

# THE PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS APPROXIMATION OF THE ANISOTROPIC HORIZONTALLY VISCOUS NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

JINKAI LI, EDRISS S. TITI, AND GUOZHI YUAN\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation and the derivation of primitive equations as the small aspect ratio limit of the incompressible three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in the anisotropic horizontal viscosity regime. Setting  $\varepsilon > 0$  to be the small aspect ratio of the vertical to the horizontal scales of the domain, we investigate the case when the horizontal and vertical viscosities in the incompressible three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations are of orders  $O(1)$  and  $O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$ , respectively, with  $\alpha > 2$ , for which the limiting system is the primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. In particular we show that for “well prepared” initial data the solutions of the scaled incompressible three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations converge strongly, in any finite interval of time, to the corresponding solutions of the anisotropic primitive equations with only horizontal viscosities, as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, and that the convergence rate is of order  $O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)$ , where  $\beta = \min\{\alpha - 2, 2\}$ . Note that this result is different from the case  $\alpha = 2$  studied in [Li, J.; Titi, E.S.: *The primitive equations as the small aspect ratio limit of the Navier-Stokes equations: Rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **124** (2019), 30–58], where the limiting system is the primitive equations with full viscosities and the convergence is globally in time and its rate of order  $O(\varepsilon)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The hydrostatic approximation is a fundamental assumption in the geophysics and a building block in the large scale oceanic and atmospheric dynamics, see [37, 48, 49, 51, 53, 55]. It can be derived by either the scale analysis or taking the small aspect ratio limit to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Though it is proved to be accurate in the practical applications, the corresponding rigorous mathematical justification has been only given in the case that the horizontal and vertical viscosities have some particular orders of the aspect ratio, see Azérad-Guillén [1] and Li-Titi [38] in the weak and strong setting, respectively. The aim of the current paper is to investigate the more general case that the horizontal and vertical viscosities are not necessary to be of the particular order. As shown in the below that the limiting system considered in the current paper is anisotropic primitive equations with only horizontal viscosities, while those in [1, 38] have full viscosities.

---

*Date:* June 1, 2021.

\*Corresponding author.

*Keywords:* Primitive equations justification, hydrostatic approximation, anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, small aspect ratio limit, singular limit.

*AMS Subject Classification:* 35Q30, 35Q86, 76D05, 86A05, 86A10.

**1.1. Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in thin domains.** Given a two dimensional domain  $M = (0, L_1) \times (0, L_2)$  with  $L_1, L_2 > 0$ . Let  $\Omega_\varepsilon^- = M \times (-\varepsilon, 0)$  be a three-dimensional box, where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small representing the aspect ratio. Consider the anisotropic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in  $\Omega_\varepsilon^-$

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \mu \Delta_H u - \nu \partial_z^2 u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the vector field  $u = (v, w)$  representing the velocity, with  $v = (v_1, v_2)$ , and the scalar function  $p$  representing the pressure are the unknowns,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are the horizontal and vertical viscous coefficients, respectively. Assume that  $\mu = O(1)$  and  $\nu = O(\varepsilon^\alpha)$  for some positive  $\alpha$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The initial-boundary value problem will be studied in this paper and, thus, we complement system (1.1) with the following boundary and initial conditions:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u \text{ and } p \text{ are periodic in } x \text{ and } y, \\ (\partial_z v, w)|_{z=-\varepsilon, 0} = (0, 0), \\ u|_{t=0} = (v_0, w_0). \end{cases}$$

Note that by extending  $v, w$ , and  $p$ , respectively, evenly, oddly, and evenly in  $z$ , one can extend the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.2) defined in  $\Omega_\varepsilon^-$  to the corresponding problem defined in the extended domain  $\Omega_\varepsilon := M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . The extended initial-boundary value problem in  $\Omega_\varepsilon := M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  is as follows

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \mu \Delta_H u - \nu \partial_z^2 u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ v, w \text{ and } p \text{ are periodic in } x, y \text{ and } z, \\ v, w \text{ and } p \text{ be even, odd and even in } z, \\ u|_{t=0} = (v_0, w_0). \end{cases}$$

On the one hand, for any solution  $(u, p)$  to (1.1)–(1.2), if extending  $v, w$ , and  $p$ , respectively, evenly, oddly, and evenly in  $z$ , then the extension, denoted by  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})$ , is a solution to (1.3). In the setting of strong solutions, this can be verified by noticing that extensions as above preserve the Sobolev regularities of  $v$  and  $w$  due to the boundary conditions in (1.2), while in the setting of weak solutions, this is based on the fact that regular testing functions satisfying the symmetry conditions in (1.3) fulfill the boundary conditions in (1.2) and thus can be chosen as testing functions for (1.1)–(1.2). On the other hand, if  $(u, p)$  is a solution to (1.3) in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ , then the restriction of  $(u, p)$  on  $\Omega_\varepsilon^-$  is a solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Therefore (1.1)–(1.2) is equivalent to (1.3). Due to this equivalence, one only needs to consider (1.3).

We are interested in the small aspect ratio limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to the above system. Since only the regime of the primitive equations will be considered in the current paper, we assume that  $\alpha \geq 2$ . In fact, in the case  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , one can show in a similar way as in [2] that system (1.3) converges to a limiting system with only vertical dissipation, which is different from the primitive equations.

In order to investigate the small aspect ratio limit, we first carry out some scaling transformation to system (1.3) such that the resulting system is defined on a fixed

domain independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Similar to [38], we define the following new unknowns

$$\begin{aligned} v_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) &= v(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), & w_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} w(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), \\ p_\varepsilon(x, y, z, t) &= p(x, y, \varepsilon z, t), & u_\varepsilon &= (v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon), \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in M \times (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $u_\varepsilon$  and  $p_\varepsilon$  satisfy the following scaled Navier-Stokes equations

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v_\varepsilon + (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v_\varepsilon - \Delta_H v_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v_\varepsilon + \nabla_H p_\varepsilon = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u_\varepsilon = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t w_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon - \Delta_H w_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 w_\varepsilon) + \partial_z p_\varepsilon = 0, \end{cases}$$

in the fixed domain  $\Omega := M \times (-1, 1)$ , subject to

$$(1.5) \quad v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon \text{ and } p_\varepsilon \text{ are periodic in } x, y, z,$$

$$(1.6) \quad v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon \text{ and } p_\varepsilon \text{ are even, odd and even in } z, \text{ respectively,}$$

$$(1.7) \quad (v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (v_0, w_0).$$

Since system (1.4) preserves the above symmetry, one only needs to impose the required condition on the initial velocity. Due to this, throughout this paper, we always assume that

$$(1.8) \quad v_0 \text{ and } w_0 \text{ are even and odd in } z, \text{ respectively.}$$

Throughout this paper, we set  $\nabla_H$  and  $\Delta_H$  to denote  $(\partial_x, \partial_y)$  and  $\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ , respectively. For any  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and positive integer  $k$ , we denote by  $L^q(\Omega)$  and  $H^k(\Omega)$ , respectively, the standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, and we use the notation  $\|\cdot\|_q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{q,M}$  to denote the  $L^q(\Omega)$  and  $L^q(M)$  norms, respectively. Since we consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, we use  $L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$  to denote the space consisting of all divergence-free functions in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . It should be emphasized that all the functions considered in this paper are supposed to be periodic in the spatial variables.

