NORM OF THE DISCRETE CESÀRO OPERATOR MINUS IDENTITY

GORD SINNAMON

Abstract. The norm of \( C - I \) on \( \ell^p \), where \( C \) is the Cesàro operator, is shown to be \( 1/(p-1) \) when \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). This verifies a recent conjecture of G. J. O. Jameson. The norm of \( C - I \) on \( \ell^p \) also determined when \( 2 < p < \infty \). The two parts together answer a question raised by G. Bennett in 1996. Operator norms in the continuous case, Hardy’s averaging operator minus identity, are shown to be equal to those in the discrete case.

Author’s Note: I have recently learned that results in the continuous case, below, have already appeared in the literature. My apologies for the omission. A new version is in preparation, which will include appropriate references to existing work.

The Cesàro operator, \( C \), maps a sequence \((x_n)\) to \((y_n)\), where

\[
y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k.
\]

Hilbert space methods, see [3], show that the operator norm of \( C - I \), as a map on \( \ell^2 \), is 1. The question of determining the exact norm of \( C - I \) as a map on \( \ell^p \) was posed in 1996 by Grahame Bennett as Problem 10.5 in [2]. Recently, Jameson [5] answered the question in the case \( p = 4/3 \) by showing that \( \|C - I\|_{\ell^{4/3}} = 3 \). He conjectured that \( \|C - I\|_{\ell^p} = 1/(p-1) \) for \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). In Theorems 2 and 4, Jameson’s method for the case \( p = 4/3 \) is extended and used to verify his conjecture and to answer Bennett’s question for the index range \( 1 < p \leq 2 \).

Jameson also gave the upper bound \( \|C - I\|_{\ell^4} \leq 3^{1/4} \) for the operator norm of \( C - I \) when \( p = 4 \). In Theorems 8 and 10 we extend the bound to all \( p > 2 \) and show it is best possible. This completes the following answer to Bennett’s question: If \( 1 < p \leq \infty \), then

\[
\|C - I\|_{\ell^p} = \begin{cases} 
1/(p-1), & 1 < p \leq 2; \\
m_p^{-1/p}, & 2 < p < \infty; \\
2, & p = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

Here \( m_p \) is the minimum value taken by the function \( pt^{p-1} + (1-t)^p - t^p \) on the interval \([0, \frac{1}{2}]\). See Definition 6 and Lemma 7 below. The minimum value \( m_p \) is easy to compute when \( p = 3 \) and \( p = 4 \); the former gives \( \|C - I\|_{\ell^3} = (2 - \sqrt{2})^{-1/3} \) and the latter recovers Jameson’s upper bound. The result for \( p = \infty \) appears in [5, Proposition 1].
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Hardy’s averaging operator takes a function $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ to $Px(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} x$. In corollaries following the main theorems below, we show that the operator norms $\|P - I\|_{L^{p}(0, \infty)}$ and $\|C - I\|_{L^{p}}$ are equal whenever $1 < p \leq \infty$. (The cases $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$ are known.) Proofs in the continuous case are often simpler, as pointed out in [2] and as we will see below.

In what follows we consider only real-valued sequences and functions, but, as is well known, extending a linear operator from real to complex values does not change its $\ell^{p}$ or $L^{p}(0, \infty)$ operator norm.

In several of the arguments below, it is important that the power functions involved be defined on all of $\mathbb{R}$. Let

$$E = \left\{ \frac{2i}{p+1} : i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cap (2, \infty),$$

da dense subset of $[2, \infty)$. If $p \in E$, the function $t \mapsto t^{p}$ is twice continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$, it is non-negative, its derivative is strictly increasing and the Mean Value Theorem implies that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$p^{p-1}(b - a) \leq b^{p} - a^{p} \leq p^{p-1}(b - a).$$

To investigate $C - I$ in the case $1 < p < 2$ it is convenient to work instead with the transpose Cesàro operator, $C^{T}$, in the case $2 < p < \infty$. The transpose maps a sequence $(x_{n}) \in \ell^{p}$ to the sequence $(y_{n})$, where

$$y_{n} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}}{k}.$$

Since $\|C - I\|_{\ell^{p}} = \|C^{T} - I\|_{\ell^{p'}}$, the conjecture $\|C - I\|_{p} = p' - 1$ for $1 < p < 2$ may be equivalently stated as $\|C^{T} - I\|_{p} = p - 1$ for $p \geq 2$. (Here and throughout, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).

