ON A CURIOUS FAMILY OF NORMS
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Abstract. We introduce a family of norms on the $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices. These norms are inspired by combinatorial properties of symmetric functions and, in some cases, they extend in a natural and nontrivial manner to the $n \times n$ complex matrices. We pose several open questions and conjectures.

1. Introduction

In this note we introduce a family of norms on the $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices. These are defined in terms of certain symmetric functions of eigenvalues. The fact that we deal with eigenvalues, as opposed to their absolute values, makes the situation unusual. First, it prevents standard machinery, such as the theory of symmetric gauge functions, from applying. Second, the techniques used to establish that we indeed have norms are more complicated than one might expect. For example, combinatorics, probability theory, and Lewis’ framework for group invariance in convex matrix analysis each play key roles.

Our norms are of independent interest for several reasons. For example, they obey a Hölder-like inequality that is valid only for exponent triples satisfying a peculiar Diophantine equation. Schur convexity permits our norms to be bounded below in terms of the mean eigenvalue of a matrix. Moreover, our norms also measure certain properties of symmetric tensor powers of graphs.

Most interestingly, our norms appear to extend in a natural and nontrivial manner to the $n \times n$ complex matrices. These extensions of our original norms involve partition combinatorics and trace polynomials in noncommuting variables. This prompts a number of open questions and conjectures.

1.1. Notation. Denote by $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$, respectively, the set of natural numbers, integers, real numbers, and complex numbers. Let $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices. Denote the eigenvalues of $A \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(A)$$

and define

$$\lambda(A) = (\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A)) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$ (1)

We may use $\lambda$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ if the matrix $A$ is clear from context. Let $\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, in that order. If $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is understood from context, we may write $\text{diag}(x)$ for brevity.
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1.2. Complex homogeneous symmetric polynomials. The complete homogeneous symmetric (CHS) polynomial of degree \( d \) in the \( n \) variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) is

\[
h_d(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d},
\]

the sum of all degree \( d \) monomials in \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) \([24]\) Sec. 7.5]. For example,

\[
\begin{align*}
h_0(x_1, x_2) &= 1, \\
h_1(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + x_2, \\
h_2(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \quad \text{and} \\
h_3(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^3 + x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_2^3.
\end{align*}
\]

Elementary combinatorics confirms that there are precisely \( \binom{n+d-1}{d} \) summands in the definition \(2\). We often write \( h_d(x) \), in which \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), when the number of variables is clear from context.

For \( d \) even and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), a theorem of Hunter states that \( h_d(x) \geq 0 \), with equality if and only if \( x = 0 \) \([10]\). Hunter’s theorem is actually a bit more precise, although we do not wade into the details in the introduction (see Section 3.4). His result has been reproved many times; see \([2], [4, p. 69 \& Thm. 3], [6, Cor. 17], [22, Thm. 2.3], \) and \([25, Thm. 1]\). Hunter’s theorem is not obvious because some of the summands that comprise \( h_d(x) \) (for \( d \) even) may be negative.

1.3. Partitions and traces. A partition of \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) is a decreasing sequence \( \pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \) such that

\[
\pi_1 \geq \pi_2 \geq \cdots \geq \pi_r \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1 + \pi_2 + \cdots + \pi_r = d.
\]

This is denoted \( \pi \vdash d \). Note that number of terms \( r \) depends on the partition \( \pi \). The corresponding power sum symmetric function in \( n \) variables is

\[
p_{\pi}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = p_{\pi_1} p_{\pi_2} \cdots p_{\pi_r},
\]

in which

\[
p_k(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = x_1^k + x_2^k + \cdots + x_n^k.
\]

If the length of \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is clear from context, we write \( p_{\pi}(x) \) and \( p_k(x) \) in place of \((3)\) and \((4)\), respectively. Another expression for \((2)\) is

\[
h_d(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{\pi \vdash d} \frac{p_{\pi}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)}{z_{\pi}},
\]

in which the sum runs over all partitions \( \pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \) of \( d \) and

\[
z_{\pi} = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} m_i!,
\]

in which \( m_i \) denotes the multiplicity of \( i \) in \( \pi \) \([24, Prop. 7.7.6]\). For example, if \( \pi = (4, 4, 2, 1, 1, 1) \), then \( z_{\pi} = (1^3!)(2^1!)(4^2!2!) = 384 \) \([24, (7.17)\]).