By the classic theory, see, e.g., [12] and [50], for any initial data  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$ , there is a global weak solution  $u$  to (1.4), subject to (1.5) and (1.7). Note that if the initial data  $u_0$  satisfies the symmetry condition (1.8), then one can construct, in the same way as in [12] and [50], such weak solutions that satisfy the additional symmetry condition (1.6). In fact, in this case, the approximate solutions satisfy the additional symmetry condition (1.6) and, as a result, the weak solutions achieved as the limits of the approximated solutions also satisfy (1.6). Therefore, for any  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$  satisfying the symmetry condition (1.8), there is global weak solution  $u$  to system (1.4) subject to (1.5)–(1.7). Here the weak solutions are defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $u_0 = (v_0, w_0) \in L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$  satisfy the symmetry condition (1.8).  $u$  is called a Leray-Hopf weak solution to system (1.4) subject to (1.5)–(1.7), if*

(i)  *$u \in C_w([0, \infty); L_\sigma^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2([0, \infty); H^1(\Omega))$  is spatially periodic and satisfies the symmetry condition (1.6), where  $C_w$  means weakly continuity;*

(ii) *The following energy inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z v\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H w\|_2^2 \right. \\ \left. + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z w\|_2^2 \right) ds \leq \|v_0\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_2^2, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For any spatially periodic function  $\varphi = (\varphi_H, \varphi_3) \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty))$  satisfying  $\nabla \cdot \varphi = 0$  and the symmetry condition (1.6), where  $\varphi_H = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ , the following integral identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left[ -(v \cdot \partial_t \varphi_H + \varepsilon^2 w \partial_t \varphi_3) + (u \cdot \nabla) v \varphi_H + \varepsilon^2 u \cdot \nabla w \varphi_3 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \nabla_H v : \nabla_H \varphi_H + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z \varphi_H + \varepsilon^2 \nabla_H w \cdot \nabla_H \varphi_3 + \varepsilon^\alpha \partial_z w \partial_z \varphi_3 \right] d\Omega dt \\ & = \int_\Omega \left( v_0 \cdot \varphi_H(\cdot, 0) + \varepsilon^2 w_0 \varphi_3(\cdot, 0) \right) d\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\Omega = dx dy dz$ .

**1.2. Small aspect ratio limit and the primitive equations (PEs).** By taking the formal limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , it is natural to expect that (1.4) converges in some suitable sense to the following limiting systems

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + (u \cdot \nabla) v - \Delta v + \nabla_H p = 0, \\ \nabla_H \cdot v + \partial_z w = 0, \\ \partial_z p = 0, \end{cases}$$

if  $\alpha = 2$  in (1.4), and

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + (u \cdot \nabla) v - \Delta_H v + \nabla_H p = 0, \\ \nabla_H \cdot v + \partial_z w = 0, \\ \partial_z p = 0, \end{cases}$$

if  $\alpha > 2$  in (1.4), where the vector field  $u = (v, w)$  and the scalar function  $p$  are the velocity and pressure, respectively. Both (1.9) and (1.10) are the simplest form of the primitive equations (PEs). Note that in the case  $\alpha = 2$  the limiting system in (1.9) has dissipation in all directions, while in the case  $\alpha > 2$  the corresponding system in (1.10) has dissipation only in the horizontal directions.

Recalling that we consider the periodic initial-boundary value problem to the scaled incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.4), it is clear that one should impose the same boundary conditions and symmetry conditions to the corresponding limiting system (1.10). However, one only needs to impose the initial condition on the horizontal velocity. In fact, by (1.8),  $w_0$  is odd and periodic in  $z$ , one has  $w_0|_{z=\pm 1} = 0$ . Then,  $w_0$  can be uniquely determined by the incompressibility condition as

$$(1.11) \quad w_0(x, y, z) = - \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v_0(x, y, \xi) d\xi, \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \Omega.$$

We call initial data  $(v_0, w_0)$  satisfying condition (1.11) *well prepared initial data*.

Similarly,  $w$  can also be uniquely determined by the incompressibility condition as

$$(1.12) \quad w(x, y, z, t) = - \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v(x, y, \xi, t) d\xi, \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \Omega.$$

Due to these facts, throughout this paper, concerning the solutions to (1.10), we only specify the horizontal components  $v$ , and  $w$  is uniquely determined by (1.12).

The primitive equations, no matter with full or partial dissipation, play fundamental roles in the geophysical fluid dynamics and, in particular, in the large scale oceanic and atmospheric dynamics, one can see the books [25, 37, 48, 49, 51, 53, 55]

for the applications and backgrounds of the primitive equations. They are the core in the weather prediction models. Due to the presence of strong turbulent mixing in the horizontal direction in the large scale atmosphere, the eddy viscosity in the horizontal direction is much stronger than that in the vertical direction. As a result, both physically and mathematically, it is necessary to investigate the primitive equations with anisotropic viscosities and, in particular, the system that with only horizontal eddy viscosities.

The first systematical studies of the the primitive equation was made by Lions–Temam–Wang [41, 42, 43] in the 1990s, where they established the global existence of weak solutions to the system that with full viscosities; however, the uniqueness of weak solutions is still unclear, even for the two-dimensional case. By making full use of the hydrostatic balance to exploit the two-dimensional structure of the key part of the pressure and decomposing the velocity into barotropic and baroclinic components, Cao–Titi [9] established the global well-posedness of strong solution to the three dimensional primitive equations, see also Kobelkov [34] and Kukavica–Ziane [35]. One can see [23, 30, 33, 36, 39] for the global well-posed results with weaker initial data, and see [40] for the results taking the topography effects into considerations. The global well-posedness results in [9, 34, 35] are established in the  $L^2$  type spaces, for the corresponding results in the  $L^p$  type spaces based on the maximal regularity technique, one can see the works by Hieber et al. [26, 27] and Giga et al. [21, 22]. Recently, global well-posedness of strong solutions to the coupled system of the primitive equations to the moisture system with either one component or multi components of moisture, and the hydrostatic approximation from compressible Navier-Stokes equations to compressible primitive equations were also established, see [13, 24, 28, 29] and [18, 47], respectively. For the results of compressible primitive equations, one can see [44, 45, 46, 19, 32, 52].

All the results mentioned in the above paragraph are for the system that with full dissipation. In the last few years, some developments concerning the global well-posedness to the anisotropic primitive equations were also made, see Cao–Titi [5] and Cao–Li–Titi [3, 4, 6, 7, 8], which in particular imply that the primitive equations with only horizontal viscosities are globally well-posed as long as one still has either horizontal or vertical diffusivities, see also [15] and [30]. Notably, different from the primitive equations with either full viscosity or only horizontal viscosity, the inviscid primitive equations may develop finite time singularities, see Cao et al. [11], Wong [54], Ghoul et al. [20] and Ibrahim et al. [31].

### 1.3. Main results: rigorous justification of hydrostatic approximation.

As already mentioned at the beginning of this introduction, the rigorous justifications of the limiting process in the case  $\alpha = 2$ , i.e., the convergence from (1.4) with  $\alpha = 2$  to (1.9) has been established by Azérad-Guillén [1] in the weak setting and by Li-Titi [38] in the strong setting, respectively, see also Furukawa et al. [16] and [17] for some generalizations in the  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type spaces. To our best knowledge, the corresponding justification in the case  $\alpha > 2$ , i.e., the convergence from from (1.4) with  $\alpha > 2$  to (1.10), is still unknown, and we are going to address this problem in the current paper.

Now, we are ready to state our main results.

We first consider the case that  $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ . In this case, noticing that  $u_0$  can be only regarded as an element in  $L^2(\Omega)$  in general, one can only consider the weak solutions to the anisotropic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (1.4). For the

primitive equations (1.10), the local well-posed result in [3] guarantees a unique local in time strong solution and, moreover, it can be extended to be a global one, if one has further that  $\partial_z v_0 \in L^m(\Omega)$  for some  $m > 2$ . As a result, we have the following local and global strong convergence results:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 2$ . Let  $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  be a periodic function satisfying  $\nabla_H \cdot \int_{-1}^1 v_0 dz = 0$  on  $M$ . Assume that  $v_0$  satisfies the symmetric condition (1.8) and that  $w_0$  is determined by (1.11). Denote by  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  and  $v$  an arbitrary Leray-Hopf weak solution to (1.4) and the unique local strong solution to (1.10), respectively, subject to (1.5)–(1.7) and with the same initial data  $(v_0, w_0)$ . Let  $t_*$  be the time of existence of  $v$  and set*

$$(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon) = (v_\varepsilon - v, w_\varepsilon - w, p_\varepsilon - p).$$

Then, the following two items hold:

(i) It holds that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < t_*} \|V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon\|_2^2(t) + \int_0^{t_*} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla_H W_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \partial_z V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 dt \leq C\varepsilon^\beta,$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha > 2$ , where  $\beta := \min\{2, \alpha - 2\}$ , and  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $t_*$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . As a direct consequence, one has

$$(v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (v, 0), \text{ in } L^\infty(0, t_*; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(\nabla_H v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \partial_z v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla_H w_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_z w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\nabla_H v, 0, 0, 0, w), \text{ in } L^2(0, t_*; L^2(\Omega)),$$

and the convergence rate is of the order  $O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ .

(ii) Suppose in addition that  $\partial_z v_0 \in L^m(\Omega)$  for some  $m > 2$ . Then, all the above convergence and estimate still hold if replacing  $t_*$  by any finite time  $T \in (0, \infty)$ . In particular, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(t) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \\ + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) dt \leq K(T)\varepsilon^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a nonnegative continuously increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$  determined by  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $\|\partial_z v_0\|_m$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $t_*$ .

Next, we consider the case that  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ . In this case, by (1.11), it is clear that  $u_0 = (v_0, w_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then, by the local well-posedness theory of strong solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, see, e.g., [12, 50], for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a unique local strong solution  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  to (1.4), subject to (1.5)–(1.7). For the primitive equations (1.10), the global well-posedness results in [3, 4] guarantee the global existence of strong solutions to (1.10), subject to (1.5)–(1.7). Then, we have the following strong convergence results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *In addition to the conditions in Theorem 1.1, suppose that  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ . Denote by  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  and  $v$  the unique local strong solution to (1.4) and the unique global strong solution to (1.10), respectively, subject to (1.5)–(1.7) and with the same initial data  $(v_0, w_0)$ . Set*

$$(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon) = (v_\varepsilon - v, w_\varepsilon - w),$$

and let  $T_\varepsilon^*$  be the maximal time of existence of  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ .

Then, for any finite time  $T > 0$  and  $\alpha > 2$ , there is a small positive constant  $\varepsilon_T$  depending only on  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $T$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , such that  $T_\varepsilon^* > T$ , as long as  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ , and that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2(t) + \int_0^T \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla_H W_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \partial_z V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2(t) dt \leq K_3(T) \varepsilon^\beta,$$

where  $\beta = \min\{2, \alpha - 2\}$  and  $K_3$  is a nonnegative continuously increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$  determined only by  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . As a consequence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon w_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow (v, 0), \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ (\nabla_H v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \partial_z v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla_H w_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \partial_z w_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) &\rightarrow (\nabla_H v, 0, 0, 0, w), \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ w_\varepsilon &\rightarrow w, \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence rate is of the order  $O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Comparing with the results obtained in [38], where the strong convergence and error estimates are globally in time or in other words uniformly in time for the primitive equations that with full dissipation, the convergence and error estimates in the current paper depend on the time intervals in which the problems are considered, as shown in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. This is caused by the absence of the vertical viscosity in the primitive equations (1.10) which is treated carefully in the current paper, as both the strong convergence and error estimates depend crucially on the a priori estimates for the relevant limiting system, i.e., the primitive equations, while these a priori estimates available for the primitive equations (1.10) depend on the time interval.

It is interesting to compare the results in the case  $\alpha > 2$  with those in the case  $\alpha = 2$ . On the one hand, in the case  $\alpha > 2$ , as shown in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, the convergence rate  $O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ ,  $\beta = \min\{2, \alpha - 2\}$ , becomes weaker and weaker when  $\alpha$  approaches 2. On the other hand, in the case  $\alpha = 2$ , the results in [38] show that the corresponding convergence rate is  $O(\varepsilon)$ . By comparing the results [38] in the case  $\alpha = 2$  and our results, one may expect some better convergence rate, say  $O(\varepsilon^{\kappa(\alpha)})$ , such that  $\kappa(\alpha) \geq \kappa_0$  for some positive  $\kappa_0$  when  $\alpha$  approaches 2. Unfortunately, this seems impossible, as the following subtracted system for  $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon)$  has the quantity  $\varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v$  as a source term in the  $V_\varepsilon$  equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t V_\varepsilon - \Delta_H V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + \nabla_H P_\varepsilon \\ + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon &= \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v, \\ \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon + \partial_z W_\varepsilon &= 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t W_\varepsilon - \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon) \\ + \partial_z P_\varepsilon &= -\varepsilon^2 (\partial_t w - \Delta_H w - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 w + u \cdot \nabla w). \end{aligned}$$

While in the case  $\alpha = 2$  as studied in [38], the corresponding subtracted system does not have any source terms in  $V_\varepsilon$  equations. These indicate the essential differences between the cases  $\alpha > 2$  and  $\alpha = 2$ , or in other words, the differences of the convergence from the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations to the isotropic and anisotropic primitive equations.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows: in section 2, we collect some preliminary results which will be used in the subsequent sections; in section 3, we cite some results about the local and global well-posedness of strong solutions to

the primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity and carry out some a priori estimates; finally, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in section 4 and section 5, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The following inequality will be used frequently in the a priori estimates. Since it can be proved exactly in the same way as in [10] and [3], we omit the proof here.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The following trilinear inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\phi(x, y, z)| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\varphi(x, y, z)\psi(x, y, z)| dz \right) dx dy \\ & \leq C \|\phi\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\varphi\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \varphi\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\psi\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \psi\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\phi(x, y, z)| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\varphi(x, y, z)\psi(x, y, z)| dz \right) dx dy \\ & \leq C \|\psi\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\varphi\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \varphi\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\phi\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \phi\|_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

here we still denote  $\|\cdot\|_q = \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)}$ , for any  $\phi$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , such that the quantities on the right hand sides are finite.

The following anisotropic Morrey inequality allows to control the Hölder norm by using different regularities in different directions.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega = M \times (-1, 1)$  and let  $1 \leq p_i < \infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) with  $\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i^{-1} < 1$ . Then, we have*

$$|\varphi|_{0,(\lambda_i)} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|D_i \varphi\|_{p_i}, \quad \lambda_i = \frac{1 - \sum_{j=1}^3 p_j^{-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^3 p_j^{-1} + 3p_i^{-1}},$$

for any  $\varphi$  such that the quantities on the right hand sides are finite, where  $C$  depends on  $p_i$  and  $\Omega$ . Here  $(D_1, D_2, D_3) = (\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$  and

$$|\varphi|_{0,(\lambda_i)} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\varphi(x)| + \sup_{x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{(\lambda_i)}},$$

where

$$|x - y|^{(\lambda_i)} = |x_1 - y_1|^{\lambda_1} + |x_2 - y_2|^{\lambda_2} + |x_3 - y_3|^{\lambda_3}.$$

*Proof.* See [14]. □

## 3. GLOBAL WELL-POSED OF PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS WITH ONLY HORIZONTAL VISCOSITIES

The global well-posedness of strong solutions to the primitive equations with only horizontal viscosities has been established in [3] and [4]. In this section, we improve slightly the result in [3], see Proposition 3.3, below.

The following  $H^1$  local well-posedness result is proved in [3].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Given a periodic function  $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla_H \cdot \int_{-1}^1 v_0 dz = 0$  and satisfying the symmetric condition (1.8). Then,*

(i) *There is a unique local strong solution  $v$  to (1.10), subject to (1.5)–(1.7).*

(ii) *The local existence time  $t^* = \frac{6r_0^2\delta_0^2}{C_0}$ , where  $C_0$  depends only on  $\delta_0$  and  $r_0$ ,  $\delta_0 \in (0, 1]$  and  $r_0$  are positive constants such that*

$$\sup_{x^H \in M} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{D_{2r_0}(x^H)} |\partial_z v_0|^2 dx dy dz \leq \delta_0^2.$$

Here we denote by  $x^H$  a point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $D_{2r_0}(x^H)$  an open disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of radius  $2r_0$  centered at  $x^H$ .

