

ESTIMATES OF THE ORDER OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES IN THE GENERALIZED LORENTZ SPACE

G. AKISHEV

Abstract. In this paper we consider $X(\bar{\varphi})$ anisotropic symmetric space 2π of periodic functions of m variables, in particular, the generalized Lorentz space $L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and Nikol'skii–Besov's class $S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{\tau}}B$. The article proves an embedding theorem for the Nikol'skii - Besov class in the generalized Lorentz space and establishes an upper bound for the best approximations by trigonometric polynomials with harmonic numbers from the hyperbolic cross of functions from the class $S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{\tau}}B$.

Keywords: Lorentz space, and Nikol'skii-Besov class, and trigonometric polynomial, and best approximation

MSC: 41A10 and 41A25, 42A05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{R}^m — m — dimensional Euclidean point space $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with real coordinates; $I^m = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m; 0 \leq x_j \leq 1; j = 1, \dots, m\} = [0, 1]^m$ — m — dimensional cub.

Two nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions f, g are called equimeasurable if

$$\mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : f(\bar{x}) > \lambda\} = \mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : g(\bar{x}) > \lambda\}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where μe is the Lebesgue measure of the set $e \subset I^m$.

For a nonnegative measurable function f , a nonincreasing rearrangement is a function $f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : f(\bar{x}) > \lambda\} < t\}$. It is known that the functions f, f^* are equimeasurable ([1], Ch. 2, Section 2).

Let X be the Banach space of Lebesgue measurable functions f on I^m with norm $\|f\|_X$. The space X is called symmetric if

1) from the fact that $|f(\bar{x})| \leq |g(\bar{x})|$ almost everywhere on I^m and $g \in X$, it follows that $f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$

2) from the fact that $f \in X$ and the equimeasurability of the functions $|f(\bar{x})|$ and $|g(\bar{x})|$ it follows that $g \in X$ and $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$ (see[1, Ch. 2, Sec. 4.1]).

The norm $\|\chi_e\|_X$ of the characteristic function $\chi_e(t)$ of the measurable set $e \subset I^m$ is called is the fundamental function of the space X and is denoted by $\varphi(\mu e) = \|\chi_e\|_X$.

It is known that the non-increasing rearrangement of the characteristic function χ_e of the measurable set $e \subset [0, 1]^m$ is equal to the function $\chi_{[0, t]}$, where $t = \mu e$. Therefore, the fundamental function of the symmetric space X is the function $\varphi(t) = \|\chi_{[0, t]}\|_X$, defined on the segment $[0, 1]$. She is a concave, non-decreasing, continuous function on $[0, 1]$, and $\varphi(0) = 0$ (see [1, Ch. 2, Sec. 4.4]). Such functions are called Φ – functions.

For a given function $\varphi(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we define

$$\alpha_\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(2t)}{\varphi(t)}, \quad \beta_\varphi = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(2t)}{\varphi(t)}.$$

Symmetric space X with fundamental function φ and norm $\|f\|_X$ will be denoted by $X(\varphi)$ and its norm as $\|f\|_{X(\varphi)}$.

It is known that for any symmetric space $X(\varphi)$ inequalities $1 \leq \alpha_\varphi \leq \beta_\varphi \leq 2$.

One example of a symmetric space is $L_q(\mathbb{T}^m)$ —the Lebesgue space with the norm

$$\|f\|_q = \left(\int_{I^m} |f(2\pi\bar{x})|^q d\bar{x} \right)^{1/q}, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$$

Here and in what follows, $\mathbb{T}^m = [0, 2\pi]^m$, the functions f are 2π –periodic in each variable.

Let the function ψ be continuous, concave and non-decreasing by $[0, 1]$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $0 < \tau < \infty$. The generalized Lorentz space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is the set of measurable functions $f(\bar{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of periodic 2π in each variable, such that (see [3])

$$\|f\|_{\psi, \tau}^* = \left(\int_0^1 f^{*\tau}(t) \psi^\tau(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\tau} < \infty.$$

It is known that under the conditions $1 < \alpha_\psi, \beta_\psi < 2$, the space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is a symmetric space with a fundamental function ψ .