**Lemma 1.** Let $p \in E$. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(p - 1)p^{p-2}t^{p} + (t + 1)^{p} - p(t + 1)^{p-1}t \geq p^{p-2}(p - 1)^{1-p}.$$

**Proof.** Since $p > 2$, $p^{p-2} > 1$ so the left-hand side of the inequality goes to infinity as $|t|$ does. Its derivative is $p(p-1)(t((pt)^{p-1} - (t+1)^{p-2}))$, which vanishes if and only if $t = 0$ or $t + 1 = \pm pt$. With critical points $t = 0$, $t = 1/(p - 1)$ and $t = -1/(p + 1)$, the minimum value taken by the left-hand side is the smallest of,

$$1, \quad p^{p-2}(p - 1)^{1-p}, \quad p^{p-2}(2p - 1)(p + 1)^{1-p}.$$  

To complete the proof we show that the second of these is the smallest.

The logarithm lies below its tangent lines, so for all $x > 0$,  

$$\ln x \leq x - 1, \quad \ln x \leq \frac{x}{2} - 1 + \ln 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \ln x \leq \frac{x}{3} - 1 + \ln 3.$$  

The first of these shows that if $x > 2$, then  

$$\frac{d}{dx} \ln(x^{x-2}(x - 1)^{1-x}) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{x - 1}\right) - \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{x - 1} - \frac{2}{x} = \frac{2 - x}{x(x - 1)} < 0,$$

so $x^{x-2}(x - 1)^{1-x}$ is decreasing. Thus $p^{p-2}(p - 1)^{1-p} \leq 2^{p-2}(2 - 1)^{1-2} = 1$.

We use all three tangent lines to get

$$\ln(2p - 1) - (p - 1) \ln \left(\frac{p + 1}{p^2}\right) \geq \begin{cases} 
\ln(2p - 1) - 2, & 5 \leq p; \\
\ln(2p - 1) - 1 + (p - 1)(\frac{1}{2} - \ln 2), & 3 \leq p \leq 5; \\
\ln(2p - 1) - \frac{2}{3} + (p - 1)(\frac{2}{3} - \ln 3), & 2 \leq p \leq 3.
\end{cases}$$
The first piece of this piecewise function increases with \( p \) and is positive when \( p = 5 \). The second and third pieces are concave in \( p \) and non-negative at both endpoints. Therefore, all three pieces are non-negative and we have \( \ln(2p-1) \geq (p-1) \ln \left( \frac{p+1}{p-1} \right) \). This implies \( p^{p-2}(p-1)^{1-p} \leq p^{p-2}(2p-1)(p+1)^{1-p} \) and completes the proof. \( \square \)

Now we are ready to show that \( \|C^T - I\|_{\ell^p} \leq p - 1 \) for \( p \in \mathbb{E} \). The proof follows the method of [5, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 2.** Let \( p \in \mathbb{E} \), let \((x_n) \in \ell^p \) be a real sequence, and set \( y_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{z_k}{k} \).