(iii) *Moreover, the following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^{t^*} \left( \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_2^2 \right) dt \leq C,$$

where the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $t^*$ ,  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.2 in [3].  $\square$

Note that  $\partial_z v$  has higher integrability in  $[0, t^*]$ , in case it has higher integrability at the initial time. In fact we have the following:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume in addition to the conditions in Proposition 3.1 that  $\partial_z v_0 \in L^m(\Omega)$  with  $m > 2$ . Then, it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|\partial_z v\|_m \leq C \|\partial_z v_0\|_m,$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $m$ ,  $t^*$ ,  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

*Proof.* Set  $v_z = \partial_z v$ . Then,  $v_z$  satisfies

$$\partial_t v_z + v_z \cdot \nabla_H v + v \cdot \nabla_H v_z - \nabla_H \cdot v v_z - \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v d\xi \right) \partial_z v_z - \Delta_H v_z = 0.$$

Multiplying the above by  $|v_z|^{m-2} v_z$ ,  $m > 2$ , and integrating over  $\Omega$ , it follows from integrating by parts and the incompressibility condition that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v_z|^m d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} |v_z|^{m-2} \left( |\nabla_H v_z|^2 + (m-2) |\nabla_H |v_z||^2 \right) d\Omega \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left( v_z \cdot \nabla_H v |v_z|^{m-2} v_z - \nabla_H \cdot v v_z |v_z|^{m-2} v_z \right) d\Omega \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v| |v_z|^m d\Omega \\ &\leq \int_M \left( 2 \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v_z| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |v_z|^m dz \right) dM := I, \end{aligned}$$

where the fact that  $|\nabla_H v| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v_z| dz$  has been used. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C \left( \|\nabla_H v_z\|_2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2 \right) \| |v_z|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|_2 \left( \| |v_z|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|_2 + \|\nabla_H |v_z|^{\frac{m}{2}} \|_2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_H v_z|^2 |v_z|^{m-2} d\Omega + C \left( 1 + \|\nabla_H v_z\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 \right) \|v_z\|_m^m. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, it follows from Gronwall inequality that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \|v_z\|_m^m \leq e^C \int_0^{t^*} (\|\nabla_H v_z\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 + 1) dt \|\partial_z v_0\|_m^m,$$

which leads to the conclusion by Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

Now, we can extend the local strong solution to be a global one as stated in the following proposition. Note that in comparison to the global well-posedness result in [3], the required condition  $v_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  in [3] is removed here.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.2, the unique local strong solution  $v$  stated in Proposition 3.1 can be extended uniquely to be a global one such that for any finite time  $T \in (0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^T (\|\nabla_H v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_2^2) dt \leq J(T),$$

where  $J : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuously increasing function determined only by  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $\|\partial_z v_0\|_m$ ,  $m$ ,  $t^*$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Here  $t^*$  is given in Proposition 3.1.

*Proof.* Due to (iii) of Proposition 3.1, it has

$$\int_{\frac{t^*}{2}}^{t^*} (\|\nabla_H^2 v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) dt \leq C.$$

Choose a time  $t' \in (\frac{t^*}{2}, t^*)$  such that

$$\|\nabla_H^2 v\|_2^2(t') + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2(t') \leq \frac{C}{t'}.$$

By the Sobolev imbedding inequality, this implies  $\|\nabla_H v\|_6(t') \leq \frac{C}{t'}$ . Thanks to this and applying Lemma 2.2 with  $p_1 = p_2 = 6$  and  $p_3 = 2$ , one obtains

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |v(x, t')| \leq C(2\|\nabla_H v\|_6(t') + \|\partial_z v\|_2(t')) \leq \frac{C}{t'},$$

and in particular  $v(t') \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . With the aid of this and by (iii) of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, one has  $v|_{t=t'} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\partial_z v|_{t=t'} \in L^m(\Omega)$ . As a result, by viewing  $t'$  as the initial time, one can apply the result in [3] to extend the local solution  $v$  uniquely to be a global one and the corresponding estimate as stated in Proposition 3.3 holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Finally, for the  $H^2$  initial data, the following global well-posedness and a priori estimate are cited from [4].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Given a periodic function  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla_H \cdot \int_{-1}^1 v_0 dz = 0$  and satisfying the symmetric condition (1.8). Then, there is a unique global strong solution  $v$  to (1.10), subject to (1.5)–(1.7) and the following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^T (\|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_{H^1}^2) dt \leq G(T),$$

where  $G(T)$  is a continuously increasing function determined only by  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Since  $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  and recalling (1.11), the initial data  $u_0 = (v_0, w_0)$  can only be regarded as an element of  $L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$ . Thus, one needs to consider the weak form of the scaled Navier-Stokes equations (1.4). By Proposition 3.1, the unique solution  $v$  to (1.10), subject to (1.5)–(1.7) has the regularities  $v \in L^\infty(0, t^*; H^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\partial_t v \in L^2(0, t^*; L^2(\Omega))$ , and  $\nabla_H v \in L^2(0, t^*; H^1(\Omega))$ . Thanks to these facts, by virtue of a density argument, one can check that  $(v, w)$  can be chosen as testing function in the weak form in (iii) of Definition 1.1. As a result, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Given a periodic function  $v_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla_H \cdot \int_{-1}^1 v_0 dz = 0$  and satisfying the symmetric condition (1.8). Let  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  an arbitrary Leray-Hopf weak solution to (1.4) and  $v$  the unique local strong solution to (1.10), subject to (1.5)–(1.7). Then, the following integral equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|w(t_0)\|_2^2 + \left[ \int_\Omega (v_\varepsilon \cdot v + \varepsilon^2 w_\varepsilon w) d\Omega \right] (t_0) - \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon \partial_t v d\Omega dt \\ & + \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \left( \nabla_H v_\varepsilon : \nabla_H v + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z v_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z v + \varepsilon^2 \nabla_H w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H w + \varepsilon^\alpha \partial_z w_\varepsilon \partial_z w \right) d\Omega dt \\ = & \|v_0\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|w_0\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \cdot \left( \int_{-1}^z \partial_t v d\xi \right) d\Omega dt \\ & - \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \left( (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v_\varepsilon v + \varepsilon^2 u_\varepsilon \cdot w_\varepsilon w \right) d\Omega dt, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t_0 \in [0, t^*]$ , where  $t^*$  is the time of existence of  $v$ .

*Proof.* The proof is exactly the same as in Proposition 4.1 of [38] and, thus, it is omitted here.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *If we further assume that  $\partial_z v_0 \in L^m(\Omega)$ ,  $m > 2$ , then by Proposition 3.3, for any finite time  $T > 0$ , we can obtain the unique strong solution  $v$  in  $[0, T]$  to (1.10), and the result in Proposition 4.1 holds for any finite time, in other words, one can replace  $t^*$  by any positive time  $T \in [0, \infty)$ .*

Thanks to the Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.1, we are ready to establish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (i) It suffices to prove

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \left( \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) (t) + \int_0^{t^*} \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) dt \leq C(\|v_0\|_{H^1}, L_1, L_2, t^*) \varepsilon^\beta,$$

where  $\beta = \min\{\alpha - 2, 2\}$ .

