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Abstract

We derive an effective membrane theory in the thin film limit within a two phase material model for a specimen consisting of an elastic matrix and soft inclusions. The soft inclusions may lead to the formation of cracks within the elastic matrix and the corresponding limiting models are described by Griffith type fracture energy functionals. We also provide simplified proofs of relaxation results for bulk materials.

Keywords. Phase transition, elasticity, soft inclusions, relaxation, membranes, Gamma-convergence.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 74K15, 74A45, 74A50, 74B20, 49J45

1 Introduction

We examine materials consisting of two phases: an elastic matrix and inclusions therein whose elastic moduli are negligible as compared to the moduli of the matrix. In stark contrast to the effects caused by elastic or even rigid inclusions, the occurrence of a very soft phase poses severe analytical challenges, whose physical origin lies in the possible formation of voids. Here the specimen no longer exhibits elastic response but rather becomes arbitrarily flexible at virtually no energetic cost.

In applications the occurrence of two phases can model a variety of quite different systems of relevance. For a single material they may describe the fundamental solid and fluid phases at the onset of solid/liquid phase transition. A related example, which is of particular interest in engineering applications, are shape memory alloys subject to moderate deformations with co-existing austenite and martensite phases, whenever the latter is superelastic with a degenerate elastic response, see e.g. [HM93]. Our model is, however, not restricted to single materials but can also describe mixtures in which an elastic material is invaded by a (chemical or biological) substance that causes extremely soft regions to develop with an elastic behavior as that of voids. As a culinary example we mention the formation of holes due to propionic acid bacteria in Swiss cheese. An important geophysical example is the immersion of water in gypsum rock [AHS04, ZXL+19] and the resulting softening which may have severe impact on the stability of mining goafs [ZXL+19, WLL+19]. We finally mention cerebral softening as a medical example [KJY+11, NK19] in the light of recent elastic models of brain tissue [MBH+17]. At variance with other common models involving composite materials such as [FGP09, BS13, BLZ16, CDMSZ19], in such situations the position and geometry of the soft inclusions are not pre-assigned.

In this paper we focus on thin films with reference configuration \( \Omega_h = \omega \times (0, h) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) of small ‘membrane heights’ \( 0 \ll h \ll 1 \). Our aim is to provide a dimensionally reduced membrane theory in the limit \( h \to 0 \) for thin films consisting of an elastic matrix and a soft inclusion. Our result extends the classical work for purely elastic materials in [LDR99] and for brittle materials in [BF01, BFLM02]. This is achieved
in Theorem 4.4 where we study the $\Gamma$-convergence of appropriately renormalized versions of the functionals
\[
\mathcal{G}_h(u, D) = \int_{\Omega_h \setminus D} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_h \cap \partial D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^2
\]
defined on function-set pairs. Here $D \subseteq \Omega_h$ represents the shape of the voids, $u : \Omega_h \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a Sobolev map representing the elastic displacement field, $W$ is the stored energy function and $\psi$ is an arbitrary norm, which allows to model a possibly anisotropic surface energy on $\partial D \cap \Omega_h$ depending on the (exterior) normal $\nu(D)$ to $\partial D$. We explicitly compute the $\Gamma$-limit, which is given by the functional $\mathcal{E}_0^{\text{rel}}$ on the 2-dimensional domain $\omega$ defined by
\[
\mathcal{E}_0^{\text{rel}}(u, D) = \int_{\omega \setminus D} W_0^{qc}(\nabla u) \, dx + 2 \int_{\omega \setminus D} \psi_0(\nu(u)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1 + \int_{\omega \cap \partial D} \psi_0(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1,
\]
where $u : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an elastic displacement field, which possibly allows a 1-dimensional jump discontinuity set $S_u$ (with normal $\nu(u)$), and $D \subset \omega$ is the shape of the void. Here $W_0$ and $\psi_0$ are explicit and $W_0^{qc}$ is the quasiconvex envelope of $W_0$. Moreover we obtain the recovery sequence subject to volume constraints on the voids.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 rests on the relaxation result complemented with volume constraints given in Theorem 3.1 for two phase energy functionals of the form
\[
\mathcal{E}(y, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W(\nabla y(x)) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},
\]
where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the reference configuration, $y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $D \subset \Omega$. The relaxed functional is defined on (a subset of) the space of generalized special functions of bounded variations $GSBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and it is, roughly, of the form
\[
\mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx + 2 \int_{S_y \setminus D} H_y(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},
\]
where $y$ has jump discontinuities along the codimension one set $S_y$ with normal $\nu(y)$ and $W^{qc}$ denotes the quasiconvex envelope of $W$. This relaxation result has been first proved in [BCS07]. We also mention that in [FFLM11] a strongly related relaxation result in two dimensions is obtained. A main motivation for such results has derived from investigations on epitaxially strained films [BC02, CS07]. We refer to [CF20] for latest results and a detailed account of the literature in that direction.

Below we provide a novel proof of Theorem 3.1. Instead of applying slicing techniques we give a considerably simpler and short direct argument which provides the lower bound estimate $\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) \geq \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D)$ whenever $y_k \to y$, $D_k \to D$ with the help of a carefully chosen comparison functional of “Griffith type” (see below). We also include a detailed construction of the recovery sequences, which is briefly sketched in [BCS07] Remark 13. The argument relies on the possibility of choosing one-sided smooth approximations of sets of finite perimeter, which has only recently been established in [CT17]. Moreover, we will prove that $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D)$ can be realized as a limit $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k)$ with $y_k \to y$, $D_k \to D$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = c_k$ for any preassigned (positive) sequence $c_k$ with $c_k \to \mathcal{L}^n(D)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{L}^n(D) = 0$ we thus obtain $\Gamma$-convergence to a pure Griffith type fracture functional of the form
\[
y \mapsto \int_{\Omega} W^{qc}(\nabla y(x)) \, dx + 2 \int_{S_y} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},
\]
cp. [Gri21] [FM98] [AFP00].
2 Functions of bounded variation

We collect some basic material on generalized functions of bounded variation and sets of finite perimeter. For an exhaustive treatment of this subject we refer to [AFP00]. We fix a norm \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and write \( | \cdot | \) for the Euclidean norm. The dual norm of \( \psi \) is denoted

\[
\psi^\prime(u) = \max\{(u, v) : \psi(v) \leq 1\} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be an open bounded set, \( u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m \) a Borel function and \( x \in \Omega \). We say that \( a \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the approximate limit of \( u \) at \( x \) if

\[
\lim_{\rho \searrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mathcal{L}^n(\{x' \in B_\rho(x) : |u(x') - a| > \varepsilon\}) = 0
\]

for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), in which case we write \( \tilde{u}(x) \) for \( a \). If this limit does not exist we say that \( x \) belongs to the approximate discontinuity set \( S_u \). For every \( x \in \Omega \setminus S_u \) we say that \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) is the approximate differential of \( u \) at \( x \in \Omega \) if

\[
\lim_{\rho \searrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mathcal{L}^n(\{x' \in B_\rho(x) : |u(x') - \tilde{u}(x) - A(x' - x)| > \varepsilon|x' - x|\}) = 0
\]

for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \). In this case we write \( \nabla u(x) \) for \( A \).

We say that a Borel subset \( S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is countably \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \)-rectifiable if there are at most countably many \( C^1 \) hypersurfaces of dimension \( n - 1 \) in \( \Omega \) that cover \( S_u \) up to an \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \) negligible set. If moreover \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S) < \infty \) then we say that \( S \) is \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \)-rectifiable.

