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Abstract

Counting substrings/subsequences that preserve some property (e.g., palindromes, squares) is an important mathematical interest in stringology. Recently, Glen et al. studied the number of Lyndon factors in a string. A string \(w = uv\) is called a Lyndon word if it is the lexicographically smallest among all of its conjugates \(vu\). In this paper, we consider a more general problem “counting Lyndon subsequences”. We show (1) the maximum total number of Lyndon subsequences in a string, (2) the expected total number of Lyndon subsequences in a string, (3) the expected number of distinct Lyndon subsequences in a string.

1 Introduction

A string \(x = uv\) is said to be a \textit{conjugate} of another string \(y\) if \(y = vu\). A string \(w\) is called a \textit{Lyndon word} if it is the lexicographically smallest among all of its conjugates. It is also known that \(w\) is a Lyndon word if \(w\) is the lexicographically smallest suffix of itself (excluding the empty suffix).

A \textit{factor} of a string \(w\) is a sequence of characters that appear contiguously in \(w\). A factor \(f\) of a string \(w\) is called a \textit{Lyndon factor} if \(f\) is a Lyndon word. Lyndon factors enjoy a rich class of algorithmic and stringology applications including: counting and finding the maximal repetitions (a.k.a. runs) in a string \cite{2} and in a trie \cite{3}, constant-space pattern matching \cite{3}, comparison of the sizes of run-length Burrows-Wheeler Transform of a sting and its reverse \cite{4}, substring minimal suffix queries \cite{1}, the shortest common superstring problem \cite{7}, and grammar-compressed self-index (Lyndon-SLP) \cite{9}.

Since Lyndon factors are important combinatorial objects, it is natural to wonder how many Lyndon factors can exist in a string. Regarding this question, the next four types of counting problems are interesting:

- \textit{MTF}(\(\sigma, n\)): the \textit{maximum total} number of Lyndon factors in a string of length \(n\) over an alphabet of size \(\sigma\).
- \textit{MDF}(\(\sigma, n\)): the \textit{maximum} number of \textit{distinct} Lyndon factors in a string of length \(n\) over an alphabet of size \(\sigma\).
- \textit{ETF}(\(\sigma, n\)): the \textit{expected total} number of Lyndon factors in a string of length \(n\) over an alphabet of size \(\sigma\).
● $EDF(\sigma, n)$: the expected number of distinct Lyndon factors in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$.

Glen et al. [5] were the first who tackled these problems, and they gave exact values for $MDF(\sigma, n)$, $ETF(\sigma, n)$, and $EDF(\sigma, n)$. Using the number $L(\sigma, n)$ of Lyndon words of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$, their results can be written as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: The numbers of Lyndon factors in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$, where $n = m\sigma + p$ with $0 \leq p < \sigma$ for $MTF(\sigma, n)$ and $MDF(\sigma, n)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Lyndon Factors in a String</th>
<th>$\frac{n + 1}{2} - (\sigma - p)\frac{m + 1}{2} - p\frac{m + 2}{2} + n$ [this work]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Total $MTF(\sigma, n)$</td>
<td>$\frac{n + 1}{2} - (\sigma - p)\frac{m + 1}{2} - p\frac{m + 2}{2} + \sigma$ [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Distinct $MDF(\sigma, n)$</td>
<td>$\sum_{m=1}^{n} L(\sigma, m)(n - m + 1)\sigma^{-m}$ [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Total $ETF(\sigma, n)$</td>
<td>$\sum_{m=1}^{n} L(\sigma, m)\sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor n/m \rfloor} (-1)^{s+1} \binom{n - sm + s}{s} \sigma^{-sm}$ [5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first contribution of this paper is filling the missing piece of Table 1, the exact value of $MTF(\sigma, n)$, thus closing this line of research for Lyndon factors (substrings).

We then extend the problems to subsequences. A subsequence of a string $w$ is a sequence of characters that can be obtained by removing 0 or more characters from $w$. A subsequence $s$ of a string $w$ is said to be a Lyndon subsequence if $s$ is a Lyndon word. As a counterpart of the case of Lyndon factors, it is interesting to consider the next four types of counting problems of Lyndon subsequences:

- $MTS(\sigma, n)$: the maximum total number of Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$.
- $MDS(\sigma, n)$: the maximum number of distinct Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$.
- $ETS(\sigma, n)$: the expected total number of Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$.
- $EDS(\sigma, n)$: the expected number of distinct Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$.

Among these, we present the exact values for $MTS(\sigma, n)$, $ETS(\sigma, n)$, and $EDS(\sigma, n)$. Our results are summarized in Table 2.

