THE LANDAU AND NON-CUTOFF BOLTZMANN EQUATION IN UNION OF CUBES

DINGQUN DENG

ABSTRACT. The existence and stability of collisional kinetic equation, especially non-cutoff Boltzmann equation, in bounded domain with physical boundary condition is longstanding open problem. This work proves the global stability of the Landau equation and non-cutoff Boltzmann equation in union of cubes with the specular reflection boundary condition when an initial datum is near Maxwellian. Moreover, the solution enjoys exponential large-time decay in bounded domain. Our method is based on that fact that normal derivatives in cubes is also derivatives along axis, which allows us to obtain high-order derivative estimates.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	. Equation and Domain	1
1.2	. Main Result	4
2.	Preliminary	6
3.	Macroscopic Estimates	8
4.	Global existence	21
5.	Local existence	24
6.	Appendix	28
References		28

1. Introduction

1.1. **Equation and Domain.** Boundary effects play a crucial role in the dynamics of collisional kinetic equation:

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \quad F(0, x, v) = F_0(x, v),$$

where F(t, x, v) denotes the particle distribution at time $t \geq 0$, position $x \in \Omega$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and F_0 denotes its initial datum. Throughout the paper, we consider the following two kinds of kinetic collision operator.

1.1.1. Landau collision operator. For Landau collision operator, Q is given by

$$Q(G,F) = \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(v - v') \left[G(v') \nabla_v F(v) - F(v) \nabla_v G(v') \right] dv'$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_{v_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{ij} (v - v') \left[G(v') \partial_{v_j} F(v) - F(v) \partial_{v_j} G(v') \right] dv'.$$

1

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q20, 76P05, 82C40.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Kinetic Theory, Boundary problem, Landau equation, Boltzmann equation, Global solutions.

2

The non-negative definite matrix-valued function $\phi = [\phi^{ij}(v)]_{1 \le i,j \le 3}$ takes the form of

$$\phi^{ij}(v) = \left\{ \delta_{ij} - \frac{v_i v_j}{|v|^2} \right\} |v|^{\gamma+2},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and $\gamma \geq -3$ is the interaction potential between particles. It is convenient to call it *hard potential* when $\gamma \geq -2$ and *soft potential* when $-3 \leq \gamma < -2$. The case $\gamma = -3$ corresponds to the physically realistic Coulomb interactions; cf. [13].

1.1.2. Non-cutoff Boltzmann collision operator. For Boltzmann collision operator without angular cutoff, Q is defined by

$$Q(G, F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) [G(v_*') F(v') - G(v_*) F(v)] d\sigma dv_*.$$

In this expression v, v_* and v', v'_* are velocity pairs given in terms of the σ -representation by

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2,$$

that satisfy that $v+v_*=v'+v'_*$ and $|v|^2+|v_*|^2=|v'|^2+|v'_*|^2$. The Boltzmann collision kernel $B(v-v_*,\sigma)$ depends only on $|v-v_*|$ and the deviation angle θ through $\cos\theta=\frac{v-v_*}{|v-v_*|}\cdot\sigma$. Without loss of generality we can assume $B(v-v_*,\sigma)$ is supported on $0\leq\theta\leq\pi/2$, since one can reduce the situation with symmetrization: $\overline{B}(v-v_*,\sigma)=B(v-v_*,\sigma)+B(v-v_*,-\sigma)$. Moreover, we assume

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C_B |v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta),$$

for some $C_B > 0$. $|v - v_*|^{\gamma}$ is called the kinetic part and $b(\cos \theta)$ is called the angular part. For non-cutoff Boltzmann case, we assume that there exist $C_b > 0$ and 0 < s < 1 such that

$$\frac{1}{C_b \theta^{1+2s}} \le \sin \theta b(\cos \theta) \le \frac{C_b}{\theta^{1+2s}}, \quad \forall \, \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

It is convenient call it hard potential when $\gamma + 2s \ge 0$ and soft potential when $-3 < \gamma + 2s < 0$. Throughout the paper, we assume $\gamma \ge -3$ for Landau case and $\gamma > \max\{-3, -\frac{3}{2} - 2s\}$ for Boltzmann case.

1.1.3. Bounded Domain. In this paper, we consider the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ that is the unions of finitely many cubes: $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$, for some $N \geq 1$, where $\Omega_i = (a_{i,1},b_{i,1}) \times (a_{i,2},b_{i,2}) \times (a_{i,3},b_{i,3})$ with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that Ω could be non-convex. Then $\partial \Omega$ is divided into three kinds of boundary: $\partial \Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i$, where Γ_i is orthogonal to axis x_i and is the union of finitely many connected sets. We also assume that Γ_i is of non-zero spherical measure. Since the boundary of Γ_i 's is of zero spherical measure, we don't distinguish Γ_i and the interior of Γ_i .

The unit normal outward vector n(x) exists on $\partial\Omega$ almost everywhere with respect to spherical measure. On the interior of $\Gamma_i(i=1,2,3)$, we have $n(x)=e_i$ or $-e_i$, where e_i is the unit vector with *i*th-component being 1 and the other components being 0. We will denote vectors $\tau_1(x), \tau_2(x)$ on boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $(n(x), \tau_1(x), \tau_2(x))$ form a unit orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . In this case,

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} g \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} g n_i \, dS(x) = \int_{\Gamma_i} g n_i \, dS(x).$$

The boundary of the phase space is

$$\gamma := \{ (x, v) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \}.$$

With n = n(x) being the outward normal direction at $x \in \partial\Omega$, we decompose γ as

$$\gamma_{-} = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v < 0\}, \text{ (the incoming set)},$$
$$\gamma_{+} = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v > 0\}, \text{ (the outgoing set)},$$

$$\gamma_0 = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v = 0\}, \text{ (the grazing set).}$$

We consider, in this paper, the Specular reflection boundary condition:

$$F(t,x,v) = F(t,x,R_xv)$$
, on $(x,v) \in \gamma_-$, where $R_xv := v - 2n(x)(n(x) \cdot v)$.

There have been many contribution in the study of Boltzmann and Landau boundary value problems: [3,4,8,14-17,19,21,22,28]. For global stability in the perturbation framework, since the fundamental work by Guo [14] on L^2-L^∞ method, there are plenty of results developed for Boltzmann equation and Landau equation. For instance, Guo-Kim-Tonon-Trescases [16] gave regularity of cutoff Boltzmann equation with several physical boundary conditions. Esposito-Guo-Kim-Marra [8] constructed a non-equilibrium stationary solution. Kim-Lee [19] studied cutoff Boltzmann equation with specular boundary condition with external potential. Liu-Yang [20] extended the result in [14] to cutoff soft potential case. Cao-Kim-Lee [3] proved the global existence for Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann with diffuse boundary condition. Guo-Hwang-Jang-Ouyang [15] gave the global stability of Landau equation with specular reflection boundary. Duan-Liu-Sakamoto-Strain [7] proved the global existence for Landau and non-cutoff Boltzmann equation in finite channel.

Despite extensive developments in the study of Landau equation and Boltzmann equation, many basic boundary problems such as the global existence and uniqueness of non-cutoff Boltzmann equation in bounded domain have remain open.

1.1.4. Reformulation. We write

$$F(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \mu^{1/2}(v)f(t, x, v),$$

where μ is the global Maxwellian:

$$\mu = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}.$$

Then the function f satisfies

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f) \quad f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \tag{1.1}$$

where the linearized collision operator L and nonlinear collision operator Γ are given by

$$Lf = \mu^{-1/2}Q(\mu, \mu^{1/2}f) + \mu^{-1/2}Q(\mu^{1/2}f, \mu),$$

and

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \mu^{-1/2} Q(\mu^{1/2} f, \mu^{1/2} f),$$

respectively. The specular boundary condition is given by

$$f(t, x, v) = f(t, x, R_x v), \text{ on } (x, v) \in \gamma_-, \text{ where } R_x v := v - 2n(x)(n(x) \cdot v).$$
 (1.2)

The kernel of L is the span of $\{\mu^{1/2}, v_i \mu^{1/2} (1 \le i \le 3), |v|^2 \mu^{1/2} \}$. Then we denote **P** to be the projection onto ker L:

$$\mathbf{P}f = (a + b \cdot v + c|v|^2)\mu^{1/2}(v), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$a = (f, \mu^{1/2})_{L^2}, \quad b = (f, v\mu^{1/2})_{L^2}, \quad c = (f, |v|^2\mu^{1/2})_{L^2}.$$

1.2. Main Result. Before presenting the main results, we specify some notations to be used through the paper. Let $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1+|v|^2}$ and $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1} \partial^{\alpha_2}_{x_2} \partial^{\alpha_3}_{x_3}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is the multi-index. If each component of α_1 is not greater than that of α 's, we denote by $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha$. We will write C > 0(large) and $\lambda > 0$ (small) to be generic constants, which may change from line to line. I is the identity mapping. $\mathbf{1}_S$ is the indicator function on a set S. Denote the L^2_v and $L^2_{x,v}$, respectively, as

$$|f|_{L_v^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^2 dv, \quad ||f||_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|_{L_v^2}^2 dx.$$

Denote L_B^2 to be the L_v^2 space inside a ball B. Define the weight function

$$w = w(v) = \exp\left(\frac{q\langle v\rangle^{\vartheta}}{4}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

We assume the following condition on q and ϑ :

$$\begin{cases} q=0, & \text{for hard potential in both Boltzmann and Landau case,} \\ \vartheta=1, & \text{for soft potential in Boltzmann case,} \\ \vartheta\in[1,2] \text{ and retrict } q<1 \text{ when } \vartheta=0, \text{ for soft potential in Landau case.} \end{cases}$$
 (1.5)

For Landau equation, we denote

$$\sigma^{ij}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{ij}(v - v') \mu(v') \, dv', \quad \sigma^i(v) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{ij}(v - v') \frac{v'_j}{2} \mu(v') \, dv'.$$

and the dissipation norm as

$$|f|_{L^2_{D,w}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2 \left(\sigma^{ij} \partial_{v_i} \partial_{v_j} + \sigma^{ij} \frac{v_i}{2} \frac{v_j}{2} |f|^2 \right) dv, \quad ||f||_{L^2_x L^2_D}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|_{L^2_D}^2 dx.$$

We also denote $|f|_{L^2_D} := |f|_{L^2_D}$. For non-cutoff Boltzmann equation, as in [11], we denote

$$|f|_{L_D^2}^2 := |\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma+2s}{2}} f|_{L_v^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv \, \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv' \, \frac{(f'-f)^2}{d(v,v')^{3+2s}} \mathbf{1}_{d(v,v') \le 1},$$

and

4

$$|f|_{L^2_{D,w}}^2 = |wf|_{L^2_D}^2, \quad ||f||_{L^2_x L^2_{D,w}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|_{L^2_{D,w}}^2 dx.$$

The fractional differentiation effects are measured using the anisotropic metric on the lifted paraboloid $d(v,v'):=\{|v-v'|^2+\frac{1}{4}(|v|^2-|v'|^2)^2\}^{1/2}$.