A function \( u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) is said to lie in the space \( BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) of functions of bounded variation if its distributional derivative \( Du \) is a finite \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \)-valued Radon measure. The total variation of \( u \) with respect to the Euclidean norm is denoted by \( |Du| \). We also need to consider the anisotropic total variation \( \psi(Du) \) of \( Du \) with respect to \( \psi \) for a function \( u \in BV(\Omega) \): this is the Radon measure \( \psi(Du) \) on \( \Omega \) given by

\[
\psi(Du)(B) = \int_B \psi\left(Du \left( \frac{Du}{|Du|} \right) \right) d|Du| \quad \text{for } B \subset \Omega \text{ Borel},
\]

where \( \frac{Du}{|Du|} \) is the \( |Du| \)-measurable function satisfying \( Du = \frac{Du}{|Du|} |Du| \). Setting \( \psi(Du) = +\infty \) for \( u \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus BV(\Omega) \), it follows from the Reshetnyak lower

\[\text{A caveat on notation: The set } S_u \text{ is called weak approximate discontinuity set and denoted } S_u^\ast \text{ in [AFP00].}\]
semicontinuity theorem \cite{AFP00} Theorem 2.38 that the function \( u \mapsto \psi(Du)(U) \) is lower semicontinuous in the \( L^1(U) \) topology for any open subset \( U \) of \( \Omega \). If \( u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \), the approximate discontinuity set \( S_u \) is a countably \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \)-rectifiable set. The Lebesgue decomposition of \( Du \) turns out to be \( Du = \nabla u \mathcal{L}^n + D^su \) with \( \nabla u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \) and singular part \( D^su \). If moreover \( D^su \) is concentrated on \( S_u \), we speak of a special function of bounded variation and write \( u \in SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \). If \( u \in SBV(\Omega^t; \mathbb{R}^m) \) for all \( \Omega^t \subset \subset \Omega \), we write \( u \in SBV_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \).

A function \( u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m \) is a generalized function of bounded variation, write \( u \in GSBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \), whenever \( \varphi \circ u \in SBV_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) for every \( \varphi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^m) \) with \( \text{supp} \, \nabla \varphi \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^m \). If \( m = 1 \) this is equivalent to \( u^M = (u \wedge M) \vee (-M) \in SBV(\Omega) \) for every \( M > 0 \). Moreover, for \( 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \) we define \( (G)SBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) as the space of functions \( u \in (G)SBV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) for which \( \nabla u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \) and \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u) < \infty \) and set \( (G)SBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = (G)SBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \). In \cite{DMFT04} Prop. 2.3] it has been noted that \( GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) is a vector space and that \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m) \) belongs to \( GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) if and only if \( u_i \in GSBV^p(\Omega) \) for all \( i \) and that, as a consequence, the scalar results in \cite{AFP00} Sect. 4.5 apply to show that for \( u \in GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) still \( S_u \) is an \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \)-rectifiable set. If we fix an approximate unit normal vector field \( \nu \) of \( S_t \), then \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \) a.e. point \( x \in S_u \) is an approximate jump point of \( u \) in the sense that there are distinct \( u^+(x), u^-(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) such that

\[
\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \int_{B_\rho(x)}^{\rho} \mathcal{L}^n(\{ x' \in B_\rho(x) \cap H^\pm : |u(x') - u^\pm(x)| > \varepsilon \}) = 0
\]

for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), where \( H^\pm = \{ x' \in \Omega : x' - x \cdot \nu(x) > 0 \} \). With little abuse of notation we denote each vector field \( \nu \) as above with \( \nu(u) \); notice that the triple \( (\nu(u)(x), u^+(x), u^-(x)) \) is uniquely determined up to a sign and a permutation. Setting \( u^M = (u^1_M, \ldots, u^M_m) \) one has \( S_u = \bigcup_{M > 0} S_{u^M} \) and

\[
\nabla u_i = \nabla u^M_i \mathcal{L}^n \text{ a.e. on } \{|u_i| \leq M\}
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). The existence of one-sided traces \( u^\pm \) is guaranteed on any countably \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \)-rectifiable set oriented by some normal field. We also note that \( GSBV^p_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = SBV^p_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) and that \( S_u \) is the complement of the set of Lebesgue points of \( u \) if \( u \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \).

If \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a Borel subset then its measure theoretic interior \( E^I \), exterior \( E^0 \) and boundary \( \partial^* E \) are given by

\[
E^I = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \mathcal{L}^n(B_\rho(x) \setminus E) = 0 \},
\]

\[
E^0 = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \mathcal{L}^n(B_\rho(x) \cap E) = 0 \},
\]

\[
\partial^* E = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (E^I \cup E^0),
\]

which are easily seen to be Borel subsets. If \( E \subset \Omega \) is a Borel set, then we say that \( E \) is a set of finite perimeter in \( \Omega \) if and only if \( \chi_E \in BV(\Omega) \). The total variation measure of \( DX_E \) satisfies \( |DX_E| = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(E \cap \partial^* E) \). Since \( \mathcal{S}_{\chi_E} = \partial^* E \cap \Omega \), we have \( \chi_E \in SBV(\Omega) \) and we set \( \nu(E) = \frac{DX_E}{|DX_E|} \). We write \( \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \) to denote the collection of sets \( E \subset \Omega \) of finite perimeter in \( \Omega \). Moreover if \( E \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \) we notice that \( \psi(D\chi_E) \) is the anisotropic surface measure on \( \partial^* E \) with density \( \psi(\nu(E)) \), i.e.,

\[
\psi(D\chi_E) = \psi(\nu(E))|\mathcal{H}^{n-1}|(\partial^* E).
\]

We recall from \cite{Gra10} the following anisotropic version of coarea formula for \( BV \) functions: if \( u \in BV(\Omega) \), then

\[
\psi(Du)(B) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(Du_{t \geq 1})(B) \, dt
\]

(1)
for each Borel subset $B \subset \Omega$.

We proceed to state the relevant compactness and lower semicontinuity results. The basic compactness theorem in $(G)SBV^p$ of Ambrosio is the following, cf. \cite{Amb95, Amb94, AFP00}.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set and $(u_k) \subset GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ for $p > 1$ and $q \geq 1$. Suppose that

$$\|u_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})} + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{u_k}) \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Then there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) and a $u \in GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

(i) $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (strongly),

(ii) $\nabla u_k \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ (weakly) and

(iii) $\liminf_{k \to 0} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{u_k}) \geq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_u)$.

For the $\liminf$ inequalities we will make use of lower semicontinuity results. In particular, the lower semicontinuity of the bulk term follows from Kristensen’s theorem in \cite{Kri99}.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $p > 1$. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasiconvex with $-C \leq f(X) \leq C|X|^p + C$ for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and for some constant $C > 0$. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set and $(u_k) \subset GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is such that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})} + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{u_k}) \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\nabla u_k) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\nabla u) \, dx.$$

For the surface part we use the following standard result in the $SBV$ setting, see \cite{Amb90, Amb95, Amb94} and cf. \cite{AFP00} Theorem 5.22. We recall that for a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ a function $g : K \times K \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ is jointly convex, if

$$g(x, y, \nu) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \left( (V_h(x) - V_h(y)) \cdot \nu \right)$$

for a suitable choice of $V_h \in C(K; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$. For later use we remark that in the isotropic case $g(x, y, \nu) = g(x, y) |\nu|$ this amounts to requiring

$$g(x, y) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} |V_h(x) - V_h(y)|$$

for suitable $V_h \in C(K)$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, while for functions $g(x, y, \nu) = g(\nu)$ only depending on the crack normal this is equivalent to having $g$ even, positively $1$-homogeneous and convex.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $p > 1$, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ compact and suppose that $g : K \times K \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ is jointly convex with $g(x, y, \nu) \geq c|\nu|$ for a constant $c > 0$ and all $(x, y) \in K^2$ with $x \neq y$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set and $(u_k) \subset SBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is such that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad u_k \in K \mathcal{L}^n \ \text{a.e.}$$

for all $k$ and some constant $C > 0$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_{u_k}} g(u^+_{k, u_k}, u^+_{k}, \nu(u_k)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \int_{S_u} g(u^+, u^-, \nu(u)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. $$
For the basic density result in $SBV^p_{\infty}$ we define the set $W(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ to be the space of functions $y \in SBV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

