

WEAK HARNACK INEQUALITY FOR A MIXED LOCAL AND NONLOCAL PARABOLIC EQUATION

PRASHANTA GARAIN AND JUHA KINNUNEN

ABSTRACT. This article proves a weak Harnack inequality with a tail term for sign changing supersolutions of a mixed local and nonlocal parabolic equation. Our argument is purely analytic. It is based on energy estimates and the Moser iteration technique. Instead of the parabolic John-Nirenberg lemma, we adopt a lemma of Bombieri to the mixed local and nonlocal parabolic case. To this end, we prove an appropriate reverse Hölder inequality and a logarithmic estimate for weak supersolutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we establish a weak Harnack inequality for the mixed local and nonlocal parabolic Laplace equation

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t u + \mathcal{L}u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

where $T > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 2$ is a bounded domain (i.e. bounded, open and connected set) and \mathcal{L} is an integro-differential operator of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}u(x, t) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u(x, t) - u(y, t)) K(x, y, t) dx dy dt,$$

where P.V. denotes the principal value and K is a symmetric kernel in x and y such that for some $0 < s < 1$ and $\Lambda \geq 1$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\Lambda^{-1}}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \leq K(x, y, t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}$$

uniformly in $t \in (0, T)$. If

$$K(x, y, t) = \frac{C}{|x - y|^{N+2s}},$$

for some constant C , then \mathcal{L} reduces to the well known fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$ and (1.2) is the mixed local and nonlocal fractional heat equation

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u = \Delta u.$$

This kind of evolution equations arise in the study of Lévy processes, image processing etc, see Dipierro-Valdinoci [23] and the references therein for more details on the physical interpretation.

In the elliptic case, Foondun [27] have obtained Harnack and local Hölder continuity estimates for the mixed local and nonlocal problem

$$(1.5) \quad -\Delta u + (-\Delta)^s u = 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 35K05, 35B65, 47G20, 35D30.

Key words and phrases. Mixed local and nonlocal Laplace operator, energy estimates, Moser iteration, reverse Hölder inequality, weak Harnack inequality.

Chen-Kim-Song-Vondraček in [14] have proved Harnack estimates for (1.5) by a different approach. In addition to symmetry results and strong maximum principles, several other qualitative properties of solutions of (1.5) have recently been studied by Biagi-Dipierro-Valdinoci-Vecchi [3, 4], Dipierro-Proietti Lippi-Valdinoci [20, 21] and Dipierro-Ros-Oton-Serra-Valdinoci [22]. For a nonlinear version of (1.5) with the p -Laplace equation, Harnack inequality, local Hölder continuity and other regularity results are discussed in Garain-Kinnunen [28]. In the parabolic case Barlow-Bass-Chen-Kassmann [2] have obtained Harnack inequality for (1.4). Chen-Kumagai [15] have also proved a Harnack inequality and local Hölder continuity. For the fractional heat equation $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u = 0$, a weak Harnack inequality for globally nonnegative solutions is established by Felsinger-Kassmann [26], see also Bonforte-Sire-Vázquez [7], Caffarelli-Chan-Vasseur [12], Chaker-Kassmann [13], Kassmann-Schwab [31] and Kim [32] for related results.

The main purpose of this article is to provide a weak Harnack inequality for (1.1) (Theorem 2.8). To the best of our knowledge, a weak Harnack inequality is unknown even for the prototype equation (1.4). Our main result is stated for sign changing weak supersolutions of (1.1). For sign changing solutions of nonlocal problems, in both the elliptic and parabolic context, an extra quantity referred to as "Tail" or "Parabolic Tail", generally appears in the Harnack estimates. This phenomenon has been first observed by Kassmann in [30] for the fractional Laplace equation $(-\Delta)^s u = 0$ and further extended by Di Castro-Kuusi-Palatucci [16, 17] and Brasco-Lindgren-Schikorra [8, 9] to the fractional p -Laplace equation. For the parabolic nonlocal case, see Strömqvist [39], Brasco-Lindgren-Strömqvist [10], Banerjee-Garain-Kinnunen [1], Ding-Zhang-Zhou [19] and the references therein. For the mixed local and nonlocal elliptic equations, a new tail quantity appears that captures both the local and nonlocal behavior of the mixed operator as observed in [28]. For the mixed local and nonlocal parabolic problem (1.1), we introduce an appropriate tail term (Definition 2.7), which captures both local and nonlocal behavior of the mixed equation.

In contrast to the probabilistic approach in [2, 15], we prove the weak Harnack estimate (Theorem 2.8) by analytic techniques. More precisely, we employ the approach of Moser [36] that uses a lemma by Bombieri-Giusti [6], further avoiding the use of technically demanding parabolic John-Nirenberg lemma, see Moser [37] and Fabes-Garofalo [25]. We discuss energy estimates for negative and positive powers of weak supersolutions for (1.1) (Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2). These energy estimates together with the Sobolev inequality and the Moser iteration technique, enable us to estimate the supremum of the negative power of a weak supersolution (Lemma 4.1) of (1.1) and to prove the reverse Hölder inequality (Lemma 4.2). Finally, a logarithmic estimate (Lemma 4.3) of weak supersolutions of (1.1) is deduced that allows us to apply the Bombieri lemma (Lemma 2.13) to establish our main result (Theorem 2.8).

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

We use the following notation throughout. We denote the positive and negative parts of $a \in \mathbb{R}$ by $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ and $a_- = \max\{-a, 0\}$, respectively. The Lebesgue outer measure of a set S is denoted by $|S|$. The barred integral sign denotes the corresponding integral average. We write C to denote a constant which may vary from line to line or even in the same line. If C depends on r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k , we denote $C = C(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$.

We recall some known results for the fractional Sobolev spaces, see Di Nezza-Palatucci-Valdinoci [18] for more details.

Definition 2.1. Let $0 < s < 1$ and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open and connected subset of \mathbb{R}^N . The fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,2}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{s,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N}{2} + s}} \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}$$

and it is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,2}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The fractional Sobolev space with zero boundary values is defined by

$$W_0^{s,2}(\Omega) = \{ u \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \}.$$

Both $W^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ are reflexive Banach spaces, see [18]. We denote the classical Sobolev space by $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. The parabolic Sobolev space $L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$, $T > 0$, consists of measurable functions u on $\Omega \times (0, T)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))} = \left(\int_0^T \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The space $L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, T; W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega))$ is defined by requiring the conditions above for every $\Omega' \times [t_1, t_2] \Subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Here $\Omega' \times [t_1, t_2] \Subset \Omega \times (0, T)$ denotes that $\overline{\Omega'} \times [t_1, t_2]$ is a compact subset of $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

The next result asserts that the classical Sobolev space is continuously embedded in the fractional Sobolev space, see [18, Proposition 2.2]. The argument applies an extension property of Ω so that we can extend functions from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that the extension operator is bounded.

Lemma 2.2. Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $0 < s < 1$. There exists a positive constant $C = C(\Omega, N, s)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$$

for every $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

The following result for the fractional Sobolev spaces with zero boundary value follows from [11, Lemma 2.1]. The main difference compared to Lemma 2.2 is that the result holds for any bounded domain, since for the Sobolev spaces with zero boundary value, we always have a zero extension to the complement.

Lemma 2.3. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $0 < s < 1$. There exists a positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

for every $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Here we consider the zero extension of u to the complement of Ω .

The notion of weak supersolutions for (1.1) is defined as follows.

