Entanglement Classification via Roots of Polynomial Invariants
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We show that the invariance of an entanglement measure under Stochastic Local Operations with Classical Communication (SLOCC) expands to its convex roof extension. SLOCC may be represented by Möbius transformations on the roots of the entanglement measure on the Bloch sphere. We derive efficient conditions for n-qubit states to be SLOCC-equivalent. We apply our approach on 4-qubit states and show that roots of the 3-tangle measure classify 4-qubit generic states. Moreover, we propose a method to obtain the normal form of a 4-qubit state which bypasses the possibly infinite iterative procedure.

Quantum entanglement is one of the key manifestations of quantum mechanics and the main resource for all technologies founded on quantum information science. In particular, quantum states with non-equivalent entanglement represent distinct resources which may be useful for different protocols. The idea of clustering states into classes that exhibit different qualities under quantum information processing tasks resulted in their classification under stochastic local operations assisted by classical communication (SLOCC) for two, three and four qubits [1–4]. Mathematically, SLOCC interconvertibility defines an equivalence class of states which can be transformed from one another by the action of a local invertible operator \( L \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^\otimes n \) [1].

Among several approaches to the entanglement quantification and classification problem, a particularly useful one is via polynomial invariant measures. An entanglement measure is a function \( E(|\psi\rangle) \) defined for all pure states of \( n \) qubits which vanishes on the set of separable states. An entanglement measure \( E(|\psi\rangle) \) defined on the system of \( n \) qubits is called a polynomial invariant of homogeneous degree \( h \) if it is polynomial in the coefficients of a pure state \( |\psi\rangle \) and satisfies the equality \( E(\kappa L|\psi\rangle) = \kappa^h E(|\psi\rangle) \) for each real constant \( \kappa > 0 \) and invertible linear operator \( L \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^\otimes n \) [1, 5, 6]. Polynomial invariants provide not only a convenient method for entanglement classification but also its practical detection. Indeed, it was shown that almost all SLOCC equivalence classes can be distinguished by ratios of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-invariant homogeneous polynomials [3]. In addition, entanglement monotones derived from \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-invariant polynomials can be rewritten in terms of expectation values of observables [7], which opens the door for experimental SLOCC classification via the measurement of correlation functions of local operators.

Beyond the scope of pure states, one may extend a given entanglement measure \( E \) on the set of mixed states by determining the largest convex function \( \rho \) on the set of mixed states which coincides with \( E \) on the set of pure states [8]. Such a function is called the convex roof extension of a measure \( E \) on the mixed state \( \rho \), and it reads \( E(\rho) := \min_{\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle) \) where the minimum is taken over all convex decompositions of \( \rho \) into pure states, i.e. \( \rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \). Despite its simple definition, the evaluation of a convex roof extension for a general density matrix \( \rho \) is a challenging task [9–12]. An auspicious attempt to tackle this generally hard problem was carried out by introducing the so-called zero-polytope, a convex hull of all pure states with vanishing \( E \) measure [13–16]. In the particular case of rank-2 density matrices \( \rho \), the zero-polytope can be represented inside a Bloch sphere, spanned by the roots of \( E \) [6, 14]. The zero-polytope not only embodies an elegant way of visualizing entanglement measures but is also useful in quickly determining if a given entanglement measure \( E \) is zero, by verifying whether the density operator can be constructed as a combination of the roots of \( E \).

Another successful link between entanglement classification and geometry was established for permutation-symmetric states. Any permutation-symmetric state can be represented either in a coherent state representation (known as Dicke states [17]), or as a system of \( n \) stars on the Bloch sphere, called Majorana representation [18]. Those two representations are linked by the Majorana polynomial, whose roots determine the associated system of points on the sphere by the stereographic projection [19]. The Majorana representation is especially convenient for studying SLOCC transformations, which are represented by the well-studied Möbius transformations [19, 20], and has become a useful tool to study permutation-symmetric states [21–23].

In this letter, we provide a consistent geometrical representation of a convex roof extension of any \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^\otimes n \)-
invariant polynomial measure evaluated on the system of \((n+1)\) qubits. Similarly to permutation-symmetric states, the system of roots of an SL(2, C)\(^{\otimes n}\) invariant polynomial \(E\) is represented on the Bloch sphere and it is shown that it transforms via M"obius transformations under SLOCC actions. We then derive sufficient and necessary conditions for generic \((n+1)\)-qubit states to be SLOCC-equivalent, for which we explicitly demonstrate possible advantages for four qubit states. This method thus paves the way for a deeper understanding of the invariant structures that characterize the entanglement classification under SLOCC operations.