Then
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_n - x_n)^p \leq (p - 1)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^p.
\]

**Proof.** By Hölder’s inequality, the sum defining \( y_N \) converges absolutely and
\[
|y_N| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |x_n| n \leq \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} x_n^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-p'} \right)^{1/p'} \sim \left( \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} x_n^p \right)^{1/p} N^{-1/p}.
\]
Therefore, as \( N \to \infty \),
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} (ny_n + (n - 1)y_n^p) = Ny_{N+1}^p \to 0.
\]
Note that for all \( n, x_n = n(y_n - y_{n+1}) \). For each \( n \), \( \|x_n\| \) implies
\[
y_{n+1} - (n - 1)y_n^p = y_n^p - n(y_n - y_{n+1}) \geq y_n^p - py_n^{p-1}n(y_n - y_{n+1}) = y_n^p - py_n^{p-1}x_n.
\]
Now let \( z_n = y_n - x_n \) and set \( c = p^{p-2}(p-1)^{1-p} \). If \( z_n \neq 0 \) we may let \( t = x_n/z_n \) and apply Lemma [1] in the form \( (t + 1)^p - p(t + 1)^{p-1}t \geq c(1 - (p - 1)^p t) \) to get
\[
y_n^p - py_n^{p-1}x_n = z_n^p((1 + t)^p - p(1 + t)^{p-1}t) \geq cz_n^p(1 - (p - 1)^p t) = c(z_n^p - (p - 1)^p x_n^p)
\]
If \( z_n = 0 \), then \( y_n = x_n \) and the same inequality holds, as
\[
y_n^p - py_n^{p-1}x_n = -p^{p-2}(p - 1)^{1-p}x_n = c(z_n^p - (p - 1)^p x_n^p).
\]
Summing over \( n \), we have
\[
c \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( z_n^p - (p - 1)^p x_n^p \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} (ny_n + (n - 1)y_n^p) \to 0
\]
as \( N \to \infty \) and we conclude that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^p \leq (p - 1)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^p.
\]

The proof simplifies in the continuous case, where instead of the transpose Cesàro operator we work with the dual averaging operator \( P^T \).

**Corollary 3.** Let \( p \in \mathbb{E} \), let \( x \in L^p(0, \infty) \), and set \( y(s) = P^T x(s) = \int_s^\infty x(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \). Then \( \int_0^\infty (y - x)^p \leq (p - 1)^p \int_0^\infty x^p \).

**Proof.** It suffices to establish the result for functions \( x \) in a dense subset of \( L^p(0, \infty) \) so suppose \( x \) is continuous and compactly supported in \((0, \infty)\). Then \( y = P^T x \) satisfies \( \lim_{s \to 0^+} sy(s)^p = 0 = \lim_{s \to 0^+} sy(s)^p x(s) \) and \( \frac{dy(s)^p}{ds} = y(s)^p - py(s)^{p-1} x(s) \) so \( \int_0^\infty (y^p - py^{p-1} x) = 0 \). Let \( z = y - x \) and \( c = p^{p-2}(p - 1)^{1-p} \). If \( z \neq 0 \) and \( t = x/z \), then Lemma [1] implies
\[
c(z^p - (p - 1)^p x^p) = cz^p(1 - (p - 1)^p t) \leq z^p(1 + t)^p - p(1 + t)^{p-1}t) = y^p - py^{p-1} x,
\]
and completes the proof. \( \square \)
Next we extend Theorem 2 from $p \in \mathbb{E}$ to all $p > 2$ and point out a known lower bound for $\|C - I\|_{L^p}$.

**Theorem 4.** If $2 \leq p < \infty$ then $\|C^T - I\|_{L^p} = p - 1$ and if $1 < p \leq 2$ then $\|C - I\|_{L^p} = p' - 1 = 1/(p-1)$.

**Proof.** As mentioned above, the case $p = 2$ is known to hold. For $p > 2$, Theorem 2 shows that for $p \in \mathbb{E}$ with $2 < p < \infty$, $\|C^T - I\|_{L^p} \leq p - 1$. The Riesz-Thorin Theorem, see [1, Corollary IV.2.3], implies that if $2 < p_0 < p < p_1 < \infty$, with $p_0, p_1 \in \mathbb{E}$, then for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, depending on $p_0, p,$ and $p_1$,

$$\|C^T - I\|_{L^p} \leq \|C^T - I\|_{L^{p_0}}^{1-\theta} \|C - I\|_{L^{p_1}}^\theta = (p_0 - 1)^{1-\theta} (p_1 - 1)^\theta \leq p_1 - 1.$$  

Letting $p_1 \to p$ through $\mathbb{E}$, we get $\|C^T - I\|_{L^p} \leq p - 1$.