The exact value for $MDS(\sigma, n)$ is left open for future work.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Strings

Let $\Sigma = \{a_1, \ldots, a_\sigma\}$ be an ordered alphabet of size $\sigma$ such that $a_1 < \ldots < a_\sigma$. An element of $\Sigma^*$ is called a string. The length of a string $w$ is denoted by $|w|$. The empty string $\varepsilon$ is a string of length 0. Let $\Sigma^+$ be the set of non-empty strings, i.e., $\Sigma^* = \{\varepsilon\}$. The $i$-th character of a string $w$ is denoted by $w[i]$, where $1 \leq i \leq |w|$. For a string $w$ and two integers $1 \leq i \leq j \leq |w|$, let $w[i..j]$ denote the substring of $w$ that begins at position $i$ and ends at position $j$. For convenience, let $w[i..j] = \varepsilon$ when $i > j$. A string $x$ is said to be a subsequence of a string $w$ if there exists a set
Table 2: The numbers of Lyndon subsequences in a string of length \( n \) over an alphabet of size \( \sigma \), where \( n = m\sigma + p \) with \( 0 \leq p < \sigma \) for \( MTS(\sigma, n) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Lyndon Subsequences in a String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Total ( MTS(\sigma, n) )</td>
<td>( 2^n - (p + \sigma)2^m + n + \sigma + 1 ) [this work]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Distinct ( MDS(\sigma, n) )</td>
<td>open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Total ( ETS(\sigma, n) )</td>
<td>( \sum_{m=1}^{n} L(\sigma, m) \binom{n}{m} \sigma^{n-m} \sigma^{-n} ) [this work]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Distinct ( EDS(\sigma, n) )</td>
<td>( \sum_{m=1}^{n} L(\sigma, m) \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (\sigma - 1)^{n-k} \sigma^{-n} ) [this work]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of positions \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_{|x|}\} \) \( (1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{|x|} \leq |w|) \) such that \( x = w[i_1] \cdots w[i_{|x|}] \). We say that a subsequence \( x \) occurs at \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_{|x|}\} \) \( (1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{|x|} \leq |w|) \) if \( x = w[i_1] \cdots w[i_{|x|}] \).

2.2 Lyndon words

A string \( x = uv \) is said to be a conjugate of another string \( y \) if \( y = vu \). A string \( w \) is called a Lyndon word if it is the lexicographically smallest among all of its conjugates. Equivalently, a string \( w \) is said to be a Lyndon word, if \( w \) is lexicographically smaller than all of its non-empty proper suffixes.

Let \( \mu \) be the Möbius function on the set of positive integers defined as follows.

\[
\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & (n = 1) \\
0 & (\text{if } n \text{ is divisible by a square}) \\
(-1)^k & (\text{if } n \text{ is the product of } k \text{ distinct primes})
\end{cases}
\]

It is known that the number \( L(\sigma, m) \) of Lyndon words of length \( n \) over an alphabet of size \( \sigma \) can be represented as

\[
L(\sigma, m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu \left( \frac{n}{d} \right) \sigma^{d},
\]

where \( d|n \) is the set of divisors \( d \) of \( n \) \([6]\).

3 Maximum total number of Lyndon subsequences

Let \( MTS(\sigma, n) \) be the maximum total number of Lyndon subsequences in a string of length \( n \) over an alphabet \( \Sigma \) of size \( \sigma \). In this section, we determine \( MTS(\sigma, n) \).

**Theorem 1.** For any \( \sigma \) and \( n \) such that \( \sigma < n \),

\[
MTS(\sigma, n) = 2^n - (p + \sigma)2^m + n + \sigma + 1
\]

where \( n = m\sigma + p \) \((0 \leq p < \sigma)\). Moreover, the number of strings that contain \( MTS(\sigma, n) \) Lyndon subsequences is \( \binom{\sigma}{p} \), and the following string \( w \) is one of such strings;

\[
w = a_1^m \cdots a_{\sigma-p}^m a_{\sigma-p+1}^{m+1} \cdots a_{\sigma}^{m+1}.
\]

**Proof.** Consider a string \( w \) of the form

\[
w = a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \cdots a_{\sigma}^{k_{\sigma}}
\]

where \( \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} k_i = n \) and \( k_i \geq 0 \) for any \( i \). For any subsequence \( x \) of \( w \), \( x \) is a Lyndon word if \( x \) is not a unary string of length more than 2. It is easy to see that this form is a necessary condition
for the maximum number (∵ there exist several non-Lyndon subsequences if \( w[i] > w[j] \) for some \( i < j \)). Hence, the number of Lyndon subsequences of \( w \) can be represented as

\[
(2^n - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} (2^{k_i} - 1 - k_i) = 2^n - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} k_i + \sigma = 2^n - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} + n + \sigma.
\]

