

FOURTH ORDER SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH MIXED DISPERSION ON CARTAN-HADAMARD MANIFOLDS

JEAN-BAPTISTE CASTERAS AND ILKKA HOLOPAINEN

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of the following fourth order Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion on M^N , an N -dimensional Cartan-Hadamard manifold. Namely we consider

$$(4NLS) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\Delta_M^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_M \psi + \lambda |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times M, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in X(M), \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda = \{-1, 1\}$, $\sigma < 4/(N-4)_+$, Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M and $X = L^2(M)$ or $X = H^2(M)$. At first, we focus on the case where M is the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^N . Using the fact that there exists a Fourier transform on this space, we prove the existence of a global solution to (4NLS) as well as scattering for small initial data provided that $N \geq 4$ and $\sigma < 4/N$ if $X = L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ or $\sigma < 4/(N-4)$ if $X = H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$. Next, we obtained weighted Strichartz estimates for radial solutions to (4NLS) on a large class of rotationally symmetric manifolds by adapting the method of Banica and Duyckaerts (Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., 07). Finally, we give a blow-up result for a rotationally symmetric manifold relying on a localized virial argument.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following biharmonic (i.e. fourth-order) nonlinear Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion on M^N , an N -dimensional Cartan-Hadamard manifold,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\Delta_M^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_M \psi - \lambda |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times M, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in X(M), \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \geq 0$,

$$\sigma < \frac{4}{(N-4)_+} = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{N-4}, & \text{if } N > 4, \\ \infty, & \text{if } N \leq 4, \end{cases},$$

Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M and $X = L^2(M)$ or $X = H^2(M)$. When $M = \mathbb{R}^N$, Karpman and Shagalov [27] introduced the fourth order term to regularize and stabilize the classical Schrödinger equation. We refer to [22, 12, 11] for more details concerning the stability of standing wave solutions to this equation. Our main focus in this paper will be to obtain well-posedness results for (1.1) on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. Before proceeding, let us recall some results in the Euclidean space. The global existence of solution to (1.1) was proved by Pausader

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55, 35P25, 35J30, 43A85, 43A90.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation, scattering, dispersive inequality, Strichartz estimate, hyperbolic space.

J.-B.C. supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, under the project: UIDB/04561/2020; I.H. supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth foundation.

[30, 29]. To do so, he used the dispersive estimates of Ben-Artzi, Koch and Saut [9]. More precisely, let

$$I_\beta(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{it(|\xi|^4 + \beta|\xi|^2) - i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi,$$

be the propagator of our operator in \mathbb{R}^N . Then there holds:

- If $\beta = 0$,

$$|D^\alpha I_0(t, x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{N+|\alpha|}{4}} (1 + t^{-\frac{1}{4}}|x|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-N}{3}},$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

- For all $0 < t \leq 1$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ or for all $t > 0$ and all $|x| \geq t$,

$$|DI_\beta(t, x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{N+|\alpha|}{4}} (1 + t^{-\frac{1}{4}}|x|)^{\frac{|\alpha|-N}{3}},$$

- For $\beta > 0$, all $t \geq 1$ and $|x| \leq t$,

$$|D^\alpha I_\beta(t, x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{N+|\alpha|}{2}} (1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|)^{|\alpha|}.$$

Thanks to these estimates, Pausader was able to obtain the following Strichartz estimates. Before stating them, let us introduce some notation. We say that a pair (q, r) is S-admissible if $2 \leq q, r \leq \infty$, $(q, r, N) \neq (2, \infty, 2)$, and

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{N}{r} = \frac{N}{2}.$$

We say that a pair (q, r) is B-admissible if $2 \leq q, r \leq \infty$, $(q, r, N) \neq (2, \infty, 4)$, and

$$\frac{4}{q} + \frac{N}{r} = \frac{N}{2}.$$

Let $I = [0, T]$ and $\psi \in C(I, H^{-4})$ be a solution to

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi - h & \text{in } I \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

Assume in addition that $T \leq 1$ if $\beta < 0$. Then, for any B-admissible pairs (q, r) and (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) , we have

$$(1.3) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^q(I, L^r)} \leq C(\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^{\tilde{q}'}(I, L^{\tilde{r}'})}),$$

whenever the right hand side is finite, where \tilde{q}' and \tilde{r}' are the conjugate exponents of \tilde{q} and \tilde{r} . We also have, for S-admissible pairs (q, r) , (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) , and any $s \geq 0$,

$$\| |\nabla|^s \psi \|_{L^q(I, L^r)} \leq C(\| |\nabla|^{s-\frac{2}{q}} \psi_0 \|_{L^2} + \| |\nabla|^{s-\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{\tilde{q}}} h \|_{L^{\tilde{q}'}(I, L^{\tilde{r}'})}),$$

whenever the right side is finite. Now, let us take $h = \lambda|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi$ and $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ in (1.2), with $\lambda = \{-1, 1\}$ and $\sigma < 4/(N-4)_+$. Then, using the conservation of the mass

$$M[\psi(t)] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(t)|^2 dx = M[\psi_0],$$

and the conservation of the energy

$$E[\psi(t)] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(t)|^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi(t)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(t)|^{2\sigma+2} dx = E[\psi_0],$$

Pausader [30] showed that there exists a global solution in the following cases:

- $\lambda \geq 0$;
- $\lambda < 0$ and $\sigma < 4/N$;

- $\lambda < 0$, $\sigma = 4/N$ and ψ_0 is sufficiently small in L^2 ;
- $\lambda < 0$, $\sigma \leq 4/(N-4)$ and ψ_0 is sufficiently small in H^2 .

He also proved scattering in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ provided that $\lambda \geq 0$, $N \geq 5$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $4/N < \sigma < 4/(N-4)$. Let us also point out that Pausader also obtained some results when $\sigma = 4/(N-4)$ but we will not consider this case.

In view of these results, it seems quite natural to investigate in which spaces the Strichartz estimates hold. For the classical Schrödinger equation i.e. for $i\partial_t\psi = -\Delta_M\psi + h$, $\psi(0) = \psi_0 \in L^2(M)$, where M is some manifold, this question has been intensively studied in recent decades. It has been proved that the Strichartz estimates holds for a much larger class of pairs (q, r) , (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) , in the hyperbolic space or more generally in Damek-Ricci spaces than in the Euclidean one see [4, 3, 5, 6, 31]. More precisely, for any S-admissible pair (q, r) and (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) , we have

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(I, L^r(\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C(\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|h\|_{L^{\tilde{q}'}(I, L^{\tilde{r}'}(\mathbb{R}^N))}),$$

whereas in \mathbb{H}^N , the same inequality holds for pairs (p, q) and (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) belonging to the triangle

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right) \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) : \frac{2}{q} + \frac{N}{r} \geq \frac{N}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

Intuitively, on a non-compact negatively curved manifold, one can expect to have better Strichartz estimates than in the Euclidean space. On the other hand, on a compact manifold M , Strichartz estimates only holds with loss of derivatives [16] i.e. if $h = 0$, then we have, for any S-admissible pair (q, r) ,

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(I, L^r(M))} \leq C\|\psi_0\|_{H^{1/p}(M)}.$$

In some compact manifolds, this inequality is known to be sharp [14].

In the present paper, we will show that the Strichartz estimates (1.3) can be improved on the hyperbolic space and on a class of rotationally symmetric Cartan-Hadamard manifolds. Our first main result concerns the case $M = \mathbb{H}^N$. In this case, we say that (p, q) is an admissible pair if

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right) \in \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) : \frac{4}{p} + \frac{N}{q} \geq \frac{N}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

Also, since the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{H}^N is $\rho^2 = (N-1)^2/4$, instead of considering the operator $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}^2 - \beta\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}$, we set

$$P = (\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2)^2 - \beta(\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2).$$

By definition, the first eigenvalue of P is 0.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $N \geq 4$. Let ψ be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\psi + P\psi = h \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N), \end{cases}$$

on $I = [0, T]$. Assume that $|I| \leq 1$ if $\beta \leq 0$. Let (p, q) and (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) be admissible pairs. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L_t^p(I, L_x^q(\mathbb{H}^N))} \leq C(\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + \|h\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'}(I, L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(\mathbb{H}^N))}).$$

Thanks to this Strichartz estimate, we can easily prove some global well-posedness and scattering results for

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi + P\psi = \lambda|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\lambda = \{-1, 1\}$, $X_0 = L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ and $X_1 = H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$. For*

$$i = 0, 1, \text{ if } \sigma < \tilde{\sigma}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{N}, & i = 0 \\ \frac{4}{N-4}, & i = 1 \end{cases}, \text{ (1.4) is locally well-posed for arbitrary } X_i$$

data, i.e. for any $\psi_0 \in X_i(\mathbb{H}^N)$, there exists $T > 0$ such that the solution $\psi(t, \cdot)$ to (1.4) exists for all $|t| < T$. Moreover, if $\sigma \leq \tilde{\sigma}_i$ and $\beta > 0$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that if $\|\psi_0\|_{X_i(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq c$ then (1.4) is globally well-posed, i.e. $\psi(t, \cdot)$ exists for all $t \geq 0$. We also have that ψ satisfies the following scattering property: there exist $\psi_{\pm} \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ such that

$$\|\psi(t) - e^{itP}\psi_{\pm}\|_{X_i(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Notice, in particular, that our scattering result is a lot better than the one in the Euclidean space. We point out that this result holds for not necessarily radially symmetric functions. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is very standard once the Strichartz estimate holds. It relies on a fixed point argument for the existence part for an appropriate choice of functional spaces.

Let us make some comments on the proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow the TT^* method of Keel and Tao [28]. The main difficulty is to obtain $L^p - L^q$ -estimates on the dispersive propagator e^{itP} . Denoting by v the kernel of this propagator, we will deduce this estimate from a pointwise bound of v and the Kunze-Stein inequality (which is an improved Young convolution inequality). A crucial ingredient in our proof is the fact that it is possible to define a Fourier transform in the hyperbolic space enjoying most of the properties of the Euclidean Fourier transform. Using this transformation, we see that

$$v(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it(\lambda^4 + \beta\lambda^2)} \Phi_{\lambda}(r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda,$$

where $c(\lambda)$ is the Harish-Chandra coefficient and Φ_{λ} is a explicit function (see (2.2) for a precise definition). At this point, we adopt the approach of Ionescu and Staffilani [26] to estimate this integral. Namely we split the integral into two parts depending whether $|\lambda| \in [0, 2^J]$ or $|\lambda| \in [2^J, \infty]$ for a suitable choice of J which depends on the critical value of the phase and then apply a dyadic decomposition of $[2^J, \infty]$. Due to the mixed dispersion of our operator, the critical point of the phase will behave differently depending if $r/|t|$ is large or not. Let us also mention that this method only give a decay in $|t|^{-1}$ when $|t|$ is large. The same holds for the classical Schrödinger equation but was proved to be not optimal by Anker and Pierfelice [3] who obtained a decay in $|t|^{-3/2}$. Here, we refined a bit the estimate for $|t|$ large using the recent method of [2] to get the probably optimal decay in $|t|^{-3/2}$. Let us also comment a bit on the method used by Anker and Pierfelice [3] to obtain the pointwise decay of the classical Schrödinger propagator. They use the fact that the inverse Fourier transform in the hyperbolic space can be obtained as the composition of the inverse Abel transform with the inverse Fourier transform on the real line. However, to use this fact, one has to obtain very precise estimates on the derivative of the real inverse Fourier transform of $e^{-it(\cdot^4 + \beta\cdot^2)}$. Compared to the

Euclidean case, the propagator estimates we obtained are decaying exponentially fast in space, however they are worse for $|t|$ large. We end this first part dealing with the hyperbolic space by proving a trapping result saying that if the initial data is large enough then the solution to (1.4) with $\lambda = 1$ whereas if it is small enough (in a quantified way), we get global existence.

