

A generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem.

Aaron Pim

October 4, 2022

Abstract

The Poincaré-Hopf Theorem is a conservation law for real-analytic vector fields, which are tangential to a closed surface (such as a torus or a sphere). The theorem also governs real-analytic vector fields, which are tangential to surfaces with smooth boundaries; in these cases, the vector field must be pointing in the outward normal direction along the boundary [6, 2]. In this paper, I will generalise the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem for real-analytic vector fields that are tangential to surfaces with piecewise smooth boundaries, and not parallel to the outward normal of the boundary.

1 Introduction

A well-known result in topology is the *Hairy Ball Theorem*, that, in layman's terms, states that you cannot comb the hairs on a tennis ball in one smooth motion without at least one tuft of hair. The Hairy Ball Theorem is a specific subcase of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem, which is a conservation law for real-analytic vector fields, that are tangential to a closed surface (such as a torus or a sphere). The theorem also governs real-analytic vector fields, which are tangential to surfaces with smooth boundaries; in these cases, the vector field must be pointing in the outward normal direction along the boundary [6, 2].

A singular value of a vector field may be characterised by a real value known as the *degree*, which represents the number of times the vector field rotates counterclockwise around the singularity [7, 1]. The index of a vector field is equal to the sum of the degree's. If the singular value is positioned in the interior of the domain, then the index may take values only in the integers; however, a singular value located on the boundary may take any real value [13].

The Poincaré-Hopf Theorem states that the sum of the indices of a vector field, that is tangential to a given surface, is equal to the Euler characteristic of the surface. The Euler characteristic is a topological invariant (constant) that describes the shape of a surface. For example, a sphere is a closed surface that has Euler characteristic of two, and therefore the index of the vector field must equal 2, implying that a continuous configuration does not exist.

The author M. Morse derives a formula about the sum of the indices of the singular points of vector fields that are subject to generalised boundary conditions [11]. He utilised the notion of the Brouwer degree between manifolds to relate the Euler characteristic of a surface to the degree of the zeros of a smooth vector field and the degree of the boundary data. I will now present the result, using the notation of Canevari, Segatti and Veneroni [4].

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact connected orientable n -submanifold with boundary, $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a connected orientable manifold without boundary, and $\mathbf{U} : S \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. The point $p \in M \setminus \mathbf{U}(\partial S)$ is a regular value of \mathbf{U} if $\det(\nabla \mathbf{U})(\underline{s}) \neq 0$ for all $\underline{s} \in \mathbf{U}^{-1}(p)$. The Brouwer degree of the map U , at the point p , [7] is given by

$$\deg(\mathbf{U}, S, p) := \sum_{\underline{s} \in \mathbf{U}^{-1}(p)} \text{sign}(\det(\nabla \mathbf{U}))(\underline{s}).$$

As \mathbf{U} is smooth, the only points where singular values may arise are the zeroes of the vector field. Therefore, the index may be given by $\deg(\mathbf{U}, S, \underline{0})$. However, if the dimension of S is less than the dimension of M , as is the case when modelling the director of a nematic shell, an appropriate projection map $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is implemented such that the index

$$\text{ind}(\mathbf{U}, S) := \deg(\mathbf{U} \circ \phi^{-1}, \phi(S), \underline{0}),$$

is well-defined. To account for the generalised boundary conditions, Morse considers the field $P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U}$ given by

$$(P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U})(\underline{s}) := \text{proj}_{T_{\underline{s}} \partial S} \mathbf{U}(\underline{s}), \quad \forall \underline{s} \in \partial S,$$

where $T_{\underline{s}} \partial S$ is the space of vector fields which are tangential to ∂S at the point $\underline{s} \in \partial S$. Let the space $\partial_- S(\mathbf{U}) \subset \partial S$ be defined by

$$\partial_- S(\mathbf{U}) := \{\underline{s} \in \partial S, (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nu)(\underline{s})\},$$

where $\nu(\underline{s}) \in T_{\underline{s}} S$ is the outward pointing unit boundary normal of S .

Proposition 1. *Morse's index formula* If \mathbf{U} is a continuous vector field over S satisfying $\underline{0} \notin \mathbf{U}(\partial \partial S)$, with finitely many zeros and if $P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U}$ has finitely many zeros, then

$$\text{ind}(\mathbf{U}, S) + \text{ind}(P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U}, \partial_- S) = \chi(S). \quad (1)$$

The terms $\text{ind}(\mathbf{U}, S)$ and $\text{ind}(P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U}, \partial_- S)$ may be interpreted as the number of complete rotations that the vector \mathbf{U} along ∂S , relative to a fixed co-ordinate vector and the boundary tangent respectively.