Note that for $\psi(t) = t^{1/q}$ the space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ coincides with the Lorentz space $L_{q, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $1 < q, \tau < \infty$, which consists of all functions f such that (see [2, Ch. 1, Sec. 3])

$$\|f\|_{q, \tau} = \left(\frac{\tau}{q} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t f^*(y) dy \right)^\tau t^{\tau(\frac{1}{q}-1)-1} dt \right)^{1/\tau} < \infty.$$

We consider $X(\bar{\varphi})$ anisotropic symmetric space 2π of periodic functions of m variables, with the norm $\|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* = \|\dots\|_{X(\varphi_1)}^* \dots \|f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m)\|_{X(\varphi_m)}^*$, where $f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ non-increasing rearrangement of a function $|f(2\pi\bar{x})|$ for each variable $x_j \in [0, 1]$ with fixed other variables (see [5]) and $X(\varphi_j)$ — symmetric space in the variable x_j , with the fundamental function φ_j (see [4]).

The associated space to the symmetric space $X(\bar{\varphi})$ is the space of all measurable functions g for which (see [4])

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in X(\bar{\varphi}) \\ \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \leq 1}} \int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x}) g(2\pi\bar{x}) d\bar{x} < \infty,$$

and is denoted by the symbol $X'(\bar{\varphi})$, and its norm as $\|g\|_{X'(\bar{\varphi})}^*$, where

$$\bar{\varphi}(t) = (\tilde{\varphi}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_m(t)), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi_j(t)}$$

for $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. It is known that

$$\left| \int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x}) g(2\pi\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \right| \leq \|g\|_{X'(\bar{\varphi})}^* \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^*, \quad f \in X(\bar{\varphi}), g \in X'(\bar{\varphi}).$$

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{I}^m = [0, 1]^m$ and given Φ –functions $\psi_j(x_j)$, $x_j \in [0, 1]$ and $\tau_j \in [1, +\infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We shall denote by $L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ the generalized Lorentz space with anisotropic norm of Lebesgue measurable functions $f(2\pi\bar{x})$ of period 2π in each variable, such that the quantity

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* = \left[\int_0^1 \psi_m^{\tau_m}(t_m) \left[\dots \left[\int_0^1 \psi_1^{\tau_1}(t_1) (f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m))^{\tau_1} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \frac{dt_m}{t_m} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}$$

is finite. For functions $\psi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{q_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ Lorentz space $L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$, will be denoted by $L_{\bar{q}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and instead of $\|\bullet\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*$ respectively we will write $\|\bullet\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\tau}}^*$ (see [5]).

Let $\overset{\circ}{L}_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ be the set of functions $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\bar{x}) dx_j = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We will use the following notation: $a_{\bar{n}}(f)$ be the Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with respect to the multiple trigonometric system and

$$\delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \rho(\bar{s})} a_{\bar{n}}(f) e^{i\langle \bar{n}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where $\langle \bar{y}, \bar{x} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j x_j$,

$$\rho(\bar{s}) = \{ \bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : 2^{s_j-1} \leq |k_j| < 2^{s_j}, j = 1, \dots, m \}.$$

We will consider the functional class of Nikol'skii-Besov

$$S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B = \left\{ f \in \overset{\circ}{X}(\bar{\varphi}) : \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* + \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\theta}}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$, $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, $1 \leq \theta_j \leq +\infty$, $0 < r_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

In the case of $X(\bar{\varphi}) = L_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, class $S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$ is defined and studied in [6]–[8].

For a fixed vector $\bar{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, $\gamma_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, set

$$Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}} = \cup_{(\bar{s}, \bar{\gamma}) < n} \rho(\bar{s}), \quad T(Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}) = \{t(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{k} \in Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}} b_{\bar{k}} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle}\},$$

$E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)_{X(\bar{\varphi})}$ is the best approximation of a function $f \in X(\bar{\varphi})$ by polynomials in $T(Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}})$, and $S_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{k} \in Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}} a_{\bar{k}}(f) \cdot e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle}$ is a partial sum of the Fourier series of f .

We shall denote by $C(p, q, y, \dots)$ positive quantities which depend only on the parameter in the parentheses and not necessarily the same in distinct formulae. The notation $A(y) \asymp B(y)$ means that there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 \cdot A(y) \leq B(y) \leq C_2 \cdot A(y)$.

Exact order estimates for the best approximation of functions of various classes in the Lebesgue space $L_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ are well known (see survey articles [9]–[11], monograph [12] and bibliographies in them). These questions in the space $L_{\bar{q}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ were studied in [13]–[18].

