

Ill-posedness for the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations in Besov spaces

Min Li¹ and Yingying Guo^{2,*}

¹ School of information Technology, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang, 330032, China

² Department of Mathematics, Foshan University, Foshan, Guangdong 528000, China

Abstract: In the paper, by constructing a initial data $u_0 \in B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$ with $\sigma - 2 > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, we prove that the corresponding solution to the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations starting from u_0 is discontinuous at $t = 0$ in the norm of $B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$, which implies that the ill-posedness for the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations in $B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$.

Keywords: Higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations; Ill-posedness; Besov spaces.

MSC (2010): 35A01, 35Q35, 37K10

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following initial value problem for the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m + u \cdot \nabla m + \nabla u^T \cdot m + (\operatorname{div} u)m = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ m = (1 - \Delta)u, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

According to [44], we can transform Eq. (1.1) into the following form of transport equations:

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = Q(u, u) + R(u, u), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u, u) &= -(I - \Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \left(\nabla u \nabla u + \nabla u \nabla u^T - \nabla u^T \nabla u - \nabla u (\operatorname{div} u) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} |\nabla u|^2 \right), \\ R(u, u) &= -(I - \Delta)^{-1} \left(u (\operatorname{div} u) + u \cdot (\nabla u)^T \right). \end{aligned}$$

*E-mail: limin@jxufe.edu.cn; guoyy35@fosu.edu.cn;

Eq. (1.1) was investigated as Euler-Poincaré equations describes geodesic motion on the diffeomorphism group with respect to the kinetic energy norm in [29], which can also be viewed as higher dimensional generalization of the following classical one dimensional Camassa-holm equation (CH):

$$m_t + um_x + 2u_xm = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx}.$$

The CH equation is completely integrable [8, 17] and has a bi-Hamiltonian structure [7, 24]. It also has the solitary waves and peak solitons [18, 21]. It is worth mentioning that the peakons show the characteristic for the traveling waves of greatest height and arise as solutions to the free-boundary problem for the incompressible Euler equations over a flat bed, see [10, 11, 15, 42]. The local well-posedness, global strong solutions, blow-up strong solutions of the CH equations were studied in [9, 12–14, 22, 35, 41]. The global weak solutions, global conservative solutions and dissipative solutions also have been investigated in [2, 3, 20, 31, 43]. For the continuity of the solutions map of the CH equations with respect to the initial data, it was only proved in the spaces $C([0, T]; B_{p,r}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $s' < s$ with $s > \max\{\frac{3}{2}, 1 + \frac{1}{p}\}$ by many authors. Moreover, Li and Yin in [40] proved that the index of the continuous dependence for the solutions to the Camassa-Holm type equations in $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})$ ($s > \max\{\frac{3}{2}, 1 + \frac{1}{p}\}$) can up to s , which improved many authors' results, especially the Danchin's results in [22, 23]. Recently, Guo et al. [25] obtained the local ill-posedness for a class of shallow water wave equations (such as, the CH, DP, Novikov equations and etc.) in critical Sobolev space $H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ and even in Besov space $B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ with $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $r \in (1, +\infty]$. More recently, by use of the compactness argument and Lagrangian coordinate transformation rather than the usual techniques used in [40], Ye et al. [45] proved the CH equation is locally well-posed and continuous dependence in Besov spaces $B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ with $p \in [1, +\infty)$, which implied $B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the critical Besov spaces and the index $\frac{3}{2}$ is not necessary for the Camassa-Holm type equations. Further, the non-uniform continuity of the CH equation has been investigated in many papers, see [26–28, 32, 33].

