Domain-Adaptive Pretraining Methods for Dialogue Understanding

Han Wu¹, Kun Xu², Linfeng Song², Lifeng Jin², Haisong Zhang², Linqi Song¹

Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong

²Tencent AI Lab

{hanwu32-c}@my.cityu.edu.hk {kxkunxu,lfsong,lifengjin,hansongzhang}@tencent.com {lingi.song}@cityu.edu.hk

Abstract

Language models like BERT and SpanBERT pretrained on open-domain data have obtained impressive gains on various NLP tasks. In this paper, we probe the effectiveness of domain-adaptive pretraining objectives on downstream tasks. In particular, three objectives, including a novel objective focusing on modeling predicate-argument relations, are evaluated on two challenging dialogue understanding tasks. Experimental results demonstrate that domain-adaptive pretraining with proper objectives can significantly improve the performance of a strong baseline on these tasks, achieving the new state-of-the-art performances.

1 Introduction

advances in pretraining methods (Devlin et al., 2019; Joshi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019) have achieved promising results on various natural language processing (NLP) tasks, including natural language understanding, text generation and question anwsering (Liu et al., 2019; Song et al., 2019; Reddy et al., 2019). In order to acquire general linguistic and semantic knowledge, these pretraining methods are usually performed on open-domain corpus, like Wikipedia and BooksCorpus. In light of the success from open-domain pretraining, a further question is naturally raised: whether downstream tasks can also benefit from domain-adaptive pretraining?

To answer this question, later work (Baevski et al., 2019; Gururangan et al., 2020) has demonstrated that continued pretraining on the unlabeled data in the target domain can further contribute to the corresponding downstream task. However, these studies are dependent on additional data that can be unavailable in certain scenarios, and they only evaluated on easy downstream tasks. For instance, Gururangan et al. (2020) perform continued pretraining with

masked language modeling loss on several relevant domains, and they obtain improvements on eight well-studied classification tasks, which are too simple to exhibit the strength of continued domain-adaptive pretraining. Besides, it is still unclear which pretraining objective is the most effective for each downstream task.

In this work, we give a deeper analysis on how various domain-adaptive pretraining methods can help downstream tasks. Specifically, we continuously pretrain a BERT model (Devlin et al., 2019) with three different kinds of unsupervised pretraining objectives on the domain-specific training set of each target task. Two of them are Masked Language Model (MLM) (Gururangan et al., 2020) and Span Boundary Objective (SBO) (Joshi et al., 2020), both objectives have been explored in previous work.

In addition, a novel pretraining objective, namely Perturbation Masking Objective (PMO), is proposed to better learn the correlation between arguments and predicates. After domain-adaptive pretraining, the adapted BERT is then tested on dialogue understanding tasks to probe the effectiveness of different pretraining objectives.

We evaluate on two challenging tasks that focus on dialogue understanding, i.e. Conversational Semantic Role labeling (CSRL) and Spoken Language Understanding (SLU). CSRL (Xu et al., 2020, 2021) was recently proposed by extending standard semantic role labeling (SRL) (Palmer et al., 2010) with cross-utterance relations, which otherwise require coreference and anaphora resolution for being recognized. We follow previous work to consider this task as sequence labeling. On the other hand, SLU includes intent detection and slot filling. To facilitate domain-adaptive pretraining, we only use the training set of each downstream task. In this way, the usefulness of each pretraining objective can be

more accurately examined, as no additional data is used

Experimental results show that domainadaptive pretraining significantly helps both tasks. Besides, our novel objective achieves better performances than the existing ones, shedding more lights for future work on pretraining.

2 Tasks

Conversational **Semantic** Role Labeling. Xu et al. (2021) first proposed the CSRL task, which extends standard SRL by explicitly annotating other cross-turn predicate-argument structures inside a conversation. Compared with newswire documents, human conversations tend to have more ellipsis and anaphora situations, causing more problems for standard NLU methods. Their motivation is that most dropped or referred components in the latest dialogue turn can actually be found in the dialogue history. As the result, CSRL allows arguments to be in different utterances as the predicate, while SRL can only work on each single utterance. Comparing with standard SRL, CSRL can be more challenging due to the longrange dependencies. Similar to SRL, we view CSRL as a sequence labeling problem, where the goal is to label each token with a semantic role.

Spoken Language Understanding. Proposed by Zhu et al. (2020), the SLU task consists of two key components, i.e., intent detection and slot filling. Given a dialogue utterance, the goal is to predict its intents and to detect pre-defined slots, respectively. We treat them as sentence-level classification and sequence labeling, respectively.