The main aim of the present paper is to find the order of the quantity

$$E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}} \equiv \sup_{f \in S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B} E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give auxiliary results. In Section 2, we will prove the main results. Our main results in this Section reads:

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $f \in X(\bar{\varphi})$ and*

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \in l_{\bar{\tau}},$$

then $f \in \dot{L}_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and the following inequality holds

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}.$$

Further, for brevity, we put $\mu_j(s) = \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s})}$.

Theorem 5. Let $1 \leq \theta_j \leq +\infty$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $r_j > 0$, $\frac{r_j}{r_1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and functions φ_j , ψ_j satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and

$$\left[\sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j r_j} \right)^{\varepsilon_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j}} < +\infty,$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $\beta'_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\beta_j - 1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, if $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j} > 1$ and $\varepsilon_j = +\infty$, if $\theta_j \leq \tau_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

1) If $1 \leq \tau_j < \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{\tau}} B)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\varepsilon}}}.$$

2) If $1 \leq \theta_j \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$E_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{\tau}} B)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \sup \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) : \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, \langle \bar{s}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle \geq n \right\}.$$

Here $Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n) = \{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, \langle \bar{s}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle \geq n\}$.

2. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

In this section, we present some well-known results and prove several lemmas. The following generalization of the discrete Hardy inequality is known

Lemma 1. (see [19]). Let $0 < \theta < +\infty$ and given positive numbers a_k , b_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

a) If $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \leq C \cdot a_n$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \right)^{\theta} \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n^{\theta}$.

b) If $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \leq C a_n$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n b_k \right)^{\theta} \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot b_n^{\theta}$.

The proof of Lemma 1 is also given in [24].

Lemma 2. (see [20], [21]) If a $1 < \alpha_{\psi}, \beta_{\psi} < 2$ for Φ -function $\psi(x)$, x in $[0, 1]$, then for any $q > 0$ the relations are satisfied

$$\int_0^x \frac{\psi^q(t)}{t} dt = O(\psi^q(x)), x \rightarrow +0,$$

$$\int_x^1 [t\psi^q(t)]^{-1} dt = O(\psi^{-q}(x)), x \rightarrow +0.$$

Lemma 3. (see [20], [21]) If Φ -th functions $\varphi(x), \psi(x), x \in [0, 1]$ satisfies the condition $\alpha_\varphi > \beta_\psi > 1$, then for function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

there is a Φ -th function $g_1(x)$ such that $g(x) \asymp g_1(x), x \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha_{g_1} > 1$.

Lemma 4. If Φ -th functions $\varphi(x), \psi(x), x \in [0, 1]$ satisfies the condition $1 < \alpha_\psi \leq \beta_\psi < \alpha_\varphi \leq \beta_\varphi < 2$ and $0 < \theta < \infty$, then the following inequality holds

$$\sum_{s=0}^n \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-s})}{\varphi(2^{-s})} \right)^\theta \leq C \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-n})}{\varphi(2^{-n})} \right)^\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We will consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $1 < \alpha_\psi \leq \beta_\psi < \alpha_\varphi$, then according to Lemma 3 there exists a Φ -function g_1 such that $g(x) \asymp g_1(x), x \rightarrow +0$ and $\alpha_{g_1} > 1$. Therefore

$$\sum_{s=0}^n \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-s})}{\varphi(2^{-s})} \right)^\theta \leq C \sum_{s=0}^n g_1^{-\theta}(2^{-s}). \quad (1)$$

Since $g_1 \uparrow$ on $(0, 1]$, then

$$\sum_{s=0}^n g_1^{-\theta}(2^{-s}) \leq \ln 2 \sum_{s=0}^n \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} g_1^{-\theta}(t) \frac{dt}{t} = \ln 2 \int_{2^{-n-1}}^1 g_1^{-\theta}(t) \frac{dt}{t} \quad (2)$$

Now, from inequalities (1) and (2), according to Lemma 2, we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Lemma 5. Let Φ be a function ψ satisfies the conditions $1 < \alpha_\psi \leq \beta_\psi < 2$, then the following inequality holds

$$\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \psi^\theta(2^{-n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\psi(t)}{t} \downarrow$ on $(0, 1]$, then

$$\psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t} = C \int_0^{2^{-n}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Hence, according to Lemma 2, from this we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Remark. In Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 the coefficients C do not depend on n . These lemmas were proved in integral form in [20].

We now prove a multidimensional version of Lemma 1.