This formula is maximized when \( \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} \) is minimized. It is known that

\[
2^a + 2^b > 2^{a-1} + 2^{b+1}
\]

holds for any integer \( a, b \) such that \( a \geq b + 2 \). From this fact, \( \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} \) is minimized when the difference of \( k_i \) and \( k_j \) is less than or equal to 1 for any \( i, j \). Thus, if we choose \( p \) \( k_i \)'s as \( m + 1 \), and set \( m \) for other \( (\sigma - p) \) \( k_i \)'s where \( n = m\sigma + p \) (\( 0 \leq p < \sigma \)), then \( \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} \) is minimized. Hence,

\[
\min(2^n - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} 2^{k_i} + n + \sigma) = 2^n - 1 - p \cdot 2^{m+1} - (\sigma - p)2^m + n + \sigma = 2^n - (p + \sigma)2^m + n + \sigma - 1.
\]

Moreover, one of such strings is

\[ a_1^m \cdots a_{\sigma-p}^m a_{\sigma-p+1}^{m+1} \cdots a_{\sigma}^{m+1}. \]

Therefore, this theorem holds.

We can apply the above strategy to the version of substrings. Namely, we can also obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( MTF(\sigma, n) \) be the maximum total number of Lyndon substrings in a string of length \( n \) over an alphabet of size \( \sigma \). For any \( \sigma \) and \( n \) such that \( \sigma < n \),

\[
MTF(\sigma, n) = \binom{n}{2} - (\sigma - p)\binom{m+1}{2} - p\binom{m+2}{2} + n
\]

where \( n = m\sigma + p \) (\( 0 \leq p < \sigma \)). Moreover, the number of strings that contain \( MTF(\sigma, n) \) Lyndon subsequences is \( \binom{n}{2} \), and the following string \( w \) is one of such strings;

\[ w = a_1^m \cdots a_{\sigma-p}^m a_{\sigma-p+1}^{m+1} \cdots a_{\sigma}^{m+1}. \]

**Proof.** In a similar way to the above discussion, the number of Lyndon subsequences of \( w \) can be represented as

\[
\binom{n+1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \left[ \binom{k_i + 1}{2} - k_i \right] = \binom{n+1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \binom{k_i + 1}{2} + n.
\]

We can use the following inequation that holds for any \( a, b \) such that \( a \geq b + 2 \);

\[
\binom{a}{2} + \binom{b}{2} > \binom{a-1}{2} + \binom{b+1}{2}.
\]

Then,

\[
\min \left[ \binom{n+1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \binom{k_i + 1}{2} + n \right] = \binom{n}{2} - (\sigma - p)\binom{m+1}{2} - p\binom{m+2}{2} + n
\]

holds.

Finally, we give exact values \( MTS(\sigma, n) \) for several conditions in Table 3.
Table 3: Values $MTS(\sigma, n)$ for $\sigma = 2, 5, 10, n = 1, 2, \cdots, 15.$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$MTS(2, n)$</th>
<th>$MTS(5, n)$</th>
<th>$MTS(10, n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>2046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3981</td>
<td>4084</td>
<td>4093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8014</td>
<td>8177</td>
<td>8188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16143</td>
<td>16366</td>
<td>16379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>32747</td>
<td>32762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Expected total number of Lyndon subsequences

Let $TS(\sigma, n)$ be the total number of Lyndon subsequences in all strings of length $n$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ of size $\sigma$. In this section, we determine the expected total number $ETS(\sigma, n)$ of Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ of size $\sigma$, namely, $ETS(\sigma, n) = TS(\sigma, n)/\sigma^n$.

Theorem 3. For any $\sigma$ and $n$ such that $\sigma < n$,

$$TS(\sigma, n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[ L(\sigma, m) \binom{n}{m} \sigma^{n-m} \right].$$

Moreover, $ETS(\sigma, n) = TS(\sigma, n)/\sigma^n$.

Proof. Let $Occ(w, x)$ be the number of occurrences of subsequence $x$ in $w$, and $L(\sigma, n)$ the set of Lyndon words of length less than or equal to $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$. By a simple observation, $TS(\sigma, n)$ can be written as

$$TS(\sigma, n) = \sum_{x \in L(\sigma, n)} \sum_{w \in \Sigma^n} Occ(w, x).$$

Firstly, we consider $\sum_{w \in \Sigma^n} Occ(w, x)$ for a Lyndon word $x$ of length $m$. Let $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ be a set of $m$ positions in a string of length $n$ where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leq n$. The number of strings that contain $x$ as a subsequence at $\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ is $\sigma^{n-m}$. In addition, the number of combinations of $m$ positions is $\binom{n}{m}$. Hence, $\sum_{w \in \Sigma^n} Occ(w, x) = \binom{n}{m} \sigma^{n-m}$. This implies that

$$TS(\sigma, n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[ L(\sigma, m) \binom{n}{m} \sigma^{n-m} \right].$$

Therefore, this theorem holds. $\square$

Finally, we give exact values $TS(\sigma, n), ETS(\sigma, n)$ for several conditions in Table 4.
5 Expected number of distinct Lyndon subsequences

Let $TDS(\sigma, n)$ be the total number of distinct Lyndon subsequences in all strings of length $n$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ of size $\sigma$. In this section, we determine the expected number $EDS(\sigma, n)$ of distinct Lyndon subsequences in a string of length $n$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ of size $\sigma$, namely, $EDS(\sigma, n) = TDS(\sigma, n)/\sigma^n$.