The Morse index formula is a generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem by permitting vertices along the boundary of ∂S and boundary data which is not parallel to the boundary normal. I will generalise the Morse index formula by permitting finitely many zeroes along the boundary of ∂S .

2 Assumptions and definitions

This section shall consist of a series of subsections, each one dedicated to defining a key concept which shall be used in the derivation of the generalised Poincaré-Hopf Theorem. I refer the reader to

“Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces” by Manfredo do Carmo [5], for more details regarding the notation and definitions in this paper.

2.1 Assumptions on the regularity of the surface

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an orientable two-dimensional manifold embedded in three dimensions. It is assumed that there exists an open, connected and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and a bijective, smooth chart $\mathbf{x}^{-1} : S \rightarrow \Omega$ with inverse $\mathbf{x} \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{S})$. The natural trihedron of the surface is denoted $\{\mathbf{e}^1, \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{N}\}$, and is explicitly given by:

$$\mathbf{x}^i := \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \omega_i}, \quad \mathbf{e}^i := \frac{\mathbf{x}^i}{|\mathbf{x}^i|}, \quad \mathbf{N} := \frac{\mathbf{x}^1 \times \mathbf{x}^2}{|\mathbf{x}^1 \times \mathbf{x}^2|}, \quad \text{for } \underline{\omega} := (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega. \quad (2)$$

I shall assume that the surface S is such that the natural trihedron forms an orthonormal basis:

$$\mathbf{x}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^2(\underline{\omega}) = 0 \quad \forall \underline{\omega} \in \Omega. \quad (3)$$

Additionally, I will assume that the Gaussian curvature, denoted $K : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is of the class $L^1(S)$. This is to ensure that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem is applicable to the surface. The proof of the generalised Poincaré-Hopf Theorem, utilises the Gaussian curvature, which may be expressed in terms of the derivatives of the normalised curvilinear basis vectors. An illustration of the curvilinear basis may be seen in figure (1).




Figure 1: An illustration of the natural trihedron, note that despite the basis vectors changing with respect to the position, they remain orthogonal.

Lemma 1. *If the parametrisation is orthogonal, the Gaussian curvature of the surface S is given by*

$$K := \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}^1||\mathbf{x}^2|} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^1}{\partial \omega_1} \cdot \mathbf{e}^2 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^2}{\partial \omega_2} \cdot \mathbf{e}^1 \right) \right). \quad (4)$$

Proof. See [5]. □

Remark. *The orthogonality of the basis vector implies that*

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^2}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{e}^1 = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^1}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{e}^2.$$

Consequently, the assumption on the regularity of the Gaussian curvature may be expressed in the following manner,

$$K|\mathbf{x}^1||\mathbf{x}^2| = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \omega_2} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \omega_1} \in L^1(\Omega), \text{ where } F_j := \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^1}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{e}^2. \quad (5)$$

Surfaces of revolution, with a sufficiently smooth profile, are examples of surfaces which fulfil the above assumptions.

2.2 Assumptions on the regularity of the boundary

It is assumed that the boundary of the surface S , denoted $\partial S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, may be expressed as the union of $M \in \mathbb{N}$ disjoint closed components, denoted $\partial S_1, \dots, \partial S_M$. The case $M = 0$ corresponds to a closed surface, in which the original Poincaré-Hopf Theorem may be applied. For $i = 1, \dots, M$, each boundary component ∂S_i is parametrised by the Lipschitz continuous function $\underline{\gamma}_i : [0, l_i] \rightarrow \partial S_i$, that satisfies the following conditions:

- The constant $l_i > 0$ is the arclength of the curve ∂S_i and the function $\underline{\gamma}_i$ is parametrised by its arclength.
- The parametrisation satisfies $\underline{\gamma}_i(0) = \underline{\gamma}_i(l_i)$.
- There exists a finite number of values $0 =: l_i^0 < \dots < l_i^n := l_i$, which are referred to as the *vertices* of ∂S_i , where the curve is not twice differentiable:

$$\underline{\gamma}_i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} C^2 \left(\left(l_i^{j-1}, l_i^j \right), \partial S_i \right). \quad (6)$$

The number of vertices of ∂S is denoted $V_{\partial S} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Additionally, the exterior angles, of ∂S , are denoted $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{V_{\partial S}} \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{0\}$. Note that the exterior angle is π minus the interior angle, an illustration of the interior angle can be found in figure (2).

