Cerenkov radiation in vacuum from a superluminal grating

Daigo Oue,¹ Kun Ding,^{1,2} and J. B. Pendry¹

¹The Blackett Laboratory, Department of Physics, Imperial College London,

Prince Consort Road, Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

²Department of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics,

and Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Photonic Structures

(Ministry of Education), Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

(Dated: February 19, 2022)

Nothing can physically travel faster than light in vacuum. This is why it has been considered that there is no Čerenkov radiation (ČR) without an effective refractive index due to some background field. In this Letter, we theoretically predict ČR in vacuum from a spatiotemporally modulated boundary. We consider the modulation of traveling wave type and apply a uniform electrostatic field on the boundary to generate electric dipoles. Since the induced dipoles stick to the interface, they travel at the modulation speed. When the grating travels faster than light, it emits ČR. In order to quantitatively examine this argument, we need to calculate the field scattered at the boundary. We utilise a dynamical differential method, which we developed in the previous paper, to quantitatively evaluate the field distribution in such a situation. We can confirm that all scattered fields are evanescent if the modulation speed is slower than light while some become propagating if the modulation is faster than light.

Introduction.—Čerenkov radiation (ČR) is radiation from a charged particle moving faster than light in a medium, which was originally observed by Čerenkov in 1934 [1] and then theoretically studied by Tamm and Frank [2]. It has been observed in various systems, including metamaterials and photonic crystals where the speed of light is effectively suppressed and hence the threshold for ČR [3–6]. There is also ČR into surface modes such as surface plasmon polaritons and Dyankov waves [7–9]

Even uncharged moving particles can emit CR if electrically or magnetically polarised, which is closely related to the friction induced by electromagnetic fields [10–12]. Many attempts to generate CR in linear optics were focused on slowing down the speed of light by engineering the medium's dispersion relation as in the case of photonic crystals and metamaterials. On the other hand, it has been reported that CR is emitted not only from physically moving dipoles but also from ones induced, for example, by moving light foci [13–15] and solitons in non-linear optical fibres [16–18] or micro resonators [19–21].

ČR is composed of coherent multi-frequency components propagating in the same direction. It is for this property that ČR has not only attracted scientific interest but also been applied to other research fields. ČR emitted by cosmic rays has been captured in large facilities such as super-Kamiokande and played vital roles in astrophysics and high energy physics [22]. As mentioned above, ČR can be generated in nonlinear media. It is utilised as an optical frequency comb, as a tuneable and broadband source and is expected to be utilised in spectroscopy or metrology [19, 23, 24].

There are many reports of CR in media as reviewed above; however, there is a limited number of studies on CR in vacuum. This is because nothing can physically move faster than light in vacuum. Previous studies show that introducing some background such as external electromagnetic or Chern-Simons fields induces effective refractive index to lower the ČR threshold in vacuum below the light barrier [25–28].

In this Letter, we propose a mechanism for CR in vacuum without introducing any effective index. We consider a single interface system composed of vacuum and a dielectric (FIG. 1). By spatiotemporally modulating its interface, we can generate an interface profile of a travelling wave type,

$$a_{\mathbf{x}} = A\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}) = A\sin\left[g\left(x-\frac{\Omega}{g}t\right)\right],$$
 (1)

where we have defined a three-component vector $\mathbf{x} \equiv \{x, y, ict\}$ and a reciprocal vector $\mathbf{q} \equiv \{g, 0, i\Omega/c\}$ for the sake of convenience, and $v_{\rm ph} \equiv \Omega/g$ is the sliding speed of the profile. Note that Ω and g are independent modulation parameters (temporal and spatial modulation frequencies), and thus the sliding speed $v_{\rm ph}$ is not limited by the speed of light. Since we are focusing on dielectrics, the permeability is assumed to be unity everywhere ($\mu = 1$). The permittivity is given by means of the interface profile,

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{x},z} = \alpha \Theta(a_{\mathbf{x}} - z) + \epsilon^{>}, \qquad (2)$$

where we denote the permittivities of the upper and lower media as ϵ^{\gtrless} , the permittivity difference as $\alpha \equiv \epsilon^{<} - \epsilon^{>}$ and the Heaviside unit step function as $\Theta(z)$.

