling that for all  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $C_\xi > 0$  such that

$$||a+b|^{t-2}(a+b) - |a|^{t-2}a| \leq \xi |a|^{t-1} + C_\xi |b|^{t-1} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 1,$$

we see that for  $t \in \{p, q\}$  the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_n|^{t-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_n^+|^{t-2} \nabla u_n^+] \nabla \varphi dx \right| \\ & \leq \xi |\nabla u_n^+|_t^{t-1} |\nabla \varphi|_t + C_\xi |\nabla u_n^-|_t^{t-1} |\nabla \varphi|_t \\ & \leq \xi C + C'_\xi \|u_n^-\|_\varepsilon^{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_n|^{t-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_n^+|^{t-2} \nabla u_n^+] \nabla \varphi dx \right| \leq \xi C$$

and by the arbitrariness of  $\xi > 0$  we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_n|^{t-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_n^+|^{t-2} \nabla u_n^+] \nabla \varphi dx = 0.$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) [(|u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u_n|^{q-2} u_n) - (|u_n^+|^{p-2} u_n^+ + |u_n^+|^{q-2} u_n^+)] \varphi dx = 0.$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u_n) - f(u_n^+)] \varphi \, dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n^-) \varphi \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n^-|^{p-1} + |u_n^-|^{r-1}) |\varphi| \, dx \\ &\leq C(|u_n^-|_p^{p-1} |\varphi|_p + |u_n^-|_r^{r-1} |\varphi|_r) \\ &\leq C(\|u_n^-\|_\varepsilon^{p-1} + \|u_n^-\|_\varepsilon^{r-1}) = o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

we can deduce that  $|\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), \varphi \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n^+), \varphi \rangle| = o_n(1)$ . Since  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), \varphi \rangle = o_n(1)$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n^+) = o_n(1)$ .  $\square$

Since  $f$  is only continuous, the next results are very important because they allow us to overcome the non-differentiability of  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ . We begin by proving some properties of the functional  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under assumptions (V) and  $(f_1)$ – $(f_5)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon$  maps bounded sets of  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  into bounded sets of  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon$  is weakly sequentially continuous in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(t_n u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $u_n \in K$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$  is a compact subset.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and  $v \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Then from assumptions  $(f_2)$  and  $(f_3)$  we can deduce that

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle \leq C_1 \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{p-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon + C_2 \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{q-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon + C_3 \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{r-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon \leq C.$$

(ii) Let  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $t \in [1, q_s^*)$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, for all  $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , it follows from (3.1) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , we can take  $\{v_j\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\|v_j - v\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that (3.1) and Lemma 2.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle| &\leq |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v_j \rangle| + |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v - v_j \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v_j \rangle| + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{p-1} + |u|^{p-1} + |u_n|^{r-1} + |u|^{r-1}) |v - v_j| \, dx \\ &\leq |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v_j \rangle| + C \|v_j - v\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\zeta > 0$ , fix  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|v_{j_0} - v\|_\varepsilon < \frac{\zeta}{2C}$ . By (3.3) there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v_{j_0} \rangle| < \frac{\zeta}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus

$$|\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle| < \zeta \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

and this shows that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon$  is weakly sequentially continuous in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .

(iii) Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|u\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$  for each  $u \in K$ . For  $u_n \in K$ , after passing to a subsequence, we obtain that  $u_n \rightarrow u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ . Then, using  $(f_4)$  and Fatou's lemma, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(t_n u_n) &= \frac{t_n^p}{p} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p + \frac{t_n^q}{q} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_n u_n) \, dx \\ &\leq t_n^\vartheta \left( \frac{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p}{t_n^{\vartheta-p}} + \frac{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^q}{t_n^{\vartheta-q}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_n u_n)}{t_n^\vartheta} \, dx \right) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have:*

- (i) *for all  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ , there exists a unique  $t_u > 0$  such that  $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ . Moreover,  $m_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u$  is the unique maximum of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon : \|u\|_\varepsilon = 1\}$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is bounded away from 0. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is closed in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .*
- (iii) *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $t_u \geq \alpha$  for each  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  and, for each compact subset  $W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ , there exists  $C_W > 0$  such that  $t_u \leq C_W$  for all  $u \in W$ .*
- (iv) *For each  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ ,  $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{\|u\|_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .*
- (v)  *$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon \geq \rho > 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ , where  $\rho$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* (i) The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Lemma 3.2.

(ii) Using (3.1) and Lemma 2.3, for any  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  we have

$$\|u\|_{V,p}^p + \|u\|_{V,q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u \, dx \leq \frac{\xi}{V_0} \|u\|_{V,p}^p + C_\xi \|u\|_\varepsilon^r.$$

Taking  $\xi > 0$  sufficiently small we can deduce that

$$C_1 \|u\|_{V,p}^p + \|u\|_{V,q}^q \leq C \|u\|_\varepsilon^r.$$

Now, if  $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq 1$ , we are done. If  $\|u\|_\varepsilon < 1$ , then  $\|u\|_{V,p}^p \geq \|u\|_{V,q}^q$  so we get

$$C \|u\|_\varepsilon^r \geq C_1 \|u\|_{V,p}^p + \|u\|_{V,q}^q \geq C_1 \|u\|_{V,p}^q + \|u\|_{V,q}^q \geq C_2 \|u\|_\varepsilon^q,$$

which implies that  $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ .

Next, we prove that  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is closed in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . From Lemma 3.4 we infer that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n)$  is bounded, so

$$\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle + \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

that is  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0$ , which combined with  $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa$  implies that

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa > 0,$$

hence  $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ .

(iii) For each  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  there exists  $t_u > 0$  such that  $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ . Then, using  $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa$ , we also have  $t_u = \|t_u u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa$ . It remains we prove that  $t_u \leq C_W$  for all  $u \in W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ . We argue by contradiction: we suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  such that  $t_{u_n} \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $W$  is compact, we can find  $u \in W$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Now, using (f<sub>4</sub>) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) &= \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) |\nabla u|_p^p + \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^p \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( F(u) - \frac{1}{q} f(u)u \right) \, dx \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{V,p}^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( F(u) - \frac{1}{q} f(u)u \right) \, dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this is in contrast with Lemma 3.4-(iii) by which  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(t_{u_n} u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iv) Let us define the maps  $\hat{m}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  and  $m_\varepsilon : \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  by setting

$$\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u \quad \text{and} \quad m_\varepsilon = \hat{m}_\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon}. \quad (3.4)$$

In view of (i)-(iii) and Proposition 3.1 in [23] we can deduce that  $m_\varepsilon$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  and the inverse of  $m_\varepsilon$  is given by  $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{\|u\|_\varepsilon}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ .

(v) For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ , we can see that (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu) &\geq \frac{t^p}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{t^q}{q} |\nabla u|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left( \frac{t^p}{p} |u|^p + \frac{t^q}{q} |u|^q \right) dx - \frac{\xi t^p}{V_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |u|^p dx - C_\xi t^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^r dx \\ &\geq \frac{t^p}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\xi}{V_0} \right) \|u\|_{V,p}^p + \frac{t^q}{q} \|u\|_{V,q}^q - C_\xi t^r \|u\|_\varepsilon^r \end{aligned}$$

so we can find  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu) \geq \rho > 0$  for  $t > 0$  small enough. On the other hand, by using (i)-(iii), we get (see [23]) that

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t > 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} \max_{t > 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tu) \quad (3.5)$$

which implies  $c_\varepsilon \geq \rho$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \geq \rho$ .  $\square$

Now we introduce the following functionals  $\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\varepsilon = \hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon},$$

where  $\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u$  is given in (3.4). As in [23], we have the following result:

**Lemma 3.6.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, we have that for  $\varepsilon > 0$ :*

(i)  $\Psi_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$ , and

$$\langle \Psi'_\varepsilon(w), v \rangle = \|m_\varepsilon(w)\|_\varepsilon \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(m_\varepsilon(w)), v \rangle \quad \text{for } v \in T_w(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon).$$

(ii)  $\{w_n\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  if and only if  $\{m_\varepsilon(w_n)\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ . If  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ , then  $\{m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u_n)\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\Psi_\varepsilon$ .

(iii)  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  is a critical point of  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  if and only if  $m_\varepsilon(u)$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ . Moreover, the corresponding critical values coincide and

$$\inf_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} \Psi_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon.$$

#### 4. THE AUTONOMOUS PROBLEM

In this section we deal with the autonomous problem associated with  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , that is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + \mu(|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \mu > 0. \end{cases} \quad (AP_\mu)$$

The functional associated with  $(AP_\mu)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} |\nabla u|_q^q + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{p} |u|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} |u|_q^q \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx$$

which is well-defined on the space  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\mu = \|u\|_{\mu,p} + \|u\|_{\mu,q},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\mu,t}^t = |\nabla u|_t^t + \mu |u|_t^t \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{J}_\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{Y}_\mu, \mathbb{R})$  and its differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ &\quad + \mu \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi dx \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \varphi dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $u, \varphi \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu$ . Let us define the Nehari manifold associated with  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu = \{u \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

We note that  $(f_4)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\mu(u) &= \mathcal{J}_\mu(u) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u), u \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mu,p}^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( F(u) - \frac{1}{q} f(u)u \right) dx \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|u\|_{\mu,p}^p \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Arguing as in the previous section and using (4.1), it is easy to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, for  $\mu > 0$  we have:*

- (i) *for all  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$ , there exists a unique  $t_u > 0$  such that  $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ . Moreover,  $m_\mu(u) = t_u u$  is the unique maximum of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_\mu = \{u \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu : \|u\|_\mu = 1\}$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  is bounded away from 0. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  is closed in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ .*
- (iii) *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $t_u \geq \alpha$  for each  $u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$  and, for each compact subset  $W \subset \mathbb{S}_\mu$ , there exists  $C_W > 0$  such that  $t_u \leq C_W$  for all  $u \in W$ .*
- (iv)  *$\mathcal{M}_\mu$  is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ .*
- (v)  *$d_\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{J}_\mu > 0$  and  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$  is bounded below on  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  by some positive constant.*
- (vi)  *$\mathcal{J}_\mu$  is coercive on  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ .*

Now we define the following functionals  $\hat{\Psi}_\mu : \mathbb{Y}_\mu \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Psi_\mu : \mathbb{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$\hat{\Psi}_\mu = \mathcal{J}_\mu(\hat{m}_\mu(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\mu = \hat{\Psi}_\mu|_{\mathbb{S}_\mu}.$$

Then we obtain the following result:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, we have that for  $\mu > 0$ :*

- (i)  *$\Psi_\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{S}_\mu, \mathbb{R})$ , and*

$$\langle \Psi'_\mu(w), v \rangle = \|m_\mu(w)\|_\mu \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(m_\mu(w)), v \rangle \quad \text{for } v \in T_w(\mathbb{S}_\mu).$$

- (ii)  *$\{w_n\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\Psi_\mu$  if and only if  $\{m_\mu(w_n)\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ . If  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$  is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ , then  $\{m_\mu^{-1}(u_n)\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\Psi_\mu$ .*
- (iii)  *$u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$  is a critical point of  $\Psi_\mu$  if and only if  $m_\mu(u)$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ . Moreover, the corresponding critical values coincide and*

$$\inf_{\mathbb{S}_\mu} \Psi_\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{J}_\mu = d_\mu.$$

**Remark 4.1.** *As in (3.5), invoking (i)-(iii) of Lemma 4.1, we can see that  $d_\mu$  admits the following minimax characterization*

$$d_\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{J}_\mu(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t > 0} \mathcal{J}_\mu(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu} \max_{t > 0} \mathcal{J}_\mu(tu). \tag{4.2}$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$  be a minimizing sequence for  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$  and there exist a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and constants  $R, \beta > 0$  such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{R(y_n)}} |u_n|^q dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ . Now, in order to prove the other assertion of this lemma, we argue by contradiction. Assume that for any  $R > 0$  it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^q dx = 0.$$

Since  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ , it follows by Lemma 2.1 that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for any } t \in (q, q^*). \quad (4.3)$$

Fix  $\xi \in (0, \mu)$ . Then, taking into account that  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$  and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq |\nabla u_n|_p^p + |\nabla u_n|_q^q + \mu [ |u_n|_p^p + |u_n|_q^q ] - \xi |u_n|_p^p - C_\xi |u_n|_r^r \\ &\geq C_1 \|u_n\|_{s,p}^p + C_2 \|u_n\|_{s,q}^q - C_3 |u_n|_r^r, \end{aligned}$$

and in view of (4.3), we have that  $\|u_n\|_\mu \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove the following useful compactness result for the autonomous problem. For completeness, we recall that a critical point  $u \neq 0$  of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$  satisfying  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{J}_\mu = d_\mu$  is called a ground state solution to (AP $_\mu$ ); see chapter 4 in [25] for more details.

**Lemma 4.4.** *The problem (AP $_\mu$ ) has a positive ground state solution.*

*Proof.* By virtue of (v) of Lemma 4.1, we know that  $d_\mu > 0$  for each  $\mu > 0$ . Moreover, if  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$  satisfies  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(u) = d_\mu$ , then  $m_\mu^{-1}(u)$  is a minimizer of  $\Psi_\mu$  and it is a critical point of  $\Psi_\mu$ . In view of Lemma 4.2, we can see that  $u$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ . Now we show that there exists a minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu|_{\mathcal{M}_\mu}$ . By Ekeland's variational principle [25] there exists a sequence  $\{\nu_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}_\mu$  such that  $\Psi_\mu(\nu_n) \rightarrow d_\mu$  and  $\Psi'_\mu(\nu_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $u_n = m_\mu(\nu_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ . Then, thanks to Lemma 4.2,  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow d_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{J}'_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$  which is a reflexive space, so we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{J}'_\mu(u) = 0$ . Indeed, for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{t-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \phi dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{t-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dx, \quad \text{for } t \in \{p, q\}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{t-2} u_n \phi dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{t-2} u \phi dx, \quad \text{for } t \in \{p, q\}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) \phi dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \phi dx, \end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that  $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u_n), \phi \rangle = o_n(1)$ , we can deduce that  $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u), \phi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . By the density of  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ , we obtain that  $u$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ .

Now, if  $u \neq 0$ , then  $u$  is a nontrivial solution to (AP $_\mu$ ). Assume that  $u = 0$ . Then  $\|u_n\|_\mu \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ . Hence, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 we can find a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and constants  $R, \beta > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |u_n|^q dx \geq \beta > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Now, let us define

$$\tilde{v}_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n).$$

Due to the invariance by translations of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , it is clear that  $\|\tilde{v}_n\|_{\mu,t} = \|u_n\|_{\mu,t}$ , with  $t \in \{p, q\}$ , so  $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$  and there exists  $\tilde{v}$  such that  $\tilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_\mu$ ,  $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  in  $L_{loc}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any

$m \in [1, q^*)$  and  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$  in view of (4.4). Moreover,  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(\tilde{v}_n) = \mathcal{J}_\mu(u_n)$  and  $\mathcal{J}'_\mu(\tilde{v}_n) = o_n(1)$ , and arguing as before it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{J}'_\mu(\tilde{v}) = 0$ .

Now, say  $u$  be the solution obtained before, and we prove that  $u$  is a ground state solution. It is clear that  $d_\mu \leq \mathcal{J}_\mu(u)$ . On the other hand, by Fatou's lemma we can see that

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(u) = \mathcal{J}_\mu(u) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u), u \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \mathcal{J}_\mu(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\mu(u_n), u_n \rangle \right] = d_\mu,$$

which implies that  $d_\mu = \mathcal{J}_\mu(u)$ .

Finally, we prove that the ground state obtained earlier is positive. Indeed, taking  $u^- = \min\{u, 0\}$  as test function in  $(AP_\mu)$ , and applying  $(f_1)$  and invoking the following inequality

$$|x - y|^{t-2}(x - y)(x^- - y^-) \geq |x^- - y^-|^t \quad \forall t > 1,$$

we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^-\|_{\mu,p}^p + \|u^-\|_{\mu,q}^q &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^- \, dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu |u|^{p-2} u u^- \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^- \, dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu |u|^{q-2} u u^- \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) u^- \, dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $u^- = 0$ , that is  $u \geq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . By the regularity results in [13], we have that  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  (in the exponential way). Applying the Harnack inequality in [24], we can see that  $u > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

## 5. A FIRST EXISTENCE RESULT FOR $(P_\varepsilon)$

In this section we focus on the existence of a solution to  $(P_\varepsilon)$  provided that  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Let us start with the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Then one of the following alternatives occurs:*

- (a)  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ ;
- (b) *there are a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and constants  $R, \beta > 0$  such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |u_n|^q dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that (b) does not hold. Then, for any  $R > 0$ , the following holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^q dx = 0.$$

Since  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , it follows by Lemma 2.1 that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for any } t \in (q, q^*). \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 and deduce that  $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

In order to get a compactness result for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ , we need to prove the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume that  $V_\infty < \infty$  and let  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow d$  with  $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . If  $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , then  $d \geq d_{V_\infty}$ , where  $d_{V_\infty}$  is the infimum of  $\mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be such that  $\{t_n v_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$ . Our aim is to show that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \leq 1$ . Assume by contradiction that there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a subsequence, denoted again by  $\{t_n\}$ , such that

$$t_n \geq 1 + \delta \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.2)$$

Since  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  is a bounded  $(PS)$  sequence for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ , we have that  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = o_n(1)$ , or equivalently

$$|\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla v_n|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) v_n dx = o_n(1). \quad (5.3)$$

Since  $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$ , we also have that

$$t_n^{p-q} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla v_n|_q^q + t_n^{p-q} V_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx + V_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_n v_n)}{(t_n v_n)^{q-1}} v_n^q dx = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Putting together (5.3) and (5.4), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(t_n v_n)}{(t_n v_n)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(v_n)}{(v_n)^{q-1}} \right) v_n^q dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^q dx. \quad (5.5)$$

Now, using assumption (V) we can see that, given  $\zeta > 0$ , there exists  $R = R(\zeta) > 0$  such that

$$V(\varepsilon x) \geq V_\infty - \zeta \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq R. \quad (5.6)$$

From this, taking into account that  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^q(B_R)$  and the boundedness of  $\{v_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^q dx &= \int_{B_R(0)} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^q dx \\ &\leq V_\infty \int_{B_R(0)} |v_n|^q dx + \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^q dx \\ &\leq o_n(1) + \zeta C. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.7), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(t_n v_n)}{(t_n v_n)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(v_n)}{(v_n)^{q-1}} \right) v_n^q dx \leq o_n(1) + \zeta C. \quad (5.8)$$

Since  $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , we can apply Lemma 5.1 to deduce the existence of a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and two positive numbers  $\bar{R}, \beta$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{R}}(y_n)} |v_n|^q dx \geq \beta > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Let us consider  $\tilde{v}_n = v_n(x + y_n)$ . Then we may assume that, up to a subsequence,  $\tilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . By (5.9) there exists  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with positive measure and such that  $\tilde{v} > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . From (5.2),  $(f_4)$  and (5.8), we can infer that

$$0 < \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f((1+\delta)\tilde{v}_n)}{((1+\delta)\tilde{v}_n)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(\tilde{v}_n)}{(\tilde{v}_n)^{q-1}} \right) \tilde{v}_n^q dx \leq o_n(1) + \zeta C.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and applying Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$0 < \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f((1+\delta)\tilde{v})}{((1+\delta)\tilde{v})^{q-1}} - \frac{f(\tilde{v})}{(\tilde{v})^{q-1}} \right) \tilde{v}^q dx \leq \zeta C \quad \text{for any } \zeta > 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now we consider the following cases:

CASE 1: Assume that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1$ . Thus there exists  $\{t_n\}$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow 1$ . Taking into account that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1) &= \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) + \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \\ &\geq \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) + d_{V_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Now, let us point out that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \\ &= \frac{(1 - t_n^p)}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p + \frac{(1 - t_n^q)}{q} |\nabla v_n|_q^q + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_\infty) |v_n|^p dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^q V_\infty) |v_n|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Using condition (V),  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p(B_R(0))$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow 1$ , (5.6), and the fact that

$$V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_\infty = (V(\varepsilon x) - V_\infty) + (1 - t_n^p) V_\infty \geq -\zeta + (1 - t_n^p) V_\infty \text{ for any } |x| \geq R,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_\infty) |v_n|^p dx \\ &= \int_{B_R(0)} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_\infty) |v_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_\infty) |v_n|^p dx \\ &\geq (V_0 - t_n^p V_\infty) \int_{B_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx - \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx + V_\infty (1 - t_n^p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx \\ &\geq o_n(1) - \zeta C. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

In a similar fashion we can prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^q V_\infty) |v_n|^q dx \geq o_n(1) - \zeta C. \quad (5.13)$$

Since  $\{v_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , we can conclude that

$$\frac{(1 - t_n^p)}{p} |\nabla v_n|_p^p = o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(1 - t_n^q)}{q} |\nabla v_n|_q^q = o_n(1). \quad (5.14)$$

Thus, putting together (5.11), (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)) dx + o_n(1) - \zeta C. \quad (5.15)$$

At this point, we aim to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)) dx = o_n(1). \quad (5.16)$$

Applying the mean value theorem and (3.1), we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)| dx \leq C |t_n - 1| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx + C |t_n - 1| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^r dx.$$

Exploiting the boundedness of  $\{v_n\}$ , we get the assertion. Gathering (5.10), (5.15) and (5.16), we can infer that

$$c + o_n(1) \geq o_n(1) - \zeta C + d_{V_\infty},$$

and taking the limit as  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  we get  $c \geq d_{V_\infty}$ .