Eqs. (1.1) has numerous remarkable features and has been studied by many authors. Chae and Liu [6] established the local existence of weak solution in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > d$ and local existence of unique classical solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > \frac{d}{2} + 3$ for (1.1). Then, Li, Yu and Zhai [39] proved that the solutions to (1.1) with a large class of smooth initial data blows up in finite time or exists globally in time, which settled an open problem raised by Chae and Liu [6]. Taking advantage of the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory, Yan and Yin [44] further discussed the local existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) in Besov spaces $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s > \max\{1 + \frac{d}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$ and $s = 1 + \frac{d}{p}$, $1 \leq p \leq 2d$, $r = 1$. Recently, Li, Dai and Zhu [34] shown that the corresponding solution to (1.1) is not uniformly continuous dependence for that the initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$. Also, Li, Dai and Li in [38] have shown that the data-to-solution map for (1.1) is not uniformly continuous dependence in Besov spaces $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > \max\{1 + \frac{d}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. For more results of higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations, see [36, 46].

However, the continuity of the data-to-solution map for the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations in Besov spaces $B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > \max\{1 + \frac{d}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ has not been solved yet.

In this paper, we will pay our attention to studying the ill-posedness for the higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations in Besov spaces. The key skill is to construct a initial data.

Now let us state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\sigma > 2 + \max\{1 + \frac{d}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There exists a $u_0 \in B_{p,\infty}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a positive constant ε_0 such that the data-to-solution map $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ of the Cauchy problem (1.1) satisfies*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Remark 1.1. *Theorem 1.1 demonstrates the ill-posedness of the higher dimensional CH equation in $B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$. More precisely, there exists a $u_0 \in B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$ such that the corresponding solution to the higher dimensional CH equation that starts from u_0 does not converge back to u_0 in the sense of $B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$ -norm as time goes to zero. Our key argument is to construct a initial data u_0 .*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list some notations and recall known results. In Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1 by establishing some technical lemmas and propositions.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 General Notation

In the following, we denote by \star the convolution. Given a Banach space X , we denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_X$. For $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(I; X)$ the set of continuous functions on I with values in X . Sometimes we will denote $L^p(0, T; X)$ by $L_T^p X$. For all $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ (also denoted by \widehat{f}) is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

2.2 Littlewood-Paley Analysis

Next, we recall some useful properties about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the Besov spaces.

Proposition 2.1 (Littlewood-Paley decomposition, See [1]). *Let $\mathcal{B} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$. There exist two radial functions $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{B})$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ both taking values in $[0, 1]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) &= 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) &\leq 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2 (Bernstein's inequalities, See [1]). *Let \mathcal{B} be a ball and \mathcal{C} be an annulus. A constant $C > 0$ exists such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, and any function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp} \hat{f} \subset \lambda \mathcal{B} &\Rightarrow \|D^k f\|_{L^q} = \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^q} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^{k+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p}, \\ \text{Supp} \hat{f} \subset \lambda \mathcal{C} &\Rightarrow C^{-k-1} \lambda^k \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|D^k f\|_{L^q} \leq C^{k+1} \lambda^k \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 (See [1]). *For any $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Littlewood-Paley dyadic blocks Δ_j are defined as follows*

$$\Delta_j u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \leq -2; \\ \chi(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F}u), & \text{if } j = -1; \\ \varphi(2^{-j}D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \mathcal{F}u), & \text{if } j \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

In the nonhomogeneous case, the following Littlewood-Paley decomposition makes sense:

$$u = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j u, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Definition 2.2 (See [1]). *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. The nonhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by*

$$B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{sjr} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \geq -1} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \text{if } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we always write $u \in B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nabla u \in B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ standing for $u \in (B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ and $\nabla u \in (B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))^{d^2}$, respectively.

Remark 2.1. *It should be emphasized that the following embedding will be often used implicitly:*

$$B_{p,q}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B_{p,r}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for } s_1 > s_2 \text{ or } s_1 = s_2, 1 \leq q \leq r \leq \infty.$$

Finally, we give some important lemmas which will be also often used throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.1 (See [1, 44]). *Let $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $s > \max\{1 + \frac{d}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. Then*

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Hence, for the terms $Q(u, u)$, $Q(v, v)$, $R(u, u)$ and $R(v, v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(u, u) - Q(v, v)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C \|u - v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} (\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}), \\ \|R(u, u) - R(v, v)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C \|u - v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} (\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 (See [1]). For $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $s > 0$, $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an algebra. Moreover, for any $u, v \in B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}).$$