The second part of this paper will focus on the case where M is a rotationally symmetric Cartan-Hadamard manifold. Due to the lack of Fourier transform in this space, we adopt a very different approach to prove Strichartz estimate. Following [7], one can see that up to a change of variables, (1.1) can be seen as an equation in the flat Euclidean space but with potentials for radially symmetric functions. We then apply Strichartz estimates for the pure fourth order Euclidean Schrödinger equation and consider the terms involving potential as inhomogeneous terms. Using the method of [7], we use a smoothing estimate to deal with those terms. The smoothing estimate is a consequence of a uniform weighted estimate for the resolvent $[(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \beta)]^{-1}$, for some appropriate potential V . Here, we rely on the fact that the resolvent of this operator can be written as the difference of resolvents of second order operator for which estimates were already known see [17]. Our result is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $M = (\mathbb{R}^N, g)$ be a complete rotationally symmetric manifold with Riemannian metric $g = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)d\theta^2$. Set $\sigma(r) = \left(\frac{r}{\phi(r)}\right)^{(N-1)/2}$ and $V = \frac{\sigma''}{\sigma} + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}$. Assume that $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$|V(x)| \leq C|x|^{-2}.$$

In addition, suppose that there exists δ_0 such that

$$\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 + r^2V \geq \delta_0,$$

$$\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 - r^2\partial_r(rV) \geq \delta_0,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^2(\Delta V + V^2)\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle^2 V'\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle^2 V\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C,$$

resp.

$$(1.6) \quad \|\langle x \rangle(\Delta V + V^2)\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle V'\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle V\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

Let ψ be a radial solution to

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi - \Delta_M^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_M \psi = h \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all interval I , and all B - (resp. S -) admissible pairs (p_i, q_i) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \psi \sigma^{-(1-2/q_1)} \right\|_{L^{p_1}(I, L^{q_1}(M))} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\nabla_M \psi_0\|_{L^2(M)} + \|\psi_0 |\nabla_M \sigma| / \sigma\|_{L^2(M)} + \|h \sigma^{(1-2/q_2)}\|_{L^{p'_2}(I, L^{q'_2}(M))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that (1.5) (resp. (1.6)) holds true if, for large r , $V(r) \approx r^{-m}$, with $m \geq 4$ (resp. $m \geq 2$). As in [7], one can show that Theorem 1.3 holds true for S -admissible pairs if for instance $\phi(r) = r + a_1 r^3 + \dots + a_k r^{2k+1}$, where $k \geq 1$ and $a_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, or more generally, if $\phi(r) = Ar^m + o(r^m)$, with $A > 0$, $m > (N-1)^{-1}$ and the radial sectional curvature of M (i.e. $-\phi''/\phi$) at a point x is negative and strictly greater than $-\frac{1}{2(N-1)}\frac{1}{r^2}$, where $r(x) = d(o, x)$ is the distance to the pole $o \in M$. Concerning B -admissible pairs, we need to impose stronger restriction on the manifold for our result to hold. We see that the condition $|V(r)| \leq r^{-4}$ roughly implies that $|\phi(r)| \leq re^{C/r^2}$, for some positive constant C . So our theorem holds at most for manifolds whose radial sectional curvature is greater than $-1/r^4$, for r large. Let us observe in view of the result obtained in this paper that the validity of Strichartz inequality is still unknown for rotationally symmetric manifolds where ϕ has a intermediate growth between polynomial and exponential (or in terms of sectional curvature K_M , for $-C_1 \leq K_M \leq -C_2/r^{-2}$). This question is also open for the classical Schrödinger equation. We refer to [23, 20] for some examples of V for which the Strichartz inequality (related to the classical Schrödinger equation) does not hold. Let us point out that along our proof, we also obtain Strichartz estimate for fourth order Schrödinger equation with potentials which can be of independent interest. We refer to [21] for related results.

We finally end this paper by computing a localized virial inequality for radial functions on generic complete rotationally symmetric manifold following Boulenger and Lenzmann [13]. Thanks to this, we provide some conditions in order to construct finite time blowing-up solutions; see the beginning of Section 6. Let us point out that these conditions are hard to check due to the fact that contrarily to the flat Euclidean case it is not possible to use a scaling argument to get rid of what is happening in the ball of radius R , for R large enough. To check these conditions, we refer the readers to [1] where a Maple file using the same notation as below is available to verify if the fourth point is valid or not. The first condition corresponds to "star" and the second one to "star2".

The plan of this paper is the following: in Section 2, we recall some well-known facts about the hyperbolic space. We begin with some geometric facts and then recall the definition and main properties of the Fourier transform. In Section 3, we prove the pointwise estimate for the dispersive propagator. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are established in Section 4 as well as our trapping result. Section 5 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.3. In the last section, we give a proof of our finite-time blow-up result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The hyperbolic space. We consider the upper (sheet) hyperboloid model for the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^N . Thus we equip \mathbb{R}^{N+1} with the Minkowski metric

$$-(dx^0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (dx^i)^2$$

and the symmetric bilinear form

$$[x, y] = x^0 y^0 - \sum_{i=1}^N x^i y^i.$$

The upper sheet model for the hyperbolic space is then

$$\mathbb{H}^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : [x, x] = 1, x^0 > 0\}$$

equipped with the Riemannian metric induced by the Minkowski metric. By fixing a pole $o = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}^N$, \mathbb{H}^N can be identified with the homogeneous space $SO^+(N, 1)/SO(N)$, where $SO^+(N, 1)$ is the connected Lie group of $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ -matrices X , with $\det X = 1$ and $X_{00} > 0$, that keep the form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ invariant and $SO(N)$ is the subgroup of $SO^+(N, 1)$ that fixes the pole o . Furthermore, \mathbb{H}^N can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{H}^N = \{(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : t = \cosh r, \xi = \sinh r \omega, r \geq 0, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}\},$$

where $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset o^\perp = \{(0, y^1, \dots, y^N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}\}$, the orthogonal complement of o with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$. In (global) coordinates (r, ω) , the $SO(N, 1)$ -invariant Riemannian metric of \mathbb{H}^N is given by

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r d\omega^2,$$

where $d\omega^2$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} , and the Riemannian volume form by

$$d\mu = \sinh^{N-1} r dr d\omega.$$

The distance between $x = (\cosh r, \sinh r \omega)$ and the pole o is $d(x, o) = r$ and, in general,

$$d(x, y) = \cosh^{-1}[x, y]$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^N$. Finally, the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{H}^N is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} = \partial_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} \partial_r + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 r} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} .

2.2. The Fourier transform. Following Helgason [24], Banica [5], and Ionescu and Staffilani [26] we define the Fourier transform on \mathbb{H}^N as follows. For $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $b(\omega) = (1, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and $h_{\lambda, \omega} : \mathbb{H}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$h_{\lambda, \omega}(x) = [x, b(\omega)]^{i\lambda - \rho},$$

where $\rho = (N-1)/2$. The functions $h_{\lambda, \omega}$ are generalized eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}$. Indeed, we have

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} h_{\lambda, \omega} = (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) h_{\lambda, \omega}.$$

The Fourier transform of a function $f \in C_0(\mathbb{H}^N)$ is now defined by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} f(x) h_{\lambda, \omega}(x) d\mu,$$

and the Fourier inversion formula is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \hat{f}(\lambda, \omega) \bar{h}_{\lambda, \omega}(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \hat{f}(\lambda, \omega) [x, b(\omega)]^{-i\lambda - \rho} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\lambda)$ is the Harish-Chandra coefficient

$$|c(\lambda)|^{-2} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d} \frac{|\Gamma(i\lambda + \rho)|^2}{|\Gamma(i\lambda)|^2},$$

and $d\omega$ is a suitably normalized measure on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . It follows from the definition that

$$(2.1) \quad |c(\lambda)|^{-2} = c(\lambda)^{-1}c(-\lambda)^{-1};$$

see [25, Prop. A1]. A version of the Plancherel theorem holds in \mathbb{H}^N . Indeed, the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ extends to an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}, |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda d\omega)$. If $f \in C_0(\mathbb{H}^N)$ is $SO(N)$ -invariant, i.e. radial with respect to o , we have

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, \omega) = \hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} f(x) \Phi_{-\lambda}(x) d\mu,$$

and

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \Phi_{\lambda}(x) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} [x, b(\omega)]^{-i\lambda-\rho} d\omega.$$

By denoting $x = (\cosh r, \sinh r \vartheta)$, where $r = r(x) = d(x, o)$, we can express Φ_{λ} as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda}(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} (\cosh r - \sinh r (\vartheta \cdot \omega))^{-i\lambda-\rho} d\omega \\ &= C \int_0^{\pi} (\cosh r - \sinh r \cos \theta)^{-i\lambda-\rho} (\sin \theta)^{N-2} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

3. ESTIMATES FOR SCHRÖDINGER PROPAGATOR

The most part of this section is devoted to the pointwise estimate for the dispersive propagator. As already written in the introduction, we will follow the proof of [26] but refine it a bit for large time using the approach of [2]. We will conclude this section by obtaining a $L^p - L^q$ estimate for the propagator using the previous pointwise estimate and the Kunze-Stein inequality.

We begin by giving an expression of the propagator with the help of the Fourier transform defined in the previous section. Let v be a solution to the linear equation

$$i\partial_t \psi - (\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2)\psi + \beta(\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2)\psi = 0.$$

By definition, we have, for f smooth enough,

$$\widehat{\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} f}(\lambda, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} f(x) \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} h_{\lambda, \omega}(x) d\mu = -(\lambda^2 + \rho^2) \hat{f}(\lambda, \omega),$$

so applying the Fourier transform to the equation, we obtain

$$i\partial_t \hat{\psi} - \lambda^4 \hat{\psi} - \beta \lambda^2 \hat{\psi} = 0.$$

Its solution is given by

$$\hat{\psi}(t, \lambda, \omega) = e^{-it(\lambda^4 + \beta \lambda^2)}.$$

By the Fourier inversion formula, we find

$$\psi(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it(\lambda^4 + \beta \lambda^2)} \Phi_{\lambda}(r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda,$$

where $r = r(x)$. For this quantity to be well-defined, we regularize it by the Fourier multiplier $\lambda \mapsto e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4}$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. So, we will consider

$$I_\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(it+\varepsilon^2)(\lambda^4+\beta\lambda^2)} \Phi_\lambda(r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda.$$

We will obtain pointwise estimate for this propagator. Compared to the one in the Euclidian space, we see that it decays exponentially in space. The behavior for short time is the same whereas it has a slower decay for large time. We will see in the following that to get global existence, we only need to have a decay better than t^{-1} for large time which in our case only seems to be available when $\beta > 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *We have, if $\beta > 0$,*

$$|I_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-N/4} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1; \\ |t|^{-3/2} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\beta = 0$, then there holds

$$|I_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-N/4} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1; \\ |t|^{-3/4} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

whereas if $\beta < 0$, then we get

$$|I_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-N/4} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \leq r \text{ or } |t| \leq 1; \\ |t|^{-1/2} r^{(N+5)/6} e^{-(N-1)r/2}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1 \text{ or } |t| \geq r. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of [26, Lemma 3.2]. We start by recalling the estimate

$$(3.1) \quad |\partial_\lambda^\alpha (\lambda^{-1} c(\lambda)^{-1})| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^{\rho-1-\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in [0, N+2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ from [25, Prop. A1].