To capture the energy estimate of Landau and non-cutoff Boltzmann equation, we introduce the "instant energy functional" $\mathcal{E}(t)$ and the "dissipation energy functional" $\mathcal{D}(t)$:

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \approx ||f(t)||_{H^2L^2}^2,$$
 (1.6)

$$\mathcal{D}(t) := \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f(t)\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2.$$
 (1.7)

Also, we denote the weighted energy functional by

$$\mathcal{E}_w(t) \approx \|wf(t)\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2,$$
 (1.8)

and

$$\mathcal{D}_w(t) := \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f(t)\|_{L_x^2 L_{D,w}^2}^2.$$
 (1.9)

Note that for hard potential, we have w = 1 and hence $\mathcal{E}(t) \approx \mathcal{E}_w(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}(t) = \mathcal{D}_w(t)$. Moreover, we can write the conservation laws on mass and energy as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \binom{1}{|v|^2} \sqrt{\mu} f_0(x, v) \, dv dx = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

For large-time behavior, we define index

$$p = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for hard potential in both Boltzmann and Landau case,} \\ \frac{1}{-\gamma - 2s + 1}, & \text{for soft potential in Boltzmann case,} \\ \frac{\vartheta}{-\gamma - 2 + \vartheta}, & \text{for soft potential in Landau case.} \end{cases}$$
(1.11)

Next we present the main result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $\gamma > \max\{-3, -2s - \frac{3}{2}\}$ for Boltzmann case and $\gamma \geq -3$ for Landau case. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $F_0(x, v) = \mu + \mu^{1/2} f_0(x, v) \geq 0$ satisfying (1.10) and

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} \le \varepsilon_0, \tag{1.12}$$

then there exists a unique global mild solution f = f(t, x, v) to the problem (1.1) and (1.2) satisfying that $F(t, x, v) = \mu + \mu^{1/2} f(t, x, v) \ge 0$ and for T > 0,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}(t) \, dt \le \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2,$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t)$, $\mathcal{D}(t)$ are defined in (1.6), (1.7) respectively. For large-time behavior, we assume additionally

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|w\partial^{\alpha} f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2} \le \varepsilon_0, \tag{1.13}$$

where w is defined by (1.4). Let $p \in (0,1]$ be given in (1.11), then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the solution enjoys time decay estimate

$$||wf(t)||_{H_x^2 L_y^2} \lesssim e^{-\delta t^p} ||wf_0||_{H_x^2 L_y^2}.$$
(1.14)

We will make a few comments on Theorem 1.1. Our main target throughout the paper is to study the global well-posedness for Landau equation and non-cutoff equation in union of cubes with physical boundary condition; namely, the specular reflection boundary condition. In unions of finitely many cubes, one can define the normal derivatives as well as derivatives along axis on boundary by using the equation. They satisfy the specular reflection condition with sign \pm ; see Lemma 3.1. Then the boundary effect arising from $v \cdot \nabla_x f$ vanishes with this nice property.

As illustrated in [16], there should be singularity at the boundary if the domain is a ball and the singularity may propagate for in-flow injection, diffuse reflection and bounce-back reflection boundary conditions [18]. Theorem 1.1 implies that Boltzmann equation behave different in cubes and in balls. Also, specular reflection boundary problem is different from other kinds of boundary conditions. In our case, we are able to construct $H_x^2 L_v^2$ solutions.

The reason for choosing boundary Ω being union of cubes is the following. Firstly, normal derivatives in cubes is also derivatives along axis. It follows that we can estimate the high-order derivatives on boundary as normal derivative. In order to deal with normal derivatives on boundary, we will apply

$$v \cdot \nabla_x f = v \cdot n(x) \partial_n f + v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} f + v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} f.$$

Together with equation (1.1), we are able to define the boundary value for $\partial_n f$:

$$\partial_n f = \frac{1}{v \cdot n} \Big(-v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} f - v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} f - \partial_t f + L f + \Gamma(f, f) \Big).$$

One can deduce that $\partial_n f(x, R_x v) = -\partial_n f(x, R_x v)$ on $v \cdot n(x) \neq 0$. This is also called the compatible condition. When deriving the energy estimates with derivative ∂_{x_i} , it's necessary to note that ∂_{x_i} is also the normal derivative on Γ_i . That is, $\partial_{x_i} f = \partial_n f$ or $\partial_{x_i} f = -\partial_n f$ on boundary Γ_i and we can derive the specular reflection boundary condition for high-order derivative, which is frequently used in this paper; see Lemma 3.1.

Next we give a short illustration for the vanishing boundary term. Taking inner product of $v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha} f$ with test function Φ over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$, the boundary term occurs:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, v) \Phi(x, v) \, dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v) \Phi(x, R_x v) \, dv dS(x). \quad (1.15)$$

Although we have (1.2), $\partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v)$ has different properties when taking normal derivative and tangent derivatives; see (3.4) and (3.5). However, it's hard to evaluate $\partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v)$ on boundary for general bounded domain., Therefore, we choose Ω to be unions of finitely many cubes to ensure that $\partial_{x_i} \in \{\pm \partial_n, \pm \partial_{\tau_1}, \pm \partial_{\tau_2}\}$. Then one can apply (3.4) and (3.5) to make sure (1.15) vanish with nicely chosen Φ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic estimates for linearized collision operator and nonlinear collision operator. In Section 3, the macroscopic estimates for Landau and Boltzmann equation was derived. In Section 4, we are able to prove the global existence with the *a priori* estimates and the local existence. In Section 5, we give the proof of local existence for completeness. The Appendix 6 is devoted to Carleman representation for Boltzmann equation.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we provide several Lemmas on collision operator L and $\Gamma(f,g)$. The first Lemma is concerned with weighted coercive estimate on L. The second Lemma is devoted to the trilinear estimate on $\Gamma(f,g)$ with velocity weight.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $\gamma \geq -3$ for Landau case and $\gamma > \max\{-3, -2s - 3/2\}$ for Boltzmann case. Then there exists decomposition for linearized collision operator

$$L = -A + K$$

such that

6

$$(Af, f)_{L_v^2} \ge c_0 |f|_{L_D^2}^2, (2.1)$$

$$(w^2 A f, f)_{L_v^2} \ge c_0 |f|_{L_{D,w}^2}^2 - C |f|_{L_v^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

and K is a bounded operator on L_v^2 . Moreover,

$$(-Lf, f)_{L_v^2} \ge c_0 |\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f|_{L_D^2}^2, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$(-w^2 L f, f)_{L_v^2} \ge c_0 |f|_{L_{D,w}}^2 - C|f|_{L_{B_G}}^2, \tag{2.4}$$

for some generic constant $c_0, C > 0$.

Proof. The proof of (2.3) can be found in [13, Lemma 5] for Landau case and [11, (2.13)] for Boltzmann case. The proof of (2.4) can be found in [26, Lemma 9] for Landau case and [6, Lemma 2.7] for Boltzmann case. Note from (1.5) that when $\gamma \geq -2$ in Landau case and $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ in Boltzmann case, we have q = 0 and hence, w = 1. That is, it's not necessary to include any velocity weight in the *hard* potential cases. (2.2) follows from the (2.4) and the boundedness of K. Thus, we only prove (2.1) and the boundedness of K in the following.

For the proof of (2.1), we proceed in two cases.

Case I: Landau equation. For Landau equation, we will apply the decomposition L = A + K in [27, Section 4.2]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ small and choose a smooth cutoff function $\chi(|v|) \in [0, 1]$ such that $\chi(|v|) = 1$ if $|v| < \varepsilon$; $\chi(|v|) = 0$ if $|v| > 2\varepsilon$. Then we can split L = -A + K with

$$-Af = \partial_{v_i}(\sigma^{ij}\partial_{v_j}f) - \sigma^{ij}\frac{v_i}{2}\frac{v_j}{2}f + \partial_{v_i}\sigma^i\mathbf{1}_{|v|>R}f + A_1f + (K_1 - \mathbf{1}_{|v|\leq R}K_1\mathbf{1}_{|v|\leq R})f,$$

$$Kf = \partial_{v_i}\sigma^i\mathbf{1}_{|v|< R}f + \mathbf{1}_{|v|< R}K_1\mathbf{1}_{|v|< R}f,$$

$$(2.5)$$

where R > 0 is to be chosen large, $\varepsilon > 0$ is to be chosen small, and A_1 and K_1 are respectively given by

$$A_1 f = -\mu^{-1/2} \partial_{v_i} \left\{ \mu \left[\left(\phi^{ij} \chi \right) * \left(\mu \partial_{v_j} \left[\mu^{-1/2} f \right] \right) \right] \right\},$$

$$K_1 f = -\mu^{-1/2} \partial_{v_i} \left\{ \mu \left[\left(\phi^{ij} \left(1 - \chi \right) \right) * \left(\mu \partial_{v_j} \left[\mu^{-1/2} f \right] \right) \right] \right\},$$

with the convolution taken with respect to the velocity variable v. Here and below repeated indices are implicitly summed over. From [13, Lemma 3], we know that

$$|\partial_{\beta}\sigma^{ij}(v)| + |\partial_{\beta}\sigma^{i}(v)| \le C_{\beta}(1+|v|)^{\gamma+2-|\beta|}.$$
 (2.6)

Then [27, (4.33)] shows that

$$(Af, f)_{L_v^2} \ge c_0 |f|_{L_D^2}^2$$
.

Also, [27, (4.32)] and (2.6) implies that K is a bounded operator on L_v^2

Case II: Boltzmann equation. We will use Pao's splitting as $\tilde{\nu}(v) = \nu(v) + \nu_K(v)$; cf. [23, p.568 eq. (65), (66)] and [11]. Then $\nu(v) \approx \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s}$ and $|\nu_K(v)| \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma}$. We split L = -A + K with

$$-Af = \Gamma(\mu^{1/2}, f) + \nu_K(v)f - \nu_K(v)\mathbf{1}_{|v|>R}f,$$

$$Kf = \Gamma(f, \mu^{1/2}) - \nu_K(v)\mathbf{1}_{|v|
(2.7)$$

Then [11, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5] show that

$$((A - \nu_K(v)\mathbf{1}_{|v|>R})f, f)_{L_v^2} \approx |f|_{L_D^2}^2.$$

Here, by using $|\langle v \rangle^{\frac{\gamma+2s}{2}}(\cdot)|_{L^2_v} \lesssim |\cdot|_{L^2_D}$, we have

$$|(\nu_K(v)\mathbf{1}_{|v|>R}f, f)_{L_v^2}| \le C\langle R\rangle^{-2s}|\langle v\rangle^{\frac{\gamma+2s}{2}}f|_{L_v^2}^2 \le C\langle R\rangle^{-2s}|f|_{L_D^2}^2.$$

Then choosing R > 0 large enough, we have

$$(Af, f)_{L_v^2} \gtrsim |f|_{L_D^2}^2$$

Using [2, Lemma 2.1], we know that $|\Gamma(f,\mu^{1/2})|_{L^2_v} \lesssim |\langle v \rangle^{-C} f|_{L^2_v}$ for any C>0 and hence,

$$|Kf|_{L^2_v} \lesssim |\langle v \rangle^{-C} f|_{L^2_v}$$

This implies that K is bounded on L_n^2 and completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. Assume $\gamma \geq -3$ for Landau case and $\gamma > \max\{-3, -2s - 3/2\}$ for Boltzmann case. Then

$$(w^{2}\Gamma(f,g),h)_{L_{v}^{2}} \lesssim \left(|wf|_{L_{v}^{2}}|g|_{L_{D,w}^{2}} + |f|_{L_{D,w}^{2}}|wg|_{L_{v}^{2}}\right)|h|_{L_{D,w}^{2}}.$$
(2.8)

Moreover, for any $|\alpha| \leq 2$, we have

$$|(w^2 \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(f, g), h)_{L^2_{x, v}}| \lesssim \left(||wf||_{H^2_x L^2_v} ||g||_{H^2_x L^2_{D, w}} + ||f||_{H^2_x L^2_{D, w}} ||wg||_{H^2_x L^2_v} \right) ||wh||_{L^2_x L^2_D}$$
(2.9)

Proof. The proof of (2.8) can be found in [13,26, Theorem 3; Lemma 10] and [6,10,11, Lemma 2.3; Lemma 2.4; (6.6)]. Note that when $\gamma \geq -2$ in Landau case and $\Gamma + 2s \geq 0$ in Boltzmann case, we have q = 0 and hence w = 1. That is, it's not necessary to include any velocity weight in the *hard* potential cases. To prove (2.9), we apply (2.8) to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} |(w^{2} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(f, g), \partial^{\alpha} h)_{L_{v}^{2}}| dx$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha} \left(|w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f|_{L_{v}^{2}} |w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g|_{L_{D}^{2}} + |w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f|_{L_{D}^{2}} |w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g|_{L_{v}^{2}} \right) dx \|w \partial^{\alpha} h\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}.$$
(2.10)

Here we firstly consider the parts $|\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_{L^2_n} |\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} g|_{L^2_n}$.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha} |w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f|_{L_{v}^{2}} |w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g|_{L_{D}^{2}} \, dx &\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha_{1}| = 0} \|w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{v}^{2}}^{2} \|w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}} \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_{1}| = 1} \|w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f\|_{L_{x}^{3} L_{v}^{2}} \|w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g\|_{L_{x}^{6} L_{D}^{2}} \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha_{1}| = 2} \|w \partial^{\alpha_{1}} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}} \|w \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} g\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{D}^{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|w f\|_{H_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}} \|w g\|_{H_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}, \end{split}$$

where we used embedding $||f||_{L_{x}^{3}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{H_{x}^{1}(\Omega)}, ||f||_{L_{x}^{6}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||\nabla_{x} f||_{L_{x}^{2}(\Omega)} \text{ and } ||f||_{L_{x}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{H_{x}^{2}(\Omega)}$ from [24, Section V and (V.21)]. Similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha_1 \le \alpha} |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_{L_D^2} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} g|_{L_v^2} dx \lesssim ||f||_{H_x^2 L_D^2} ||g||_{H_x^2 L_v^2}.$$

Plugging the above estimates into (2.10), we obtain (2.9).