Definition 2.4. A function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is a weak supersolution of the problem (1.1), if $u \in C(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega))$ and for every $\Omega' \times [t_1, t_2] \Subset \Omega \times (0, T)$ and

every nonnegative test function $\phi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega')) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega'))$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} u(x, t_2) \phi(x, t_2) dx - \int_{\Omega'} u(x, t_1) \phi(x, t_1) dx - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega'} u(x, t) \partial_t \phi(x, t) dx dt \\ & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega'} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{A}(u(x, y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) d\mu dt \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(u(x, y, t)) = u(x, t) - u(y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu = K(x, y, t) dx dy.$$

Remark 2.5. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we observe that the Definition 2.4 well stated. Note that if u is a weak supersolution of (1.1), so is $u + c$ for any scalar c .

Remark 2.6. Below we obtain energy estimates where the test functions depend on the supersolution itself. The admissibility of these test functions can be justified by using the mollification in time defined for $f \in L^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$ by

$$(2.3) \quad f_h(x, t) := \frac{1}{h} \int_0^t e^{\frac{s-t}{h}} f(x, s) ds.$$

See [5, 34] for more details on f_h .

Next, we define the parabolic tail which appears in estimates throughout the article.

Definition 2.7. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t_1, t_2 \in (0, T)$ and $r > 0$. The parabolic tail of a weak supersolution u of (1.1) (Definition 2.4) is

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Tail}_\infty(u; x_0, r, t_1, t_2) = r^2 \text{ess sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{|y - x_0|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

Now we are ready to state our main result, which asserts that a weak Harnack inequality holds for weak supersolutions of (1.1).

Theorem 2.8. Assume that u is a weak supersolution of (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$, $r < \frac{R}{2}$ and

$$T = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \text{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2),$$

where Tail_∞ is defined by (2.4). Then for any $0 < q < 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, where $\kappa > 2$ is given by (2.10), there exists a positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda, q)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\int_{V^-(\frac{r}{2})} (u + T)^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\text{ess inf}_{V^+(\frac{r}{2})} u + T \right),$$

where $V^-(\frac{r}{2}) = B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 - \frac{3}{4}r^2)$ and $V^+(\frac{r}{2}) = B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0) \times (t_0 + \frac{3}{4}r^2, t_0 + r^2)$.

Corollary 2.9. If $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2)$ in Theorem 2.8, then (2.5) reduces to

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\int_{V^-(\frac{r}{2})} u^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \text{ess inf}_{V^+(\frac{r}{2})} u.$$

We state some useful results that are needed to prove our main result (Theorem 2.8). The following inequalities follows from [26, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2.10. Let $a, b > 0$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 0$.

(i) For every $\epsilon > 1$, there exists a constant $C(\epsilon) = \max \left\{ 4, \frac{6\epsilon-5}{2} \right\}$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad (b-a)(\tau_1^{\epsilon+1}a^{-\epsilon} - \tau_2^{\epsilon+1}b^{-\epsilon}) \geq \frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{\epsilon-1} \left[\left(\frac{b}{\tau_2} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \left(\frac{a}{\tau_1} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \right]^2 - C(\epsilon)(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^2 \left[\left(\frac{b}{\tau_2} \right)^{1-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{a}{\tau_1} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \right],$$

(ii) For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exist constants $\zeta(\epsilon) = \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$, $\zeta_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\zeta(\epsilon)}{6}$ and $\zeta_2(\epsilon) = \zeta(\epsilon) + \frac{9}{\epsilon}$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad (b-a)(\tau_1^2a^{-\epsilon} - \tau_2^2b^{-\epsilon}) \geq \zeta_1(\epsilon)(\tau_2b^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \tau_1a^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}})^2 - \zeta_2(\epsilon)(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^2(b^{1-\epsilon} + a^{1-\epsilon}).$$

Next, we state a weighted Poincaré inequality that follows from [24, Corollary 3] by a change of variables. See also [38, Theorem 5.3.4]. This plays an important role in the logarithmic estimate for supersolutions (Lemma 4.3).

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\phi : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a radially decreasing function. There exists a positive constant $C = C(N, \phi)$ such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |u - u_\phi|^2 \phi \, dx \leq Cr^2 \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \phi \, dx$$

for every $u \in W^{1,2}(B_r(x_0))$, where

$$u_\phi = \frac{\int_{B_r(x_0)} u \phi \, dx}{\int_{B_r(x_0)} \phi \, dx}.$$

The following version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality will be useful for us, see [35, Corollary 1.57].

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set with $|\Omega| < \infty$ and denote*

$$(2.10) \quad \kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2}, & N > 2, \\ 4, & N = 2. \end{cases}$$

There exists a positive constant $C = C(N)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^\kappa \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \leq C |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\kappa}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Our final auxiliary result is a lemma of Bombieri-Giusti [6], which can be proved with the same arguments as in the proof of [33, Lemma 2.11] and [38, Lemma 2.2.6].

Lemma 2.13. *Assume that ν is a Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and let θ , A and γ be positive constants, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$. Let $U(\sigma)$ be bounded measurable sets with $U(\sigma') \subset U(\sigma)$ for $0 < \delta \leq \sigma' < \sigma \leq 1$. Let f be a positive measurable function on $U(1)$ which satisfies the reverse Hölder inequality*

$$\left(\int_{U(\sigma')} f^\alpha \, d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \left(\frac{A}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \int_{U(\sigma)} f^\beta \, d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

with $0 < \beta < \min\{1, \alpha\}$. Further assume that f satisfies

$$|\{x \in U(1) : \log f > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{A|U(\delta)|}{\lambda^\gamma}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\left(\int_{U(\delta)} f^\alpha d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C,$$

for some constant $C = C(\theta, \delta, \alpha, \gamma, A) > 0$.

3. ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish energy estimates for weak supersolutions of (1.1). The first one is the following lemma that helps us to estimate the supremum of the inverse of weak supersolutions.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that u is a weak supersolution of (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (\tau_1 - \tau, \tau_2) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$ be such that $r < R$ and denote $v = u + l$, $l > 0$. Then for any $m > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C(m) \approx 1 + m$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{-\frac{m}{2}}|^2 \psi(x)^{m+2} \eta(t) dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{m^2 C(m+1)}{m+1} \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x, t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{-m} + \left(\frac{v(y, t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{-m} \right] \eta(t) d\mu dt \\ & + \frac{m^2}{m+1} \left[2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^{m+2} \eta(t) dx dt \right. \\ & + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau_1 - \tau < t < \tau_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x, t)^{m+2} \eta(t) dx dt \left. \right] \\ & + \frac{m^2(m+2)^2}{(m+1)^2} \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^m \eta(t) dx dt \\ & + \frac{m}{m+1} \int_{\tau_1 - \tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^{m+2} |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^{m+2} dx \\
& \leq mC(m+1) \int_{\tau_1-\tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x, t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{-m} + \left(\frac{v(y, t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{-m} \right] \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
& + m \left[2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\tau_1-\tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^{m+2} \eta(t) dx dt \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau_1-\tau < t < \tau_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\tau_1-\tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x, t)^{m+2} \eta(t) dx dt \right] \\
& + \frac{m(m+2)^2}{(m+1)} \int_{\tau_1-\tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^m \eta(t) dx dt \\
& + \int_{\tau_1-\tau}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-m} \psi(x)^{m+2} |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

hold for every nonnegative $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_r(x_0))$ and $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(t) \equiv 0$ if $t \leq \tau_1 - \tau$ and $\eta(t) \equiv 1$ if $t \geq \tau_2$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 1$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_r(x_0))$. Let $t_1 = \tau_1 - \tau$, $t_2 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $\eta \in C^\infty(t_1, t_2)$ be such that $\eta(t_1) = 0$ and $\eta(t) = 1$ for all $t \geq t_2$. Note that $v = u + l$ is again a weak supersolution of (1.1) and we observe that

$$\phi(x, t) = v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t)$$

is an admissible test function in (2.2). Indeed, following [5, 34], since v is a weak supersolution of (1.1), noting the definition of $(\cdot)_h$ from (2.3), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (I_h + L_h + A_h) \geq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_h &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h \phi dx dt, \\
L_h &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\nabla v(x, t))_h \nabla \phi dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_h = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((v(x, t) - v(y, t)) K(x, y))_h (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) dx dy dt.$$