Lemma 6. Let positive numbers $b_{\bar{k}} = b_{k_1, \dots, k_m}$ be given for $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and a_{k_j} , $k_j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $1 \leq \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

a) If

$$\sum_{k_j=0}^{n_j} a_{k_j} \leq C a_{n_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m} &= \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\bar{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}}. \end{aligned}$$

b) If

$$\sum_{k_j=n_j}^{\infty} a_{k_j} \leq C a_{n_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_m=0}^{n_m} \cdots \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\bar{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove item a). For $m = 1$ the statement is known (see Lemma 1). Let $m = 2$. Since $1 \leq \theta_1 < \infty$, then by the property of the norm we have

$$\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \leq \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \right]^{\theta_2}$$

Now, applying statement a) of Lemma 1 twice, from this we obtain

$$\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \leq \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\bar{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}}.$$

Now suppose the statement is true for $m - 1$. Let us prove it for m . Since θ_j , $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$, then by the property of the norm and using the assumption, we have

$$A_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m}^{\theta_m} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_{m-1}=n_{m-1}}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\theta_m} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, k_m}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\theta_m} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying assertion a) of Lemma 1, from this we obtain the required inequality. Assertion b) is proved similarly.

Lemma 7. Let $1 < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} \leq 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, be measurable sets. Then for any trigonometric polynomial

$$T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{k_1=-n_1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{k_m=-n_m}^{n_m} b_{\bar{k}} e^{i\langle \bar{x}, \bar{k} \rangle}$$

the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_m} \cdots \int_{E_1} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| dx_1 \cdots dx_m \leq \\ & \leq C \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(n_j^{-1})} \prod_{j \in e} |E_j| \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \bar{\varphi}_j(|E_j|) \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and \bar{e} -complement set e , $|E_j|$ — Lebesgue measure of E_j .

Proof. Let e be an arbitrary subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. Also let $E_e = \prod_{j \in e} E_j$, $d^e \bar{x} = \prod_{j \in e} dx_j$. It is known that for any trigonometric polynomial $T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})$ for fixed x_j , $j \in \bar{e}$, the following formula holds:

$$T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{I^{|e|}} T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}}) \cdot \prod_{j \in e} D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j) d^e \bar{y},$$

where $D_n(t)$ — is the Dirichlet kernel of the trigonometric system, \bar{y}^e — the vector with the coordinates y_j if $j \in e$, and $\bar{x}^{\bar{e}}$ — the vector with the coordinates x_j if $j \in \bar{e}$ (\bar{e} is the set-theoretic completion of e) and $|e|$ is the number of elements of e . By the property of Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \left| \int_{I^{|e|}} T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}}) \cdot \prod_{j \in e} D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j) d^e \bar{y} \right| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \int_{I^{|e|}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}})| \cdot \prod_{j \in e} |D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j)| d^e \bar{y} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{I^m} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}})| \cdot \prod_{j \in e} |D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j)| \cdot \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \chi_{E_j}(x_j) d^e \bar{y} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E . By applying the Hölder's inequality to the integrals in the right side of the last relation. Then

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \prod_{j \in e} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\| \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \|\chi_{E_j}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \prod_{j \in e} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{X(\tilde{\varphi}_j)}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \|\chi_{E_j}\|_{X(\tilde{\varphi}_j)}^*. \quad (3)$$

Since

$$\|\chi_{E_j}\|_{X(\tilde{\varphi}_j)}^* = \tilde{\varphi}_j(|E_j|)$$

then from inequality (3) we obtain

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\varphi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} \sup_{x_j \in [0, 2\pi]} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{\tilde{\varphi}_j}^*, \quad (4)$$

where $d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} = \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} dx_j$.

In the one-dimensional case, the estimate is known (see, for example, [23])

$$\sup_{x_j \in [0, 2\pi]} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{X(\tilde{\varphi}_j)}^* \leq C n_j \tilde{\varphi}_j(n_j^{-1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (5)$$

Now from inequalities (4) and (5) we will have

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq C \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\varphi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} n_j \tilde{\varphi}_j(n_j^{-1})$$

for fixed $x_j, j \in e$. By integrating by the variables $x_j, j \in e$, the both sides of this inequality, we get

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \int_{E_e} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^e \bar{x} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq C \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\varphi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} n_j \tilde{\varphi}_j(n_j^{-1}) \prod_{j \in e} |E_j|.$$

