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#### Abstract

We show that in any two-coloring of the positive integers there is a color for which the set of positive integers that can be represented as a sum of distinct elements with this color has upper logarithmic density at least $(2+\sqrt{3}) / 4$ and this is best possible. This answers a forty-year-old question of Erdős.


## 1 Introduction

For a set $A$ of positive integers, the logarithmic density $d_{\ell}(A ; x)$ of $A$ up to $x$ is $\frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{a \in A, a \leq x} 1 / a$, where $\log x$ denotes the natural logarithm of $x$. The upper logarithmic density of $A$ is then $\bar{d}_{\ell}(A)=$ $\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} d_{\ell}(A ; x)$. Such logarithmic density functions arise very naturally in number theory. For instance, a classical result of Davenport and Erdốs [2] (see also [6]) shows that any set of positive integers $A$ with positive upper logarithmic density contains an infinite division chain, that is, an infinite sequence $a_{i_{1}}<a_{i_{2}}<\cdots$ with $a_{i_{j}} \in A$ and $a_{i_{j}} \mid a_{i_{j+1}}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Much more recently, the celebrated Erdős discrepancy problem was settled by Tao [8] using his progress [9] on a logarithmically-averaged version of the Elliott conjecture on the distribution of bounded multiplicative functions.

Our concern here will be with a problem of Erdős concerning subset sums. Given a set of integers $A$, the set of subset sums $\Sigma(A)$ is the set of all integers that can be represented as a sum of distinct elements from $A$. That is,

$$
\Sigma(A)=\left\{\sum_{s \in S} s: S \subseteq A\right\}
$$

Suppose now that $r \geq 2$ is an integer and consider a partition $\mathbb{N}=A_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r}$ of the positive integers into $r$ parts. In the problem papers [3, 4, Erdős noted that there must then be some $i \in[r]$ such that the upper density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is 1 and the upper logarithmic density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is at least $1 / 2$. He also observed that if $A_{2}$ consists of those $n$ for which $\left\lfloor\log _{4} \log _{2} n\right\rfloor$ is even and $A_{1}$ is the complement of $A_{2}$, then the upper logarithmic density of both $\Sigma\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(A_{2}\right)$ is less than one. In fact, one can check that in this example each of $\Sigma\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(A_{2}\right)$ has upper logarithmic density $14 / 15$ Following this line of inquiry to its natural end, Erdős [3, 4] asked for a determination of $c_{2}$, the largest real number such that every

[^0]two-coloring of the positive integers has a color class such that the upper logarithmic density of its set of subset sums is at least $c_{2}$.

More generally, let $c_{r}$ be the minimum, taken over all partitions of $\mathbb{N}$ into $r$ parts $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}$, of the maximum over $i=1, \ldots, r$ of the upper logarithmic density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$. That is,

$$
c_{r}=\min _{\mathbb{N}=A_{1} \sqcup \cdots A_{r}} \max _{i \in[r]} \bar{d}_{\ell}\left(\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Here we give a general upper bound for $c_{r}$ and, answering Erdős' question, show that it is tight for $r=2$. We suspect that our upper bound is also tight for all $r \geq 3$, but our methods do not seem sufficient for proving this. We refer the reader to the brief concluding remarks for a little more on this issue.

Theorem 1. For any integer $r \geq 2, c_{r}$ is at most

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b_{0}}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r b_{0}-r}\right),
$$

where $b_{0}$ is the unique root of the polynomial $b^{r}-2 r b+r-1$ with $b>1$, and this is tight for $r=2$, where $c_{2}=(2+\sqrt{3}) / 4 \approx 0.93301$.

We start with the upper bound, which is comparatively simple, following as it does from an appropriate generalization of Erdős' coloring. Indeed, fix an integer $r \geq 2$ and a real number $b>1$ and consider the $r$-coloring of the positive integers where $n$ is given the value of $\left\lfloor\log _{b} \log n\right\rfloor$ taken modulo $r$. Erdős' coloring mentioned earlier is essentially the special case where $r=2$ and $b=4$. Using the observation that the set of non-zero subset sums of the interval $[m, n]$ is contained in the interval $\left[\begin{array}{c}m \\ \left.\binom{n+1}{2}\right] \text {, it is easily checked }\end{array}\right.$ that the upper logarithmic density of the set of subset sums of each color class is at most

$$
\delta_{r}(b)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b}\right)\left(1+b^{-r}+b^{-2 r}+\cdots\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b}\right)\left(1-b^{-r}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $c_{r} \leq \delta_{r}(b)$ for any $b>1$, we wish now to minimize $\delta_{r}(b)$. To this end, note that the derivative of $\delta_{r}(b)$ with respect to $b$ is

$$
\delta_{r}^{\prime}(b)=\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(1-b^{-r}\right)^{-1}-\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b}\right) r b^{-r-1}\left(1-b^{-r}\right)^{-2}
$$

The minimum value of $\delta_{r}(b)$ occurs when this equals zero or, simplifying, when $b^{r}-2 r b+r-1=0$. By Descartes' rule of signs, this polynomial has at most two positive roots and it is easily checked that there are precisely two roots, one lying between 0 and 1 and the other lying above 1 , thus completing the proof of the claimed upper bound.

We now turn our attention to our main contribution, the proof of the lower bound for $c_{2}$, which ultimately relies on an application of the Brouwer fixed-point theorem. We begin by proving a crucial lemma about monochromatic subset sums which may be of independent interest.

## 2 Intervals of monochromatic subset sums

In this section, we use a result from our recent paper [1] to prove the following key lemma on subset sums, which will be important in the proof of the lower bound for $c_{2}$. We note that a weaker version of this lemma, from which the bound $c_{r} \geq 1 / 2$ easily follows, was previously claimed by Erdôs [4, Theorem 3], though the proof of this statement was never published.

Lemma 2. For every positive integer $r$, there are positive constants $C=C(r)$ and $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}(r)$ such that the following holds. For every $N>0$ and every partition $\mathbb{N} \cap[N, e N)=A_{1} \sqcup A_{2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r}$ into $r$ color classes, there is some $i \in[r]$ such that $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ contains all positive integers in $\left[C N, C^{\prime} N^{2}\right]$.

To state the result from [1] that we need for the proof of this lemma, we introduce the notation

$$
\Sigma^{[k]}(A)=\left\{\sum_{s \in S} s: S \subseteq A,|S| \leq k\right\}
$$

That is, $\Sigma^{[k]}(A)$ is the set of subset sums formed by adding at most $k$ distinct elements of $A$.
Theorem 3 (Theorem 6.1 of [1]). There exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that the following holds. For any subset $A$ of $[n]$ of size $m \geq C \sqrt{n}$, there exists $d \geq 1$ such that, for $A^{\prime}=\{x / d: x \in A, d \mid x\}$ and $k=2^{50} n / m, \Sigma^{[k]}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ contains an interval of length at least $n$. Furthermore,

$$
|A|-\left|A^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{30}(\log n)^{3}+\frac{2^{30} n}{m}
$$

We will also use the following observation of Graham [5]. Part (ii), which is the part we will use, follows from the elementary part (i) by induction.

Lemma 4 (Graham [5]). Let $A$ be a set such that $\Sigma(A)$ contains all integers in the interval $[x, x+y)$.
(i) If $a$ is a positive integer with $a \leq y$ and $a \notin A$, then $\Sigma(A \cup\{a\})$ contains all integers in the interval $[x, x+y+a)$.
(ii) If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}$ are positive integers such that $a_{i} \leq y+\sum_{j<i} a_{j}$ and $a_{i} \notin A$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$, then $\Sigma\left(A \cup\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}\right)$ contains all integers in the interval $\left[x, x+y+\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}\right)$.

We are now in a position to prove Lemma 2 Recall that a homogeneous progression is an arithmetic progression $a, a+d, \ldots, a+k d$, where $d$ divides $a$ and, hence, every other term in the progression.

Proof of Lemma 园. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $r$ is sufficiently large and $N$ is sufficiently large in terms of $r$. Let $X$ be the set of elements of $\mathbb{N} \cap[N, e N)$ which do not have any prime factor at most $r^{2}$. Let $W=\prod_{p \leq r^{2}} p$ and note that the number of integers in an interval of length $\ell$ which are coprime to $W$ is at least $\left(1-o_{\ell}(1)\right) \ell \phi(W) / W$, where $\phi$ is the Euler totient function. By Merten's third theorem, $\phi(W) / W=\left(e^{-\gamma}+o(1)\right) / \log \left(r^{2}\right) \geq 1 /(3.9 \log r)$ for $r$ sufficiently large, where $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Thus, as $N$ is sufficiently large in terms of $r$, we have

$$
|X| \geq(e-1) N \cdot 1 /(4 \log r) \geq N /(4 \log r) .
$$

Therefore, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists an index $i$ such that $\left|A_{i} \cap X\right| \geq N /(4 r \log r)$. Fix such an $i$ and let $A$ be an arbitrary subset of $A_{i} \cap X$ of size $N /(8 r \log r)$.

By Theorem 3, there exists $d \geq 1$ and a subset $A^{*}$ of $A$ consisting of multiples of $d$ such that

$$
\left|A^{*}\right| \geq|A|-2^{30}(\log (e N))^{3}-\frac{2^{30} e N}{|A|} \geq|A| / 2 \geq N /(16 r \log r)
$$

and, for $k=2^{50} e N /|A|, \Sigma^{[k]}\left(A^{*}\right)$ contains a homogeneous progression of common difference $d$ and length at least $e N$. If $d>1$, then, since $A$ does not contain multiples of any prime $p \leq r^{2}$, we must have $d \geq r^{2}$. But then $\left|A^{*}\right| \leq 1+e N / r^{2}<N /(16 r \log r)$, a contradiction. We must therefore have that $d=1$ and, hence, $\Sigma^{[k]}\left(A^{*}\right)$ contains an interval $I$ of length at least $e N$.

Since $k=2^{50} e N /|A| \leq 2^{55} r \log r$, the smallest element of $I$ is at most $2^{55} r \log r \cdot e N<2{ }^{57} N r \log r$. Therefore, by Lemma 4 we see that $\Sigma\left(A^{*} \cup\left(A_{i} \backslash A\right)\right)$ contains all integers between $2^{57} N r \log r$ and $\sum_{x \in A_{i} \backslash A} x \geq N^{2} /(8 r \log r)$, as required.

Remark 5. Alternatively, one can prove Lemma 2 by using Theorem 7.1 from Szemerédi and Vu's paper [7]. This result says that there is a constant $C>0$ such that if $A$ is a subset of $[n]$ of size $m \geq C \sqrt{n}$ and $k \geq C n / m$, then $\Sigma^{[k]}(A)$ contains an arithmetic progression of length at least $n$. If we apply this result rather than Theorem 3 to the set $A$, we find an arithmetic progression rather than an interval in $\Sigma(A)$. However, we may then use the fact that the elements of $A_{i} \cap X$ do not have small factors to expand this arithmetic progression to an interval. The remainder of the proof then proceeds as before.

## 3 Proof of the lower bound for $c_{2}$

Suppose $\mathbb{N}=A_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r}$ is a partition of the positive integers into $r$ color classes. Given this partition, we build an auxiliary $r$-coloring $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow[r]$ of the positive integers, where we set $\alpha(n)=i$ for some $i$ such that the color class $A_{i}$ of integers colored by $i$ has the property that $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ contains all positive integers in the interval $\left[C N, C^{\prime} N^{2}\right]$, where $N=e^{n}$ and $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are as in Lemma 2, Note that at least one choice for $i$ always exists by Lemma 2

From this auxiliary coloring $\alpha$, we build another auxiliary coloring $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^{[r]}$ of the positive integers, where each positive integer now receives a set of at least one color. Explicitly, we place $i$ in $\phi(n)$ if and only if there is some $n / 2 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\alpha(j)=i$. Let $S(\phi, i)$ be the set of positive integers $n$ such that $i \in \phi(n)$. The next lemma shows that the upper logarithmic density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is at least the upper density of $S(\phi, i)$.

Lemma 6. The upper logarithmic density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is at least the upper density of $S(\phi, i)$.
Proof. Let $\gamma$ be a sufficiently large constant depending on $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, where again $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are as in Lemma 2. Consider the coloring $\tilde{\phi}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^{[r]}$ such that $i$ is in $\tilde{\phi}(n)$ if and only if there is some $n / 2+\gamma \leq j \leq n-\gamma$ such that $\alpha(j)=i$. Then, if $n \in S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$, there exists $j \in[n / 2+\gamma, n-\gamma]$ such that $\alpha(j)=i$ and so, by definition, $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ contains [ $\left.C e^{j}, C^{\prime} e^{2 j}\right]$. Hence, for $\gamma$ sufficiently large, $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ contains $\left[e^{n}, e^{n+1}\right]$. Noting that $\sum_{e^{n} \leq x<e^{n+1}} 1 / x=1+O\left(e^{-n}\right)$, we obtain that the upper logarithmic density of $\Sigma\left(A_{i}\right)$ is at least the upper density of $S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$.

It remains to prove that the upper density of $S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$ is at least the upper density of $S(\phi, i)$. Partition the elements of $S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$ into disjoint intervals $I_{k}$, so that $\min \left(I_{k+1}\right)>1+\max \left(I_{k}\right)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Observe that $S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$ is the union of intervals of the form $[j+\gamma, 2 j-2 \gamma]$ where $\alpha(j)=i$. Thus, we must have $\left|I_{k}\right| \geq k-3 \gamma$. Similarly, $S(\phi, i)$ is the union of intervals of the form $[j, 2 j]$ where $\alpha(j)=i$. Let $S_{1}(\phi, i)$ be the union of those intervals $[j, 2 j]$ with $\alpha(j)=i$ and $j \leq 3 \gamma$ and let $S_{2}(\phi, i)=S(\phi, i) \backslash S_{1}(\phi, i)$. Observe that if $x \in S(\phi, i) \backslash S(\tilde{\phi}, i)$, then either $x \in S_{1}(\phi, i)$ or there exists $k$ such that $x \in\left[\min I_{k}-\gamma, \min I_{k}\right) \cup$ (max $I_{k}$, max $\left.I_{k}+2 \gamma\right]$. Let $t$ be a sufficiently large positive integer and let $\ell$ be the number of intervals $I_{k}$ intersecting $[t]$. We then have that $|(S(\phi, i) \cap[t]) \backslash(S(\tilde{\phi}, i) \cap[t])| \leq 3 \gamma(\ell+1)+(3 \gamma+1)^{2} / 2$, where we used that $\left|S_{1}(\phi, i)\right| \leq \sum_{j \leq 3 \gamma} j<(3 \gamma+1)^{2} / 2$. Using that $\left|I_{k}\right| \geq k-3 \gamma$, we have $\ell+1 \leq 2 \sqrt{t}$ for $t$ sufficiently large and, hence,

$$
\frac{|S(\phi, i) \cap[t]|}{t}-\frac{|S(\tilde{\phi}, i) \cap[t]|}{t} \leq \frac{6 \gamma}{\sqrt{t}}+\frac{(3 \gamma+1)^{2}}{2 t} \leq \frac{12 \gamma}{\sqrt{t}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(\phi, i) \cap[t]|}{t}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(\tilde{\phi}, i) \cap[t]|}{t} .
$$

The next lemma therefore completes the proof of the lower bound for $c_{2}$ by showing that, for $r=2$, the upper density of $S(\phi, i)$ is at least $f_{2}:=\inf _{z \in[0,1)} \frac{1-z / 2}{1-z^{2}}=(2+\sqrt{3}) / 4$ for either $i=1$ or 2 . It is worth noting that, from this point on, the argument only depends on our choice for the auxiliary coloring $\alpha$ and not on the original coloring of $\mathbb{N}$. Thus, the following lemma holds true for the set-valued coloring $\phi$ derived from any coloring $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow[r]$.

Lemma 7. For $r=2$, the upper density of $S(\phi, 1)$ or $S(\phi, 2)$ is at least $f_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists some $\epsilon>0$ and a coloring $\alpha$ such that $S(\phi, 1)$ and $S(\phi, 2)$ each have density at most $f_{2}-\epsilon$ in $[n]$ for all $n$ sufficiently large. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\alpha(1)=1$. Define $H_{1}$ to be the first integer with $\alpha$-color different from 1 and, for each $i \geq 2$, define $H_{i}$ to be the first integer greater than $H_{i-1}$ with $\alpha$-color different from $H_{i-1}$.

First, we claim that there exists such a coloring with the property that $H_{i+2}>2\left(H_{i+1}-1\right)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Indeed, suppose that $i$ is the smallest non-negative integer for which $H_{i+2} \leq 2\left(H_{i+1}-1\right)$. Consider a new coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ where we change the $\alpha$-color of every integer in $\left[H_{i+1}, H_{i+2}\right)$ to $\alpha\left(H_{i}\right)$, while fixing the color of all other integers. Let $\phi^{\prime}$ be the coloring associated to $\alpha^{\prime}$. We can verify that $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\phi(x)$ for all $x \leq H_{i+1}-1$ and $x>2\left(H_{i+2}-1\right)$, while $\phi^{\prime}(x) \subseteq \phi(x)=\left\{\alpha\left(H_{i}\right), \alpha\left(H_{i+1}\right)\right\}$ for $x \in\left[H_{i+1}, 2\left(H_{i+2}-1\right)\right]$. Thus, $\phi^{\prime}(x) \subseteq \phi(x)$ for all $x$, so the coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ also has the property that $S\left(\phi^{\prime}, 1\right)$ and $S\left(\phi^{\prime}, 2\right)$ each have density at most $f_{2}-\epsilon$ in $[n]$ for all $n$ sufficiently large.