The dual discrete Hardy inequality, [4, Theorem 331], shows that for $p > 1$, $\|C^T\|_{L^p} = p$. Therefore, $\|C^T - I\|_{L^p} \geq \|C^T\|_{L^p} - \|I\|_{L^p} = p - 1$ and we conclude that $\|C - I\|_{L^p} = p - 1$ for all $p > 2$. The second statement of the theorem follows from the first by duality.

The continuous case follows in just the same way because $\|P^T\|_{L^p(0, \infty)} = p$. See [1, Theorem 328]. The proof is omitted.

**Corollary 5.** If $2 \leq p < \infty$ then $\|P^T - I\|_{L^p(0, \infty)} = p - 1$ and if $1 < p \leq 2$ then $\|P - I\|_{L^p(0, \infty)} = p' - 1 = 1/(p-1)$.

Next we consider the case $p > 2$. To begin we introduce $m_p$, essential for our formula for the operator norm of $C - I$.

**Definition 6.** Let $p \geq 2$ and set $f_p(t) = pt^{p-1} + (1-t)^p - t^p$. Define $m_p$ to be the minimum value of $f_p(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

**Lemma 7.** If $p > 2$, then $f_p$ has a unique critical point $t_p$ in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $m_p = f(t_p)$ and $m_p$ is a continuous function of $p$. If, in addition, $p \in \mathbb{E}$, then $t_p$ is the unique critical point of $f_p$ on all of $\mathbb{R}$ and $f_p(t) \geq m_p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** On $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $f'_p(t) = p((p-1)t^{p-2} - (1-t)^{p-1} - t^{p-1})$. It extends to be continuous on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ with $f'_p(0) = -p < 0$, and $f'_p(\frac{1}{2}) = p(p-2)2^{2-p} > 0$. On $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $0 < t < 1-t$ so

$$f''_p(t) = p(p-1)((p-2)t^{p-3} + (1-t)^{p-2} - t^{p-2}) > 0.$$  

Therefore $f'_p$ is strictly increasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $f_p$ has a unique critical point $t_p$ in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $f_p(t_p)$ is the minimum value of $f_p$, namely $m_p$. The function $(p, t) \mapsto f'_p(t)$ is continuously differentiable on $(2, \infty) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and satisfies $f'_p(t_p) = 0$ for all $p > 2$. Since $f''_p(t)$ does not vanish, the implicit function theorem shows that $p \mapsto t_p$ is continuous. But $f_p$ is also continuous, so the composition $p \mapsto f_p(t_p) = m_p$ is continuous.

If $p \in \mathbb{E}$, then $f_p$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}$, $(1-t)^p = (t-1)^p$, and

$$f'_p(t) = p((p-1)t^{p-2} + (1-t)^{p-1} - t^{p-1}) = p(p-1)\int_{t-1}^t (s^{p-2} - t^{p-2}) \, ds.$$  

If $t \leq 0$ then $t - 1 < s < t$ implies $|t| < |s|$ so $t^{p-2} < s^{p-2}$ and we have $f'_p(t) < 0$. Thus, $f_p$ is strictly decreasing on $(-\infty, 0]$. If $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then $t - 1 < s < t$ implies...
\(|s| < t\) so \(x^{p-2} < t^{p-2}\) and we have \(f_p'(t) > 0\). Thus \(f_p\) is strictly increasing on \([\frac{1}{p}, \infty)\). It follows that \(t_p\) is the unique critical point of \(f_p\) on \(\mathbb{R}\) and \(f_p(t) \geq m_p\) for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\). \(\square\)

In [5, Theorem 1], the upper bound \(\|C - I\|_{\ell^4} \leq 3^{1/4}\) was proved. We employ a similar method to extend it to an upper bound for all \(p > 2\).