The proof is finished.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now prove the main results. We set

$$G_e(\bar{n}) = \{\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : s_j \leq n_j, j \in e; \quad s_j > n_j, j \notin e\},$$

where $e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$;

$$U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}).$$

Let

$$\bar{f}(\bar{t}) = \sup_{|E_m| \geq t_m} \frac{1}{|E_m|} \int_{E_m} \dots \sup_{|E_1| \geq t_1} \frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1 \dots dx_m,$$

$|E_j|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\bar{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ and functions φ_j satisfies the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2, j = 1, \dots, m$. Then for each function $f \in X(\bar{\varphi})$ the next inequality holds*

$$\bar{f}(\bar{t}) \leq C \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* + \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-n_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\},$$

for $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (2^{-n_1-1}, 2^{-n_1}] \times \dots \times (2^{-n_m-1}, 2^{-n_m}]$, $n_j = 1, 2, \dots$;
 $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$ be a Lebesgue measurable subset. Then, by the properties of the integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1 \dots dx_m &\leq \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} + \\ &+ \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Using Hölder's integral inequality we obtain

$$\int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{|E_j|}{\varphi_j(|E_j|)} \|f - U_{\bar{n}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^*. \quad (7)$$

Let $\forall e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then by applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} \left| \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) \right| d\bar{x} &\leq \\ C \prod_{j \in e} |E_j| \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{|E_j|}{\varphi_j(|E_j|)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} &\|\delta_{\bar{s}}\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Further, taking into account that $|E_j| \geq t_j$ and the properties of the function φ_j from inequalities (6)–(8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^m |E_j|^{-1} \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} &\leq \\ \leq C \cdot \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion of the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $f \in X(\bar{\varphi})$ and

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \in l_{\bar{\tau}},$$

then $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*$ and the following inequality holds

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2 [4], the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m) &\leq \bar{f}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \equiv \\ &\equiv \sup_{|E_m| \geq t_m} \int_{E_m} dx_m \dots \sup_{|E_1| \geq t_1} \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \|\bar{f}\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Taking into account the relation

$$\int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \psi_j(t) \frac{dt}{t} \asymp \psi_j(2^{-n}). \quad (9)$$

and using Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* &\leq C \left[\left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\varphi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\dots \left. \left. \left. \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_1(2^{-n_1})}{\varphi_1(2^{-n_1})} \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} + \\ &+ \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n_m-1}}^{2^{-n_m}} \psi_m^{\tau_m}(t_m) t_m^{-1} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n_1-1}}^{2^{-n_1}} \psi_1^{\tau_1}(t_1) \cdot t_1^{-1} \times \right. \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \left. \left(\prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} dt_1 \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} dt_m \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} \right] = C \cdot \left[J_1 + \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} J_e \right]. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, the numbers

$$a_{s_j} = \frac{\psi(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi(2^{-s_j})}$$

and $a_{s_j} = \psi(2^{-s_j})$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ satisfy the conditions *a*) and *b*) of Lemma 6, respectively.

Let $e = \{1, \dots, i\}$, $i \leq m$. Then using relation (9) and successively applying the triangle inequality, assertions *a*) and *b*) of Lemma 6, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} J_e &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\varphi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \right. \right. \\ &\dots \left. \left. \left[\sum_{n_{i+1}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_{i+1}(2^{-n_{i+1}})}{\varphi_{i+1}(2^{-n_{i+1}})} \right)^{\tau_{i+1}} \left[\sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i^{\tau_i}(2^{-n_i}) \left[\dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-n_1}) \times \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \left. \left(\sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_{i+1}=n_{i+1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i=1}^{n_i} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \right) \times \right. \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $\beta'_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\beta_j - 1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, if $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j} > 1$ and $\varepsilon_j = +\infty$, if $\theta_j \leq \tau_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then the embedding $S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B \subset L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(I^m)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \|f\|_{S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B}.$$

Proof. If $\tau_j < \theta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j}$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\bar{\tau}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\varphi}}(f) &= \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j r_j} \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\varepsilon}}} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\theta}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

If $\theta_j \leq \tau_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then by Jensen's inequality will have

$$\sigma_{\bar{\tau}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\varphi}}(f) \leq \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\theta}}} \prod_{j=1}^m \sup_{s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j r_j}. \quad (15)$$

By conditions (13) and Theorem 2, inequalities (14) and (15) imply the assertion theorems.