In the paper, we also need some estimates for the following transport equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f = g, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.3 (See [1]). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $\theta > -\min(\frac{d}{p}, 1 - \frac{d}{p})$. Let $f_0 \in B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^1(0, T; B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $v \in L^\rho(0, T; B_{\infty,\infty}^{-M}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $\rho > 1$ and $M > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla v &\in L^1(0, T; B_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), & \text{if } \theta < 1 + \frac{d}{p}, \\ \nabla v &\in L^1(0, T; B_{p,r}^{\theta-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)), & \text{if } \theta > 1 + \frac{d}{p} \text{ (or } \theta = 1 + \frac{d}{p}, r = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (2.1) has a unique solution f in

- the space $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $r < \infty$,
- the space $(\bigcap_{\theta' < \theta} \mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,\infty}^{\theta'}(\mathbb{R}^d))) \cap \mathcal{C}_w([0, T]; B_{p,\infty}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $r = \infty$.

Lemma 2.4 (See [1, 40]). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, $\theta > -\min(\frac{d}{p}, 1 - \frac{d}{p})$. There exists a constant C such that for all solutions $f \in L^\infty(0, T; B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of (2.1) with initial data f_0 in $B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and g in $L^1(0, T; B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d))$, we have, for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|f(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_0^t \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt' + \int_0^t V'(t') \|f(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt'$$

or

$$\|f(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq e^{CV(t)} \left(\|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_0^t e^{-CV(t')} \|g(t')\|_{B_{p,r}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt' \right)$$

with

$$V'(t) = \begin{cases} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \text{if } \theta < 1 + \frac{d}{p}, \\ \|\nabla v(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^{\theta-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \text{if } \theta > 1 + \frac{d}{p} \text{ (or } \theta = 1 + \frac{d}{p}, r = 1). \end{cases}$$

If $f = v$, then for all $\theta > 0$, $V'(t) = \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Construction of Initial Data

We need to introduce smooth, radial cut-off functions to localize the frequency region. Precisely, let $\widehat{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even, real-valued and non-negative function on \mathbb{R} and satisfy

$$\widehat{\phi}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

In [37], it has been verified that for $f_n := \phi(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12}2^n x_1\right) \phi(x_2) \cdot \phi(x_n)$ and $n \geq 2$,

$$\Delta_j(f_n) = \begin{cases} f_n, & \text{if } j = n, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq n. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We can obtain the similar result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $6 \leq k, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Define the function $g_{m,n}^k(x)$ by*

$$g_{m,n}^k(x) := \phi(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12}(2^{kn} \pm 2^{km})x_1\right) \phi(x_2) \cdots \phi(x_d) \quad \text{with } 0 \leq m \leq n-1.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta_j(g_{m,n}^k) = \begin{cases} g_{m,n}^k, & \text{if } j = kn, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq kn. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of in [37], and here we omit it. □

Lemma 3.2. *Define the initial data $u_0(x)$ as*

$$u_0(x) = (u_0^1(x), \dots, u_0^d(x)) := \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kn\sigma} f_n^k(x), 0, \dots, 0 \right),$$

ce4 where

$$f_n^k(x) := \phi(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12}2^{kn}x_1\right) \phi(x_2) \cdots \phi(x_d), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then for any $\sigma \in (2 + \max\{\frac{3}{2}, 1 + \frac{d}{p}\}, +\infty)$ and for some k large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_0|^2(x) &= (u_0^1(x))^2, \\ u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_1((u_0^1)^2), 0, \dots, 0 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_1(|u_0|^2), 0, \dots, 0 \right), \\ \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} &\leq C, \\ \|\Delta_{kn}(|u_0|^2)\|_{L^p} &\geq c2^{-kn\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Thanks to the definition of Besov spaces, the support of $\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)$ and (3.1), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} &= \sup_{j \geq -1} 2^{j\sigma} \|\Delta_j u_0\|_{L^p} \\ &= \|\phi\|_{L^p}^{d-1} \cdot \sup_{j \geq 0} \left\| \phi(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12}2^j x_1\right) \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the simple fact

