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Abstract We propose a new hash function based on controlled alternate quantum walks with memory on cycles. Numerical simulation and performance analysis show that this hash function is outperform or on a par with the existing quantum-walk-based hash functions in terms of sensitivity of hash value to message, diffusion and confusion properties, uniform distribution property, and collision resistance property.
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1 Introduction

As one of the principal tools of information security, cryptographic hash functions not only act as essential components of identification, message authentication, digital signatures and random number generation, but also play an important part in privacy amplification process of quantum key distribution [1]. Classical hash functions based on hard computational problems are, however, subject to an inherent security limitation: the existence of one-way functions is still an open conjecture that cannot be proved (a proof, with no assumptions, of existence of one-way function would establish $P \neq NP$ [2]. As a result, they only satisfy computational security and are challenged by cryptanalysis equipped with quantum algorithms. Such a fact stimulates researchers to develop hash functions with higher security, such as hash functions based on (or inspired) by quantum computing [3][4][5].
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whose preimage resistance property is ensured by quantum mechanics rather than hardness assumptions.

There are two kinds of quantum computing based hash functions: classical-quantum hash functions based on quantum one-way functions \[3,4,5,6,7,8\] (hereafter referred to as QOWF-based hash functions) and classical-classical hash functions based on quantum walks on cycles \[9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16\] (hereafter referred to as QW-based hash functions); the former have balanced one-way resistance property and collision resistance property that are well-defined and strictly proved in the quantum setting; the latter belongs to dedicated hash functions, whose capabilities of collision resistance are difficult to prove and are mainly assessed by means of statistical analysis. On the other hand, the output lengths and the number of hashing parameters of QOWF-based hash functions are both positively correlated with the input sizes of those hash functions, while QW-based hash functions maps messages of arbitrary finite length to digests of fixed length. In addition, the output length of a QW-based hash function can be easily extended (to withstand brute-force attacks) by increasing the number of nodes or the number of hash bits contributed by each node in the cycle, and the hash values can be calculated classically. Thus, QW-based hash functions are of greater practical utility and can currently be used to improve the security of hash-function-based schemes.

The essence of the design of QW-based hash functions is combining two or more different QW procedures governed by evolution operators \{U_0, U_1, \ldots\} to construct a controlled alternate quantum walk (CAQW) model, where the choice among \{U_0, U_1, \ldots\} in the jth step is determined by the jth bit of a binary string. Theoretically, a valid CAQW model could be constructed if the walker can "switch" freely among \{U_0, U_1, \ldots\}, and evolution operators that differ only in coin transform naturally satisfy this requirement. Therefore, various quantum walks, such as broken-line quantum walks on cycles \[9\], one-dimensional one-particle quantum walks on cycles \[10,11,12\], two-dimensional one-particle quantum walks \[13\], quantum walks on Johnson graphs \[14\], one-dimensional two-particle (interacting) quantum walks \[15,16\], and quantum walks with memory (QWM) \[17,18,19,20,21,22\] can all be used to construct valid (but may not good) hash functions as long as they utilize coin operators determined by input messages. Among these walk models, the evolution of QWM is governed by three (rather than two) stages: flipping a coin, determining the next direction according to the coin state and the previous direction(s), and moving a step according to the new direction. Thus, an extra operator—the direction-determine transform—could be taken into account when constructing hash functions based on QWM: the alternately performed evolution operators can differ in coin transform or direction-determine transform, or both.

In particular, quantum walks with unequal memory length typically have different direction-determine transforms: their next directions are determined by different number of historical directions. To examine the feasibility and merit of this idea, we combine QW1M \[22\] with QW2M \[19\] to achieve a valid CAQW process and then assess the performance of the hash function based on this process. The results of statistical tests show that the proposed hash function has good sensitivity of hash value to input message, good diffusion and confusion properties, good uniform distribution property, and good collision resistance property, which are at least as good as those of existing QW-based hash functions.
This paper is structured as follows. We first define the evolution of one-dimensional controlled quantum walks with one- and two-step memory on cycles in Section 2 and then construct a hash function based on this kind of walk in Section 3. The performance analysis of the proposed hash function is conducted in Section 4 and a conclusion is drawn in the last section.