3. Macroscopic Estimates

In this section we will derive the *a priori* estimates for the macroscopic part of a solution to the equation:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - Lf = g, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0, \tag{3.1}$$

with boundary condition (1.2), where g is a chosen to be 0 or $\Gamma(f, f)$.

To find the macroscopic dissipation, we take the following velocity moments

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, v_j \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{6}(|v|^2 - 3)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, (v_j v_m - 1)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{10}(|v|^2 - 5)v_j \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with $1 \le j, m \le 3$ for the equation (3.1). One sees that the coefficient functions [a, b, c] = [a, b, c](t, x) satisfy the fluid-type system

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{t}a + \nabla_{x} \cdot b = 0, \\
\partial_{t}b + \nabla_{x}(a + 2c) + \nabla_{x} \cdot \Theta(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f) = 0, \\
\partial_{t}c + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_{x} \cdot b + \frac{1}{6}\nabla_{x} \cdot \Lambda(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f) = 0, \\
\partial_{t}[\Theta_{jm}(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f) + 2c\delta_{jm}] + \partial_{j}b_{m} + \partial_{m}b_{j} = \Theta_{jm}(r + h), \\
\partial_{t}\Lambda_{j}(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f) + \partial_{j}c = \Lambda_{j}(r + h),
\end{cases} (3.2)$$

where the high-order moment functions $\Theta = (\Theta_{jm})_{3\times 3}$ and $\Lambda = (\Lambda_j)_{1\leq j\leq 3}$ are respectively defined by

$$\Theta_{jm}(f) = \left((v_j v_m - 1) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, f \right)_{L_v^2}, \quad \Lambda_j(f) = \frac{1}{10} \left((|v|^2 - 5) v_j \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, f \right)_{L_v^2},$$

with the inner product taken with respect to velocity variable v only, and the terms r and h on the right are given by

$$r = -v \cdot \nabla_x \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f, \quad h = L\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f + q.$$

Next, we derive the specular reflection boundary condition for high-order derivatives of solution to (1.1) and the boundary values for [a, b, c].

Lemma 3.1. Let f be the solution to (1.1) satisfying (1.2). Then we have the following identities on boundary $\{(x,v): v \cdot n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } x \text{ belongs to the interior of } \Gamma_i(i=1,2,3)\}$:

$$f(x,v) = f(x,R_x v), \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\partial_{\tau_j} f(x, R_x v) = \partial_{\tau_j} f(x, v),
\partial_{\tau_j \tau_k} f(x, R_x v) = \partial_{\tau_j \tau_k} f(x, v),$$
(3.4)

for j, k = 1, 2, where $(n(x), \tau_1(x), \tau_2(x))$ forms a unit normal basis in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then for the normal derivatives, on $\{(x, v) : v \cdot n(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } x \text{ belongs to the interior of } \Gamma_i(i = 1, 2, 3)\}$, we have

$$\partial_n f(x, R_x v) = -\partial_n f(x, v), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\partial_{\tau_s} \partial_n f(x, R_x v) = -\partial_{\tau_s} \partial_n f(x, v), \tag{3.6}$$

for j = 1, 2, and

$$\partial_n^2 f(x, R_x v) = \partial_n^2 f(x, v). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Recall that $R_x v = v - 2n(x)(n(x) \cdot v)$ maps γ_- to γ_+ . Then by (1.2), we have that on $\Gamma_i(i=1,2,3)$,

$$f(x, v) = f(x, R_x v)$$
, on $n(x) \cdot v \neq 0$.

Note that on Γ_i , $R_x v$ sends v_i to $-v_i$ and keep the other component the same while $\partial_{\tau_j}(j=1,2)$ differentiate along direction x_k with $k \neq i$. Then we have (3.4). Next we claim that

$$Lf(x,v) = Lf(x,R_xv)$$
 and $g(R_xv) = g(v)$, on $n(x) \cdot v \neq 0$, (3.8)

for any x belongs to the interior of Γ_i . Indeed, by (3.3), it suffices to show that

$$\Gamma(g_1, g_2)(R_x v) = \Gamma(g_1(R_x v), g_2(R_x v)),$$

for any g_1, g_2 . For the Boltzmann case, we apply the Carleman representation (6.1) to find that

$$\Gamma(g_1, g_2)(R_x v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_h^3} \int_{E_{0,h}} \tilde{b}(\alpha, h) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha| \ge |h|} \frac{|\alpha + h|^{\gamma + 1 + 2s}}{|h|^{3 + 2s}} \mu^{1/2} (R_x v + \alpha - h) \times (g_1(R_x v + \alpha)g_2(R_x v - h) - g_1(R_x v + \alpha - h)g_2(R_x v)) \, d\alpha dh$$

$$= \Gamma(g_1(R_x v), g_2(R_x v)),$$

where we apply rotation R_x^{-1} on (α, h) . For the Landau case, we will apply the formula from [13, Lemma 1]:

$$\Gamma(f,g) = \partial_{v_i} \left[\left\{ \phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f] \right\} \partial_{v_j} g \right] - \left\{ \phi^{ij} * \left[\frac{v_i}{2} \mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} \partial_j g$$
$$- \partial_{v_i} \left[\left\{ \phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} \partial_{v_j} f] \right\} g \right] - \left\{ \phi^{ij} * \left[\frac{v_i}{2} \mu^{1/2} \partial_j f \right] \right\} g. \tag{3.9}$$

Noticing $\partial_{v_i} g(R_x v) = -\partial_{v_i} (g(R_x v))$ on Γ_i , i = 1, 2, 3 and $\partial_{v_i} g(R_x v) = \partial_{v_i} (g(R_x v))$ on Γ_j , $j \neq i$, one can deduce that on Γ_i ,

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \partial_{v_{j}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{jk} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} \partial_{v_{k}} g \right] (R_{x} v) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \partial_{v_{i}} \left[-\left\{ \phi^{ik} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} (R_{x} v) \partial_{v_{k}} g(R_{x} v) \right] + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k} \partial_{v_{j}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{jk} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} (R_{x} v) \partial_{v_{k}} g(R_{x} v) \right] \\ & = \partial_{v_{i}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{ii} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} (R_{x} v) \partial_{v_{i}} (g(R_{x} v)) \right] + \sum_{k \neq i} \partial_{v_{i}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{ik} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f(R_{x} v) \right] \right\} \partial_{v_{k}} (g(R_{x} v)) \right] \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{v_{j}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{ji} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f(R_{x} v) \right] \right\} \partial_{v_{i}} (g(R_{x} v)) \right] + \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i} \partial_{v_{j}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{jk} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f \right] \right\} (R_{x} v) \partial_{v_{k}} g(R_{x} v) \right] \\ & = \sum_{j, k=1}^{3} \partial_{v_{j}} \left[\left\{ \phi^{jk} * \left[\mu^{1/2} f(R_{x} v) \right] \right\} \partial_{v_{k}} (g(R_{x} v)) \right], \end{split}$$

where we used $\phi^{ik}(R_x v) = -\phi^{ik}(v)$, $\phi^{ji}(R_x v) = -\phi^{ji}(v)$ when $k \neq i, j \neq i$. Similar calculation can be applied to second to fourth term in (3.9). Thus $\Gamma(g_1, g_2)(R_x v) = \Gamma(g_1(R_x v), g_2(R_x v))$ on $\Gamma_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$. This completes the claim.

With the above claim, using identity

$$v \cdot \nabla_x f = v \cdot n(x) \partial_n f + v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} f + v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} f, \tag{3.10}$$

we can apply equation (3.1) to define normal derivative $\partial_n f$ on interior of Γ_i :

$$v \cdot n \partial_n f = -v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} f - v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} f - \partial_t f + L f + \Gamma(f, f).$$

Then by (3.4) and (3.8) we have

$$R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial_n f(x, R_x v) = v \cdot n(x) \partial_n f(x, v), \quad \text{on } v \cdot n(x) \neq 0, \ \forall x \in \Gamma_i.$$

This gives (3.5). When $g = \Gamma(f, f)$, we have $\partial_n g = \Gamma(\partial_n f, f) + \Gamma(f, \partial_n f)$. Again using Carleman representation (6.1) for Boltzmann equation and (3.9) for Landau equation, we have from (3.5) that

$$\partial_n Lf(x, R_x v) = -\partial_n Lf(x, v), \quad \partial_n g(x, R_x v) = -\partial_n g(x, v),$$
 (3.11)

on $v \cdot n(x) \neq 0$, for $x \in \Gamma_i$ and

$$R_x v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} \partial_n f(x, R_x v) = -v \cdot \tau_1(x) \partial_{\tau_1} \partial_n f(x, v),$$

$$R_x v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} \partial_n f(x, R_x v) = -v \cdot \tau_2(x) \partial_{\tau_2} \partial_n f(x, v),$$

on $v \cdot n(x) \neq 0$, for $x \in \Gamma_i$. These identities give (3.6). Again we apply the equation (3.1) and (3.10) to define second normal derivative $\partial_n^2 f$ on interior of Γ_i :

$$v \cdot n\partial_n \partial_n f = -v \cdot \tau_1(x)\partial_{\tau_1} \partial_n f - v \cdot \tau_2(x)\partial_{\tau_2} \partial_n f - \partial_t \partial_n f + L\partial_n f + \partial_n g.$$

Then applying (3.5), (3.11) and (3.6), we have

$$R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial_x^2 f(x, R_x v) = -v \cdot n(x) \partial_x^2 f(x, v),$$

on $v \cdot n(x) \neq 0$, for $x \in \Gamma_i$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1

Remark 3.2. (1) Note that (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) are only valid on the interior of Γ_i . However, the intersection of Γ_i 's (the boundary of Γ_i 's) is of zero spherical measure and hence, the integration on those intersection doesn't influence the whole boundary integration $\int_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_i \int_{\Gamma_i}$.

(2) Note that we are using the equation to define boundary value for f. One can also assume these boundary conditions initially and regard them as compatible conditions, since they are satisfied if the solution exists.

As a corollary, by definition (1.3) for [a, b, c], we have the following boundary value.