Estimate of I_h : We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_h &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \\
&= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h (v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} - v_h(x, t)^{-\epsilon}) \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h v_h(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\partial_t v_h = \frac{v - v_h}{h},$$

we have

$$\partial_t v_h (v^{-\epsilon} - v_h^{-\epsilon}) \leq 0,$$

over the set $B_r(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$. Therefore, the first integral in the above estimate of I_h is nonpositive. As a consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_h &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h v_h(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \\ (3.4) \quad &= \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \partial_t v_h^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now passing the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_h \leq I_0,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad I_0 := -\frac{1}{\epsilon-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t_2)^{1-\epsilon} dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon-1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \partial_t \eta(t) dx dt.$$

Estimate of L_h : Since $\nabla v \in L^2(B_r(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2))$, by [34, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$(3.7) \quad L := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} L_h = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \nabla v \nabla \phi dx dt.$$

Estimate of A_h : Following the same arguments as in the proof of [1, Lemma 3.1], we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad A := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} A_h = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) d\mu dt.$$

Therefore, using (3.5), (3.7), (3.8) in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq I_0 + A + L \\ &= I_0 + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) d\mu dt \\ (3.9) \quad &+ 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) \phi(x, t) d\mu dt \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \nabla v \nabla \phi dx dt \\ &:= I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_1 : Using [(2.7), Lemma 2.10] for $C(\epsilon) = \max\{4, \frac{6\epsilon-5}{2}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad I_1 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) \left(v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} - v(y, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(y)^{\epsilon+1} \right) \eta(t) d\mu dt \\ &\leq - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \frac{\psi(x)\psi(y)}{\epsilon-1} \left[\left(\frac{v(x, t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \left(\frac{v(y, t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \right]^2 \eta(t) d\mu dt \\ &\quad + C(\epsilon) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x, t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{v(y, t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \right] \eta(t) d\mu dt. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_2 : Since $l > 0$ and $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$, we have $v \geq l$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$. Further, for any $x \in B_R(x_0)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, we have

$$v(x, t) - v(y, t) \leq v(x, t) + u_-(y, t) \text{ and } v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} = v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} v(x, t)^{-1} \leq l^{-1} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Using these estimates and the fact that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$, using that $u(y, t)_-$ vanishes in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$, we have

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) d\mu dt \\ &\leq 2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_3 : We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \nabla v \nabla (v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1}) \eta(t) dx dt \\ (3.12) \quad &= -\epsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \\ &\quad + (\epsilon + 1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^\epsilon v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \nabla v \nabla \psi \eta(t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality

$$(3.13) \quad ab \leq \delta a^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} b^2,$$

for any $a, b \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad \psi^\epsilon v^{-\epsilon} |\nabla v| |\nabla \psi| &= (v^{\frac{-\epsilon-1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{\epsilon+1}{2}} |\nabla v|) (|\nabla \psi| v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \psi^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{2}}) \\ &\leq \delta v^{-\epsilon-1} \psi^{\epsilon+1} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} |\nabla \psi|^2 v^{1-\epsilon} \psi^{\epsilon-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2(\epsilon+1)}$ and employing the estimate (3.14) in (3.12) we obtain

(3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt + \frac{(\epsilon+1)^2}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v^{1-\epsilon} \psi^{\epsilon-1} dx dt \\ &\leq -\frac{2\epsilon}{(\epsilon-1)^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt + \frac{(\epsilon+1)^2}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v^{1-\epsilon} \psi^{\epsilon-1} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the fact that

$$v^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 = \frac{4}{(\epsilon-1)^2} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2.$$

By combining the estimates (3.6), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.15) in (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{\epsilon-1}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x) \psi(y) \left[\left(\frac{v(x,t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \left(\frac{v(y,t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \right]^2 \eta(t) \, d\mu \, dt \\
& + \frac{\epsilon-1}{2\epsilon} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t_2)^{1-\epsilon} \, dx \\
& \leq \frac{C(\epsilon)(\epsilon-1)^2}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x,t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{v(y,t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \right] \eta(t) \, d\mu \, dt \\
& + \frac{(\epsilon-1)^2}{2\epsilon} \left[2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x,t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \right. \\
& + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y,t)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x,t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{(\epsilon^2-1)^2}{4\epsilon^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon-1} \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{\epsilon-1}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} |\partial_t \eta(t)| \, dx \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $t_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$ in (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \\
& \leq \frac{C(\epsilon)(\epsilon-1)^2}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x,t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{v(y,t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \right] \eta(t) \, d\mu \, dt \\
& + \frac{(\epsilon-1)^2}{2\epsilon} \left[2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x,t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \right. \\
& + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < \tau_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y,t)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x,t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) \, dx \, dt \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{(\epsilon^2-1)^2}{4\epsilon^2} \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon-1} \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{\epsilon-1}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x,t)^{1-\epsilon} |\partial_t \eta(t)| \, dx \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now choosing $t_2 \in (t_1, \tau_2)$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t_2)^{1-\epsilon} \, dx \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < \tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \, dx,$$

we obtain from (3.16)

(3.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < \tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} dx \\
& \leq C(\epsilon)(\epsilon - 1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 \left[\left(\frac{v(x, t)}{\psi(x)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{v(y, t)}{\psi(y)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} \right] \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
& + (\epsilon - 1) \left[2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp}\psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp}\psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^{\epsilon+1} \eta(t) dx dt \right] \\
& + \frac{(\epsilon - 1)(\epsilon + 1)^2}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^2 v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^{\epsilon-1} dx dt \\
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi(x)^{\epsilon+1} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 1$ is arbitrary, choosing $m = \epsilon - 1$, from (3.17) and (3.18) the estimates (3.1) and (3.2) follows respectively. \square

The following energy estimate is useful to obtain reverse Hölder inequality for weak super-solutions.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that u is a weak supersolution of (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (\tau_1, \tau_2 + \tau) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$ be such that $r < R$ and denote $v = u + l$, $l > 0$. Then for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}|^2 \psi^2 \eta dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \alpha} \left[\zeta_2(1 - \alpha) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 (v(x, t)^\alpha + v(y, t)^\alpha) \eta(t) d\mu dt \right. \\
(3.19) \quad & + 2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp}\psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
& + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp}\psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
& \left. + \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^\alpha |\nabla \psi|^2 \eta dx dt + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x)^2 |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x)^2 dx \\
& \leq 2\alpha \left[\zeta_2(1 - \alpha) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 (v(x, t)^\alpha + v(y, t)^\alpha) \eta(t) d\mu dt \right. \\
(3.20) \quad & + 2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
& + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^2 \psi(x, t)^\alpha \eta(t) dx dt \\
& \left. + \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^\alpha |\nabla \psi|^2 \eta dx dt + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2 + \tau} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^\alpha \psi(x)^2 |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_2(\alpha) = \zeta(\alpha) + \frac{9}{\alpha}$ for $\zeta(\alpha) = \frac{4\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_r(x_0))$ is nonnegative and $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is also nonnegative such that $\eta(t) = 1$ if $\tau_1 \leq t \leq \tau_2$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \tau_2 + \tau$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_r(x_0))$. Assume that $t_1 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$, $t_2 = \tau_2 + l$ and $\eta \in C^\infty(t_1, t_2)$ such that $\eta(t) = 1$ for all $t \leq t_1$ and $\eta(t_2) = 0$. Since $v = u + l$ is again a weak supersolution of (1.1), choosing

$$\phi(x, t) = v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 \eta(t)$$

as a test function in (2.2) (which is again justified by mollifying in time as in the proof of Lemma 3.1), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad 0 \leq J_0 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad J_0 &= -\frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{1-\epsilon}(x, t_1) \psi(x)^2 dx - \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 \partial_t \eta(t) dx dt, \\
J_1 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) (\phi(x, t) - \phi(y, t)) \eta(t) d\mu dt, \\
J_2 &= 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) \phi(x, t) d\mu dt
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_3 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \nabla v \nabla \phi dx dt.$$