It is assumed that the geodesic curvature, denoted $kg : W^{2,1}([0, l], S) \rightarrow L^1([0, l])$, of the boundary component $\underline{\gamma}_i$ of class $L^1([0, l_i])$,

$$kg(\underline{f}) := \underline{f}'' \cdot ((\mathbf{N} \circ \mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \underline{f}) \times \underline{f}'), \quad kg(\underline{\gamma}_i) \in L^1((0, l_i)), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, M. \quad (7)$$




Figure 2: Above is a series of diagrams showing the behaviour of the exterior angle for varying signs. The sign of the exterior angle indicates the type of interior angle. If the exterior angle is positive then the interior angle is acute or obtuse, if the exterior angle is zero then the interior angle is flat, and if the exterior angle is negative then the interior angle is a reflex angle. Additionally, several equations (including the generalised Poincaré–Hopf Theorem) are explicitly dependent on the exterior angle.

2.3 Definition of the triangulation of a surface

In this paper, there are two generalisations of the Poincaré–Hopf Theorem, the first for simple domains and the second for non-simple domains. The proof of the theorem for non-simple domains relies on the theorem for simple domains, where I partition the surface S into a set of simple subdomains and then I apply the previous theorem to each subdomain. The particular partition that I require is known as a triangulation. In this subsection, I will define what I mean by a triangulation.

Definition 1. *An open subregion $S_R \subset S$ is simple if it is homeomorphic to a disk. A simple subregion $T \subset S$ is a triangle if it has exactly three vertices (with non-zero exterior angles). I let:*

- *The set of vertices of the triangle T be denoted $\mathcal{V}_T := \{v_T^1, v_T^2, v_T^3\}$ (where $v_T^i \in \partial T$).*
- *The set of edges be denoted $\mathcal{E}_T := \{E_T^1, E_T^2, E_T^3\} \subset T$.*

I require that the set of edges and vertices satisfy $E_T^i \cap E_T^j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\partial T \setminus \mathcal{E}_T = \mathcal{V}_T$.

I shall now define a triangulation of the surface, and express the Euler characteristic in terms of the triangulation. Those familiar with numerical finite elements methods would be familiar with this specific partition, as I divide the domain into a series of triangular subregions.

Definition 2 (Triangulation of the surface). *The surface S is assumed to be such that there exists*

a finite partition, denoted $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^F$ of S . Let $F \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the number of faces, each of which is a triangle.

It is assumed that the edge of a triangle does not contain the vertex of another triangle,

$$\partial T_i \cap \partial T_j = \overline{\mathcal{E}_{T_i} \cap \mathcal{E}_{T_j}}, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

The number of vertices of the triangulation is denoted V , and the number of edges is denoted E (ignoring repeated vertices and edges respectively)

$$V := \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^F V_{T_i} \right|, \quad E := \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^F \mathcal{E}_{T_i} \right|.$$

Remark. The Euler characteristic of the surface S , denoted $\chi \in -\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, 1\}$, may be written in terms of the number of faces, edges, and vertices of a triangulation of S

$$\chi := F - E + V. \quad (8)$$

2.4 Definition of admissible space of vector fields

In this subsection, I shall define the admissible class of unit vector fields, which are required to be tangential to the surface S and permit point discontinuities.

Definition 3 (Admissible space of functions). *The vector field $\mathbf{u} : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is of class \mathcal{A}_{tan} if and only if there exists a real analytic vector field $\mathbf{A} \in C^\infty(\bar{S}, \mathbb{R}^3)$, that satisfies the two conditions:*

- The zeros of the vector field \mathbf{A} are isolated.

$$J_{\mathbf{u}} := \{ \underline{s} \in \bar{S} \mid \mathbf{A}(\underline{s}) = \underline{0} \}, \quad \forall \underline{s} \in J_{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \exists \delta > 0, \text{ s.t. } B_\delta(\underline{s}) \cap (J_{\mathbf{u}} \setminus \{\underline{s}\}) = \emptyset. \quad (9)$$

- The vector field \mathbf{A} is tangential to the surface S .