**System of roots and the zero-polytope**— Consider an \(n+1\) partite qubit state \(|\psi\rangle\), and an SL(2, C)\(^{\otimes n}\)-invariant polynomial measure \(E\) of homogeneous degree \(h\) defined on \(n\)-partited pure qubit states. The state \(|\psi\rangle\) can be uniquely written as

\[
|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle ,
\]

providing the canonical decomposition of the reduced density matrix \(\rho = |\psi_0\rangle \langle\psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle\psi_1|\) obtained by tracing out the first qubit. Note that the states \(|\psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\psi_1\rangle\) are in general neither normalized nor orthogonal. Consider now the following family of states

\[
|\psi_z\rangle = z |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle ,
\]

where \(z \in \mathbb{C}\) are taken from the extended complex plane \(\mathbb{C}\), i.e., complex numbers plus infinity. We denote this the extended plane representation. Since \(E\) is an SL(2, C)\(^{\otimes n}\)-invariant degree-\(h\) homogeneous polynomial of the coefficients of \(|\psi_z\rangle\), it will also be a polynomial of degree \(h\) in the complex variable \(z\) [13]. Therefore, the polynomial \(E(z |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle)\) has exactly \(h\) roots: \(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_h\) (which may be degenerated and/or at infinity).

By using the complex number \(z\), the states \(|\psi_z\rangle\) can be mapped to the surface of a sphere via the standard stereographic projection \((\theta, \phi) := (\arctan 1/|z|, -\arg z)\) written in spherical coordinates. This way, a point on the unit 2-sphere \((\theta, \phi)\) can be associated with the quantum state

\[
|\tilde{\psi}_z\rangle := \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\psi_0\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} |\psi_1\rangle
\]

with \(z = \text{ctg}(\theta/2) e^{-i\phi}\), such that \(|\psi_0\rangle\) lies in the North pole and \(|\psi_1\rangle\) lies in the South pole, see Figure 1. We denote this the Bloch sphere representation. Note that \(|\tilde{\psi}_z\rangle \propto |\psi_z\rangle\) and that neither of these states is normalized, since \(|\psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\psi_1\rangle\) are not normalized in general either. As it will soon become clear, it is precisely this non-normalization that distinguishes our approach for visualizing SL(2, C)\(^{\otimes n}\)-invariant polynomials on the Bloch sphere from others [6, 13, 14, 16, 24]. Despite the non-normalization of \(|\psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\psi_1\rangle\), the Bloch ball interpretation of points inside the sphere can still be consistently associated to mixed quantum states (see Supplemental Material Section 1 for more details).

States \(|\psi_{\zeta_i}\rangle = \zeta_i |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle\) associated with the roots of the polynomial \(E\) represented as points \(|\tilde{\psi}_{\zeta_i}\rangle\) on the Bloch sphere span the (renormalized) zero-polytope, i.e. the convex hull of points \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i |\tilde{\psi}_{\zeta_i}\rangle\), \(\sum \mu_i = 1\) from the Bloch ball. We call it renormalized polytope since it differs from the usual definition in the literature in that \(|\psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\psi_1\rangle\) are not normalized. Any mixed state \(\sum_i \mu_i |\tilde{\psi}_{z_i}\rangle |\tilde{\psi}_{z_i}\rangle\) has vanishing \(E\) measure iff the corresponding Bloch ball vector is contained in the zero-polytope. In particular, any entanglement measure \(E\) vanishes on the the initial reduced state \(\rho_n = |\psi_0\rangle \langle\psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle\psi_1|\) iff the center of a Bloch ball is contained in the zero-polytope.

**Local operations on the zero-polytope**—To each invertible operator \(O = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\), one may associate a M"obius transformation \(z \mapsto z' := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\), mapping the extended complex plane \(\mathbb{C}\) into itself. The composition of such transformations thus represents the multiplication of the associated operators. In particular, \(z \mapsto z' := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\) is an inverse M"obius transformation related with \(O^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}\). Note that although M"obius transformations are typically represented on the extended complex plane, one may represent them as transformations on the Bloch sphere via the stereographic projection. To study the effect of SLOCC operations on the zero-polytope we begin by acting on the first qubit of a state \(|\psi\rangle\) written in the form (1) with an invertible linear operator \(O\). In terms of the family of states \(|\psi_z\rangle\) in (2), this operation induces the map

\[
|\psi_z\rangle \mapsto |\psi_{z'}\rangle = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle ,
\]