(i) $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\overline{S}_y \setminus S_y) = 0,$

(ii) $S_y \cap \Omega$ is the intersection of $\Omega$ with a finite union of $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices,

(iii) $y \in W^{k,\infty}(\Omega \setminus \overline{S}_y;\mathbb{R}^m)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}.$

The following density result is a special case of the main result of [CT99].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. For every $u \in SBV^p_{\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ there exists a sequence $(u_k) \subset W(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\sup_{k \geq 1} \|u_k\|_\infty < \infty$ and

(i) $u_k \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m),$

(ii) $\nabla u_k \to \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ and $\nabla u_k(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ for $\mathcal{L}^n$ a.e. $x \in \Omega,$

(iii) $\int_{S_{u_k}} \psi(\nu(u_k)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \to \int_{S_u} \psi(\nu(u)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$

(iii') $\psi(\nu(u_k)) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \ll S_{u_k} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \psi(\nu(u)) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \ll S_u$ as Radon measures on $\Omega.$

**Proof.** The existence of a sequence satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) follows from [CT99, Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2]. This sequence also satisfies (iii'), as can be checked with the help of the Portmanteau theorem and combining (iii) with the fact that, due to Theorem 2.3

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_{u_k} \cap U} \psi(\nu(u_k)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq \int_{S_u \cap U} \psi(\nu(u)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

for every open set $U \subset \Omega.$ \hfill $\square$

While this and related results have been widely used in Gamma convergence and relaxation results, for the sets in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ will make use of a particular almost one-sided smooth approximation scheme, that has been rather recently established in [CTZ09, CT17]. We define

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega) = \{ A \cap \Omega : A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ open with smooth boundary, } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial A \cap \partial \Omega) = 0 \}.$$

In the next theorem we summarize all statements on smooth approximation of sets of finite perimeter needed in the sequel.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter, $s > 0$ and let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel set with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K) < \infty.$ There exists a sequence of open sets $E_k$ with smooth boundaries such that for every Radon measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mu \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ and each $r > 0$:

(i) $\mathcal{L}^n(E_k \triangle E) \to 0,$

(ii) $\psi(D\chi_{E_k})(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \psi(D\chi_{E})(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi(D\chi_{E_k}) \xrightarrow{\ast} \psi(D\chi_{E}),$

(iii) $|\mu|(E^1 \cup \partial^s E) \triangle E_k \to 0,$

(iv) $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E_k \cap K) = 0$ for every $k,$

(v) $\{ x \in E : \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) > r \} \subset \bigcup_k \bigcap_{m \geq k} E_m,$

(vi) $\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \text{dist}(x, E) > r \} \subset \bigcup_k \bigcap_{m \geq k} (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_m), \quad \mathbb{R}^n.$
(vii) \( \{ x : \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) \geq s \} \subset E_k \subset \{ x : \text{dist}(x, E) < 2s \} \) for every \( k \).

Proof. We fix a sequence \( \varepsilon_k \downarrow 0 \), we define \( u_k = \chi_E * u_{\varepsilon_k} \) with the standard scaled mollifier \( u_{\varepsilon_k} \) and we set \( F_t^k = \{ u_k > t \} \) for \( t \in (0,1) \). Since \( u_k \to \chi_E \) in \( L^1(\Omega) \) and \( |Du_k|([\mathbb{R}^n]) \to |DX_E|([\mathbb{R}^n]) \) (see [AFP00, p. 121]), one has that \( L^1(F_t^k \Delta E) \to 0 \) for all \( t \in (0,1) \) and

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(Du_k)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \psi(DX_E)(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]

by the Reshetnyak continuity theorem [AFP00, Theorem 2.39]. Moreover it follows from the lower semicontinuity of the anisotropic total variation that for every open set \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \)

\[
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \psi(DX_{F_{t}^{k}})(U) \geq \psi(DX_E)(U) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0,1).
\]

At this point we use the coarea formula in [I] and Fatou’s Lemma to obtain

\[
\psi(DX_E)(\mathbb{R}^n) \geq \int_0^1 \liminf_{k \to \infty} \psi(DX_{F_{t}^{k}})(\mathbb{R}^n) \, dt,
\]

and we combine this inequality with Sard’s Theorem to conclude that for \( L^1 \) a.e. \( t \in (0,1) \) the sets \( F_{t}^{k} \) have smooth boundaries for each \( k \geq 1 \) and

\[
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \psi(DX_{F_{t}^{k}})(\mathbb{R}^n) = \psi(DX_E)(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]

Passing to a \( t \)-dependent subsequence that realizes the \( \liminf \) as a limit and using the Portmanteau theorem we also conclude that \( \psi(DX_{F_{t}^{k}}) \to \psi(DX_E) \) for almost every \( t \in (0,1) \) along that sequence. Since \( H^{n-1}(K) < \infty \), we infer that \( H^{n-1}(\partial F_{t}^{k} \cap K) = 0 \) for every \( k \geq 1 \) and for all but countably many \( t \in (0,1) \). Moreover it is proved in [CT17, Theorem 3.1] that \( |\mu|((E^1 \cup \partial^* E) \Delta F_{t}^{k}) \to 0 \) for all \( t \in (0,1/2) \). Noting that \( F_{s}^{k} \subset F_{t}^{k} \subset F_{t}^{k} \) for all \( 0 < s < t < 1/2 \), we conclude \( |\mu|((E^1 \cup \partial^* E) \Delta F_{s}^{k}) \to 0 \) for all \( t \in (0,1/2) \). In conclusion there exists \( t \in (0,1/2) \) such that for \( E_k = F_{t}^{k} \) for a suitable choice of indices \( k \) depending on \( t \) all the assertions in (i)-(iv) hold. This choice also guarantees (v) and (vi). For all \( k \) sufficiently large also (vii) holds. \( \square \)

## 3 Bulk model and relaxation

We consider a bounded open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) with Lipschitz boundary, mappings \( y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \), \( 1 < p < \infty \) and subsets \( D \subset \Omega \) which are of finite perimeter within \( \Omega \) (or even more regular). The physically most relevant choice is \( n = m = 3 \). Here \( y \) is a deformation mapping and \( D \) is a soft inclusion within an elastic body whose reference configuration is \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \).

We associate to \( y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) and any set \( D \subset \Omega \) of finite perimeter an energy

\[
\mathcal{E}(y,D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W(\nabla y) \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega \setminus \partial^* D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]

Here the first summand on the right hand side is the elastic bulk energy with a stored energy function \( W \), a Borel function which satisfies the growth condition

\[
\tilde{c}|X|^p - \tilde{C} \leq W(X) \leq \tilde{C}(1 + |X|^p)
\]

for constants \( \tilde{c}, \tilde{C} > 0 \) and the second contribution quantifies the phase transition surface energy with an arbitrary norm \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) for which, by adjusting \( \tilde{c} \) and \( \tilde{C} \),
Thus, and the conclusion follows from the Portmanteau theorem.

Our first result identifies the relaxation of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $L^1$ convergence of $y$ and $\chi_D$. It also allows for volume constraints and provides smooth recovery sequences.