$$\cos(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) + \cos(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}) = 2 \cos \mathbf{A} \cos \mathbf{B}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0, m \neq n}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{A}_m = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{A}_m,$$

then direct computations give

$$\begin{aligned} |u_0|^2(x) &= (u_0^1(x))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2kn\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2kn\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{kn+1} x_1\right) \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 2^{-k(n+m)\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \left[\cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} - 2^{km}) x_1\right) + \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} + 2^{km}) x_1\right) \right] \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{kn}(|u_0|^2) &= \Delta_{kn}((u_0^1)^2) \\ &= 2^{-kn\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \left[\cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} - 1) x_1\right) + \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} + 1) x_1\right) \right] \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 2^{-k(n+m)\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \left[\cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} - 2^{km}) x_1\right) + \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} (2^{kn} + 2^{km}) x_1\right) \right] \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d) \\ &=: \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1 &:= 2 \cdot 2^{-kn\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{kn} x_1\right) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} x_1\right) \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d), \\ \mathbf{I}_2 &:= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 2^{-k(n+m)\sigma} \phi^2(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{kn} x_1\right) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{km} x_1\right) \phi^2(x_2) \cdots \phi^2(x_d). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term \mathbf{I}_1 , after a simple calculation, we have

$$\|\mathbf{I}_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \geq 2^{-kn\sigma} \left\| \phi^2(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} x_1\right) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{kn} x_1\right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \|\phi\|_{L^{2p}}^{2(d-1)}. \quad (3.2)$$

From Lemma 3.2 in [37], we have for some $\delta > 0$

$$\left\| \phi^2(x_1) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} x_1\right) \cos\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^{kn} x_1\right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \geq c(p, \delta, \phi(0)). \quad (3.3)$$

Then we obtain from (3.2) that

$$\|\mathbf{I}_1\|_{L^p} \geq c 2^{-kn\sigma}. \quad (3.4)$$

For the second term \mathbf{I}_2 , from a straightforward calculation, we deduce

$$\|\mathbf{I}_2\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^{2p}}^{2d} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} 2^{-k(n+m)\sigma} \leq C 2^{-k(n+1)\sigma}. \quad (3.5)$$

(3.4) and (3.5) together yield that

$$\|\Delta_{kn}(|u_0|^2)\|_{L^p} \geq (c - C 2^{-k\sigma}) 2^{-kn\sigma}.$$

We choose $k \geq 6$ such that $c - C 2^{-k\sigma} > 0$ and then finish the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

3.2 Error Estimates

We first recall the following local-in-time existence of strong solutions to (1.1) in [44].

Lemma 3.3 (See [44]). *Let $d \geq 2, 1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s > \max\{1 + \frac{d}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. Assume that $u_0 \in B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a time $T = T(s, p, r, \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}) > 0$ such that (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, for all $t \in [0, T]$, there holds*

$$achm \|u(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s = \sigma - 2$ and $u_0 \in B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$. Assume that $u \in L_T^\infty B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} &\leq Ct \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s}, \\ \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} &\leq Ct (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s}^2 + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}}), \\ \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} &\leq Ct (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.3, we know that there exists a positive time $T = T(s, p, r, \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)})$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_T^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s} \leq C \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \leq C. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, for $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, taking advantage of Lemma 2.4 and (3.6), we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_T^\infty B_{p,\infty}^\gamma} \leq C \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\gamma}. \quad (3.7)$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, we obtain from (1.2) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|Q(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau + \int_0^t \|R(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau \\ &\leq Ct (\|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L^\infty} \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s}) \\ &\leq Ct (\|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s}^2 + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}}) \\ &\leq Ct (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s}^2 + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $B_{p,\infty}^{s-1} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ with $s - 1 > \max\{\frac{d}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Following the same procedure as above, according to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|Q(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|R(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} d\tau \\ &\leq Ct \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s} \\ &\leq Ct \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|Q(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|R(u, u)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} d\tau \\
&\leq Ct(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u\|_{L_t^\infty B_{p,\infty}^{s+2}}) \\
&\leq Ct(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s = \sigma - 2$ and $u_0 \in B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$. Assume that $u \in L_T^\infty B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), we have*

$$\|\mathbf{w}(t, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \leq Ct^2(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s}^3 + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}}^2 \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+2}}),$$

here and in what follows we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}(t, u_0) &:= u(t) - u_0 - t\mathbf{v}_0, \\
\mathbf{v}_0 &:= -u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 + Q(u_0, u_0) + R(u_0, u_0).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{w}(t, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\sigma-2}} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma})t^2.$$