Theorem 4. Let functions ψ_j satisfies the conditions $1 < 2^{1/\lambda_j} < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < 2$, $1 < \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and

$$f(\bar{x}) \sim \sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} b_{\bar{s}} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i(\bar{k}, \bar{x})},$$

then the inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \geq C \times \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\infty} 2^{s_m \frac{\tau_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m^{\tau_m}(2^{-s_m}) \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\infty} 2^{s_1 \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-s_1}) \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{\bar{s}}$ —real numbers.

Proof. Let $S_{2\nu, \dots, 2\nu}(f, \bar{x})$ be rectangular partial sum of the Fourier series of a function $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(I^m)$. It is known that (see [4])

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\theta}}^* \asymp \sup_{\substack{g \in L_{\bar{\psi}', \bar{\tau}'}^* \\ \|g\|_{\bar{\psi}', \bar{\tau}'}^* \leq 1}} \int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x}) g(2\pi\bar{x}) d\bar{x}, \quad (16)$$

where $\bar{\tau}' = (\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_m)$, $\frac{1}{\tau_j} + \frac{1}{\tau'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\bar{\psi}'(t) = (\tilde{\psi}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m(t))$, $\tilde{\psi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\psi_j(t)}$, for $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\tilde{\psi}_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We will introduce the notation

$$\sigma_{\nu}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j} = \left\{ \sum_{s_j=1}^{\nu-1} 2^{\frac{s_j \tau_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} 2^{\frac{s_1 \tau_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-s_1}) \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_j}{\tau_{j-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}.$$

We consider trigonometric polynomial

$$g_\nu(\bar{x}) = \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} b_{\bar{s},\nu} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where

$$b_{\bar{s},\nu} = \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_\nu(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^m (2^{s_j} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}))^{\tau_j} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})(\tau_1-\tau_j)} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)|^{\tau_1-1} \text{sign}(b_{\bar{s}}(f))$$

and $\bar{\tau}_j = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)$. Then, taking into account the orthogonality of the trigonometric system, we have

$$\int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x})g(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} = \int_{I^m} S_{2^\nu, \dots, 2^\nu}(f, 2\pi\bar{x})g_\nu(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} \\ = \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, 2\pi\bar{x})g_\nu(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x}. \quad (17)$$

We will prove that $\|g_\nu\|_{\bar{\psi},\bar{\tau}'}^* \leq C_0$, where C_0 is some positive constant independent of ν . Taking into account the relation (see (5))

$$\left\| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{\psi},\bar{\tau}}^* \asymp \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}), \quad 1 < \tau_j < +\infty, \quad 1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < 2, \quad (18)$$

it is easy to verify the following inequality

$$\left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(g_\nu)\|_{\bar{\lambda}',\bar{\tau}'}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} = \left(|b_{\bar{s},\nu}| \left\| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{\lambda}',\bar{\tau}'}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} \leq \\ \leq C \prod_{j=2}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j \tau'_1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{(\tau_1 + \tau'_1)} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \\ \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (\sigma_\nu(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \right)^{\tau'_1}, \quad (19)$$

where $\bar{\nu} = (\nu, \dots, \nu)$. Further, by using inequality (19), we obtain

$$J(g_\nu) := \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} (2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \tilde{\psi}_m(2^{-s_m}))^{\tau'_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} (2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \tilde{\psi}_1(2^{-s_1}))^{\tau'_1} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau'_2}{\tau'_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau'_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau'_m}} \\ \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda},\bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \tilde{\psi}_m(2^{-s_m}) \right)^{\tau'_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \tilde{\psi}_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{\tau'_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j \tau'_1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \times \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{(\tau_1 + \tau'_1)} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_\nu(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j} \right)^{\tau'_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau'_2}{\tau'_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau'_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau'_m}}. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, $\tau_j \tau'_j = \tau_1 + \tau'_1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\psi_j(t)}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \tilde{\psi}_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau'_j} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j \tau'_j} &= \left(\frac{2^{-\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}}}{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})} \right)^{\tau'_j} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j + \tau'_j} \\ &= \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Now, considering this equality and the definition of numbers $\sigma_\nu(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j}$ inequality (20) continues

$$\begin{aligned} J(g_\nu) &\leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau'_m}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m(2^{-s_m}) \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_\nu(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j} \right)^{\tau'_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau'_2}{\tau'_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau'_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau'_m}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m(2^{-s_m}) \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} \\ &\times C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau'_m}} \leq C. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