As  $v$  is the unique local strong solution of (1.10), then (1.10) holds in  $L^2(\Omega \times (0, t^*))$  and consequently one can multiply (1.10) by  $v_\varepsilon$ , and integrating over  $\Omega \times (0, t_0)$ . By integrating by parts, it follows

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \left( \partial_t v \cdot v_\varepsilon + \nabla_H v : \nabla_H v_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega dt = - \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot v_\varepsilon d\Omega dt,$$

for any  $t_0 \in [0, t^*]$ . Multiplying (1.10) by  $v$  and integrating over  $\Omega \times (0, t_0)$ , it follows from integrating by parts that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|v(t_0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \|v_0\|_2^2,$$

for any  $t_0 \in [0, t^*]$ . The energy inequality in Definition 1.1 gives

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|v_\varepsilon(t_0)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_\varepsilon(t_0)\|_2^2) \\ & + \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla_H v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z w_\varepsilon\|_2^2) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v_0\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t_0 \in [0, t^*]$ , in particular for  $t_0 = 0$ . Summing (4.3) and (4.4), and then subtracting (4.2) as well as the integral equality in Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|V_\varepsilon(t_0)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon(t_0)\|_2^2) \\ & + \int_0^{t_0} (\|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) dt \\ & \leq - \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega (\varepsilon^2 \nabla_H w \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\alpha \partial_z w \partial_z W_\varepsilon) d\Omega dt \\ & - \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \cdot \left( \int_{-1}^z \partial_t v d\xi \right) d\Omega dt + \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \varepsilon^2 u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon w d\Omega dt \\ & + \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \left( (u \cdot \nabla) v \cdot v_\varepsilon + (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v_\varepsilon \cdot v \right) d\Omega dt := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t_0 \in [0, t^*]$ .

$I_1$  and  $I_2$  can be estimated directly by the Hölder and Young inequalities as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & = - \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega (\varepsilon^2 \nabla_H w \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\alpha \partial_z w \partial_z W_\varepsilon) d\Omega dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H w\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z v\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} \\ & \quad + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z w\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2) \\ & \quad + C\varepsilon^\beta (\|\nabla_H w\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + \|\partial_z v\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + \|\partial_z w\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & = -\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \cdot \left( \int_{-1}^z \partial_t v d\xi \right) d\Omega dt \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + C\varepsilon^\beta \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_{t_0} = \Omega \times (0, t_0)$ .

By the incompressibility condition (1.12), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla W_{\varepsilon} w \, d\Omega dt \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} \left( v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_H W_{\varepsilon} w - w_{\varepsilon} (\nabla_H \cdot V_{\varepsilon}) w \right) d\Omega dt =: I_{31} + I_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $I_{31}$  and  $I_{32}$ , by Lemma 2.1 and using the Young inequality, one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} I_{31} &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla_H W_{\varepsilon}| \left( \int_{-1}^z |\nabla_H \cdot v| d\xi \right) d\Omega dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |v_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla_H W_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) dM dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_2 + \|\nabla_H v_{\varepsilon}\|_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H W_{\varepsilon}\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \left[ \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 (\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H v_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2) + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2^2 \right] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|\nabla_H W_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{32} &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} |w_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla_H V_{\varepsilon}| \left( \int_{-1}^z |\nabla_H v| d\xi \right) d\Omega dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |w_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla_H V_{\varepsilon}| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) dM dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} \|w_{\varepsilon}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H w_{\varepsilon}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H V_{\varepsilon}\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{t_0} (\varepsilon^4 \|w_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 \|\nabla_H w_{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2^2) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla_H V_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the estimates of  $I_{31}$  and  $I_{32}$ , one gets

$$I_3 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|\nabla_H W_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla_H V_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + C\varepsilon^2,$$

where we have used the result of Proposition 3.1 and the energy inequality for  $(v_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon})$  in Definition 1.1.

Finally, for  $I_4$ , by the incompressibility condition and integrating by parts, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} \left( - (u \cdot \nabla) v_{\varepsilon} \cdot v + (u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) v_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \right) d\Omega dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} (U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) v_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \, d\Omega dt = \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} (U_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) V_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \, d\Omega dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} (V_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_H) V_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \, d\Omega dt + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} W_{\varepsilon} \partial_z V_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \, d\Omega dt =: I_{41} + I_{42}. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $|v| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z v| dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |v| dz$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{41} &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |V_\varepsilon| |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\partial_z v| + |v|) dz \right) dM dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + C \int_0^{t_0} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \left[ \|\partial_z v\|_2^2 (\|\partial_z v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|v\|_2^2 (\|v\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^2) + 1 \right] dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + C \int_0^{t_0} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where Proposition 3.1 has been used. For  $I_{42}$ , it can be estimated in the same way as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{42} &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_\Omega \left( \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon \cdot v - W_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z v \right) d\Omega dt \\
&\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\partial_z v| + \frac{1}{2}|v|) dz \right) dM dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |V_\varepsilon| |\partial_z v| dz \right) dM dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q_{t_0})}^2 + C \int_0^{t_0} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$I_4 \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{L^2_{t_0} L^2}^2 + C \int_0^{t_0} \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) dt.$$

Combining the above estimates of  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$ , and  $I_4$ , by Proposition 3.1, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &:= \|V_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq C \varepsilon^\beta + C \int_0^t \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) ds =: F(t),
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, t^*]$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(t) &= C(1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \leq C(1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) f(t) \\
&\leq C(1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) F(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the Gronwall inequality and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$f(t) \leq F(t) \leq e^{C \int_0^{t^*} (1 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^2) dt} F(0) \leq C \varepsilon^\beta,$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, t^*]$ , where  $C$  depends only on  $t^*$ ,  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . This proves (4.1) and, thus, (i) holds.

(ii) Similar to (i), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(t) \\
 (4.5) \quad & + \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) dt \\
 & \leq K(T) \varepsilon^\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K(T) > 0$  is a continuously increasing function determined by  $\|v_0\|_{H^1}$ ,  $\|\partial_z v_0\|_m$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $t^*$ . This can be proved exactly in the same way as (i), as in this case the a priori estimates used for proving (i) are valid up to any finite time  $T$ .  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Suppose  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla_H \cdot \int_{-1}^1 v_0 dz = 0$ . Then, by (1.11), it has  $u_0 = (v_0, w_0) \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ . By the classical theory of Navier-Stokes equations (see [12] and [50]), there is a unique local strong solution  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  to (1.4), subject to (1.5)–(1.7). Denote by  $T_\varepsilon^*$  the maximal existence time of  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ . Let  $v$  be the global strong solution to (1.10) established in Proposition 3.4.

Here we still denote  $U_\varepsilon = (V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon)$ , and  $V_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon - v$ ,  $W_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon - w$ . Since both  $v$  and  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  are strong solutions to (1.10) and (1.4), respectively, one can check that  $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1) \quad & \partial_t V_\varepsilon - \Delta_H V_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + \nabla_H P_\varepsilon \\
 & + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.2) \quad \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon + \partial_z W_\varepsilon = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad & \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t W_\varepsilon - \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon) \\
 & + \partial_z P_\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^2 (\partial_t w - \Delta_H w - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 w + u \cdot \nabla w),
 \end{aligned}$$

in  $L^2(0, T_\varepsilon^*; L^2(\Omega))$ , where  $P_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon - p$ .