i.e. the index is mapped via the M"obius transformation \(z \mapsto z' := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}\) (see Supplementary Material Section 2 for more details). In addition, since \(|\tilde{\psi}_z\rangle \propto |\psi_z\rangle\), we have that the family of states \(|\tilde{\psi}_{z'}\rangle\) also transforms according to Eq. (4). This reflects the fact that the states \(|\psi_z\rangle\) and \(|\tilde{\psi}_{z'}\rangle\) associated to the extended complex plane and the Bloch sphere are related by a stereographic projection of the variable \(z\). Using Eq. (4) and the defining equation \(E(\zeta_1 |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) = 0\) for the roots \(\zeta_1\) of the polynomial \(E\), one concludes that the roots transform according to the inverse M"obius transformation associated to the operator \(O\), i.e. \(\zeta_1 \mapsto \frac{d \zeta_1 - b}{c \zeta_1 - a}\). As a consequence, the zero-polytope is distorted according to the same inverse M"obius transformation. It is crucial to emphasize that normalizing the states \(|\psi_0\rangle\) and \(|\psi_1\rangle\) after the action of the operator \(O\), as is the case in existing related works [6, 13, 14, 16, 24], would spoil the mapping of Eq. (4), and as a consequence the action of SLOCC operators on the states \(|\psi_z\rangle\) would
no longer be given by the corresponding Möbius transformation. Finally, although the zero-polytope changes with local operations acting on the qubit that is being traced out in Eq. (1), it is invariant under local operations acting on any other qubit since the polynomial $E$ is $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ invariant.

We summarize these results in the theorem below (see Supplementary Material Section 2 for a proof).

**Theorem 1.** Consider an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$-invariant polynomial measure $E$ of homogeneous degree $h$ defined on $(n + 1)$-partite pure qubit states. Consider also a quantum state $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle$ and any invertible linear transformation $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}_R \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n+1}$. The roots $\zeta_i$ of the renormalized zero-polytope associated to the partial trace of the first qubit:

1. are invariant under $1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_R \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ operators;
2. transforms via the inverse Möbius transform $\zeta_i' = \frac{d \zeta_i - b}{c \zeta_i + d}$ w.r.t the $\mathcal{O} = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \otimes 1^n$ operator.

Moreover, the entanglement measure vanishes on the reduced state $E(|\psi_0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle) = 0$ iff the center of the Bloch sphere is contained in the renormalized zero-polytope.

SLOCC equivalence and normal systems—The decomposition (1) can be performed with respect to any other subsystem, each with its own system of roots that spans a zero-polytope. This is particularly important for entanglement classification schemes where the presence of entanglement after partial trace of a qubit is an aspect of focus, such as in [25, 26]. Any local operator $\mathcal{O}_k = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})$ acting on the $k$-th qubit will influence independently the corresponding $k$-th system of roots and its associated zero-polytope via the Möbius transformation $\zeta_i \mapsto \frac{d \zeta_i - b}{c \zeta_i + d}$. On the other hand, if acting globally with local operations acting on the qubit that is being traced out in Eq. (1), it is invariant under local operations acting on any other qubit since the polynomial $E$ is $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ invariant. Moreover, the existence of a local transformation between two given states becomes straightforward to verify since Möbius transformations are fully classified.

Any three distinct points on the sphere can be transformed onto any other three distinct points via a unique Möbius transformation. While this is not the case for four points, it is possible to take any four complex points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ and associate a so-called cross-ratio

$$\lambda(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) := \frac{z_3 - z_1}{z_3 - z_2} \frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_4 - z_1},$$

which is preserved under Möbius transformations [20, 22]. Systems of four distinct points are related via Möbius transformations if they cross-ratios are related in the same way. The cross-ratio is not invariant under permutations of points, however, and depending on the ordering taken for the four points, it takes six values: $\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}, 1-\lambda, \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}, \frac{\lambda-1}{1-\lambda}$ [22]. A particular interesting set of four points is one of the form $z, 1/z, -z, -1/z$, which we call a normal system. Any set of four points may be
mapped into a normal system, for which \( z, 1/z, -z, -1/z \) will be the solutions of the fourth degree equation \( \lambda = 4z^2/(1 + z^2)^2 \), where \( \lambda \) is a corresponding cross-ratio \((5)\). Such a map is unique up to symmetries of the cube, i.e. the group of 24 rotations generated by \( \pi/2 \) rotations along the \( X, Y, Z \) axis, denoted by \( G_{24} \).

It is particularly straightforward how one may use the normal system of roots for SLOCC-classification of small dimensional systems. Focusing first on the three-qubit case, genuinely entangled pure states are SLOCC-equivalent to either |GHZ\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) or |W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle) \[27\]. Using the 2-tangle \( \tau^{(2)} \) \[28\] as the entanglement measure, one may use the roots to distinguish between the two classes. Indeed, all rank-2 reduced density matrices of the states \( \{ |001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle \} \) or \( \{ |000\rangle + |111\rangle \} \) have pairwise different roots, which are pairwise different for the states |GHZ\rangle and |W\rangle, respectively.