CASE 2: Assume that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0 < 1$ . Then there is a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{t_n\}$ , such that  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 (< 1)$  and  $t_n < 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1) &= \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|v_n\|_{V,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{q} f(v_n) v_n - F(v_n) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Recalling that  $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$ , and using  $(f_5)$  and (5.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{V_\infty} &\leq \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{J}'_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n), t_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|t_n v_n\|_{V,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{q} f(t_n v_n) t_n v_n - F(t_n v_n) \right) dx \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|v_n\|_{V,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{q} f(v_n) v_n - F(v_n) \right) dx \\ &= c + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $c \geq d_{V_\infty}$ . □

At this point we are able to prove the following compactness result.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  be such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c$ , where  $c < d_{V_\infty}$  if  $V_\infty < \infty$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $V_\infty = \infty$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L_{loc}^m(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for any } m \in [1, q^*), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

By using assumptions  $(f_2)$ – $(f_3)$ , (5.18) and the fact that  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$ .

Now, let  $v_n = u_n - u$ . By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(v_n) &= \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) \\ &= c - \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) = d + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Now, we prove that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(v_n) = o_n(1)$ . For  $t \in \{p, q\}$ , by using Lemma 2.2 with  $\eta_n = v_n$  and  $w = u$ , we get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |A(u_n) - A(v_n) - A(u)|^{t'} dx = o_n(1), \quad (5.20)$$

and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [18], we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^{t-2} v_n - |u_n|^{t-2} u_n + |u|^{t-2} u |^{t'} dx = o_n(1). \quad (5.21)$$

Hence, by using the Hölder inequality, for any  $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) + \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle| \\
& \leq \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |A(u_n) - A(v_n) - A(u)|^{p'} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} [\varphi]_{s,p} \\
& + \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |A(u_n) - A(v_n) - A(u)|^{q'} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} [\varphi]_{s,q} \\
& + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^{p-2} v_n - |u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u|^{p-2} u |^{p'} dx \right)^{p'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^{q-2} v_n - |u_n|^{q-2} u_n + |u|^{q-2} u |^{q'} dx \right)^{q'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |\varphi|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(f(v_n) - f(u_n) + f(u))\varphi| dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and in view of (iv) of Lemma 2.5, (5.20), (5.21),  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$  we obtain the assertion.

Now, we note that by using (f<sub>4</sub>) we can see that

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{q} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle \geq 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Assume  $V_\infty < \infty$ . It follows from (5.19) and (5.22) that

$$d \leq c < d_{V_\infty}$$

which together Lemma 5.2 gives  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , that is  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ .

Let us consider the case  $V_\infty = \infty$ . Then, we can use Lemma 2.4 to deduce that  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $m \in [p, q^*)$ . This, combined with assumptions (f<sub>2</sub>) and (f<sub>3</sub>), implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) v_n dx = o_n(1). \quad (5.23)$$

Since  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = o_n(1)$ , and applying (5.23) we can infer that

$$\|v_n\|_\varepsilon^p = o_n(1),$$

which yields  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . □

We conclude this section by giving the proof of the existence of a ground state solution to (P<sub>ε</sub>) (that is a nontrivial critical point  $u$  of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon$ ) whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that (V) and (f<sub>1</sub>)-(f<sub>5</sub>) hold. Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , problem (P<sub>ε</sub>) admits a ground state solution.*

*Proof.* By (v) of Lemma 3.5, we know that  $c_\varepsilon \geq \rho > 0$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover, if  $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  satisfies  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$ , then  $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u_\varepsilon)$  is a minimizer of  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  and it is a critical point of  $\Psi_\varepsilon$ . By virtue of Lemma 3.6, we can see that  $u_\varepsilon$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ . It remains to show that there exists a minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$ . By Ekeland's variational principle [25], there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\Psi_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$  and  $\Psi'_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $u_n = m_\varepsilon(v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ . Then, by Lemma 3.6, we deduce that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$ ,  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $\{u_n\}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  at level  $c_\varepsilon$ . It is easy to check that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$  and we denote by  $u$  its weak limit. It is also easy to verify that  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$ .

When  $V_\infty = \infty$ , by using Lemma 2.4, we have  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$ .

Now, we deal with the case  $V_\infty < \infty$ . In view of Proposition 5.1 it is enough to show that  $c_\varepsilon < d_{V_\infty}$

for small  $\varepsilon$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that

$$V(0) = V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x).$$

Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\mu \in (V_0, V_\infty)$ . Clearly,  $d_{V_0} < d_\mu < d_{V_\infty}$ . Let us prove that there exists a function  $w \in \mathbb{Y}_\mu$  with compact support such that

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(w) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\mu(tw) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_\mu(w) < d_{V_\infty}. \quad (5.24)$$

Let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, 1])$  be such that  $\psi = 1$  in  $B_1(0)$  and  $\psi = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$ . For any  $R > 0$ , we set  $\psi_R(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{R})$ . We consider the function  $w_R(x) = \psi_R(x)w^\mu(x)$ , where  $w^\mu$  is a ground state solution to  $(AP_\mu)$ . By the dominated convergence theorem we can see that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|w_R - w^\mu\|_{1,p} + \|w_R - w^\mu\|_{1,q} = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

Let  $t_R > 0$  be such that  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(t_R w_R) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\mu(t w_R)$ . Then,  $t_R w_R \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ . Now there exists  $\bar{r} > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(t_{\bar{r}} w_{\bar{r}}) < d_{V_\infty}$ . Indeed, if  $\mathcal{J}_\mu(t_R w_R) \geq d_{V_\infty}$  for any  $R > 0$ , using  $t_R w_R \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ , (5.25) and  $w^\mu$  is a ground state, we can deduce that  $t_R \rightarrow 1$  and

$$d_{V_\infty} \leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\mu(t_R w_R) = \mathcal{J}_\mu(w^\mu) = d_\mu < d_{V_\infty},$$

which gives a contradiction. Then, taking  $w = \psi_{\bar{r}} w^\mu$ , we can conclude that (5.24) holds.