**Theorem 4.** For any $\sigma$ and $n$ such that $\sigma < n$,

$$TDS(\sigma, n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left( L(\sigma, m) \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (\sigma - 1)^{n-k} \right).$$

Moreover, $EDS(\sigma, n) = TDS(\sigma, n)/\sigma^n$.

To prove this theorem, we introduce the following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.** For any $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma^m$ and $m, n (m \leq n)$, the number of strings in $\Sigma^n$ which contain $x_1$ as a subsequence is equal to the number of strings in $\Sigma^n$ which contain $x_2$ as a subsequence.

of Lemma 5. Let $C(n, \Sigma, x)$ be the number of strings in $\Sigma^n$ which contain a string $x$ as a subsequence. We prove $C(n, \Sigma, x_1) = C(n, \Sigma, x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma^m$ by induction on the length $m$.

Suppose that $m = 1$. It is clear that the set of strings which contain $x \in \Sigma$ is $\Sigma^n - (\Sigma - \{x\})^n$, and $C(n, \Sigma, x) = \sigma^n - (\sigma - 1)^n$. Thus, $C(n, \Sigma, x_1) = C(n, \Sigma, x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma^m$ if $|x_1| = |x_2| = 1$.

Suppose that the statement holds for some $k \geq 1$. We prove $C(n, \Sigma, x_1) = C(n, \Sigma, x_2)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma^{k+1}$ by induction on $n$. If $n = k + 1$, then $C(n, \Sigma, x_1) = C(n, \Sigma, x_2) = 1$. Assume that the statement holds for some $\ell \geq k + 1$. Let $x = yc$ be a string of length $k + 1$ such that $y \in \Sigma^k, c \in \Sigma$. Each string $w$ of length $\ell + 1$ which contains $x$ as a subsequence satisfies either

- $w[1..\ell]$ contains $x$ as a subsequence, or
- $w[1..\ell]$ does not contain $x$ as a subsequence.

The number of strings $w$ in the first case is $\sigma \cdot C(j, \Sigma, yc)$. On the other hand, the number of strings $w$ in the second case is $C(\ell, \Sigma, y) - C(\ell, \Sigma, yc)$. Hence, $C(\ell + 1, \Sigma, x) = \sigma C(\ell, \Sigma, yc) + C(\ell, \Sigma, y) - C(\ell, \Sigma, yc)$. Let $x_1 = y_1c_1$ and $x_2 = y_2c_2$ be strings of length $k + 1$. By an induction hypothesis, $C(\ell, \Sigma, y_1c_1) = C(\ell, \Sigma, y_2c_2)$ and $C(\ell, \Sigma, y_1) = C(\ell, \Sigma, y_2)$ hold. Thus, $C(\ell + 1, \Sigma, x_1) = C(\ell + 1, \Sigma, x_2)$ also holds. Therefore, this lemma holds.
Lemma 6. For any string $x$ of length $m \leq n$,

$$C(n, \Sigma, x) = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(\sigma - 1)^{n-k}.$$ 

of Lemma 6 For any character $c$, it is clear that the number of strings that contain $c$ exactly $k$ times is $\binom{n}{k}(\sigma - 1)^{n-k}$. By Lemma 5

$$C(n, \Sigma, x) = C(n, \Sigma, c^m) = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(\sigma - 1)^{n-k}.$$ 

Hence, this lemma holds. 

Then, we can obtain Theorem 4 as follows.

of Theorem 4 Then to Lemma 6 the number of strings of length $n$ which contain a Lyndon word of length $m$ is also $\sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(\sigma - 1)^{n-k}$. Since the number of Lyndon words of length $m$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$ is $L(\sigma, m)$,

$$TDS(\sigma, n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[ L(\sigma, m) \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(\sigma - 1)^{n-k} \right].$$ 

Therefore, Theorem 4 holds.

Finally, we give exact values $EDS(\sigma, n)$ for several conditions in Table 5

Table 5: Values $EDS(\sigma, n)$ for $\sigma = 2, 5, n = 1, \ldots, 10, 15, 20.$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$EDS(2, n)$</th>
<th>$EDS(5, n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>23.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>36.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>57.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>93.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.57</td>
<td>1121.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>559.42</td>
<td>15444.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18K18002 (YN), JP21K17705 (YN), JP18H04098 (MT), JST ACT-X Grant Number JPMJAX200K (YN), and by JST PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR1922 (SI).
References