When an electrostatic field $\vec{\mathcal{E}}^{in} = E_{in}\vec{u}_y$ is applied to this configuration, electric dipoles are induced on the interface. Following the traveling type profile of the interface, the induced dipoles move virtually along the interface. If the profile velocity and hence that of the induced



FIG. 1. Single interface system composed of a dielectric and vacuum. The interface is under the spatiotemporal modulation of traveling wave type (1). The orthonormal tangential vectors, $\vec{t}_{1,2}$ can be calculated from the interface profile. The permittivities above and below the interface are denoted as $\epsilon^{>}$ and $\epsilon^{<}$, respectively. We apply a uniform electrostatic field $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x},z}^{\mathrm{in}}$ on the modulated interface so that there are induced dipoles which travel on the interface due to its profile of the traveling wave type. The velocity of the grating $v_{\mathrm{ph}} = \Omega/g$ can be tuned by two independent parameters so that it can exceed the speed of light. When the speed is faster than light, the induced dipoles emit Čerenkov radiation.

dipoles is faster than light, the induced dipoles may emit ČR.

Our system is periodic in the x direction and in time, and thus the radiation wavenumber in the x direction and the frequency in our system are written $k_{x,m} = k_x + mg$ and $\omega_m = \omega + m\Omega$ in accordance with the Floquet-Bloch theory, where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ is the diffraction order. Note that here we have multi-frequency radiation in contrast with ordinary diffraction. The propagarion direction of the *m*th order diffraction is $\theta_m^{\tau} = \cos^{-1}(ck_{x,m}/\omega_m\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}})$ in each medium. Since we have a uniform electrostatic field ($k_x = 0, \omega = 0$), all the polychromatic waves in the different diffraction orders propagate in the same direction in each medium, $\theta_m^{\tau} \to \cos^{-1}(c/v_{\rm ph}\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}}) \equiv \theta_{\rm CR}^{\tau}$. We quantitatively analyse such a situation below.

Modulation-induced source at the interface.—Here, we briefly review a dynamical differential method, which we developed in the previous work [29], and calculate the diffraction at the interface subject to modulations in space and time. Our previous work is based on the coordinate translation method originally proposed by Chandezon et al. [30, 31], where they consider static corrugated interfaces and match Maxwell's boundary conditions directly at the interfaces with the help of differential geometry. Using this method, it is straightforward to take the structure of the interfaces into consideration, and it has been utilised to calculate structured surfaces of various media, including anisotropic, plasmonic and dielectric materials [32–36] It is also worth noting that there is a series of studies, which confirm that the method works well for smooth shallow corrugations and propose possible ways to improve the method so that they can handle deep corrugation even with sharp edges [37–43]. In these works, local distortion of the coordinate systems is applied instead of the global translation of the coordinate in order to improve the convergence. Since we are interested in the time-dependent corrugation of the traveling wave type, we assume that the corrugation depth is small and safely work on the simple global coordinate translation.

In order to calculate diffraction at the structured interface, we need relevant boundary conditions. By integrating Maxwell-Heaviside equations over two kinds of path, $\Gamma_{1,2}$, which enclose the interface as shown in FIG. 2, we can derive the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{cases} \eta \vec{t}_{1} \cdot \left(\vec{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{x}, a_{\mathbf{x}}+0} - \vec{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{x}, a_{\mathbf{x}}-0} \right) &= \vec{t}_{2} \cdot \vec{j}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{sou}} \\ \vec{t}_{2} \cdot \left(\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x}, a_{\mathbf{x}}+0} - \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x}, a_{\mathbf{x}}-0} \right) &= 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Here, we have a modulation-induced source term,