We will also need some estimates for the function Φ_λ contained in [25, Prop. A2]. When $r \geq 1/10$, we rewrite Φ_λ as

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_\lambda(r) = e^{-\rho r} (e^{i\lambda r} c(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) + e^{-i\lambda r} c(-\lambda) m_1(-\lambda, r)),$$

where, for $\alpha \in [0, N+2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.3) \quad |\partial_\lambda^\alpha m_1(\lambda, r)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^{-\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, if $r \leq 1$, Φ_λ can be rewritten as

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_\lambda(r) = e^{i\lambda r} m_2(\lambda, r) + e^{-i\lambda r} m_2(-\lambda, r),$$

where, for $\alpha \in [0, N+2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.5) \quad |\partial_\lambda^\alpha m_2(\lambda, r)| \leq C(1 + r|\lambda|)^{-\rho} (1 + |\lambda|)^{-\alpha}.$$

We will also use repeatedly the following two standard estimates for oscillatory integrals: if $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a compactly supported function, and $\tilde{m} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth function supported in $[-2, -1/2] \cup [1/2, 2]$, we have, by the van der Corput lemma,

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(A\lambda^4 + B\lambda^2 + C\lambda)} m(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq \frac{c}{A} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\lambda m(\lambda)| d\lambda,$$

where $A_1 = |A|^{1/4}$ if $\text{sgn}(A) \neq \text{sgn}(B)$ or $A_2 = \max\{|A|^{1/4}, |B|^{1/2}\}$ if $\text{sgn}(A) = \text{sgn}(B)$, and by integrating by parts $k \in \mathbb{N}$ times

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(A\lambda^4 + B\lambda^2 + C\lambda)} \tilde{m}(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq \frac{c}{D} \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_{\lambda}^j \tilde{m}(\lambda)| d\lambda,$$

where

$$D = \min_{|\lambda| \in [1/2, 2]} |4A\lambda^3 + 2B\lambda + C|^k$$

which is assumed to be positive.

We fix a smooth even function $\eta_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported in $[-2, -1/2] \cup [1/2, 2]$ such that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_0(\lambda/2^j) = 1.$$

Let $\eta_j(\lambda) = \eta_0(\lambda/2^j)$ and $\eta_{\leq j} = \sum_{j' \leq j} \eta_{j'}$, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of (3.2) and (3.4), we will split our estimates depending on the value of r .

We begin by considering the case $r \geq 1$. In view of (2.1), (3.2) and (3.8), we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda) - \varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right| \\ & + \sum_{j > J} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda) - \varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_j(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right| \\ & = I + \sum_{j > J} II_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(\lambda) = t(-\lambda^4 - \beta\lambda^2) + r\lambda$ and J is the smallest integer such that $2^J \geq 2^{10} \lambda_{r,t}$, with

$$\lambda_{r,t} = \begin{cases} (r/|t|)^{1/3}, & \text{if } r/|t| \geq 1; \\ r/|t|, & \text{if } r/|t| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\lambda_{r,t}$ is chosen in view of the asymptotic of the roots of Ψ' . In view of (3.6) and (3.7), we will need to estimate the partial derivatives of $m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1}$ with respect to λ . Using (3.1) and (3.3), we find, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad & \left| \partial_{\lambda}^k (m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1}) \right| = \left| \partial_{\lambda}^k (m_1(\lambda, r) \lambda \lambda^{-1} c(-\lambda)^{-1}) \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k} |(\partial_{\lambda}^{k_1} m_1(\lambda, r)) (\partial_{\lambda}^{k_2} \lambda) \partial_{\lambda}^{k_3} (-\lambda^{-1} c(-\lambda)^{-1})| \\ & \leq C \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = k} (1 + |\lambda|)^{\rho - 1 - k_1 - k_3} |\partial_{\lambda}^{k_2} \lambda| \\ & \leq C |\lambda| (1 + |\lambda|)^{\rho - 1 - k} + \mathbb{1}_{k \geq 1} (1 + |\lambda|)^{\rho - k}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9) with $k = 0, 1$, the fact that the support of $\eta_{\leq J} \subset [-2^J, 2^J]$ and (3.6), we get in case $\beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\lambda (\eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1})| d\lambda \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\lambda \partial_\lambda \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda)) m_1(\lambda, r) (-\lambda^{-1} c(-\lambda)^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) \partial_\lambda (m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1})| d\lambda \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \left(2^J (1 + 2^J)^{\rho-1} + \int_0^{2^J} (\lambda(1+\lambda)^{\rho-2} + (1+\lambda)^{\rho-1}) d\lambda \right) \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \begin{cases} 2^{\rho J}, & \text{if } J \geq 0; \\ 2^J, & \text{if } J \leq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Above we have ignored the term $e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4}$ since it has no effect on the result. So, if $r/|t| \geq 1$ which implies that $J \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) 2^{\rho J} \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) (r/|t|)^{\rho/3} \\
&\leq Cr^{\rho/3} \begin{cases} |t|^{-(2N+1)/12}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1; \\ |t|^{-(N+2)/6}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

whereas, if $r/|t| \leq 1$ which implies that $|t| \geq 1$, we get

$$I \leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) 2^J \leq Cr|t|^{-3/2}.$$

If $\beta \leq 0$, we have instead

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^2} \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq C |t|^{-1/4} \begin{cases} 2^{\rho J}, & \text{if } J \geq 0, \\ 2^J, & \text{if } J \leq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$I \leq Cr^{\rho/3} |t|^{-(2N+1)/12}$$

if $r/|t| \geq 1$, and

$$I \leq Cr|t|^{-5/4},$$

if $r/|t| \leq 1$.

On the other hand, for $j \geq J + 1$ and $\beta > 0$, we deduce from (3.7), (3.9) and a change of variables, that

$$\begin{aligned}
II_j &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_j(\lambda) m_1(\lambda, r) c(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\min_{|\lambda| \in [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}]} |\partial_\lambda \Psi(\lambda)|^N} 2^j \int_{1/2}^2 \sum_{k=0}^N |\partial_\lambda^k (\eta_0(\lambda) m_1(2^j \lambda, r) c(-2^j \lambda)^{-1})| d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N 2^j (2^j (1 + 2^j)^{\rho-1-k} + (1 + 2^j)^{\rho-k}) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \begin{cases} 2^{j(\rho+1)}, & \text{if } j \geq 0, \\ 2^j, & \text{if } j \leq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If $J < 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=J+1}^0 \frac{2^j}{(1 + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \leq \int_0^1 \frac{2}{(1 + x|t|)^N} dx \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-1}.$$

In case $\beta = 0$, we have

$$II_j \leq \frac{C}{(r + 2^{3j}|t|)^N} \begin{cases} 2^{j(\rho+1)}, & \text{if } j \geq 0, \\ 2^j, & \text{if } j \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=J+1}^0 \frac{2^j}{(1 + 2^{3j}|t|)^N} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-1/3}$$

for $J < 0$. Combining the previous bounds, we obtain that, for $\beta > 0$,

$$I + \sum_{j>J} II_j \leq C(|t|^{-N/4} + \max\{|t|^{-1}, |t|^{-(N+2)/6}\})(1 + r)^{\rho/3+1},$$

whereas, if $\beta = 0$, we get

$$I + \sum_{j>J} II_j \leq C(|t|^{-N/4} + |t|^{-1/3})(1 + r)^{\rho/3+1},$$

Next, we consider the case $r \leq 1$. We will need the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_\lambda^k (m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2})| = |\partial_\lambda^k (m_2(\lambda, r) c(\lambda)^{-1} c(-\lambda)^{-1})| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=k} |\partial_\lambda^{k_1} m_2(\lambda, r) \partial_\lambda^{k_2} (\lambda^2) \partial_\lambda^{k_3} (\lambda^{-1} c(\lambda)^{-1}) \partial_\lambda^{k_4} (-\lambda^{-1} c(-\lambda)^{-1})| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=k} (1 + r|\lambda|)^{-\rho} (1 + |\lambda|)^{2(\rho-1)-k_1-k_3-k_4} |\partial_\lambda^{k_2} (\lambda^2)| \\
(3.10) \quad &\leq C(1 + r|\lambda|)^{-\rho} (1 + |\lambda|)^{2(\rho-1)} (|\lambda|^2 (1 + |\lambda|)^{-k} + \mathbb{1}_{k \geq 1} |\lambda| (1 + |\lambda|)^{1-k} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{1}_{k \geq 2} (1 + |\lambda|)^{2-k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the previous estimate and (3.6), we get in case $\beta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I} &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_{\leq J}(\lambda) m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_\lambda (\eta_{\leq J} m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2})| d\lambda \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (\partial_\lambda \eta_{\leq J}) m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \eta_{\leq J} \partial_\lambda (m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2}) \right| d\lambda \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \left[2^{2J} (1 + r2^J)^{-\rho} (1 + 2^J)^{2(\rho-1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^{2^J} (1 + r\lambda)^{-\rho} (1 + \lambda)^{2(\rho-1)} (\lambda^2 (1 + \lambda)^{-1} + \lambda) d\lambda \right] \\
&\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) \begin{cases} 2^{\rho J} r^{-\rho}, & \text{if } J \geq 0, r2^J \geq 1 \\ 2^{2\rho J}, & \text{if } J \geq 0, r2^J \leq 1 \\ 2^{2J}, & \text{if } J \leq 10. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

So, when $r \leq 1$, $r/|t| \geq 1$ and $2^J r \geq 1$, which implies $r^4 \geq C|t|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I} &\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) 2^{\rho J} r^{-\rho} \\
&\leq C |t|^{-1/4} (r/|t|)^{\rho/3} r^{-\rho} \\
&\leq C |t|^{-1/4 - \rho/2} = C |t|^{-N/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $r \leq 1$, $r/|t| \geq 1$ and $2^J r \leq 1$, which imply $r^4 \leq C|t|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I} &\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) 2^{2\rho J} \\
&\leq C |t|^{-1/4} (r/|t|)^{2\rho/3} \leq C |t|^{-1/4} |t|^{\rho/6} |t|^{-2\rho/3} = C |t|^{-N/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $r \leq 1$ and $r/|t| \leq 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I} &\leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) 2^{2J} \leq C \min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4}) (r/|t|)^2 \\
&\leq C (|t|^{-3/2} + |t|^{-N/4}).
\end{aligned}$$