**Theorem 8.** Let \(p \in \mathbb{E}\), let \((x_n) \in \ell^p\) be a real sequence, and set \(y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k\). Then

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (y_k - x_k)^p \leq \frac{1}{m_p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^p.
\]

**Proof.** Fix a \(y_0\) arbitrarily and observe that \((n-1)(y_{n-1} - y_n) = y_n - x_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\). By (1),

\[
(n-1)(y_{n-1}^p - y_n^p) \geq (n-1)py_{n-1}^{p-1}(y_{n-1} - y_n) = py_n^{p-1}(y_n - x_n).
\]

This becomes

\[
m_n^p - (n-1)y_{n-1}^p \leq py_{n-1}^p x_n - (p-1)y_n^p = y_n^{p-1}(px_n - (p-1)y_n).
\]

Take \(z_n = y_n - x_n\) and \(t = y_n/z_n\) to get \(px_n - (p-1)y_n = z_n(t - p)\). By Lemma [4]

\[
m_n^p - (n-1)y_{n-1}^p \leq z_n^p(t^p - pt^{p-1}) \leq z_n^p((t - 1)^p - m_p) = x_n^p - m_p z_n^p.
\]

Summing from 1 to \(N\) gives

\[
0 \leq N y_N^p = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (m_n^p - (n-1)y_{n-1}^p) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^p - m_p \sum_{n=1}^{N} z_n^p.
\]

Letting \(N \to \infty\) we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^p \leq \frac{1}{m_p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^p.
\]

**Corollary 9.** Let \(p \in \mathbb{E}\), let \(x \in L^p(0, \infty)\) and set \(y(s) = P x(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} x\). Then

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} (y - x)^p \leq \frac{1}{m_p} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^p.
\]

**Proof.** It suffices to establish the result for functions \(x\) in a dense subset of \(L^p(0, \infty)\) so suppose \(x\) is continuous and compactly supported in \((0, \infty)\). Then \(y = P x\) satisfies \(\lim_{s \to 0^+} sy(s)^p = 0 = \lim_{s \to \infty} sy(s)^p\) and \(\frac{d}{ds}(sy(x)^p) = (1 - p)y(s)^p + py(s)^p - x(s)\) so \(\int_{0}^{\infty} ((1 - p)y^p + py^{p-1}x) = 0\). Let \(z = y - x\). If \(z \neq 0\) and \(t = y/z\), then Lemma [4] implies

\[
x^p - m_p z^p = z^p((1 - p)^p - m_p) \geq z^p(t^p - pt^{p-1}) = (1 - p)y^p + py^{p-1}x,
\]

which also holds when \(z = 0\). Integrate to get \(\int_{0}^{\infty} x^p \leq \frac{1}{m_p} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^p\). \(\square\)

We again pass from \(p \in \mathbb{E}\) to all \(p > 2\) using the Riesz-Thorin Theorem. However, this time the lower bound requires some work.

**Theorem 10.** If \(p \geq 2\) then \(\|C - I\|_{\ell^p} = m_p^{-1/p}\).

**Proof.** It is easy to verify that \(m_2 = 1\), so the case \(p = 2\) agrees with the known result. Now suppose \(p > 2\). Theorem [8] shows that \(\|C - I\|_{\ell^p} \leq m_p^{-1/p}\) for all \(p \in \mathbb{E}\). The Riesz-Thorin theorem, see [11] Corollary IV.2.3], implies that if \(2 < p_0 < p < p_1 < \infty\), with \(p_0, p_1 \in \mathbb{E}\), then for some \(\theta \in (0, 1)\), depending on \(p_0, p\), and \(p_1\),

\[
\|C - I\|_{\ell^p} \leq \|C - I\|_{\ell^{p_0}}^{1-\theta}\|C - I\|_{\ell^{p_1}}^\theta \leq \max(m_{p_0}^{-1/p_0}, m_{p_1}^{-1/p_1}).
\]
Letting \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) approach \( p \) through \( \mathbb{E} \), the continuity of \( p \mapsto m_p \) implies that 
\[ \|C - I\|_{\ell^p} \leq m_p^{-1/p}. \]