Corollary 3.3. For i = 1, 2, 3 and any x belongs to interior of Γ_i , we have

$$\partial_{x_i} c(x) = \partial_{x_i} a(x) = \partial_{x_i} b_i(x) = b_i(x) = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

for $j \neq i$. As a consequence,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x a\|_{L_x^2}^2,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} b\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x b\|_{L_x^2}^2,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} c\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x c\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$
(3.13)

Proof. Notice that $\partial_n f = \pm \partial_{x_i} f$ on Γ_i . Then by (3.5) and change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$, we have on interior of Γ_i that

$$\partial_{x_i} c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, R_x v) |R_x v|^2 \mu^{1/2} (R_x v) \, dv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, v) |v|^2 \mu^{1/2} (v) \, dv = 0.$$

Similarly, on interior of Γ_i , we have

$$\partial_{x_i} a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, R_x v) \mu^{1/2}(R_x v) \, dv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, v) \mu^{1/2}(v) \, dv = 0.$$

For $j \neq i$, noticing $(R_x v)_j = v_j$ on Γ_i , we have

$$\partial_{x_i} b_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, R_x v) (R_x v)_j \mu^{1/2}(R_x v) \, dv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} f(x, v) v_j \mu^{1/2}(v) \, dv = 0.$$

On interior of Γ_i , we have $(R_x v)_i = -v_i$ and hence by (3.3),

$$b_i(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, R_x v) (R_x v)_i \mu^{1/2}(R_x v) dv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) v_i \mu^{1/2}(v) dv = 0.$$

For (3.13), notice that for $i \neq j$, $\partial_{x_i x_j} a = 0$ on Γ_i and $\partial_{x_i} a = 0$ on Γ_j . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i x_j} a|^2 dx = \int_{\Gamma_i} \partial_{x_i x_j} a \, \partial_{x_j} a \, dS(x) - \int_{\Gamma_j} \partial_{x_i x_i} a \, \partial_{x_j} a \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} a \, \partial_{x_j x_j} a \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} a \partial_{x_j x_j} a \, dx,$$

where dS is the spherical measure. Then we have $\sum_{i,j} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x a\|_{L_x^2}^2$. Similar argument can be applied to c and one can deduce $(3.13)_1$ and $(3.13)_3$. For $(3.13)_2$, notice that for $j \neq i$, we have

$$\partial_{x_i x_j} b_k = 0$$
 or $\partial_{x_j} b_k = 0$, on Γ_i , $\partial_{x_i x_i} b_k = 0$ or $\partial_{x_i} b_k = 0$, on Γ_j .

Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i x_j} b_k|^2 dx = \int_{\Gamma_i} \partial_{x_i x_j} b_k \, \partial_{x_j} b_k \, dS(x) - \int_{\Gamma_j} \partial_{x_i x_i} b_k \, \partial_{x_j} b_k \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} b_k \, \partial_{x_j x_j} b_k \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} b_k \, \partial_{x_j x_j} b_k \, dx.$$

This implies $(3.13)_2$ and completes Corollary 3.3.

We denote $\zeta(v)$ to be a smooth function satisfying

$$\zeta(v) \lesssim e^{-\lambda|v|^2},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. The function $\zeta(v)$ may change from line to line. The following integration will be used frequently: if p > -1 is an even number, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} z^p e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{2}} dz = (p-1)!! \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Next we write the main dissipation estimates for macroscopic parts.

Theorem 3.4. Assume $\gamma > \max\{-3, -2s - \frac{3}{2}\}$ for Boltzmann case and $\gamma \geq -3$ for Landau case. Then there exists a functional $\mathcal{E}_{int}(t)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}_{int}(t) \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2},$$

such that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{int}(t) + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha}[a, b, c]\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_v^2}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2, \quad (3.14)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Let $|\alpha| \leq 2$ and $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_i x_i} (i = 1, 2, 3)$ if $|\alpha| = 2$. Notice that from (3.13), we only need to deal with derivatives $\partial_{x_i x_i}$ when estimating the second derivatives in $\|\partial^{\alpha}[a, b, c]\|_{L^2_x}^2$. Applying ∂^{α} to (3.1), we have

$$\partial_t \partial^\alpha f + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f - L \partial^\alpha f = \partial^\alpha q. \tag{3.15}$$

Let $\Phi(t, x, v) \in C^1((0, +\infty) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a test function. Taking the inner product of $\Phi(t, x, v)$ and (3.15) with respect to (x, v), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\partial_t (\partial^\alpha f, \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}}(t) - (\partial^\alpha f, \partial_t \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}} - (\partial^\alpha f, v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^\alpha f(x), \Phi(x))_{L^2_v} \, dS(x) - (L \partial^\alpha f, \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}} = (\partial^\alpha g, \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}}, \end{split}$$

where dS(x) is the spherical measure. Using the decomposition $f = \mathbf{P}f + \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f$, we have

$$\partial_t (\partial^\alpha f, \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}}(t) - (\partial^\alpha \mathbf{P} f, v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi)_{L^2_{x,v}} = \sum_{j=1}^4 S_j, \tag{3.16}$$

where S_j are defined by

$$S_{1} = (\partial^{\alpha} f, \partial_{t} \Phi)_{L_{x,v}^{2}},$$

$$S_{2} = (\partial^{\alpha} \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} f, v \cdot \nabla_{x} \Phi)_{L_{x,v}^{2}},$$

$$S_{3} = (L \partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} + (\partial^{\alpha} g, \Phi)_{L_{x,v}^{2}},$$

$$S_{4} = -\int_{\partial \Omega} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi(x))_{L_{v}^{2}} dS(x).$$

Estimate on c(t,x): We choose the following test function

$$\Phi = \Phi_c = (|v|^2 - 5) (v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c(t, x)) \mu^{1/2},$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_x \phi_c = \partial^{\alpha} c, \\
\phi_c(x) = 0 \text{ on } x \in \Gamma_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 1, \\
\frac{\partial \phi_c}{\partial n}(x) = 0 \text{ on } x \in \Gamma_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0 \text{ or } 2.
\end{cases}$$
(3.17)

The existence and uniqueness of solution to (3.17) is guaranteed by [12, Lamma 4.4.3.1]. In particular, when $|\alpha| = 0$ or $\alpha_i = 2$ for some i, (3.17) is pure Neumann problem and we need $\int_{\Omega} c \, dx = 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} c \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_i} \partial_{x_i} c \, dS(x) = 0$ respectively to ensure the existence of (3.17), which follows from (1.10) and (3.12). Similar to the proof for (3.13), by using boundary value of ϕ_c , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$
(3.18)

Here the second inequality follows from equation (3.17). We will discuss the value of α in two cases

If $|\alpha| = 0$, then (3.17) is a pure Neumann boundary problem and the solution is unique up to a constant. Thus, we can choose the constant carefully such that $\int_{\Omega} \phi_c dx = 0$. Then by Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$\|\phi_c\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L^2}$$
.

By standard elliptic estimate of (3.17), we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L^2_x}^2 = |(c, \phi_c)_{L^2_x}| \lesssim \|c\|_{L^2_x} \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2_x}.$$
(3.19)

This implies that

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L^2_-} \lesssim \|c\|_{L^2_-}. \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly, since ∂_t doesn't affect the boundary value for ϕ_c , we have

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\partial_t c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \left(\|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2} \right). \tag{3.21}$$

If $|\alpha|=1$, then $\alpha_i=1$ for some $1\leq i\leq 3$ and $\phi_c(x)=0$ on Γ_i . Using the boundary value for ϕ_c , i.e. $\partial_{x_j}\phi_c=0$ or $\phi_c=0$ on Γ_j for any j, we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\Gamma_j} \partial_{x_j} \phi_c \, \phi_c \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_x \phi_c \, \phi_c \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\alpha} c \, \phi_c \, dx \le \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_x^2} \|\phi_c\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{3.22}$$

Since $\phi_c = 0$ on Γ_i , by [5, Theorem 6.7-5], we have $\|\phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}$. Then from (3.22), we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\partial^\alpha c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial^\alpha c\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Similarly, since derivative on time t doesn't affect the boundary value, we have

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial_t \partial^\alpha c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \left(\|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2} \right), \tag{3.24}$$

where the second inequality follows from (3.2).

If $|\alpha| = 2$, as stated at the beginning of the proof, we only consider the case that $\alpha_i = 2$ for some $1 \le i \le 3$. In this case, (3.17) is a pure Neumann boundary problem. Then for this i, similar to (3.22), by using boundary values $\partial_{x_i} c = 0$ on Γ_i from Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} c \, \phi_c \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_i} \partial_{x_i} c \, \phi_c \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} c \, \partial_{x_i} \phi_c \, dx \le \|\partial_{x_i} c\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_{x_i} \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}.$$

This implies that

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \le \|\partial_{x_i} c\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, noticing derivative on time t doesn't affect the boundary value for ϕ_c , we have

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L_x^2} \le \|\partial_t \partial_{x_i} c\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \left(\|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial^\alpha \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2} \right). \tag{3.26}$$

Now we can compute (3.16). For the second term on left hand side of (3.16), we have

$$\begin{split} &-(\partial^{\alpha}\mathbf{P}f,v\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi_{c})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}\\ &=-\sum_{j,m=1}^{3}(\partial^{\alpha}a+\partial^{\alpha}b\cdot v+\frac{1}{2}(|v|^{2}-3)\partial^{\alpha}c,v_{j}v_{m}(|v|^{2}-5)\mu\partial_{j}\partial_{m}\phi_{c})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}\\ &=5\sum_{j=1}^{3}(\partial^{\alpha}c,-\partial_{j}^{2}\phi_{c})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}=5\|\partial^{\alpha}c\|_{L_{x,v}^{2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^4 v_j^2 \mu \, dv = 35$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 v_j^2 \mu \, dv = 5$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_j^2 \mu \, dv = 1$. For S_1 , we see from (3.21), (3.24) and (3.26) that

$$\begin{split} |S_1| &\leq |(\partial^{\alpha} f, \partial_t \Phi_c)_{L^2_{x,v}}| = |(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f, \partial_t \Phi_c)_{L^2_{x,v}}| \\ &\lesssim \eta \|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_c\|_{L^2_x}^2 + C_{\eta} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L^2_x L^2_D}^2 \\ &\lesssim \eta \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L^2_x}^2 + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L^2_x L^2_D}^2. \end{split}$$

Thanks to (3.18), S_2 can be estimated as

$$|S_2| \lesssim \eta \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} \phi_c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C_{\eta} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2$$

$$\lesssim \eta \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C_{\eta} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2.$$

For the term S_3 , applying (3.20), (3.23) and (3.25), we have

$$|S_3| \leq \eta \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_v^2}\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

For S_4 , we will use the boundary condition (1.2).

$$S_4 = -\int_{\partial\Omega} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_c(x))_{L_v^2} dS(x).$$

We divide the integral on $\partial\Omega$ into three parts: Γ_i , i=1,2,3 and consider each Γ_i separately. Fix i=1,2,3, then on Γ_i , we have $\partial_n=\partial_{x_i}$ or $-\partial_{x_i}$ and (τ_1,τ_2) is the vector having components x_j , with $j\neq i$ and $1\leq j\leq 3$. Then

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_c(x))_{L_v^2} dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) (|v|^2 - 5) (v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_c(t, x)) \mu^{1/2} dv dS(x). \quad (3.27)$$

If $\alpha_i = 0$ or 2, then from boundary condition (3.17) we know that $\partial_{x_i} \phi_c = 0$ on Γ_i . Applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$, (3.27) becomes

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) (|v|^2 - 5) \sum_{j \neq i} \left(v_j \partial_{x_j} \phi_c(t, x) \right) \mu^{1/2} dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, R_x v) (|R_x v|^2 - 5) \sum_{j \neq i} \left((R_x v)_j \partial_{x_j} \phi_c(t, x) \right) \mu^{1/2} dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-v \cdot n(x)) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) (|v|^2 - 5) \sum_{j \neq i} \left(v_j \partial_{x_j} \phi_c(t, x) \right) \mu^{1/2} dv dS(x) = 0,$$

where we used (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7). Note that R_x maps v_j to v_j for $j \neq i$.