2 One-dimensional controlled quantum walks with one- and two-step memory

A one-dimensional controlled quantum walk with one- and two-step memory (CQWM) takes place in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_{dr_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{dr_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_c$, where $\mathcal{H}_c$ is the two-dimensional coin space spanned by the computational basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, $\mathcal{H}_{dr_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{dr_2}$ are the two-dimensional direction spaces for the previous two steps, and $\mathcal{H}_p$ is the position space. If the walker moves on a line, then $\mathcal{H}_p$ is identified with an orthonormal basis of $n$ elements $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \ldots, |n-1\rangle\}$. Since the proposed hash function is based on quantum walks on circles, the state of the walker is expressed as $|\psi\rangle = |x, dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $x < n$.

Formally, the evolution of CQWM controlled by a binary string $msg = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t) \in \{0, 1\}^t$ is given by

$$U_{msg} = U^{(m_t)} U^{(m_{t-1})} \cdots U^{(m_2)} U^{(m_1)}, \quad (1)$$

and the one-step transform $U^{(b)}$ controlled by classical bit $b$ is defined as

$$U^{(b)} = S \cdot \left( I_n \otimes D^{(b)} \right) \cdot \left( I_{4n} \otimes C^{(b)} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $C^{(b)}$ is a $2 \times 2$ coin operator controlled by $b$, $I_k$ ($k = 4n$ or $n$) is a $k \times k$ identity operator, $D^{(b)}$ is an $8 \times 8$ direction-determine operator controlled by $b$, and $S$ is the shift operator of discrete quantum walks on circles with $n$ nodes. If $b = 0$, then $C^{(0)}$ is parameterized by angle $\theta_0$, i.e.,

$$C^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_0) & \sin(\theta_0) \\ \sin(\theta_0) & -\cos(\theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and $D^{(0)}$ (becomes $D^{(b)}$) describes the direction-determine process of QW1M; if $b = 1$, then

$$C^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_1) & \sin(\theta_1) \\ \sin(\theta_1) & -\cos(\theta_1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

and $D^{(1)}$ describes the direction-determine process of QW2M.

The direction-determine transforms of QW1M [22] and QW2M [19] can be respectively written as $DT_0 : |dr_1, c\rangle \rightarrow |dr_1 \oplus c, c\rangle$ and $DT_1 : |dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle \rightarrow |dr_1, dr_2 \oplus c, c\rangle$, where $|c\rangle$ is the coin state, $\bar{c} \equiv 1 \oplus c$, $|dr_1\rangle$ is the direction of the last step ($|0\rangle$ stands for left and $|1\rangle$ stands for right), $|dr_2\rangle$ is the direction immediately before the last step, $|dr_1 \oplus c\rangle$ dictates the direction of the next step of QW1M, and $|dr_2 \oplus c\rangle$ dictates the next direction of QW2M. To enable these two types of walk to be performed alternately, we may add a redundant state $|dr_2\rangle$ into QW1M and let $D^{(0)} : |dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle \rightarrow |dr_2, dr_1 \oplus c, c\rangle$ determines the next direction of the walker when $b = 0$. When $b = 1$, the direction is determined by $D^{(1)} = DT_1$. 