The same holds if $\beta = 0$; instead of having $\min(|t|^{-1/2}, |t|^{-1/4})$, we have $|t|^{-1/4}$, but the estimates still hold. So overall, we showed that

$$\tilde{I} \leq C (|t|^{-3/2} + |t|^{-N/4}).$$

To conclude the proof, we consider the case where $j \geq J + 1$ and $\beta > 0$. We have, using (3.7) and (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{II}_j &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_j(\lambda) m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} 2^j \int_{1/2}^2 \sum_{k=0}^N |\partial_\lambda^k (m_2(2^j \lambda, r) |c(2^j \lambda)|^{-2})| d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \sum_{k=0}^N 2^j (1 + r2^j)^{-\rho} (1 + 2^j)^{2(\rho-1)} \\
&\quad \cdot (2^{2j} (1 + 2^j)^{-k} + 2^j (1 + 2^j)^{1-k} + (1 + 2^j)^{2-k}) \\
(3.11) \quad &\leq \frac{C}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \begin{cases} 2^{(\rho+1)j} r^{-\rho}, & \text{if } j \geq 0, r2^j \geq 1, \\ 2^{j(2\rho+1)}, & \text{if } j \geq 0, r2^j \leq 1, \\ 2^{3j}, & \text{if } j \leq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} e^{-\varepsilon^2 \lambda^4} \eta_j(\lambda) m_2(\lambda, r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \right| \\
&\leq C 2^j \int_{1/2}^2 |m_2(2^j \lambda, r) |c(2^j \lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \\
&\leq C 2^{3j},
\end{aligned}$$

if $j \leq 0$. Thus, letting J_1 be the largest integer such that $2^{J_1} \leq 1/|t|^{1/3}$, the previous estimate yields to

$$\sum_{j=J+1}^{J_1} \widetilde{II}_j \leq C/|t|,$$

if $J \leq 0$. On the other hand, we have, using (3.11),

$$\sum_{j=J_1}^0 \frac{C 2^{3j}}{(r + (2^{3j} + 2^j)|t|)^N} \leq \frac{C}{|t|} \sum_{j=J_1}^0 2^{3(j-J_1)(1-N)} \leq C/|t|.$$

Overall, we showed that

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{J+1}^0 \widetilde{II}_j \leq C/|t|$$

if $J \leq 0$. In case $\beta = 0$ we have 2^{3j} instead of $(2^j + 2^{3j})$ in the estimates above. Hence (3.12) holds for $\beta = 0$, too, and consequently

$$\tilde{I} + \sum_{j>J} \widetilde{II}_j \leq C(|t|^{-3/2} + |t|^{-N/4})$$

if $\beta \geq 0$. When $|t|$ is very large, we can improve the previous estimates by using the method of [2]. Indeed, instead of using a dyadic decomposition, we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_t^0(\lambda) e^{-(it+\varepsilon^2)(\lambda^4+\bar{\beta}\lambda^2+\alpha)} \Phi_\lambda(r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_t^\infty(\lambda) e^{-(it+\varepsilon^2)(\lambda^4+\bar{\beta}\lambda^2+\alpha)} \Phi_\lambda(r) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \\ &= I_0(t, r) + I_\infty(t, r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_t^0(\lambda) = \chi(\sqrt{t}|\lambda|)$ is an even cut-off function such that $\chi(\lambda) = 1$, if $|\lambda| \leq 1$ and $\chi(\lambda) = 0$, if $|\lambda| \geq 2$ and $\chi_t^\infty = 1 - \chi_t^0$. Using that $|\Phi_\lambda(r)| \leq |\Phi_0(r)| \leq Ce^{-\rho r/2}$ and $|c(\lambda)|^{-2} \leq C\lambda^2$, we find that

$$|I_0(t, r)| \leq |t|^{-3/2} e^{-\rho r/2}.$$

On the other hand, after integrating by parts $M(=k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3)$ times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\rho r/2} |I_\infty(t, r)| &\leq C |t|^{-M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-it(\lambda^4+\beta\lambda^2)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \circ \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]^M (\chi_t^\infty(\lambda) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} e^{ir}) \right| d\lambda \\ &\leq C |t|^{-M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3=M} |t|^{k_0/2} |\lambda|^{-M-k_1} r^{k_3} \begin{cases} |\lambda|^2, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \\ |\lambda|^{N-1}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \geq 1 \end{cases} d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3=M \\ k_0 \geq 1}} |t|^{-3/2} r^{k_3} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=M} |t|^{-M} r^{k_3} \left[\int_{|t|^{-1/2} \leq |\lambda| \leq 1} |\lambda|^{2-M-k_1} d\lambda + \int_{|\lambda| \geq 1} |\lambda|^{N-1-M-k_1} d\lambda \right] \\ &\leq |t|^{-3/2} (1+r)^M, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $M > \max\{N, 3/2\}$. Here we used that $|\lambda| \approx |t|^{-1/2}$ if $k_0 \geq 1$, and $4|\lambda|^3 + 2\beta|\lambda| \geq C|\lambda|$ if $\beta > 0$. If $\beta = 0$, we take $\chi_t^0(\lambda) = \chi(t^{1/4}|\lambda|)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\rho r/2} |I_\infty(t, r)| &\leq C |t|^{-M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-it(\lambda^4+\beta\lambda^2)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \circ \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \right]^M (\chi_t^\infty(\lambda) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} e^{ir}) \right| d\lambda \\ &\leq C |t|^{-M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3=M} |t|^{k_0/2} |\lambda|^{-3M-k_1} r^{k_3} \begin{cases} |\lambda|^2, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \\ |\lambda|^{N-1}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \geq 1 \end{cases} d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_0+k_1+k_2+k_3=M \\ k_0 \geq 1}} |t|^{-3/4} r^{k_3} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=M} |t|^{-M} r^{k_3} \left[\int_{|t|^{-1/4} \leq |\lambda| \leq 1} |\lambda|^{2-3M-k_1} d\lambda + \int_{|\lambda| \geq 1} |\lambda|^{N-1-3M-k_1} d\lambda \right] \\ &\leq |t|^{-3/4} (1+r)^M. \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta < 0$, we only have to consider two extra cases which correspond to the situation where $\partial_\lambda \Psi(\lambda)$ degenerates, i.e. when $r/|t|$ is small and $|\lambda|$ is close to $\sqrt{-\beta/2} = \lambda_0$ and when $|r/|t| - \lambda_1|$ is small, where $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{-\beta/6}$, and λ is close to λ_1 . Let χ be a cut-off function supported in $[-1, 1]$ and M a sufficiently large

constant. When $r/|t|$ is small, we find, by using the van der Corput lemma,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} \chi(M(\lambda-\lambda_0)) (m_2(\lambda, r)|c(\lambda)|^{-2} + m_1(\lambda, r)c(-\lambda)^{-1}) d\lambda \right| \leq Ct^{-1/2}(1+r^{-\rho}).$$

When $|r/|t| - \lambda_1|$ is small, we find that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\Psi(\lambda)} \chi(M(\lambda-\lambda_1)) (m_2(\lambda, r)|c(\lambda)|^{-2} + m_1(\lambda, r)c(-\lambda)^{-1}) d\lambda \right| \leq Ct^{-1/3}(1+r^{-\rho}).$$

Notice that, $r \approx t$ in this case, so using the exponential decay in r , we find the result. \square

Thanks to the previous proposition, we are able to estimate the norm of I_ε in the Lorentz space $L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)$, with $q > 2$ and $\theta \geq 1$. Let us recall that the norm of this space is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty (s^{1/q} f^*(s))^\theta \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/\theta}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \theta < \infty, \\ \sup_{s>0} s^{1/q} f^*(s), & \text{if } \theta = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f . If f is a positive radial decreasing function in \mathbb{H}^N then $f^* = f \circ V^{-1}$ where

$$V(r) = C \int_0^r \sinh^{N-1} s ds$$

is the volume of a geodesic ball of radius r . So, using this last fact, we can rewrite the norm as

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty (V(r)^{1/q} f(r))^\theta \frac{V'(r)}{V(r)} dr \right)^{1/\theta}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \theta < \infty, \\ \sup_{s>0} V(s)^{1/q} f(s), & \text{if } \theta = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Using the asymptotics of V , we see that this norm is equivalent to

$$\|f\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)} \approx \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 f(r)^\theta r^{\frac{\theta N}{q}-1} dr \right)^{1/\theta} + \left(\int_1^\infty f(r)^\theta e^{t \frac{\theta(N-1)}{q}} dr \right)^{1/\theta}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \theta < \infty, \\ \sup_{0<s<1} s^{N/q} f(s) + \sup_{s \geq 1} e^{\frac{N-1}{q}s} f(s), & \text{if } \theta = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We are now in position to estimate the $L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)$ norm of $v_t = v(t, \cdot) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $q > 2$ and $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$. Then we have*

$$\|v_t\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-N/4}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } |t| > 1 \text{ and } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } |t| > 1 \text{ and } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.1, we see that

$$|v(t, r)| \leq Cr^{\frac{N+5}{6}} e^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \begin{cases} |t|^{-N/4}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } |t| > 1 \text{ and } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking

$$f(r) = r^{\frac{N+5}{6}} e^{-\frac{N-1}{2}},$$

it is easy to see that $\|f\|_{L^{q,\theta}(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C$ since $q > 2$. This yields to the result. \square

Recalling that $P = (\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2)^2 - \beta(\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} + \rho^2)$, we obtain $L^p - L^q$ estimate for e^{itP} by using the Kunze-Stein inequality.

Proposition 3.3. *For all $2 < q, \tilde{q} \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^{\tilde{q}'}(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-\max(1-\frac{2}{q}, 1-\frac{2}{\tilde{q}})\frac{N}{4}}, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1, \\ |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } |t| \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Young's inequality for convolutions and recalling that $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{H}^N) = L^p(\mathbb{H}^N)$, we have, for small $|t|$,

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|v_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C|t|^{-N/4}, \quad q > 2.$$

By duality, this implies that, for small $|t|$,

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|v_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C|t|^{-N/4}, \quad q > 2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq 1.$$

Interpolating between the three previous inequalities, we get the result when $|t| \leq 1$. For large $|t|$, we will need the following sharp Kunze-Stein inequality due to Cowling, Meda and Setti [18], [19]

$$\|f * g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{q,1}(\mathbb{H}^N)} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{H}^N)}$$

for $q > 2$. Using this inequality, we get that, when $|t|$ is large and $q > 2$,

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|v_t\|_{L^{q,1}} \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also have

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|v_t\|_{L^q} \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } \beta = 0, \end{cases}$$

and, by duality,

$$\|e^{itP}\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{H}^N) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \|v_t\|_{L^q} \leq C \begin{cases} |t|^{-3/2}, & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ |t|^{-3/4}, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Interpolating between the three previous inequalities, the proposition follows. \square

4. STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES AND APPLICATIONS ON GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND SCATTERING

In this section, we give the proof of Theorems (1.1) and 1.2. We end it by giving a trapping result in the spirit of [8].