If $\alpha_i = 1$, then $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k \neq i$. Using boundary condition (3.17), we have $\partial_{x_j} \phi_c = 0$ on Γ_i for any $j = 1, 2, 3, j \neq i$. Applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$ to (3.27) and using (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t,x,v) (|v|^2 - 5) v_i \partial_{x_i} \phi_c(t,x) \mu^{1/2} \, dv dS(x)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t,x,R_x v) (|R_x v|^2 - 5) (R_x v)_i \partial_{x_i} \phi_c(t,x) \mu^{1/2} \, dv dS(x)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t,x,v) (|v|^2 - 5) (-v_i) \partial_{x_i} \phi_c(t,x) \mu^{1/2} \, dv dS(x) = 0.$$

Notice that the above estimates are valid for i = 1, 2, 3. Then we obtain

$$S_4 = 0.$$

Combining the above estimates for $S_j (1 \le j \le 4)$, taking summation over $|\alpha| \le 2$ of (3.16) and letting η suitably small, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} (\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_{c})_{L_{x,v}^{2}} + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \eta \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_{v}^{2}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}. \end{split} \tag{3.28}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

Estimate of b(t,x). Now we consider the estimate of b. For this purpose we choose

$$\Phi = \Phi_b = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \Phi_b^{j,m}, \ j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$\Phi_b^{j,m} = \begin{cases} \left(|v|^2 v_m v_j \partial_{x_m} \phi_j - \frac{7}{2} (v_m^2 - 1) \partial_{x_j} \phi_j \right) \mu^{1/2}, \ m \neq j, \\ \\ \frac{7}{2} (v_j^2 - 1) \partial_{x_j} \phi_j \mu^{1/2}, \qquad m = j, \end{cases}$$

and $\phi_j (1 \le j \le 3)$ solves

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_x \phi_j = \partial^{\alpha} b_j, \\
\phi_k(x) = \partial_n \phi_m(x) = 0 \text{ on } x \in \Gamma_m, \text{ for } k \neq m, \text{ if } \alpha_m = 1, \\
\phi_m(x) = \partial_n \phi_k(x) = 0 \text{ on } x \in \Gamma_m, \text{ for } k \neq m, \text{ if } \alpha_m = 0 \text{ or } 2.
\end{cases}$$
of solution to (3.29) is guaranteed by [12, Lamma 4.4.3.1] and we will explain

The existence of solution to (3.29) is guaranteed by [12, Lamma 4.4.3.1] and we will explain the conditions for pure Neumann type and mixed Dirichlet-Neumann type later. By using the boundary value of ϕ_i , similar to (3.18), we have

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_{i}x_{k}}\phi_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} = \|\Delta_{x}\phi_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \|\partial^{\alpha}b_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2},$$

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \|\partial_{t}\partial_{x_{i}x_{k}}\phi_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} = \|\partial_{t}\Delta_{x}\phi_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \|\partial_{t}\partial^{\alpha}b_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}.$$
(3.30)

Then S_2 can be estimated as

$$|S_2| \lesssim \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2} \sum_{i,i,m=1}^3 \|\partial_{x_i x_m} \phi_j\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim C_{\eta} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2} + \eta \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L_x^2}^2. \tag{3.31}$$

Next we fix $1 \le j \le 3$ and discuss the value of $|\alpha|$ as before.

If $|\alpha| = 0$, then $\phi_j = 0$ on Γ_j for j = 1, 2, 3. Then by [5, Theorem 6.7-5], we have

$$\|\phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L^2_x}.$$

Similar to (3.19), we can apply the standard elliptic estimate to obtain

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|b_j\|_{L^2_x},\tag{3.32}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t b_j\|_{L^2_x}. \tag{3.33}$$

If $|\alpha|=1$, then $\alpha_i=1$ for some $1\leq i\leq 3$ and $\alpha_k=0$ for $k\neq i$. In particular, if j=i, then $\partial_{x_i}\phi_i=0$ on Γ_i and $\partial_{x_k}\phi_i=0$ on Γ_k for $k\neq i$. In this case, (3.29) is a pure Neumann boundary problem and we need $\int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}b_i\,dx=\int_{\Gamma_i}b_i\,dS(x)=0$ to ensure the existence for (3.29), which follows from (3.12). Moreover, $\partial_{x_m}\phi_i=0$ on a subset of boundary $\partial\Omega$ with non-zero spherical measure for any m=1,2,3. By [5, Theorem 6.7-5], we have

$$\|\partial_t \partial_{x_m} \phi_i\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t \nabla_x \partial_{x_m} \phi_i\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t \partial^\alpha b_i\|_{L^2_x}, \tag{3.34}$$

and

$$\|\partial_{x_m}\phi_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x\partial_{x_m}\phi_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial^\alpha b_i\|_{L^2},\tag{3.35}$$

for any m = 1, 2, 3, where we used (3.30) in the second inequalities.

If $j \neq i$, then $\phi_j = 0$ on Γ_i and Γ_j while $\partial_{x_k}\phi_j = 0$ on Γ_k for $k \neq j, i$. (3.29) is a mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary problem. By [5, Theorem 6.7-5], we have $\|\partial_t\phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t\nabla_x\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}$ and $\|\phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\nabla_x\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}$. Thus, by standard elliptic estimates for (3.29), we have

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t \partial^\alpha b_j\|_{L^2_x},\tag{3.36}$$

and

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial^\alpha b_j\|_{L^2_x}.\tag{3.37}$$

Next we assume $|\alpha|=2$ and $\partial^{\alpha}=\partial_{x_ix_i}$ for some $1\leq i\leq 3$. Then for $j=1,2,3,\,\phi_j=0$ on Γ_j and $\partial_{x_k}\phi_j=0$ on Γ_k for $k\neq j$. Thus (3.29) is a mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary problem and by [5, Theorem 6.7-5], we know that $\|\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim \|\nabla_x\phi_j\|_{L^2_x}$. Then from (3.29), we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_j\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} b_j \, \phi_j \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} b_j \, \partial_{x_i} \phi_j \, dx,$$

where we used $\partial_{x_i} b_j = 0$ on Γ_i from Corollary (3.3) for $j \neq i$ and $\phi_j = 0$ on Γ_i if j = i. Then we have

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_i\|_{L^2} \le \|\partial_{x_i} b_i\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.38}$$

Similarly, since ∂_t doesn't affect the boundary values, we have

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_t \partial_{x_i} b_i\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.39}$$

Now we let $|\alpha| \leq 2$. For S_1 , we have from (3.33), (3.34), (3.36), (3.39) and (3.2)₂ that

$$|S_{1}| \leq \left(\mathbf{P}\partial^{\alpha}f, \partial_{t}\Phi_{b}\right)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} + \left(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha}f, \partial_{t}\Phi_{b}\right)_{L_{x,v}^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim C_{\eta} \|\partial^{\alpha}c\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha}f\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \eta \|\partial_{t}\nabla_{x}b_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha}c\|_{L_{x}^{2}} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha}\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L_{x}^{2}} + \eta \sum_{1\leq |\alpha|\leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha}a\|_{L_{x}^{2}}.$$

$$(3.40)$$

For S_3 , by (3.32), (3.35), (3.37) and (3.38), we have

$$|S_{3}| \lesssim C_{\eta} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_{v}^{2}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \eta \|\nabla_{x} \phi_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta(v))_{L_{v}^{2}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \eta \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L_{x}^{2}}.$$
(3.41)

For the second term on left hand side of (3.16), we have

$$-\sum_{m=1}^{3} (\mathbf{P}\partial^{\alpha} f, v \cdot \nabla_{x} \Phi_{b}^{j,m})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{3} \left((\partial^{\alpha} a + \partial^{\alpha} b \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} (|v|^{2} - 3) \partial^{\alpha} c) \mu^{1/2}, v \cdot \nabla_{x} \Phi_{b}^{j,m} \right)_{L_{x,v}^{2}}$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1, m \neq j}^{3} (v_{m} v_{j} \mu^{1/2} \partial^{\alpha} b_{j}, |v|^{2} v_{m} v_{j} \mu^{1/2} \partial_{x_{m}}^{2} \phi_{j})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}$$

$$-\sum_{m=1, m \neq j}^{3} (v_{m} v_{j} \mu^{1/2} \partial^{\alpha} b_{m}, |v|^{2} v_{m} v_{j} \mu^{1/2} \partial_{x_{m}} \partial_{x_{j}} \phi_{j})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}$$

$$+7\sum_{m=1, m \neq j}^{3} (\partial^{\alpha} b_{m}, \partial_{x_{m}} \partial_{x_{j}} \phi_{j})_{L_{x}^{2}} - 7(\partial^{\alpha} b_{m}, \partial_{x_{j}}^{2} \phi_{j})_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$= -7\sum_{m=1}^{3} (\partial^{\alpha} b_{j}, \partial_{x_{m}}^{2} \phi_{j})_{L_{x}^{2}} = 7 \|\partial^{\alpha} b_{j}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}.$$
(3.42)

Now we consider the boundary term S_4 . As in the estimate on c(t,x), we consider Γ_i for fixed i=1,2,3:

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_b(x))_{L_v^2} dS(x)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) \Phi_b^{j, m}(x, v) \, dv dS(x). \quad (3.43)$$

If $\alpha_i = 0$ or 2, then applying boundary condition (3.29), we have that for $x \in \Gamma_i$,

$$\partial_{x_i}\phi_j(x) = \partial_{x_j}\phi_i(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i.$$

This shows that $\Phi_b^{j,m}(x,v)$ is even with respect to v_i when $x \in \Gamma_i$. Noticing $R_x v = v - 2v \cdot e_i e_i$ on Γ_i , we know that on Γ_i ,

$$\Phi_h^{j,m}(x, R_x v) = \Phi_h^{j,m}(x, v).$$

Applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$ and using identities (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7), (3.43) becomes

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) \Phi_{b}^{j,m}(x, v) \, dv dS(x)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} R_{x} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, R_{x} v) \Phi_{b}^{j,m}(x, R_{x} v) \, dv dS(x)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} -v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) \Phi_{b}^{j,m}(x, v) \, dv dS(x) = 0.$$

If $\alpha_i = 1$, then boundary condition (3.29) shows that on $x \in \Gamma_i$,

$$\partial_{x_j} \phi_j(x) = 0$$
, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,
 $\partial_{x_m} \phi_j(x) = 0$, for $j, m \neq i$.