$$\vec{j}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{sou}} = \frac{\dot{a}_{\mathbf{x}}}{c} \alpha \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x}, a_{\mathbf{x}} - 0}, \qquad (4)$$

which is finite if there is time dependence (i.e. $\dot{a}_{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0$). This source term is responsible for radiation from the interface. Note that we take an unit system such that $Z_0 \equiv \sqrt{\epsilon_0}\mu_0 = 1$ for simplicity. The orthonormal tangential vectors of the interface, $\vec{t}_{1,2}$, can be given by means of the interface profile,

$$\vec{t}_1 = \frac{\vec{u}_x + a'_{\mathbf{x}} \vec{u}_z}{\sqrt{1 + {a'_{\mathbf{x}}}^2}}, \quad \vec{t}_2 = \vec{u}_y, \tag{5}$$

and the electric and magnetic fields in real space as $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x},z}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{x},z}$. Note that we have a factor of $\eta = \sqrt{1 + a'_{\mathbf{x}}^2}$ in front of \vec{t}_1 in Eq. (3), which corresponds to the spatial variation (expansion or contraction) of the differential line element due to the coordinate translation, while we do not have that factor at \vec{t}_2 because the translation does not affect the line element in the direction.

Since our system is periodic in space and time, we can substitute Floquet-Bloch type solutions in the upper and lower media, e.g. $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x},z} = \sum_m E_{\mathbf{k}_m,z} \vec{t}_2 e^{i\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ ($\mathbf{k}_m = \mathbf{k} + m\mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{k} \equiv \{k_x, 0, ik_0 = i\omega/c\}$), to obtain simultaneous equations in the reciprocal space,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+>} & -(\mathsf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-<} + \mathsf{L}_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ \mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+>} & -\mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-<} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-<} \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+>} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathsf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{->} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{->} \\ -\mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^{->} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{->} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

where we collect the modal amplitude in each diffraction order to form $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & E_{\mathbf{k}_{-1}}^{\sigma\tau} & E_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}^{\sigma\tau} & E_{\mathbf{k}_{+1}}^{\sigma\tau} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$. We



FIG. 2. Integration paths leading to the boundary conditions at the modulated interface. The modulation induced source, j_{s}^{sou} , comes into contribution.

have specified the upper and lower media by $\tau = \gtrless$, and the propagating direction is labeled by $\sigma = \pm$. Thus, we can regard $E_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{->}$ as the incident components from the upper side while $E_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{+>}$ and $E_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{-<}$ as the diffracted components in the upper and lower sides. The effects of the source term and interface geometry are encoded in the L, M and N coefficients matrices, whose elements read

$$[\mathsf{L}_{\mathbf{k}}]_{lm} = \frac{\alpha}{c} \frac{(l-m)\Omega}{-K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^<} \times \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_m) \frac{k_{x,m}}{|k_{x,m}|} J_{l-m}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{-<}),$$
(7)

$$[\mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma\tau}]_{lm} = \frac{k_{x,m}}{|k_{x,m}|} \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_m) J_{l-m}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\sigma\tau}),$$
(8)

$$[\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma\tau}]_{lm} = \left(\frac{\sigma K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\tau}}{k_{x,m}} - \frac{(l-m)g}{\sigma K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\tau}}\right) \frac{|k_{x,m}|}{|k_{0,m}|} J_{l-m}(\phi_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\sigma\tau}).$$
(9)

Here, J_m is the *m*th order Bessel function of the first kind, and we have defined the wavenumber in the *z* direction,

$$K_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau} k_0^2 - k_x^2} + i \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau} k_0^2 - k_x^2},$$
(10)

and the corresponding propagating phase $\phi_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\sigma\tau} \equiv \sigma K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\tau} A$. By truncating M, N and L matrices to finite rank ones (i.e. $|l|, |m| \leq m_c$), we can numerically invert the matrix on the left hand side and evaluate the diffraction amplitudes.