If \( A \in H_n(\mathbb{C}) \) has eigenvalues \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \), then

\[
p_{\pi}(\lambda) = p_{\pi_1}(\lambda) p_{\pi_2}(\lambda) \cdots p_{\pi_r}(\lambda) = (\text{tr } A^{\pi_1})(\text{tr } A^{\pi_2}) \cdots (\text{tr } A^{\pi_r}).
\]

This permits one to connect eigenvalues, traces, and partitions to the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials. For even degrees, the previous material and Hunter’s theorem provide a new family of norms on \( H_n(\mathbb{C}) \).
Example 7. For $d = 2$, there are two partitions: $(2)$ and $(1, 1)$. Since $z_{(2)} = 2$ and $z_{(1,1)} = 2$, it follows from (5) that

$$h_2(\lambda(A)) = \frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}p_1(\lambda)p_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\tr(A^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\tr A)^2.$$  

(8)

In particular, $A \mapsto (2!h_2(A(\lambda)))^{1/2}$ is a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$; it arises from the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \tr(B^*A) + (\tr B^*)(\tr A)$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 9. For $d = 4$, there are five partitions: $(4)$, $(3, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, 1, 1)$, and $(1, 1, 1, 1)$. Since

$$z_{(4)} = 4, \quad z_{(3,1)} = 3, \quad z_{(2,2)} = 8, \quad z_{(2,1,1)} = 4, \quad \text{and} \quad z_{(1,1,1,1)} = 24,$$

it follows from (5) that

$$h_4(\lambda(A)) = \frac{1}{4}\tr(A^4) + \frac{1}{3}(\tr A^3)(\tr A) + \frac{1}{8}(\tr A^2)^2 + \frac{1}{10}(\tr A^2)^2(\tr A) + \frac{1}{24}(\tr A)^4.$$  

For $A \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$, the summands are real but $(\tr A^3)(\tr A)$ may be negative. Nevertheless, $A \mapsto ((4!)h_4(\lambda(A)))^{1/4}$ is a norm on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$.

1.4. Main results. The main observation of the present version of this note provides a novel norm on the $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices.

Theorem 10. For even $d \geq 2$,

$$\|A\|_d = \left(d!h_d(\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A))\right)^{1/d}$$

is a norm on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$.

The proof of Theorem 10 which involves a lot of machinery that is not standard in matrix analysis, is in Section 2. For $d = 2, 4, 6, 8$, we can use (5) to obtain

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \tr(A^2) + (\tr A)^2,$$

$$\|A\|_4^4 = (\tr A)^4 + 6(\tr A)^2 \tr(A^2) + 3(\tr(A^2))^2 + 8(\tr A) \tr(A^3) + 6 \tr(A^4),$$

$$\|A\|_6^6 = (\tr A)^6 + 15(\tr A)^4 \tr(A^2) + 45(\tr A)^2(\tr(A^2))^2 + 15(\tr(A^2))^3 + 40(\tr A)^3 \tr(A^3) + 120(\tr A) \tr(A^2) \tr(A^3)$$

$$+ 40(\tr A)^3 + 90(\tr A)^2 \tr(A^4) + 90(\tr A^2) \tr(A^4) + 144(\tr A) \tr(A^5) + 120 \tr(A^6), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|A\|_8^8 = (\tr A)^8 + 28(\tr A)^6 \tr(A^2) + 210(\tr A)^4(\tr(A^2))^2$$

$$+ 420(\tr A)^2(\tr(A^2))^3 + 105(\tr(A^2))^4 + 112(\tr A)^5 \tr(A^3) + 1120(\tr A)^3 \tr(A^3) + 1680(\tr A)(\tr(A^2))^2 \tr(A^3)$$

$$+ 1120(\tr A)^2(\tr(A^3))^2 + 1120(\tr A^2)(\tr(A^3))^2$$

$$+ 420(\tr A)^4 \tr(A^4) + 2520(\tr A)^2 \tr(A^2) \tr(A^4)$$

$$+ 1260(\tr(A^2))^2 \tr(A^4) + 3360(\tr A) \tr(A^3) \tr(A^4)$$

$$+ 1260 \tr(A^4) + 1344(\tr A)^3 \tr(A^5)$$

$$+ 4032(\tr A) \tr(A^5) + 2688 \tr(A^3) \tr(A^5)$$
Theorem 10 is nontrivial for several reasons.