We say that (p, q) is an admissible pair if

$$\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) : \frac{4}{p} + \frac{N}{q} \geq \frac{N}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

Observe that the set of admissible couple in the hyperbolic space is a lot larger than the corresponding one in the Euclidian space (as for the classical Schrödinger equation). Our proof of the Strichartz is quite standard and follows the TT^* method

of Keel-Tao [28]. We do not consider the endpoint cases of the Strichartz estimates but they can be obtained as in [28].

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (p, q) and (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) are admissible pairs. Let ψ be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\psi + P\psi = h \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N), \end{cases}$$

on $I = [0, T]$. Assume moreover that $|I| \leq 1$ if $\beta \leq 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|\psi\|_{L_t^p(I, L_x^q(\mathbb{H}^N))} \leq C(\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + \|h\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'}(I, L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(\mathbb{H}^N))}).$$

Proof. First, we recall that, using Duhamel's formula, u is given by

$$\psi(t, x) = e^{itP}\psi_0(x) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)P}h(s, x) ds.$$

We set

$$Tf = e^{itP}f(x).$$

Its formal adjoint is then given by

$$T^*F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isP}F(s, x) ds.$$

By the TT^* method, we know that

$$\|Tf\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$$

is equivalent to the boundedness in $L_t^{p'} L_x^{q'} \rightarrow L_t^p L_x^q$ of the operator

$$TT^*F(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)P}F(s, x) ds.$$

By symmetry, it is sufficient to consider the retarded version of the previous operator

$$\widetilde{TT^*}F(x, t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)P}F(s, x) ds.$$

Using the dispersive estimates in Proposition 3.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|TT^*F\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} &\leq C \left\| \int_{|t-s|\geq 1} |t-s|^{-3/2} \|F(s)\|_{L_x^{q'}} \right\|_{L_t^p} \\ &\quad + C \left\| \int_{|t-s|\leq 1} |t-s|^{-(1-\frac{2}{q})\frac{N}{4}} \|F(s)\|_{L_x^{q'}} \right\|_{L_t^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_1(s, t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{|s-t|\leq 1\}}|t-s|^{-1/2(1/2-1/q)n}$. Using Young's inequality, we see that N_1 is bounded from $L_s^{p_1}$ to $L_t^{p_2}$ provided that $0 \leq 1/p_1 - 1/p_2 \leq 1 - (1 - \frac{2}{q})\frac{N}{4}$ and $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$. In particular, it is bounded from $L_s^{p'}$ to L_t^p if $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} \geq (1 - \frac{2}{q})\frac{N}{8}$. On the other hand, $N_2(s, t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{|s-t|\geq 1\}}|t-s|^{-3/2}$ is bounded in $L_s^{p'}$ into L_t^p provided that $p > 2$. This proves the boundedness of TT^*F in $L_t^{p'} L_x^{q'} \rightarrow L_t^p L_x^q$. The boundedness in $L_t^{p'} L_x^{q'} \rightarrow L_t^{\tilde{p}} L_x^{\tilde{q}}$ can then be obtained as in Section 7 of [28]. The case $(p, q) = (\infty, 2)$ is settled by the conservation of the mass. \square

Let us point out that to prove the $L^{p'} - L^p$, for $p > 2$, of N_2 , we use the fact that v_t decays faster than $|t|^{-1}$ when $|t|$ is large.

We consider

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi + P\psi = F(\psi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in X(\mathbb{H}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $X(\mathbb{H}^N) = L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ or $H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$, and F is a function satisfying

$$|F(\psi)| \leq C|\psi|^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad |F(\psi) - F(\tilde{\psi})| \leq C|\psi - \tilde{\psi}|(|\psi|^{\gamma-1} + |\tilde{\psi}|^{\gamma-1}),$$

for some $\gamma > 1$. Thanks to Theorem 4.1, we will be able to obtain some global well-posedness results for small initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ or $H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ by using a standard fixed point approach.

Theorem 4.2. *If $1 < \gamma \leq 1 + \frac{8}{N}$ and $\beta > 0$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that if $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq c$ then (4.2) is globally well-posed, i.e. $\psi(t, \cdot)$ exists for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, if $1 < \gamma < 1 + \frac{8}{N}$, (4.2) is locally well-posed for arbitrary L^2 data, i.e. for any $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$, there exists $T > 0$ such that $\psi(t, \cdot)$ exists for all $|t| < T$.*

Proof. We begin by proving the global well-posedness for small initial data when $\beta > 0$. Let $\psi = \Phi(\varphi)$ be the solution to

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi(t, x) + P\psi(t, x) = F(\varphi(t, x)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N). \end{cases}$$

By Duhamel's formula, we have

$$\psi(t, x) = e^{itP} \psi_0(x) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)P} F(\varphi(s, x)) ds.$$

Using Strichartz estimate (4.1), we get

$$\|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\psi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \leq C\|\psi_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + C\|F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}},$$

for admissible pairs (p, q) and (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) , i.e.

$$p, \tilde{p} > 2, \quad q, \tilde{q} < 2, \quad \frac{4}{Np} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{4}{N\tilde{p}} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{q}}.$$

By the assumption on F , we have

$$\|F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}} L_x^{\tilde{q}}}^\gamma.$$

We impose that $p = \gamma\tilde{p}'$ and $q = \gamma\tilde{q}'$, i.e. $\tilde{p} = \frac{p}{\gamma - \gamma}$ and $\tilde{q} = \frac{q}{\gamma - \gamma}$. In order for (p, q) and (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) to be admissible, we need that $p > 2$, $q < 2$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{4}{Np} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{N} \right) - \frac{1}{q}.$$

So we can find $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$ satisfying all the previous conditions provided that $1 < \gamma \leq 1 + 8/N$. We have proved that Φ is a self-map of a Banach space $X = C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^q(\mathbb{H}^N))$ with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_X = \|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\psi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}.$$

We want to show that Φ is a contraction in the ball

$$X_\varepsilon = \{\psi \in X : \|\psi\|_X \leq \varepsilon\},$$

provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)}$ are small enough. Let $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in X$. We set $\psi = \Phi(\varphi)$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \Phi(\tilde{\varphi})$. Using (4.1) and our assumption on F , we obtain

$$\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq C\|F(\varphi) - F(\tilde{\varphi})\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \leq C\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} (\|\varphi\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}^{\gamma-1}).$$

So, we deduce that

$$\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq C\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_X (\|\varphi\|_X^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_X^{\gamma-1}).$$

If we assume that $\|\varphi\|_X, \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_X \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq \delta$, then we get

$$\|\psi\|_X, \|\tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq C\delta + C\varepsilon^\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq 2C\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_X.$$

Therefore, choosing $C\varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $C\delta \leq \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\|\psi\|_X, \|\tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_X.$$

This concludes the proof of the global well-posedness for small L^2 initial data. Next, we deal with an arbitrary initial data in L^2 .

When $1 < \gamma < 1 + \frac{8}{N}$, we restrict to a small time interval $I = [-T, T]$. We proceed as above except that we define $(1 - \tilde{\lambda})p = \gamma\tilde{p}'$, where $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ is small enough. So, we get

$$\|F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L_t^{(1-\tilde{\lambda})p} L_x^q}^\gamma.$$

Using Hölder's inequality in time, we obtain

$$\|\psi\|_X \leq C\|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + CT^\lambda\|\varphi\|_X^\gamma,$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, and

$$\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X \leq CT^\lambda (\|\varphi\|_X^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_X^{\gamma-1})\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_X.$$

So Φ is a contraction in the ball

$$X_R = \{\psi \in X : \|\psi\|_X \leq R\},$$

if R is large enough and T small enough. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{N+4}{N-4}$ and $\beta > 0$, then (4.2) is globally well-posed for small H^2 data. Moreover, if $1 < \gamma < \frac{N+4}{N-4}$, then (4.2) is locally well-posed for arbitrary H^2 data.*

Proof. As previously, we begin by showing the global well-posedness for small initial data when $\beta > 0$. We apply $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}$ to our equation. Then the Strichartz estimate gives, for any admissible pairs (p, q) and (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) ,

$$\|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^2} + \|\psi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}} \leq C\|\psi_0\|_{H_x^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + C\|F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} H_x^{2,\tilde{q}'}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\Delta F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}^\gamma,$$

and, with the Sobolev inequality,

$$\|F(\varphi)\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}^\gamma,$$

provided that $p = \gamma\tilde{p}'$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} \geq \frac{\gamma}{q} - \frac{2(\gamma-1)}{N}$. Combining the previous inequalities, we obtain that

$$\|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^2} + \|\psi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}} \leq C\|\psi_0\|_{H_x^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + C\|\varphi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}^\gamma.$$

We can choose $p, \tilde{p}, q, \tilde{q}$ as above since

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{4}{Np} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{4}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma N},$$

provided that $\gamma \leq \frac{N+4}{N-4}$. So we proved that Φ is a self-map of the Banach space $X = C(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}; H^{2,q}(\mathbb{H}^N))$ with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_X = \|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^2} + \|\psi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}.$$

We want to show that Φ is a contraction in a ball

$$X_\varepsilon = \{\psi \in X : \|\psi\|_X \leq \varepsilon\},$$

provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\|\psi_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)}$ are small enough. Let $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in X_\varepsilon$. We set $\psi = \Phi(\varphi)$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \Phi(\tilde{\varphi})$. As previously, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_X &\leq C \|F(\varphi) - F(\tilde{\varphi})\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}} (\|\varphi\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}^{\gamma-1}) \\ &\leq 2C\varepsilon^{\gamma-1} \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L_t^p H_x^{2,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if ε is small enough, Φ is a contraction in X_ε for the norm inherited from $Y = L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^q(\mathbb{H}^N))$. We deduce from this fact the uniqueness of the possible fixed point of Φ in X_ε . Concerning the existence, let $\psi_0 \in X_\varepsilon$. We define $\psi_j = \Phi^j(\psi_0)$. We see that ψ_j converges to some fixed point ψ in the closure of X_ε in Y . On the other hand, since X is reflexive and separable, ψ_j weakly converges in X_ε to some $\tilde{\psi}$. But by the uniqueness, we deduce that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$. This concludes the proof of the global well-posedness for small H^2 initial data. Concerning the local well-posedness for arbitrary initial data in H^2 when $1 < \gamma < \frac{N+4}{N-4}$, we restrict to a small time interval $I = [-T, T]$. We proceed as previously, using that

$$\|\psi\|_X \leq C \|\psi_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} + CT^\lambda \|\varphi\|_X^\gamma,$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, and

$$\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_Y \leq CT^\lambda (\|\varphi\|_X^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_X^{\gamma-1}) \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_Y,$$

where $X = C(I; H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)) \cap L^p(I; H^{2,q}(\mathbb{H}^N))$ and $Y = L^p(I; L^q(\mathbb{H}^N))$. \square

Another consequence of our Strichartz estimate are the following scattering results in $L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\beta > 0$. Assume that $1 < \gamma \leq 1 + \frac{8}{N}$. Let ψ be a global solution with small L^2 data of (4.2) obtained in Theorem 4.2. Then ψ has the following scattering property: there exist $\psi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ such that*

$$\|\psi(t) - e^{itP} \psi_\pm\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Proof. The scattering follows from the following Cauchy criterion: if

$$\|z(t_1, \cdot) - z(t_2, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $z_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ such that $\|z(t, \cdot) - z_+(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We apply this criterion for $z(t, x) = e^{-itP} \psi(t, x)$. Using the Strichartz

estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-it_2 P} \psi(t_2, \cdot) - e^{-it_1 P} \psi(t_1, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-isP} F(\psi(s, \cdot)) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \\ &\leq \left\| \psi \right\|_{L^p([t_1, t_2]; L^q(\mathbb{H}^n))}^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^q(\mathbb{H}^n))$, the last term vanishes when $t_1 \leq t_2$ tend both to $\pm\infty$. \square

With the same proof but using Theorem 4.3 instead of Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{N+4}{N-4}$. Let ψ be a global solution with small H^2 data of (4.2) obtained in Theorem 4.3. Then ψ has the following scattering property: there exist $\psi_\pm \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ such that*

$$\left\| \psi(t) - e^{itP} \psi_\pm \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

We can also prove the existence of wave operator following Banica-Carles-Staffilani [6].