Since  $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ , it is clear that (4.5) still holds when  $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*)$ . In other words, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \\
 (5.4) \quad & + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
 & \leq K_1(t) \varepsilon^\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*)$ , where  $K_1(t) : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuously increasing function determined by  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

Besides the basic energy estimate stated in the above, we also have the first order energy estimate of  $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon)$  in the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *There exists a small constant  $\sigma > 0$  depending only on  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , such that the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq K_2(t) \varepsilon^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*)$ , as long as

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \leq \sigma^2,$$

where  $K_2(t) : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuously increasing function determined by  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

*Proof.* Since (5.1) holds in  $L^2((0, T_\varepsilon^*) \times \Omega)$  and  $-\Delta V_\varepsilon \in L^2((0, T_\varepsilon^*) \times \Omega)$ , one can multiply (5.1) with  $-\Delta V_\varepsilon$ , integrating over  $\Omega$ , and by integration by parts, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega \nabla_H P_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon d\Omega \\ & = \int_\Omega \left[ (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon + (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v + (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \right] \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon d\Omega - \int_\Omega \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon d\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right hand side of the above equality as follows. By Lemma 2.1 and using  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z f| dz$ , one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon d\Omega \\ & = \int_\Omega \left( (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon + W_\varepsilon \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega \left( (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon + W_\varepsilon \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ & = \int_\Omega \left( (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon + W_\varepsilon \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ & \quad - \int_\Omega \left( (\partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon - \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|^2 \right) d\Omega \\ & \leq \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\partial_z V_\varepsilon| + |V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + 2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon| + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|^2 dz \right) dM \\ & \leq C \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ & \quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\ & \quad + C (\|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2) \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C (\|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) + C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ C(\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^4 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2)$$

Integrating by parts, using  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z f| dz$  and applying Lemma 2.1, one deduces by the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) v \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) v \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon - (\partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon - (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H) \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left( W_\varepsilon \partial_z v \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon + \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon - W_\varepsilon \partial_z^2 v \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ &\leq \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|V_\varepsilon| + |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|^2 dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H v| + |\nabla_H \partial_z v|) dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|V_\varepsilon| + |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H \partial_z v| |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z v| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z^2 v| dz \right) dM \\ & \quad + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z^2 v| |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\ &\leq C \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\ & \quad + C(\|\nabla_H v\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2) \\ & \quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2) + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2) \right] \\ & \quad + C \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C \|\partial_z^2 v\|_2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C(1 + \|v\|_{H^1}^2)(1 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2) \|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( (u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \Delta_H V_\varepsilon - (\partial_z u \cdot \nabla) V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon - (u \cdot \nabla) \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( (v \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon + w \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta_H V_\varepsilon - (\partial_z v \cdot \nabla_H) V_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z V_\varepsilon + \nabla_H \cdot v |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|^2 \right) d\Omega \\ &\leq \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|v| + |\partial_z v|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z^2 v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + 2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H v| + |\nabla_H \partial_z v|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|^2 dz \right) dM \\
\leq & C \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \left[ \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
& + C \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
& + C \|\partial_z^2 v\|_2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
& + C (\|\nabla_H v\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2) \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + 1) (\|v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

where the Poincaré inequality  $\|\nabla_H f\|_2 \leq C \|\nabla_H^2 f\|_2$  has been used in several places. The Cauchy inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Omega \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 v \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z^2 v\|_2 (\|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{16} \left( \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + C (\varepsilon^{\alpha-2} + \varepsilon^{2(\alpha-2)}) \|\partial_z^2 v\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above estimates and applying Proposition 3.4, one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left( \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + \int_\Omega \nabla_H P_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta V_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} G(t) + C(G^2(t) + 1) \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C \|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that (5.3) holds in  $L^2((0, T_\varepsilon^*) \times \Omega)$  and  $-\Delta W_\varepsilon \in L^2((0, T_\varepsilon^*) \times \Omega)$ . Multiplying (5.3) with  $-\Delta W_\varepsilon$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega \partial_z P_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
= & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon + U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w \Delta W_\varepsilon + u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega u \cdot \nabla w \Delta W_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( \partial_t w \Delta W_\varepsilon - \Delta_H w \Delta W_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 w \Delta W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \int_{-1}^1 (|\partial_z f| + \frac{1}{2}|f|) dz$ , applying Lemma 2.1, and by the Young inequality, one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
= & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon \partial_z W_\varepsilon - \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + W_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_z W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z W_\varepsilon |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2) d\Omega \\
 = & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - 2V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\
 & - \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \left( \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon dz' \right) \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
 & + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon dz' \right) \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
 \leq & C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|V_\varepsilon| + |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla W_\varepsilon| |\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 \leq & C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
 & \times \left[ \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \\
 \leq & \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{32} \|\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) + C \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the incompressibility condition (5.2) and the Poincaré inequality have been used. Similarly and using further  $|W_\varepsilon| = \left| \int_{-1}^z \partial_z W_\varepsilon(x, y, z') dz' \right| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz'$  as  $W_\varepsilon|_{z=-1} = 0$ , one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega (U_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w) \Delta W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
 = & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H w) \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - (\partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H w) \partial_z W_\varepsilon - (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H \partial_z w) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right. \\
 & \left. + W_\varepsilon \partial_z w \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 \partial_z w - W_\varepsilon \partial_z^2 w \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\
 = & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[ - \left( V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \Delta_H W_\varepsilon + \left( \partial_z V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
 & + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[ (V_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_H (\nabla_H \cdot v)) \partial_z W_\varepsilon + \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon dz' \right) \nabla_H \cdot v \Delta_H W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
 & + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 \nabla_H \cdot v + W_\varepsilon (\nabla_H \cdot \partial_z v) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\
 \leq & C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |V_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z V_\varepsilon| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|V_\varepsilon| + |\partial_z V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H v| + |\nabla_H \partial_z v|) dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H \partial_z v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
\leq & C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H \Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2 \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
& \quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2) \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2) \\
\leq & \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{32} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + 1) \\
& + C (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2 \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1) \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2 \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

The other nonlinear terms can be estimated in the same way, by using Lemma 2.1, the Poincaré inequality and  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f| dz + \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z f| dz$  as follows. In fact, one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega u \cdot \nabla W_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
= & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( v \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - v \cdot \nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon \partial_z W_\varepsilon - \partial_z v \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right. \\
& \quad \left. + w \partial_z W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \partial_z w |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 \right) d\Omega \\
= & \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left( v \cdot \nabla_H W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon + \nabla_H \cdot v |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 \right) d\Omega \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \left[ \left( \partial_z v \cdot \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon dz' \right) \partial_z W_\varepsilon - \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \Delta_H W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
\leq & C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|v| + |\partial_z v|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H W_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H v| + |\nabla_H \partial_z v|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_\varepsilon|^2 dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 V_\varepsilon| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
\leq & C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left[ \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_2) \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2 (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2) \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H V_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
 & \quad \times \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
 & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad \times \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{32} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \nabla w) \Delta W_\varepsilon \, d\Omega \\
 & = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left( (v \cdot \nabla_H w) \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - (\partial_z v \cdot \nabla_H w) \partial_z W_\varepsilon - (v \cdot \nabla_H \partial_z w) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + w \partial_z w \Delta_H W_\varepsilon - |\partial_z w|^2 \partial_z W_\varepsilon - w \partial_z^2 w \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\
 & = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left[ - \left( v \cdot \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \Delta_H W_\varepsilon + \left( \partial_z v \cdot \nabla_H \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
 & \quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left[ (v \cdot \nabla_H (\nabla_H \cdot v)) \partial_z W_\varepsilon + \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \nabla_H \cdot v \Delta_H W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
 & \quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla_H \cdot v|^2 \nabla_H \cdot V_\varepsilon - \left( \int_{-1}^z \nabla_H \cdot v dz' \right) \nabla_H \cdot \partial_z v \partial_z W_\varepsilon \right] d\Omega \\
 & \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |v| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_z v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|v| + |\partial_z v|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H^2 v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| |\Delta_H W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 (|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon| + |\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon|) dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v|^2 dz \right) dM \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \int_M \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H v| dz \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |\nabla_H \partial_z v| |\partial_z W_\varepsilon| dz \right) dM \\
 & \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_H \Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2 \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla_H v\|_2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2 \\
 & \quad + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\nabla_H \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2) \|\nabla_H v\|_2 \|\Delta_H v\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H \partial_z v\|_2 \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_H \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_H v\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{32} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C\varepsilon^2 \|v\|_{H^2}^3 (\|v\|_{H^2} + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality, the incompressibility condition, and integrating by parts, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \left( \partial_t w \Delta W_\varepsilon - \Delta_H w \Delta W_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \partial_z^2 w \Delta W_\varepsilon \right) d\Omega \\
& = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \partial_t w \Delta_H W_\varepsilon d\Omega - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \partial_z w \partial_z W_\varepsilon d\Omega - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta_H w \Delta_H W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
& \quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta_H \partial_z w \partial_z W_\varepsilon d\Omega - \varepsilon^\alpha \int_{\Omega} \partial_z^2 w \Delta_H W_\varepsilon d\Omega + \varepsilon^\alpha \int_{\Omega} \partial_z^3 w \partial_z W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \|\Delta_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\partial_t v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2) + C\varepsilon^2 \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, collecting the above estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \frac{11}{16} \left( \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega} \partial_z P_\varepsilon \Delta W_\varepsilon d\Omega \\
& \leq C \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{8} \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \\
(5.6) \quad & + C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) \\
& + C \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) + C (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + 1) \\
& + C (\varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (\|v\|_{H^2}^4 + \|v\|_{H^2}^2 \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1) \\
& + C\varepsilon^2 \|v\|_{H^2}^3 (\|v\|_{H^2} + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}) + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\partial_t v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.5), (5.6) and by Proposition 3.4, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{5}{8} \left( \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \leq C_1 (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) \\
& \quad + C (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (G^2(t) + 1) + C \|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) \\
& \quad + C (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (G^2(t) + G(t) \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1) \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^2 G^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) (G^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}) + C\varepsilon^{\alpha-2} G(t) + C\varepsilon^2 (\|\partial_t v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