3 Domain-Adaptive Pretraining Objectives

While previous works have shown the benefit of continued pretraining on domain-specific unlabeled data (e.g., Lee et al. (2020); Gururangan et al. (2020)), these methods only adopt the Masked Language Model (MLM) objective to train an adaptive language model on a single domain. It is not clear how the benefit of continued pretraining may vary with factors like the objective function.

In this paper, we use the dialogue understanding task as a testbed to investigate the impact of three pre-training objectives to the overall performance. In particular, we explore the MLM

(Devlin et al., 2019) and Span Boundary Objective (SBO) (Joshi et al., 2020), and introduce a new objective, namely Perturbation Masking Objective (PMO), which is more fit for the dialogue NLU task.

3.1 Masked Language Model Objective

Masked Language Model (MLM) is the task of predicting missing tokens in a sequence from their placeholders. Specifically, given a sequence of tokens $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, a subset of tokens $Y \subseteq X$ is sampled and substituted with a different set of tokens. In BERT's implementation, Y accounts for 15% of the tokens in X; of those, 80% are replaced with [MASK], 10% are replaced with a random token (according to the unigram distribution), and 10% are kept unchanged. Formally, the contextual vector of input tokens X is denoted as $H = (h_1, h_2, ..., h_n)$. The task is to predict the original tokens in Y from the modified input and the objective function is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{MLM} = -\frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{t=1}^{|Y|} \log p(x_t | \boldsymbol{h}_t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where |Y| is the number of masked tokens, and θ represents the model parameters.

3.2 Span Boundary Objective

In many NLP tasks such as the dialogue understanding, it usually involves reasoning about relationships between two or more spans of text. Previous works (Joshi et al., 2020) have shown that SpanBERT is superior to BERT in learning span representations, which significantly improves the performance on those tasks. Conceptually, the differences between these two models are two folds.

Firstly, different with BERT that independently selects the masked token in Y, SpanBERT define Y by randomly selecting *contiguous spans*. In particular, SpanBERT first selects a subset $Y \subseteq X$ by iteratively sampling spans until masking 15% tokens¹. Then, it randomly (uniformly) selects the starting point for the span to be masked.

Secondly, SpanBERT additionally introduces a span boundary objective that involves predicting each token of a masked span using only the representations of the observed tokens at the boundaries. For a masked span of tokens $(x_s,...,x_e) \in Y$, where (s,e) are the start and end positions of the

¹The length of each span is sampled from the geometric distribution $l \sim Geo(p)$, with p = 0.2.

span, it represents each token in the span using the boundary vectors and the position embedding:

$$y_i = f(h_{s-1}, h_{e+1}, p_{i-s+1})$$

where p_i marks relative positions of span token x_i with respect to the left boundary token x_{s-1} , and $f(\cdot)$ is a 2-layer MLP with GeLU activations and layer normalization. SpanBERT sums the loss from both the regular MLM and the span boundary objectives for each token in the masked span:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SBO} = -\frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{t=1}^{|Y|} \log p(x_t | \boldsymbol{y}_t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

3.3 Perturbation Masking Objective

In dialogue understanding tasks like CSRL, the major goal is to capture the semantic information such as the correlation between arguments and predicate. However, for the sake of generalization, existing pretraining models do not consider the semantic information of a word and also not assess the impact of predicate has on the prediction of arguments in their objectives. To address this, we propose to use the perturbation masking technique (Wu et al., 2020) to explicitly measure the correlation between arguments and predicate and further introduce that into our objective.

The perturbation masking is originally proposed to assess the impact one word has on the prediction of another in MLM. In particular, given a list of tokens X, we first use a pretrained language model M to map each x_i into a contextualized representation $H(X)_i$. Then, we use a two-stage approach to capture the impact word x_i has on the prediction of another word x_i . First, we replace x_i with the [MASK] token and feed the new sequence $X \setminus \{x_i\}$ into M. We use $H(X \setminus \{x_i\})_i$ to denote the representation of x_i . To calculate the impact $x_i \in x \setminus \{x_i\}$ has on $H(X)_i$, we further mask out x_i to obtain the second corrupted sequence $X \setminus \{x_i, x_i\}$. Similarly, $H(X \setminus \{x_i, x_i\})_i$ denotes the new representation of token x_i . We define the impact function as: $f(x_i, x_i) =$ $d(H(X\setminus\{x_i\})_i, H(X\setminus\{x_i,x_i\})_i)$, where d is the distance metric that captures the difference between two vectors. In experiments, we use the Euclidean distance as the distance metric.