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that F is defocusing, $\beta > 0$ and that $\gamma < \frac{N+4}{N-4}$. Then, for any $\psi_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$, (4.2) has a unique global solution $\psi(t, \cdot)$ with the scattering property*

$$\left\| \psi(t) - e^{itP} \psi_0 \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Finally, we conclude this section by proving a rough trapping result. Before stating our result, we need some notation. We set

$$\|u\|_{H_{\beta, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} (|\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} u|^2 + \beta |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda u^2) dV.$$

We recall the following higher order Poincaré inequality (see Berchio-Ganguly [10])

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^N} |\nabla^k u|^2 dV \geq \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^{2(k-1)} \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} |\nabla^l u|^2 dV,$$

where

$$\nabla^j = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}^{j/2}, & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ \nabla(\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}^{(j-1)/2}), & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

So assuming that $\beta \geq 0$, we see that $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\beta, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)}$ if

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda > -\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^4 - \beta \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^2.$$

We set

$$E_{\beta, \lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_{\beta, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}.$$

If $\beta \geq 0$, λ satisfies (4.3), and $0 < \sigma < \frac{4}{(N-4)_+}$, it is standard to prove that the minimisation problem

$$(4.4) \quad D^{-1} = \min_{u \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\beta, \lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2},$$

admits a solution Q . We will say that Q is a ground state. We denote by \mathcal{Q} the set of all ground states, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^N) : Q \text{ is a solution to (4.4)}\}.$$

It is easy to check that Q satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}^2 Q - \beta \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} Q + \lambda Q = D^{-1} |Q|^{2\sigma} Q.$$

So, setting $\tilde{Q} = D^{-(2\sigma+1)} Q$, we see that \tilde{Q} satisfies (4.5) with $D^{-1} \equiv 1$. We set

$$\delta_\lambda(u) = \|u\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 - \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2,$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. We prove the following:

Theorem 4.7. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\beta \geq 0$, λ satisfying (4.3) and $\psi(t)$ be the solution to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N}^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} \psi + |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^N, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{H}^N). \end{cases}$$

If $0 < \sigma < \frac{4}{(N-4)_+}$ and $E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi_0) \leq E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q)$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $\delta_\lambda(\psi(t))$ does not change sign. Moreover,

- *If $\delta_\lambda(\psi_0) = 0$, then there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and a hyperbolic isometry h such that $\psi_0 = e^{i\theta} Q(h(\cdot))$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$.*
- *if $\delta_\lambda(\psi_0) < 0$, then the solution u is global in time.*
- *if $\delta_\lambda(\psi_0) > 0$ then the solution u does not scatter in any time direction.*

Proof. We begin by proving the following claim: if $E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi) \leq E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q)$ and

$$\|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 \leq \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2,$$

then there holds

$$\|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 \leq \frac{E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi)}{E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q)} \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2.$$

Indeed, first as noticed previously, Q satisfies (4.5) with $D = 1$. So, multiplying this equation by Q , we find

$$\|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 = \|Q\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}.$$

This implies that

$$D = \|Q\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{-2\sigma} = \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{\frac{-4\sigma}{2\sigma+2}},$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q) = \frac{\sigma}{2(\sigma+2)} \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2.$$

So, using that Q is a ground state, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 - \frac{D^{\sigma+1}}{2\sigma+2} \|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} \\ &= a(\|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{\|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{-2\sigma}}{2\sigma+2} x^{\sigma+1}.$$

Let $b(x) = a(x) - \frac{\sigma}{2\sigma+2}x$. One can check that $b > 0$ on $[0, \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2]$. Since $\|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 \leq \|Q\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2$, we get

$$E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi) \geq \frac{\sigma}{2\sigma+2} \|\psi\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2.$$

Using (4.6), this proves the claim.

Now suppose that $\delta(\psi_0) = 0$. Then $E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi_0) = E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q)$. So ψ_0 is a ground state. As a consequence, if $\delta(\psi_0) \neq 0$, then $\delta(\psi(t)) \neq 0$ for all t such that $\psi(t)$ exists.

Next, assume that $\delta(\psi_0) < 0$. Since the sign of $\delta(\psi(t))$ does not depend on t , we deduce that $\|\psi(t)\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)} \leq C$, for some constant C not depending on t . Therefore, using the mass conservation, $\psi(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $H^2(\mathbb{H}^N)$ so it exists globally.

Finally, suppose that $\delta(\psi(t)) > 0$ and that $u(t)$ scatters. In particular, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)} = 0.$$

So, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find t large enough such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 < E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi(t)) + \varepsilon = E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi_0) + \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$E_{\beta,\lambda}(\psi_0) \leq E_{\beta,\lambda}(Q) < \frac{1}{2} \|\psi(t)\|_{H_{\beta,\lambda}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|Q\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}.$$

So, taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4(\sigma+1)} \|Q\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{H}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}$, we get a contradiction. \square

5. STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR RADIAL SOLUTIONS ON A COMPLETE ROTATIONALLY SYMMETRIC RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

In this section we adapt the method from [7] to obtain Strichartz estimates on rotationally symmetric manifolds. We assume that $M = (\mathbb{R}^N, g)$ is an N -dimensional complete rotationally symmetric manifold with the Riemannian metric $g = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)d\theta^2$, where $d\theta^2$ is the standard metric on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} and ϕ is a C^∞ -smooth nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$, strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\phi^{(2k)}(0) = 0$ $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and $\phi'(0) = 1$. We recall that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M is given by

$$\Delta_M = \partial_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{\phi'(r)}{\phi(r)} \partial_r + \frac{1}{\phi^2(r)} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . As in [7, §2.1] we set $\sigma(r) = (r/\phi(r))^{(N-1)/2}$ and $\psi(t, r) = \sigma(r)\varphi(t, r)$. Then the Laplacian of a radial function u on M can be expressed in terms of the Euclidean Laplacian, denoted by $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}$ in what follows, with a potential as

$$\Delta_M \psi = \sigma(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi + V\varphi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{\sigma''}{\sigma} + (N-1) \frac{\phi' \sigma'}{\phi \sigma} \\ &= \frac{N-1}{2} \left[\frac{N-3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 \right) - \frac{\phi''}{\phi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By noticing that

$$2 \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} + (N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} = \frac{N-1}{r},$$

we can write the bi-Laplacian on M as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_M^2 \psi &= \sigma(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \varphi + 2V\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi + 2\varphi'V' + \varphi(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} V + V^2)) \\ &= \sigma(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{P}_M \psi = \Delta_M^2 \psi - \beta \Delta_M \psi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_M \psi &= \sigma[(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V) + \beta(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)]\varphi \\ &= \sigma(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \beta)\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

We denote $P_V = \tilde{P}_M/\sigma$. Observe that, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$P_V - \mu = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \gamma_1)(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \gamma_2),$$

where $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -\mu$, $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \beta$. Thanks to this decomposition, we can split the resolvent $R(P_V - \mu) = (P_V - \mu)^{-1}$ into a sum of resolvents of two second order operators. Indeed, we have

$$(5.1) \quad R(P_V - \mu) = \frac{(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \gamma_1)^{-1} - (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} - V + \gamma_2)^{-1}}{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}.$$

Next, let us recall the resolvent estimate of Burq et al. [17, Theorem 2.1]; see [7, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$|V(x)| \leq C|x|^{-2}.$$

Suppose that there exists δ_0 such that

$$\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 + r^2 V(r) \geq \delta_0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 - r^2 \partial_r(rV)(r) \geq \delta_0$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left\| |x|^{-1}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} + W - \mu)^{-1}|x|^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

Thanks to the previous theorem, we will prove a resolvent estimate for our operator.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that V satisfies the assumption in Theorem 5.1. Moreover, assume that*

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V}{\langle x \rangle} \right| + \left| \nabla \frac{V'}{\langle x \rangle} \right| + \frac{|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} V + V^2 + \mu|}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{|V|}{\langle x \rangle} \leq \frac{C}{\langle x \rangle},$$

where $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} (P_V - \mu)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

Proof. First, notice thanks to Theorem 5.1 and to (5.1) that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} (P_V - \mu)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1} \right\|_{L^2 \rightarrow H^1} \leq C.$$

Let $(P_V - \mu)u = f/\langle x \rangle$. Multiplying by $\bar{u}/\langle x \rangle$ and integrating by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} u|^2}{\langle x \rangle} dx &\leq C \int \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\langle x \rangle^3} + \frac{|u|^2}{\langle x \rangle^5} + \frac{f\bar{u}}{\langle x \rangle^2} \right) dx + \int \left(\frac{(2V - \beta)|\nabla u|^2}{\langle x \rangle} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |u|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(2V - \beta)}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} V}{\langle x \rangle} - \nabla V \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} V + V^2 - \beta V + \mu}{\langle x \rangle} \right) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

So, if (5.2) holds, then using once more Theorem 5.1, we deduce that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} (P_V - \mu)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-1}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow H^2} \leq C.$$

□

Now we convert our resolvent estimate into smoothing estimate by using the method of Burq, Gerard and Tzvetkov [15].

Proposition 5.2. *Let φ be a solution to*

$$i\partial_t \varphi - P_V \varphi = \tilde{h}, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0.$$

Assume that V satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 and (5.2). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all f with $\langle x \rangle f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2)$, we have

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \nabla^i \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C(\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))}),$$

for $i = 1, 2$ with the convention that $\nabla^2 = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}$.