Contrary to the three qubit case, there are infinitely many SLOCC classes of four qubit states \[27\]. Although four qubit states were divided into nine families \[2, 29, 30\], we will focus on the SLOCC classes of states |GHZ\rangle and |W\rangle. The problem of SLOCC-classification of four qubit states is essentially solved, but the results of Theorem 1 provide a convenient method to verify such equivalence. The procedure uses the fact that the Möbius transformation associated with SLOCC transformation on polynomials invariant of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state into a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by transforming the system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state.

Finally, we remark a link between normal systems of roots and the normal form of pure states of four qubits belonging to the \( G_{abcd} \) class. A state is in normal form if all its reductions are maximally mixed \[35\]. Although the process to determine the normal form of a state (if it exists) is straightforward, it may also turn out to be an infinite iterative process \[35\]. However, the results of Theorem 1 applied to the four qubits states of the \( G_{abcd} \) class show that this difficulty can be avoided. Indeed, the representative state of the \( G_{abcd} \) family is in normal form \[35\] and its corresponding roots associated with the \( \tau^{(3)} \) measure also form a normal system. Thus, one may calculate the roots associated with any state in the \( G_{abcd} \) class, quickly transform them into a normal system of roots using a Möbius transformation and use the associated SLOCC operator to convert the initial state into a state in the normal form. We illustrate this procedure by transforming the four-partite state \[27\] into its normal form, see Figure 1. Without this technique, the standard way of obtaining the normal form would indeed result in an infinite iterative procedure.

The problem of discriminating \((n + 1)\)-qubit states up to SLOCC-equivalence is, in general, demanding \[36-39\] but the results of Theorem 1 provide a convenient method to verify such equivalence. The procedure uses the fact that the Möbius transformation associated with SLOCC operation transforms a system of roots of polynomial invariants of a given state onto a system of roots of an equivalent state. For two states, this procedure begins by choosing any \( SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n} \)-polynomial invariant measure of degree \( h \geq 3 \) and calculating its roots for each subsystem of both given states. Note that a generic state will always have \( h \) roots for each subsystem. Focusing on one subsystem \( i, 1 \leq i \leq n + 1 \), we choose 3 of the \( h \) roots from each subsystem, which we know to be related by a unique Möbius transformation. This results in a local operator \( O_i \) associated with that transformation. Similarly, we find all other local operators which transform the aforementioned 3 roots of the first state onto any other triplets of ordered roots of the second state, with exactly \( 3!(h)^3 \) possibilities. We repeat this procedure for all other subsystems and then consider tensor products of all the obtained local operators. This way, we get a finite set of operators of the form \( O_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes O_{n+1} \). If the two given \((n + 1)\)-qubit states are SLOCC-equivalent, one of these operators will transform one state into the other, greatly restricting the search space of possible operators relating the two states. This approach thus has two main important features. Firstly, it factorizes the problem of finding SLOCC-equivalence, i.e. local operations are determined separately for each subsystem. Secondly, it discretizes the initial discrimination task since there are at most \( 3!(h)^{n+1} \) local operators which might provide SLOCC equivalence between initial states.
Conclusions—In this letter, we demonstrated that the roots of any $SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$-invariant polynomial measure together with the spanned zero-polypolytope transform via Möbius transformations under the SLOCC operations performed on the subsystems. This leads to a general criterion for the discrimination of pure $(n + 1)$-partite entangled states in terms of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$-invariant polynomials. We demonstrated our approach on 4-qubit states, showing that the roots of the 3-tangle measure $\tau^{(3)}$ are enough to classify 4-qubit states from the most generic $G_{abcd}$ family. Lastly, a procedure was presented to determine the normal form of states in the $G_{abcd}$ family that circumvents the possibility of an infinite iterative process of the standard procedure.
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Supplemental Materials: Entanglement classification via roots of polynomial invariants

SECTION 1. PROOF OF VALIDITY OF THE BLOCH BALL INTERPRETATION

The classical Bloch sphere is a unit 2-sphere with poles corresponding to a pair of orthonormal vectors $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle = |1\rangle$. Pure quantum states are associated with points on the sphere, while mixed states with points inside the sphere, i.e. in the Bloch ball. In particular, the center of a Bloch ball corresponds to the maximally mixed state $ho = (1/2)(|\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|)$.