Estimate of J_1 : Using [(2.8), Lemma 2.10], for $\zeta(\epsilon) = \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$, $\zeta_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\zeta(\epsilon)}{6}$ and $\zeta_2(\epsilon) = \zeta(\epsilon) + \frac{9}{\epsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad J_1 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) (v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 - v(y, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(y)^2) \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
&\leq -\zeta_1(\epsilon) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\psi(x) v(x, t)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \psi(y) v(y, t)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
&\quad + \zeta_2(\epsilon) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 (v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} + v(y, t)^{1-\epsilon}) \eta(t) d\mu dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of J_2 : Since $l > 0$ and $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$, we have $v \geq l$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2)$ and following the same arguments as in the proof of the estimate (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.24) \quad J_2 &= 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (v(x, t) - v(y, t)) v(x, t)^{-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
 &\leq 2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of J_3 : We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.25) \quad J_3 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \nabla v \nabla (v^{-\epsilon} \psi^2) \eta(t) dx dt \\
 &= -\epsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 \psi^2 \eta dx dt + 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \psi \eta v^{-\epsilon} \nabla v \nabla \psi dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality (3.13) for $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad 2\psi v^{-\epsilon} |\nabla v| |\nabla \psi| = (\sqrt{2}\psi |\nabla v| v^{\frac{-\epsilon-1}{2}}) (\sqrt{2}v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} |\nabla \psi|) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \psi^2 |\nabla v|^2 v^{-\epsilon-1} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} v^{1-\epsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2.$$

Hence using (3.26) in (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.27) \quad J_3 &\leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 \psi^2 \eta dx dt + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{1-\epsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \eta dx dt \\
 &= -\frac{2\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon)^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \psi^2 \eta dx dt + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{1-\epsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \eta dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the final step we have used the fact that $v^{-\epsilon-1} |\nabla v|^2 = \frac{4}{(1-\epsilon)^2} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2$.

Combining the estimates (3.22), (3.23), (3.24) and (3.27) in (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.28) \quad &\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}}|^2 \psi^2 \eta dx dt \\
 &+ \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2 \zeta_1(\epsilon)}{2\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left| \psi(x) v(x, t)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} - \psi(y) v(y, t)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \right|^2 \eta(t) d\mu dt \\
 &+ \frac{1-\epsilon}{2\epsilon} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t_1)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2}{2\epsilon} \left[\zeta_2(\epsilon) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2 (v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} + v(y, t)^{1-\epsilon}) \eta(t) d\mu dt \right. \\
 &\quad + 2\Lambda \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x_0)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t_1 < t < t_2, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x, t)^2 \eta(t) dx dt \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v^{1-\epsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \eta dx dt + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 |\partial_t \eta(t)| dx dt \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Define $\alpha = 1 - \epsilon$. Then, letting $t_1 \rightarrow \tau_1$ in (3.28) we obtain (3.19). Next, choosing $t_1 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t_1)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 dx \geq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau_1 < t < \tau_2} \int_{B_r(x_0)} v(x, t)^{1-\epsilon} \psi(x)^2 dx,$$

and using (3.28), the estimate (3.20) follows. \square

4. ESTIMATES FOR WEAK SUPERSOLUTIONS

We establish an estimate of supremum for weak supersolutions of (1.1).

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that u is a weak supersolution of (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$ be such that $r < \frac{R}{2}$. Let $d > 0$ and $v = u + l$, with*

$$(4.1) \quad l \geq \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \operatorname{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0 - r^2, t_0) + d,$$

where $\operatorname{Tail}_\infty$ is defined by (2.4). For any $0 < \beta < 1$ and κ is given by (2.10), there exists constants $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$ and $\theta = \theta(\kappa)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{U^-(\sigma'r)} v^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left(\int_{U^-(\sigma r)} v^{-\beta} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

for every $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma' < \sigma \leq 1$, where $U^-(\eta r) = B_{\eta r}(x_0) \times (t_0 - (\eta r)^2, t_0)$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq 1$.

Proof. Let us divide the interval (σ', σ) into k parts by setting

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma, \sigma_k = \sigma', \sigma_j = \sigma - (\sigma - \sigma')(1 - \gamma^{-j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

where $\gamma = 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, where κ is given by (2.10). Let $r_j = \sigma_j r$, $B_j = B_{r_j}(x_0)$, $\Gamma_j = (t_0 - r_j^2, t_0)$ and $Q_j = U^-(r_j) = B_j \times \Gamma_j$. Let $\psi_j \in C_c^\infty(B_j)$ and $\eta_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \psi_j \leq 1$ in B_j , $\psi_j \equiv 1$ in B_{j+1} , $0 \leq \eta_j \leq 1$ in Γ_j , $\eta_j(t) = 1$ for every $t \geq t_0 - r_{j+1}^2$, $\eta_j(t) = 0$ for every $t \leq t_0 - r_j^2$, $\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp} \psi_j, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j) \geq 2^{-j-1}r$,

$$|\nabla \psi_j| \leq \frac{8\gamma^j}{r(\sigma - \sigma')} \text{ in } B_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t}\right| \leq 8 \left(\frac{\gamma^j}{r(\sigma - \sigma')}\right)^2 \text{ in } \Gamma_j.$$

Let $\epsilon \geq 1$ and $m = 1 + \epsilon$. Then, for $w = v^{-1}$, using Lemma 2.12 along with Hölder's inequality with exponents $t = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa-2}$ and $t' = \frac{\kappa}{2}$, for some positive constant $C = C(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & \int_{Q_{j+1}} w^{\gamma m} dx dt = \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\gamma m} dx dt = \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\frac{m}{t} + \frac{m\kappa}{2t'}} dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \frac{1}{|B_{j+1}|} \left(\int_{B_{j+1}} w^m dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\frac{m\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \frac{1}{|B_{j+1}|} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^m \psi_j^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{B_j} w^{\frac{m\kappa}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{(m+2)\kappa}{2}} \eta_j(t)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& = \frac{|\Gamma_j||B_j|}{|\Gamma_{j+1}||B_{j+1}|} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^m \psi_j^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \left(\int_{B_j} w^{\frac{m\kappa}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{(m+2)\kappa}{2}} \eta_j(t)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& \leq C \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^m \psi_j^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} r^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left| \nabla \left(w^{\frac{m}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{m+2}{2}} \eta_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{r_j^{\gamma N}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^m \psi_j^{m+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left(\left| \nabla \left(w^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \right|^2 \psi_j^{(m+2)} \eta_j(t) + m^2 w^m |\nabla \psi_j|^2 \right) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^m \psi_j^{m+2} dx dt, \quad J = \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left| \nabla \left(w^{\frac{m}{2}} \right) \right|^2 \psi_j^{(m+2)} \eta_j(t) dx dt$$

and

$$K = m^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w^m |\nabla \psi_j|^2 dx dt.$$

Setting $r = r_j$, $\tau_1 = t_0 - r_{j+1}^2$, $\tau_2 = t_0$ and $\tau = r_j^2 - r_{j+1}^2$ in Lemma 3.1, for some constant $C = C(\Lambda) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & I, J \leq C m^4 \left[\int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} (\psi_j(x) - \psi_j(y))^2 \left[w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^m + w(y, t)^m \psi_j(y)^m \right] \eta_j(t) d\mu dt \right. \\
& + 2 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^{m+2} \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
& + \frac{2}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \Gamma_j, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x, t)^{m+2} \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
& \left. + \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} |\nabla \psi_j|^2 w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^m \eta_j(t) dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^{m+2} |\partial_t \eta_j(t)| dx dt \right] \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I_1 : Using the properties of ψ_j, η_j and the fact that $0 < r \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= Cm^4 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} (\psi_j(x) - \psi_j(y))^2 (w(x, t)^m \psi(x)^m + w(y, t)^m \psi_j(y)^m) \eta_j(t) d\mu dt \\
 &\leq \frac{Cm^4 \gamma^{2j}}{r^2(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B_j} \int_{B_j} \frac{|x - y|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt \\
 (4.5) \quad &\leq \frac{Cm^4 \gamma^{2j}}{r_j^{2s}(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{Cm^4 \gamma^{2j}}{r_j^2(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$.