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}(\underline{\omega})) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\underline{\omega}) = 0, \quad \forall \underline{\omega} \in \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}(\underline{s}) := \frac{\mathbf{A}}{|\mathbf{A}|}(\underline{s}), \quad \underline{s} \in \bar{S} \setminus J_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

Remark (Consequences of the first assumption). *The assumption that the zeros of \mathbf{A} are isolated, implies that $J_{\mathbf{u}}$ is a discrete finite set and that $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(\bar{S} \setminus J_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbb{S}^2)$.*

Remark (Consequences of the second assumption). *The assumption that the vector field \mathbf{u} is tangential to the surface, implies that it may be expressed as a two-dimensional vector field with respect to the curvilinear coordinates from equation (2). For each $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ there exists functions $(u_1, u_2) \in C^\infty(\bar{S} \setminus J_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that*

$$\mathbf{u}(\underline{s}) = u_1(\underline{s}) \mathbf{e}^1(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(s)) + u_2(s) \mathbf{e}^2(\mathbf{x}^{-1}(s)), \quad \forall \underline{s} \in \bar{S} \setminus J_{\mathbf{u}}. \quad (10)$$

2.5 Definition of the Christoffel symbols and covariant derivatives

In this subsection, I shall define the notation regarding differentiation with respect to a curved basis, reminding readers of the concepts of Christoffel symbols of the second kind, covariant derivatives, and algebraic values.

For $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$, let Γ_{ij}^k denote the Christoffel symbols of the second kind, and L_{ij} the entries of the second fundamental form respectively:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k := \frac{\mathbf{x}^{ij} \cdot \mathbf{x}^k}{|\mathbf{x}^k|^2}, \quad L_{ij} := \mathbf{x}^{ij} \cdot \mathbf{N}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{x}^{ij} := \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{x}}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j}. \quad (11)$$

A more intuitive way to understand Γ_{ij}^k and L_{ij} , is to express \mathbf{x}^{ij} in curvilinear coordinates

$$\mathbf{x}^{ij} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \mathbf{x}^k + L_{ij} \mathbf{N},$$

and understand that Γ_{ik}^k represents the component of \mathbf{x}^{ij} in the \mathbf{x}^k direction; similarly L_{ij} represents the component of \mathbf{x}^{ij} in the \mathbf{N} direction. In the case when the surface is planar, then $L_{ij} = 0$.

For a given $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ with components $(u_1, u_2) \in C^\infty(\bar{S} \setminus J_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbb{S}^1)$, the partial derivative of the function $\bar{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{x} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, with respect to ω_i is given by

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \omega_j} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial \omega_j} + F_j (\bar{u}_1 \delta_{2i} - \bar{u}_2 \delta_{1i}) \right) \mathbf{e}^i + (Z_{ij} \bar{u}_i) \mathbf{N},$$

where

$$Z_{ij} := \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^i}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{N}.$$

Remark. The components of the vector \mathbf{F} , were defined in equation (5). Combining that expression with the above equations, the derivative of the basis vector \mathbf{e}^j may be expressed in terms of \mathbf{F} and Z :

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^i}{\partial \omega_j} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^i}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{e}^k \right) \mathbf{e}^k + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^i}{\partial \omega_j} \cdot \mathbf{N} \right) \mathbf{N} = F_j (\delta_{2i} \mathbf{e}^1 - \delta_{1i} \mathbf{e}^2) + Z_{ij} \mathbf{N}.$$

Despite $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ being tangential to the plane, the derivative of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ includes a normal component. This motivates the notion of the covariant derivative, which is defined as the projection of the derivative onto the tangent plane of the surface. This principle is illustrated in figure (3) and is given by

$$\frac{D \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{d \omega_i} := \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{d \omega_i} - \left(\frac{d \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{d \omega_i} \cdot \mathbf{N} \right) \mathbf{N} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial \omega_i} + F_i (\bar{u}_1 \delta_{2j} - \bar{u}_2 \delta_{1j}) \right) \mathbf{e}^j. \quad (12)$$

The covariant derivative may be used to define key concepts, such as the algebraic value and the index of a vector field. To define these concepts I must consider the vector field restricted to a curve within the surface. Let $\underline{\beta} \in C_{\text{lip}}([0, L], S)$ be a curve parametrised by its arclength $L > 0$; additionally, let $\hat{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\beta}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{N}} := \mathbf{N} \circ \underline{\beta}$, denote the restriction of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{N} to the curve $\underline{\beta}$.




Figure 3: An illustration of the difference between the derivative and co-variant derivative.