Proof. Using the previous proposition and proceeding as in the proof of [15, Prop. 2.7], we find that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, H^2)} \leq C(\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2)}).$$

Noticing that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2)} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, H^1)},$$

and

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2)} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, H^2)},$$

this establishes the proposition. □

We consider

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \varphi - \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}^2 \varphi = \tilde{h} + 2V \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi + 2V' \varphi' + \varphi \tilde{W} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{W} = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N} V + V^2$. Using our smoothing estimate and the Strichartz estimate for the biharmonic Schrödinger equation, we will derive a Strichartz estimate for our equation with potentials. We will need the following two sets of assumptions: if we consider an S -admissible pair, we assume that

$$(5.3) \quad \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{W}\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle V'\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle V\|_{L^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C,$$

whereas, for a B -admissible pair, we assume that

$$(5.4) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{W}\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle^2 V'\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \|\langle x \rangle^2 V\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C.$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let φ be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\varphi - P_V\varphi = \tilde{h} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

Assume that V satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, (5.2) and (5.3) (resp. (5.4)). Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all B - (resp. S -) admissible pairs (p_i, q_i) , $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}, L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2'}(\mathbb{R}, L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))}).$$

Proof. We start by considering the B -admissible pairs case. Using the standard end point Strichartz estimate in Lorentz space, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N-4}, 2})} \leq \|\varphi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\tilde{h} + 2V\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi + 2V'\varphi' + \varphi\tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})}.$$

We recall the Hölder's inequality in Lorentz space: let $0 < p_1, p_2, p < \infty$ and $0 < q_1, q_2, q \leq \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$, then

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p_1, q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2, q_2}}.$$

So, we have using the previous proposition and (5.4) that

$$\|\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-2}\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)},$$

$$\|\varphi\tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{W}\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-2}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq C(\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}),$$

$$\|V'\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^2 V'\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-2}\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq C(\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|V\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} &\leq \|\langle x \rangle^2 V\|_{L^{N/2, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \\ &\leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$(5.6) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} \leq C(\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\langle x \rangle^2 \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}).$$

Let

$$A(f)(t, x) = i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)P} f(\tau, x) d\tau.$$

Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $T > 0$ such that $\text{supp } g \subset (-T, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, if $t > 0$

$$A^*(g)(t, x) = i \int_t^T e^{i(t-\tau)P} g(\tau, x) d\tau.$$

So, we get from (5.6) that

$$\|A^*(g)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N-4}, 2})} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}.$$

In fact, we have $\|\langle x \rangle^{-1}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-2})}$ (this is the dual of the previous proposition with $\psi(0) \equiv 0$) which implies that

$$\|A^*(g)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N-4}, 2})} \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-2})}.$$

By duality,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} A(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})}.$$

We deduce from this that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} \nabla^i A(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})}.$$

This implies that

$$(5.7) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})} \leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+4}, 2})}).$$

Next, using that $\frac{d}{dt} \int |\varphi|^2 dx = \text{Im} \int \tilde{h} \bar{\varphi} dx$, we get that

$$(5.8) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2)} \leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2)}),$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2)} \leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+4})}).$$

Interpolating (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) as well as their dual, we get the result for B -admissible pairs. The result for S -admissible pairs follows in a similar way but instead of (5.5), we use

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})} \leq \|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{h} + 2V\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi + 2V'\varphi' + \varphi\tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})}.$$

Since $\|\langle x \rangle^{-1}\|_{L^{N, \infty}} < \infty$, we use Hölder's inequality but this time with weight $\langle x \rangle$ and (5.3) to find

$$\|\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-1}\|_{L^{N, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)},$$

$$\|\varphi\tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{W}\|_{L^{N, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}),$$

$$\|V'\varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})} \leq \|\langle x \rangle V'\|_{L^{N, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \varphi'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|V\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}, 2})} &\leq \|\langle x \rangle V\|_{L^{N, \infty}} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)} \\ &\leq C (\|\varphi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\langle x \rangle \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2)}). \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we can reproduce the above proof with obvious modifications. \square

Finally, we use a change of variables to apply the previous result to our original equation.

Corollary 5.1. *Assume that V satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, (5.2) and (5.4) (resp. (5.3)). Let ψ be a radial solution to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi - \Delta_M^2 \psi + \beta \Delta_M \psi = h \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times M, \\ \psi(0, \cdot) = \psi_0 \in H^1(M), \end{cases}$$

on an interval $I = [0, T]$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all interval I , and all B - (resp. S -) admissible pairs (p_i, q_i) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi \sigma^{-(1-2/q_1)}\|_{L^{p_1}(I, L^{q_1}(M))} \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla_M \psi_0\|_{L^2(M)} + \|\psi_0 |\nabla_M \sigma| / \sigma\|_{L^2(M)} + \|h \sigma^{(1-2/q_2)}\|_{L^{p'_2}(I, L^{q'_2}(M))}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the previous change of variables and the previous theorem, we know that

$$\|\psi/\sigma\|_{L^{p_1}(I, L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq C(\|\psi_0/\sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|h/\sigma\|_{L^{p'_2}(I, L^{q'_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))}).$$

The corollary then follows from the remark that

$$\|h/\sigma\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q = \|h\sigma^{2/q-1}\|_{L^q(M)}^q,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|h/\sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{|\nabla h|^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{h^2|\nabla\sigma|^2}{\sigma^4} \right) r^{N-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2 \frac{|\nabla\sigma|^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \phi^{N-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

□

6. BLOW-UP

In this section, we work on a complete rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifold $M = (\mathbb{R}^N, g)$ equipped with the metric $g = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)d\theta^2$. We assume that $\phi(r) \geq r$ for every $r \geq 0$. To simplify notation, we denote by Δ the Laplace-Beltrami operator in M . We assume that there exists a radial function φ_R satisfying the following properties:

- There exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\Delta\varphi_R(r) = \gamma$ for $r \leq R$.
- We have $\varphi_R''(r) \leq 1$ for all $r \geq 0$.
- There holds $|\Delta\varphi_R(r) - \gamma| \leq C$ for every $r \geq 0$.
- We have

$$-F_2 - 16((\Delta\varphi_R)') + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\Delta\varphi_R' + 8(N-1)\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)' + \frac{3}{2}(F_1' + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F_1) \leq o_R(1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 8G - G_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta F_2 + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F_2' + (N-1)^2\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)^2F_2 + (N-1)\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)'F_2 \\ - F_3' - (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F_3) + \Delta^3\varphi_R - \beta\Delta^2\varphi_R \leq o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

- $\varphi_R(r) \equiv C$ when $r \geq 10R$.

The functions F_1, F_2, F_3, G and G_1 are defined in the following.

We define the localized virial of $u \in H^2(M)$ as

$$(6.1) \quad M_{\varphi_R}(u) = \langle u, -i(\langle \nabla\varphi_R, \nabla u \rangle + u\Delta\varphi_R) \rangle = 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_M \bar{u} \langle \nabla\varphi_R, \nabla u \rangle dV.$$

The following time evolution inequality for M_{φ_R} generalizes [13, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ and $R > 0$. Suppose that $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(M))$ is a radial solution to (1.1). Then, for any $t \in [0, T)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) &\leq 4\sigma\gamma E(u_0) + (8 - 2\sigma\gamma) \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV + \beta(4 - 2\sigma\gamma) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV \\ &\quad + 4\mu \int_M |\nabla u|^2 (\varphi_R'' - 1) dV + o_R(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2) + O(R^{-\sigma(N-1)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Above

$$o_R(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2)$$

denotes quantities such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{o_R(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2)}{1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2} = 0.$$

Proof. We set $\Gamma_{\varphi_R} = -i(\langle \nabla \varphi_R, \nabla(\cdot) \rangle + \Delta \varphi_R)$. Taking the time derivative of (6.1) and noticing that $i\partial u$ is given by (1.1), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) = A_1(u(t)) + A_2(u(t)) + B(u(t)),$$

where

$$A_1(u) = \langle u, [\Delta^2, i\Gamma_{\varphi_R}]u \rangle, \quad A_2(u) = \langle u, [-\beta\Delta, i\Gamma_{\varphi_R}]u \rangle,$$

and

$$B(u) = \langle u, [-|u|^p, i\Gamma_{\varphi_R}]u \rangle.$$

First, we deal with A_2 . After a long but straight-forward computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Delta, i\Gamma_{\varphi_R}]u \\ &= [\Delta(\varphi'_R u' + \Delta \varphi_R u) - \varphi'_R(\Delta u)' - \Delta \varphi_R \Delta u] + \Delta \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi_R \rangle - \langle \nabla \varphi_R, \nabla \Delta u \rangle \\ &= 4u''\varphi''_R + 4u'\Delta\varphi'_R + u\Delta^2\varphi_R. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we deduce from the previous line that

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(u) &= -\beta \int_M u(4u''\varphi''_R + 4u'\Delta\varphi'_R + u\Delta^2\varphi_R) dV \\ &= 4\beta \int_M (u')^2 \varphi''_R dV - \beta \int_M u^2 \Delta^2 \varphi_R dV \\ &= 4\beta \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + 4\beta \int_M (u')^2 (\varphi''_R - 1) dV - \beta \int_M u^2 \Delta^2 \varphi_R dV. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we deal with A_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta^2, i\Gamma_{\varphi_R}]u &= [\Delta^2(\varphi'_R u' + \Delta \varphi_R u) - \varphi'_R(\Delta^2 u)' - \Delta \varphi_R \Delta^2 u] + \Delta^2(u'\varphi'_R) - \varphi'_R(\Delta^2 u)' \\ &= 8\varphi''_R u^{(4)} + u^{(3)}(-2\varphi'_R A' + 4\varphi''_R A + 4\Delta\varphi'_R + 8\varphi_R^{(3)} + 4(\Delta\varphi_R)') \\ &\quad + u''(-2\varphi'_R B' + 4\varphi''_R(N-1)(\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - (\frac{\phi'}{\phi})^2) + 2A(\Delta\varphi'_R + (\Delta\varphi_R)') \\ &\quad + 4(\Delta\varphi'_R)' + 4\Delta\varphi''_R + 2\Delta^2\varphi_R + 4(\Delta\varphi_R)''') \\ &\quad + u'(-2\varphi'_R C' + 2(\Delta\varphi_R)'(N-1)(\frac{\phi'}{\phi})' \\ &\quad + 2\Delta^2\varphi_R(N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} + 2\Delta^2\varphi'_R + 2(\Delta^2\varphi_R)' + 2\Delta((\Delta\varphi_R)')) \\ &\quad + u\Delta^3\varphi_R, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = 2(N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi},$$

$$B = 2(N-1) \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 \right) + (N-1)^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2,$$

and

$$C = (N-1) \left(\frac{\phi^{(3)}}{\phi} - 3 \frac{\phi''\phi'}{\phi^2} + 2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^3 \right) + (N-1)^2 \left(\frac{\phi'\phi''}{\phi^2} - \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^3 \right).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= -2\varphi'_R A' + 4\varphi''_R A + 4\Delta\varphi'_R + 8\varphi_R^{(3)} + 4(\Delta\varphi_R)', \\ F_2 &= -2\varphi'_R B' + 4\varphi''_R (N-1) \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi} - \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 \right) + 2A(\Delta\varphi'_R + (\Delta\varphi_R)') \\ &\quad + 4(\Delta\varphi'_R)' + 4\Delta\varphi''_R + 2\Delta^2\varphi_R + 4(\Delta\varphi_R)'', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= -2\varphi'_R C' + 2(\Delta\varphi_R)'(N-1) \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)' + 2\Delta^2\varphi_R(N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} + 2\Delta^2\varphi'_R \\ &\quad + 2(\Delta^2\varphi_R)' + 2\Delta((\Delta\varphi_R)'). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M uu^{(4)}\varphi''_R dV \\ &= \int_M \varphi''_R (u'')^2 dV - 2 \int_M |u'|^2 ((\Delta\varphi'_R)' + (N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \Delta\varphi'_R) dV + \int_M u^2 G dV, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2G &= (\Delta^2\varphi'_R)' + 2(N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \Delta^2\varphi'_R + (\Delta\varphi'_R)'(2(N-1) \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)' + (N-1)^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2) \\ &\quad + \Delta\varphi'_R ((N-1) \Delta \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right) + (N-1)^2 \left(\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 \right)' + (N-1)^3 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^3). \end{aligned}$$