Here it is shown that one may relax the condition of orthonormality of vectors $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$ and obtain a more general Bloch representation. Begin by considering any two quantum states $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$, assumed to be linearly independent for simplicity. To each point inside the unit 3-dimensional ball

$$\vec{c} = (c_x, c_y, c_z), \quad |\vec{c}| \leq 1,$$

(S1)

we associate a mixed state

$$\rho_{\vec{c}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} + c_x \hat{\sigma}_x + c_y \hat{\sigma}_y + c_z \hat{\sigma}_z),$$

(S2)

where, motivated by Pauli matrices, we define $\hat{\mathbf{1}}, \hat{\sigma}_i$ by

$$\hat{\mathbf{1}} := |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|,$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_x := |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_1| - |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_0|,$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_y := -i |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_1| + i |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_0|.$$

Under the assumption of linear independence of $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$, the matrices $\hat{\mathbf{1}}, \hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_z$ are also linearly independent and thus each mixed state $\rho_{\vec{c}}$ is uniquely determined by the associated Bloch ball vector $\vec{c}$. Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the mixed states $\rho_{\vec{c}}$ of the form Eq. (S2) and the vectors $\vec{c}_{\rho}$ from the Bloch ball. Indeed, two vectors $\vec{c}$ and $\vec{c}'$ coincides iff the corresponding mixed states are the same, i.e $\vec{c} = \vec{c}' \iff \rho_{\vec{c}} = \rho_{\vec{c}'}$. Note that the states $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$ are not normalized and neither are the mixed states $\rho_{\vec{c}}$. In this more general Bloch ball picture, the center still associated with $\rho = 1/2 (|\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|)$, and a point $\vec{c}$ on the surface of a 2-sphere

$$\vec{c} = \left( \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \phi, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \phi, \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right), \quad |\vec{c}| = 1,$$

(S3)

written in the spherical coordinates is still associated with the superposition

$$\cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\psi_0\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} |\psi_1\rangle,$$

(S4)

as we expect. As a final consistency check, consider any convex combination

$$\rho = \sum \mu_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|, \quad \sum \mu_i = 1,$$

(S5)

of vectors $|\psi_i\rangle$ from the surface of the sphere, i.e $|\psi_i\rangle$ are of the form Eq. (S4). Since $\rho$ can be written in the form Eq. (S2), there exists a uniquely associated $\vec{c}_{\rho}$ from the Bloch ball. In addition, to each vector $|\psi_i\rangle$ corresponds a unit vector $\vec{c}_{\psi_i}$ from the Bloch sphere, so by expressing all states $|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$ in the form Eq. (S2), one obtains

$$\vec{c}_{\rho} = \sum \mu_i \vec{c}_{\psi_i}.$$ 

(S6)

Therefore, it follows immediately, that equivalent convex combinations of vectors from the Bloch sphere

$$\sum \mu_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \sum \nu_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|, \quad \sum \mu_i = \sum \nu_j = 1,$$

(S7)

are associated with the same Bloch vector inside the Bloch ball. This entire construction reduces to the standard Bloch ball interpretation by taking $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle = |1\rangle$. 

SECTION 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We present a proof for Theorem 1. Any $n+1$ partite qubit state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes (n+1)}$ might be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle|\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle|\psi_1\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S8)

Such a form provides the canonical decomposition of the reduced density matrix $\rho = |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|$ over the non-normalized states $|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes N}$, obtained by tracing out the first qubit. Consider now a reversible operator $\mathcal{O} = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the first qubit. Under the action of this operator, the state $|\psi\rangle$ is transformed into

$$|\psi\rangle := \mathcal{O} |\psi\rangle = |0\rangle \left(a |\psi_0\rangle + b |\psi_1\rangle\right) + |1\rangle \left(c |\psi_0\rangle + d |\psi_1\rangle\right) = |0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S9)


where

$$|\psi_0\rangle := a |\psi_0\rangle + b |\psi_1\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S10)

$$|\psi_1\rangle := c |\psi_0\rangle + d |\psi_1\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S11)