Since by the hypothesis of the theorem $1 < 2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_j}} < \alpha_{\psi_j}$, then $\beta_{\tilde{\psi}_j} < 2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore, according to Theorem 2 and inequality (21), we obtain

$$\|g_\nu\|_{\tilde{\psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^* \leq C J(g_\nu) \leq C_0.$$

Hence the function $\varphi_\nu = \frac{1}{C_0} g_\nu \in L_{\tilde{\psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^*(I^m)$ and $\|\varphi_\nu\|_{\tilde{\psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^* \leq 1$. Further, according to the orthogonality property, we have

$$\int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g_\nu(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} = \sum_{l_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{l_1=1}^{\nu-1} b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{l, \nu} \times$$

$$\times \int_{I^m} \left| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i(\bar{k}, \bar{x})} \right|^2 d\bar{x} = (2\pi)^d \cdot b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{\bar{s}, \nu} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j-1}. \quad (22)$$

From the definition of the numbers $b_{\bar{s}, \nu}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{\bar{s}, \nu} &= \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{\nu}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \right. \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j \theta_j} \psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})(\tau_1-\tau_j)} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)|^{\tau_1} = \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{\nu}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j \tau_j} \psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{-s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})\tau_j} 2^{-s_1(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_1})\tau_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)| \right)^{\tau_1} \geq \\ &\geq C(\tau, \lambda) \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{\nu}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \right. \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Now, taking into account (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{g \in L_{\bar{\tau}}^* \\ \|\psi, \bar{\tau}'\| \\ \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq 1}} \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} &\geq \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) \varphi_{\nu}(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} = \\ &= C \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \geq \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g_{\nu}(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \\ &\geq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\tau_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\tau}, \bar{\theta}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\theta}}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{\nu}(f)_{\bar{\theta}_j})^{\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j} \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{s_m=1}^{\nu-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{\nu-1} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\tau_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\theta_j} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} = \\ &= C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\nu}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

It follows from inequalities (17) and (24) that

$$\|f\|_{\psi, \bar{\tau}}^* \geq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\lambda, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}.$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 2. In the case $\psi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{q_j}}$, $\varphi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 were proved in [14].

Theorem 5. Let $1 \leq \theta_j < +\infty$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and functions φ_j , ψ_j satisfies the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and (13).

1) If $1 \leq \tau_j < \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$E_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{\bar{\varepsilon}},$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j}$.

2) If $1 \leq \theta_j \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$E_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \sup \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) : \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, \langle \bar{s}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle \geq n \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$. Then by Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\|f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f))\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \quad (25)$$

Since $\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)) = 0$, $\bar{s} \notin Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$ and $\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)) = \delta_{\bar{s}}(f)$, $\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$, then from (25) we obtain

$$\|f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C(\theta, m) \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \quad (26)$$

We put

$$b_{\bar{s}}(n) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})}, \quad \bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n),$$

$b_{\bar{s}}(n) = 0$, $\bar{s} \notin Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$.

We will prove item 1). Since $1 \leq \tau_j < \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $\beta_j = \frac{\tau_j}{\theta_j}$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ we get

$$\sigma_1(f, n) = \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \leq C \left\| \{b_{\bar{s}}(n)\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\varepsilon}}}, \quad (27)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let us prove item 2). If $\theta_j \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then according to Jensen's inequality (see [6, Ch. 3, Sec. 3]) we obtain

$$\sigma_1(f, n) \leq \|f\|_{S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B} \sup_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) 2^{-s_j r_j}. \quad (28)$$

Inequalities (26)–(28) imply the statements of items 1) and 2) of Theorem 5.

Remark 3. In the case $\varphi_j(t) = t^{1/p}$, $p_j = \tau_j^{(1)} = p$ and $\psi_j(t) = t^{1/q}$, $q_j = \tau_j^{(2)} = q$, $1 \leq \theta_j = \theta \leq \infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ Theorem 5 implies the previously known results of Ya.S. Bugrova, E.M. Galeeva, V.N. Temlyakov and A.S. Romanyuk (see, for example, the bibliography in [11], [12], [22]).

Further, From Theorem 5 with $\varphi_j(t) = t^{1/p_j}$, $\psi_j(t) = t^{1/q_j}$, $1 < p_j, q_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ and $\gamma'_j < \gamma_j$ $j = \nu + 1, \dots, m$ follow Theorem 2 in [13] (also see [14]) and for $1 \leq \gamma'_j \leq \gamma_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, Theorem 1 in [16] (see also [18]).