Following the correspondence between the direction states and the basis states in Ref. [19], a state $|dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_{dr_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{dr_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_c$ can be written as $|2^2 dr_1 + 2^1 dr_2 + 2^0 c\rangle$; conversely, from a basis state $|j\rangle$ ($j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 7\}$), the coin and the directions can be deduced as follows: $c = j \mod 2$, $dr_2 = (j \mod 4 - j \mod 2) / 2$, $dr_1 = (j - j \mod 4) / 4$. Using this correspondence, $D^{(0)}$ can be reformulated to $|2^2 (dr_1 + c) + 2^1 dr_2 + 2^0 c\rangle$, i.e.,

$$D^{(0)}: |j\rangle \rightarrow |4 [(j - j \mod 2) / 2 \oplus (j \mod 2) \oplus 1] + j \mod 4\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Analogously, $D^{(1)}$ can be expressed as

$$D^{(1)}: |j\rangle \rightarrow |4 [(j \mod 4-j \mod 2) / 2 \oplus (j \mod 2) \oplus 1] + (j - j \mod 4) / 2 + j \mod 2\rangle. \quad (6)$$

With formulas (5) and (6), one can conveniently verify that $D^{(0)}$ and $D^{(1)}$ are both unitary.

Once the direction of the next step is determined, the walker then moves according to the shift operator

$$S: |x, dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle \rightarrow |x + 2dr_1 - 1 \pmod{n}, dr_2, dr_1, c\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where the next position is calculated using arithmetic modulo $n$.

## 3 Hash function using quantum walks with one- and two-step memory on cycles

The proposed hash function QHFM is constructed by running CQWM on a circle with $n$ nodes under the control of the input message $msg$. The process of CQWM-based hash function is described as follows:

1. Select the values of parameters $(n, m, l, \theta_0, \theta_1, \alpha)$ under the constraints: $n$ is odd; $n \times m$ equals the bit length of the hash value; $10^l > 2^m$; and $\theta_0, \theta_1, \alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$.
2. Initialize the walker in state $|\psi_0\rangle = \cos \alpha |0, 1, 0, 0\rangle + \sin \alpha |0, 1, 0, 1\rangle$.
3. Apply $U_{msg}$ to $|\psi_0\rangle$ and generate the resulting probability distribution $\text{prob} = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1})$, where $p_k$ ($k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$) is the probability that the particle locates at node $k$ when the walk is finished.
4. The hash value of $msg$ is a string of $n$ blocks $B_0 || B_1 || \ldots || B_n$, ($a||b$ denotes concatenation of blocks $a$ and $b$), where each block $B_k$ is the $m$-bit binary representation of $\lfloor p_k \cdot 10^l \rfloor \mod 2^m$ (denotes the integral part of a nonnegative number).

## 4 Statistical analysis

QHFM, like other QW-based hash functions, belongs to dedicated hash functions, whose performances are mainly evaluated through statistical analysis. To make our statistical tests reusable and usable by anyone else, we perform these tests on a collection of samples (i.e., input messages) randomly drawn from an open dataset, named “arXiv Dataset”, of about 1.8 million records and upload the complete MATLAB code for hash tests to “GitHub”.
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Table 1: Values of parameters chosen for the seven instances of the proposed hash scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instances</th>
<th>Params</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-296</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-264</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-221</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-200</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-195</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-136</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-120</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To make comparisons between the proposed QW-based hash scheme and the existing ones (with detailed experimental results [9,10,11,12,13,14,15]) in a fair and informative manner, we consider seven “instances”, i.e., QHFM-x (x = 296, 264, 221, 200, 195, 136 or 120) of QHFM, where QHFM-x produces an x-bit hash value and will be compared with the existing QW-based hash functions with x- or close-to-x-bit output length (QHFM-136 and QHFM-120 will be compared with the 128-bit hash scheme in Ref. [15, for the output length of QHFM must be a multiple of an odd number). The different instances of QHFM have the same values for l, θ₀, θ₁, and α, which are taken to be 8, π/4, π/3, and π/4, respectively; the distinction between QHFM-x and QHFM-y (x ≠ y) lies in their values for n and m, which are listed in Table 1.

4.1 Sensitivity of Hash value to Message

Let msg₀ be an original message and msgⱼ (j ∈ {1, 2, 3}) the slightly modified result of msg₀, which are obtained under the following four conditions:

Condition 1: Randomly choose an original message msg₀;
Condition 2: Flip a bit of msg₀ at a random position and then obtain the modified message msg₁;
Condition 3: Insert a random bit into msg₀ at a random position and then obtain msg₂;
Condition 4: Delete a bit from msg₀ at a random position and then obtain msg₃.