Proof. Taking advantage of the Mean Value Theorem and (1.2), and then using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{w}(t, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u - \mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|Q(u, u) - Q(u_0, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} + \|R(u, u) - R(u_0, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|u \cdot \nabla u - u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} d\tau + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} d\tau \\
&\leq Ct^2(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s}^3 + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s-1}}^2 \|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{s+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 3.1 in the last step.

Thus, we complete the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Using Proposition 2.2, Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} &\geq 2^{kn\sigma} \|\Delta_{kn}(u(t) - u_0)\|_{L^p} = 2^{kn\sigma} \|\Delta_{kn}(t\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{w}(t, u_0))\|_{L^p} \\
&\geq t2^{kn\sigma} \|\Delta_{kn}(\mathbf{v}_0)\|_{L^p} - 2^{2kn}2^{kn(\sigma-2)} \|\Delta_{kn}(\mathbf{w}(t, u_0))\|_{L^p} \\
&\geq t2^{kn(\sigma+1)} \|\Delta_{kn}(|u_0|^2)\|_{L^p} - Ct(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\sigma-1}}^2) \\
&\quad - C2^{2kn} \|\mathbf{w}(t, u_0)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\sigma-2}} \\
&\geq t2^{kn(\sigma+1)} \|\Delta_{kn}(|u_0|^2)\|_{L^p} - Ct - C2^{2kn}t^2 \\
&\geq ct2^{kn} - Ct - C2^{2kn}t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $k \geq 6$, taking $n > N$ large enough such that $c2^{kn} \geq 2C$, we deduce that

$$\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \geq ct2^{kn} - C2^{2kn}t^2.$$

Thus, choosing $t2^{kn} \approx \varepsilon$ with small ε , we finally conclude that

$$\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \geq c\varepsilon - C\varepsilon^2 \geq c_1\varepsilon.$$

This proves Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgements. Y. Guo was supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2020A1515111092) and Research Fund of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Joint Laboratory for Intelligent Micro-Nano Optoelectronic Technology (No. 2020B1212030010).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 343, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, *Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **183** (2007), 215-239.
- [3] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, *Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Anal. Appl., **5** (2007), 1-27.
- [4] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71** (1993), 1661-1664.
- [5] R. Camassa, D. Holm and J. Hyman, *A new integrable shallow water equation*, Adv. Appl. Mech., **31** (1994), 1-33.

- [6] D. Chae and J. Liu, *Blow-up, zero α limit and the Liouville type theorem for the Euler-Poincaré equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **314** (2012), 671-687.
- [7] A. Constantin, *The Hamiltonian structure of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Exposition. Math., **15** (1997), 53-85.
- [8] A. Constantin, *On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., **457** (2001), 953-970.
- [9] A. Constantin, *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **50** (2000), 321-362.
- [10] A. Constantin, *The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves*, Invent. Math., **166** (2006), 523-535.
- [11] A. Constantin, *Particle trajectories in extreme Stokes waves*, IMA J. Appl. Math., **77** (2012), 293-307.
- [12] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **51** (1998), 475-504.
- [13] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations*, Acta Math., **181** (1998), 229-243.
- [14] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Global existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), **26** (1998), 303-328.
- [15] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Particle trajectories in solitary water waves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (2007), 423-431.
- [16] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Analyticity of periodic traveling free surface water waves with vorticity*, Ann. of Math., **173** (2011), 559-568.
- [17] A. Constantin, V. S. Gerdjikov and R. I. Ivanov *Inverse scattering transform for the Camassa-Holm equation*, Inverse Problems, **22** (2006), 2197-2207.
- [18] A. Constantin and W. A. Strauss, *Stability of a class of solitary waves in compressible elastic rods*, Phys. Lett. A, **270** (2000), 140-148.
- [19] A. Constantin and D. Lannes, *The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **192** (2009), 165-186.
- [20] A. Constantin and L. Molinet *Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **211** (2000), 45-61.