To prove the reverse inequality we set \( r = 1/t_p \), where \( t_p \) is the critical point from Lemma 7 and fix an integer \( m > 1 \). Note that \( r > 2 \). Define \( x_n = -m^{-r} \) for \( n \leq m \) and \( x_n = (n - 1)^{1-r} - n^{-1-r} \) for \( n > m \). Then, with \( y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \) and \( z_n = y_n - x_n \),
\[ y_n = \begin{cases} -m^{-r}, & n \leq m; \\ -n^{-r}, & n \geq m; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad z_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq m; \\ n^{1-r} - (n - 1)^{1-r} - n^{-r}, & n > m. \end{cases} \]

If \( n \geq m + 1 \), then
\[ 0 < x_n = (r - 1) \int_{n-1}^{n} t^{-r} \, dt \leq (r - 1)(n - 1)^{-r} \]
so, employing a standard Riemann sum estimate, we get
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \leq m^{1-pr} + (r - 1)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - 1)^{-pr} \leq m^{1-pr} + (r - 1)^p \frac{(m - 1)^{1-pr}}{pr - 1}. \]

Also, if \( n \geq m + 1 \), then \( \frac{n-1}{n} \geq \frac{m}{m+1} \) and
\[ -z_n = r(n - 1) \int_{n-1}^{n} t^{-r-1} \, dt \geq r(n - 1)n^{-r-1} \geq \frac{r}{m + 1} n^{-r} > 0 \]
so, using another standard Riemann sum estimate, we get
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|^p \geq r^p \left( \frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n^{-pr} \geq r^p \left( \frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \frac{(m + 1)^{1-pr}}{pr - 1}. \]

We conclude that
\[ \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|^p}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p} \geq \frac{r^p \left( \frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p}{(pr - 1) \left( \frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^{1-pr} + (r - 1)^p \frac{(m - 1)^{1-pr}}{pr - 1}} \to \frac{r^p}{(pr - 1) + (r - 1)^p} \]
as \( m \to \infty \). Since \( r = 1/t_p \), Lemma 7 shows that the last expression is \( 1/m_p \). This implies that the operator norm of \( C - I \) on \( \ell^p \) cannot be less than \( m_p^{-1/p} \), which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 11.** If \( p \geq 2 \) then \( \|P - I\|_{\ell^p} = m_p^{-1/p} \).

**Proof.** The upper bound is extended from \( p \in \mathbb{E} \) to all \( p > 2 \) just as in the last theorem. However, proving the reverse inequality is much simpler. With \( t_p \) as in Lemma 7 and \( r = 1/t_p \), let \( x(s) = -1 \) on \((0, 1)\) and \( x(s) = (r - 1)s^{-r} \) on \((1, \infty)\).
With \( y = Px \) and \( z = y - x \) we compute \( y(s) = -1 \) on \((0, 1)\) and \( y(s) = -s^{-r} \) on \((1, \infty)\); and \( z(s) = 0 \) on \((0, 1)\) and \( z(s) = -rs^{-r} \) on \((1, \infty)\). Then
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p = 1 + \frac{(r - 1)^p}{pr - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} |z|^p = \frac{r^p}{pr - 1}. \]
It follows that \( \int_{0}^{\infty} |z|^p = \frac{1}{m_p} \int_{0}^{\infty} |x|^p \), which gives the lower bound. \( \square \)
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