The sensitivity of hash value to message is assessed by comparing the hash values H(msgⱼ) of the modified messages with the hash value H(msg₀) of the original message. In our sensitivity test, a record is randomly picked out from the arXiv Dataset, then the article abstract within this record serves as msg₀. Corresponding to those conditions, four hash values produced by QHFM-296 (as a representative instance of QHFM) are obtained as follows (in hexadecimal format):

Condition 1: H(msg₀) = "5E 13 8C B0 B4 D3 9F 52 AB 7B 5D 0D CC 35 58 8A 05 C9 79 9E 0A 0B 67 5D B3 C3 6D 5A 96 D9 84 BD 04 DC 01 EF";
Condition 2: H(msg₁) = "D6 71 C9 0F AB CC 61 C8 EF 17 05 5E 13 DF D4 1E 65 A6 D1 41 24 19 B2 8C 15 77 59 44 51 0D 6D AD DC 71 5A BF 56";
Condition 3: H(msg₂) = "28 58 8C 6A 38 15 22 62 6D B9 C3 BA 3C 5F E0 0C CA E7 53 34 DA 2A A3 31 86 B6 72 30 69 SF C3 53 31 53 E7 2F F7";
on the following four indicators: (reflecting the avalanche effect) of the proposed hash functions are assessed based on the distance between the hash values of the original and modified messages obtained by randomly inverting a bit in msg. In the $i$th subgraph ($j \neq 1$), each asterisk (*) marks a different bit between $H(msg_{j-1})$ and $H(msg_0)$.

Fig. 1 (color online) Plots of the 296-bit hash values under the four conditions, where $C_j$ stands for Condition $j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$, or 4). In the $j$th subgraph ($j \neq 1$), each asterisk (*) marks a different bit between $H(msg_{j-1})$ and $H(msg_0)$.

Condition 4: $H(msg_3) =$“79 3F 78 A0 92 81 EB B4 05 A2 49 63 EB 42 C0 72 31 65 C1 7A 74 30 2B 9A E0 31 90 2B 43 26 E6 FD 9A 25 AD 10 FD”.

The plots of these hash values in binary format are shown in Fig. 1 which indicates that a tiny modification to the message could cause a significant change in the hash value, and the positions of those changed bits are evenly distributed over the entire interval [1, 296] of position numbers. A similar result can be obtained using any other instance of QHFM; thus, the output value of the proposed hash scheme is highly sensitive to its input message.

4.2 Diffusion and Confusion Properties

The test data for the diffusion and confusion properties of QHFM-x is collected by making $N$ random draws (with replacement) from the arXiv Dataset; on each draw, an original message $msg_0$ is selected, then a slightly modified result $msg_j$ of this message is obtained by randomly inverting a bit in $msg_0$. Let $B_j$ be the Hamming distance between the hash values of the original and modified messages obtained on the $j$th draw and $N$ the number of draws, the diffusion and confusion properties (reflecting the avalanche effect) of the proposed hash functions are assessed based on the following four indicators:

- mean changed bit number $\overline{B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N B_i}{N}$;
- mean changed probability $P = \frac{\overline{B}/(n \times m)}{100\%}$;
- standard deviation of the changed bit number $\Delta B = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (B_i - \overline{B})^2}$;
- standard deviation of the changed probability $\Delta P = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (B_i/(n \times m) - P)^2 \times 100\%}$.
Table 2 diffusion-confusion-test results for the proposed and existing QW-based hash functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hash Instances or Schemes</th>
<th>$\overline{B}$</th>
<th>$P(%)$</th>
<th>$\Delta B$</th>
<th>$\Delta P(%)$</th>
<th>$I_{DC}(%)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-296</td>
<td>147.9101</td>
<td>49.9696</td>
<td>8.5997</td>
<td>2.9053</td>
<td>1.4679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-264</td>
<td>131.8667</td>
<td>49.9450</td>
<td>8.3378</td>
<td>3.0842</td>
<td>1.5665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-221</td>
<td>110.5313</td>
<td>50.0045</td>
<td>7.4655</td>
<td>3.3690</td>
<td>1.6916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-200</td>
<td>100.0205</td>
<td>50.0103</td>
<td>7.1654</td>
<td>3.5827</td>
<td>1.7965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-195</td>
<td>97.5591</td>
<td>50.0303</td>
<td>6.9844</td>
<td>3.5680</td>
<td>1.8060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-186</td>
<td>68.0530</td>
<td>50.0390</td>
<td>5.4699</td>
<td>4.5582</td>
<td>2.3172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHFM-120</td>
<td>60.0914</td>
<td>50.0762</td>
<td>5.4699</td>
<td>4.5582</td>
<td>2.3172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang16-128 [15]</td>
<td>64.2894</td>
<td>50.2261</td>
<td>5.6686</td>
<td>4.4286</td>
<td>2.3274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ideal values of $\overline{B}$ and $P$ are $(n \times m)/2$ and 50%, respectively; and smaller standard deviations ($\Delta B$ and $\Delta P$) are more desirable. For a specific hash function (with fixed-length outputs), $\overline{B}$ and $\Delta B$ are directly proportional to $P$ and $\Delta P$, respectively; thus, $P$ and $\Delta P$, or a combination of them, e.g., $I_{DC} = (\Delta P + |P - 0.5|)/2 \times 100\%$, would suffice to evaluate the confusion and diffusion properties: the smaller $I_{DC}$, the better the avalanche effect achieved. The diffusion and confusion test on QHFM-296 is performed with $N = 10000$, and the simulation results are presented in Table 2. For comparison, the reported results of the corresponding variables for the existing QW-based hash schemes [9,10,11,12,13,14,15] are also listed in the same table. For a scheme with multiple instances, i.e., Yang21-296 or Yang18-221, the results for the instance whose $P$ value is closest to 50% (hereafter referred to as “the representative instance”) are presented.

The values of $I_{DC}$ suggest that the test results for QHFM-264 is better than that of Yang19-264 but slightly poorer than that of Yang18-264, and the results for other instances of the proposed hash scheme are better than those of their peers (QHFM-296 vs. Yang21-296; QHFM-221 vs. Yang18-221; QHFM-200 vs. Li18-200; QHFM-195 vs. Cao18-195; QHFM-136 and QHFM-120 vs. Yang16-128). Thus, the diffusion and confusion properties of the proposed scheme are at least on a par with or outperform the existing ones.

4.3 Uniform Distribution Analysis

Similar to the case of diffusion and confusion properties, the uniform distribution property (reflecting the strict avalanche effect) could also be assessed based on four indicators:

- mean number of draws with changed bits $T = \sum_{j=1}^{n \times m} T_j / (n \times m)$;
- mean percentage of draws with changed bits $Q = T / N \times 100\%$;
- standard deviation of the number of draws with changed bits $\Delta T = \sqrt{\left[1/(n \times m - 1)\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n \times m} (T_j - \overline{T})^2}$;

The ideal values of $Q$ are $100\%$. A smaller $\Delta T$ indicates a better uniform distribution property.
• standard deviation of the percentage of draws with changed bits
  \[
  \Delta Q = \sqrt{1/(n \times m - 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n \times m} [T_j/|N-Q|]^2 \times 100%;
  \]
  where \( T_j \) \( (j \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \times m\}) \) is the number of draws on which a bit-flip occurs in the digest at the \( j \)th bit position after a message bit is randomly inverted, and the theoretical values of \( T \) and \( Q \) are \((n \times m)/2\) and 50%, respectively.