The algebraic value, denoted $\left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt}\right] : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, of the vector field $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is given by

$$\left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt}\right] := \frac{D\hat{\mathbf{u}}}{dt} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}). \quad (13)$$

The algebraic value can be used to define the geodesic curvature of the curve $\underline{\gamma}$, defined in equation (7), may be expressed in terms of the algebraic value of its derivative

$$kg(\underline{\gamma}) = \left[\frac{D\underline{\gamma}'}{dt}\right].$$

Additionally, the algebraic value can be used to define the angle between two unit tangent vectors. For arbitrary $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$, the derivative of the angle from $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$, denoted $\phi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is defined in terms of the algebraic value

$$\frac{d\phi_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}}{dt} = \left[\frac{D\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right] - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt}\right]. \quad (14)$$

As a direct consequence of the above equation and the orthogonality of the curvilinear basis, the derivative of the angle from $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^1$, denoted $\phi : (0, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is given by

$$\left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt}\right] = \frac{d(\mathbf{F} \circ \underline{\beta})}{dt} + \frac{d\phi}{dt}. \quad (15)$$

2.6 Definition of the index of a vector field

One of the ways to characterise a tangential vector field, within a simple domain, is by a real value called the *index* of the vector field. The index represents the number of times a vector field makes

a complete counter-clockwise turn along a closed curve. If the vector field is smooth within the subregion, then the index of the vector field will be zero. Conversely, if the index of the vector field is non-zero, this implies the existence of discontinuities within the simple subregion.

Definition 4. Let the subregion $S_R \subset S$ be simple and with sufficiently smooth, positively oriented boundary ∂S_R , with parametrisation $\underline{\gamma} \in C([0, L], \partial S_R)$. For a given $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$, I assume, without loss of generality, there is at most one point of discontinuity of \mathbf{u} along ∂S_R

$$J_{\mathbf{u}} \cap \partial S_R = \emptyset \text{ or } J_{\mathbf{u}} \cap \partial S_R = \underline{\gamma}(0) = \underline{\gamma}(L). \quad (16)$$

The index of \mathbf{u} in S_R is defined to be

$$\text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S_R) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^L \frac{d\phi}{ds} ds, \quad (17)$$

where ϕ is the angle from $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}$ to $\mathbf{e}^1 \circ \underline{\gamma}$.

Remark. A reader might ask the questions “What if there were two singular values on the boundary of the simple domain? What if I want to know the index of a vector field on a non-simple domain?” To answer both of those questions, I consider the work of Mermin, who investigated group theory properties of the index [10].

For a given a finite sequence of non-intersecting, open, simple subregions, denoted $S_R^1, S_R^2, \dots, S_R^N \subset S$, that satisfy equation (16). The index of the vector field in $\bigcup_{i=1}^N S_R^i$ is the sum of the index of each subregion

$$\text{Index}\left(\mathbf{u}, \bigcup_{i=1}^N S_R^i\right) := \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S_R^i). \quad (18)$$

The index of a tangential vector field $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ may be expressed as an integral of the curvilinear components u_1 and u_2 .

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ have the decomposition given by equation (10) then I have that

$$\text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S_R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial S_R} u_1 du_2 - u_2 du_1.$$

Proof. The definition of the algebraic value from equation (13) and the decomposition of \mathbf{u} from equation (10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] &= \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{u}}}{dt} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{N}} \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}), \\ &= \hat{u}_1 \left(\frac{d\hat{u}_2}{dt} + \hat{u}_1 \frac{d(\mathbf{F} \circ \underline{\beta})}{dt} \right) - \hat{u}_2 \left(\frac{d\hat{u}_1}{dt} - \hat{u}_2 \frac{d(\mathbf{F} \circ \underline{\beta})}{dt} \right), \\ &= \hat{u}_1 \frac{d\hat{u}_2}{dt} - \hat{u}_2 \frac{d\hat{u}_1}{dt} + \frac{d(\mathbf{F} \circ \underline{\beta})}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above result with equation (15), I immediately deduce that

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \hat{u}_1 \frac{d\hat{u}_2}{dt} - \hat{u}_2 \frac{d\hat{u}_1}{dt},$$

which, when substituted into the definition of Index from equation (17), yields the result. \square

3 Derivation of the generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem

3.1 Gaussian curvature and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

In this section, the generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem will be proven, beginning with the derivation of the relation between the Gaussian curvature and the index of a vector field.