Remark 4. In the case $X(\vec{\varphi}) = L_{\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ — the generalized Lorentz space, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 proved in [25].

This work was supported by a grant Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Project AP 08855579).

REFERENCES

- [1] Krein S.G., Petunin Yu.I., Semenov E.M., Interpolation of linear operators, Moscow, 1978
- [2] Stein E.M., Weiss G. Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton, 1971
- [3] Sharpley R. Space $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ and interpolation. J. Func. Anal., 11(4) (1972), 479–513.
- [4] Blozinski A.P. , Multivariate rearrangements and Banach function spaces with mixed norms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 263(1) (1981), 146–167
- [5] Nursultanov E. D. Interpolation theorems for anisotropic function spaces and their applications. Dokl. Akad. Nauk, 394(1) (2004), 22–25.
- [6] Nikol'ski S. M., Approximation of classes of functions of several variables and embedding theorems, Moscow, 1977
- [7] Lizorkin P.I., Nikol'skii S. M., Spaces of functions of mixed smoothness from the decomposition point of view, Proc. Stekov Inst. Math., 187 (1989), 143–161.
- [8] Amanov T.I, Spaces of differentiable functions with dominant mixed derivative, Alma-Ata, 1976
- [9] Tikhomirov V.M., Approximation theory, Itogy Nauki i Tekhniki : Sovrem. Probl. Math.: Fud. Naprav. VINITI, Moscow. 14 (1987), 103–270.
- [10] Schmeisser H.-J. Recent developments in the theory of function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Proceedings of the Spring School held in Prague, May 30-June 6, 2006, Vol. 8. Czech Academy of Sciences, Mathematical Institute, Praha, 2007. P. 145–204.
- [11] Dinh Dung , Temlyakov V.N., Ullrich T. Hyperbolic cross approximation, arXiv: 1601.03978v1[math.NA] 15 Jan. 2016. 154 p.
- [12] Temlyakov V. Multivariate approximation. Cambridge University Press. 2018.
- [13] Akishev G., Approximation of function classes in spaces with mixed norms, Mat. Sb., 197(8) (2006), 17–40.
- [14] Akishev G. On approximation of function classes in Lorentz spaces with anisotropic norm, Anal. Theory and Applic. 29(4) (2013), 358–372.
- [15] Akishev G. On the orders M –terms approximations of classes of functions of the symmetrical space, Mat. Zh., 14(4) (2014), 44–71.
- [16] Bekmaganbetov K.A. On orders of approximation of the Besov class in the metric of anisotropic Lorentz spaces. Ufim. math. jour., 1(2) (2009), 9–16.
- [17] Bekmaganbetov K.A. and Nursultanov E.D. The method of multi-parameter interpolation and embedding theorems of Besov spaces $B_{p, \vec{\tau}}^\alpha$. Anal. math., 24 (1998), 241–263.
- [18] Bekmaganbetov K.A., Orazgaliev E.T. Bernstein-Nikol'skii inequalities and estimates of best approximation in anisotropic Lorentz spaces. Matem. zhur., 15(2) (2015), 32–41.
- [19] Johansson H., Embedding of H_p^ω in some Lorentz spaces, Research Report University Umea , 6 (1975), 3–38
- [20] Lapin S.V., Some embedding theorems for products of functions, Manuscript N 1036-80Dep, deposited at VINITI (1980) (Russian). 31 p.
- [21] Sherstneva L.A., On the properties of best Lorentz approximations and certain embedding theorems. Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matem., 10 (1987), 48–58.

- [22] Romanyuk A.S., Approximation of the Besov classes of periodic functions of several variables in the space L_q , Ukrain . Mat. Zh., 43(10) (1991), 1297–1306.
- [23] Rodin V.A. The Hardy–Littlewood theorem for the cosine series in a symmetric space. Math. Notes, 20(2) (1976), 693–696.
- [24] Akishev G., Persson L.-E. and Seger A. Some Fourier inequalities for orthogonal systems in Lorentz–Zygmund spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2019: 171 (2019), 18 p.
- [25] Akishev G. Estimating the order of approximation Besov classes by trigonometric polynomials. Bulletin Karaganda University. Ser. matem. 3 (2004), 9–16.

LOMONOSOV MOSCOW UNIVERSITY, KAZAKHSTAN BRANCH, STR. KAZHYMUKAN, 11, 010010, NUR-SULTAN, KAZAKHSTAN

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, PUSHKIN STR, 125, 050010, ALMATY, REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN