

# ON THE STABILITY OF THE STRETCHED EULER–BERNOULLI BEAM ON A STAR-SHAPED GRAPH

MAHYAR MAHINZAEIM<sup>1,\*</sup>, GEN QI XU<sup>2</sup>, AND HAI E ZHANG<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. We deal with the as yet unresolved exponential stability problem for a stretched Euler–Bernoulli beam on a star-shaped metric graph with three identical edges. The edges are hinged with respect to the outer vertices. The inner vertex is capable of both translation and rotation, the latter of which is subject to a combination of elastic and frictional effects. We present detailed results on the asymptotic distribution and structure of the spectrum of the linear operator associated with the abstract spectral problem in Hilbert space. Within this framework it is shown that the eigenvectors have the property of forming an unconditional or Riesz basis, which makes it possible to directly deduce the exponential stability of the corresponding  $C_0$ -semigroup. As an aside it is shown that the particular choice of connectivity conditions ensures the exponential stability even when the elasticity acting on the slopes of the edges is absent.

## 1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

The transverse deflection  $\mathbf{w}(s, t)$  of a homogeneous Euler–Bernoulli beam, subjected to a compressive or tensile force proportional to a parameter  $\gamma$  is modelled by the partial differential equation (see [1, Section 2.2])

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{w}(s, t) - \gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathbf{w}(s, t) + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial s^4} \mathbf{w}(s, t) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

In the case where the beam is compressed one has  $\gamma < 0$ , otherwise, when  $\gamma > 0$ , the beam is stretched. Our primary interest in this paper lies with the exponential stability property of a planar star-shaped network of stretched homogeneous beams, with elasticity and frictional effects in the connectivity conditions coupling the edges. This is an interesting problem which should find a remarkable application in a varied assortment of mechanical problems on networks, also called metric graphs. Surprisingly, however, even in the relatively simple case considered in this paper (the star graph is an elementary example of a nontrivial network), we are not aware that the stability problem has been addressed previously. From the physical viewpoint the natural question to be answered, but which so far has escaped attention in the mathematical literature, is this: *Do the connectivity conditions cause the energy of the stretched beam network to decay uniformly exponentially as time progresses?*

To explain our problem in a bit more detail, let us consider first (PDE) on each beam or what we call edge  $e$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{w}_e(s, t) - \gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathbf{w}_e(s, t) + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial s^4} \mathbf{w}_e(s, t) = 0, \quad s \in e.$$

The solution  $\mathbf{w}_e(s, t)$  satisfies certain boundary and connectivity conditions at the outer and inner vertices of the beam network. We are going to consider the star graph formed by three identical edges  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ , as illustrated in Fig. 1. There is a single inner vertex at the origin 0. Conditions at the outer vertices  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  correspond to the edges being hinged at one end. At the inner vertex we have a combination of elasticity and viscous friction acting on the

MSC2010: Primary: 37L15; Secondary: 34L10, 34B09, 34B45, 34G10, 47D06

KEYWORDS: Euler–Bernoulli beam network, spectral problem on a metric graph, nonselfadjoint operator, Riesz basis, exponential stability

<sup>1</sup> Research Center for Complex Systems, Aalen University, Germany.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University, China.

EMAILS: m.mahinzaeim@web.de, gqxu@tju.edu.cn, ghaiezhang@126.com.

\* Corresponding author.




FIG. 1. A star graph with three identical edges.

slopes of the edges. It turns out that the question of exponential stability essentially concerns (not so surprisingly) the viscous friction condition at the inner vertex but (surprisingly) not the elasticity condition, as long as the edges are stretched; more on this later.

The problem with the system considered, and in fact with all systems described by partial differential equations, is that it is not clear whether the spectrum of the corresponding spectral problem does determine in general the *exponential* stability of the corresponding strongly continuous semigroup (in short  $C_0$ -semigroup). We must prove that the *unbounded* system operator generating the  $C_0$ -semigroup is a “discrete spectral operator” (employing some old terminology of Dunford and Schwartz [5, Chapters XVIII to XX]) – that is, the system operator has a discrete spectrum and its root vectors (eigen- and associated vectors) form a Riesz basis for the underlying Hilbert space – to reduce the study of exponential stability to spectral properties of the system operator (see the papers [16, 17] of Miloslavskii or the survey [9] for explanation). This is the main undertaking of the paper and it is hoped that the methods developed for this and the results obtained will serve as a guide for general star graphs of beams.

Our results are naturally obtained in the context of the simplest operator functions (linear and monic) of the form

$$\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \lambda I - T,$$

wherein  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter and  $T$  is an unbounded, not necessarily skewadjoint, closed linear operator acting in a Hilbert space. Such a formulation will allow us to prove in a unified framework many important results on the properties of the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of  $T$  under the given boundary and connectivity conditions. (We would like to point out that for the single beam case, similar results of the spectral properties of a quadratic operator function have been obtained in [18] in the spatially variable compression/tension case.) The mathematical question directly related to the question in the first paragraph then arises: *Does the spectrum of  $T$  determine the decay rate of the  $C_0$ -semigroup?* Of course, in general, the answer to this question will be “yes” if  $T$  is bounded – which is not the case here! – and hence is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup (see [23]).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we lay out some preliminary material for use in the paper, pose the initial/boundary-value problem, and formulate the (first-order) abstract initial-value problem associated with it. The techniques of our proofs throughout are functional-analytic in spirit, so function spaces and operators basic to the formulation are defined in the section. We will use some terminology common in the literature of differential equations on networks or on metric graphs, and we recommend the reader consult [21] for

definition of the terms and development of the related theory. We also refer the interested reader to the monographs [3, 10, 26] for stability (and stability related) results in the context of string, beam, and plate networks. We should also note the very readable books [19, 20] in which the authors present a variety of special examples from mechanics of metric graphs. (A large proportion of our operator notation and terminology is adapted from [19].)

In Sections 3 and 4 we examine the well-posedness of the abstract initial-value problem, which requires study of the  $C_0$ -semigroup generating property of the system operator  $T$ , and also give the first results on the location and structure of the spectrum of  $T$ . For some details of representing initial/boundary-value problems by abstract initial-value problems in the framework of semigroups and other standard facts of semigroup theory we refer the reader, for example, to the books [2, 8, 22].

The heart of this work – also from a technical standpoint – lies in Sections 5 and 6, where we establish the key results required for the proof of the exponential stability of the  $C_0$ -semigroup in Section 7. While Section 5 is devoted to deriving explicit estimates and asymptotic expressions for the eigenvalues of  $T$  and their multiplicities, in Section 6 the completeness, minimality, and Riesz basis properties of the eigenvectors are investigated with the aid especially of two results due respectively to Keldysh [6, Theorem V.8.1] and Xu and Yung [27, Theorem 1.1]. The former is a well-known result on the completeness of the root vectors of compact operators on a Hilbert space which are subject to certain nuclearity conditions. The latter is on the Riesz basis property with parentheses and is particularly well suited for our purposes because it exploits the semigroup description of our physical model; the result may, in fact, be compared with comparable results in [11, 12]. We refer the reader to the books [6, 13, 15, 28] for definitions of completeness, Riesz bases, and so on (and further details concerning various tests for these).

We close this introductory section with a final comment. The reader will undoubtedly notice that the results of Sections 3 to 6 are not produced arbitrarily, but are together connected to the main line of argument of the paper, namely, that the information about the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of  $T$  together with the Riesz basis property of the eigenvectors (with parentheses or not) are all that we require to prove that  $T$  generates an exponentially stable  $C_0$ -semigroup. Our result, simply put, is then that the spectrum of  $T$  does indeed determine the exponential stability, and therefore the decay rate of the  $C_0$ -semigroup. In such a situation, one says that  $T$  satisfies the so-called *spectrum determined growth* assumption (a term introduced by Triggiani in [23]), and we will use this in connection with our final result in Section 7.

## 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES

As mentioned in the Introduction, our results will be obtained in the framework of abstract function spaces. We begin with a detailed description of the physical model.

**2.1. Description of the physical model.** We define the metric graph  $\mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ , with  $\mathcal{V} = \{0\} \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ , the vertex and edge sets, respectively. Each edge  $e_j$  connecting the inner vertex 0 to the outer vertices  $a_j$  is of unit length and is identified with the interval  $s \in [0, 1]$ . The value  $s = 1$  corresponds to the outer vertices, and  $s = 0$  corresponds to the inner vertex. Let  $\mathbf{w}_j(s, t)$  be the deflection of the edge  $e_j$ , at position  $s \in [0, 1]$  and time  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , from the equilibrium position of the network in the direction orthogonal to it. We suppose that  $\mathbf{w}_j(s, t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) - \gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial s^4} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.1)$$

on  $e_j$ . Associated with (2.1) we have given initial conditions

$$\mathbf{w}_j(s, 0) = g_j(s), \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) \right|_{t=0} = h_j(s), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.2)$$

suitably smooth as specified at the end of the next subsection. The edges are assumed hinged at the outer vertices  $a_j$ , so the boundary conditions at  $s = 1$  are

$$\mathbf{w}_j(1, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) \Big|_{s=1} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.3)$$

At the inner vertex many *connectivity conditions* may be considered; we impose the following:

- Deflection continuity condition:

$$\mathbf{w}_j(0, t) = \mathbf{w}_k(0, t), \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.4)$$

- Force balance condition:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^3} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) - \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) \right) \Big|_{s=0} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

- Elasticity and viscous friction condition:

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) - \beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) \right) \Big|_{s=0} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.6)$$

These conditions seem rather natural. Condition (2.4) ensures the continuity of the deflections of the edges across the vertex, for otherwise the edges would not be joined to the network. Condition (2.5) balances the internal forces acting on the vertex, assuming (as is the case in practically almost all physical problems of this sort) that the edges undergo “nonfollowing” tension proportional to  $\gamma > 0$ , remaining constant in direction along their axes (see [1, p. 91]). Condition (2.6) recognises that the bending moments and slopes of the edges as well as their time rates of change are proportional. It corresponds, more concretely, to the slopes in the vertex being acted on by elastic and viscous frictional effects which are proportional, respectively, to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , both assumed nonnegative.

The problem posed by (2.1)–(2.6) constitutes the initial/boundary-value problem which, we should stress, does not fit precisely into the framework considered, for example, in [25] or [26, Section 4.2]; and so in what follows we suggest an alternative approach to abstracting the problem.

**2.2. Abstract formulation.** We begin by setting

$$\mathbf{v}_j(s, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t), \quad \mathbf{x}_j(s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j(s, t) \\ \mathbf{v}_j(s, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and define

$$\mathbf{x}(s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1(s, t) \\ \mathbf{x}_2(s, t) \\ \mathbf{x}_3(s, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denoting by  $\mathbf{W}_2^m(0, 1)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , the usual Sobolev–Hilbert space, we define the space

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0, 1) = \{w \in \mathbf{W}_2^2(0, 1) \mid w(1) = 0\}.$$

With the inner product

$$(w, \tilde{w})_2 = \int_0^1 w''(s) \overline{\tilde{w}''(s)} ds + \alpha w'(0) \overline{\tilde{w}'(0)}, \quad w, \tilde{w} \in \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0, 1),$$

the space  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0, 1)$  is a Hilbert space for  $\alpha > 0$ . To proceed further it is necessary to define the metric spaces of three-component vectors in the following way:

$$\mathbf{L}_2(G) = \left\{ v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \mid v_j \in \mathbf{L}_2(0, 1), \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \right\}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(G) = \left\{ w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{pmatrix} \left| \begin{array}{l} w_j \in \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1), \\ w_j(0) = w_k(0), \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

With these we define by

$$\mathbb{X} := \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(G) \times \mathbf{L}_2(G) = \left\{ x = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^3 \left| \begin{array}{l} x_j = \begin{pmatrix} w_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1) \times \mathbf{L}_2(0,1), \\ w_j(0) = w_k(0), \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

the energy *state space* with the inner product

$$(x, \tilde{x}) := (w, \tilde{w})_2 + (w, \tilde{w})_1 + (v, \tilde{v})_0$$

for every

$$x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} w_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3, \tilde{x} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3 \in \mathbb{X},$$

where

$$(w, \tilde{w})_2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \int_0^1 w_j''(s) \overline{\tilde{w}_j''(s)} ds + \alpha w_j'(0) \overline{\tilde{w}_j'(0)} \right), \quad (w, \tilde{w})_1 = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^1 w_j'(s) \overline{\tilde{w}_j'(s)} ds,$$

$$(v, \tilde{v})_0 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^1 v_j(s) \overline{\tilde{v}_j(s)} ds.$$

The resulting energy norm in  $\mathbb{X}$  will be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ , as usual.

In  $\mathbb{X}$  we define the so-called *system operator*  $T$  by

$$Tx = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v_j \\ -w_j^{(4)} + \gamma w_j'' \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3, \quad x \in \mathbf{D}(T), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(T) = \left\{ x = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^3 \in \mathbb{X} \left| \begin{array}{l} x_j = \begin{pmatrix} w_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbf{W}_2^4(0,1) \cap \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1)) \times \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1), \\ w_j''(1) = 0, \quad w_j''(0) - \alpha w_j'(0) - \beta v_j'(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)) = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then we can rewrite the initial/boundary-value problem described by (2.1)–(2.6) as an abstract initial-value problem in  $\mathbb{X}$  in the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Tx(t), & x(t) = \mathbf{x}(\cdot, t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j(\cdot, t) \\ \mathbf{v}_j(\cdot, t) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3, \\ x(0) = x_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_j(\cdot) \\ h_j(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3. \end{cases} \quad (\text{AIVP})$$

We recall two basic notions from semigroup theory:

- The state function  $t \mapsto x(t)$  is called a *classical solution* (or simply a solution) of (AIVP) if  $x \in \mathbf{C}^1((0, \infty); \mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbf{C}([0, \infty); \mathbf{D}(T))$ .

- A solution of (AIVP) is *exponentially* stable if and only if there exist positive constants  $M$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq M e^{-\delta t} \|x_0\| \quad (2.9)$$

for every initial state  $x_0 \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ , where  $\delta$  is called the decay rate.

The goal in the paper, clearly, becomes then one of proving that the solution of (AIVP), that is the solution for suitably smooth initial conditions in the sense that  $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ , is such that (2.9) is satisfied, and so decays exponentially to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. WELL-POSEDNESS AND SPECTRAL PROBLEM

As stated in the Introduction, we wish to approach the stability problem by investigating the spectral problem for (AIVP), but we now can be more specific. We examine first the question of well-posedness.

**3.1. Well-posedness of (AIVP).** To examine the well-posedness of (AIVP), we rely on studying some specific properties of the system operator  $T$  defined by (2.7), (2.8). We begin with two lemmas which will be also of importance later in the proofs of the main theorems.

**Lemma 3.1.**  *$T$  has a compact inverse for  $\gamma > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the equation

$$Tx = \tilde{x} \quad (3.1)$$

for  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ . Namely, we consider the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v_j = \tilde{w}_j, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ -w_j^{(4)} + \gamma w_j'' = \tilde{v}_j, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(1) = w_j''(1) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(0) = w_k(0), & j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j''(0) - \alpha w_j'(0) - \beta v_j'(0) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)) = 0, & \end{array} \right.$$

where, by assumption,  $\gamma > 0$ . Integrating the differential equation  $w_j^{(4)} - \gamma w_j'' = -\tilde{v}_j$  twice, making use of the boundary conditions  $w_j(1) = w_j''(1) = 0$ , we get

$$w_j''(s) - \gamma w_j(s) + (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0))(1-s) = -\tilde{V}_j(s) \quad (3.2)$$

with the integral term

$$\tilde{V}_j(s) = - \int_s^1 dt \int_0^t \tilde{v}_j(r) dr.$$

So the solution of the differential equation satisfying the aforementioned boundary conditions can be directly calculated to give

$$\begin{aligned} w_j(s) &= a_j \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}(1-s) + (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}(s-r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}(s-r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for an arbitrary constant  $a_j$ , and we note that

$$\begin{aligned} w'_j(s) &= -a_j \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} (1-s) + (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \int_s^1 (1-r) \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^1 \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using the connectivity condition  $\sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) = 0$  in (3.3) with  $s = 0$  we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 w_j(0) = \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_0^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{V}_j(r) dr. \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting (3.3) in (3.2) and using the result together with (3.4) in the connectivity condition  $w''_j(0) - \alpha w'_j(0) - \beta \tilde{w}'_j(0) = 0$  we get, in view of the fact that  $v_j = \tilde{w}_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &a_j (\gamma \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} + \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}) \\ &\quad - (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \left[ \int_0^1 (1-r) (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) dr + 1 \right] \\ &\quad - \tilde{V}_j(0) - \beta \tilde{w}'_j(0) - \int_0^1 (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

from which it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left[ \tilde{V}_j(0) + \beta \tilde{w}'_j(0) + \int_0^1 (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr \right]}{\gamma \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} + \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}} \\ &=: b(\tilde{w}, \tilde{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

From the continuity condition  $w_j(0) = w_k(0) \equiv w(0)$  and using (3.7) in (3.5) we then have

$$w(0) = \frac{1}{3} \left( b(\tilde{w}, \tilde{v}) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_0^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{V}_j(r) dr \right) =: c(\tilde{w}, \tilde{v}). \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.3) (with  $s = 0$ ) and (3.6), taking into account (3.8), we arrive at the following system of algebraic equations for  $a_j, w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &a_j \sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} - (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \int_0^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr \\ &\quad = \sqrt{\gamma} c(\tilde{w}, \tilde{v}) + \int_0^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r \tilde{V}_j(r) dr, \\ &a_j (\gamma \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} + \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}) \\ &\quad - (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \left[ \int_0^1 (1-r) (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) dr + 1 \right] \\ &\quad = \int_0^1 (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr \\ &\quad \quad + \tilde{V}_j(0) + \beta \tilde{w}'_j(0). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.9)$$

Since the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} & - \int_0^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr \\ \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} & - \alpha \int_0^1 (1-r) \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr - 1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

the system (3.9) has a unique solution pair  $a_j, w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)$ . Therefore (3.1) has the unique solution

$$x = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_j \\ v_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3 = T^{-1} \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3, \quad (3.10)$$

and it follows at once that  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ . Since the embeddings  $\mathbf{W}_2^4(G) \cap \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(G) \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(G)$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(G) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}_2(G)$  are compact it follows that  $T^{-1}$  is a compact operator on  $\mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *We remark that the assumption  $\gamma > 0$  cannot be weakened to  $\gamma \geq 0$  in the proof of the lemma. In particular this means – see Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 in the next subsection – that 0 is excluded from the spectrum of  $T$ , irrespective of the value of  $\alpha$ , so long as  $\gamma > 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$T$  is maximal dissipative when  $\beta > 0$  and skewadjoint when  $\beta = 0$ .*

*Proof.* To prove that  $T$  is maximal dissipative, we first show that  $\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ . An elementary calculation using integration by parts gives for  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) &= (Tx, x) + (x, Tx) \\ &= -2\beta \sum_{j=1}^3 |v_j'(0)|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(1) - \gamma w_j'(1)) \overline{v_j(1)} = -2\beta \sum_{j=1}^3 |v_j'(0)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality being true because  $v_j(1) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , by definition. Thus  $T$  is dissipative when  $\beta > 0$ , and skewsymmetric when  $\beta = 0$ . The maximal dissipativeness of  $T$  follows immediately from the result of Lemma 3.1. For  $\beta = 0$ , therefore,  $T$  is a closed skewsymmetric operator in  $\mathbb{X}$  with a compact inverse, which implies that  $T$  is skewadjoint. Indeed, if  $\beta = 0$  in the above equation, we have with  $Tx = y$ ,

$$(y, T^{-1}y) = (TT^{-1}y, T^{-1}y) = -(T^{-1}y, TT^{-1}y) = -(T^{-1}y, y).$$

As  $T^{-1}$  is bounded, it is skewadjoint, whence the skewadjointness of  $T$  follows.  $\square$

With the fact that  $T$  must be densely defined by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 (because  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Hilbert space), we have the following result immediately from the Lumer–Phillips theorem (see [22, Section 1.4] for details).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\gamma > 0$  and suppose  $T$  is maximal dissipative. Then  $T$  is the infinitesimal generator of a contractive  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators,  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , on  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

We close with what is, as a corollary of Theorem 3.1, a natural verification of the well-posedness of (AIVP). Namely, it has the unique solution for every  $x_0 \in \mathbf{D}(T)$  given by

$$x(t) = S(t)x_0. \quad (3.11)$$

It will not be until Section 7 that we will return to the solution (3.11) and be able to state our conclusions about its stability.

**3.2. Abstract spectral problem.** Consider the operator function  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \lambda I - T, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C},$$

on the domain  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{P}(\lambda)) = \mathbf{D}(T)$ , where  $T$  is defined by (2.7), (2.8). Performing separation of variables in (2.1), (2.3)–(2.6), by letting  $\mathbf{w}_j(s, t) = e^{\lambda t} w_j(s)$ , it is easily verified that the



resulting boundary-eigenvalue problem is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_j^{(4)} - \gamma w_j'' = -\lambda^2 w_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(1) = w_j''(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(0) = w_k(0), \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j''(0) - (\alpha + \lambda\beta) w_j'(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.12)$$

and is equivalent to the abstract spectral problem for  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}(\lambda)x = (\lambda I - T)x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{D}(T). \quad (3.13)$$

So the eigenvalues of the boundary-eigenvalue problem (3.12) coincide (geometric and algebraic multiplicities included) with those of  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  or, equivalently, of  $T$ . The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of  $T$  are analysed in detail in the sections to follow. Then we use the following standard definitions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda I - A)$  be a mapping from  $\mathbf{C}$  into the set of closed linear operators in  $\mathbb{X}$ . A number  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  is said to belong to  $\varrho(A)$ , the resolvent set of  $A$ , provided  $\lambda I - A$  has a closed and bounded inverse; we call the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  the resolvent function. If  $\lambda \notin \varrho(A)$ , then  $\lambda$  is said to be in the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of  $A$ . We say  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if the eigenspace  $\ker(\lambda I - A) \neq \{0\}$ . An element  $x \neq 0$  of  $\ker(\lambda I - A)$  is then called an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  and, consequently, (3.13) is satisfied. The set of all eigenvalues of  $A$  forms the point spectrum of  $A$ , denoted  $\sigma_p(A)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ . The geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$  is the number of linearly independent eigenvectors in a system of chains of root vectors (eigen- and associated vectors) of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda_0$  and is defined as  $\dim \ker(\lambda_0 I - A)$ . The algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$  is the maximum value of the sum of the lengths of chains corresponding to the linearly independent eigenvectors and is defined as  $\sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \dim \ker(\lambda_0 I - A)^k$ . We call  $\lambda_0$  semisimple if it has equal geometric and algebraic multiplicities (that is, there are no associated vectors corresponding to  $\lambda_0$ ), and simple if it is semisimple and  $\dim \ker(\lambda_0 I - A) = 1$ .

**Definition 3.3.** If an eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  is isolated and  $\lambda_0 I - A$  is a Fredholm operator (see [7, Section IV.5.1] for definition), then we call  $\lambda_0$  a normal eigenvalue. The set of all such eigenvalues forms the discrete spectrum.

The next result refers to the location of the spectrum of  $T$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $T$  be defined by (2.7), (2.8) with  $\gamma > 0$ . The following statements hold:

- (1)  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T)$  and is discrete.
- (2) The number  $\lambda = 0$  is not an eigenvalue of  $T$ .
- (3) If  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ , then  $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T)$  where  $\bar{\lambda}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\lambda$ . Hence  $\sigma(T)$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis.
- (4) For  $\beta \geq 0$  the spectrum of  $T$  lies in the closed left half-plane. When  $\beta > 0$  the spectrum is confined to the open left half-plane.

*Proof.* Statements (1) and (2) follow from Lemma 3.1. In fact from the lemma it follows that associated with  $T$  is a compact resolvent function, and  $0 \in \varrho(T)$ . So the spectrum of  $T$  is discrete in the sense of Definition 3.3 with no finite accumulation points and all eigenvalues have finite algebraic multiplicities (see [7, Theorem III.6.29]).

In order to establish statement (3), let  $x (\neq 0)$  be the eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ . Then, from (3.13),

$$(\lambda I - T)x = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

By the definition of  $T$  we have

$$\overline{(\lambda I - T)x} = (\bar{\lambda}I - T)\bar{x} = 0,$$

which means that  $\bar{x}$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T)$ . Hence the spectrum of  $T$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

For the proof of statement (4) we take the inner product of (3.14) with  $x$ ,

$$((\lambda I - T)x, x) = \lambda \|x\|^2 - (Tx, x) = 0.$$

The real part of this equation is

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda \|x\|^2 - \operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

yielding by Lemma 3.2

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x)}{\|x\|^2} \leq 0.$$

This proves that the spectrum of  $T$  lies in the closed left half-plane. We show that if  $\beta > 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ . Indeed, suppose  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = 0$ , that is,  $\lambda$  is a purely imaginary eigenvalue. Then from (3.15) we have  $\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) = 0$ . The proof of Lemma 3.2 then clearly implies, since  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 |v'_j(0)|^2 = 0.$$

As

$$x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} w_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3$$

is an eigenvector, so  $v_j = \lambda w_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , it follows that

$$|\lambda|^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 |w'_j(0)|^2 = 0,$$

and therefore  $w'_j(0) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  since  $|\lambda| > 0$ . In this case, it is readily verified from (3.12) that  $w_j(s)$  solves the boundary-eigenvalue problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_j^{(4)} - \gamma w_j'' = -\lambda^2 w_j, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(1) = w_j''(1) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ w_j(0) = w_k(0), & j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ w'_j(0) = w''_j(0) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 w_j^{(3)}(0) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.16)$$

We show that any solution of (3.16) must be the zero solution. Notice that since  $\lambda$  is a purely imaginary eigenvalue, setting  $\lambda = i\mu$  with  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have  $-\lambda^2 = \mu^2 > 0$ . Consider then the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w^{(4)} - \gamma w'' = \mu^2 w, \\ w(1) = w''(1) = 0, \\ w'(0) = w''(0) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.17)$$

Assume (to reach a contradiction) that  $\phi$  is a nonzero solution of (3.17) which, without loss of generality, we may assume to be real valued. We multiply the differential equation  $\phi^{(4)} - \gamma\phi'' =$

$\mu^2\phi$  by  $\phi^{(3)}$  and integrate from 0 to 1, performing some integration by parts. Then, because the problem is real, we obtain after some simplification

$$(\phi^{(3)}(1))^2 - (\phi^{(3)}(0))^2 = -\mu^2(\phi'(1))^2,$$

and so  $\phi^{(3)}(0) \neq 0$ . Then the solution of the problem (3.16) is of the form  $w_j = a_j\phi$ . The connectivity condition  $w_j(0) = w_k(0)$  implies  $a_j = a_k \equiv a$ . Hence

$$w_j(s) = a\phi(s), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^3 w_j^{(3)}(0) = 3a\phi^{(3)}(0) = 0$ , we obtain  $a = 0$ . This means that (3.16) has only the zero solution. Thus there can be no purely imaginary eigenvalue. This completes the proof of statement (4), and hence of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

In this section we give a detailed analysis of the structure of the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  ( $= \sigma_p(T)$ ) of  $T$  and the eigenvectors. To this end, let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue and

$$x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} w_j \\ v_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3$$

be the corresponding eigenvector. Then (3.12) has associated with it the characteristic equation

$$\mu^4 - \gamma\mu^2 + \lambda^2 = 0.$$

The characteristic exponents are

$$\mu = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\lambda^2}}{2}},$$

and thus

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\lambda^2}}{2}}, \\ \mu_2(\lambda) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\lambda^2}}{2}} = -\mu_1(\lambda), \\ \mu_3(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\lambda^2}}{2}}, \\ \mu_4(\lambda) = -\sqrt{\frac{\gamma - \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\lambda^2}}{2}} = -\mu_3(\lambda). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Using (4.1) it is easily verified that, provided  $\lambda \neq -\gamma/2$  so  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_3$ , the general solution of the differential equation  $w^{(4)} - \gamma w'' = -\lambda^2 w$  in (3.12) which satisfies the boundary conditions  $w(1) = w''(1) = 0$  is given by

$$\psi(s) = c_1 \sinh \mu_1(1-s) + c_2 \sinh \mu_3(1-s) \quad (4.2)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are arbitrary constants. When  $\lambda = -\gamma/2$  we clearly have  $\mu_1 = \mu_3 \equiv \mu$  and we find that

$$\psi(s) = c_1 \sinh \mu(1-s) + c_2 (s \cosh \mu(1-s) - e^{\mu(1-s)}).$$

Let us agree to restrict attention to the case  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-\gamma/2\}$ , so we consider (4.2) instead. In this case we have for the corresponding eigenfunctions

$$w_j(\lambda, s) = c_{j,1} \sinh \mu_1(1-s) + c_{j,2} \sinh \mu_3(1-s), \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.3)$$

Using the connectivity conditions  $w_j''(0) - (\alpha + \lambda\beta) w_j'(0) = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)) = 0$ , we then obtain the following equations:

$$c_{j,1}\mu_1 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1] + c_{j,2}\mu_3 [\mu_3 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_3] = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(\mu_1^3 \cosh \mu_1 - \gamma \mu_1 \cosh \mu_1) \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} + (\mu_3^3 \cosh \mu_3 - \gamma \mu_3 \cosh \mu_3) \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We note from (4.1) that  $\mu_1^2 + \mu_3^2 = \gamma$  and  $\mu_1\mu_3 = \lambda$ . Substitution in (4.5) and summing (4.4) over  $j = 1, 2, 3$  yields the following system of algebraic equations for  $\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2}$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_3 \cosh \mu_1 \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} + \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = 0, \\ [\mu_1^2 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \mu_1 \cosh \mu_1] \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} \\ + [\mu_3^2 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \mu_3 \cosh \mu_3] \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.6)$$

We divide the discussion of the solutions of (4.6) into the treatment of two cases. Before going on to these, however, it will be convenient to derive a few intermediate results. Let us denote by  $D(\lambda)$  the determinant of the coefficient matrix formed by (4.6). We then see easily that

$$D(\lambda) = \mu_3^3 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 - \mu_1^3 \sinh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 + (\mu_3^2 - \mu_1^2) (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3. \quad (4.7)$$

Let us set

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = -b\mu_1 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1], \quad (4.8)$$

for some nonzero constant  $b$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} = b\mu_3 [\mu_3 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_3]. \quad (4.9)$$

The continuity condition  $w_j(0) = w_k(0) \equiv w(0)$  implies, together with (4.3), that

$$c_{j,1} \sinh \mu_1 + c_{j,2} \sinh \mu_3 = w(0). \quad (4.10)$$

So it follows on summing (4.10) over  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and using (4.8), (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sinh \mu_1 \{b\mu_3 [\mu_3 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_3]\} \\ & - \sinh \mu_3 \{b\mu_1 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1]\} = 3w(0) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$w(0) = \frac{b}{3} H(\lambda),$$

where  $H(\lambda)$  is given by

$$H(\lambda) = (\mu_3^2 - \mu_1^2) \sinh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) (\mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 - \mu_1 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3). \quad (4.11)$$

**Case 1.** We consider first the case of a nonzero solution pair  $\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2}$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for this is that  $D(\lambda) = 0$ . Solving the system of equations

given by (4.4), (4.10) for  $c_{j,1}$  and  $c_{j,2}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} c_{j,1} = \frac{b\mu_3^2 [\mu_3 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_3] \cosh \mu_1}{3\mu_3 \cosh \mu_1}, \\ c_{j,2} = -\frac{b\mu_1^2 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1] \cosh \mu_3}{3\mu_1 \cosh \mu_3}. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Note that

$$\mu_3^2 [\mu_3 \sinh \mu_3 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_3] \cosh \mu_1 = D(\lambda) + \mu_1^2 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1] \cosh \mu_3.$$

Let the nonzero constant  $b$  be defined as

$$b = \frac{3c\mu_1\mu_3 \cosh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3}{\mu_1^2 [\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 + (\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cosh \mu_1] \cosh \mu_3}.$$

It is easy to see then that (4.12) simplifies to

$$\begin{cases} c_{j,1} = c\mu_1 \cosh \mu_3, \\ c_{j,2} = -c\mu_3 \cosh \mu_1. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

Using (4.13), (4.3) becomes

$$w_j(\lambda, s) = c(\mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 (1-s) - \mu_3 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 (1-s)), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.14)$$

and is verified to satisfy all the boundary and connectivity conditions.

**Case 2.** We suppose now that the solution of (4.6) is the zero solution, that is  $D(\lambda) \neq 0$ . From (4.10) we then get that  $w(0) = 0$ . Nonzero solutions of the system of equations given by (4.4), (4.10) exist if and only if  $H(\lambda) = 0$ . Then, because  $\sinh \mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $\sinh \mu_3 \neq 0$ , we have

$$c_{j,1} = -\frac{\sinh \mu_3}{\sinh \mu_1} c_{j,2}.$$

Setting

$$a_j = \frac{c_{j,1}}{\sinh \mu_3} = -\frac{c_{j,2}}{\sinh \mu_1}$$

and recalling that here  $\sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = 0$ , we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 a_j = \frac{1}{\sinh \mu_3} \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,1} = -\frac{1}{\sinh \mu_1} \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{j,2} = 0.$$

In this case (4.3) becomes

$$w_j(\lambda, s) = a_j (\sinh \mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 (1-s) - \sinh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 (1-s)), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j = 0.$$

Again it can be verified that all the boundary and connectivity conditions are satisfied. Let us now write

$$w_{1,j}(\lambda, s) = a_{1,j} \phi(\lambda, s), \quad w_{2,j}(\lambda, s) = a_{2,j} \phi(\lambda, s), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\lambda, s) &= \sinh \mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 (1-s) - \sinh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 (1-s), \\ \{a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}\} &= \{-2, 1, 1\}, \quad \{a_{2,1}, a_{2,2}, a_{2,3}\} = \{0, 1, -1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The eigenvector  $x$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  can then be represented as

$$x = \hat{a}x_1 + \hat{c}x_2, \quad \hat{a}, \hat{c} \in \mathbf{C},$$

with

$$x_1 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_{1,j}(\lambda, \cdot) \\ \lambda w_{1,j}(\lambda, \cdot) \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3, \quad x_2 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_{2,j}(\lambda, \cdot) \\ \lambda w_{2,j}(\lambda, \cdot) \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3.$$

We summarise in the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mu_r = \mu_r(\lambda)$ ,  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , be defined as in (4.1) for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-\gamma/2\}$ , and let  $D(\lambda)$  and  $H(\lambda)$  be defined by (4.7) and (4.11), respectively. The spectrum of  $T$  has two branches,*

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma^{(1)}(T) \cup \sigma^{(2)}(T)$$

where

$$\sigma^{(1)}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-\gamma/2\} \mid D(\lambda) = 0\}, \quad \sigma^{(2)}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-\gamma/2\} \mid H(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

For each  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(1)}(T)$  the corresponding eigenvector is

$$x^{(1)} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_j(\lambda, \cdot) \\ \lambda w_j(\lambda, \cdot) \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3,$$

where  $w_j(\lambda, \cdot)$  is given by (4.14). For each  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(T)$  the corresponding eigenvector is of the form

$$x^{(2)} = \hat{a}x_1 + \hat{c}x_2, \quad \hat{a}, \hat{c} \in \mathbf{C},$$

with

$$x_1 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} a_{1,j} \\ \lambda a_{1,j} \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3 \phi(\lambda, \cdot), \quad x_2 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} a_{2,j} \\ \lambda a_{2,j} \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3 \phi(\lambda, \cdot),$$

where  $a_{1,j}$ ,  $a_{2,j}$ , and  $\phi(\lambda, \cdot)$  are given in (4.15).

**Remark 4.1.** *With the choice of eigenvectors  $x_1, x_2$  as in the theorem, one can verify directly that they form an orthogonal basis for the eigensubspace. Indeed, taking the inner product of  $x_1$  with  $x_2$  yields*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1, x_2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{1,j} \overline{a_{2,j}} \left( \int_0^1 |\phi''(\lambda, s)|^2 ds + \alpha |\phi'(\lambda, 0)|^2 \right) + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{1,j} \overline{a_{2,j}} \int_0^1 |\phi'(\lambda, s)|^2 ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{1,j} \overline{a_{2,j}} \int_0^1 |\lambda \phi(\lambda, s)|^2 ds \\ &= \left( \int_0^1 |\phi''(\lambda, s)|^2 ds + \alpha |\phi'(\lambda, 0)|^2 + \gamma \int_0^1 |\phi'(\lambda, s)|^2 ds + \int_0^1 |\lambda \phi(\lambda, s)|^2 ds \right) \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{1,j} \overline{a_{2,j}} \end{aligned}$$

which in view of the choice of constants  $a_{1,j}$ ,  $a_{2,j}$  as in (4.15) obviously implies  $(x_1, x_2) = 0$ .

## 5. ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES

Our main task in this section will be to investigate, by analysis of the asymptotic zeros of  $D$  and  $H$  given by (4.7) and (4.11), respectively, how the two branches  $\sigma^{(1)}(T)$  and  $\sigma^{(2)}(T)$  of eigenvalues of the system operator  $T$  behave asymptotically. The standard procedure in analytic function theory for such an undertaking (see [18], for example) involves use of Rouché's theorem to count the number of zeros of an analytic function inside a contour, and then steadily subdivide the region enclosed by the contour until the zeros are determined. This approach, however, will become unnecessarily complicated when the analytic function may be written down in closed form, as in the cases here. So, instead, we use the explicit forms of  $D(\lambda)$ ,  $H(\lambda)$  and then proceed to derive the asymptotic zeros by means of a lengthy, but direct, computation.

In the course of our analysis it will frequently be useful to write

$$\mu_3 - \mu_1 = (i - 1)\rho, \quad \mu_1 + \mu_3 = (1 + i)\rho$$

for some (yet to be determined) parameter  $\rho$ , leading to the relationships

$$\begin{cases} \mu_3 = i\rho, & \mu_3^2 = -\rho^2, & \mu_3^3 = -i\rho^3, \\ \mu_1 = \rho, & \mu_1^2 = \rho^2, & \mu_1^3 = \rho^3, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and note that

$$\lambda = \mu_1 \mu_3 = i\rho^2. \quad (5.2)$$

We know from Theorem 3.2 that the eigenvalues of  $T$  lie in the closed left half-plane and are symmetric about the real axis. So we suppose that  $\pi/2 \leq \arg \lambda \leq \pi$  and, with (5.2) assumed, we may thus suppose throughout the rest of the section that  $0 \leq \arg \rho \leq \pi/2$ .

**5.1. Asymptotic zeros of  $D(\lambda)$ .** We begin by listing two elementary formulae that are needed in what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh(x+y) &= \sinh x \cosh y + \cosh x \sinh y, \\ \cosh(x+y) &= \cosh x \cosh y + \sinh x \sinh y. \end{aligned}$$

Let us use these to rewrite the expression for  $D(\lambda)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} 2D(\lambda) &= (\mu_3^3 - \mu_1^3) \sinh(\mu_1 + \mu_3) + (\mu_1^3 + \mu_3^3) \sinh(\mu_3 - \mu_1) \\ &\quad + (\mu_3^2 - \mu_1^2) (\alpha + \lambda\beta) (\cosh(\mu_1 + \mu_3) + \cosh(\mu_3 - \mu_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

The asymptotic zeros of  $D$  are investigated in three steps.

**Step 1.** We first determine the roots of the equation

$$\cosh(1+i)\rho + \cosh(i-1)\rho = 0.$$

We may equivalently write that

$$-1 = \frac{\cosh(i-1)\rho}{\cosh(1+i)\rho},$$

which in the sector  $0 \leq \arg \rho \leq \pi/2$  has the roots

$$\rho_n = (n + 1/2)\pi, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

So in this case we have

$$\sinh(i-1)\rho_n = \sinh(1+i)\rho_n, \quad \cosh(i-1)\rho_n = -\cosh(1+i)\rho_n. \quad (5.4)$$

**Step 2.** Let the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  represent the zeros of  $D$ , where the  $\lambda_n$  are considered in the neighbourhoods of the  $i\rho_n^2$ . Setting

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = \rho_n + \xi_n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where the  $\xi_n$  will be determined shortly, writing  $\tilde{\rho}_n$  in place of  $\rho$  in (5.1) and (5.2), and substituting the relevant relationships in (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2D(\lambda_n) &= -\tilde{\rho}_n^3 (1+i) \sinh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n - \tilde{\rho}_n^3 (i-1) \sinh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n \\ &\quad - 2\tilde{\rho}_n^2 (\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta) (\cosh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + \cosh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n). \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic equation  $2D(\lambda_n) = 0$  then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\tilde{\rho}_n (1+i) \sinh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n - \tilde{\rho}_n (i-1) \sinh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n \\ &\quad - 2(\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta) (\cosh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + \cosh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{-\tilde{\rho}_n}{2(\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta)} = \frac{\cosh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + \cosh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n}{(1+i)\sinh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + (i-1)\sinh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n}. \quad (5.5)$$

We now use (5.5) to determine the asymptotic behaviour of the  $\xi_n$ . For  $\rho_n$  sufficiently large we can simplify our calculations below by noting that

$$\tanh(1+i)\rho_n = \frac{\sinh(1+i)\rho_n}{\cosh(1+i)\rho_n} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_n}),$$

so

$$\tanh(1+i)\rho_n \sim 1. \quad (5.6)$$

We proceed now to estimate the right-hand side of (5.5). Consider first the numerator. A straightforward calculation using (5.4), (5.6) shows that for large  $\rho_n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cosh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + \cosh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n \\ & \sim \cosh(1+i)\rho_n(\cosh(1+i)\xi_n - \cosh(i-1)\xi_n) \\ & \quad + \sinh(1+i)\xi_n + \sinh(i-1)\xi_n \\ & = 2e^{\xi_n} \sinh i\xi_n \cosh(1+i)\rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise the denominator in the right-hand side of (5.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+i)\sinh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + (i-1)\sinh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n \\ & \sim \cosh(1+i)\rho_n[(1+i)(\sinh(1+i)\xi_n + \cosh(1+i)\xi_n) \\ & \quad - (i-1)(\sinh(i-1)\xi_n - \cosh(i-1)\xi_n)] \\ & = 2e^{\xi_n}(\sinh i\xi_n + i\cosh i\xi_n)\cosh(1+i)\rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

So the right-hand side of (5.5) becomes

$$\frac{\cosh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + \cosh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n}{(1+i)\sinh(1+i)\tilde{\rho}_n + (i-1)\sinh(i-1)\tilde{\rho}_n} \sim \frac{\sinh i\xi_n}{\sinh i\xi_n + i\cosh i\xi_n}$$

from which it is clear that  $\xi_n$  is bounded for large  $n$ . In fact, for small  $\xi_n$ , we can write (5.5) as

$$\frac{-\tilde{\rho}_n}{2(\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta)} \sim \xi_n. \quad (5.7)$$

To see how the left-hand side of (5.7) behaves asymptotically we observe that

$$\frac{\tilde{\rho}_n}{\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta} = -\frac{i}{\tilde{\rho}_n\beta} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_n^2} \left( \frac{i\alpha}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_n^4} \left( \frac{i\alpha}{\beta} \right)^2 + \dots \right] = -\frac{i}{\tilde{\rho}_n\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\rho}_n^{-3}),$$

wherein

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_n} = \frac{1}{\rho_n + \xi_n} = \frac{1}{\rho_n} \left[ 1 + \left( -\frac{\xi}{\rho_n} \right) + \left( -\frac{\xi}{\rho_n} \right)^2 + \dots \right].$$

We may, therefore, replace (5.7) by

$$\frac{i}{2\rho_n\beta} - \frac{i\xi_n}{2\rho_n^2\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-3}) \sim \xi_n$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n & \sim \frac{i\rho_n}{i + 2\rho_n^2\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-3}) = \frac{i}{2\rho_n\beta} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\rho_n^2} \left( -\frac{i}{2\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho_n^4} \left( -\frac{i}{2\beta} \right)^2 + \dots \right] + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-3}) \\ & = \frac{i}{2\rho_n\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3.** An easy calculation, taking into account that  $\lambda_n = i\tilde{\rho}_n^2 = i(\rho_n + \xi_n)^2$ , shows that the eigenvalues belonging to the first branch  $\sigma^{(1)}(T)$  of the spectrum have the following asymptotic distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{1}{\beta} + i\rho_n^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-2}), \quad \rho_n = (n + 1/2)\pi. \quad (5.8)$$



**5.2. Asymptotic zeros of  $H(\lambda)$ .** We turn now to the asymptotic zeros of  $H$ . Again we proceed in three steps.

**Step 1.** First the roots of the equation

$$i \sinh \rho \cosh i\rho - \cosh \rho \sinh i\rho = 0 \quad (5.9)$$

are determined. A formal manipulation using

$$\begin{aligned} 2i \sinh \rho \cosh i\rho - 2 \cosh \rho \sinh i\rho &= i (\sinh (1+i)\rho - \sinh (i-1)\rho) \\ &\quad - \sinh (1+i)\rho - \sinh (i-1)\rho \\ &= (i-1) \sinh (1+i)\rho - (1+i) \sinh (i-1)\rho \end{aligned}$$

shows that (5.9) is equivalent to

$$i = \frac{\sinh (i-1)\rho}{\sinh (1+i)\rho} = -\frac{e^{-2i\rho} - e^{-2\rho}}{1 - e^{-2(1+i)\rho}} = -e^{-2i\rho} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho}).$$

The roots are

$$\rho_n = (n + 1/4)\pi + \varepsilon_n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where  $\varepsilon_n = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_n})$ . In this case

$$\frac{\sinh (i-1)\rho_n}{\sinh (1+i)\rho_n} = i, \quad \frac{\cosh (i-1)\rho_n}{\cosh (1+i)\rho_n} = -i(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_n})). \quad (5.10)$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$\tanh (1+i)\rho_n = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho_n}). \quad (5.11)$$

In the next step we will use (5.10) and (5.11) in our calculations.

**Step 2.** Let the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  represent the zeros of  $H$ . We proceed as in Step 2 of the previous subsection, setting

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = \rho_n + \xi_n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

with the  $\xi_n$  yet to be determined. So as before, writing  $\tilde{\rho}_n$  in place of  $\rho$  in (5.1) and using the relationships in (4.11), we obtain

$$H(\lambda_n) = -2\tilde{\rho}_n^2 \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n + (\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta) (i\tilde{\rho}_n \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh i\tilde{\rho}_n - \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n).$$

The characteristic equation  $H(\lambda_n) = 0$  then reads

$$-2\tilde{\rho}_n \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n + (\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta) (i \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh i\tilde{\rho}_n - \cosh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{2\tilde{\rho}_n}{\alpha + i\tilde{\rho}_n^2\beta} = \frac{i \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh i\tilde{\rho}_n - \cosh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n}{\sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n}. \quad (5.12)$$

Let us estimate the right-hand side of (5.12) and begin with the numerator. Using (5.10), (5.11), we obtain after some straightforward computations

$$\begin{aligned} &2i \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh i\tilde{\rho}_n - 2 \cosh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i\tilde{\rho}_n \\ &= (i-1) \cosh (1+i)\rho_n (\cosh (1+i)\xi_n - \cosh (i-1)\xi_n \\ &\quad + \sinh (1+i)\xi_n + \sinh (i-1)\xi_n) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho_n}) \\ &= 2e^{\xi_n} (i-1) \sinh i\xi_n \cosh (1+i)\rho_n + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho_n}), \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, considering the denominator in the right-hand side of (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i \tilde{\rho}_n \\ &= \cosh(1+i) \rho_n (\cosh(1+i) \xi_n + i \cosh(i-1) \xi_n \\ & \quad + \sinh(1+i) \xi_n - i \sinh(i-1) \xi_n) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho_n}) \\ &= -e^{\xi_n} (i-1) (\sinh i \xi_n + i \cosh i \xi_n) \cosh(1+i) \rho_n + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for large  $\rho_n$ , the right-hand side of (5.12) becomes

$$\frac{i \sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \cosh i \tilde{\rho}_n - \cosh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i \tilde{\rho}_n}{\sinh \tilde{\rho}_n \sinh i \tilde{\rho}_n} \sim \frac{-2 \sinh i \xi_n}{\sinh i \xi_n + i \cosh i \xi_n},$$

and so, for small  $\xi_n$ , we obtain by arguments similar to those given in the previous subsection (in Step 2)

$$\xi_n \sim \frac{i}{\rho_n \beta} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-3}).$$

**Step 3.** A calculation entirely analogous to that in Step 3 leading to (5.8) shows that the eigenvalues belonging to the second branch  $\sigma^{(2)}(T)$  of the spectrum have the following asymptotic distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{2}{\beta} + i \rho_n^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_n^{-2}), \quad \rho_n = (n+1/4)\pi + \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2n\pi}).$$

The results developed in this section so far, together with Theorems 3.2 and 4.1, prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The system operator  $T$  as defined by (2.7), (2.8) with  $\gamma > 0$  has two branches of normal eigenvalues,*

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma^{(1)}(T) \cup \sigma^{(2)}(T) = \{\lambda_{\pm n}^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \cup \{\lambda_{\pm n}^{(2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

where the sequence  $\{\lambda_{\pm n}^{(1)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\pm n}^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \pm i (\rho_n^{(1)})^2 + \mathcal{O}((\rho_n^{(1)})^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rho_n^{(1)} &= (n+1/2)\pi, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and the sequence  $\{\lambda_{\pm n}^{(2)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\pm n}^{(2)} &= -\frac{2}{\beta} \pm i (\rho_n^{(2)})^2 + \mathcal{O}((\rho_n^{(2)})^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rho_n^{(2)} &= (n+1/4)\pi + \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2n\pi}), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues can be properly enumerated (see below), such that the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is so ordered that

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda_0^{(2)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_0^{(1)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_1^{(2)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_1^{(1)} < \dots < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_n^{(2)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_n^{(1)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n+1}^{(2)} < \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n+1}^{(1)} < \dots.$$

We remark that the enumeration is said to be *proper* if (i) the algebraic multiplicities are taken into account; (ii)  $\lambda_{-n} = \overline{\lambda_n}$  whenever  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n \neq 0$ ; (iii)  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \lambda_n \leq \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n+1}$ ; and (iv) there are two points  $\lambda_{+0}$  and  $\lambda_{-0}$ . Such an enumeration gives a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of real or complex numbers, where  $\{\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence, with infinitely many vertical asymptotes; that is, a sequence of real or complex numbers with  $|\lambda_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and some constant  $h$  such that  $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n| \leq h$ .

**5.3. Multiplicity of eigenvalues.** We shall now determine the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of  $T$ . For this it is useful to consider the ‘‘adjoint’’ spectral problem of (3.13) (\* denoting adjoint)

$$\mathcal{P}^*(\lambda)z = (\bar{\lambda}I - T^*)z = 0, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}(T^*), \quad (5.13)$$

for then the method of proof used in [24, Corollary 4.2.2] may be followed to show that for any eigenvector  $x$  associated with  $\lambda$  there is a nontrivial element  $z \in \ker(\bar{\lambda}I - T^*)$  such that  $(x, z) \neq 0$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is a simple, respectively semisimple, eigenvalue if  $\dim \ker(\lambda I - T) = 1$ , respectively  $\dim \ker(\lambda I - T) \neq 1$ .

The following characterisation of the adjoint of  $T$  will be required in the derivation to follow.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The adjoint  $T^*$  of  $T$  is given by*

$$T^*z = - \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{v}_j \\ -\tilde{w}_j^{(4)} + \gamma\tilde{w}_j'' \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}(T^*),$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(T^*) = \left\{ z = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^3 \in \mathbb{X} \left| \begin{array}{l} z_j = \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{array} \right) \in (\mathbf{W}_2^4(0,1) \cap \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1)) \times \hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0,1), \\ \tilde{w}_j''(1) = 0, \quad \tilde{w}_j''(0) - \alpha\tilde{w}_j'(0) + \beta\tilde{v}_j'(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (\tilde{w}_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma\tilde{w}_j'(0)) = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Recalling that  $(Tx, z) = (x, T^*z)$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$  and  $z \in \mathbf{D}(T^*)$ , the proof is a straightforward calculation using integration by parts (and is therefore omitted).  $\square$

Before proving the next theorem, let us derive an intermediate result. Since  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Hilbert space it follows that  $\sigma(T^*) = \overline{\sigma(T)}$ . As anticipated earlier, we let  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  and  $\mu \in \sigma(T^*)$  be eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively, with corresponding eigenvectors  $x$  and  $z$ . Then, because

$$((\lambda I - T)x, z) = 0,$$

we have

$$\lambda(x, z) = (Tx, z) = (x, T^*z) = (x, \mu z) = \bar{\mu}(x, z)$$

and so  $(x, z) = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \bar{\mu}$ . As a result we need only consider the case where  $\lambda = \bar{\mu}$ . Write out (5.13) explicitly to get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\tilde{v}_j = \bar{\lambda}\tilde{w}_j, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{w}_j^{(4)} - \gamma\tilde{w}_j'' = \bar{\lambda}\tilde{v}_j, & j = 1, 2, 3 \\ \tilde{w}_j(1) = \tilde{w}_j''(1) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{w}_j(0) = \tilde{w}_k(0), & j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{w}_j''(0) - \alpha\tilde{w}_j'(0) + \beta\tilde{v}_j'(0) = 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (\tilde{w}_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma\tilde{w}_j'(0)) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

It follows from the results of Section 4 that if

$$x = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_j \\ v_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_j \\ \lambda w_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3$$

is an eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$z = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{w}_j \\ -\bar{\lambda}\tilde{w}_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3$$

is an eigenvector of the adjoint operator  $T^*$  corresponding to  $\bar{\lambda}$ . We then have that  $\tilde{w}_j(\bar{\lambda}, s) = \overline{w_j(\lambda, s)}$ . So the inner product of  $x$  with  $z$  yields, on integrating by parts, employing the boundary and connectivity conditions, and using that  $w_j^{(4)} - \gamma w_j'' = -\lambda^2 w_j$ ,

$$(x, z) = -2\lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^1 (w_j(s))^2 ds - \lambda\beta \sum_{j=1}^3 (w_j'(0))^2. \quad (5.14)$$

We can now state and prove our main result of this subsection.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Each eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(1)}(T)$  has algebraic multiplicity 1, and so is simple. Each eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(T)$  is semisimple and its algebraic multiplicity is equal to 2.*

*Proof.* In view of what has already been said it suffices to show that  $(x, z) \neq 0$  ( $x$  and  $z$  being the eigenvectors of  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively). We consider again the two cases as in Section 4 which led to Theorem 4.1.

**Case 1.** Let us recall first of all from Theorem 4.1 that corresponding to each eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(1)}(T)$  there is an eigenvector

$$x = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} w_j \\ \lambda w_j \end{array} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^3$$

where  $w_j := cw$  with  $w = w(\lambda, s)$  given by

$$w(\lambda, s) = \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 (1-s) - \mu_3 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 (1-s).$$

In this case (5.14) becomes

$$(x, z) = 3c^2 \left( -2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 (w(\lambda, s))^2 ds - \lambda\beta (w'(\lambda, 0))^2 \right). \quad (5.15)$$

We calculate for the expression in brackets (after some computations)

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 (w(\lambda, s))^2 ds - \lambda\beta (w'(\lambda, 0))^2 \\ &= -\lambda^2 \cosh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 (\mu_1 \sinh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 + \mu_3 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3) \\ & \quad - 4\lambda^2 \frac{\mu_1 \mu_3}{\mu_3^2 - \mu_1^2} \cosh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3 (\mu_1 \cosh \mu_1 \sinh \mu_3 - \mu_3 \sinh \mu_1 \cosh \mu_3) \\ & \quad - \lambda\beta (\mu_3^2 - \mu_1^2)^2 \cosh^2 \mu_1 \cosh^2 \mu_3 + \lambda^2 (\mu_1^2 \cosh^2 \mu_3 + \mu_3^2 \cosh^2 \mu_1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Proceeding as before, by substituting in the right-hand side of (5.16) the relationships (5.1), and on rearranging, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 (w(\lambda, s))^2 ds - \lambda\beta (w'(\lambda, 0))^2 \\ &= -\rho^5 \cosh \rho \cosh i\rho (\sinh \rho \cosh i\rho + i \cosh \rho \sinh i\rho) \\ & \quad - 2\rho^5 \cosh \rho \cosh i\rho (\sinh \rho \cosh i\rho + i \cosh \rho \sinh i\rho + 2i\rho\beta \cosh \rho \cosh i\rho) \\ & \quad - \rho^6 (\cosh^2 \rho + \cosh^2 i\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D(\lambda) = 0$ , we also have from (4.7), on substituting the relationships (5.1),

$$\sinh \rho \cosh i\rho + i \cosh \rho \sinh i\rho + 2i\rho\beta \cosh \rho \cosh i\rho = -\frac{2\alpha}{\rho} \cosh \rho \cosh i\rho.$$

So

$$-2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 (w(\lambda, s))^2 ds - \lambda\beta (w'(\lambda, 0))^2 \neq 0$$

and there results from (5.15) that  $(x, z) \neq 0$ , proving the first statement.

**Case 2.** For each  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(T)$  we know the form of the corresponding eigenvector from Theorem 4.1. In this case we consider  $H(\lambda) = 0$ , and we find by arguments analogous to those given in Case 1 that  $(x, z) \neq 0$  here also. The second statement then follows from the existence of two linearly independent eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(T)$ . □

## 6. COMPLETENESS, MINIMALITY, AND RIESZ BASIS PROPERTIES

Attention is now turned to the completeness, minimality, and Riesz basis properties of the eigenvectors of  $T$ . Recall from Theorem 5.2 that the eigenvalues of  $T$  are semisimple, so indeed we need only produce results for the eigenvectors. As we have already indicated in the Introduction, the proofs use results from the literature, which we collect here as a convenience for the reader. The first, Lemma 6.1, is actually a sharper version of [6, Theorem V.8.1], as detailed in [6, Section V.8], while the third is based – in a slightly modified form – on [27, Theorem 1.1]. The proof of the second result, Lemma 6.2, on the minimality property may be taken, for example, from the proof of [14, Lemma 2.4].

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $K$  be a compact skewadjoint operator on  $\mathbb{X}$  with  $\ker K = \{0\}$ , and let  $S$  be a real operator on  $\mathbb{X}$  which has finite rank. Let*

$$A = K + \beta S$$

for  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the root vectors of the operator  $A$  are complete in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $A$  be a compact operator on  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\ker A = \{0\}$ . Then the root vectors of  $A$  are minimal in  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

**Lemma 6.3** (Xu and Yung [27, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $A$  be the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators,  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , on  $\mathbb{X}$ . Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\sigma(A) = \sigma^{(1)}(A) \cup \sigma^{(2)}(A)$  where the branch  $\sigma^{(2)}(A)$  consists entirely of normal eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which satisfy the separation condition  $\inf_{k \neq j} |\lambda_k - \lambda_j| > 0$ ;
- (ii) there is a real constant  $\kappa$  such that

$$\sup \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma^{(1)}(A)\} \leq \kappa \leq \inf \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(A)\};$$

and

- (iii)  $\sup_{k \geq 1} \dim E(\lambda_k, A)\mathbb{X} < \infty$ , the  $E(\lambda_k, A)$  being the spectral or Riesz projections associated with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ .

Then the following statements hold:

- (1) There exist two  $S(t)$ -invariant closed subspaces  $\mathbb{X}_1$  and  $\mathbb{X}_2$ , in the sense that  $S(t)\mathbb{X}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{X}_1$  and  $S(t)\mathbb{X}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{X}_2$ , with the properties
  - (i)  $\sigma(A|_{\mathbb{X}_1}) = \sigma^{(1)}(A)$  and  $\sigma(A|_{\mathbb{X}_2}) = \sigma^{(2)}(A)$ ; and
  - (ii)  $\{E(\lambda_k, A)\mathbb{X}_2\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  forms a Riesz basis with parentheses for  $\mathbb{X}_2$ , and

$$\mathbb{X} = \overline{\mathbb{X}_1 \oplus \mathbb{X}_2}.$$

- (2) If  $\sup_{k \geq 1} \|E(\lambda_k, A)\| < \infty$ , then

$$D(A) \subset \mathbb{X}_1 \oplus \mathbb{X}_2 \subset \mathbb{X}.$$

(3)  $\mathbb{X}$  can be decomposed into the topological direct sum

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \oplus \mathbb{X}_2$$

if and only if  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n E(\lambda_k, A) \right\| < \infty$ .

**Remark 6.1.** It follows from the denseness of the domain of  $A$  and the compactness of its resolvent function implicit in Lemma 6.3 that, in general, the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{X}$  must be separable. Further, the range  $E(\lambda, A)\mathbb{X}$  of the Riesz projection  $E(\lambda, A)$  associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  should not be confused with the geometric interpretation of  $\ker(\lambda I - T)$  as the eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda$ . In fact from Definition 3.2 it is not difficult to see that the range dimension  $\dim E(\lambda, A)\mathbb{X}$  coincides with the definition of the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  (see [4, Chapter VII] for details).

To use Lemma 6.1, our proof of the completeness of the eigenvectors shall be based on a particular construction of the inverse  $T^{-1}$  of  $T$ , as shown in the next lemma.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $T_0$  be the skewadjoint part of  $T$ , that is, the operator  $T$  with  $\beta = 0$ . Then  $T^{-1} = T_0^{-1} + \beta S$ , where  $S$  is a real operator on  $\mathbb{X}$  which has finite rank.

*Proof.* We employ some of the results from the proof of Lemma 3.1, and begin by noting that on setting

$$b(\tilde{v}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left[ \tilde{V}_j(0) + \int_0^1 (\sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r + \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr \right]}{\gamma \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} + \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}},$$

$$b(\tilde{w}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{w}'_j(0)}{\gamma \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} + \alpha \sqrt{\gamma} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}},$$

we can write (3.7) as

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 a_j = b(\tilde{v}) + \beta b(\tilde{w}).$$

Thus (3.8) becomes

$$w(0) = \frac{1}{3} \left( (b(\tilde{v}) + \beta b(\tilde{w})) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_0^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{V}_j(r) dr \right) =: c(\tilde{v}) + \beta c(\tilde{w}),$$

where  $c(\tilde{w}) = \frac{b(\tilde{w})}{3} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}$ . It can be shown by a straightforward calculation that then (3.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_j \sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} - (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \int_0^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr \\ \quad = F_{11}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{12}(\tilde{w}), \\ a_j \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} - (w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w'_j(0)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left[ \alpha \int_0^1 (1-r) \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr + 1 \right] \\ \quad = F_{21}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{22}(\tilde{w}), \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

wherein

$$F_{11}(\tilde{v}) = \int_0^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r \tilde{V}_j(r) dr + \sqrt{\gamma} c(\tilde{v}), \quad F_{12}(\tilde{w}) = \sqrt{\gamma} c(\tilde{w}),$$

$$F_{21}(\tilde{v}) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_0^1 \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r \tilde{V}_j(r) dr + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \tilde{V}_j(0) - \sqrt{\gamma} c(\tilde{v}), \quad F_{22}(\tilde{w}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \tilde{w}'_j(0) - \sqrt{\gamma} c(\tilde{w}).$$

The solutions  $a_j$  and  $w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0)$  of (6.1) are proportional, respectively, to

$$a_j = \begin{vmatrix} F_{11}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{12}(\tilde{w}) & -\int_0^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr \\ F_{21}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{22}(\tilde{w}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left[ \alpha \int_0^1 (1-r) \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} r dr + 1 \right] \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0) = \begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} & F_{11}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{12}(\tilde{w}) \\ \alpha \cosh \sqrt{\gamma} & F_{21}(\tilde{v}) + \beta F_{22}(\tilde{w}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

So we can write

$$a_j = a_j(\tilde{v}) + \beta a_j(\tilde{w})$$

and

$$w_j^{(3)}(0) - \gamma w_j'(0) = w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{v}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{v}) + \beta (w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{w}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{w})),$$

where  $a_j(\tilde{w})$ ,  $w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{w}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{w})$  are bounded linear functionals on  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_2^2(0, 1)$ . Inserting these in (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} w_j(s) &= a_j(\tilde{v}) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (1-s) + (w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{v}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{v})) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) \tilde{V}_j(r) dr + \beta \left[ a_j(\tilde{w}) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (1-s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{w}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{w})) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) dr \right] \\ &= w_j(s, \tilde{v}) + \beta w_j(s, \tilde{w}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_j(s, \tilde{w}) := a_j(\tilde{w}) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (1-s) + (w_j^{(3)}(0, \tilde{w}) - \gamma w_j'(0, \tilde{w})) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \int_s^1 (1-r) \sinh \sqrt{\gamma} (s-r) dr.$$

In particular, (3.10) can then be rewritten in the form

$$T^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3 = T_0^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3 + \beta S \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_j \\ \tilde{v}_j \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{j=1}^3,$$

the operator  $T_0^{-1}$  being compact and skewadjoint, and  $S$  obviously being of rank 2. We finally have, from Lemma 3.2,

$$\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) = \operatorname{Re}(T^{-1}y, y) = \operatorname{Re}((T_0^{-1} + \beta S)y, y) = \beta(Sy, y)$$

with  $Tx = y$ , where  $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 6.1 with Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we obtain the following result guaranteeing the completeness and minimality of the eigenvectors of  $T$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** *The eigenvectors of  $T$  are minimal complete in  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of completeness is immediate, if we identify  $A$  and  $K$  in Lemma 6.1 with  $T^{-1}$  and  $T_0^{-1}$ , respectively, and note that the eigenvectors of  $T^{-1}$  are also eigenvectors of  $T$ . So, by definition of completeness,  $\overline{\operatorname{span}\{E(\lambda, T)\mathbb{X} \mid \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}} = \mathbb{X}$ . The proof of the minimality property is then also immediate from applying Lemma 6.2 with  $A$  replaced by  $T^{-1}$ .  $\square$

The above results culminate in the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *The eigenvectors of  $T$  form a Riesz basis with parentheses for  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

*Proof.* We identify  $A$  in Lemma 6.3 with  $T$  and take  $\sigma^{(2)}(T) = \sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma^{(1)}(T) = \{\infty\}$ . It follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 that the conditions of Lemma 6.3 are satisfied. In particular, since  $\text{span}\{E(\lambda, T)\mathbb{X} \mid \lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}(T)\} = \mathbb{X}_2$ , the sequence of eigenvectors of  $T$  is a Riesz basis with parentheses for  $\mathbb{X}_2$ . Hence, by means of Theorem 6.2, we can infer that the sequence of eigenvectors forms in fact a Riesz basis with parentheses for  $\mathbb{X}$  (on account of  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_2$ ).  $\square$

## 7. EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

We return now to the solution (3.11) of (AIVP). The following theorem, which is the final result of the paper, is a direct consequence of the fact, verified in Theorem 6.3, that  $T$  satisfies the spectrum determined growth assumption.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Consider (AIVP), whose solution is given by (3.11) for  $x_0 \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ . If  $\beta > 0$ , then the estimate (2.9) is satisfied, and consequently the solution decays exponentially to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.3, the initial state  $x_0$  can be expanded in a Riesz basis with parentheses of eigenvectors. Thus the solution (3.11) of (AIVP) can be represented in series form. A standard argument using [6, Section VI.2.(2.4)] then applies to show that (2.9) holds; that there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sup\{\text{Re } \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma(T)\} \leq -\delta$  follows from Theorem 5.1. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The research described here was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant NSFC-61773277.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Bolotin. *Nonconservative Problems of the Theory of Elastic Stability*. Pergamon, 1963.
- [2] R. F. Curtain and H. J. Zwart. *An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems Theory*. Springer, 1995.
- [3] R. Dáger and E. Zuazua. *Wave Propagation, Observation and Control in 1-d Flexible Multi-Structures*. Springer, 2006.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory*. John Wiley & Sons, 1958.
- [5] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators. Part III: Spectral Operators*. John Wiley & Sons, 1971.
- [6] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn. *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*. American Mathematical Society, 1969.
- [7] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer, 1995.
- [8] S. G. Kreĭn. *Linear Differential Equations in Banach Space*. American Mathematical Society, 1971.
- [9] S. G. Krein and M. I. Khazan. Differential equations in a Banach space. *J. Soviet Math.*, 30:2154–2239, 1985.
- [10] J. E. Lagnese, G. Leugering, and Schmidt E. J. P. G. *Modeling, Analysis and Control of Dynamic Elastic Multi-Link Structures*. Springer, 1994.
- [11] A. A. Lunyov and M. M. Malamud. On the completeness and Riesz basis property of root subspaces of boundary value problems for first order systems and applications. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 5:17–70, 2015.
- [12] A. A. Lunyov and M. M. Malamud. On the Riesz basis property of root vectors system for  $2 \times 2$  Dirac type operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 441:57–103, 2016.
- [13] Yu. I. Lyubich. *Linear Functional Analysis*. Springer, 1992.



- [14] M. M. Malamud and L. L. Oridoroga. On the completeness of root subspaces of boundary value problems for first order systems of ordinary differential equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263:1939–1980, 2012.
- [15] A. S. Markus. *Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Polynomial Operator Pencils*. American Mathematical Society, 1988.
- [16] A. I. Miloslavskii. Foundation of the spectral approach in nonconservative problems of the theory of elastic stability. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 17:233–235, 1983.
- [17] A. I. Miloslavskii. Stability of certain classes of evolution equations. *Sib. Math. J.*, 26:723–735, 1985.
- [18] M. Möller and V. Pivovarchik. Spectral properties of a fourth order differential equation. *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, 25:341–366, 2006.
- [19] M. Möller and V. Pivovarchik. *Spectral Theory of Operator Pencils, Hermite–Biehler Functions, and their Applications*. Birkhäuser, 2015.
- [20] M. Möller and V. Pivovarchik. *Direct and Inverse Finite-Dimensional Spectral Problems on Graphs*. Birkhäuser, 2020.
- [21] S. Nicaise. *Polygonal Interface Problems*. Peter Lang, 1993.
- [22] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, 1983.
- [23] R. Triggiani. On the stabilizability problem in Banach space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 52(3):383–403, 1975.
- [24] G. Q. Xu. *Linear Operator Theory in Banach Spaces*. Xueyuan, 2011. (In Chinese).
- [25] G. Q. Xu. The structural property of a class of vector-valued hyperbolic equations and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 388:566–592, 2012.
- [26] G. Q. Xu and N. E. Mastorakis. *Differential Equations on Metric Graph*. WSEAS, 2010.
- [27] G. Q. Xu and S. P. Yung. The expansion of a semigroup and a Riesz basis criterion. *J. Differential Equations*, 210:1–24, 2005.
- [28] R. M. Young. *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*. Academic, 1980.