  Since \( T \) and \( \Delta T \) are directly proportional to \( Q \) and \( \Delta Q \), respectively, the uniform distribution property of a hash function could be evaluated using \(|Q-0.5|\) and \( \Delta Q \); the smaller they are, the better the strict avalanche effect achieved. Additionally, the experimental value of \( Q \) is always equivalent to the value of \( P \) if the test data (i.e., \( N \) pairs of original and modified messages) used in the diffusion-confusion test is re-used in the uniform distribution test. Such a result can also be obtained through a simple reasoning: in \( P = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i)/(n \times m \times N) \times 100\% \) and \( Q = (\sum_{j=1}^{n \times m} T_j)/(n \times m) \times 100\% \), both \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{n \times m} T_j \) count the total number of hash bits that are flipped over \( N \) draws. Thus, \( T \) or \( Q \) alone is insufficient for assessing the uniform distribution property of a hash function, it should be considered along with \( \Delta Q \).

  The uniform distribution test on QHFM-\( x \) is conducted as follows:
  
  (1) Set \( T_j = 0 \) for all \( j \).
  (2) Randomly draw an article record from arXiv Dataset, take the abstract of this article as the original message \( msg_0 \).
  (3) Randomly flip a bit in \( msg_0 \) and then generate the modified message \( msg_1 \).
  (4) Compute the hash values of the two messages and get the pair \( (H(msg_0), H(msg_1)) \); compare \( H(msg_0) \) with \( H(msg_1) \) bit by bit, if \( H(msg_0) \) differs from \( H(msg_1) \) at the \( j \)th bit position, then the value of \( T_j \) is incremented by one.
  (5) Repeat steps (2) to (4) \( N \) times.
  (6) Calculate \( T, Q, \Delta T, \) and \( \Delta Q \) using the obtained data.

  The data collected in the 2nd and 3rd steps are re-used by different instances of the proposed hash scheme as well as by tests for different hash properties (i.e., the diffusion and confusion properties, the uniform distribution property, and the collision resistant property); as a result, the experimental values of \( P \) and \( Q \) for each instances are equal, which gives \( N \times P = T \) and \( |P-0.5| = |Q-0.5| \). On the other hand, the reported results of \( T \) for the existing hash schemes \( 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 \) are not equivalent to that of \( N \times P \), this is probably because, for each existing scheme, the collection of input messages used in the uniform distribution test is not identical with that in the diffusion-confusion test; nevertheless, the results of \( N \times P \) are generally close to that of \( T \), as shown in Table \( 3 \).

  Since the test results of \( \Delta Q \) (or \( \Delta T \)) for existing QW-based hash functions are unavailable for comparison, we collect reported data related to the uniform distribution property from Refs. \( 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 \) as much as possible and list the corresponding results for the proposed and existing schemes in Table 3, where “****.**, same” denotes a pair of identical values, and “-” indicates the absence of data; for the existing schemes, the values of \( Q \) and \(|Q-0.5| \) (in \%) are deduced from the reported results of \( T \) \( (Q = T/N \times 100\%) \). Similar to Table \( 2 \) the values presented in the 1st and 11th rows (for Yang21-296 and Yang18-221, respectively) are results for the representative instances of Yang21-296 and Yang18-221, respectively.
Table 3 Uniform-distribution-test results of the proposed and existing QW-based hash functions