**Theorem 3.1.** The $(L^1)$-relaxation of $\mathcal{E}$ on $\text{GSBV}_1^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx + 2 \int_{S_y \cap D^0} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
$$

More precisely,

(i) whenever $(y_k) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $(D_k) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ are such that $y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for some $y \in \text{GSBV}_1^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $D \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, then one has

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) \geq \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D),
$$

(ii) for each $(y, D) \in \text{GSBV}_1^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $c_1, c_2, \ldots \in (0, \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega))$ with $c_k \to c^0(D)$ there are $(y_k) \subset C_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $(D_k) \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ with $y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = c_k$ for all $k$ and

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D).
$$

**Remark 3.2.** The Lipschitz regularity of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is needed in the construction of the recovery sequence. The statement in 3.1(i) holds for every bounded open set $\Omega$.

**Remark 3.3.** Cracks outside of $D$ can develop as a result of asymptotically thin tubular neighborhoods of $S_y \cap D^0$ whose boundary area is asymptotically twice as big as the surface area of $S_y \cap D^0$, which explains the factor 2 occurring in the first surface term in $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D)$. Indeed, our proof will show that for every energetically optimal ‘recovery’ sequence $(y_k, \chi_{D_k}) \to (y, \chi_D)$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D)$, one has

$$
\psi(D\chi_{D_k}) \leq 2\psi(\nu(y)) \cdot \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_y \cap D^0) + \psi(D\chi_D)
$$

as Radon measures on $\Omega$. In fact, as shown in the proof of Theorem 3.1(i) (see also Remark 3.2) on each open subset $U \subset \Omega$ we readily obtain

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} W(\nabla y_k) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx,
$$

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{D_k})(U) \geq 2 \int_{S_y \cap D^0 \cap U} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \psi(D\chi_D)(U).
$$

Thus,

$$
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{D_k})(\Omega) \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_k, D_k) - \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} W(\nabla y_k) \, dx
$$

$$
\leq \mathcal{E}(u, D) - \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx
$$

$$
= 2 \int_{S_y \cap D^0} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \psi(D\chi_D)(\Omega)
$$

and the conclusion follows from the Portmanteau theorem.
Since $E^{(rel)}(y, D) = E^{(rel)}(\chi_{\Omega}, Dy, D)$, the following compactness statement complements Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 3.4.** If $p, q > 1$ and $(y_k, D_k) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is a sequence with
\[
E(y_k, D_k) + \|y_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C
\]
then there is a $(y, D) \in GSBV^p_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $y = \chi_{\Omega, Dy}$ and, for a subsequence,
\[
\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k \to \chi_{\Omega, D} y \text{ in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).
\]

We will henceforth denote by $c, C > 0$ generic, $k$-independent constants whose value might change from one occurrence to the next.

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of the Compactness Theorem 2.1. As $(D_k) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Omega \cap \partial^* D_k) \leq C/c$ we immediately get a subsequence (not relabeled) such that $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for some $D \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k \in SBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and, by the growth condition (2),
\[
\bar{c} \int \Omega |\nabla (\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k)|^p \, dx + c\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k}) + \|\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq E(y_k, D_k) + \|y_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} + \bar{C} \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) \leq C,
\]
so that, after passing to a further subsequence (not relabeled), $\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ for a $y \in GSBV^p_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, and in combination with $\chi_{\Omega, D_k} \to \chi_{\Omega, D}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and thus boundedly in measure, we get that also
\[
\chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k = \chi_{\Omega, D_k} \cdot \chi_{\Omega, D_k} y_k \to \chi_{\Omega, D} y
\]
in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. This proves the claim. \qed

**Remark 3.5.** 1. Without any additional bound on $y_k$, the control of the energy alone is not sufficient to guarantee compactness in $GSBV$ as the specimen may fracture into pieces and mass might escape to infinity. Remarkably, in such a situation one can still obtain compactness modulo rigid motions subordinate to a Caccioppoli partition of the domain, cf. [PT19].

2. In physical applications with a bounded region containing $y_k(\Omega)$ one has $\|y_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C$. The energy bound $E(y_k, D_k) \leq C$ then leads to a limiting deformation $y \in SBV^p_\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1(i).** We can assume $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E(y_k, D_k) < \infty$. Let $(y, D) \in GSBV^p_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and suppose that $(y_k, D_k) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is such that $y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Note that $\chi_{\Omega, D} y \in GSBV^p_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ as for each component $(\chi_{\Omega, D} y_i)^M = \chi_{\Omega, D} y_i^M \in SBV(\Omega)$ for any truncation parameter $M > 0$ and $\nabla (\chi_{\Omega, D} y_i) = \chi_{\Omega, D} \nabla y_i \mathcal{L}^n$ a.e., $i = 1, \ldots, n$, since $\mathcal{L}^n(\{|y_i| > M\}) \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$.

By passing to $W - W^{qc}(0)$ we may without loss of generality assume that $W^{qc}(0) = 0$. We fix a constant $c_y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that
\[
\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{x \in \partial^* D : y^+(x) = c_y\}) + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{x \in S_y : (y^+(x), y^-(x)) \equiv c_y\}) = 0,
\]
where $y^\pm$ denotes the traces of $y$ on $S_y$, respectively, $\partial^* D$ and, in particular, $y^+$ is the outer trace of $y$ on $\partial^* D$. We also define the functions $\tilde{y}_k \in SBV^p_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ by
\[
\tilde{y}_k = \chi_{\Omega, D_k} (y_k - c_y)
\]
Finally we observe that 
$$\tilde{y}_k \to \tilde{y} = \chi_{\Omega \setminus D}(y - c_y)$$ 
in \( L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) and \( \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_{\tilde{y}_k} \setminus \partial^* D_k) = 0 \). Then

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus D} W(\nabla \tilde{y}_k) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} W^{qc}(\nabla \tilde{y}_k) \, dx$$

and for any truncation parameter \( M > 0 \)

$$\psi(DX_{D_k})(\Omega) \geq \int_{S_{\tilde{y}_k}} g(\tilde{y}^+, \tilde{y}^-, \nu(\tilde{y}_k)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{S_{\tilde{y}_k}^\phi} g((\tilde{y}^M_k)^+, (\tilde{y}^M_k)^-, \nu(\tilde{y}_k)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where

$$g(x, y, \nu) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x = y, \\
\psi(\nu) & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } |x| \cdot |y| = 0, \\
2\psi(\nu) & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } |x| \cdot |y| \neq 0
\end{cases}$$

and \( \tilde{y}^M_k \) denotes the function obtained from \( \tilde{y}_k \) through a componentwise truncation.

It is not hard to see that \( g \) is jointly convex on \( K \times K \times \mathbb{R}^n \) for any compact subset \( K \) of \( \mathbb{R}^m \). As, for each fixed \( M, S_{\tilde{y}_k}^M \subset S_{\tilde{y}_k}, |\nabla \tilde{y}_k| \leq |\nabla \tilde{y}| \geq \mathcal{L}^n \) a.e. and \( \tilde{y}_k^M \to \tilde{y}^M \) in \( L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \), we infer from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and the monotonicity of the set family \( \{ S_{\tilde{y}_k}^M : M > 0 \} \) that

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) \geq \int_{\Omega} W^{qc}(\nabla \tilde{y}) \, dx + \int_{S_{\tilde{y}}} g(\tilde{y}^+, \tilde{y}^-, \nu(\tilde{y})) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$ 

Finally we observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} W^{qc}(\nabla \tilde{y}) \, dx + \int_{S_{\tilde{y}}} g(\tilde{y}^+, \tilde{y}^-, \nu(\tilde{y})) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{D_\delta \cap \Omega} W^{qc}(\nabla \tilde{y}) \, dx + W^{qc}(0)\mathcal{L}^n(D)$$

$$+ 2 \int_{S_{\tilde{y} \cap D_0}} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$ 

\( \square \)

The construction of recovery sequences is first provided for mappings \( y \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \) and sets \( D \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \) (see Section 2). The general case is then achieved by approximation with the help of suitable density theorems. Here a subtle point is that it is not clear a priori that one may pass to a limit \( y_k \to y \) and \( D_k \to D \) simultaneously. We overcome this difficulty by passing to the limits consecutively. This allows for an application of the standard approximation result in \( SBV^2_\mathcal{F} \) by Cortesani-Toader, but then requires a more subtle approximation procedure within \( \mathcal{F} \) in terms of one-sided smooth approximations, cf. Theorem 2.3 and cp. [CTZ09, CT17].