Consider now any superposition of states $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$. Observe that

$$|\psi'_z\rangle := z |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle = z \left(a |\psi_0\rangle + b |\psi_1\rangle\right) + c |\psi_0\rangle + d |\psi_1\rangle
= (az + b) |\psi_0\rangle + (cz + d) |\psi_1\rangle
\propto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle,$$

where the complex number $cz + d$ was factored out in order for the transformation to map states from the extended plane representation to the extended plane representation. In other words, we have

$$\mathcal{O} |\psi_z\rangle = |\psi_{z'}\rangle, \quad z' = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S12)

i.e. the operator $\mathcal{O}$ transforms states in the extended plane representation by applying a M"{o}bius transformation on the index $z$. Suppose now that $\zeta_i$ is a zero of an $h$-degree polynomial function $E$, i.e. $E(\zeta_i |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) = 0$. Acting on the first qubit with $\mathcal{O}$, the density matrix after tracing out the first qubit becomes $|\psi'_0\rangle \langle \psi'_0| + |\psi'_1\rangle \langle \psi'_1|$, so the entanglement measure $E$ will be zero for some new roots $\zeta'_i$, such that $E(\zeta'_i |\psi'_0\rangle + |\psi'_1\rangle) = 0$. Using Eqs. (S10)-(S11), the later equation can be transformed into

$$E \left( \frac{a\zeta'_i + b}{c\zeta'_i + d} |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle \right) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (S13)

where the factor $(a\zeta'_i + d)$ is irrelevant since any root multiplied by it will still be a valid root. Comparing with the equation for the roots before the action of $\mathcal{O}$, we reach the conclusion that the roots transform according to the inverse M"{o}bius transformation as

$$\zeta'_i = \frac{d\zeta_i - b}{-c\zeta_i + a},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S14)

under the action of the operator $\mathcal{O}$. As a consequence, the roots of the zero-polytope transform with respect to the inverse M"{o}bius transformation associated to the operator $\mathcal{O} = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})$. Analize now the case when $\mathcal{O}$ is a unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$. Since any unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$ can be represented as a rotation $\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ (up to an irrelevant global phase), it will simply rotate the Bloch ball, together with the zero-polytope.

Consider now multilocal operators $\mathcal{O}_\vec{n} = \mathcal{O}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ acting on the remaining qubits of the state $|\psi\rangle$ from Eq. (S8). The state $|\psi\rangle$ will transform accordingly as

$$|\psi\rangle := \mathcal{O}_\vec{n} |\psi\rangle = |0\rangle \mathcal{O}_{\vec{n}} |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle \mathcal{O}_{\vec{n}} |\psi_1\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S15)

After the action of $\mathcal{O}_\vec{n}$, a state in the extended plane representation will have a value of entanglement measure $E$ equal to

$$E \left( z |\psi'_0\rangle + |\psi'_1\rangle \right) = E \left( \mathcal{O}_\vec{n} (z |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) \right).$$
However, since $E$ is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})^\otimes n$ invariant, we have that $E(z|\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) = 0$ iff $E(z|\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) = 0$, and so the roots of both polynomial equations are the same. As a consequence, the roots of the zero-polytope will remain unchanged under the action of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Finally, consider the family of non-normalized reduced density matrices $\rho_\zeta$ of rank 2 for which the entanglement measure $E$ is zero. Such density matrices will have to be constructed as a combination of the roots $|\psi_\zeta\rangle = \zeta|\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle$ of the polynomial $E$, i.e.

$$\rho_\zeta = \sum_i \mu_i |\psi_\zeta_i\rangle \langle \psi_\zeta_i|,$$  

which spans the renormalized zero-polytope. As we presented in Supplemental Material Section 1, the center of the Bloch ball corresponds to the state $\rho = 1/2 \left( |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| \right)$, associated to the state $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle$ after tracing out the first qubit. Therefore the entanglement measure vanishes on the reduced state $E(|\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| + |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|) = 0$ iff the center of the Bloch ball is contained in the renormalized zero-polytope. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

**SECTION 3. NORMAL FORM**

Consider the set of four symmetrically related points $\Phi = \{ z, 1/z, -z, -1/z \}$. It is very convenient to associate with them the cuboid spanned by eight points:

$$\Phi \cup \Phi = \{ z, 1/z, -z, -1/z, 1/z, z, -1/z, -z \},$$

as it is presented on Figure S1. Observe, that all six faces of the cuboid are parallel to one of the planes: $XZ, XY$, or $YZ$. In fact, this property is equivalent to the initial assumption that the set of points $\Phi$ is in normal form. Clearly, all rotations of the Bloch ball preserve the form of the cuboid. Nevertheless, only a special subgroup of all rotations preserve faces of the cuboid being parallel to $XZ, XY$, or $YZ$. This special subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ contains 24 elements spanned by three rotations of $\pi/2$ around $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ axis, given by:

$$R_x(\pi/2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/4 & -i \sin \pi/4 \\ -i \sin \pi/4 & \cos \pi/4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_y(\pi/2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/4 & -\sin \pi/4 \\ \sin \pi/4 & \cos \pi/4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_z(\pi/2) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\pi/4} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{pmatrix}\quad\text{(S19)}$$

In fact, this is a group of rotations preserving the regular cube (the group of orientable cube symmetries). Clearly, all rotations in the $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ group preserve the normal-form structure of $\Phi$. On the other hand, the normal form is uniquely determined up to 24 rotations in the $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ group.