Then we know that $\Phi_b^{j,m}(x,v)$ is odd with respect to v_i when $x \in \Gamma_i$ and hence,

$$\Phi_b^{j,m}(x, R_x v) = -\Phi_b^{j,m}(x, v).$$

Now applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$ and using identities (3.5) and (3.6), (3.43) becomes

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) \Phi_{b}^{j,m}(x, v) \, dv dS(x)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} R_{x} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, R_{x} v) \Phi_{b}^{j,m}(x, R_{x} v) \, dv dS(x)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(t, x, v) (-\Phi_{b}^{j,m})(x, v) \, dv dS(x) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$S_4 = 0. (3.44)$$

Combining estimates (3.31), (3.40), (3.41), (3.42), (3.44), taking summation of (3.16) over $|\alpha| \le 2$ and letting η sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} (\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_{b})_{L_{x,v}^{2}} + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \eta \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} a\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} c\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \\ + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + C_{\eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_{v}^{2}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}, \quad (3.45) \end{split}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

Estimate on a(t, x): We choose the following test function

$$\Phi = \Phi_a = (|v|^2 - 10)(v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_a(t, x))\mu^{1/2}$$

where ϕ_a solves

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_x \phi_a = \partial^{\alpha} a, \\
\phi_a(x) = 0 \text{ on } x \in \Gamma_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 1, \\
\frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial n}(x) = 0 & \text{on } x \in \Gamma_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0 \text{ or } 2.
\end{cases}$$
(3.46)

The existence and uniqueness of solution to (3.46) is guaranteed by [12, Lamma 4.4.3.1]. When $\alpha_i = 2$ for some i, (3.46) is pure Neumann problem and we need $\int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i x_i} a \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_i} \partial_{x_i} a \, dS(x) = 0$ from Corollary 3.3 to ensure the existence of (3.46). Now we compute (3.16). For the second term on left hand side of (3.16), we have

$$\begin{split} &-(\partial^{\alpha}\mathbf{P}f,v\cdot\nabla_{x}\Phi_{a})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}\\ &=-\sum_{j,m=1}^{3}(\partial^{\alpha}a+\partial^{\alpha}b\cdot v+\frac{1}{2}(|v|^{2}-3)\partial^{\alpha}c,v_{j}v_{m}(|v|^{2}-10)\mu\partial_{j}\partial_{m}\phi_{a})_{L_{x,v}^{2}}\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{3}(\partial^{\alpha}a,-\partial_{j}^{2}\phi_{a})_{L_{x}^{2}}=\|\partial^{\alpha}a\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Since Φ_a and Φ_c has similar structure, the estimates for $S_j (1 \leq j \leq 4)$ are similar to the case of c(t, x) from (3.18) to (3.28). In fact, similar to the calculation from (3.18) to (3.26), we have that for $|\alpha| \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|\partial_{x_i x_j} \phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \|\Delta_x \phi_a\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\partial^\alpha a\|_{L_x^2}^2, \tag{3.47}$$

$$\|\nabla_x \phi_a\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} \|\partial^\alpha a\|_{L_x^2},\tag{3.48}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t \nabla_x \phi_a\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} \|\partial_t \partial^\alpha a\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^\alpha b\|_{L_x^2}. \tag{3.49}$$

where the last inequality follows from $(3.2)_1$. Then for S_1 , we apply (3.49) to obtain

$$|S_{1}| \leq \left| \left(\{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} \partial^{\alpha} f, \partial_{t} \Phi_{a} \right)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} \right| + \left| \left(\mathbf{P} \partial^{\alpha} f, \partial_{t} \Phi_{a} \right)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} \right|$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} \partial^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| \partial^{\alpha} b \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \left\| \partial_{t} \nabla_{x} \phi_{a} \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} \} \partial^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left\| \partial^{\alpha} b \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}.$$

For S_2 , by (3.47), we have

$$|S_2| \lesssim C_{\eta} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 + \eta \|\partial^{\alpha} a\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

For S_3 , by (3.48), we have

$$|S_3| \lesssim C_{\eta} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 + C_{\eta} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_v^2}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \eta \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} \|\partial^{\alpha} a\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

For S_4 , we will apply a similar argument as in the estimate of c(t,x) to calculate the boundary value. As before, we decompose $\partial \Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_i$ and calculate the value on each boundary separately:

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_{a}(x))_{L_{v}^{2}} dS(x). \tag{3.50}$$

If $\alpha_i = 0$ or 2, using boundary value (3.46), we know that $\partial_{x_i} \phi_a(x) = 0$ on $x \in \Gamma_i$ and hence, $\Phi_a(t, x, v)$ is even with respect to v_i . Also, R_x maps v to $v - 2v \cdot e_j e_j$ on Γ_i . Thus, on Γ_i , we have

$$\Phi_a(t, x, R_x v) = \Phi_a(t, x, v).$$

Therefore, applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$, (3.50) becomes

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_a(x))_{L_v^2} dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v) \Phi_a(x, R_x v) dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, v) \Phi_a(x, v) dv dS(x) = 0,$$

where we also used (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7). If $\alpha_i = 1$, by (3.46), one has on Γ_i that

$$\Phi_a(t, x, R_x v) = -\Phi_a(t, x, v).$$

Therefore, applying change of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$ and using (3.5) and (3.6), (3.50) becomes

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} (v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x), \Phi_a(x))_{L_v^2} dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v) \Phi_a(x, R_x v) dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) \partial^{\alpha} f(x, v) (-\Phi_a(x, v)) dv dS(x) = 0.$$

In any cases, we have $S_4 = 0$. Combining the above estimates, taking summation over $|\alpha| \le 2$ of (3.16) and letting $\eta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\partial_{t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} (\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_{a})_{L_{x,v}^{2}} + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} a\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} b\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_{v}^{2}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}.$$
 (3.51)

Now we take the linear combination $(3.28) + \kappa \times (3.45) + \kappa^2 \times (3.51)$, summation on $1 \le |\alpha| \le 2$ and let κ, η sufficiently small, then

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{int}(t) + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha}[a, b, c]\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|(\partial^{\alpha} g, \zeta)_{L_v^2}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} f\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2},$$

where we used (3.13) and $\mathcal{E}_{int}(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{int}(t) = \sum \left((\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_c)_{L_{x,v}^2} + \kappa (\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_b)_{L_{x,v}^2} + \kappa^2 (\partial^{\alpha} f, \Phi_a)_{L_{x,v}^2} \right)$$

where the summation is taken over $1 \le |\alpha| \le 2$ and we restrict $\alpha_i = 2$ for some i when $|\alpha| = 2$. Using (3.23), (3.25), (3.35), (3.38) and (3.48), we know that

$$\mathcal{E}_{int}(t) \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| < 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}.$$

This completes the Theorem 3.4.

Now we estimate $\|(\partial^{\alpha}g,\zeta)_{L^{2}_{v}}\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{2}$ when $g=\Gamma(f,f)$. For $1\leq |\alpha|\leq 2$, by (2.8), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |(\partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(f, f), \zeta(v))_{L_{v}^{2}}|^{2} dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} f|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} |\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f|_{L_{D}^{2}}^{2} dx$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha_{1}|=2} ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{v}^{2}}^{2} ||\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{|\alpha_{1}|=1} ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{3} L_{v}^{2}}^{2} ||\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{6} L_{D}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{|\alpha_{1}|=0} ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}}^{2} ||\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f||_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{D}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim ||f||_{H_{2}^{2} L_{v}^{2}}^{2} ||f||_{H_{2}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \tag{3.52}$$

where we used embedding $||f||_{L_x^3(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{H_x^1(\Omega)}$, $||f||_{L_x^6(\Omega)} \lesssim ||\nabla_x f||_{L_x^2(\Omega)}$ and $||f||_{L_x^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{H_x^2(\Omega)}$ from [24, Section V and (V.21)].

4. Global existence

In this section, we will prove the main Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $|\alpha| \leq 2$ and apply ∂^{α} to (1.1), we have

$$\partial_t \partial^\alpha f + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f - L \partial^\alpha f = \partial^\alpha \Gamma(f, f). \tag{4.1}$$

Taking inner product of (4.1) with $\partial^{\alpha} f$ over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\|\partial^{\alpha}f\|_{L_{x,v}^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}v\cdot n(x)|\partial^{\alpha}f(x,v)|^{2}dvdS(x) + \lambda\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}\partial^{\alpha}f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2} \\
\lesssim \|f\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{x}^{2}}\|f\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}\|\partial^{\alpha}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where we used (2.3) and (2.9) and dS(x) is the spherical measure. By (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), we know that on interior of each $\Gamma_i(1 \le i \le 3)$,

$$|\partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_x v)|^2 = |\partial^{\alpha} f(x, v)|^2$$
, on $v \cdot n(x) \neq 0$.

Then by changing of variable $v \mapsto R_x v$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v \cdot n(x) |\partial^{\alpha} f(x, v)|^{2} dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} R_{x} v \cdot n(x) |\partial^{\alpha} f(x, R_{x} v)|^{2} dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} -v \cdot n(x) |\partial^{\alpha} f(x, v)|^{2} dv dS(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, taking summation on $|\alpha| \leq 2$ of (4.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \lambda \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2} \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2} \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}f\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Now we take linear combination $(4.3) + \kappa \times (3.14)$ with $\kappa > 0$ small enough and apply (3.52), then

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) + \lambda \mathcal{D}(t) \lesssim (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + \mathcal{E}(t))\mathcal{D}(t),$$
 (4.4)

where $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \|f(t)\|_{H_x^2 L_y^2}^2 + \kappa \mathcal{E}_{int}(t),$$

and $\mathcal{D}(t)$ is defined by (1.7). It's direct to check that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ satisfies (1.6) with $\kappa > 0$ sufficiently small. With the main estimate (4.4) in hand, it is now standard to apply the continuity argument and local existence from Section 5 to prove the global-in-time existence and uniqueness of (1.1) and (1.2), under the smallness of (1.12).

For large-time decay, when $\gamma + 2s \ge 0$, we have $\mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{D}(t)$. Then under the smallness (1.12) of $\mathcal{E}(0)$, it's standard to apply the *a priori* estimate argument to obtain

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) + \delta \mathcal{E}(t) \le 0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le e^{-\delta t} \|f_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2,$$

for some generic constant $\delta > 0$. This gives the large-time behavior for hard potential.

For soft potential, we will make use of additional velocity weight and calculate the weighted estimates first. Taking inner product of (4.1) with $w^2 \partial^{\alpha} f$ over $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and summation over $|\alpha| \leq 2$, we have from Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|w\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + \lambda \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|w\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{B_{C}}^{2}}^{2} + \|wf\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}} \|f\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D,w}^{2}} \|f\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D,w}^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{D}(t) + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{w}(t)} \mathcal{D}_{w}(t), \tag{4.5}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Notice that $w(v) = w(R_x v)$ on $x \in \partial \Omega$. So, w doesn't affect the vanishing boundary term. Taking linear combination $(4.4) + \kappa \times (4.5)$ with $\kappa > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_w(t) + \lambda \mathcal{D}_w(t) \lesssim (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + \mathcal{E}(t))\mathcal{D}(t) + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_w(t)}\mathcal{D}_w(t),$$

where \mathcal{E}_w is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_w(t) := \frac{1}{2} \|f(t)\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \kappa \mathcal{E}_{int}(t) + \kappa \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \le 2} \|w \partial^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2,$$

and \mathcal{D}_w is defined by (1.9). It's direct to check that \mathcal{E}_w satisfies (1.8). Since $\mathcal{E}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_w(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{D}_w(t)$, under the smallness assumption (1.13), we can obtain the closed estimate:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_w(t) + \lambda \mathcal{D}_w(t) \leq 0.$$

Taking integration on $t \in [0, T]$ for any $T \in (0, \infty]$, we have

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|wf\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|w\partial^\alpha f\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \le \|wf_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2. \tag{4.6}$$

Now we are ready to prove the large-time behavior for soft potential $\gamma + 2s < 0$. Let

$$h = e^{\delta t^p} f$$

with $\delta > 0$, 0 chosen later. Since f solves (4.1), we know that h solves

$$\partial_t \partial^{\alpha} h + v \cdot \nabla_x \partial^{\alpha} h - L \partial^{\alpha} h = e^{-\delta t} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(h, h) + \delta p t^{p-1} \partial^{\alpha} h, \quad h|_{t=0} = f_0.$$

Taking inner product with h, following the same argument for deriving (4.3), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + \lambda\|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim \|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}\|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}\|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}} + \delta pt^{p-1}\|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Following the same argument for deriving (3.14) and using (3.52), there exists $\mathcal{E}_{int,2}$ satisfying

$$|\mathcal{E}_{int,2}| \lesssim ||h||_{H^2_-L^2_-}^2,$$
 (4.8)

such that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{int,2}(t) + \lambda \|e^{\delta t}[a,b,c]\|_{H_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 + \|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\}h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 + \delta p t^{p-1} \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2. \tag{4.9}$$