Lemma 3. *Let $S_R \subset S$ be a simple subregion with a sufficiently smooth, positively oriented boundary ∂S_R , parametrised by $\underline{\gamma} \in C([0, L], \partial S_R)$. The vector field $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ satisfies*

$$\int_0^L \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] \right) dt = \iint_{S_R} K d\sigma, \quad (19)$$

In the above equation, ϕ denotes the angle between $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{e}^1 \circ \underline{\gamma}$, $K \in L^1(S)$ denotes the Gaussian curvature, defined in equation (4), and $d\sigma := |\mathbf{x}^1| |\mathbf{x}^2| d\omega$ denotes the differential with respect to curvilinear coordinates.

Proof. Consider the integral of equation (15) over the interval $(0, L)$,

$$\int_0^L \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] \right) dt = - \int_0^L \underline{\beta}' \cdot (\mathbf{F} \circ \underline{\beta}) dt. \quad (20)$$

Let the simple subregion $R \subset \Omega$ be defined by $R := \mathbf{x}^{-1}(S_R)$, with boundary ∂R parametrised by $\underline{\beta} := \mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \underline{\gamma}$. Applying Green's Theorem and the definition of Gaussian curvature, from equation (4), to equation (20) yields

$$\int_0^L \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] \right) dt = - \iint_R \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \omega_1} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \omega_2} \right) d\omega = \iint_R K |\mathbf{x}^1| |\mathbf{x}^2| d\omega.$$

\square

The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem is a critical in proving the generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem for simple surfaces. The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem states that a surface S , that satisfies the

assumptions from sections (2.1)-(2.2), also satisfies the following [5]

$$2\pi\chi(S) = \oint_{\partial S} kg(\underline{\gamma}) ds + \iint_S K d\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{V_{\partial S}} \tau_i,$$

where $\underline{\gamma} : [0, L] \rightarrow \partial S$ is the parametrisation of ∂S , for $L > 0$ the arclength of ∂S .

3.2 Proof of the generalised Poincaré-Hopf for simple surfaces

Theorem 1 (Generalised Poincaré-Hopf for simple surfaces). *Let $S_R \subset S$ be a simple subregion, with sufficiently smooth boundary ∂S_R parametrised by $\underline{\gamma} \in C([0, L], \partial S_R)$. Let $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{V_{\partial S_R}} \in (-\pi, \pi)$ denote the exterior angles of S_R . Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ satisfy $J_{\mathbf{u}} \cap \partial S_R \in \{\emptyset, \{\underline{\gamma}(0)\}\}$. The field \mathbf{u} satisfies*

$$2\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{V_{\partial S_R}} \tau_i + \oint_{\partial S_R} \frac{d\theta}{dt} dt + 2\pi \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S_R), \quad (21)$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}$ and the unit tangent to the boundary $\underline{\gamma}'$.

Remark. Although the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem can be applied to non-simple surfaces, in this section I shall focus on its application to simple surfaces. I shall use theorem 1 with lemma 19 to deduce a generalised Poincaré-Hopf for simple surfaces.

Proof. The definition of a simple domain implies that it is homeomorphic to a disk, consequently it has Euler characteristic $\chi = 1$. Applying the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem, to the surface S_R , and substituting equation (19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &= \sum_{i=1}^{V_{\partial S_R}} \tau_i + \oint_{\partial S_R} kg(\underline{\gamma}) dt + \iint_{S_R} K d\sigma \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{V_{\partial S_R}} \tau_i + \int_0^L \left(kg(\underline{\gamma}) - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] \right) dt + \int_0^L \frac{d\phi}{dt} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where ϕ is the angle between $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{e}^1 \circ \underline{\gamma}$. The definition of the index of a vector field, from equation (4), implies that

$$2\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{V_{\partial S_R}} \tau_i + \int_0^L \left(kg(\underline{\gamma}) - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] \right) dt + 2\pi \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S_R).$$

As S_R being parametrised by its arclength, this implies that $|\underline{\gamma}'| = 1$. Additionally, the geodesic curvature can be expressed as the algebraic value of $\underline{\gamma}'$. Thus, equation (14) implies that

$$kg(\underline{\gamma}) - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] = \left[\frac{D\underline{\gamma}'}{dt} \right] - \left[\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{dt} \right] = \frac{d\theta}{dt}, \quad (23)$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}$ and $\underline{\gamma}'$. □

3.3 Proof of the generalised Poincaré-Hopf for non-simple surfaces

Theorem 2 (Generalised Poincaré-Hopf for non-simple surfaces). *For a surface S , the vector field $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ satisfies the following conservation law,*

$$\chi(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}} \frac{\tau_k}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial S} \frac{d\theta}{ds} ds + \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S), \quad (24)$$

where:

- χ is the Euler Characteristic, given in equation (8).
- θ is the angle between \mathbf{u} and the tangent to the boundary, given in equation (23).
- $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{V_{\partial S}}$ are the exterior angles of S , from Section (2.2).