Estimate of I_2 : Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_0 = 0$. Then, by noting the properties of ψ_j and η_j , for any $x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|x - y|} = \frac{1}{|y|} \frac{|y|}{|x - y|} \leq \frac{1}{|y|} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{|x - y|} \right) \leq \frac{1}{|y|} \left(1 + \frac{r}{2^{-j-1}r} \right) \leq \frac{2^{j+2}}{|y|}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= Cm^4 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^{m+2} \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
 &\leq C2^{N+2s+2} m^4 2^{j(N+2s)} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j} \frac{dy}{|y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt \\
 (4.6) \quad &= \frac{Cm^4 2^{j(N+2s)}}{r_j^{2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{Cm^4 2^{j(N+2s)}}{r_j^2(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$.

Estimate of I_3 : without loss of generality, again we assume that $x_0 = 0$. Let $x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j$ and $y \in B_j$, then

$$\frac{1}{|x - y|} \leq \frac{1}{|y|} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R - r} \right) \leq \frac{2}{|y|}.$$

By (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= m^4 \frac{C}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \Gamma_j, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x, t)^{m+2} \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
 (4.7) \quad &\leq \frac{Cm^4}{l} R^{-2} \operatorname{Tail}_\infty(u_-; 0, R, t_0 - r^2, t_0) \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x, t)^{m+2} \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{Cm^4}{r^2(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$.

Estimate of I_4 : By the properties of ψ_j and η_j , we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_4 &= Cm^4 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} |\nabla \psi_j|^2 w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^m \eta_j(t) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{Cm^4 \gamma^{2j}}{r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$.

Estimate of I_5 : By the properties of ψ_j and η_j , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_5 &= Cm^4 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m \psi_j(x)^{m+2} |\partial_t \eta_j(t)| dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{Cm^4 \gamma^{2j}}{r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$. Plugging the estimates (4.5) (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) in (4.4), since $\gamma < 2$, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad I, J \leq \frac{Cm^4 2^{j(N+4)}}{r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Again, using the properties of ψ_j , we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad K \leq \frac{Cm^4 2^{2j}}{r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^m dx dt,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Employing the estimates (4.10) and (4.11) in (4.3), for $m = 1 + \epsilon$, $\epsilon \geq 1$ and $\gamma = 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{Q_{j+1}} w^{\gamma m} dx dt \leq C \left(\frac{m^4 2^{j(N+4)}}{(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{Q_j} w^m dx dt \right)^\gamma,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Now, we use Moser's iteration technique to prove the estimate (4.2). Let $m_j = 2\gamma^j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By iterating (4.12), we have

$$(4.13) \quad \left(\int_{Q_0} w^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \left(\frac{\sigma - \sigma'}{C} \right)^{1+\gamma^{-1}+\gamma^{-2}+\dots+\gamma^{1-k}} \frac{2^{\frac{(N+8)}{2}(\gamma^{-1}+\gamma^{-2}+\dots+\gamma^{1-k})}}{\gamma^{2(\gamma^{-1}+2\gamma^{-2}+\dots+(k-1)\gamma^{1-k})}} \left(\int_{Q_k} w^{m_k} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{m_k}}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.13), the result follows for $\beta = 2$. If $0 < \beta < 1$, by Young's inequality

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{U^-(\sigma r)} w &\leq \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U^-(\sigma r)} w^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2 - \beta}{4} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{U^-(\sigma r)} w \right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} \left(\frac{4}{2 - \beta} \right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U^-(\sigma r)} w^\beta dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{U^-(\sigma r)} w + \left((2 - \beta)^{\beta-2} \frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left(\int_{U^-(\sigma r)} w^\beta dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{U^-(\sigma r)} w + \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left(\int_{U^-(\sigma r)} w^\beta dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by a similar iteration argument as in [29, Lemma 5.1]. \square

We obtain a reverse Hölder inequality for weak supersolutions of the problem (1.1).

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that u is a weak supersolution of (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$ be such that $r < \frac{R}{2}$, $d > 0$ and $w = u + l$, with*

$$(4.15) \quad l \geq \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \text{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0, t_0 + r^2) + d,$$

where Tail_∞ is defined by (2.4). Let $\gamma = 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, where $\kappa > 2$ as given by (2.10). Then there exist positive constants $C = C(N, s, \Lambda, q)$ and $\theta = \theta(\kappa)$ such that

$$(4.16) \quad \left(\int_{U^+(\sigma'r)} w^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{U^+(\sigma r)} w^{\bar{q}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}},$$

for all $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma' < \sigma \leq 1$ and $0 < \bar{q} < q < \gamma$ and $U^+(\eta r) = B_{\eta r}(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + (\eta r)^2)$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq 1$.

Proof. We divide the interval (σ, σ') into k parts by setting

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma, \sigma_k = \sigma', \sigma_j = \sigma - (\sigma - \sigma') \frac{1 - \gamma^{-j}}{1 - \gamma^{-k}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

We shall choose k below. Denote $r_j = \sigma_j r$, $B_j = B_{r_j}(x_0)$, $\Gamma_j = (t_0, t_0 + r_j^2)$ and $Q_j = U^+(r_j) = B_j \times \Gamma_j$. We choose $\psi_j \in C_c^\infty(B_j)$ and $\eta_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \psi_j \leq 1$ in B_j , $\psi_j \equiv 1$ in B_{j+1} , $0 \leq \eta_j \leq 1$ in Γ_j , $\eta_j(t) = 1$ for every $t \leq t_0 + r_{j+1}^2$, $\eta_j(t) = 0$ for every $t \geq t_0 + r_j^2$, $\text{dist}(\text{supp } \psi, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j) \geq 2^{-j-1}r$,

$$|\nabla \psi_j| \leq \frac{8\gamma^j}{r(\sigma - \sigma')} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t} \right| \leq 8 \left(\frac{\gamma^j}{r(\sigma - \sigma')} \right)^2 \quad \text{in } Q_j.$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\alpha = 1 - \epsilon$. Using Lemma 2.12 along with Hölder's inequality with exponents $t = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa-2}$ and $t' = \frac{\kappa}{2}$, for some positive constant $C = C(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad & \int_{Q_{j+1}} w^{\gamma\alpha} dx dt = \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\gamma\alpha} dx dt = \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{\alpha\kappa}{2t'}} dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \frac{1}{|B_{j+1}|} \left(\int_{B_{j+1}} w^\alpha dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{B_{j+1}} w^{\frac{\alpha\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \frac{1}{|B_{j+1}|} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{B_j} w^{\frac{\alpha\kappa}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{(\alpha+2)\kappa}{2}} \eta_j(t)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& = \frac{|\Gamma_j||B_j|}{|\Gamma_{j+1}||B_{j+1}|} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \left(\int_{B_j} w^{\frac{\alpha\kappa}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{(\alpha+2)\kappa}{2}} \eta_j(t)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t'}} dt \\
& \leq C \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} r^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left| \nabla \left(w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi_j^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \eta_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right|^2 dx dt \\
& = \frac{C}{r_j^{\gamma N}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left(|\nabla(w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})|^2 \psi_j(x)^{\alpha+2} \eta_j(t) + \alpha^2 w^\alpha |\nabla \psi_j|^2 \right) dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{r_j^{\gamma N}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \left(|\nabla(w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})|^2 \psi_j(x)^2 \eta_j(t) + \alpha^2 w^\alpha |\nabla \psi_j|^2 \right) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$I = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\Gamma_{j+1}} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha \psi_j^2 dx dt, \quad J = \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} |\nabla w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}|^2 \psi_j^2 \eta_j dx dt$$

and

$$K = \alpha^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha |\nabla \psi_j|^2 dx dt.$$