Taking linear combination $(4.7) + \kappa \times (4.9)$ with $\kappa > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_2(t) + \lambda \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_D}^2 \lesssim \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_v} \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_D}^2 + \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2 \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_D}^2 + \delta p t^{p-1} \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} ||h||_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \kappa \mathcal{E}_{int,2}(t).$$

From (4.8), we know that $\mathcal{E}_2(t) \approx \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_x}^2$. Under the smallness of $\|h|_{t=0}\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2 = \|f_0\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2$, it's standard to apply the *a priori* estimate argument to obtain

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_2(t) + \lambda \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 \lesssim \delta p t^{p-1} \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2.$$

Taking integration over $t \in [0, T]$ for any $T \in (0, \infty]$, we have

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \lambda \int_0^T \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \lesssim \|f_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \delta p \int_0^T t^{p-1} \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 dt. \tag{4.10}$$

As in [7,25], for p'>0 to be chosen depend on p, we define

$$\mathbf{E} = \{ \langle v \rangle \le t^{p'} \}, \quad \mathbf{E}^c = \{ \langle v \rangle > t^{p'} \},$$

and make decomposition $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{E}} + \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{E}^c}$. Then the second right-hand term of (4.10) can be bounded by

$$\delta p \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^{p-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{E}} ||h||_{H_x^2}^2 dv dt + \delta p \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^{p-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{E}^c} ||h||_{H_x^2}^2 dv dt =: I_1 + I_2.$$

We define $p' = \frac{p-1}{\gamma+2s}$ for Boltzmann case and $p' = \frac{p-1}{\gamma+2}$ for Landau case. Then on **E**, we have

$$t^{p-1} \le \langle v \rangle^{\frac{p-1}{p'}},$$

and hence,

$$I_1 \le \delta p \int_0^T \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, on \mathbf{E}^c , we have

$$w^{-2} < e^{-\frac{q\langle v\rangle^{\vartheta}}{2}} < e^{-\frac{qt^{p'\vartheta}}{2}}.$$

Choosing $p = p'\vartheta$, i.e. p satisfies (1.11), and $2\delta < q/2$, we have

$$I_{2} \leq \delta p \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} t^{p-1} e^{-\frac{qt^{p'\theta}}{2}} \|e^{\delta t^{p}} w f\|_{H_{x}^{2}}^{2} dv dt$$

$$\leq \delta p \int_{0}^{T} t^{p-1} e^{-\frac{qt^{p'\theta}}{2}} e^{2\delta t^{p}} dt \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|w f\|_{H_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq C \delta p \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|w f\|_{H_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}}^{2}. \tag{4.12}$$

Substituting (4.11) and (4.12) into (4.10), we have

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2 + \lambda \int_0^T \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_D}^2 dt \lesssim \|f_0\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2 + \delta p \int_0^T \|h\|_{H^2_x L^2_D}^2 dt + C \delta p \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|wf\|_{H^2_x L^2_v}^2.$$

Then applying (4.6) to control the last term and letting $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \lambda \int_0^T \|h\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \lesssim \|wf_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2.$$

This implies the time-decay estimate (1.14) for soft potential case. Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Local existence

This section is devoted to the local existence for equation (1.1) with specular boundary condition (1.2). With the *a priori* estimate in Section 4 and local-in-time existence, we are able to close the proof of global-in-time existence.

Theorem 5.1. Assume $\gamma \geq -3$ for Landau case and $\gamma > \max\{-2s - \frac{3}{2}, -3\}$ for Boltzmann case. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $T_0 > 0$ such that if $F_0(x, v) = \mu + \mu^{1/2} f_0(x, v) \geq 0$ and

$$||wf_0||_{H_x^2L_y^2} \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

then the specular reflection boundary problem (1.1) and (1.2) admits a unique solution f(t, x, v) on $t \in [0, T_0]$, $x \in \Omega$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, satisfying the uniform estimate

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T_0} \|wf\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2} + \int_0^{T_0} \|wf\|_{H_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \lesssim \|wf_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2.$$
 (5.1)

We begin with the following linear inhomogeneous problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - Af = \Gamma(h, f) + Kh, \\
f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \\
f(t, x, v) = f(t, x, R_x v), & \text{on } \gamma_-,
\end{cases}$$
(5.2)

for a given function h = h(t, x, v), where A and K and defined by (2.5) for Landau case and (2.7) for Boltzmann case. Then we have the following Lemma on existence of linear equation (5.2).

Lemma 5.2. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $T_0 > 0$ such if

$$wf_0 \in H_x^2 L_v^2, \quad wh \in L_{T_0}^{\infty} L_{x,v}^2 \cap L_{T_0}^2 H_x^2 L_D^2,$$

satisfying

$$h(t, x, R_x v) = h(t, x, v), \ \partial_n h(t, x, R_x v) = -\partial_n h(t, x, v) \ on \ v \cdot n(x) \neq 0,$$

and

$$||wf_0||_{H_x^2 L_v^2} + ||wh||_{L_{T_0}^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2} + ||wh||_{L_{T_0}^2 H_x^2 L_D^2} \le \varepsilon_0,$$
(5.3)

then the initial boundary value problem (5.2) admits a unique weak solution f = f(t, x, v) on $[0, T_0] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$\partial_n f(t, x, R_x v) = -\partial_n f(t, x, v) \text{ on } v \cdot n(x) \neq 0,$$
(5.4)

and

$$||wf||_{L^{\infty}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_v} + ||wf||_{L^{2}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_D} \le ||wf_0||_{H^2_xL^2_v} + T_0^{1/2} ||wh||_{L^{\infty}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_v}.$$
(5.5)

Proof. Let η_v and η_x be the standard mollifier in \mathbb{R}^3 and Ω : $\eta_v, \eta_x \in C_c^{\infty}$, $0 \leq \eta_v, \eta_x \leq 1$, $\int \zeta_v dv = \int \zeta_x dx = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\eta_v^{\varepsilon}(v) = \varepsilon^{-3}\zeta_v(\varepsilon^{-1}v)$ and $\eta_x^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-3}\zeta_x(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$. We mollify the initial data as $f_0^{\varepsilon} = f_0 * \eta_v^{\varepsilon} * \eta_x^{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\|wf_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^2_xL^2_v} \leq \|wf_0 * \eta_v^\varepsilon * \eta_x^\varepsilon\|_{H^2_xL^2_v} \lesssim \|\eta_v^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_v} \|\eta_x^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_x} \|wf_0\|_{H^2_xL^2_v} \leq \|wf_0\|_{H^2_xL^2_v}.$$

We first consider the case q = 0 in (1.4). In order to obtain the solution, we consider the following vanishing problem:

$$(\partial_t f, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2} (\langle v \rangle^4 \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f, \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} g)_{L^2_{x,v}} + (v \cdot \nabla_x f, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} + (-Af, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} = (\Gamma(h, f), g)_{L^2_{x,v}} + (Kh, g)_{L^2_{x,v}}.$$
 (5.6)

Then we denote (5.6) by

$$(\partial_t f, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} + \mathbf{B}[f, g] = (Kh, g)_{L^2_{x,v}}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\mathbf{B}[f,g]$ is a bilinear operator on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ f \in L^2_{x,v} : \langle v \rangle^2 \partial^\alpha_\beta f \in L^2_{x,v}, \ \forall \, |\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2, \ f(t,x,v) = f(t,x,R_xv), \ \text{ on } \gamma_- \},$$

equipped with norm $\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2} \|\langle v \rangle^2 \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}$. Note that for $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(v \cdot \nabla_x f, f)_{L^2_{x,v}} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot n(x) |f(v)|^2 dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_x v \cdot n(x) |f(R_x v)|^2 dv dS(x)$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -v \cdot n(x) |f(v)|^2 dv dS(x) = 0,$$

where we used $(5.2)_3$ and $R_x v \cdot n(x) = -v \cdot n(x)$. Together with Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, under the smallness of (5.3), we have that for $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{B}[f, f] dt \geq \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2} \int_{0}^{T} \|\langle v \rangle^{2} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x,v}^{2}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} dt
- \int_{0}^{T} (\|h\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}} + \|h\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{D}^{2}} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{v}^{2}}) \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}} dt
\geq \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2} \int_{0}^{T} \|\langle v \rangle^{2} \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f\|_{L_{x,v}^{2}}^{2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{D}^{2}}^{2} dt - \varepsilon_{0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^{2}}^{2}.$$

Now taking integral of (5.7) over $t \in [0,T]$ with g = f and letting ε_0 small enough, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \|\langle v \rangle^{2} f\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2} dt
\le \|f_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + C \int_{0}^{T} \|h\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} dt
\le \|f_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + CT \|h\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2}.$$
(5.8)

Note that K is bounded on L_v^2 . Then by the standard existence and uniqueness for linear evolution equation; cf. [9], there exists $T_0 > 0$ and unique solution $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$ to equation

$$\int_0^{T_0} (\partial_t f^{\varepsilon}, g)_{L_{x,v}^2} dt + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| < 2} \int_0^{T_0} (\langle v \rangle^4 \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f^{\varepsilon}, \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} g)_{L_{x,v}^2} dt + \int_0^{T_0} (v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\varepsilon}, g)_{L_{x,v}^2} dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T_0} (-Af^{\varepsilon}, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt = \int_{0}^{T_0} (\Gamma(h, f^{\varepsilon}), g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt = \int_{0}^{T_0} (Kh, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt, \quad (5.9)$$

on $[0,T_0] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$, for any test function $g \in \mathcal{H}$. Thanks to (5.8), the sequence $\{f^{\varepsilon}\}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 < t < T_0} \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_0} \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \le \|f_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + CT_0 \|h\|_{L_{T_0}^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2}^2. \tag{5.10}$$

Therefore, $\{f^{\varepsilon}\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L_{T_0}^{\infty}L_x^2L_v^2$ and $L_{T_0}^2L_x^2L_D^2$ and hence has a weak limit $f \in L_{T_0}^{\infty}L_x^2L_v^2 \cap L_{T_0}^2L_x^2L_D^2$. Taking weak limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (5.9), we have

$$\int_{0}^{T_{0}} (\partial_{t} f, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (v \cdot \nabla_{x} f, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (-Af, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt
= \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (\Gamma(h, f), g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (Kh, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt, \quad (5.11)$$

with initial data $f(0) = f_0$, for any sufficiently smooth g satisfying $g(t, x, v) = g(t, x, R_x v)$ on γ_- .