Cerenkov radiation.—We reconstruct the diffracted field distribution in real space after obtaining the diffraction amplitudes. Our interest is to apply a uniform electrostatic field ($\omega = 0, k_x = 0$). In FIG. 3, we show snapshots of the field distributions for various modulation speeds and the corresponding cross section plots in the far field region. When the modulation speed is slower than that of light in the dielectric (subluminal regime), the distribution is uniform in the far field region both on the dielectric and vacuum sides. This is because, in the subluminal regime $(v_{\rm ph} = \Omega/g < c/\sqrt{\epsilon})$, the wavenumber in the z direction is imaginary for each diffraction order,

$$K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\tau} = \frac{|m|g\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}}}{c} i \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{v_{\mathrm{ph}}^2 - \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}}}\right)^2}, \quad (11)$$

and hence all diffracted fields exponentially decay.

On the other hand, once the modulation speed is faster than light in the dielectric and vacuum (superluminal regime), we can find the Čerenkov type patterns (i.e. propagating waves) in each medium. This is because, unlike in the subluminal regime, the wavenumber in the z direction is real for each diffraction order. We can confirm that all diffraction modes in each medium propagate in the same direction,

$$\tan \theta_m^{\tau} \equiv \frac{K_{\mathbf{k}_m}^{\tau}}{k_{x,m}} = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}}}{c} \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{v_{\text{ph}}^2 - \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon^{\tau}}}\right)^2}, \quad (12)$$

Note that the far right hand side does not depend on the diffraction order m. Therefore, different diffraction orders with different frequencies $\omega_m = m\Omega$ are superposed to form pulses. This feature is more significant in the dielectric side (see the cross section plots in FIG. 3).

What we have observed here is radiation from the virtually moving dipoles induced by the electrostatic input on the interface. The evenly spaced, moving dipoles collectively emit radiation in the far field, and thus the field pattern is a plane wave. We can also mathematically understand the radiation as emission from the source term on the right hand side of Eq. (3), which is induced by the temporal modulation of the interface ($\dot{a}_{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0$). Since the strength of the source is proportional to the temporal modulation frequency, the emitted field is stronger as the modulation speed $v_{\rm ph}$ increases in FIG. 3.

Conclusions.—In this Letter, we have proposed a mechanism for the Čerenkov radiation in a system which consists of a single interface. The spatiotemporal modulation of its interface realises the interface profile of a travelling wave. By applying an electrostatic field, electric dipoles are induced on the interface, and move virtually on the interface due to the travelling wave profile. The profile slides at its phase velocity $v_{\rm ph} = \Omega/g$, where Ω and g are independent modulation parameters, temporal and spatial modulation frequencies. Thus, the sliding speed of the profile $v_{\rm ph}$ is not limited by the speed of light. If the profile velocity and hence that of the induced dipoles is faster than light, they emit ČR.

As for the experimental implementation, the key is the spatiotemporal modulation of the interface between two media. One can use acoustic techniques to spatiotemporally modulate the surfaces of materials. According to the studies in acoustic communities [44, 45], surface displacement of the order of 10 nm and ultrafast modulation