We start with the following general fact on the asymptotic behaviour of the area of tubular neighbourhoods around certain sufficiently regular Borel sets. For every \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( r > 0 \) we write \( \delta_E(x) = \inf \{ \psi^o(y - x) : y \in E \} \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

$$U_r(E) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \delta_E(x) < r \}$$

and note that \( \partial^* U_r(E) = \partial^* \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \delta_E(x) \geq r \} \subset \partial U_r(E) \) for every \( r > 0 \) and that \( \delta_E \) is a Lipschitz function. Combining the anisotropic coarea formula in [4] with results on the outer Minkowski content, see [LV16], we prove in the next Lemma a key estimate for the construction of the recovery sequence.
Lemma 3.6. Suppose \(E \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) is a bounded Borel set such that \(\partial E\) is \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\) rectifiable and there exists \(c > 0\) such that
\[
\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B_r(x) \cap \partial E) \geq cr^{n-1} \quad \text{for every } x \in \partial E \text{ and } r \in (0, 1).
\]
If \(B \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) is a Borel set with \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E \cap \partial B) = 0\), then
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \psi(\mathcal{D}X_{U_r}(E))(B)
\leq 2 \int_{B \cap \partial E \cap E^0} \psi(\nu(\partial E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{B \cap \partial^* E} \psi(\nu(E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]  
(3)

Proof. It follows from [LV16, Theorem 4.4] that
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^n(U_r(E) \setminus E)}{r}
= 2 \int_{\partial E \cap E^0} \psi(\nu(\partial E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* E} \psi(\nu(E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]
Moreover if \(A \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) is an open set, localizing the proof of the inequality [LV16 (4.8)] on \(A\), we infer that
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^n((U_r(E) \setminus E) \cap A)}{r}
\geq 2 \int_{A \cap \partial E \cap E^0} \psi(\nu(\partial E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{A \cap \partial^* E} \psi(\nu(E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.
\]
Since the left hand side of this inequality defines a family of Radon measures \(\mu_r\) and the right hand side a Radon measure \(\mu\), we infer from the Portmanteau theorem that \(\mu_r\) weakly* converges to \(\mu\) and, noting that \(\mu(\partial B) = 0\), we conclude
\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}^n((U_r(E) \setminus E) \cap B)}{r}
= 2 \int_{B \cap \partial E \cap E^0} \psi(\nu(\partial E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{B \cap \partial^* E} \psi(\nu(E)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} := c' .
\]  
(4)

We notice\(^4\) that \(\psi(\nabla \delta_E(x)) = 1\) for \(\mathcal{L}^n\) a.e. \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E}\). Therefore we can use the anisotropic coarea formula in (11) with \(\delta_E\) and \((U_r(E) \setminus \overline{E}) \cap B\) to compute
\[
\frac{\mathcal{L}^n((U_r(E) \setminus E) \cap B)}{r} = \frac{1}{r} \int_{(U_r(E) \setminus \overline{E}) \cap B} \psi(\nabla \delta_E) \, dx
= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \psi(D\mathcal{X}_{U_r}(E))(B) \, dt
= \int_0^1 \psi(D\mathcal{X}_{U_r}(E))(B) \, dt.
\]
We infer from Fatou’s Lemma that
\[
\int_0^1 \liminf_{r \to 0} \psi(D\mathcal{X}_{U_r}(E))(B) \, dt \leq c'
\]
and consequently there exists \(t_0 \in (0, 1)\) such that
\[
\liminf_{r \to 0} \psi(D\mathcal{X}_{U_{t_0}r}(E))(B) \leq c' .
\]  
\(^4\)Evidently it holds \(|\delta_E(x) - \delta_E(y)| \leq \psi(x - y)|\) for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\), whence we deduce that \((\nabla \delta_E(x), \nu) \leq 1\) if \(\delta_E\) is differentiable at \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(\psi(\nu) = 1\). Moreover if \(\delta_E\) is differentiable at \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E}\) and \(a \in \overline{E}\) with \(\psi(x - a) = \delta_E(x)\), then \(\delta_E(x + t(a - x)) = \delta_E(x) - t\delta_E(x)\) and, differentiating this equality in \(t = 0\), we obtain \(\nabla \delta_E(x, x - a) = \delta_E(x)\). Therefore we conclude that \(\psi(\nabla \delta_E(x)) = 1\) for \(\mathcal{L}^n\) a.e. \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{E}\).
Remark 3.7. The condition $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E) < \infty$ is not necessary. It is enough to assume that $\partial E$ is countably $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ rectifiable and there exists $c > 0$ and a Radon measure $\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ such that $\eta(B_r(x)) \geq cr^{n-1}$ for every $x \in \partial E$ and $r \in (0,1)$; see [LV16, Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.2].

Proof of Theorem (i). We proceed in consecutive steps.

Step 1. Firstly we treat the case $y \in W(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $D \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$, where $D = A \cap \Omega$ with $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open with smooth boundary such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial A \cap \partial \Omega) = 0$. Suppose that $0 < c_k \leq \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)$ with $\lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = \mathcal{L}^n(D)$. We define $E = A \cup S_y$ and we notice that $E^0 = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{A}$, $\partial^* E = \partial A$ and $\partial E = \partial A \cup (\overline{S_y} \setminus \overline{A})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E \cap \partial \Omega) = 0$. In particular it follows from Lemma 3.6 that there exists a positive sequence $\eta_k \to 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{U_{\eta_k}(E)})(\overline{\Omega}) \leq c'.$$

with $\int_{\Omega \cap \Omega} \psi(\nu(A)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} := c'$. If $D = \emptyset$, then we need to select a further subsequence (not relabeled) of $(\eta_k)$ such that $\eta_k \ll c_k$. Notice that $\chi_{U_{\eta_k}(E)} \to \chi_E$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Approximating the sets $U_{\eta_k}(E)$ with smooth sets by means of Theorem 2.3 we find a sequence $(E_k)$ of open sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundaries such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E_k \cap \partial \Omega) = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, $\chi_{E_k} \to \chi_E$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $U_{\eta_k/2}(E) \subset E_k \subset U_{3\eta_k/2}(E)$ and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{E_k})(\overline{\Omega}) \leq c'.$$  

It follows that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega) = \mathcal{L}^n(D) + O(\eta_k).$$

Now, if $D \neq \Omega$, fix $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus \overline{E}$ and, if $D \neq \emptyset$, $y_0 \in D$. Then set

$$\tilde{E}_k = (E_k \cup B_{\sigma_k}(x_0)) \setminus B_{\tau_k}(y_0)$$

with null sequences $\sigma_k, \tau_k \geq 0$ such that $B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) \subset \Omega \setminus \overline{E}$, $B_{2\tau_k}(y_0) \subset D$ and, writing $\omega_n = \mathcal{L}^n(B_1)$ and noticing that $\mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega) - c_k \to 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega) - c_k = \omega_n \tau_k^n - \omega_n \sigma_k^n \quad \text{for all } k \text{ sufficiently large}.$$

If $D = \emptyset$ this choice is possible with $\tau_k = 0$ (and $B_{\tau_k}(y_0) = \emptyset$) for every $k$ as in this case $\mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega) - c_k = O(\eta_k) - c_k$ by (3) and $\eta_k \ll c_k$. In case $D = \Omega$ we understand that $B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) = \emptyset$ and use that $c_k \leq \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)$ by assumption. The modified sets $\tilde{E}_k$ still satisfy

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{\tilde{E}_k})(\overline{\Omega}) \leq c'$$

by (5) and $\chi_{\tilde{E}_k} \to \chi_E$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\tilde{E}_k \cap \Omega) = \mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega) + \omega_n \sigma_k^n - \omega_n \tau_k^n = c_k$$

for $k$ sufficiently large enough, as then $B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) \cap E_k = \emptyset$ and $B_{\tau_k}(y_0) \subset E_k$.