Now, by (V), we obtain that for some  $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$

$$V(\varepsilon x) \leq \mu \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{supp } w \text{ and } \varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}). \quad (5.26)$$

Then, in the light of (5.24) and (5.26), we have for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$

$$\max_{t > 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(tw) \leq \max_{t > 0} \mathcal{J}_\mu(tw) = \mathcal{J}_\mu(w) < d_{V_\infty}.$$

It follows from (3.5) that  $c_\varepsilon < d_{V_\infty}$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ .  $\square$

## 6. MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR $(P_\varepsilon)$

This section is devoted to the study of the multiplicity of solutions to  $(P_\varepsilon)$ . We begin by proving the following result which will be needed to implement the barycenter machinery.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$  be such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$ . Then there exists  $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that the translated sequence*

$$v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$$

*has a subsequence which converges in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ . Moreover, up to a subsequence,  $\{y_n\} = \{\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n\}$  is such that  $y_n \rightarrow y \in M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$ , we know that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . Since  $d_{V_0} > 0$ , we can infer that  $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} \not\rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we can find a sequence  $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and constants  $R, \beta > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_n)} |u_n|^q dx \geq \beta. \quad (6.1)$$

Let us define

$$v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n).$$

In view of the boundedness of  $\{u_n\}$  and (6.1), we may assume that  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$  for some  $v \neq 0$ . Let  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be such that  $w_n = t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$ , and we set  $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$ .

Thus, by using the change of variables  $z \mapsto x + \tilde{y}_n$ ,  $V(x) \geq V_0$  and the invariance by translation, we can see that

$$d_{V_0} \leq \mathcal{J}_{V_0}(w_n) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n v_n) \leq \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = d_{V_0} + o_n(1).$$

Hence we can infer  $\mathcal{J}_{V_0}(w_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$ . This fact and  $\{w_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$  imply that there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $\|w_n\|_{V_0} \leq K$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we can prove that the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In fact,  $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ , so there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\|v_n\|_{V_0} \geq \alpha$ . Consequently, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$|t_n|\alpha \leq \|t_n v_n\|_{V_0} = \|w_n\|_{V_0} \leq K,$$

which yields  $|t_n| \leq \frac{K}{\alpha}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may suppose that  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$ . Let us show that  $t_0 > 0$ . Otherwise, if  $t_0 = 0$ , by the boundedness of  $\{v_n\}$ , we get  $w_n = t_n v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ , that is  $\mathcal{J}_{V_0}(w_n) \rightarrow 0$  which is in contrast with the fact  $d_{V_0} > 0$ . Thus  $t_0 > 0$  and, up to a subsequence, we may assume that  $w_n \rightarrow w = t_0 v \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ .

Therefore

$$\mathcal{J}_{V_0}(w_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n \rightarrow w \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Y}_{V_0}.$$

From Lemma 4.4, we can deduce that  $w_n \rightarrow w$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ , that is  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ .

Now, we show that  $\{y_n\}$  has a subsequence satisfying  $y_n \rightarrow y \in M$ . First, we prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Assume by contradiction that  $\{y_n\}$  is not bounded, that is there exists a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{y_n\}$ , such that  $|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$ .

First, we deal with the case  $V_\infty = \infty$ . By using  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$  and by changing the variable, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^q) dx \\ & \leq |\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla v_n|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^q) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) v_n dx. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Fatou's lemma and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ , we deduce that

$$\infty = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)(|v_n|^p + |v_n|^q) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) v_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v) v dx < \infty,$$

which gives a contradiction.

Let us consider the case  $V_\infty < \infty$ . Taking into account that  $w_n \rightarrow w$  strongly converges in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$ , condition (V) and using the change of variable  $z = x + \tilde{y}_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{V_0} &= \mathcal{J}_{V_0}(w) < \mathcal{J}_{V_\infty}(w) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla w_n|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} |\nabla w_n|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) \left( \frac{1}{p} |w_n|^p + \frac{1}{q} |w_n|^q \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w_n) dx \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{t_n^p}{p} |\nabla u_n|_p^p + \frac{t_n^q}{q} |\nabla u_n|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) \left( \frac{t_n^p}{p} |u_n|^p + \frac{t_n^q}{q} |u_n|^q \right) dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_n u_n) dz \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = d_{V_0} \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded and, up to a subsequence, we may assume that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . If  $y \notin M$ , then  $V_0 < V(y)$  and we can argue as in (6.2) to get a contradiction. Therefore, we can conclude that  $y \in M$ .  $\square$

Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed and let  $\psi \in C^\infty([0, \infty), [0, 1])$  be a nonincreasing function such that  $\psi = 1$  in  $[0, \frac{\delta}{2}]$ ,  $\psi = 0$  in  $[\delta, \infty)$  and  $|\psi'| \leq C$  for some  $C > 0$ . For any  $y \in M$ , we define

$$\Upsilon_{\varepsilon, y}(x) = \psi(|\varepsilon x - y|) \omega\left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $\omega \in \mathbb{X}_{V_0}$  is a ground state solution to  $(AP_{V_0})$  which exists by virtue of Lemma 4.4.

Let  $t_\varepsilon > 0$  be the unique positive number such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon \Upsilon_{\varepsilon, y}) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(t \Upsilon_{\varepsilon, y}).$$

Define the map  $\Phi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  by setting  $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) := t_\varepsilon \Upsilon_{\varepsilon, y}$ . Then we can prove that

**Lemma 6.1.** *The functional  $\Phi_\varepsilon$  satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = d_{V_0} \text{ uniformly in } y \in M. \quad (6.3)$$

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that there exist  $\delta_0 > 0$ ,  $\{y_n\} \subset M$  and  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$|\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - d_{V_0}| \geq \delta_0. \quad (6.4)$$

Let us observe that the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$|\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_p^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^p dx \rightarrow |\nabla \omega|_p^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |\omega|^p dx \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$|\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^q dx \rightarrow |\nabla \omega|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |\omega|^q dx. \quad (6.6)$$

Since  $\langle \mathcal{I}'_{\varepsilon_n}(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}), t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n} \rangle = 0$ , we can use the change of variable  $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$  to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{\varepsilon_n}^p |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_p^p + t_{\varepsilon_n}^q |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) (|t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^p + |t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^q) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n}) t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z)) t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Now, we prove that  $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 1$ . First we show that  $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 < \infty$ . Assume by contradiction that  $|t_{\varepsilon_n}| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, using the fact that  $\psi(|x|) = 1$  for  $x \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)$  and that  $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}}(0)$  for  $n$  sufficiently large, we can see that (6.7) and (f<sub>5</sub>) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-q} |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_p^p + |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) (t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-q} |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^p + |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^q) dx \\ & \geq \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \omega(z))}{(t_{\varepsilon_n} \omega(z))^{q-1}} (\omega(z))^q dz \geq \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \omega(\bar{z}))}{(t_{\varepsilon_n} \omega(\bar{z}))^{q-1}} \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} (\omega(z))^q dz \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where  $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is such that  $\omega(\bar{z}) = \min\{\omega(z) : |z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\} > 0$  (note that  $\omega \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\omega > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ). Putting together (f<sub>4</sub>),  $p < q$ ,  $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \infty$ , (6.5) and (6.6), we can see that (6.8) implies that  $\|\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{V, q}^q \rightarrow \infty$ , which gives a contradiction. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that  $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$ . If  $t_0 = 0$ , we can use (6.5), (6.6), (6.7),  $p < q$  and (f<sub>2</sub>), to get

$$\|\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{V, p}^p \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $t_0 > 0$ . Now, we show that  $t_0 = 1$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (6.7), we can see that

$$t_0^{p-q} |\nabla \omega|_p^p + |\nabla \omega|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0(t_0^{p-q} \omega^p dx + \omega^q) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_0 \omega)}{(t_0 \omega)^{q-1}} \omega^q dx. \quad (6.9)$$