FIG. 3. Snapshots (middle row) and their cross sections (upper and lower rows) of the field distributions in the subluminal and superluminal regimes. The intensity of the total electric field $|\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{x},z}|^2$ is plotted. (i) Subluminal regime in the dielectric and vacuum sides, $v_{\rm ph} = \Omega/g = 0.2c < c/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. (ii) Superluminal in the dielectric side and subluminal in the vacuum side, $c/\sqrt{\epsilon} < v_{\rm ph} = 0.8c < c$. (iii) Superluminal regime in both sides, $c < v_{\rm ph} = 1.2c$. The modulation parameters are given as following: the spatial frequency $g = 2\pi \, [\mu \mathrm{m}^{-1}]$, the modulation depth $2A = 100 \, \mathrm{[nm]}$. The input amplitude is $E_{\mathrm{in}} = 1 \, [\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}]$. The permittivities are $\epsilon^{>} = 1$ and $\epsilon^{<} = \epsilon = 2.25$. The cutoff is $m_c = 10$ so that we take $2m_c + 1 = 21$ diffracted waves into account. The colorbars for (ii) and (iii) are common and shown on the right of (iii). Note that the scale of the colorbar of (i) is different from those of (ii) and (iii), and the horizontal axes and the vertical axes of the color plots are normalised by the spatial period g of the modulation.

up to 1 THz are achievable through acoustic-optical modulation. Using soft materials such as liquids is one way to generate large surface displacement up to micrometer scale [46–48].

Another possibility is electrostatic modulation of permittivities of atomically thin materials placed at interfaces. Those thin materials can be regarded as infinitely thin sheets with finite conductivities [49]. Recently, several studies have revealed that the permittivity profile of graphene can be electrically modulated [50–52]. The modulation can be performed at high frequency in space and time so that graphene is another candidate to realise our proposed effects although we should take it into consideration that the optical response is dominated by two dimensional Dirac electrons [49].

D.O. is funded by the President's PhD Scholarships at Imperial College London. K.D. and J.B.P. acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

- P. A. Cherenkov, Visible light from clear liquids under the action of gamma radiation, Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Aeademie des Sciences de l'URSS 2, 451 (1934).
- [2] I. M. Frank and I. E. Tamm, Coherent visible radiation of fast electrons passing through matter, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. URSS 14, 109 (1937).
- [3] J. B. Pendry and L. Martin-Moreno, Energy loss by charged particles in complex media, Physical Review B 50, 5062 (1994).
- [4] S. Antipov, L. Spentzouris, W. Gai, M. Conde, F. Franchini, R. Konecny, W. Liu, J. Power, Z. Yusof, and C. Jing, Observation of wakefield generation in lefthanded band of metamaterial-loaded waveguide, Journal of Applied Physics **104**, 014901 (2008).
- [5] S. Xi, H. Chen, T. Jiang, L. Ran, J. Huangfu, B.-I. Wu, J. A. Kong, and M. Chen, Experimental verification of reversed Cherenkov radiation in left-handed metamaterial, Physical Review Letters 103, 194801 (2009).