Finally, in view of standard relaxation results, see e.g. [Dac08, Theorems 9.8 and 12.14], we can choose $\tilde{y}_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\tilde{y}_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} W(\nabla \tilde{y}_k) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx + \frac{1}{k}.$$
Choosing $y_k = \zeta_k \tilde{y}_k$, where $\zeta_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a cut-off function with $0 \leq \zeta_k \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\zeta_k = 0$ on $B_{\tau_k}(y_0)$ and $\zeta_k = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2\tau_k}(y_0)$, and also using (2) and (6), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \tilde{E}_k} W(\nabla y_k) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W(\nabla \tilde{y}_k) \, dx + C \eta_k + \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\tau_k}(y_0)) W(0)$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{\text{qc}}(\nabla y) \, dx + \frac{1}{k} + C \eta_k + \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\tau_k}(y_0)) W(0), \quad (8)$$

while still $y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Combining with (8) and (7) and setting $D_k = \tilde{E}_k \cap \Omega$ we find that indeed

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}(y_k, D_k) \leq \mathcal{E}(y, D),$$

which concludes the proof of Step 1.

Step 2. Next we consider $(y, D) \in \text{GSBV}^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times C(\Omega)$, say $D = A \cap \Omega$ with a bounded set $A$ with smooth boundary such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial A \cap \partial \Omega) = 0$.

We notice that for the functions $y^k = (y^k_1, \ldots, y^k_n)$ with cut-off components one has $y^k \in \text{SBV}^\varepsilon(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $y^k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and, according to Section 2, $S_y = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty S_{y^k}$, where the family $k \to S_{y^k}$ is increasing, and $\nabla y^k(x) \to \nabla y(x)$ for $L^n$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $|W^{\text{qc}}(\nabla y^k)| \leq C|\nabla y^k|^p + C \leq C|\nabla y|^p + C \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ due to (4). By monotone and dominated convergence we infer that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y^k, D) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D).$$

Therefore we can assume $y \in \text{SBV}^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and in view of Step 1, by invoking a diagonal sequence argument, it is now sufficient to provide a sequence $(y_k, D_k) \subset W(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times C(\Omega)$ such that $(y_k, \chi_{D_k}) \to (y, \chi_D)$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^1(\Omega)$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D)$ for each $k \geq 1$ and

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y_k, D_k) \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D), \quad (9)$$

We choose approximations $y_k \to y$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ as in Theorem 2.40. If $D = \emptyset$ we immediately obtain (3) with $D_k = D = \emptyset$. In case $D \neq \emptyset$, arguing as in Step 1 with the help of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.4 we find a positive sequence $\eta_k \to 0$ and a sequence $(A_k)$ of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundaries such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial A_k \cap \partial \Omega) = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, $\chi_{A_k} \to \chi_A$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $U_{\eta_k/2}(A) \subset A_k \subset U_{3\eta_k/2}(A)$ and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(D \chi_{A_k}(\bar{\Omega})) \leq \psi(D \chi_A(\bar{\Omega})).$$

We choose $y_0 \in D$ and $\tau_k > 0$ with so that $\omega_n \tau_k^n = \mathcal{L}^n((A_k \cap \Omega) \setminus D)$. We set

$$D_k = (A_k \cap \Omega) \setminus B_{\tau_k}(y_0).$$

Note that $B_{\tau_k}(y_0) \subset D$ if $k$ is large enough and then $\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D)$. We have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} W^{\text{qc}}(\nabla y_k) \, dx = \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} W^{\text{qc}}(\nabla y) \, dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{\text{qc}}(\nabla y) \, dx$$

by Theorem 2.40 (ii) and the dominated convergence theorem; moreover

$$\limsup_{h \to 0} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_{y_0 \setminus D_k}} \psi(\nu(y_h)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_{y_0 \setminus D_k}} \psi(\nu(y_h)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_{y_0 \setminus D_k}} \psi(\nu(y_h)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{S_{y \setminus D}} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$
by Theorem (2.4 iii') and dominated convergence as \(\chi_{D_k}(x) \to \chi_{\Omega}(x)\) for all \(x \in \Omega \setminus \{y_0\}\). We conclude that

\[
\lim \sup_{k \to \infty} \lim \sup_{h \to \infty} E^{rel}(y_h, D_k) \leq E^{rel}(y, D),
\]

whence we can easily obtain the sequence satisfying (iii).

**Step 3.** In this crucial step we consider the case \((y, D) \in GS\mathcal{BV}_1^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\) such that both \(D\) and \(\Omega \setminus D\) have nonempty interior. We have to provide a sequence \(D_k \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)\) with \(\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D)\) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} E^{rel}(y, D_k) = E^{rel}(y, D).
\]

To this end, we first extend the set \(D\) to a set \(E\) with \(E \cap \Omega = D\) in such a way that \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^*E \cap \partial \Omega) = 0\) following the procedure outlined in [AFP00, Remark 3.43]: since \(\Omega\) is a Lipschitz domain, the function \(\chi_D\) can be extended to a mapping \(f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)\) with compact support such that \(|Df|(\partial \Omega) = 0\). We note that for a.e. choice of \(s \in (0,1)\) the set \(F_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > s\}\) is of finite perimeter and satisfies \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^*F_s \cap \partial \Omega) = |DX_{F_s}|(\partial \Omega) = 0\) by the coarea formula. Fixing such an \(s\) we set \(E = F_s\).

We then choose an approximating sequence \(E_k\) for \(E\) as in Theorem (2.5) such that \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E_k \cap \partial \Omega) = 0\) for every \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). We fix \(x_0 \in \text{int}(\Omega \setminus D), y_0 \in \text{int} D\) and set \(\rho = \min\{\text{dist}(x_0, (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \cup E), \text{dist}(y_0, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D)\}\) and

\[
D_k = ((E_k \cap \Omega) \cup B_{\sigma_k}(x_0)) \setminus \overline{B_{\tau_k}(y_0)} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega),
\]

where \(0 \leq \sigma_k, \tau_k \leq \rho/2\) with \(\sigma_k \to 0, \tau_k \to 0\) are chosen such that \(\omega_n(\sigma^n_k - \tau^n_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D) - \mathcal{L}^n(E_k \cap \Omega)\) for large \(k\). Since \(B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) \cap E_k = \emptyset\) and \(B_{\tau_k}(y_0) \subset E_k \cap \Omega\) for \(k\) sufficiently large by Theorem (2.5) (v)-(vi), this in particular yields \(\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D)\) for all \(k\) large enough.