Since our goal is to better learn the correlation between arguments and predicate, we introduce a perturbation masking objective that maximizes the impact of predicate on the prediction of argument span:

$$\mathcal{L}_{PMO} = -\frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{t=1}^{|Y|} -f(x_t, \{x_{p_0}, ..., x_{p_{m-1}}\})_i$$

where $p_0,...$ p_{m-1} are m predicates that occur in the sentence. In practice, we first follow the Span-BERT to sample a subset of contiguous span texts and perform masking (i.e., span masking) on them. Then, we select verbs from X as predicates and perform perturbation masking on those predicates.

4 Experiments

We evaluate pretraining objectives on three datasets, DuConv, NewsDialog² and CrossWOZ. The former two datasets are annotated by Xu et al. (2021) for the CSRL task and the last one is provided by Zhu et al. (2020) for the SLU task.

Duconv is a Chinese knowledge-driven dialogue dataset, focusing on the domain of movies and stars. NewsDialog is a dataset collected in a way that follows the setting for constructing general open-domain dialogues: two participants engage in chitchat, and during the conversation, the topic is allowed to change naturally. Xu et al. (2021) annotates 3K dialogue sessions of DuConv to train their CSRL parser, and directly test on 200 annotated dialogue sessions of News-Dialog. CrossWOZ is a Chinese Wizard-of-Oz task-oriented dataset, including 6K dialogue sessions and 102K utterances on five domains.

Since the state-of-the-art models on these tasks are all developed based on BERT, we use the same model architectures but just replace the BERT base with our domain-adaptive pretrained BERT. Notice that, we also experiment with other pretrained language models such as RoBERTa and XLNet. We observed similar results but here we only report the results based on BERT due to the space limitation.

In particular, we perform the domain-adaptive pretraining on CSRL task using all dialogue sessions of training set in DuConv (Wu et al., 2019) and NewsDialog (Wang et al., 2021), which includes 26K and 20K sessions, respectively; on the SLU task, we use the whole CrossWOZ training dataset.

The hyper-parameters used in our model are listed as follows. The network parameters of our

²We obtain the CSRL annotations on DuConv and News-Dialog directly from the author of Xu et al. (2021).

Pretraining Strategy	DuConv			NewsDialog			CrossWOZ		
	$F1_{all}$	$F1_{cross}$	$F1_{intra}$	$F1_{all}$	$F1_{cross}$	$F1_{intra}$	$F1_{intent}$	$F1_{slot}$	$F1_{all}$
No Pretraining	88.16	83.74	88.71	76.81	53.61	79.97	95.67	95.13	95.34
MLM	88.56	84.37	88.97	76.93	53.43	80.15	95.85	95.47	95.62
MLM + SBO	88.73	84.49	89.23	78.10	56.21	80.85	96.17	95.54	95.78
MLM + PMO	89.10	85.26	89.52	79.68	56.19	81.79	96.40	95.79	96.17
MLM + SBO + PMO	89.21	85.98	89.79	80.01	56.20	82.78	96.48	96.03	96.21
w/ NP Sampling ($\alpha = 50$)	89.34	86.12	89.99	81.32	56.67	83.14	96.81	96.52	96.70
w/ NP Sampling ($\alpha=80$)	89.97	86.68	90.31	81.90	56.56	84.56	96.97	96.87	96.93

Table 1: Evaluation on the DuConv, NewsDialog and CrossWOZ. α is the ratio of sampling from noun phrases.

model are initialized using the pretrained language model. The batch size is set to 128. We use Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with learning rate 5e-5 to update parameters.

Results and Discussion. On the CSRL task, we follow Xu et al. (2021) to use the micro-averaged F1 over the (*predicate*, *argument*, *label*) tuples. Specifically, we calculate F1 over all arguments (referred as $F1_{all}$) and those in the same and different dialogue turns as predicates (referred as $F1_{intra}$ and $F1_{cross}$). On the SLU task, we report results on $F1_{intent}$, $F1_{slot}$ and $F1_{all}$. Table 1 summarizes the results. The first row shows the performance of existing state-of-the-art models without domain-adaptive pretraining on each dataset. We can see that on two tasks, existing models could benefit from the domain-adaptive pretraining, achieving new state-of-the-art performance on these datasets.

Let us first look at the CSRL task. Pretraining with MLM objective could slightly improve the performance by 0.4 and 0.12 in terms of F1_{all} on DuConv and NewsDialog, respectively. By additionally considering the span boundary objective, the overall performance especially F1_{cross} could be further improved by at least 0.75 and 2.6, respectively. These results are expected since arguments in the CSRL task are usually spans and SBO is better than MLM in learning the span representation. We can also see that our proposed perturbation masking objective boosts the performance by a larger margin than SBO, indicating that learning correlations between arguments and predicates is more crucial to the NLU task. By summing three objectives, the CSRL model could achieve the best performance, significantly improving the baseline that without domain-adaptive pretraining by 1.05 and $3.2 \, \text{F1}_{all}$ score, respectively.