- [21] A. Constantin and W. A. Strauss, *Stability of peakons*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **53** (2000), 603-610.
- [22] R. Danchin, *A few remarks on the Camassa-Holm equation*. Differential Integral Equations, **14** (2001), 953-988.
- [23] R. Danchin, *A note on well-posedness for Camassa-Holm equation*, J. Differential Equations, **192** (2003), 429-444.
- [24] A. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, *Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformation and hereditary symmetries*, Phys. D, **4** (1981/82), 47-66.
- [25] Z. Guo, X. Liu, M. Luc and Z. Yin, *Ill-posedness of the Camassa-Holm and related equations in the critical space*, J. Differential Equations, **266** (2019), 1698-1707.
- [26] A. Himonas and C. Kenig C 2009 *Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the CH equation on the line*, Diff. Integral Eqns, **22** (2009), 201–224.
- [27] A. Himonas, C. Kenig and Misiólek *Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation*, Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns, **35** (2010), 1145–1162.
- [28] A. Himonas, G. Misiólek and G. Ponce, *Non-uniform continuity in H^1 of the solution map of the CH equation*, Asian J. Math., **11** (2007), 141–150.
- [29] D. D. Holm and M. F. Staley, *Wave structure and nonlinear balances in a family of evolutionary PDEs*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., **2** (2003), 323–380.
- [30] A. Himonas and G. Misiólek, *Non-uniform dependence on initial data of solutions to the Euler equations of hydrodynamics*, Comm. Math. Phys., 296 (2010), 285-301.
- [31] H. Holden and X. Raynaud, *Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation—a Lagrangian point of view*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **32** (2007), 1511–1549.
- [32] J. Li, Y. Yu and W. Zhu, *Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation in Besov spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **269** (2020), 8686–8700.
- [33] J. Li, X. Wu, Y. Yu and W. Zhu , *Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation in the critical Besov space*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **23** (2021), 1422-6928.
- [34] J. Li, L. Dai and W. Zhu, *Non-uniform continuous dependence on initial data of solutions to the Euler-Poincaré system*, J. Math. Phys., **60** (2019), 111510, 9.
- [35] Y. A. Li and P. J. Olver, *Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation*, J. Differential Equations, **162** (2000), 27–63.

- [36] W. Luo and Z. Yin, *Blow-up phenomena, ill-posedness and peakon solutions for the periodic Euler-Poincaré equations*, J. of Differential Equations, **268** (2020), 1307–1325.
- [37] J. Li, Y. Yu and W. Zhu, *Ill-posedness for the Camassa-Holm and related equations in Besov spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.05973,(2021).
- [38] J. Li, W. Deng and M. Li , *Non-uniform dependence for higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations in Besov spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv::2003.09623,(2020).
- [39] D. Li, X. Yu and Z. Zhai, *On the Euler-Poincare equation with non-zero dispersion*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **210** (2013), 955-974.
- [40] J. Li and Z. Yin, *Remarks on the well-posedness of Camassa-Holm type equations in Besov spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **261** (2016), 6125-6143.
- [41] G. Rodríguez-Blanco, *On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, Nonlinear Anal., **46** (2001), 309-327.
- [42] J. F. Toland, *Stokes waves*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **7** (1996), 1-48.
- [43] Z. Xin and P. Zhang, *On the weak solutions to a shallow water equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **53** (2000), 1411-1433.
- [44] K. Yan and Z. Yin, *On the initial value problem for higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **35** (2015), 1327-1358.
- [45] W. Ye, Z. Yin and Y. Guo, *A new result for the local well-posedness of the Camassa-Holm type equations in critical Besov spaces $B_{p,1}^{1+1/p}$* , arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00803,(2021).
- [46] Y. Zhao, M. Yang and Y. Li, *Non-uniform dependence for the periodic higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **461** (2018), 59-73.