from which, by the assumption  $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \leq \sigma^2$ , letting  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{16C_1}$ , and recalling (5.4), one can see

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left( \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z \nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) \\
& \leq C (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2) (G^2(t) + K_1(t) \varepsilon^\beta + 1) \\
& \quad + CK_1(t) \varepsilon^\beta [K_1(t) \varepsilon^\beta + G^2(t) + G(t) \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1] \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^\beta (G^3(t) + \|\partial_t v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_H v\|_{H^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling  $(V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = 0$ , it follows from the Gronwall inequality and Proposition 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^\beta e^{Ct(G^2(t) + K_1(t)\varepsilon^\beta + 1)} \left[ t(K_1^2(t) + G^4(t) + 1) + K_1(t)G(t) + 1 \right] := K_2(t)\varepsilon^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

proving the conclusion.  $\square$

The next proposition shows that the smallness condition of  $(\nabla_H V_\varepsilon, \varepsilon W_\varepsilon)$  in Proposition 5.1 holds for any finite time  $T > 0$  provided  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ , where  $\varepsilon_T$  is a positive constant depending on  $T$ . As a result, the local strong solution  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  of (1.4) exists in  $[0, T]$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $T_\varepsilon^*$  be the maximal existence time of the unique local strong solution  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  to (1.4), subject to (1.5)–(1.7). Then for any finite time  $T > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_T$  depending only on  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $T$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , such that  $T < T_\varepsilon^*$ , as long as  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ , and that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)(t) \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & \leq K_3(T)\varepsilon^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_3(t)$  is a nonnegative continuously increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$  determined only by  $\|v_0\|_{H^2}$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

*Proof.* Set  $T_\varepsilon^{**} = \min\{T, T_\varepsilon^*\}$ . Then, by (5.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_\varepsilon^{**}} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(t) \\ (5.7) \quad & \quad + \int_0^{T_\varepsilon^{**}} \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ & \leq K_1(T)\varepsilon^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the constant in Proposition 5.1. Define

$$t_\varepsilon^* := \sup \left\{ t \in (0, T_\varepsilon^{**}) \mid \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) \leq \sigma^2 \right\}.$$

By Proposition 5.1, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(s) \\ (5.8) \quad & \quad + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla \nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla \partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\nabla \partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq K_2(t)\varepsilon^\beta \leq K_2(T)\varepsilon^\beta \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t \in [0, t_\varepsilon^*]$  and for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ , where  $\varepsilon_T = \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{2K_2(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ . Therefore,

$$(5.9) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t < t_\varepsilon^*} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2)(t) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T).$$

By the definition of  $t_\varepsilon^*$ , this implies  $t_\varepsilon^* = T_\varepsilon^{**}$  and, consequently, (5.8) holds for any  $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^{**})$ .

We claim that  $T_\varepsilon^{**} \geq T$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ . Assume in contradiction that  $T_\varepsilon^{**} < T$ , i.e.,  $T_\varepsilon^* < T$ . This implies the maximal existence time of  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  is finite and, consequently, recalling Proposition 3.4, it must have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow (T_\varepsilon^*)^-} (\|\nabla V_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla W_\varepsilon\|_2^2) = \infty,$$

which contradicts to (5.8). This contradiction implies  $T_\varepsilon^{**} \geq T$  and thus  $T_\varepsilon^* \geq T$ . Thanks this and combining (5.7) and (5.8), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)(t) \\ & + \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & \leq (K_1(T) + K_2(T))\varepsilon^\beta := K_3(T)\varepsilon^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the conclusion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For any finite time  $T > 0$ , let  $\varepsilon_T$  be the constant in Proposition 5.2. Then, by Proposition 5.2, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_T)$ , the scaled Navier-Stokes system (1.4)–(1.7) exists a unique strong solution  $(v_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$  in  $[0, T]$ . While the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)(t) \\ & + \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_H V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_H W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^{\alpha-2} \|\partial_z V_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \varepsilon^\alpha \|\partial_z W_\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \right) dt \\ & \leq K_3(T)\varepsilon^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly estimate stated in Theorem 1.2. The convergences are the direct corollaries of the above estimate. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The work of J.L. was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11971009, 11871005, and 11771156) and by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2019A1515011621, 2020B1515310005, 2020B1515310002, and 2021A1515010247). The work of E.S.T. was supported in part by the Einstein Stiftung/Foundation-Berlin, through the Einstein Visiting Fellow Program.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Azérad, P.; Guillén-González, F. Mathematical justification of the hydrostatic approximation in the primitive equations of geophysical fluid dynamics. *SIMA J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2001), 847-859.
- [2] Bresch, D.; Lemoine, J.; Simon, J. A vertical diffusion model for lakes, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **30** (1999), 603-622.