Setting $r = r_j, \tau_1 = t_0, \tau_2 = t_0 + r_{j+1}^2$ and $\tau = r_j^2 - r_{j+1}^2$ in Lemma 3.1, for some constant $C = C(\Lambda) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.18) \quad & I, J \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \left[\int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} (\psi_j(x) - \psi_j(y))^2 (w(x, t)^\alpha + w(y, t)^\alpha) \eta_j(t) d\mu dt \right. \\
& + 2 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^2 \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
& + \frac{2}{l} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \Gamma_j, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^2 \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
& + \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w^\alpha |\nabla \psi_j|^2 \eta_j dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^2 |\partial_t \eta_j(t)| dx dt \left. \right] \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.19) \quad I_1 &= \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} (\psi_j(x) - \psi_j(y))^2 (w(x, t)^\alpha + w(y, t)^\alpha) \eta_j(t) d\mu dt \\
 &\leq \frac{C\gamma^{2j}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.20) \quad I_2 &= \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_j} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^2 \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{C2^{j(N+2s)}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$. Again arguing similarly as in the estimate of I_3 in the proof of Lemma 4.1 and noting (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.21) \quad I_3 &= \frac{C}{l\epsilon^2} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in \Gamma_j, x \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x, t)^2 \eta_j(t) dx dt \\
 &\leq \frac{C\gamma^{2j}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.22) \quad I_4 = \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} \int_{B_j} |\nabla \psi_j|^2 w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^\alpha \eta_j(t) dx dt \leq \frac{C\gamma^{2j}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt,$$

$$(4.23) \quad I_5 = \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha \psi_j(x)^2 |\partial_t \eta_j(t)| dx dt \leq \frac{C\gamma^{2j}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(\Lambda, N, s)$. Plugging the estimates (4.19), (4.20), (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23) in (4.18), since $\gamma < 2$, we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad I, J \leq \frac{C2^{j(N+4)}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt.$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Using the properties of ψ_j , we obtain

$$(4.25) \quad K \leq \frac{C2^{2j}}{\epsilon^2 r_j^2 (\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{\Gamma_j} \int_{B_j} w(x, t)^\alpha dx dt.$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, employing the estimates (4.24) and (4.25) in (4.17), for $\gamma = 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, we have

$$(4.26) \quad \int_{Q_{j+1}} w^{\gamma\alpha} dx dt \leq C \left(\frac{2^{j(N+4)}}{(\sigma - \sigma')^2} \int_{Q_j} w^\alpha dx dt \right)^\gamma,$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Note that C is independent of ϵ as long as α is away from 1. We use the Moser iteration technique to conclude the result. Fix q and \bar{q} such that $q > \bar{q}$ and k such that $\bar{q}\gamma^{k-1} \leq q \leq \bar{q}\gamma^k$. Let t_0 be such that $t_0 \leq \bar{q}$ and $q = \gamma^k t_0$. Let

$t_j = \gamma^j t_0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. By iterating (4.26) and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_{Q_k} w^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \left(\frac{C^*}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\beta} \int_{Q_0} w^{t_0} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{t_0}}, \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C^*}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{t_0}} \left(\int_{Q_0} w^{\bar{q}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C^* = 2^{(N+4)(\gamma^{-1} + 2\gamma^{-2} + \dots + (k-1)\gamma^{1-k})} C \gamma^{-1 + \gamma^{-2} + \dots + \gamma^{-k}}$$

and

$$\beta = 2(1 + \gamma^{-1} + \gamma^{-2} + \dots + \gamma^{1-k}) = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma - 1}(1 - \gamma^{-k}).$$

Note that, due to the singularity of ϵ at 0 in the estimates (4.24) and (4.25), the constant C in (4.27) depends on q . It is easy to observe that C^* and β are uniformly bounded over k . Now, since $\bar{q}\gamma^{k-1} \leq t_0\gamma^k$, we have $t_0 \geq \frac{\bar{q}}{\gamma}$. Hence, the result follows from (4.27), with $\theta = \frac{2\gamma^2}{\gamma-1}$. \square

Next, we prove the following logarithmic estimate for weak supersolutions of (1.1).

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that u is a weak supersolution of the problem (1.1) such that $u \geq 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$. Let $0 < r \leq 1$ be such that $r < \frac{R}{2}$, $d > 0$ and $v = u + l$, with*

$$l = \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^2 \text{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2) + d,$$

where Tail_∞ is defined by (2.4). Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$ such that

$$(4.28) \quad |U^+(r) \cap \{\log v < -\lambda - b\}| \leq \frac{Cr^{N+2}}{\lambda},$$

where $U^+(r) = B_r(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$ such that

$$(4.29) \quad |U^-(r) \cap \{\log v > \lambda - b\}| \leq \frac{Cr^{N+2}}{\lambda},$$

where $U^-(r) = B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$. Here

$$(4.30) \quad b = b(v(\cdot, t_0)) = - \frac{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0)} \log v(x, t_0) \psi(x)^2 dx}{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0)} \psi(x)^2 dx},$$

where $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0))$ is a nonnegative, radially decreasing function such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0)$, $\psi \equiv 1$ in $B_r(x_0)$, $|\nabla \psi| \leq \frac{C}{r}$ in $B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0)$, for some constant $C > 0$ independent of r .

Proof. We only prove the estimate (4.28), since the proof of (4.29) follows similarly. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = 0$ and denote by $B_r = B_r(0)$, $B_{\frac{3r}{2}} = B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(0)$. Since v is a weak supersolution of (1.1), choosing $\phi(x, t) = \psi(x)^2 v(x, t)^{-1}$ as a test function in (2.2) (which can again be justified as in the proof of Lemma 3.1), we get

$$(4.31) \quad I_1 + I_2 + 2I_3 + I_4 \geq 0,$$

where for any $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq t_0 + r^2$, we have

$$(4.32) \quad I_1 = \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \log v(x, t) \psi(x)^2 dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t_2}.$$