Doing the same for the others terms, we get

$$\int_M uu^{(3)}F_1 dV = \frac{3}{2} \int_M (u')^2 (F_1' + (N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} F_1) dV - \int_M u^2 G_1 dV,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2G_1 &= (\Delta F_1)' + 2(N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} \Delta F_1 + F_1' (2(N-1) \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)' + (N-1)^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2) \\ &\quad + F_1 ((N-1) \Delta \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right) + (N-1)^2 \left(\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 \right)' + (N-1)^3 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^3), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M uu''F_2 dV &= - \int_M (u')^2 F_2 dV \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_M u^2 (\Delta F_2 + (N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} F_2' + (N-1)^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)^2 F_2 + (N-1) \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)' F_2) dV, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_M uu'F_3 dV = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M u^2 (F_3' + (N-1) \frac{\phi'}{\phi} F_3) dV.$$

Also, notice that

$$\int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV = \int_M |u''|^2 dV - (N-1) \int_M |u'|^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \right)' dV.$$

Thanks to our assumption on φ_R , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \left(-F_2 - 16((\Delta\varphi'_R)') + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\Delta\varphi'_R + 8(N-1)\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)' \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{3}{2}(F'_1 + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F_1) \right) dV \\ & \leq o_R(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M |u|^2 \left(8G - G_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta F_2 + (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F'_2 + (N-1)^2\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)^2 F_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (N-1)\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)' F_2 - F'_3 - (N-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}F_3 \right) + \Delta^3\varphi_R - \beta\Delta^2\varphi_R \Big) dV \\ & \leq o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

(6.2)

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(u) + A_2(u) & \leq 8 \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV + 4\beta \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + 4\beta \int_M |\nabla u|^2 (\varphi''_R - 1) dV \\ & \quad + o_R(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the term $B(u)$. One can see that

$$B(u) = 2 \int_M |u|^2 \varphi'_R (|u|^{2\sigma})' dV = -\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} \int_M \Delta\varphi_R |u|^{2\sigma+2} dV.$$

Using that $\Delta\varphi_R(r) = \gamma$ if $r \leq R$, we get

$$(6.3) \quad B(u) = -\frac{2\sigma\gamma}{\sigma+1} \int_M |u|^{2\sigma+2} dV + \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} \int_M |\Delta\varphi_R - \gamma| |u|^{2\sigma+2} dV.$$

From $|\Delta\varphi_R - \gamma| \leq C$, using the Strauss inequality and the fact that $r^{N-1} \leq \phi^{N-1}(r)$, for all $r \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\Delta\varphi - \gamma| |u|^{2\sigma+2} dV & \leq \int_{M \setminus B_R} |u|^{2\sigma+2} dV \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 R^{-\sigma(N-1)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2, \text{Eucl}}^\sigma \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 R^{-\sigma(N-1)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from (6.2), (6.3) and the last estimate. \square

Theorem 6.1. *Let $M = (\mathbb{R}^N, g)$ be a complete rotationally symmetric manifold equipped with the Riemannian metric $g = dr^2 + \phi^2(r)d\theta^2$, with $\phi(r) \geq r$. Suppose, furthermore, that there exists the radial function φ_R as defined previously for any $R > 0$. Let $N \geq 2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\sigma \leq 4$, $\sigma\gamma > 4$ and $\sigma < \frac{N}{(N-4)_+}$. Suppose that $u_0 \in H^2(M)$ is radial such that*

$$E(u_0) < \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta > 0, \\ -a(N, \sigma)\beta^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2(M)}^2, & \text{if } \beta < 0, \end{cases}$$

for some constant $a(N, \sigma)$ depending on N and σ . Then, the solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(M))$ of (1.1) blows-up in finite time.

Proof. We first consider the case $\beta > 0$ and $E(u_0) < 0$. From the previous lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) &\leq 4\sigma\gamma E(u_0) + (8 - 2\sigma\gamma) \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV \\ &\quad + o_R(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2) + O(R^{-\sigma(N-1)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C(u_0)\|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(M)}^{1/2}$ and $\sigma \leq 4$, we can choose $R > 0$ large enough, such that

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt}M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) \leq -\delta \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

for some constant $\delta > 0$. Suppose on the contrary that $T = \infty$. From (6.4), we see that there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$. So, integrating (6.4) over $[t_1, t]$, $t > t_1$, and using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we find

$$M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) \leq -\delta \int_{t_1}^t \|\Delta u(s)\|_{L^2(M)}^2 ds \leq -C(\delta, R) \int_{t_1}^t |M_{\varphi_R}(u(s))|^4 ds.$$

Setting

$$z(t) = \int_{t_1}^t |M_{\varphi_R}(u(s))|^4 ds,$$

we see that $z'(t) \geq Cz(t)^4$. It is easy to see that $z(t)$ has to blow-up in finite time. Therefore, $u(t)$ cannot exist for all $t \geq 0$.

Next, we consider the case $\beta < 0$. In this case, we use that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\eta}\|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

for some $\eta > 0$. So, proceeding as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) &\leq 4\sigma\gamma E(u_0) + \frac{A^2\beta^2}{4(N\sigma - 4)} \int_M |u_0|^2 dV - \delta \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV \\ &\quad + o_R(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \beta(4 - 2\sigma\gamma + 4 \max_{r \geq 0} |\varphi''(r) - 1|)$ and $\delta > 0$. So assuming that

$$4\sigma\gamma E(u_0) + \frac{A^2\beta^2}{4(N\sigma - 4)} \int_M |u_0|^2 dV < 0,$$

we get that

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{\varphi_R}(u(t)) \leq -\delta \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dV, \quad t \in [0, T).$$

At this point, we can conclude as previously. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Maple file. <https://www.dropbox.com/s/tmrsv6kimhsgx82h/4NLS.mw?dl=0>.
- [2] Jean-Philippe Anker, Stefano Meda, Vittoria Pierfelice, Maria Vallarino, and Hong-Wei Zhang. Schrödinger equation on noncompact symmetric spaces. *Preprint arXiv:2104.00265 [math.AP]*, 2021.
- [3] Jean-Philippe Anker and Vittoria Pierfelice. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on real hyperbolic spaces. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(5):1853–1869, 2009.
- [4] Jean-Philippe Anker, Vittoria Pierfelice, and Maria Vallarino. Schrödinger equations on Damek-Ricci spaces. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(6):976–997, 2011.
- [5] V. Banica. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation on hyperbolic space. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(10-12):1643–1677, 2007.

- [6] Valeria Banica, Rémi Carles, and Gigliola Staffilani. Scattering theory for radial nonlinear Schrödinger equations on hyperbolic space. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(2):367–399, 2008.
- [7] Valeria Banica and Thomas Duyckaerts. Weighted Strichartz estimates for radial Schrödinger equation on noncompact manifolds. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 4(4):335–359, 2007.
- [8] Valeria Banica and Thomas Duyckaerts. Global existence, scattering and blow-up for the focusing NLS on the hyperbolic space. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 12(1):53–96, 2015.
- [9] Matania Ben-Artzi, Herbert Koch, and Jean-Claude Saut. Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 330(2):87–92, 2000.
- [10] Elvise Berchio and Debdip Ganguly. Improved higher order Poincaré inequalities on the hyperbolic space via Hardy-type remainder terms. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 15(5):1871–1892, 2016.
- [11] Denis Bonheure, Jean-Baptiste Casteras, Ederson Moreira dos Santos, and Robson Nascimento. Orbitally stable standing waves of a mixed dispersion nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(5):5027–5071, 2018.
- [12] Denis Bonheure, Jean-Baptiste Castéras, Tianxiang Gou, and Louis Jeanjean. Strong instability of ground states to a fourth order Schrödinger equation. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):5299–5315, 2019.
- [13] Thomas Boulenger and Enno Lenzmann. Blowup for biharmonic NLS. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 50(3):503–544, 2017.
- [14] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov. An instability property of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on S^d . *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(2-3):323–335, 2002.
- [15] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov. On nonlinear Schrödinger equations in exterior domains. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 21(3):295–318, 2004.
- [16] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov. Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 126(3):569–605, 2004.
- [17] Nicolas Burq, Fabrice Planchon, John G. Stalker, and A. Shadi Tahvildar-Zadeh. Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53(6):1665–1680, 2004.
- [18] Michael Cowling. Herz’s “principe de majoration” and the Kunze-Stein phenomenon. In *Harmonic analysis and number theory (Montreal, PQ, 1996)*, volume 21 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 73–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [19] Michael Cowling, Stefano Meda, and Alberto G. Setti. An overview of harmonic analysis on the group of isometries of a homogeneous tree. *Exposition. Math.*, 16(5):385–423, 1998.
- [20] Thomas Duyckaerts. A singular critical potential for the Schrödinger operator. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(1):35–47, 2007.
- [21] Hongliang Feng, Avy Soffer, Zhao Wu, and Xiaohua Yao. Decay estimates for higher-order elliptic operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(4):2805–2859, 2020.
- [22] Gadi Fibich, Boaz Ilan, and George Papanicolaou. Self-focusing with fourth-order dispersion. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 62(4):1437–1462, 2002.
- [23] Michael Goldberg, Luis Vega, and Nicola Visciglia. Counterexamples of Strichartz inequalities for Schrödinger equations with repulsive potentials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 13927, 16, 2006.
- [24] S. Helgason. Radon-Fourier transforms on symmetric spaces and related group representations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71:757–763, 1965.
- [25] Alexandru D. Ionescu. Fourier integral operators on noncompact symmetric spaces of real rank one. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 174(2):274–300, 2000.
- [26] Alexandru D. Ionescu and Gigliola Staffilani. Semilinear Schrödinger flows on hyperbolic spaces: scattering H^1 . *Math. Ann.*, 345(1):133–158, 2009.
- [27] V. I. Karpman and A. G. Shagalov. Stability of solitons described by nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion. *Phys. D*, 144(1-2):194–210, 2000.
- [28] Markus Keel and Terence Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [29] Benoit Pausader. The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(8):2473–2517, 2009.
- [30] Benoît Pausader. The focusing energy-critical fourth-order Schrödinger equation with radial data. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 24(4):1275–1292, 2009.
- [31] Vittoria Pierfelice. Weighted Strichartz estimates for the radial perturbed Schrödinger equation on the hyperbolic space. *Manuscripta Math.*, 120(4):377–389, 2006.

CMAFCIO, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, EDIFÍCIO C6, PISO 1,
CAMPO GRANDE 1749-016 LISBOA, PORTUGAL
Email address: `jeanbaptiste.casteras@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O. Box 68, 00014 UNIVERSITY OF
HELSINKI, FINLAND
Email address: `ilkka.holopainen@helsinki.fi`