Since  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$  we have

$$|\nabla \omega|_p^p + |\nabla \omega|_q^q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0(\omega^p dx + \omega^q) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\omega) \omega dx. \quad (6.10)$$

Putting together (6.11) and (6.10), we find

$$(t_0^{p-q} - 1) |\nabla \omega|_p^p + (t_0^{p-q} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 \omega^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(t_0 \omega)}{(t_0 \omega)^{q-1}} - \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega^{q-1}} \right) \omega^q dx. \quad (6.11)$$

By (f<sub>5</sub>), we can deduce that  $t_0 = 1$ . This fact and the dominated convergence theorem yield

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(\omega) dx. \quad (6.12)$$

Hence, taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) &= \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p}{p} |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_p^p + \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^q}{q} |\nabla \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|_q^q \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) \left( \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p}{p} |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^p + \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^q}{q} |\Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}|^q \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Upsilon_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) dx \end{aligned}$$

and exploiting (6.5), (6.6) and (6.12), we can deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = \mathcal{J}_{V_0}(\omega) = d_{V_0}$$

which is impossible in view of (6.4).  $\square$

Now, we are in the position to introduce the barycenter map. We take  $\rho > 0$  such that  $M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$ , and we set  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as follows

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| < \rho, \\ \frac{\rho x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| \geq \rho. \end{cases}$$

We define the barycenter map  $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\varepsilon x) (|u|^p + |u|^q) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^p + |u|^q) dx}.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *The functional  $\Phi_\varepsilon$  verifies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y \text{ uniformly in } y \in M. \quad (6.13)$$

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that there exist  $\delta_0 > 0$ ,  $\{y_n\} \subset M$  and  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \delta_0. \quad (6.14)$$

Using the definitions of  $\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)$ ,  $\beta_{\varepsilon_n}$ ,  $\psi$  and the change of variable  $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$ , we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\chi(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n] (|\psi(|\varepsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^p + |\psi(|\varepsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^q) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\psi(|\varepsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^p + |\psi(|\varepsilon_n z|)\omega(z)|^q) dz}.$$



Taking into account  $\{y_n\} \subset M \subset B_\rho(0)$  and applying the dominated convergence theorem, we can infer that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = o_n(1)$$

which contradicts (6.14).  $\square$

At this point, we introduce a subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$  of  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  by taking a function  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and setting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(u) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\},$$

where  $h(\varepsilon) = \sup_{y \in M} |\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) - d_{V_0}|$ . By Lemma 6.1, we know that  $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By definition of  $h(\varepsilon)$ , we can deduce that for all  $y \in M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.3.** *For any  $\delta > 0$ , the following holds*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(\beta_\varepsilon(u), M_\delta) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\{u_n\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}} \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u) - y| = \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y| + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that there exists  $\{y_n\} \subset M_\delta$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y_n| = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

Thus, recalling that  $\{u_n\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ , we can deduce that

$$d_{V_0} \leq c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n)$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$ . By Proposition 6.1, there exists  $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \in M_\delta$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Thus

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\chi(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n] (|u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^p + |u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^q) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^p + |u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^q) dz}.$$

Since  $u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$  strongly converges in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$  and  $\varepsilon_n z + y_n \rightarrow y \in M$ , we can deduce that  $\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + o_n(1)$ , that is (6.15) holds.  $\square$

Now we show that  $(P_\varepsilon)$  admits at least  $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$  solutions. In order to achieve our aim, we recall the following result for critical points involving Lyusternik-Shnirel'man category. For more details one can see [10].

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $U$  be a  $C^{1,1}$  complete Riemannian manifold (modelled on a Hilbert space). Assume that  $h \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$  is bounded from below and satisfies  $-\infty < \inf_U h < d < k < \infty$ . Moreover, suppose that  $h$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on the sublevel  $\{u \in U : h(u) \leq k\}$  and that  $d$  is not a critical level for  $h$ . Then*

$$\text{card}\{u \in h^d : \nabla h(u) = 0\} \geq \text{cat}_{h^d}(h^d),$$

where  $h^d = \{u \in U : h(u) \leq d\}$ .

With a view to apply Theorem 6.1, the following abstract lemma provides a very useful tool since relates the topology of some sublevel of a functional to the topology of some subset of the space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ; see [10].

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\Omega, \Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be closed sets with  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$  and let  $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_2$ ,  $\psi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega$  be continuous maps such that  $\pi \circ \psi$  is homotopically equivalent to the embedding  $j : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ . Then  $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega) \geq \text{cat}_{\Omega_2}(\Omega_1)$ .*

Since  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  is not a  $C^1$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ , we cannot directly apply Theorem 6.1. Fortunately, by Lemma 3.5, we know that the mapping  $m_\varepsilon$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  is a  $C^1$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{X}_\varepsilon$ . So we can apply Theorem 6.1 to  $\Psi_\varepsilon(u) = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u))|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(m_\varepsilon(u))$ , where  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  is given in Lemma 3.6. In the light of the above observations, we are ready to give the proof of the main result of this work.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $\alpha_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$  by setting  $\alpha_\varepsilon(y) = m_\varepsilon^{-1}(\Phi_\varepsilon(y))$ . By using Lemma 6.1 and the definition of  $\Psi_\varepsilon$ , we can see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(y)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = d_{V_0} \quad \text{uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Set  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon = \{w \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon : \Psi_\varepsilon(w) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\}$ , where  $h(\varepsilon) = \sup_{y \in M} |\Psi_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(y)) - d_{V_0}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha_\varepsilon(y) \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon$  for all  $y \in M$ , and this yields  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Taking into account Lemma 6.1, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, and Lemma 6.3, we can find  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$  such that the following diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{\Phi_\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon^{-1}} \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\beta_\varepsilon} M_\delta$$

is well defined for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ . By using Lemma 6.2, there exists a function  $\theta(\varepsilon, y)$  with  $|\theta(\varepsilon, y)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$  uniformly in  $y \in M$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ , such that  $\beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y + \theta(\varepsilon, y)$  for all  $y \in M$ . We can see that  $H(t, y) = y + (1-t)\theta(\varepsilon, y)$ , with  $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times M$ , is a homotopy between  $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon = (\beta_\varepsilon \circ m_\varepsilon) \circ \alpha_\varepsilon$  and the inclusion map  $id : M \rightarrow M_\delta$ . This fact and Lemma 6.4 imply that  $cat_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon) \geq cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ . On the other hand, let us choose a function  $h(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and such that  $d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)$  is not a critical level for  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, we deduce from Proposition 5.1 that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ . So, by (ii) of Lemma 3.6, we infer that  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon$ . Hence, by using Theorem 6.1, we obtain that  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  has at least  $cat_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon)$  critical points on  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon$ . Then, in view of (iii) of Lemma 3.6, we can infer that  $\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon$  admits at least  $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$  critical points.  $\square$

## 7. CONCENTRATION OF SOLUTIONS TO $(P_\varepsilon)$

Let us start with the following result which plays a fundamental role in the study of the behavior of maximum points of solutions to  $(P_\varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $v_n$  be a weak solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p v_n - \Delta_q v_n + V_n(x)(|v_n|^{p-2}v_n + |v_n|^{q-2}v_n) = f(v_n) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ v_n \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N), v_n > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (P_{V_n})$$

where  $V_n(x) \geq V_0$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for some  $v \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $v_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|v_n|_\infty \leq C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* We follow some ideas in [3, 13] by developing a suitable Moser iteration argument [19]. For any  $R > 0$ ,  $0 < r \leq \frac{R}{2}$ , let  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta = 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$ ,  $\eta = 0$  in  $\overline{B_{R-r}(0)}$  and  $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/r$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $L > 0$ , let

$$z_{L,n} = \eta^q v_n v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{L,n} = \eta v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1},$$

where  $v_{L,n} = \min\{v_n, L\}$  and  $\beta > 1$  to be determined later. Choosing  $z_{L,n}$  as a test function in  $(P_{V_n})$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla z_{L,n} + |\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla z_{L,n} + V_n(v_n^{p-1} + v_n^{q-1}) z_{L,n} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) z_{L,n} dx.$$

By assumptions  $(f_1)$  and  $(f_2)$ , for any  $\xi > 0$  there exists  $C_\xi > 0$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \xi |t|^{p-1} + C_\xi |t|^{q^*-1} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, using  $(V_1)$  and choosing  $\xi \in (0, V_0)$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} |\nabla v_n|^q dx \leq C_\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{q^*} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx - q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^{q-1} v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \eta dx.$$

For each  $\tau > 0$  we can use Young's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} |\nabla v_n|^q dx &\leq C_\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{q^*} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx + q\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} \eta^q dx \\ &\quad + qC_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^q |\nabla \eta|^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx \end{aligned}$$

and taking  $\tau > 0$  sufficiently small, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} |\nabla v_n|^q dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^{q^*} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx. \quad (7.1)$$

On the other hand, using the Sobolev inequality and the Hölder inequality, we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_{L,n}|^q dx = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla (\eta v_{L,n}^{\beta-1} v_n)|^q dx \\ &\leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} |\nabla v_n|^q dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Combining (7.1) and (7.2), we find

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{q^*} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx \right). \quad (7.3)$$

We claim that  $v_n \in L^{\frac{(q^*)^2}{q}}(|x| \geq R)$  for  $R$  large enough and uniformly in  $n$ . Let  $\beta = \frac{q^*}{q}$ . From (7.3) we have

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{q^*} \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx \right)$$

or equivalently

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^q \eta^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} v_n^{q^*-q} dx \right).$$

Using the Hölder inequality with exponents  $\frac{q^*}{q}$  and  $\frac{q^*}{q^*-q}$ , we obtain

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx \right) + C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n \eta v_{L,n}^{\frac{q^*-q}{q}})^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \left( \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} v_n^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-q}{q^*}}.$$

From the definition of  $w_{L,n}$ , we have

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n \eta v_{L,n}^{\frac{q^*-q}{q}})^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \leq C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \eta|^q v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx \right) + C\beta^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n \eta v_{L,n}^{\frac{q^*-q}{q}})^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \left( \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} v_n^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-q}{q^*}}.$$

Since  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , for  $R > 0$  sufficiently large, we get

$$\int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} v_n^{q^*} dx \leq \epsilon \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence,

$$\left( \int_{|x| \geq R} (v_n \eta v_{L,n}^{\frac{q^*-q}{q}})^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \leq C \beta^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q^*-q} dx \leq C \beta^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^q dx \leq K < \infty.$$

Using Fatou's lemma, as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} \frac{(q^*)^2}{v_n^q} dx < \infty$$

and therefore the assertion holds. Next, choosing  $\beta = q^* \frac{t-1}{qt}$  with  $t = \frac{(q^*)^2}{q(q^*-q)}$ , we have  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\frac{qt}{t-1} < q^*$  and  $v_n \in L^{\frac{\beta qt}{t-1}}(|x| \geq R-r)$ . From (7.3) we find

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C \beta^q \left( \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} v_n^q v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx + \int_{|x| \geq R-r} v_n^{q^*} v_{L,n}^{q(\beta-1)} dx \right)$$

or equivalently

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C \beta^q \left( \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} v_n^{q\beta} dx + \int_{|x| \geq R-r} v_n^{q^*-q} v_n^{q\beta} dx \right).$$

Using the Hölder inequality with exponents  $\frac{t}{t-1}$  and  $t$ , we get

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C \beta^q \left\{ \left[ \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} v_n^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}} dx \right]^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \left[ \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{t}} + \left[ \int_{|x| \geq R-r} v_n^{(q^*-q)t} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{t}} \left[ \int_{|x| \geq R-r} v_n^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}} dx \right]^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \right\}.$$

Since  $(q^* - q)t = (q^*)^2$ , we deduce that

$$|w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \leq C \beta^q \left( \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} v_n^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{L,n}|_{L^{q^*\beta}(|x| \geq R)}^{q\beta} &\leq \left( \int_{|x| \geq R-r} v_{L,n}^{q^*\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^q v_n^{q^*} v_{L,n}^{q^*(\beta-1)} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} = |w_{L,n}|_{q^*}^q \\ &\leq C \beta^q \left( \int_{R \geq |x| \geq R-r} v_n^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &= C \beta^q |v_n|_{L^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}}(|x| \geq R-r)}^{\beta q} \end{aligned}$$

which combined with Fatou's lemma with respect to  $L$  gives

$$|v_n|_{L^{q^*\beta}(|x| \geq R)}^{q\beta} \leq C \beta^q |v_n|_{L^{\frac{q\beta t}{t-1}}(|x| \geq R-r)}^{\beta q}.$$

Taking  $\chi = \frac{q^*(t-1)}{qt}$  and  $s = \frac{qt}{t-1}$ , it follows from the above inequality that

$$|v_n|_{L^{\chi^{m+1}s}(|x| \geq R)}^{q\beta} \leq C^{\sum_{i=1}^m \chi^{-i}} \chi^{\sum_{i=1}^m i \chi^{-i}} |v_n|_{L^{q^*}(|x| \geq R-r)}$$

which implies that  $|v_n|_{L^\infty(|x| \geq R)} \leq C|v_n|_{L^{q^*}(|x| \geq R-r)}$ . Since  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$|v_n|_{L^\infty(|x| \geq R)} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|v_n|_\infty \geq \delta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that  $|v_n|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By  $(f_2)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{f(|v_n|_\infty)}{|v_n|_\infty^{p-1}} < \frac{V_0}{2}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore, in view of  $(f_5)$ , we can see that

$$|\nabla v_n|_p^p + |\nabla v_n|_q^q + V_0(|v_n|_p^p + |v_n|_q^q) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(|v_n|_\infty)}{|v_n|_\infty^{p-1}} |v_n|^p dx \leq \frac{V_0}{2} |v_n|_p^p,$$

which leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  be a solution to  $(P_{\varepsilon_n})$ . Then  $v_n(x) = u_{\varepsilon_n}(x + \tilde{y}_n)$  is a solution to  $(P_{V_n})$  with  $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$ , where  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  is given by Proposition 6.1. Moreover, in view of Proposition 6.1, up to subsequence,  $v_n \rightarrow v \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Y}_{V_0}$  and  $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$ . If  $p_n$  denotes a global maximum point of  $v_n$ , we can use Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 to see that  $p_n \in B_R(0)$  for some  $R > 0$ . Consequently,  $z_{\varepsilon_n} = p_n + \tilde{y}_n$  is a global maximum point of  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$ , and then  $\varepsilon_n z_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n p_n + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y$  because  $\{p_n\}$  is bounded. This fact and the continuity of  $V$  yield  $V(\varepsilon_n z_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow V(y) = V_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, we prove the exponential decay of  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$ . We use some arguments from [13]. Since  $v_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and using  $(f_1)$ , we can find  $R > 0$  such that

$$f(v_n(x)) \leq \frac{V_0}{2} (v_n^{p-1}(x) + v_n^{q-1}(x)) \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq R.$$

Then, by using  $(V_1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v_n - \Delta_q v_n + \frac{V_0}{2} (v_n^{p-1} + v_n^{q-1}) &= f(v_n) - \left( V_n - \frac{V_0}{2} \right) (v_n^{p-1} + v_n^{q-1}) \\ &\leq f(v_n) - \frac{V_0}{2} (v_n^{p-1} + v_n^{q-1}) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Let  $\phi(x) = M e^{-c|x|}$  with  $c, M > 0$  such that  $c^p(p-1) < \frac{V_0}{2}$ ,  $c^q(q-1) < \frac{V_0}{2}$  and  $M e^{-cR} \geq v_n(x)$  for all  $|x| = R$ . We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\Delta_p \phi - \Delta_q \phi + \frac{V_0}{2} (\phi^{p-1} + \phi^{q-1}) \\ &= \phi^{p-1} \left( \frac{V_0}{2} - c^p(p-1) + \frac{N-1}{|x|} c^{p-1} \right) + \phi^{q-1} \left( \frac{V_0}{2} - c^q(q-1) + \frac{N-1}{|x|} c^{q-1} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Using  $\eta = (v_n - \phi)^+ \in W_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R)$  as a test function in (7.4) and (7.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{\{|x| \geq R\} \cap \{v_n > \phi\}} [ (|\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla \eta + (|\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla \phi|^{q-2} \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla \eta ] \\ &\quad + \frac{V_0}{2} [(v_n^{p-1} - \phi^{p-1}) + (v_n^{q-1} - \phi^{q-1})] \eta dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $t > 1$  the following holds (see formula (2.10) in [22])

$$(|x|^{t-2} x - |y|^{t-2} y) \cdot (x - y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and  $U, v_n$  are continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we deduce that  $v_n(x) \leq \phi(x)$  for all  $|x| \geq R$ . Recalling that  $u_{\varepsilon_n}(x) = v_n(x - \tilde{y}_n)$  and  $\{p_n\}$  is bounded, we conclude that  $u_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2|x-z_{\varepsilon_n}|}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper. The first author was partly supported by the GNAMPA Project 2020 entitled: *Studio Di Problemi Frazionari Nonlocali Tramite Tecniche Variazionali*. The second author was partly supported by Slovenian research agency grants P1-0292, N1-0114, N1-0083, N1-0064 and J1-8131.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65, Academic Press, New York-London, 1975.
- [2] C.O. Alves, *Existence of positive solutions for a problem with lack of compactness involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **51** (2002), no. 7, 1187–1206.
- [3] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, *Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **11** (2011), no. 2, 265–294.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [5] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2017), no. 6, 2043–2062.
- [6] V. Ambrosio and T. Isernia, *Multiplicity and concentration results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **38** (2018), no. 11, 5835–5881.
- [7] V. Benci and G. Cerami, *Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1994), 29–48.
- [8] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), no. 3, 486–490.
- [9] L. Cherfils and V. Il'yasov, *On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **1** (2004), 1–14.
- [10] S. Cingolani and M. Lazzo, *Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions*, J. Differential Equations **160** (2000), no. 1, 118–138.
- [11] G.M. Figueiredo, *Existence of positive solutions for a class of  $p$  &  $q$  elliptic problems with critical growth on  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **378** (2011), 507–518.
- [12] G.M. Figueiredo, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of  $p$  &  $q$  elliptic problems with critical exponent*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), 1129–1141.
- [13] C. He and G. Li, *The regularity of weak solutions to nonlinear scalar field elliptic equations containing  $(p, q)$ -Laplacians*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **33** (2008), no. 2, 337–371.
- [14] C. He and G. Li, *The existence of a nontrivial solution to the  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem with nonlinearity asymptotic to  $u^{p-1}$  at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), no. 5, 1100–1119.
- [15] G. Li and Z. Guo, *Multiple solutions for the  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian problem with critical exponent*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **29** (4) (2009), 903–918.
- [16] G.B. Li and X. Liang, *The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic equation of  $p$ - $q$ -Laplacian type on  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009), 2316–2334.
- [17] P.-L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), no. 4, 223–283.
- [18] C. Mercuri and M. Willem, *A global compactness result for the  $p$ -Laplacian involving critical nonlinearities*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **28** (2010), 469–493.
- [19] J. Moser, *A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 457–468.
- [20] N. Papageorgiou, V. D. Rădulescu and D. Repovš, *Nonlinear nonhomogeneous singular problems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **59** (2020), no. 1, Art. 9, 31 pp.
- [21] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), 270–291.
- [22] J. Simon, *Régularité de la solution d'un problème aux limites non linéaires*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **3** (1981), 247–274.

- [23] A. Szulkin and T. Weth, *The method of Nehari manifold*, Handbook of Nonconvex Analysis and Applications, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010, pp. 597–632.
- [24] N. S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **20** (1967), 721–747.
- [25] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol. 24, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

VINCENZO AMBROSIO  
DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E SCIENZE MATEMATICHE  
UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE  
VIA BRECCE BIANCHE, 12  
60131 ANCONA (ITALY)  
*Email address:* `v.ambrosio@univpm.it`

DUŠAN REPOVŠ  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION, AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
& INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS  
SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA  
*Email address:* `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`