Following the arguments as in the proof of [17, Lemma 1.3], for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.33) \quad I_2 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \mathcal{A}(v(x, y, t)) (\psi(x)^2 v(x, t)^{-1} - \psi(y)^2 v(y, t)^{-1}) d\mu dt \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{C} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} K(x, y, t) |\log v(x, t) - \log v(y, t)|^2 \psi(y)^2 dx dy dt \\
&\quad + C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} K(x, y, t) |\psi(x) - \psi(y)|^2 dx dy dt \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{C} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} K(x, y, t) |\log v(x, t) - \log v(y, t)|^2 \psi(y)^2 dx dy dt \\
&\quad + C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained using the properties of ψ and the fact that $0 < r \leq 1$. Noting that $v \geq l$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2)$ and arguing as in the proof of the estimate (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.34) \quad I_3 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \mathcal{A}(v(x, y, t)) \psi(x)^2 v(x, t)^{-1} d\mu dt \\
&\leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2} + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(x_0)} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}(x_0)} \frac{u_-(y, t)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy dt \\
&\leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2} + \frac{2\Lambda}{l} (t_2 - t_1) r^N R^{-2} \text{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0, t_0 + r^2) \\
&\leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2},
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$. Using Young's inequality, for some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.35) \quad I_4 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \nabla v \nabla (\psi^2 v^{-1}) dx dt \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla \log v|^2 \psi^2 dx dt + C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla \log v|^2 \psi^2 dx dt + C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the estimates (4.32), (4.33), (4.34) and (4.35) in (4.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.36) \quad &\frac{1}{C} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} K(x, y, t) |\log u(x, t) - \log u(y, t)|^2 \psi(y)^2 dx dy dt \\
&\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla \log v|^2 \psi^2 dx dt - \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \log v(x, t) \psi(x)^2 dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t_2} \leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the estimate,

$$(4.37) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla \log v|^2 \psi^2 dx dt - \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \log v(x, t) \psi(x)^2 dx \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t_2} \leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{N-2}.$$

Let $w(x, t) = -\log v(x, t)$ and

$$W(t) = \frac{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} w(x, t) \psi(x)^2 dx}{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \psi(x)^2 dx}.$$

Since $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ in $B_{\frac{3r}{2}}$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ in B_r , we obtain $\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \psi(x)^2 dx \approx r^N$. Then by Lemma 2.11, for some positive constant $C_1 > 0$ (independent of r), we obtain

$$(4.38) \quad \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |w - W(t)|^2 \psi^2 dx \leq C_1 \frac{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} |\nabla w|^2 \psi^2 dx}{\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \psi^2 dx}.$$

By dividing through by $\int_{B_{\frac{3r}{2}}} \psi^2 dx$ on both sides of (4.37) and using (4.38) together with the fact that $\psi \equiv 1$ in B_r , we get

$$W(t_2) - W(t_1) + \frac{1}{C_1 r^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r} |w(x, t) - W(t)|^2 dx dt \leq C(t_2 - t_1) r^{-2}.$$

Let $A_1 = C_1$, $A_2 = C$, $\bar{w}(x, t) = w(x, t) - A_2 r^{-2}(t - t_1)$ and $\bar{W}(t) = W(t) - A_2 r^{-2}(t - t_1)$. Then $w(x, t) - W(t) = \bar{w}(x, t) - \bar{W}(t)$. Hence we get

$$(4.39) \quad \bar{W}(t_2) - \bar{W}(t_1) + \frac{1}{A_1 r^{N+2}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_r} |\bar{w}(x, t) - \bar{W}(t)|^2 dx dt \leq 0.$$

This shows that $\bar{W}(t)$ is a monotone decreasing function in (t_1, t_2) . Hence $\bar{W}(t)$ is differentiable almost everywhere with respect to t . Hence, from (4.39) for almost every t such that $t_1 < t < t_2$, we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \bar{W}'(t) + \frac{1}{A_1 r^{N+2}} \int_{B_r} |\bar{w}(x, t) - \bar{W}(t)|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Setting $t_1 = t_0$ and $t_2 = t_0 + r^2$, we have $\bar{W}(t_0) = W(t_0)$ and we denote by $b(v(\cdot, t_0)) = \bar{W}(t_0)$. Let

$$\Omega_t^+(\lambda) = \{x \in B_r : \bar{w}(x, t) > b + \lambda\}.$$

For every $t \geq t_0$, we have $\bar{W}(t) \leq \bar{W}(t_0) = b$. Thus $x \in \Omega_t^+(\lambda)$ gives

$$\bar{w}(t, x) - \bar{W}(t) \geq b + \lambda - \bar{W}(t) \geq b + \lambda - \bar{W}(t_0) = \lambda > 0.$$

Hence from (4.40), we have

$$\bar{W}'(t) + \frac{|\Omega_t^+(\lambda)|}{A_1 r^{N+2}} (b + \lambda - \bar{W}(t))^2 \leq 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|\Omega_t^+(\lambda)| \leq -A_1 r^{N+2} \partial_t (b + \lambda - \bar{W}(t))^{-1}.$$

Integrating over t_0 to $t_0 + r^2$, we obtain

$$|\{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : \bar{w}(x, t) > b + \lambda\}| \leq -A_1 r^{N+2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + r^2} \partial_t (b + \lambda - \bar{W}(t))^{-1} dt,$$

which gives

$$(4.41) \quad \left| \{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : \log v(x, t) + A_2 r^{-2}(t - t_0) < -\lambda - b\} \right| \leq A_1 \frac{r^{N+2}}{\lambda}.$$

Finally we obtain

$$(4.42) \quad \left| \{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : \log v(x, t) < -\lambda - b\} \right| \leq A + B,$$

where using (4.41), for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$, we obtain

$$A = \left| \{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : \log v(x, t) + A_2 r^{-2}(t - t_0) < -\frac{\lambda}{2} - b\} \right| \leq \frac{C r^{N+2}}{\lambda},$$

and

$$B = \left| \{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : A_2 r^{-2}(t - t_0) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \right| \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2A_2}\right) r^{N+2}.$$

If $\frac{\lambda}{2A_2} < 1$, then

$$B \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2A_2}\right) r^{N+2} < r^{N+2} < \left(\frac{2A_2}{\lambda}\right) r^{N+2}.$$

If $\frac{\lambda}{2A_2} \geq 1$, then $B \leq 0$. Hence in any case we have

$$B \leq \frac{C r^{N+2}}{\lambda},$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$. Inserting the above estimates on A and B into (4.42), we obtain

$$\left| \{(x, t) \in B_r \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) : \log v(x, t) < -\lambda - b\} \right| \leq \frac{C r^{N+2}}{\lambda},$$

for some positive constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda)$, which proves the estimate (4.28). \square

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Proof of Theorem 2.8: For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq 1$, denote

$$V^+(\eta r) = B_{\eta r}(x_0) \times (t_0 + r^2 - (\eta r)^2, t_0 + r^2) \quad \text{and} \quad V^-(\eta r) = B_{\eta r} \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 - r^2 + (\eta r)^2).$$

Then $V^+(r) = B_r(x_0) \times (t_0, t_0 + r^2) = U^+(r)$ and $V^-(r) = B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0) = U^-(r)$. Observe that V^- and V^+ are sequences of nondecreasing cylinders over the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Let u be as given in the hypothesis and for any $d > 0$, assume that $v = u + l$, where

$$l = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \text{Tail}_\infty(u_-; x_0, R, t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2) + d,$$

where Tail_∞ is defined by (2.4). We denote $w_1 = e^{-b}v^{-1}$ and $w_2 = e^b v$, where b is given by (4.30). By applying [(4.28), Lemma 4.3], for any $\lambda > 0$ with some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad |V^+(r) \cap \{\log w_1 > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{C |V^+(\frac{r}{2})|}{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, using Lemma 4.1, for any $0 < \beta < 1$, there exists some constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \text{ess sup}_{V^+(\sigma' r)} w_1 \leq \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \int_{V^+(\sigma r)} w_1^\beta dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma' < \sigma \leq 1$. Therefore, using (5.1) and (5.2) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{V^+(\frac{r}{2})} w_1 \leq C_1,$$

for some constant $C_1 = C_1(\theta, N, s, \Lambda) > 0$. By (4.29) in Lemma 4.3 there exists a constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda) > 0$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad |V^-(r) \cap \{\log w_2 > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{C|V^-(\frac{r}{2})|}{\lambda}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a constant $C = C(N, s, \Lambda, q) > 0$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad \left(\int_{V^-(\sigma' r)} w_2^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{(\sigma - \sigma')^\theta} \int_{V^-(\sigma r)} w_2^{\bar{q}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}},$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma' < \sigma \leq 1$ and $0 < \bar{q} < q < 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, where κ is given by (2.10). Therefore, using (5.4) and (5.5) in Lemma 2.13, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \left(\int_{V^-(\frac{r}{2})} w_2^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2,$$

for some constant $C_2 = C_2(\theta, N, s, \Lambda, q) > 0$. Multiplying (5.3) and (5.6), for any $0 < q < 2 - \frac{2}{\kappa}$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \left(\int_{V^-(\frac{r}{2})} v^q dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_1 C_2 \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{V^+(\frac{r}{2})} v \leq C_1 C_2 \left(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{V^+(\frac{r}{2})} u + l + d \right).$$