- [3] Cao, C.; Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with only horizontal dissipation: Near H1 initial data. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**(2017), no. 11, 4606-4641. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2017.01.018
- [4] Cao, C.; Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional primitive equations with only horizontal viscosity and Diffusion. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **69**(2016), 1492-1531.
- [5] Cao, C.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with partial vertical turbulence mixing heat diffusion. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **310** (2012), no. 2, 537-568. doi:10.1007/s00220-011-1409-4
- [6] Cao, C.; Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Local and global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with vertical eddy diffusivity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **214** (2014), no. 1, 35-76. doi:10.1007/s00205-014-0752-y
- [7] Cao, C.; Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness of strong solutions to the 3D primitive equations with horizontal eddy diffusivity. *J. Differential Equations* **257** (2014), no. 11, 4108-4132. doi:10.1016/j.jde.2014.08.003
- [8] Cao, C.; Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with horizontal viscosity and vertical diffusivity. *Physica D* **412** (2020), 1-25. doi:10.1016/j.physd.2020.132606
- [9] Cao, C.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **166** (2007), no. 1, 245-267. doi:10.4007/annals.2007.166.245
- [10] Cao, C.; Titi, E.S. Global well-posedness and finite-dimensional global attractor for a 3-D planetary geostrophic viscous model. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), no. 2, 198-233. doi:10.1002/cpa.10056
- [11] Cao, C.; Ibrahim, S.; Nakanishi, K.; Titi, E.S. Finite-time blowup for the inviscid primitive equations of oceanic and atmospheric dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015), 473-482.
- [12] Constantin, P.; Foias, C. Navier-Stokes Equations, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1988.
- [13] Coti Zelati, M.; Frémond, M.; Temam, R.; Tribbia, J. The equations of the atmosphere with humidity and saturation: Uniqueness and physical bounds. *Physica D* **264** (2013), 49-65. doi:10.1016/j.physd.2013.08.007
- [14] Fain, B.L. Imbedding theorems for spaces of functions with partial derivatives that are summable in various powers. *Mathematical Notes* (1975), 814-822. doi: 10.1007/BF01095438
- [15] Fang, D.; Han, B. Global well-posedness for the 3D primitive equations in anisotropic framework. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **484**(2020). doi:10.1010/j.jmaa.2019.123714
- [16] Furukawa, K.; Giga, Y.; Hieber, M.; Hussein, A.; Kashiwabara, T.; Wrona, M. Rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation for the primitive equations by scaled Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinearity* **33** (2020), no. 12, 6502-6516. doi: 10.1088/1361-6544/aba509
- [17] Furukawa, K.; Giga, Y.; Kashiwabara T. The hydrostatic approximation for the primitive equations by the scaled Navier-Stokes equations under the no-slip boundary condition. arXiv:2006.02300
- [18] Gao, H.; Nečasová, S.; Tang, T. On the hydrostatic approximation of compressible anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations-rigorous justification. arXiv:2011.04810
- [19] Gatapov, B. V.; Kazhikhov, A. V. Existence of a global solution of a model problem of atmospheric dynamics. (Russian. Russian summary) *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, **46** (2005), no. 5, 1011-1020; translation in *Siberian Math. J.*, **46** (2005), no. 5, 805-812
- [20] Ghoul, T.E.; Ibrahim, S.; Lin, Q.; Titi, E.S. On the effect of rotation on the life-span of analytic solutions to the 3D inviscid primitive equations. arXiv:2010.01740
- [21] Giga, Y.; Gries, M.; Hieber, M.; Hussein, A.; Kashiwabara, T. The primitive equations in the scaling invariant space  $L^\infty(L^1)$ . arXiv:1710.04434
- [22] Giga, Y.; Gries, M.; Hieber, M.; Hussein, A.; Kashiwabara, T. The hydrostatic Stokes semigroup and well-posedness of the primitive equations on spaces of bounded functions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **279** (2020). doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108561
- [23] Guo, B.; Huang, D. On the 3D viscous primitive equations of the large-scale atmosphere. *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **29** (2009), no. 4, 846-866. doi:10.1016/S0252-9602(09)60074-6
- [24] Guo, B.; Huang, D. Existence of weak solutions and trajectory attractors for the moist atmospheric equations in geophysics. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006), no. 8, 083508, 23pp. doi: 10.1063/1.2245207

- [25] Haltiner, G.; Williams, R. Numerical Weather Prediction and Dynamic Meteorology, second edition, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [26] Hieber, M.; Kashiwabara, T. Global strong well-posedness of the three dimensional primitive equations in  $L^p$ -spaces. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **221** (2016), 1077-1115.
- [27] Hieber, M.; Hussein, A.; Kashiwabara, T. Global strong  $L^p$  well-posedness of the 3D primitive equations with heat and salinity diffusion. *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016), 6950-6981.
- [28] Hittmeir, S.; Klein, R.; Li, J.; Titi, E. S. Global well-posedness for passively transported nonlinear moisture dynamics with phase changes. *Nonlinearity.*, **30**(2017), 3676-3718. doi:10.1088/1361-6544/aa82f1
- [29] Hittmeir, S.; Klein, R.; Li, J.; Titi, E. S. Global well-posedness for the primitive equations coupled to nonlinear moisture dynamics with phase changes. *Nonlinearity.*, **33**(2020), 3206-3236. doi: 10.1088/1361-6544/ab834f
- [30] Hussein, A.; Saal, M.; Wrona, M. Primitive equations with horizontal viscosity: The initial value and the time-periodic problem for physical boundary conditions. arXiv:1902.03186v3
- [31] Ibrahim, S.; Lin, Q.; Titi, E.S. Finite-time blowup and ill-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the inviscid primitive equations with rotation. arXiv:2009.04017
- [32] Jiu, Q.; Li, M.; Wang, F. Uniqueness of the global weak solutions to 2D compressible primitive equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **461** (2018), no. 2, 1653–1671.
- [33] Ju, N. On  $H^2$  solutions and z-weak solutions of the 3D Primitive Equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **66** (2017), no. 3, 973-996. doi:10.1512/iumj.2017.66.6065
- [34] Kobelkov, G.M. Existence of a solution in the large for the 3D large-scale ocean dynamics equations. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **343** (2006), no. 4, 283-286. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2006.04.020
- [35] Kukavica, I.; Ziane, M. On the regularity of the primitive equations of the ocean. *Nonlinearity* **20** (2007), no. 12, 2739-2753. doi:10.1088/0951-7715/20/12/001
- [36] Kukavica, I.; Pei, Y.; Rusin, W.; Ziane, M. Primitive equations with continuous initial data. *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), no. 6, 1135-1155. doi:10.1088/0951-7715/27/6/1135
- [37] Lewandowski, R. Analyse Mathématique et Océanographie, Masson, Paris, 1997.
- [38] Li, J.; Titi, E.S. The primitive equations as the small aspect ratio limit of the Navier-Stokes equations: Rigorous justification of the hydrostatic approximation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **124** (2019). 30-58. doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2018.04.006
- [39] Li, J.; Titi, E.S. Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to viscous primitive equations for a certain class of discontinuous initial data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **49** (2017), no. 1, 1-28. doi: 10.1137/15M1050513
- [40] Lian, R.; Zeng, Q.C. Existence of a strong solution and trajectory attractor for a climate dynamics model with topography effects. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458** (2018), no. 1, 628-675. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.09.025
- [41] Lions, J.-L.; Temam, R.; Wang, S.H. New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications. *Nonlinearity* **5** (1992), no. 2, 237-288.
- [42] Lions, J.-L.; Temam, R.; Wang, S.H. On the equations of the large-scale ocean. *Nonlinearity* **5** (1992), no. 5, 1007-1053.
- [43] Lions, J.-L.; Temam, R.; Wang, S.H. Mathematical theory for the coupled atmosphere-ocean models. (CAO III). *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **74** (1995), no. 2, 105-163.
- [44] Liu, X.; Titi, E. S. Local well-posedness of strong solutions to the three-dimensional compressible primitive equations. arXiv:1806.09868
- [45] Liu, X.; Titi, E. S. Global Existence of weak solutions to the compressible primitive equations of atmospheric dynamics with degenerate viscosities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019), no. 3, 1913-1964. doi:10.1137/18M1211994
- [46] Liu, X.; Titi, E. S. Zero Mach number limit of the compressible primitive equations: well-prepared initial data. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **238** (2020), no. 2, 705–747.
- [47] Liu, X.; Titi, E. S. Justification of the hydrostatic approximation of compressible flows. Preprint
- [48] Majda, A. *Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean*. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics,9. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2003.
- [49] Pedlosky, J. *Geophysical fluid dynamics*. Second edition. Springer, New York, 1987.

- [50] Temam, R. Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis, revised edition, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1979.
- [51] Vallis, G.K. *Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [52] Wang, F.; Dou, C.; Jiu, Q. Global existence of weak solutions to 3D compressible primitive equations with degenerate viscosity. *J. Math. Phys.*, **61** (2020), no. 2, 021507, 33 pp.
- [53] Washington, W.M.; Parkinson, C.L. *An introduction to three dimensional climate modeling*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [54] Wong, T.K. Blowup of solutions of the hydrostatic Euler equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **143** (2015), 1119-1125.
- [55] Zeng, Q.C. *Mathematical and Physical Foundations of Numerical Weather Prediction*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979.

(J. Li) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, CHINA

*Email address:* jklimath@m.scnu.edu.cn; jklimath@gmail.com

(Edriss S. Titi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, 3368 TAMU, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA. DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND THEORETICAL PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WA, U.K. ALSO, DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL.

*Email address:* titi@math.tamu.edu; Edriss.Titi@damtp.cam.ac.uk

(G. Yuan) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, CHINA

*Email address:* shenggaoxii@163.com