It therefore suffices to consider the case where there exist $1=H_{0}<H_{1}<\cdots$ such that $H_{i} \geq 2 H_{i-1}-1$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all elements in $\left[H_{j}, H_{j+1}\right)$ receive color $(j+1)(\bmod 2)$. Note that $1 \in \phi(x)$ if and only if $x \in \bigcup_{j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)}\left[H_{j}, 2\left(H_{j+1}-1\right)\right]$ and $2 \in \phi(x)$ if and only if $x \in \bigcup_{j \equiv 1(\bmod 2)}\left[H_{j}, 2\left(H_{j+1}-1\right)\right]$. Let $\bar{a}_{n}$ be the density of $S(\phi, n(\bmod 2))$ in the interval $\left[2\left(H_{n}-1\right)\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{n} & =\frac{\sum_{i \equiv n(\bmod 2), i \leq n}\left(2\left(H_{i}-1\right)-\left(H_{i-1}-1\right)\right)}{2\left(H_{n}-1\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 \sum_{i \equiv n(\bmod 2), i \leq n}\left(H_{i}-1\right)-\sum_{i \neq n(\bmod 2), i \leq n}\left(H_{i}-1\right)}{2\left(H_{n}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z_{n}=\frac{H_{n-1}-1}{H_{n}-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{b}_{n}=\bar{a}_{n-1} z_{n}$, noting that $\bar{b}_{n}$ is at most the density of $S(\phi, n-1(\bmod 2))$ in the interval $\left[2\left(H_{n}-1\right)\right]$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{n} & =\frac{2 \sum_{i \equiv n(\bmod 2), i \leq n}\left(H_{i}-1\right)-\sum_{i \neq n(\bmod 2), i \leq n}\left(H_{i}-1\right)}{2\left(H_{n}-1\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 \sum_{i \equiv n(\bmod 2), i \leq n-2}\left(H_{i}-1\right)-\sum_{i \neq n(\bmod 2), i \leq n-2}\left(H_{i}-1\right)}{2\left(H_{n-2}-1\right)} \cdot \frac{H_{n-2}-1}{H_{n}-1}+\frac{2\left(H_{n}-1\right)-\left(H_{n-1}-1\right)}{2\left(H_{n}-1\right)} \\
& =\bar{a}_{n-2} z_{n-1} z_{n}+1-z_{n} / 2 \\
& =\bar{b}_{n-1} z_{n}+1-z_{n} / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{n}, \bar{b}_{n}\right)=\left(\bar{b}_{n-1} z_{n}+1-z_{n} / 2, \bar{a}_{n-1} z_{n}\right)
$$

Let $B=\left[0, f_{2}-\epsilon\right]^{2}$. For $S \subseteq[0,1]^{2}$, define

$$
g(S)=\{(b z+1-z / 2, a z):(a, b) \in S, z \in[0,1 / 2]\} \cap B
$$

Since there is a coloring such that both $S(\phi, i)$ have density at most $f_{2}-\epsilon$ in $[n]$ for all $n$ sufficiently large, letting $(a, b)=\left(\bar{a}_{t}, \bar{b}_{t}\right)$ for $t$ sufficiently large, we have, by induction, that $\left(\bar{a}_{t+k}, \bar{b}_{t+k}\right)=\left(\bar{b}_{t+k-1} z_{t+k}+1-\right.$ $\left.z_{t+k} / 2, \bar{a}_{t+k-1} z_{t+k}\right) \in g^{k}(S)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, there is a point $(a, b)$ such that $g^{k}(\{(a, b)\})$ is non-empty for all $k \geq 1$. Let $S_{0}$ be the set of points $(a, b) \in B$ such that $g^{k}(\{(a, b)\})$ is non-empty for all $k$. For each natural number $K$, let $S_{K}$ be the set of points $x_{0}=\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \in B$ for which there exists $z_{k} \in[0,1 / 2]$ for each $1 \leq k \leq K$ such that $x_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)=\left(b_{k-1} z_{k}+1-z_{k} / 2, a_{k-1} z_{k}\right) \in B$. Observe that $S_{0}=\bigcap_{K \geq 1} S_{K}$. In the following claim, we show that $S_{0}$ is convex and closed.

Claim 8. $S_{0}$ is convex and closed.
Proof. Since $S_{0}=\bigcap_{K \geq 1} S_{K}$, it suffices to show that $S_{K}$ is convex and closed for each $K$.
First, we show that $S_{K}$ is convex. Indeed, assume that $x_{0}=\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $x_{0}^{\prime}=\left(a_{0}^{\prime}, b_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ are in $S_{K}$ and $y_{0}=\left(c_{0}, d_{0}\right)=\alpha_{0} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) x_{0}^{\prime}$ for some $\alpha_{0} \in[0,1]$. As $x_{0}$ and $x_{0}^{\prime}$ are in $S_{K}$, we have that $x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in B$ and there exist $z_{k}$ and $z_{k}^{\prime}$ in $[0,1 / 2]$ for each positive integer $k \leq K$ such that $x_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)=$ $\left(b_{k-1} z_{k}+1-z_{k} / 2, a_{k-1} z_{k}\right)$ and $x_{k}^{\prime}=\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\left(b_{k-1}^{\prime} z_{k}^{\prime}+1-z_{k}^{\prime} / 2, a_{k-1}^{\prime} z_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ are in $B$. Since $B$ is convex, $y_{0} \in B$. We will show by induction that for each positive integer $k \leq K$ there exists $w_{k} \in[0,1 / 2]$ such that $y_{k}=\left(c_{k}, d_{k}\right)=\left(d_{k-1} w_{k}+1-w_{k} / 2, c_{k-1} w_{k}\right)$ is a convex combination of $x_{k}$ and $x_{k}^{\prime}$ and, hence, $y_{k} \in B$. This shows that $y_{0} \in S_{K}$.