Since $d > 0$ is arbitrary, the estimate (2.5) follows from (5.7). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Agnid Banerjee, Prashanta Garain, and Juha Kinnunen. Some local properties of subsolutions and supersolutions for a doubly nonlinear nonlocal parabolic p -Laplace equation. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2010.05727, October 2020. 2, 8
- [2] Martin T. Barlow, Richard F. Bass, Zhen-Qing Chen, and Moritz Kassmann. Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(4):1963–1999, 2009. 2
- [3] Stefano Biagi, Serena Dipierro, Enrico Valdinoci, and Eugenio Vecchi. Mixed local and nonlocal elliptic operators: regularity and maximum principles. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2005.06907, May 2020. 2
- [4] Stefano Biagi, Serena Dipierro, Enrico Valdinoci, and Eugenio Vecchi. Semilinear elliptic equations involving mixed local and nonlocal operators. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.05830, June 2020. 2
- [5] Verena Bögelein, Frank Duzaar, and Naian Liao. On the Hölder regularity of signed solutions to a doubly nonlinear equation. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2003.04158, March 2020. 4, 7
- [6] E. Bombieri and E. Giusti. Harnack’s inequality for elliptic differential equations on minimal surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 15:24–46, 1972. 2, 5
- [7] Matteo Bonforte, Yannick Sire, and Juan Luis Vázquez. Optimal existence and uniqueness theory for the fractional heat equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 153:142–168, 2017. 2
- [8] Lorenzo Brasco and Erik Lindgren. Higher Sobolev regularity for the fractional p -Laplace equation in the superquadratic case. *Adv. Math.*, 304:300–354, 2017. 2
- [9] Lorenzo Brasco, Erik Lindgren, and Armin Schikorra. Higher Hölder regularity for the fractional p -Laplacian in the superquadratic case. *Adv. Math.*, 338:782–846, 2018. 2
- [10] Lorenzo Brasco, Erik Lindgren, and Martin Strömquist. Continuity of solutions to a nonlinear fractional diffusion equation. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1907.00910, July 2019. 2
- [11] S. Buccheri, J. V. da Silva, and L. H. de Miranda. A System of Local/Nonlocal p -Laplacians: The Eigenvalue Problem and Its Asymptotic Limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2001.05985, January 2020. 3
- [12] Luis Caffarelli, Chi Hin Chan, and Alexis Vasseur. Regularity theory for parabolic nonlinear integral operators. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(3):849–869, 2011. 2

- [13] Jamil Chaker and Moritz Kassmann. Nonlocal operators with singular anisotropic kernels. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 45(1):1–31, 2020. [2](#)
- [14] Zhen-Qing Chen, Panki Kim, Renming Song, and Zoran Vondraček. Boundary Harnack principle for $\Delta + \Delta^{\alpha/2}$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(8):4169–4205, 2012. [2](#)
- [15] Zhen-Qing Chen and Takashi Kumagai. A priori Hölder estimate, parabolic Harnack principle and heat kernel estimates for diffusions with jumps. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 26(2):551–589, 2010. [2](#)
- [16] Agnese Di Castro, Tuomo Kuusi, and Giampiero Palatucci. Nonlocal Harnack inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(6):1807–1836, 2014. [2](#)
- [17] Agnese Di Castro, Tuomo Kuusi, and Giampiero Palatucci. Local behavior of fractional p -minimizers. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 33(5):1279–1299, 2016. [2](#), [22](#)
- [18] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):521–573, 2012. [2](#), [3](#)
- [19] Mengyao Ding, Chao Zhang, and Shulin Zhou. Local boundedness and Hölder continuity for the parabolic fractional p -Laplace equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 60(1):Paper No. 38, 45, 2021. [2](#)
- [20] Serena Dipierro, Edoardo Proietti Lippi, and Enrico Valdinoci. Linear theory for a mixed operator with Neumann conditions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.03850, June 2020. [2](#)
- [21] Serena Dipierro, Edoardo Proietti Lippi, and Enrico Valdinoci. (Non)local logistic equations with Neumann conditions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2101.02315, January 2021. [2](#)
- [22] Serena Dipierro, Xavier Ros-Oton, Joaquim Serra, and Enrico Valdinoci. Non-symmetric stable operators: regularity theory and integration by parts. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2012.04833, December 2020. [2](#)
- [23] Serena Dipierro and Enrico Valdinoci. Description of an ecological niche for a mixed local/nonlocal dispersal: an evolution equation and a new Neumann condition arising from the superposition of Brownian and Lévy processes. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2104.11398, April 2021. [1](#)
- [24] Bartłomiej Dyda and Moritz Kassmann. On weighted Poincaré inequalities. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 38(2):721–726, 2013. [5](#)
- [25] Eugene B. Fabes and Nicola Garofalo. Parabolic B.M.O. and Harnack’s inequality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95(1):63–69, 1985. [2](#)
- [26] Matthieu Felsinger and Moritz Kassmann. Local regularity for parabolic nonlocal operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 38(9):1539–1573, 2013. [2](#), [4](#)
- [27] Mohammad Foondun. Heat kernel estimates and Harnack inequalities for some Dirichlet forms with non-local part. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 11, 314–340, 2009. [1](#)
- [28] Prashanta Garain and Juha Kinnunen. On the regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear elliptic equations. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2102.13365, February 2021. [2](#)
- [29] Mariano Giaquinta. *Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993. [18](#)
- [30] Moritz Kassmann. A new formulation of Harnack’s inequality for nonlocal operators. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(11-12):637–640, 2011. [2](#)
- [31] Moritz Kassmann and Russell W. Schwab. Regularity results for nonlocal parabolic equations. *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.)*, 5(1):183–212, 2014. [2](#)
- [32] Yong-Cheol Kim. Nonlocal Harnack inequalities for nonlocal heat equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 267(11):6691–6757, 2019. [2](#)
- [33] Juha Kinnunen and Tuomo Kuusi. Local behaviour of solutions to doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *Math. Ann.*, 337(3):705–728, 2007. [5](#)
- [34] Juha Kinnunen and Peter Lindqvist. Pointwise behaviour of semicontinuous supersolutions to a quasilinear parabolic equation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 185(3):411–435, 2006. [4](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [35] Jan Malý and William P. Ziemer. *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, volume 51 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. [5](#)
- [36] J. Moser. On a pointwise estimate for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24:727–740, 1971. [2](#)
- [37] Jürgen Moser. A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17:101–134, 1964. [2](#)
- [38] Laurent Saloff-Coste. *Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities*, volume 289 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. [5](#)
- [39] Martin Strömqvist. Harnack’s inequality for parabolic nonlocal equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 36(6):1709–1745, 2019. [2](#)

(Prashanta Garain)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV
P.O.B. 653
BEER SHEVA 8410501, ISRAEL
EMAIL: pgarain92@gmail.com

(Juha Kinnunen)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
AALTO UNIVERSITY
P.O. Box 11100, FI-00076, FINLAND
EMAIL: juha.k.kinnunen@aalto.fi