**Figure S1.** A normal system of roots $z, 1/z, -z, -1/z$ together with the conjugate points $\bar{z}, 1/\bar{z}, -\bar{z}, -1/\bar{z}$ span the cuboid whose faces are parallel to the $XZ, XY$ and $YZ$ planes. There are 24 rotations of the Bloch sphere which preserve this property, composing the elements of the group $\mathcal{G}_{24}$. Two of them, namely the rotation by a $\pi/2$ angle around the $X$ and $Y$ axes are presented. The system of roots transforms according to Eqs. S17-S19, giving $z \mapsto z' := \frac{x+1}{ix+1}$ and $z \mapsto z'' := \frac{x-1}{ix+1}$ for the two rotations.
Proposition 2. Each non-degenerated four points \(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\) on the Bloch sphere can be transformed onto the normal form \(z, \frac{1}{z}, -z, -\frac{1}{z}\) via a Möbius transformation \(T\). The latter is uniquely defined up to 24 rotations in the group \(G_{24}\).

Proof. For each complex number \(\lambda\) there exists another complex number \(z\), such that the cross-ratio of the four points is equal to \(\lambda\), i.e.

\[
\left( z, \frac{1}{z}; -z, -\frac{1}{z} \right) = \lambda.
\] (S20)

Indeed, the cross-ratio on the left side equals \(4z^2/(1+z^2)^2\), and the equation \(4z^2/(1+z^2)^2 = \lambda\) has exactly four solutions

\[
z_0 = \frac{4 - 2\lambda + \sqrt{1 - \lambda}}{2\lambda}, \quad \frac{1}{z_0}, -z_0, -\frac{1}{z_0}.
\] (S21)

Therefore, for a given value \(\lambda\) there exists a unique \(\lambda\)-normal system, such that the cross-ratio of its vertices is given by \((z_0, \frac{1}{z_0}, -z_0, -\frac{1}{z_0}) = \lambda\). Replacing the vertex \(z_0\) by any other vertex \(z_0, 1/z_0, -z_0, -1/z_0\) does not change the value of the cross-ratio \((z_0, \frac{1}{z_0}, -z_0, -\frac{1}{z_0}) = \lambda\). Note that there exists a unique Möbius transformation \(T\) which maps \(z_1, z_2, z_3\) onto \(z_0, 1/z_0, -z_0\), with the remaining \(z_4\) mapped onto \(-1/z_0\). Observe as well that the value of \(z_0\) is unique up to its inverse, opposite and opposite inverse elements, according to Eq. (S21), with the corresponding Möbius transformations associated to the matrices \(T, \sigma_x T, \sigma_y T\) and \(\sigma_z T\). Each of those transformations maps the set of points \(\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}\) onto the same set of points \(\{z_0, 1/z_0, -z_0, -1/z_0\}\), although the exact bijection between those two sets of roots is different for each transformation.

Depending on the order of four points \(\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}\), the corresponding cross-ratio takes six values: \(\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}, 1-\lambda, \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}, \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}\) and \(\frac{1}{\lambda}\) [S22]. For each of these, there is a corresponding set of solutions of the form \(\{z_0, 1/z_0, -z_0, -1/z_0\}\) via Eq. (S21) with four related Möbius transformations. Therefore, there are in total 24 Möbius transformations that map any four non-degenerated points onto a normal system, each of them related by an element of the group \(G_{24}\) which has exactly 24 elements.

SECTION 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Consider the state \(|G_{abcd}\rangle\) and its decomposition with respect to the first subsystem \(|G_{abcd}\rangle = |0\rangle |\psi_0\rangle + |1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle\), where

\[
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{a + d}{2} |000\rangle + \frac{a - d}{2} |011\rangle + \frac{b + c}{2} |101\rangle + \frac{b - c}{2} |110\rangle,
\]

\[
|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{a + d}{2} |111\rangle + \frac{a - d}{2} |100\rangle + \frac{b + c}{2} |010\rangle + \frac{b - c}{2} |001\rangle.
\]

Suppose that \(\tau^{(3)}(\zeta |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) = 0\). Since \(\tau^{(3)}\) is a SL(2, \(\mathbb{C}\))\(^\otimes\) invariant, for any local operators \(O_1, O_2, O_3\) we have

\[
\tau^{(3)} \left( (O_1 \otimes O_2 \otimes O_3)(\zeta |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) \right) = 0.
\]