| Instances/Schemes | $N \times P$, $\bar{T}$ | $P$, $Q$ (%) | $\Delta P$ | $\Delta Q$ (%) | $|P - 0.5|$, $|Q - 0.5|$ (%) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| QHFM-296          | 4996.96, same            | 49.9696, same| 48.4334    | 0.0304, same   |                             |
| QHFM-264          | 4994.95, same            | 49.9495, same| 48.9253    | 0.0505, same   |                             |
| QHFM-221          | 5001.42, same            | 50.0142, same| 51.6083    | 0.0142, same   |                             |
| QHFM-200          | 5001.03, same            | 50.0103, same| 51.6897    | 0.0103, same   |                             |
| QHFM-195          | 5003.03, same            | 50.0303, same| 50.5134    | 0.0303, same   |                             |
| QHFM-136          | 5003.90, same            | 50.0390, same| 46.6002    | 0.0390, same   |                             |
| QHFM-120          | 5007.62, same            | 50.0762, same| 48.6068    | 0.0762, same   |                             |
| Yang21-296 [9]    | 4995.41, 4998.1          | 49.9541, 49.981| -         | -              | 0.0459, 0.019              |
| Yang19-264 [10]   | 4987.89, 4996.6          | 49.8789, 49.966| -         | -              | 0.1211, 0.034              |
| Yang18-221 [12]   | 5004.20, 5003.9          | 50.0420, 50.039| -         | -              | 0.0420, 0.039              |
| Yang18-221 [12]   | 5103.13, -               | 51.0313, -    | -         | -              | 1.0313, -                  |
| Li18-200 [13]     | 4995.05, 4998.2          | 49.9505, 49.982| -         | -              | 0.0495, 0.018              |
| Yang16-128 [13]   | 5022.61, 4973.5          | 50.2261, 49.735| -         | -              | 0.2261, 0.265              |

Fig. 2 (color online) Histogram of the 296-bit hash space, where $N = 10000$.

It can be seen from the last column of Table 3 that the experimental values of mean percentage of draws with changed bits (indicated by $Q$ and $P$) for QHFM-221, QHFM-195, QHFM-136 and QHFM-120 are closer to the theoretical value than those of Yang18-221, Cao18-195 and Yang16-128, and the values of $|Q - 0.5|$ for the remaining instances of QHFM are on a par with their peers. The results of $\Delta Q$ for all instances of QHFM are very small, indicating that the proposed hash scheme has a very good uniform distribution property. To provide an intuitive description for this property of our scheme, we plot the flipped trial numbers on each hash bit of QHFM-296 in Fig. 2, which suggest that the proposed scheme has a good resistance to statistical attacks.

### 4.4 Collision Resistance

The test data for the diffusion and confusion properties or the uniform distribution property can also be used to analyzing the collision resistance property, which is generally assessed in terms of two groups of indicators: (1) the number of draws
The simulation results are shown in Table 4, where \( W \) and the theoretical value (denoted by \( \bar{N} \)) over \( N \) draws. If the experimental results of \( W_N(\omega) \) and \( d^\text{byte} \) (the experimental results) are very close to their theoretical values, then the related hash function could be regarded as having a good property of collision resistance.

The number of hits on each draw can be obtained as follows: first, divide both \( H(\text{msg}_0) \) and \( H(\text{msg}_1) \) into \( g \) bytes (if \( n \times m \) is not divisible by 8, then add a prefix of \( c = (n \times m) \mod 8 \)) zeros to the hash values), so that the two hash values can be expressed as \( h = e_1 \| e_2 \| \ldots \| e_g \) and \( h' = e'_1 \| e'_2 \| \ldots \| e'_g \) respectively (\( e_j \) or \( e'_j \) represents the \( j \)-th byte of \( h \) or \( h' \)); second, compare \( h \) and \( h' \) byte by byte and compute \( \omega \) according to

\[
\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \delta [t(e_j), t(e'_j)],
\]

where \( t(e_j) \) is the decimal value of \( e_j \) and \( \delta [\cdot] \) is the Kronecker delta function.

The theoretical values, denoted by \( W_N^t(\omega) \), of \( W_N^t \) are given by the product of \( N \) and the theoretical probability \( P^t(\omega) \) that \( \omega \) hits occur in \( (h, h') \). Specifically, \( P^t(\omega) \) is given by the binomial distribution formula

\[
P^t(\omega) = \binom{g}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{2^g} \right)^{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^g} \right)^{g-\omega} = \frac{g!}{\omega!(g-\omega)!} \left( \frac{1}{2^g} \right)^{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^g} \right)^{g-\omega},
\]

and the theoretical number of draws with \( \omega \) hits is obtained by

\[
W_N^t(\omega) = \text{int} \left[ N \times P^t(\omega) \right],
\]

where \( \text{int}[\cdot] \) denotes rounding a real number to its nearest integer.