By Theorem (2.5) (i) and dominated convergence we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W^{qc}(\nabla y) \, dx.
\]

Since \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^*E \cap \partial \Omega) = 0\), then \(\psi(D\chi_E)(\partial \Omega) = 0\) and from Theorem (2.5) (ii) we get

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(D\chi_{E_k})(\Omega) = \psi(D\chi_E)(\Omega).
\]

For the remaining term we apply Theorem (2.5) (iii) with \(\mu = \int_{S\sigma} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\) to get

\[
\int_{S\sigma \cap [(D^1 \cup \partial^*D) \triangle D_k]} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0.
\]

Using \(D^0_k \cap \Omega = \Omega \setminus D_k\) and \(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Omega \setminus (D^1 \cup \partial^*D \cup D^0)) = 0\), we thus obtain

\[
\int_{S\sigma \cap D^0_k} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{S\sigma} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{S\sigma \cap D_k} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}
\]

\[
= \int_{S\sigma} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{S\sigma \cap (D^1 \cup \partial^*D)} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + o(k)
\]

\[
= \int_{S\sigma \cap D^0} \psi(\nu(y)) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + o(k)
\]

as \(k \to \infty\).
Step 4. For the general case \((y,D) \in GSBV^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\) it is now sufficient to provide a sequence \((D_k) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\) such that \(\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D), \text{ int } D_k \neq \emptyset, \text{ int}(\Omega \setminus D_k) \neq \emptyset\) and
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D_k) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D).
\]

Note that by Step 1 we may assume without loss of generality \(0 < \mathcal{L}^n(D) < \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)\).

Fix \(x_0 \in D^0 \cap \Omega\) and \(y_0 \in D^1\) and notice that
\[
\mathcal{L}^n(B_\rho(x_0) \setminus D) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^n(B_\rho(y_0) \cap D) > 0
\]
for all \(\rho > 0\). Therefore we can choose two sequences \(\sigma_k \searrow 0\) and \(\tau_k \searrow 0\) such that
\[
\mathcal{L}^n(B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) \setminus D) = \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\sigma_k}(y_0) \cap D)
\]
and define
\[
D_k = (D \cup B_{\sigma_k}(x_0)) \setminus B_{\tau_k}(y_0).
\]

These sets evidently satisfy \(D_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\) with \(\mathcal{L}^n(D_k) = \mathcal{L}^n(D)\) for large \(k\). Moreover, we have
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D_k) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rel}}(y, D). \quad \square
\]

4 Membrane limits

In this section we fix \(p > 1, \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2\) a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary and set \(\Omega_k = \omega \times (0, h_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) for a sequence of ‘membrane heights’ \(h_k > 0\) with \(h_k \to 0\). Also set \(\Omega = \omega \times (0, 1)\). To simplify the notation we make the following identifications:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GSBV}^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) &= \{ u \in \text{GSBV}^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : \partial_3 u = 0, \nu_3(u) = 0 \}, \\
\mathcal{F}(\omega) &= \{ D \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) : \nu_3(D) = 0 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Our goal is to analyse the asymptotic behaviour of the energy
\[
\mathcal{G}_k : W^{1,p}(\Omega_k; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k) \to \mathbb{R}
\]
given by
\[
\mathcal{G}_k(u, D) = \int_{\Omega_k \setminus D} W(\nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_k \cap \partial^* D} \psi(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^2
\]
for \((u, D) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_k; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)\). The function \(W : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \to \mathbb{R}\) is a continuous function which satisfies the growth assumption \(2\) and \(\psi\) is an arbitrary norm on \(\mathbb{R}^3\) as in the previous paragraph. To set the problem in the fixed domain \(\Omega\) we apply the usual rescaling \(\Omega_k \ni (x', x_3) \mapsto (x', h_k^{-1}x_3) \in \Omega\). (We use a prime to denote the first two columns or entries of a matrix, respectively, vector and in particular write \(\nabla u = (\nabla' u, \partial_3 u)\).) We then consider the rescaled functional
\[
\mathcal{E}_k : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}
\]
given by
\[
\mathcal{E}_k(u, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W(\nabla' u, h_k^{-1}\partial_3 u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* D} \psi(\nu'(D), h_k^{-1}\nu_3(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^2
\]
for \((u, D) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\).
We define the ‘membrane functional’ $\mathcal{E}_0 : W^{1,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\mathcal{E}_0(u, D) = \int_{\omega \setminus D} W_0(\nabla' u) \, dx + \int_{\omega \cap \partial^* D} \psi_0(\nu'(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1
$$

for all $(u, D) \in W^{1,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega)$, where

$$
W_0(\xi') = \inf \{ W(\xi', \xi_3) : \xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \} \quad \text{for all } \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}
$$

and $\psi_0(\xi') = \psi(\xi', 0)$ for all $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$, whose relaxation is given by the functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\text{rel}}(u, D) = \int_{\omega \setminus D} W_0^{\text{rel}}(\nabla' u) \, dx + 2 \int_{S_u \cap D} \psi_0(\nu(u)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1 + \int_{\omega \cap \partial^* D} \psi_0(\nu'(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1.
$$

We remark that $W_0$ and thus also $W_0^{\text{rel}}$ satisfy the same growth condition $\mathcal{G}$ as $W$ (with $X$ replaced by $X' \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$). Moreover $\psi_0$ is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\psi(\nu')| \leq \psi_0(\nu')$ for each $\nu' \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Our main theorem for membranes is the following $\Gamma(L^1)$-convergence result with possible volume constraints.

**Theorem 4.1.** The functionals $\mathcal{E}_k : \Gamma(L^1)$-converge to $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\text{rel}}$, in fact:

(i) whenever $(u_k) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(D_k) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ are such that $u_k \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for some $u \in GSBV^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $D \in \mathcal{F}(\omega)$, then one has

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) \geq \mathcal{E}_{0}^{\text{rel}}(u, D),
$$

(ii) for each $(u, D) \in GSBV^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega)$ and $c_1, c_2, \ldots \in (0, L^2(\omega))$ with $c_k \to L^2(D)$ there are $(u_k) \subset C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(D_k) \subset C(\omega)$ with $u_k \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\omega)$ and $L^2(D_k) = c_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k \times (0, 1)) = \mathcal{E}_{0}^{\text{rel}}(u, D).
$$

As for the bulk model, firstly we notice the following compactness property, which essentially follows from Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 4.2.** If $q > 1$ and $(u_k, D_k) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is a sequence with

$$
\mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) + \|u_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C,
$$

then there exists $(u, D) \in GSBV^p_q(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega)$ such that $u = \chi_{\Omega \setminus D}u$ and, for a subsequence,

$$
\chi_{\Omega \setminus D_k} u_k \to \chi_{\Omega \setminus D} u \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).
$$

**Remark 4.3.** Both in Theorem 4.1 and in Proposition 4.2 suitable loading terms can be included, e.g., given by some $\ell' \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $p' = p/(p-1)$, acting on the elastic part of the body. Setting

$$
L : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad L(u, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} \ell \cdot u \, dx,
$$

$$
\bar{L} : GSBV^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \bar{L}(u, D) = \int_{\omega \setminus D} \ell \cdot u \, dx',
$$

where $\ell(x') = \int_0^1 \ell(x', x_3) \, dx_3$, a standard argument shows that for a sequence of almost minimizers $(u_k, D_k)$ of $\mathcal{E}_k + L$ with uniformly bounded $\|u_k\|_{L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}$ one has $\chi_{\Omega \setminus D_k} u_k \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ for a minimizer $(u, D)$ of $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\text{rel}} + \bar{L}$. 
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Proof of Proposition 4.4. Since $W(X', h^{-1}_kX_3) \geq \tilde{c}(X', h^{-1}_kX_3)^p - \tilde{C} \geq \tilde{c}|X|^p - \tilde{C}$ and $\psi'(u', h^{-1}_k\nu_3) \geq \tilde{c}(\psi'(u', h^{-1}_k\nu_3)) \geq \tilde{c}$, proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 we immediately obtain a subsequence (not relabeled) such that $\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\chi_{\Omega \setminus D_k}u_k \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $u \in GSBBV_1^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $D \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ with $u = \chi_{\Omega \setminus D}u$.