From Table 1, we can see that similar findings

are also observed on the SLU task. First of all, domain-adaptive pretraining on CrossWOZ could also improve the performance. Secondly, adding either SBO or PMO, the F1 scores on intent and slot could be further improved. Thirdly, the best performance is achieved when all three objectives are considered. However, we do not observe similar substantial gains on the SLU task as on the CSRL task. We think this is because the state-of-the-art performance on CrossWOZ is relatively high, but it is still impressive to achieve absolute 0.81, 0.90 and 0.87 points improvement in terms of F1_{intent}, F1_{slot} and F1_{all}.

We also investigate the impact of span masking scheme to the overall performance. Recall that, in the span masking, we randomly sample the span length and a start position of the span. Joshi et al. (2020) showed that no significant performance gains are observed by using more linguisticallyinformed span masking strategies such as masking Named Entities or Noun Phrases. Specifically, they use the spaCy's³ named entity recognizer and constituency parser to extract named entities and noun phrases, respectively. In this paper, we revisit these span masking scheme. Since there is no available constituency parser designed for the dialogue, we use an unsupervised grammar induction method (Jin and Schuler, 2020) to extract grammars from the training data. Noun phrases from Viterbi parse trees from different grammars are tallied without labels, resulting in a posterior distributions of the spans, which are used in our span sampling. As shown in Table 1, we find the best choice is to combine random sampling and noun phrases sampling, i.e., sampling from the noun phrases at $\alpha\%$ of the time and from a geometric distribution for the other $(1 - \alpha\%)$. The performance on all three datasets coherently increases when more noun phrases are used in the span sampling.

³https://spacy.io/

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we probe the effectiveness of domain-adaptive pretraining on dialogue understanding tasks. Specifically, we study three domain-adaptive pretraining objectives, including a novel objective: *perturbation masking objective* on three NLU datasets. Experimental results show that domain-adaptive pretraining with proper objectives is a simple yet effective way to boost the dialogue understanding performance.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable and constructive comments. This work was supported in part by the City University of Hong Kong Teaching Development Grants 6000755.

References

- Alexei Baevski, Sergey Edunov, Yinhan Liu, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Michael Auli. 2019. Cloze-driven pretraining of self-attention networks. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 5360–5369, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A. Smith. 2020. Don't stop pretraining: Adapt language models to domains and tasks. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8342–8360, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lifeng Jin and William Schuler. 2020. Grounded pcfg induction with images. In *AACL-IJCNLP*.
- Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Yinhan Liu, Daniel S Weld, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Omer Levy. 2020. Spanbert: Improving pre-training by representing and predicting spans. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:64–77.

- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2015. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jaewoo Kang. 2020. Biobert: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240.
- Wei Liu, Lei Li, Zuying Huang, and Yinan Liu. 2019. Multi-lingual wikipedia summarization and title generation on low resource corpus. In *Proceedings of the Workshop MultiLing 2019: Summarization Across Languages, Genres and Sources*, pages 17–25.
- Martha Palmer, Daniel Gildea, and Nianwen Xue. 2010. Semantic role labeling. *Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies*, 3(1):1–103.
- Siva Reddy, Danqi Chen, and Christopher D Manning. 2019. Coqa: A conversational question answering challenge. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:249–266.
- Kaitao Song, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Jianfeng Lu, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2019. Mass: Masked sequence to sequence pre-training for language generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 5926–5936.
- Xiaoyang Wang, Chen Li, Jianqiao Zhao, and Dong Yu. 2021. Naturalconv: A chinese dialogue dataset towards multi-turn topic-driven conversation. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2103.02548.
- Wenquan Wu, Zhen Guo, Xiangyang Zhou, Hua Wu, Xiyuan Zhang, Rongzhong Lian, and Haifeng Wang. 2019. Proactive human-machine conversation with explicit conversation goal. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3794–3804, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhiyong Wu, Yun Chen, Ben Kao, and Qun Liu. 2020. Perturbed masking: Parameter-free probing for analyzing and interpreting BERT. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4166–4176, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kun Xu, Haochen Tan, Linfeng Song, Han Wu, Haisong Zhang, Linqi Song, and Dong Yu. 2020. Semantic role labeling guided multi-turn dialogue rewriter. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 6632–6639.
- Kun Xu, Han Wu, Linfeng Song, Haisong Zhang, Linqi Song, and Dong Yu. 2021. Conversational semantic role labeling. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*.

- Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Russ R Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V Le. 2019. Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 5753–5763.
- Qi Zhu, Kaili Huang, Zheng Zhang, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Minlie Huang. 2020. Crosswoz: A large-scale chinese cross-domain task-oriented dialogue dataset. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:281–295.