- [6] J. Tao, Q. J. Wang, J. Zhang, and Y. Luo, Reverse surface-polariton Cherenkov radiation, Scientific reports 6, 1 (2016).
- [7] S. Liu, P. Zhang, W. Liu, S. Gong, R. Zhong, Y. Zhang, and M. Hu, Surface polariton Cherenkov light radiation source, Physical Review Letters 109, 153902 (2012).
- [8] H. Fares and M. Almokhtar, Quantum regime for dielectric Cherenkov radiation and graphene surface plasmons, Physics Letters A 383, 1005 (2019).
- [9] H. Hu, X. Lin, L. Jie Wong, Q. Yang, B. Zhang, and Y. Luo, Surface Dyakonov-Cherenkov Radiation, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2012.09533 (2020), arXiv:2012.09533 [physics.optics].
- [10] J. B. Pendry, Can sheared surfaces emit light?, Journal of Modern Optics 45, 2389 (1998).
- [11] M. F. Maghrebi, R. Golestanian, and M. Kardar, Quantum Cherenkov radiation and noncontact friction, Physical Review A 88, 042509 (2013).
- [12] K. A. Milton, H. Day, Y. Li, X. Guo, and G. Kennedy, Self-force on moving electric and magnetic dipoles: Dipole radiation, Vavilov-Čerenkov radiation, friction with a conducting surface, and the Einstein-Hopf effect, Physical Review Research 2, 043347 (2020).
- [13] D. H. Auston, K. Cheung, J. Valdmanis, and D. Kleinman, Cherenkov radiation from femtosecond optical pulses in electro-optic media, Physical Review Letters 53, 1555 (1984).
- [14] M. Bakunov, M. Tsarev, and M. Hangyo, Cherenkov emission of terahertz surface plasmon polaritons from a superluminal optical spot on a structured metal surface, Optics Express 17, 9323 (2009).
- [15] B. Smith, J. Whitaker, and S. Rand, Steerable THz pulses from thin emitters via optical pulse-front tilt, Optics Express 24, 20755 (2016).
- [16] X. Cao and D. Meyerhofer, Soliton collisions in optical birefringent fibers, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 11, 380 (1994).
- [17] N. Akhmediev and M. Karlsson, Cherenkov radiation emitted by solitons in optical fibers, Physical Review A 51, 2602 (1995).
- [18] G. Chang, L.-J. Chen, and F. X. Kärtner, Highly efficient Cherenkov radiation in photonic crystal fibers for broadband visible wavelength generation, Optics Letters 35, 2361 (2010).
- [19] V. Brasch, M. Geiselmann, T. Herr, G. Lihachev, M. H. Pfeiffer, M. L. Gorodetsky, and T. J. Kippenberg, Photonic chip-based optical frequency comb using soliton Cherenkov radiation, Science **351**, 357 (2016).
- [20] A. Cherenkov, V. Lobanov, and M. Gorodetsky, Dissipative Kerr solitons and Cherenkov radiation in optical microresonators with third-order dispersion, Physical Review A 95, 033810 (2017).
- [21] A. G. Vladimirov, S. V. Gurevich, and M. Tlidi, Effect of Cherenkov radiation on localized-state interaction, Physical Review A 97, 013816 (2018).
- [22] S. Fukuda, Y. Fukuda, T. Hayakawa, E. Ichihara, M. Ishitsuka, Y. Itow, T. Kajita, J. Kameda, K. Kaneyuki, S. Kasuga, <u>et al.</u>, The super-kamiokande detector, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **501**, 418 (2003).
- [23] H. Tu and S. A. Boppart, Optical frequency upconversion by supercontinuum-free widely-tunable fiberoptic Cherenkov radiation, Optics Express 17, 9858

(2009).