Observe that

\[
|\psi_0\rangle = (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x) |\psi_1\rangle,
\]

\[
|\psi_1\rangle = (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x) |\psi_1\rangle,
\]

where \(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\) are Pauli matrices. Therefore by taking all local operators \(O_1, O_2, O_3\) equal to \(\sigma_x\), we may conclude that

\[
0 = \tau^{(3)} \left( (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x)(\zeta |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle) \right) = \zeta |\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_0\rangle \propto \frac{1}{\zeta} |\psi_0\rangle + |\psi_1\rangle,
\] (S22)

hence \(1/\zeta\) is another root of \(\tau^{(3)}\). Similarly, by considering \((\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\) and \((\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z)\), one may find another two roots \(-\zeta, -1/\zeta\) of \(\tau^{(3)}\). This shows that the roots of \(\tau^{(3)}\) evaluated on any state from the \(G_{abcd}\) family are
symmetrical with respect to rotations around $X, Y, Z$ axes by the angle $\pi$. Writting $\tau^{(3)}(z |\psi_0) + |\psi_1\rangle = 0$ explicitly, we obtain the equation

$$\tau^{(3)}(z |\psi_0) + |\psi_1\rangle = Az^4 - 2(2B + A)z^2 + A = 0,$$

where $A = (b^2 - c^2)(a^2 - d^2)$ and $B = (c^2 - d^2)(a^2 - b^2)$. The above equation is non-degenerated iff $A, B, A + 2B \neq 0$, which happens iff $a^2 \neq b^2 \neq c^2 \neq d^2$ are pairwise different.

**Lemma 1.** Any local operator $O = O_1 \otimes O_2 \otimes O_3 \otimes O_4 \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes 4}$ which transforms states $|G_{a'b'c'd'}\rangle \propto O |G_{abcd}\rangle$ with $a^2 \neq b^2 \neq c^2 \neq d^2$, is of the form $O \in G_{24}$. This way, searching for SLOCC-equivalence between the states $|G_{abcd}\rangle$ and $|G_{a'b'c'd'}\rangle$ becomes restricted to the search within the finite class of operators $O \in G_{24}^{\otimes 4}$. Since the group $G_{24}$ has only 24 elements, one may numerically verify that there are exactly $8 \times 24 = 192$ states in the $G_{abcd}$ family which are SLOCC-equivalent to $|G_{abcd}\rangle$ by $O \in G_{24}^{\otimes 4}$. For example, the following operation

$$R_x\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_x\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

transforms state $|G_{abcd}\rangle$ into $|G_{-b-acd}\rangle$. This might be simply written as a transformation of a tuples of indices: the tuple $(a, b, c, d)$ is transformed into the tuple $(-b, -a, c, b)$. Similarly, the operators showed on the following right hand sides provide the corresponding transformations of the tuple $(a, b, c, d)$ on the left side:

$$R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leftrightarrow (a, d, c, b),$$

$$R_z\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_z\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_z\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \otimes R_z\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leftrightarrow (-d, b, c, -a),$$

$$R_y(\pi) \otimes R_y(\pi) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \leftrightarrow (a, -b, -c, -d),$$

$$R_x(\pi) \otimes R_x(\pi) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \leftrightarrow (a, b, c, -d),$$

$$R_y(\pi) \otimes 1 \otimes R_y(\pi) \otimes 1 \leftrightarrow (d, c, b, a),$$

$$R_z(\pi) \otimes 1 \otimes R_z(\pi) \otimes 1 \leftrightarrow (b, a, d, c).$$

Additionally, the tuples $(a, b, c, d)$ and $(-a, -b, -c, -d)$ represent the same state. Note that any composition of the above operations also provides SLOCC equivalences between $|G_{abcd}\rangle$ states. The eight aforementioned transformations of tuples generate all permutations of the $a, b, c, d$ indices, together with the change of a sign of any two or all four indices. There are exactly 24 permutations and for each permutation the signs can be matched in exactly $1 + \left(\begin{array}{c}4 \\ 2\end{array}\right) + 1 = 8$ ways. This gives in total 192 tuples representing SLOCC equivalent states, which perfectly matches the numerical result.

Finally, another trivial manipulation with indices $a, b, c, d$ comes from multiplying by a global phase, which is an irrelevant operation due the fact that quantum states are elements of a projective space. This operation transforms the indices as

$$(e^{i\theta}a, e^{i\theta}b, e^{i\theta}c, e^{i\theta}d) \sim (a, b, c, d),$$

resulting in the same quantum state for any real number $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. In particular, for $\theta = \pi$, we observe that system of opposite indices determines the same state as the initial one, i.e. $(-a, -b, -c, -d) \sim (a, b, c, d)$. 