Next we derive the identities on derivative. Let $|\alpha_1| = 1$. We consider the equation

$$\partial_t f^{\alpha_1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\alpha_1} - A \partial^{\alpha_1} f = \Gamma(\partial^{\alpha_1} h, f) + \Gamma(h, f^{\alpha_1}) + K \partial^{\alpha_1} h,$$

with initial data $f^{\alpha_1}(0,x,v) = \partial^{\alpha_1} f_0(x,v)$. This time, we use Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{ f \in L_{x,v}^2 : \langle v \rangle^2 f \in H_x^2 L_v^2, \ f \in L_x^2 L_D^2 \},$$

Using the same argument we used to derive (5.9), there exists $f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} (\partial_t f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \le 2} \int_0^{T_0} (\langle v \rangle^4 \partial_\beta^\alpha f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}, \partial_\beta^\alpha g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt$$

$$+ \int_0^{T_0} (v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt + \int_0^{T_0} (-A f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt$$

$$= \int_0^{T_0} \Gamma(\partial^{\alpha_1} h, f) dt + \int_0^{T_0} (\Gamma(h, f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}), g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt + \int_0^{T_0} (K \partial^{\alpha_1} h, g)_{L^2_{x,v}} dt,$$

for $g \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Similar to (5.8), we can obtain the energy estimate for sufficiently small T_0 :

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_{0}} \|f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_{1}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \|\langle v \rangle^{2} f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_{1}}\|_{H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \|f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_{1}}\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2} dt
\leq \|\partial^{\alpha_{1}} f_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + T_{0} \|h\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2}
+ (\|\partial^{\alpha_{1}} h\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}} \|f\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{2}L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}} + \|\partial^{\alpha_{1}} h\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{2}L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}} \|f\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}) \|f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_{1}}\|_{L_{T_{0}}^{2}L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}. \tag{5.12}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ in (5.3) small enough and using (5.10), we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 < t < T_0} \|f^{\varepsilon, \alpha_1}\|_{L^2_x L^2_v}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_0} \|f^{\varepsilon, \alpha_1}\|_{L^2_x L^2_D}^2 dt \lesssim \|f_0\|_{H^1_x L^2_v}^2 + T_0 \|h\|_{L^\infty_{T_0} H^2_x L^2_v}^2 \tag{5.13}$$

Then $\{f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}_{T_0}L^2_xL^2_v\cap L^2_{T_0}L^2_xL^2_D$ uniformly in $\varepsilon>0$. Then the weak limit f^{α_1} of $\{f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}\}$ is the solution to

$$\int_{0}^{T_{0}} (\partial_{t} f^{\alpha_{1}}, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (v \cdot \nabla_{x} f^{\alpha_{1}}, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (-A f^{\alpha_{1}}, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt
= \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \Gamma(\partial^{\alpha_{1}} h, f) dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (\Gamma(h, f^{\alpha_{1}}), g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt + \int_{0}^{T_{0}} (K \partial^{\alpha_{1}} h, g)_{L_{x,v}^{2}} dt.$$
(5.14)

Then $f^{\alpha_1} = \partial^{\alpha_1} f$ in the weak sense by using (5.11) and (5.14). Also, one can use (5.2)₁ to define $\partial_n f$ on the boundary and deduce (5.4) as in Lemma 3.1.

For second order derivatives, we let $|\alpha_2| = 2$ and consider equation

$$\partial_t f^{\alpha_2} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{\alpha_2} - A \partial^{\alpha_2} f = \sum_{|\alpha'| \le 1} \Gamma(\partial^{\alpha_2 - \alpha'} h, \partial^{\alpha'} f) + \Gamma(h, f^{\alpha_2}) + K \partial^{\alpha_2} h, \tag{5.15}$$

Applying the same argument for deriving (5.12) and (5.13), we can obtain the solution f^{α_2} to (5.15) with estimate

$$\frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 < t < T_0} \|f^{\alpha_2}\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_0} \|f^{\alpha_2}\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt \le \|f_0\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + T_0 \|h\|_{L_{T_0}^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2}^2. \tag{5.16}$$

Then $f^{\alpha_2} = \partial^{\alpha_2} f$ and f satisfies (5.5). Combining estimates (5.10), (5.13) and (5.16), we obtain (5.5). This completes the Lemma when q = 0.

For the estimate with weight, we let $g = w^2 f$ in (5.6) and use Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\|wf\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq2}\|\langle v\rangle^{2}w\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha}f\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{v}^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|wf\|_{L_{x}^{2}L_{D}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq C\|f\|_{L^2_{x,v}}^2 + \left(\|wh\|_{H^2_xL^2_v}\|wf\|_{H^2_xL^2_D} + \|wh\|_{H^2_xL^2_D}\|wf\|_{H^2_xL^2_2}\right)\|wf\|_{H^2_xL^2_D} + C\|wh\|_{H^2_xL^2_v}^2.$$

Taking integration on $t \in [0, T]$ and using (5.3), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|wf\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2} \int_0^T \|\langle v \rangle^2 w \partial_{\beta}^{\alpha} f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|wf\|_{L_x^2 L_D^2}^2 dt$$

$$\leq \|wf_0\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2}^2 + CT\|f\|_{L_x^\infty L_{x,v}^2}^2 + CT\|wh\|_{L_x^\infty H_x^2 L_v^2}^2.$$

This is an analog estimate as (5.8). The term $CT ||f||_{L_T^{\infty}L_{x,v}^2}^2$ can be absorbed by the left hand side if we choose T > 0 sufficiently small. Then one can follow the same argument from (5.9) to (5.16) to obtain the result (5.5) for weighted estimates. This completes Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We now construct the approximation solution sequence $\{f^n(t,x,v)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ using the following iterative scheme:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f^{n+1} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{n+1} - A f^{n+1} = \Gamma_{\pm}(f^n, f^{n+1}) + K f^n, & f^{n+1}(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \\ f^{n+1}(t, x, v) = f^{n+1}(t, x, R_x v) & \text{on } v \cdot n(x) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where we set $f^0(t, x, v) = f_0(x, v)$. With Lemma 5.2, it is a standard procedure to apply the induction argument to show that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that if

$$||wf_0||_{L_{T_0}^{\infty}H_x^2L_v^2}^2 \le \varepsilon_0,$$

then the approximate solution sequence $\{f^n\}$ is well-defined satisfying

$$||wf^{n+1}||_{L^{\infty}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_v} + ||wf^{n+1}||_{L^{2}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_D} \le ||wf_0||_{H^2_xL^2_v} + T_0^{1/2}||wf^n||_{L^{\infty}_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_v}$$

$$\le \dots \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_0^{n/2}||wf_0||_{H^2_xL^2_v} \lesssim \varepsilon_0,$$

where T_0 is chosen to be small enough. Notice that $f^{n+1} - f^n$ solves

$$\partial_t (f^{n+1} - f^n) + v \cdot \nabla_x (f^{n+1} - f^n) - A(f^{n+1} - f^n)$$

$$= \Gamma(f^n, f^{n+1} - f^n) + \Gamma(f^n - f^{n-1}, f^n) + K(f^n - f^{n-1}),$$

in the weak sense, for $n=1,2,3,\cdots$. Using the method for deriving (5.5), we know that $\{f^{n+1}-f^n\}$ is Cauchy sequence with respect to norms $\|f^{n+1}-f^n\|_{L^\infty_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_v}+\|f^{n+1}-f^n\|_{L^2_{T_0}H^2_xL^2_D}$. Then the limit function f(t,x,v) is indeed a unique solution to (1.1) and (1.2) satisfying estimate (5.1). For the positivity, we can use the argument from [13, Lemma 8, page 416] for Landau case and [11, Page 833] for Boltzmann case; the details are omitted for brevity. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is completed.

6. Appendix

Carleman representation. Now we have a short review of Carleman representation for Boltzmann equation. One may refer to [1,2] for details. For measurable function $F(v, v_*, v', v'_*)$, if any sides of the following equation is well-defined, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma} F(v, v_*, v', v'_*) d\sigma dv_*$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_h} \int_{E_{0,h}} \tilde{b}(\alpha, h) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha| \ge |h|} \frac{|\alpha + h|^{\gamma + 1 + 2s}}{|h|^{d + 2s}} F(v, v + \alpha - h, v - h, v + \alpha) d\alpha dh, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\tilde{b}(\alpha, h)$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants, and $\tilde{b}(\alpha, h) = \tilde{b}(|\alpha|, |h|)$, $E_{0,h}$ is the hyper-plane orthogonal to h containing the origin.

References

- R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, and B. Wennberg. Entropy Dissipation and Long-Range Interactions. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 152(4):327–355, jun 2000.
- [2] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Frédéric Hérau, and Wei-Xi Li. Global hypoelliptic and symbolic estimates for the linearized Boltzmann operator without angular cutoff. J. Math. Pures Appl., 126:1–71, jun 2019.
- [3] Yunbai Cao, Chanwoo Kim, and Donghyun Lee. Global Strong Solutions of the Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann System in Bounded Domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 233(3):1027–1130, apr 2019.
- [4] Carlo Cercignani. On the initial-boundary value problem for the Boltzmann equation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 116(4):307–315, 1992.
- [5] Philippe G. Ciarlet. Linear and Nonlinear Functional Analysis with Applications: With 401 Problems and 52 Figures. CAMBRIDGE, 2013.
- [6] Renjun Duan, , Shuangqian Liu, Tong Yang, and Huijiang Zhao. Stability of the nonrelativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system for angular non-cutoff potentials. Kinetic & Related Models, 6(1):159–204, 2013.
- [7] Renjun Duan, Shuangqian Liu, Shota Sakamoto, and Robert M. Strain. Global mild solutions of the landau and non-cutoff boltzmann equations. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(5):932–1020, jun 2020.
- [8] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra. Non-Isothermal Boundary in the Boltzmann Theory and Fourier Law. Commun. Math. Phys., 323(1):177–239, jul 2013.
- [9] Lawrence C. Evans. Partial Differential Equations: Second Edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [10] Yingzhe Fan, Yuanjie Lei, Shuangqian Liu, and Huijiang Zhao. The non-cutoff vlasov-maxwell-boltzmann system with weak angular singularity. Science China Mathematics, 61(1):111–136, oct 2017.
- [11] Philip T. Gressman and Robert M. Strain. Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 24(3):771–771, sep 2011.
- [12] P Grisvard. Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. Pitman Advanced Pub. Program, Boston, 1985.
- [13] Yan Guo. The Landau Equation in a Periodic Box. Commun. Math. Phys., 231(3):391–434, dec 2002.
- [14] Yan Guo. Decay and Continuity of the Boltzmann Equation in Bounded Domains. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 197(3):713–809, dec 2009.
- [15] Yan Guo, Hyung Ju Hwang, Jin Woo Jang, and Zhimeng Ouyang. The Landau Equation with the Specular Reflection Boundary Condition. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 236(3):1389–1454, feb 2020.
- [16] Yan Guo, Chanwoo Kim, Daniela Tonon, and Ariane Trescases. Regularity of the Boltzmann equation in convex domains. *Invent. Math.*, 207(1):115–290, jul 2016.
- [17] K. Hamdache. Initial-Boundary value problems for the Boltzmann equation: Global existence of weak solutions. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 119(4):309–353, nov 1992.

- [18] Chanwoo Kim. Formation and Propagation of Discontinuity for Boltzmann Equation in Non-Convex Domains. Commun. Math. Phys., 308(3):641–701, nov 2011.
- [19] Chanwoo Kim and Donghyun Lee. The Boltzmann Equation with Specular Boundary Condition in Convex Domains. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 71(3):411–504, jun 2017.
- [20] Shuangqian Liu and Xiongfeng Yang. The Initial Boundary Value Problem for the Boltzmann Equation with Soft Potential. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 223(1):463–541, aug 2016.
- [21] Tai-Ping Liu and Shih-Hsien Yu. Initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional wave solutions of the Boltzmann equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(3):295–356, 2006.
- [22] Stéphane Mischler. On the Initial Boundary Value Problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System. Commun. Math. Phys., 210(2):447–466, mar 2000.
- [23] Young-Ping Pao. Boltzmann collision operator with inverse-power intermolecular potentials, I. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 27(4):407–428, jul 1974.
- [24] John J. F. Fournier Robert Adams. Sobolev Spaces. Elsevier LTD, Oxford, 2003.
- [25] Robert M. Strain. Optimal time decay of the non cut-off Boltzmann equation in the whole space. Kinetic & Related Models, 5(3):583-613, 2012.
- [26] Robert M. Strain and Yan Guo. Exponential Decay for Soft Potentials near Maxwellian. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 187(2):287–339, dec 2007.
- [27] Tong Yang and Hongjun Yu. Spectrum Analysis of Some Kinetic Equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 222(2):731–768, may 2016.
- [28] Tong Yang and Hui-Jiang Zhao. A Half-space Problem for the Boltzmann Equation with Specular Reflection Boundary Condition. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 255(3):683–726, feb 2005.

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, TSINGHUA UNIVERISTY, BEIJING, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

 $Email\ address{:}\ \mathtt{dingqun.deng@gmail.com}$