We will need the following simple observation: any points $t, u, u^{\prime}, v, \tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $v$ is on the segment between $u$ and $u^{\prime}, \tilde{u}$ is on the segment between $t$ and $u$ and $\tilde{u}^{\prime}$ is on the segment between $t$ and $u^{\prime}$ have the property that the segment between $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}^{\prime}$ intersects the segment between $t$ and $v$.

The set of points $\left(b_{k-1} z+1-z / 2, a_{k-1} z\right)$ for $z \in[0,1 / 2]$ is a segment with one endpoint at $(1,0)$ and the other endpoint at $\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k-1}-1 / 2, a_{k-1}\right)+(1,0)$. Similarly, the set of points $\left(b_{k-1}^{\prime} z+1-z / 2, a_{k-1}^{\prime} z\right)$ is a segment with one endpoint at $(1,0)$ and the other endpoint at $\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k-1}^{\prime}-1 / 2, a_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)+(1,0)$. Noting that $(a, b) \mapsto$ $\frac{1}{2}(b-1 / 2, a)+(1,0)$ is a linear map, we have, since $\left(c_{k-1}, d_{k-1}\right)$ is a convex combination of $\left(a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{k-1}^{\prime}, b_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ by the induction hypothesis, that the point $\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{k-1}-1 / 2, c_{k-1}\right)+(1,0)$ is a convex combination of $\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k-1}-1 / 2, a_{k-1}\right)+(1,0)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k-1}^{\prime}-1 / 2, a_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)+(1,0)$. Therefore, by the observation above, for
any $z, z^{\prime} \in[0,1 / 2]$, the segment through $\left(b_{k-1} z+1-z / 2, a_{k-1} z\right)$ and $\left(b_{k-1}^{\prime} z^{\prime}+1-z^{\prime} / 2, a_{k-1}^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right)$ intersects the segment of points $\left(d_{k-1} z^{\prime \prime}+1-z^{\prime \prime} / 2, c_{k-1} z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $z^{\prime \prime} \in[0,1 / 2]$. Thus, there exists $w_{k} \in[0,1 / 2]$ such that $y_{k}=\left(d_{k-1} w_{k}+1-w_{k} / 2, c_{k-1} w_{k}\right)$ is a convex combination of $x_{k}$ and $x_{k}^{\prime}$, as required.

Next, we verify that $S_{K}$ is closed. Let $x_{0}^{i}$ be a sequence of points in $S_{K}$ converging to $x_{0}$. Then we have $x_{0} \in B$, since $x_{0}^{i} \in B$ for all $i$ and $B$ is closed. Since $x_{0}^{i} \in S_{K}$, there exists $z_{k}^{i} \in[0,1 / 2]$ for $1 \leq k \leq K$ such that $x_{k}^{i}=\left(a_{k}^{i}, b_{k}^{i}\right)=\left(b_{k-1}^{i} z_{k}^{i}+1-z_{k}^{i} / 2, a_{k-1}^{i} z_{k}^{i}\right)$ is in $B$. Since $[0,1 / 2]^{K}$ is compact, the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem implies that there exists a subsequence $i_{j}$ such that $\left(z_{k}^{i_{j}}\right)_{k \leq K}$ converges to a limit $\left(z_{k}\right)_{k \leq K}$. For $1 \leq k \leq K$, define $x_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)=\left(b_{k-1} z_{k}+1-z_{k} / 2, a_{k-1} z_{k}\right)$ inductively. We now prove by induction on $0 \leq k \leq K$ that $x_{k}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{k}^{i_{j}}, b_{k}^{i_{j}}\right)$. Indeed, this holds for $k=0$. Furthermore, if $x_{k-1}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{k-1}^{i_{j}}, b_{k-1}^{i_{j}}\right)$, then, as $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} z_{k}^{i_{j}}=z_{k}$, we have

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{k}^{i_{j}}, b_{k}^{i_{j}}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(b_{k-1}^{i_{j}} z_{k}^{i_{j}}+1-z_{k}^{i_{j}} / 2, a_{k-1}^{i_{j}} z_{k}^{i_{j}}\right)=\left(b_{k-1} z_{k}+1-z_{k} / 2, a_{k-1} z_{k}\right)=x_{k}
$$

as required. Since $x_{k}^{i_{j}} \in B$ for all $j$ and $B$ is closed, we have that $x_{k} \in B$ for all $k \leq K$. In particular, $x_{0} \in S_{K}$. Hence, $S_{K}$ is closed.