Since \( P^e \equiv \{ P^e(\omega) = 0, 1, \ldots, g \} \) and \( P^e = \{ W_N^e(\omega)/N | \omega = 0, 1, \ldots, g \} \) describe the theoretical and experimental distribution of \( \omega \) (or simply hit distribution), the similarity or difference between \( \{ W_N^e(\omega) | \omega = 0, \ldots, g \} \) and \( \{ W_N^t(\omega) | \omega = 0, \ldots, g \} \) could be measured by Kullback-Leibler divergence between \( P^e \) and \( P^e \), i.e.,

\[
D_{KL}(P^e||P^t) = \sum_{\omega=0}^{g} P^e(\omega) \log_2 \left( \frac{P^e(\omega)}{P^t(\omega)} \right) = \sum_{\omega=0}^{g} W_N^e(\omega) \log_2 \left( \frac{W_N^e/N}{P^t(\omega)} \right);
\]

a smaller \( D_{KL}(P^e||P^t) \) indicates a closer similarity between \( W_N^e(\omega) \) and \( W_N^t(\omega) \).

The absolute difference per byte between \( h \) and \( h' \) is calculated by

\[
d_{\text{byte}} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{j=1}^{g} |t(e_j) - t(e'_j)|,
\]

and the theoretical value (denoted by \( d^\text{byte} \)) of the mean of \( d_{\text{byte}} \) (denoted by \( d^\text{byte} \)) over \( N \) draws is \( d^\text{byte} = 85.33 \) [6].

The collision resistant test on QHFM-x is performed with \( N = 10000 \), and the simulation results are shown in Table 4, where \( W_N^e(4+) \) denotes the number
of draws on which more than three hits occur in the hash values of the original and modified messages, and $W_N(4+) = N - [W_N(0) + W_N(1) + W_N(2) + W_N(3)]$. The values of $D_{\text{KL}}(P^o || P^t)$ and $|\bar{d}_{\text{byte}} - \bar{d}_{\text{byte}}|$ for (the representative instances of) existing schemes are deduced from the reported results of $W_N(\omega)$ and $\bar{d}_{\text{byte}}$, respectively. It should be mentioned that the values of $W_N(\omega)$ for Cao18-195 are not given in Ref. [14], which are calculated from Eqs. (9) and (10) with $N = 16383$.

The values of $D_{\text{KL}}(P^o || P^t)$ indicate that the experimental result of hit distribution for QHFM-x with $x \geq 200$ is closer to its theoretical distribution of $\omega$ than those for existing ones with $x$-bit output length, and the Kullback-Leibler divergence between $P^o$ and $P^t$ for QHFM-x with $x < 200$ is on a par with that for Cao18-195 and Yang16-128. As for the average difference per byte in two hash values, the test results of $\bar{d}_{\text{byte}}$ for QHFM-296 and QHFM-264 are closer to the theoretical value 85.33 than those for Yang21-296, Yang19-264, and Yang18-221, and the difference between $\bar{d}_{\text{byte}}$ and $\bar{d}_{\text{byte}}$ for QHFM-x with $x < 264$ are very small. Therefore, the proposed hash scheme has a good capability of collision resistance.

4.5 Resistance to Birthday Attack

Since the output length of the proposed hash function is highly flexible, one can easily obtain a QHFM instance that resists birthday attack by assigning appropriate values (large enough) to $m$ and $n$ according to the current hardware capabilities.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, a new hash function QHFM based on quantum walks with one- and two-step memory on circles is constructed, its performance was evaluated and compared with the existing QW-based hash functions in terms of the sensitivity of
hash value to message, the diffusion and confusion properties, the uniform distribution property, and the collision resistance property. The obtained results show that QHFM has near-ideal statistical properties and is at least as good as its peers, indicating that alternately running two quantum walks differing in more than one respects, including coin operator and memory length, can also yield good hash functions. In future work, we will explore the possibility of combining two quantum walks with more differences and investigate the effects of those differences on the performances of the resulting hash functions.
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