- [24] D. V. Skryabin and A. V. Gorbach, Colloquium: Looking at a soliton through the prism of optical supercontinuum, Reviews of Modern Physics 82, 1287 (2010).
- [25] C. Kaufhold and F. Klinkhamer, Vacuum Cherenkov radiation in spacelike Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, Physical Review D 76, 025024 (2007).
- [26] A. J. Macleod, A. Noble, and D. A. Jaroszynski, Cherenkov radiation from the quantum vacuum, Physical Review Letters 122, 161601 (2019).
- [27] C.-Y. Lee, Cherenkov radiation in a strong magnetic field, Physics Letters B 810, 135794 (2020).
- [28] I. Artemenko, E. Nerush, and I. Y. Kostyukov, Quasiclassical approach to synergic synchrotron–Cherenkov radiation in polarized vacuum, New Journal of Physics 22, 093072 (2020).
- [29] D. Oue, K. Ding, and J. B. Pendry, Calculating spatiotemporally modulated surfaces: a dynamical differential formalism, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2104.00614 (2021), arXiv:2104.00614 [physics.optics].
- [30] J. Chandezon, G. Raoult, and D. Maystre, A new theoretical method for diffraction gratings and its numerical application, Journal of Optics 11, 235 (1980).
- [31] J. Chandezon, M. Dupuis, G. Cornet, and D. Maystre, Multicoated gratings: a differential formalism applicable in the entire optical region, Journal of the Optical Society of America 72, 839 (1982).
- [32] J. Harris, T. Preist, and J. Sambles, Differential formalism for multilayer diffraction gratings made with uniaxial materials, Journal of the Optical Society of America A 12, 1965 (1995).
- [33] W. Barnes, T. Preist, S. Kitson, J. Sambles, N. Cotter, and D. Nash, Photonic gaps in the dispersion of surface plasmons on gratings, Physical Review B 51, 11164 (1995).
- [34] S. Kitson, W. Barnes, G. Bradberry, and J. Sambles, Surface profile dependence of surface plasmon band gaps on metallic gratings, Journal of Applied Physics **79**, 7383 (1996).
- [35] Y. Kitamura and S. Murakami, Hermitian two-band model for one-dimensional plasmonic crystals, Physical Review B 88, 045406 (2013).
- [36] G. Murtaza, A. A. Syed, and Q. A. Naqvi, Study of scattering from a periodic grating structure using Lorentz– Drude model and Chandezon method, Optik 133, 9 (2017).
- [37] X. Xu and L. Li, Simple parameterized coordinate transformation method for deep-and smooth-profile gratings, Optics Letters 39, 6644 (2014).
- [38] X. Xu and L. Li, Numerical stability of the C method and a perturbative preconditioning technique to improve convergence, Journal of the Optical Society of America A 34, 881 (2017).
- [39] X. Xu and L. Li, Numerical instability of the C method when applied to coated gratings and methods to avoid it, Journal of the Optical Society of America A 37, 511 (2020).
- [40] A. A. Shcherbakov and A. V. Tishchenko, Efficient curvilinear coordinate method for grating diffraction simulation, Optics Express 21, 25236 (2013).
- [41] A. A. Shcherbakov and A. V. Tishchenko, Generalized source method in curvilinear coordinates for 2D grating diffraction simulation, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 187, 76 (2017).

- [42] A. A. Shcherbakov, Curvilinear coordinate generalized source method for gratings with sharp edges, Journal of the Optical Society of America A 36, 1402 (2019).
- [43] S. Essig and K. Busch, Generation of adaptive coordinates and their use in the Fourier Modal Method, Optics Express 18, 23258 (2010).
- [44] C. Chenu, M.-H. Noroy, and D. Royer, Giant surface acoustic waves generated by a multiple beam laser: Application to the detection of surface breaking slots, Applied Physics Letters 65, 1091 (1994).
- [45] P.-A. Mante, A. Devos, and A. Le Louarn, Generation of terahertz acoustic waves in semiconductor quantum dots using femtosecond laser pulses, Physical Review B 81, 113305 (2010).
- [46] B. Issenmann, R. Wunenburger, S. Manneville, and J.-P. Delville, Bistability of a compliant cavity induced by acoustic radiation pressure, Physical Review Letters 97, 074502 (2006).
- [47] B. Issenmann, A. Nicolas, R. Wunenburger, S. Manneville, and J.-P. Delville, Deformation of acoustically

transparent fluid interfaces by the acoustic radiation pressure, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 83, 34002 (2008).

- [48] R. W. Rambach, J. Taiber, C. Scheck, C. Meyer, J. Reboud, J. Cooper, and T. Franke, Visualization of surface acoustic waves in thin liquid films, Scientific reports 6, 21980 (2016).
- [49] P. A. D. Gonçalves and N. M. Peres, <u>An introduction to graphene plasmonics</u> (World Scientific, 2016).
- [50] E. Galiffi, P. Huidobro, and J. B. Pendry, Broadband nonreciprocal amplification in luminal metamaterials, Physical Review Letters 123, 206101 (2019).
- [51] E. Galiffi, Y.-T. Wang, Z. Lim, J. B. Pendry, A. Alù, and P. A. Huidobro, Wood anomalies and surface-wave excitation with a time grating, Physical Review Letters 125, 127403 (2020).
- [52] M. M. Kashef and Z. G. Kashani, Multifunctional spacetime phase modulated graphene metasurface, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 37, 3243 (2020).