For each $x=(a, b) \in S_{0}$, let $t(x)=(b z+1-z / 2, a z)$, where $z$ is the largest element of $[0,1 / 2]$ such that $(b z+1-z / 2, a z) \in S_{0}$. It is clear that such a $z$ exists for $x \in S_{0}$ by the definition of $S_{0}$ and the fact that $S_{0}$ is closed. We next show that $t(x)$ is a continuous map. For $x=(a, b) \in S_{0}$, there exists $z \in[0,1 / 2]$ such that $b z+1-z / 2 \leq f_{2}$. Thus, $b \leq 2\left(f_{2}-3 / 4\right)<1 / 2$. In particular, $S_{0}$ is a subset of $[0,1] \times\left[0,2\left(f_{2}-3 / 4\right)\right]$. Define the function $\pi(x)=(a /(2 a-2 b+1), a /(2 a-2 b+1))$ for $x=(a, b) \in[0,1] \times\left[0,2\left(f_{2}-3 / 4\right)\right]$ and note that $\pi$ is continuous on its domain. Let $I$ be the image $\pi\left(S_{0}\right)$ of $S_{0}$, which is a closed interval consisting of points $x=(a, a)$ where $0 \leq a \leq 1 /\left(3-4\left(f_{2}-3 / 4\right)\right)<1 / 2$. For $x=(a, b) \in \pi^{-1}(I)$, define the function $v(x)=\sup \left\{z \geq 0:(b z+1-z / 2, a z) \in S_{0}\right\}$. Observe that $(a /(2 a-2 b+1)-1 / 2, a /(2 a-2 b+1))=\frac{1}{2 a-2 b+1}(b-1 / 2, a)$. Thus, for all $x=(a, b) \in \pi^{-1}(I)$,

$$
v(\pi(x))=\sup \left\{z \geq 0:(1,0)+\frac{z}{2 a-2 b+1}(b-1 / 2, a) \in S_{0}\right\}=(2 a-2 b+1) v(x)
$$

In particular, $v(x)$ is well-defined and finite for $x \in \pi^{-1}(I)$, as, for any such $x$, there exists a point $y$ of $S_{0}$ for which $\pi(x)=\pi(y)$ and, since $v(y)$ is finite, $v(\pi(x))=v(\pi(y))$ is finite and so is $v(x)$. For $x \in \pi^{-1}(I)$, define $u(x)=(b v(x)+1-v(x) / 2, a v(x))$. We then have

$$
u(\pi(x))=(1,0)+\frac{v(\pi(x))}{2 a-2 b+1}(b-1 / 2, a)=(1,0)+v(x)(b-1 / 2, a)=u(x) .
$$

Noting that $I \subseteq \pi^{-1}(I)$, let $\tilde{v}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the restriction of $v$ to $I$ and $\tilde{u}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the restriction of $u$ to $I$. The next claim shows that $\tilde{v}$ is continuous on $I$.

Claim 9. The function $\tilde{v}$ is continuous on $I$.
Proof. Recall that, for any $x=(a, a) \in I$, we have $a \leq 1 /\left(6-4 f_{2}\right)<1 / 2$. Since $S_{0} \subseteq B=\left[0, f_{2}-\epsilon\right]^{2}$, we have $1+\tilde{v}(x)(a-1 / 2) \geq 0$ and so $\tilde{v}(x) \leq 1 /\left(1 / 2-1 /\left(6-4 f_{2}\right)\right)$ for all $x \in I$. Similarly, $1+\tilde{v}(x)(a-1 / 2) \leq f_{2}$ and $\tilde{v}(x) \geq 2\left(1-f_{2}\right)$ for all $x \in I$. Thus, there exist constants $\lambda, \Lambda>0$ such that $\lambda<\tilde{v}(x)<\Lambda$ for all $x \in I$.

Let $i_{1}=\left(a_{1}, a_{1}\right), i_{3}=\left(a_{3}, a_{3}\right) \in I$ and $i_{2}=\left(a_{2}, a_{2}\right)$, where $a_{2}=c a_{1}+(1-c) a_{3}$ is a convex combination of $i_{1}$ and $i_{3}$. Let $c^{\prime}=\frac{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)}{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)+(1-c) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)}$. We claim that $\tilde{v}\left(i_{2}\right) \geq c^{\prime} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)$. Let $z=c^{\prime} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
c^{\prime} a_{1} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right) a_{3} \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)=\frac{\tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)\left(c a_{1}+(1-c) a_{3}\right)}{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)+(1-c) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)}=a_{2} z
$$

and

$$
c^{\prime}\left(a_{1}-1 / 2\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right)\left(a_{3}-1 / 2\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)=\frac{\tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)\left(c\left(a_{1}-1 / 2\right)+(1-c)\left(a_{3}-1 / 2\right)\right)}{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)+(1-c) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)}=\left(a_{2}-1 / 2\right) z .
$$