Remark. In the previous subsection, the generalisation was derived for non-simple surfaces. To expand this result to non-simple surfaces, the surface S will be triangulated and equation (21) will be applied to each triangular component. Additionally, comparing this result to the Morse index formula in equation (1) it is clear that

$$\text{ind}(P_{\partial S} \mathbf{U}, \partial_- S) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\partial S} \frac{d\theta}{ds} ds + \sum_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}} \frac{\tau_k}{2\pi}, \quad \text{ind}(\mathbf{U}, S) = \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S). \quad (25)$$

However, Morse's formula did not permit singular values on the boundary of the surface, which my formula accounts for.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T} := \{T_i\}_{i=1}^F$ denote the triangulation of the surface S , see Definition 2. For each triangle $T_i \subset S$ let:

- $\mathcal{E}_{T_i} = \{e_{ij}\}_{j=1}^3 \subset S$ denote the set of edges of T_i .
- $\{\tau_{ij}\}_{j=1}^3 \subset (-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{0\}$ denote the set of exterior angles of T_i .
- $\underline{\gamma}_i \in C([0, L_i], \partial T_i)$ parametrise the boundary ∂T_i ; without loss of generality, it is assumed that $\underline{\gamma}_i$ is parametrised by its arclength and is positively oriented.

For a given $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ it is assumed that the triangulation satisfies

$$\partial T_i \cap J_{\mathbf{u}} = \emptyset, \text{ or } \partial T_i \cap J_{\mathbf{u}} = \underline{\gamma}_i(0) = \underline{\gamma}_i(L_i) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, F.$$

For this section, the following notation shall be implemented

- E = number of edges of \mathcal{T}
- V = number of vertices of \mathcal{T}
- $E_{\partial S}$ = number of external edges of \mathcal{T}
- E_S = number of internal edges of \mathcal{T} (ignoring repeated edges).
- $V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}$ = number of external vertices of \mathcal{T} .
- $V_{\partial S}$ = number of vertices of ∂S .
- $V_{\partial \mathcal{T}/S}$ = number of vertices of the triangulation which are not vertices of ∂S .
- $V_{\mathcal{T}}$ = number of internal vertices of \mathcal{T} .
- $\sigma_{ij} := \pi - \tau_{ij}$, the interior angles of the triangle T_i .

The vector field $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{tan}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{e_{ij}} \frac{d\theta_i}{dt} dt + 2\pi \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, T_i), \\
2\pi F &= \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{e_{ij}} \frac{d\theta_i}{dt} dt + 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^F \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, T_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where θ_i is the angle between $\mathbf{u} \circ \underline{\gamma}_i$ and $\underline{\gamma}'_i$. Consider a pair of distinct triangles T_i and T_k that share an edge $e_{in} = e_{km}$, for $n, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. As the boundary of each triangle is positively oriented, the following identity is obtained

$$\int_{e_{in}} \frac{d\theta_i}{dt} dt = - \int_{e_{km}} \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} dt. \tag{27}$$

The only edges which are not shared between triangles are ones which intersect the boundary ∂S , and consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{e_{ij}} \frac{d\theta_i}{dt} dt = \oint_{\partial S} \frac{d\theta}{dt} dt,$$

As a consequence of the above and the summation properties of the index, from equation (18), equation (26) is simplified to

$$2\pi F = \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} + \oint_{\partial S} \frac{d\theta}{dt} dt + 2\pi \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S)$$

Consequently, the only term left to simplify is the sum of the exterior angles; it is clear that

$$V_{\partial \mathcal{T}/S} + V_{\partial S} = V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 (\pi - \sigma_{ij}) = 3\pi F - \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}.$$

As each triangle has three edges, the sum of all edges (including duplicate edges) is equal to three times the number of triangles, $3F = 2E_S + E_{\partial S}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} = 2\pi E_S + \pi E_{\partial S} - \sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij}. \quad (28)$$