It remains to show that $\nu_3(D) = 0$, $\partial_3u = 0$ and $\nu_3(u) = 0$. Applying Theorem 2.3 we infer that for every $M > 0$

$$M\tilde{c}\int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D} |\nu_3(D)| \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq c\int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D} (|\nu'(D), M\nu_3(D)|) \, d\mathcal{H}^2$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D_k} (|\nu'(D_k), M\nu_3(D_k)|) \, d\mathcal{H}^2$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D_k} (|\nu'(D_k), h^{-1}_k\nu_3(D_k)|) \, d\mathcal{H}^2$$

$$\leq \lim inf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) \leq C$$

and, setting $v_k = \chi_{\Omega \setminus D_k}u_k$ and denoting by $v^M_k$ its componentwise truncation, likewise

$$M\tilde{c}\int_{S_u M} |\nu_3(u^M)| \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq \tilde{c}\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_u M} (|\nu'(v^M_k), M\nu_3(v^M_k)|) \, d\mathcal{H}^2$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D_k} (|\nu'(D_k), M\nu_3(D_k)|) \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq C.$$ 

Similarly, Theorem 2.2 gives

$$M\tilde{c}\int_{\Omega} |\partial_3u|^p \, dx \leq \tilde{c}\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla'v_k, M\partial_3v_k|^p) \, dx$$

$$\leq \lim inf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) + \tilde{C}\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) \leq C.$$ 

The desired conclusion follows by sending $M$ to $\infty$. 

We now prove the lower bound in Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (i). We may assume $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) < \infty$. For $\eta \geq 0$ we define the auxiliary bulk functionals $\mathcal{E}_0^\eta : W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_0^\eta(u, D) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D} W_0^\eta(\nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D} \psi_0^\eta(\nu(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^2,$$

where $W_0^\eta(X) = W_0(X') + \eta|X_3|^p$ and $\psi_0^\eta(v) = \psi_0(v') + \eta|v_3|$, so that

$$\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) \geq \lim inf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_0^\eta(u_k, D_k)$$

$$- \eta \lim sup_{k \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} |\partial_3u_k|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \partial^* D_k} \nu_3(D_k) \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \right).$$

Noting that $(W_0^\eta)^{qc}(X) \geq (W_0^\eta)^{qc}(X) = W_0^{qc}(X')$ for every $X \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ we infer from Theorem 3.11 that for every $\eta > 0$

$$\lim inf_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_0^\eta(u_k, D_k) \geq (\mathcal{E}_0^\eta)^{rel}(u, D) \geq \mathcal{E}_0^{rel}(u, D).$$

Since $\tilde{c}|(\nabla'v_k, h^{-1}_k\partial_3v_k)|^p - \tilde{C} \leq W(\nabla'v_k, h^{-1}_k\partial_3v_k)$ it follows

$$\tilde{c}\lim sup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus D_k} |\partial_3u_k|^p \, dx \leq \lim sup_{k \to \infty} h^{-1}_k(\mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) + \tilde{C}\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega)) = 0.$$
Analogously
\[
\bar{c} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* D_k} |\nu_3(D_k)| \, d\mathcal{H}^2 \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} h_k \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k) = 0,
\]
and the assertion follows from (10).

**Proof of Theorem 4.1 (ii).** We proceed in two steps: Step 1 provides a key auxiliary statement that can be used together with Theorem 4.1 (ii) and a standard diagonal sequence argument to construct the recovery sequence.

**Step 1.** Suppose \((u, D) \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \times C(\omega), c_1, c_2, \ldots \in (0, L^2(\omega))\) with \(c_k \to L^2(D)\) and \(\epsilon > 0\). We prove that there exists a sequence \((u_k, D_k) \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \times C(\omega)\) such that \(L^2(D_k) = c_k\) for each \(k \geq 1\), \(u_k \to u\) in \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\), \(\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D\) in \(L^1(\omega)\) and
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k \times (0, 1)) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(u, D) + \epsilon.
\]
We select \(x_0 \in \omega \setminus \overline{D}, y_0 \in D\), two nonnegative sequences \(\sigma_k \searrow 0\) and \(\tau_k \searrow 0\) and \(k_0 \geq 1\) such that \(B_{\sigma_k}(x_0) \subset \omega \setminus \overline{D}, B_{\tau_k}(y_0) \subset D\) and
\[
c_k - L^2(D) = \omega_2 \sigma_k^2 - \omega_2 \tau_k^2
\]
for all \(k \geq k_0\) (if \(D = \emptyset\) then we only select \(y_0\) and \(\sigma_k\), if \(D = \omega\) then we only select \(y_0\) and \(\tau_k\)). For each \(k \geq k_0\) we define \(D_k = (D \cup B_{\sigma_k}(x_0)) \setminus B_{\tau_k}(y_0) \subset C(\omega)\) and notice that \(L^2(D_k) = c_k\). Following [LDR95], we observe that a standard measurable selection criterion [LIT76] Theorem 1.2 in Chap. VIII in combination with the lower bound in [L] and the density of \(C^\infty_c(\omega \setminus \overline{D}; \mathbb{R}^3)\) in \(L^p(\omega \setminus \overline{D}; \mathbb{R}^3)\) allows us to choose \(w \in C^\infty_c(\omega \setminus \overline{D}; \mathbb{R}^3)\) such that
\[
\int_{\omega \setminus D} W(\nabla' u, e_3 + w) \, dx' \leq \int_{\omega \setminus D} W_0(\nabla u) \, dx' + \epsilon.
\]
We define the functions \(u_k \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3)\) by
\[
u_k(x', x_3) = u(x') + x_3 h_k(e_3 + w(x'))
\]
for all \((x', x_3) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R}\) and for all \(k \geq 1\). Evidently \(u_k \to u\) in \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\) and \(\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D\) in \(L^1(\omega)\). Since \(W\) is continuous, with the help of the dominated convergence theorem we infer
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_k(u_k, D_k \times (0, 1))
\]
\[
= \limsup_{k \to \infty} \left( \int_{\omega \setminus D_k} \int_0^1 W(\nabla' u(x') + x_3 h_k \nabla' w(x'), e_3 + w(x')) \, dx' \right.
\]
\[
+ \int_{\omega \cap \partial D_k} \psi_0(\nu'(D_k)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1
\]
\[
= \int_{\omega \setminus D} W(\nabla' u(x'), e_3 + w(x')) \, dx' + \int_{\omega \cap \partial D} \psi_0(\nu'(D)) \, d\mathcal{H}^1
\]
\[
\leq \mathcal{E}_0(u, D) + \epsilon,
\]
which concludes Step 1.

**Step 2.** Here we prove Theorem 4.1 (ii). Let \((u, D) \in GSBV^p_1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(\omega)\) and \(c_1, c_2, \ldots \in (0, \infty)\) with \(c_k \to L^2(D)\). Firstly we use Theorem 4.1 (ii) to find a sequence \((u_k, D_k) \in C^\infty(\overline{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \times C(\omega)\) such that \(L^2(D_k) = L^2(D)\) for each \(k \geq 1\), \(u_k \to u\) in \(L^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\), \(\chi_{D_k} \to \chi_D\) in \(L^1(\omega)\) and
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_0(u_k, D_k) \leq \mathcal{E}_0^{rel}(u, D).
\]
Then for each $k \geq 1$ we use Step 1 to find a sequence $(u_h^{(k)}, D_h^{(k)}) \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \times C(\omega)$ such that $\mathcal{L}^2(D_h^{(k)}) = c_h$ for each $h \geq 1$, $u_h^{(k)} \to u_k$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\chi_{D_h^{(k)}} \to \chi_D$ in $L^1(\omega)$ and

$$\limsup_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_h(u_h^{(k)}, D_h^{(k)} \times (0, 1)) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(u_k, D_k) + \frac{1}{k}.$$ 

At this point a diagonal sequence argument completes the proof. \hfill \Box
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