Therefore, writing $p_{1}=\left(a_{1} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)-\tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right) / 2+1, a_{1} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)\right)$ and $p_{3}=\left(a_{3} \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)-\tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right) / 2+1, a_{3} \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)\right)$, we have that $\left(\left(a_{2}-1 / 2\right) z+1, a_{2} z\right)=c^{\prime} p_{1}+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right) p_{3}$. Since $S_{0}$ is convex and $p_{1}, p_{3} \in S_{0}$, we thus have that $\left(\left(a_{2}-1 / 2\right) z+1, a_{2} z\right) \in S_{0}$. In particular, $\tilde{v}\left(i_{2}\right) \geq z=c^{\prime} \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)+\left(1-c^{\prime}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)$. Hence, since $c^{\prime}=$ $\frac{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)}{c \tilde{v}\left(i_{3}\right)+(1-c) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right)} \geq 1-\frac{(1-c) \Lambda}{\lambda}$, for all points $i_{1}, i_{2} \in I$ such that there exists $i_{3}$ with $i_{2}=c i_{1}+(1-c) i_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}\left(i_{2}\right) \geq\left(1-\frac{(1-c) \Lambda}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{v}\left(i_{1}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this, we now show that $\tilde{v}$ is lower semi-continuous on $I$. Indeed, assume otherwise that there exists a sequence of points $x_{i} \in I$ converging to $x=(a, a) \in I$ with $\lim \inf \tilde{v}\left(x_{i}\right)=w<\tilde{v}(x)$. For any $\eta>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\left|x_{i}-x\right|<\delta$, then we can write $x_{i}=c x+(1-c) y$ with $y \in I$ and $c>1-\eta$. By (11), we therefore have

$$
\tilde{v}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq\left(1-\frac{(1-c) \Lambda}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{v}(x) \geq\left(1-\frac{\eta \Lambda}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{v}(x) .
$$

But, for $\eta$ sufficiently small, this contradicts our assumption that $\lim \inf \tilde{v}\left(x_{i}\right)=w<\tilde{v}(x)$.
Next, we show that $\tilde{v}$ is upper semi-continuous on $I$. Indeed, assume otherwise that there is a sequence of points $x_{i} \in I$ converging to $x=(a, a) \in I$ with $\lim \sup \tilde{v}\left(x_{i}\right)=w>\tilde{v}(x)$. We can then extract a subsequence $x_{i_{j}}$ for which $\tilde{v}\left(x_{i_{j}}\right)$ converges to $w$. But then, by the fact that $S_{0}$ is closed, $(a w+1-w / 2, a w) \in$ $S_{0}$ and, hence, $\tilde{v}(x) \geq w$, a contradiction.

Therefore, since $\tilde{v}$ is both lower and upper semi-continuous on $I$, it is continuous on $I$.
Since $\tilde{v}$ is continuous on $I$, we obtain that $\tilde{u}$ is also continuous on $I$. Then, by the continuity of $\pi$ on $S_{0}$ and the fact that $u(x)=u(\pi(x))=\tilde{u}(\pi(x)), u$ is continuous on $S_{0}$ and, hence, $v$ is continuous on $S_{0}$. Thus, $x \mapsto t(x)=(1,0)+\min (1 / 2, v(x))(b-1 / 2, a)$ for $x=(a, b)$ is also continuous on $S_{0}$.

Since $t$ is a continuous map from $S_{0}$ to itself and $S_{0}$ is bounded, closed and convex, we may apply the Brouwer fixed-point theorem to conclude that $t$ has a fixed point $x_{0}$. Let $x_{0}=\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$. We then have, for some $z \in[0,1 / 2]$, that

$$
b_{0} z+1-z / 2=a_{0}, \quad a_{0} z=b_{0} .
$$

Thus,

$$
a_{0}=\frac{1-z / 2}{1-z^{2}} \geq \inf _{z \in[0,1 / 2]} \frac{1-z / 2}{1-z^{2}} \geq f_{2}
$$

However, this is a contradiction, since $a_{0} \leq f_{2}-\epsilon$ for $x_{0}=\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \in S_{0}$.

## 4 Concluding remarks

We conjecture that our upper bound for $c_{r}$ is also tight for three or more colors.
Conjecture 10. For any integer $r \geq 3, c_{r}$ is equal to

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b_{0}}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r b_{0}-r}\right),
$$

where $b_{0}$ is the unique root of the polynomial $b^{r}-2 r b+r-1$ with $b>1$.
We have explored this conjecture in some detail ourselves, but were unable to establish the optimality of our upper bound for $c_{r}$ without additional assumptions. For instance, it seems that our methods do apply if the auxiliary coloring $\alpha$ defined in Section 3 is assumed to be cyclic, by which we mean that the $i$ th monochromatic interval in $\alpha$ has color $i(\bmod r)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since every two-coloring of the positive integers is automatically cyclic in this sense, this restriction does not hamper us in that case.
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