If a vertex is internal, then the sum of internal angles at that vertex is 2π ; if a vertex is external but not a vertex of ∂S , then the sum of internal angles at that vertex is π . Recalling that $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}}$ is the set of external angles of ∂S , it is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij} = 2\pi V_{\mathcal{T}} + \pi V_{\partial \mathcal{T}/S} + \sum_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}} (\pi - \tau_k).$$

As the boundary curves are closed, it is clear that $E_{\partial S} = V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}$ and $E_{\partial S} = 2E_{\partial S} - V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^F \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_{ij} = 2\pi(E_S + E_{\partial S}) - 2\pi(V_{\mathcal{T}} + V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}) + \sum_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}} \tau_k. \quad (29)$$

It is clear that $E = E_S + E_{\partial S}$ and $V = V_{\mathcal{T}} + V_{\partial \mathcal{T}}$. Consequently, substituting equations (27) and (29) into (26) yields

$$2\pi(V - E + F) = \sum_{k=1}^{V_{\partial S}} \tau_k + \oint_{\partial S} \frac{d\theta}{ds} ds + 2\pi \text{Index}(\mathbf{u}, S).$$

The definition of the Euler characteristic from equation (8) implies the result. \square

4 Conclusion and future work

In this paper, I derived a generalisation of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem for surfaces with non-smooth line boundaries and for vector fields with arbitrary boundary conditions. Although the theorem has been described as a generalisation, a number of assumptions can be relaxed in future explorations of this topic. One assumption that could be relaxed, is the assumption that the manifold must be expressed as a single smooth chart $\mathbf{x}^{-1} : S \rightarrow \Omega$, rather than an atlas of charts [9]. Future work could focus on deriving a similar result for a given atlas; although I hypothesise that the equation (24) would be applicable to such surfaces. The proof would most likely involve applying equation (24) on each chart and then simplifying the sum of angles in a similar manner to the one found in equation (28).

A second direction for future research could be the derivation of a conservation law for the indices of an analytic vector field in domains of higher dimension. Poincaré derived the theorem for two-dimensional vector fields [12] and Hopf generalised the result for higher dimensions [8]. Additionally, the index of an analytic vector field, in higher dimensions, can be defined and explicitly calculated [7]. The goal of this future research would be to derive a similar result without the assumption that the vector field must be parallel to the boundary of the manifold.

A third direction for future research could be to remove the assumption that the zeroes of the unit vector field are isolated. The index of a vector field and the Poincaré–Hopf Theorem may be extended for vector fields with non-isolated zeroes [3].

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for the financial support of the University of Bath’s department of Mathematical Sciences. Additionally, I am thankful for the support and guidance of Prof. Kirill Cherednichenko, Prof. Apala Majumdar, and Prof. Jeybal Sivaloganathan, whose guidance in the aspects of functional analysis and liquid crystals was invaluable.

References

- [1] G. P. Alexander et al. “Colloquium: disclination loops, point defects and all that in nematic liquid crystals”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 84 (2012), pp. 497–514.
- [2] Jean-Paul Brasselet, José Seade, and Tatsuo Suwa. *Vector Fields on Singular Varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.
- [3] Jean-Paul Brasselet, José Seade, and Tatsuo Suwa. *Vector Fields on Singular Varieties*. Vol. 1987. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [4] Giacomo Canevari, Antonio Segatti, and Marco Veneroni. “Morse’s index formula in VMO for compact manifolds with boundary”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 269 (2015), pp. 3043–3082.
- [5] Manfredo do Carmo. *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*. Prentice-Hall Inc., 1976.
- [6] Diarmuid Crowley and Mark Grant. “The Poincaré–Hopf theorem for line fields revisited”. In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 117 (2017), pp. 187–196.
- [7] George Dinca and Jean Mawhin. *Brouwer Degree and Applications*. Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, 2009.
- [8] Heinz Hopf. “Vektorfelder in n -dimensionalen mannigfaltigkeiten”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* volume 96 (1927), pp. 225–249.
- [9] Jürgen Jost. *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*. Universitext. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2013.
- [10] N. D. Mermin. “The topological theory of defects in ordered media”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 51.3 (1979), pp. 591–648.
- [11] Marston Morse. “Singular points of vector fields under general boundary conditions”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 51.2 (1929), pp. 165–178.
- [12] Henri Poincaré. “Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles”. In: *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 2 (1886), pp. 151–217.
- [13] E. G. Virga. *Variational Theories for Liquid Crystals*. 1st ed. Vol. 8. Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation. Chapman and Hall, 1995.