(a) The sum that defines \( h_d(\lambda(A)) \) may contain negative summands. For example, \((\text{tr} A) \text{tr}(A^3)\) or \((\text{tr} A)^3 \text{tr}(A^2)\) can be negative for Hermitian \( A \). Let us emphasize again: the sums that define these norm do not involve the absolute values of the eigenvalues of \( A \).

(b) The relationship between the spectra of \( A, B, \) and \( A + B \) is complicated. The complete picture, conjectured by A. Horn [8], was solved only at the end of the last century by Klyachko [12] and Knutson–Tao [13]. Therefore, the triangle inequality is difficult to establish. Even if \( A \) and \( B \) are diagonal, the result is not obvious; see (17).

Thus, the fact that these are norms on \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \) follows not from standard considerations, but rather from delicate properties of multivariate symmetric polynomials.

The norms of Theorem 10, which are defined on the set \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \) of \( n \times n \) complex Hermitian matrices, appear to extend in a natural fashion to the set \( \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \) of all \( n \times n \) complex matrices. This is our main conjecture.

We use \( \| \cdot \| \) to denote expressions that are conjectured to be norms, but for which a complete proof is currently unavailable.

Conjecture 11. Let \( d \geq 2 \) be even and let \( \pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r) \) be a partition of \( d \).

(a) Define \( T_\pi : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R} \) by setting \( T_\pi(A) \) to be \( \frac{d}{d/2} \) times the sum over the \( \binom{d}{d/2} \) possible locations to place \( d/2 \) adjoints among the \( d \) copies of \( A \) in

\[
(\text{tr} A \cdots A)(\text{tr} A \cdots A)(\text{tr} A \cdots A);
\]

see Appendix A for illustrative examples.

(b) Define

\[
\|A\|_d = \left( d! \sum_{\pi} \frac{T_\pi(A)}{z_\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}},
\]

in which the sum runs over all partitions \( \pi \) of \( d \) and \( z_\pi \) is defined in (6).

We conjecture that \( \|A\|_d \) is a norm on \( \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \). By construction, it is real, homogeneous, and restricts to the norm on \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \) given by Theorem 10.

The conjecture is true for \( d = 2 \). For \( d = 4 \), we can prove that the expression above is real, strictly positive, and homogeneous; only the triangle inequality remains to be proved. See Appendix A for more details and partial results.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem 10. We explore some interesting properties of the norms from Theorem 10 in Section 3. Appendix A contains remarks and partial results in favor of Conjecture 11.

2. Proof of Theorem 10

Let \( d \geq 2 \) be even. It suffices to prove that \( \bar{\delta}_d : \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\bar{\delta}_d(A) = h_d(\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A))^{\frac{1}{d}}
\]

(12)
is a norm. Hunter’s theorem ensures that $\|A\| \geq 0$ and, moreover, that $\|A\| = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$ (in fact, the nonnegativity of $\|\cdot\|$ follows from (16) below). Since $\|cA\| = |c| \|A\|$ for all $A \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to prove that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the triangle inequality. This is accomplished by combining Lewis’ framework for group invariance in convex matrix analysis [15] with a probabilistic approach to the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials [12][23][24].

2.1. Group invariance. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-inner product space. The adjoint $\varphi^*$ of a linear map $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies $\langle \varphi^*(X), Y \rangle = \langle X, \varphi(Y) \rangle$ for all $X, Y \in V$. We say that $\varphi$ orthogonal if $\varphi^* \circ \varphi$ is the identity map on $V$. Let $O(V)$ denote the set of all orthogonal linear maps on $V$. For a subgroup $G \subseteq O(V)$, we say that $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $G$-invariant if $f(\varphi(X)) = f(X)$ for all $\varphi \in G$ and $X \in V$.

**Definition 13** (Def. 2.1 of [15]). $\delta : V \rightarrow V$ is a $G$-invariant normal form if

(a) $\delta$ is $G$-invariant,

(b) For each $X \in V$, there is an $\varphi \in O(V)$ such that $X = \varphi(\delta(X))$, and

(c) $\langle X, Y \rangle \leq \langle \delta(X), \delta(Y) \rangle$ for all $X, Y \in V$.

In this case, $(V, G, \delta)$ is a normal decomposition system.

Suppose that $(V, G, \delta)$ is a normal decomposition system and $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace. The stabilizer of $W$ in $G$ is $G_W = \{ \varphi \in G : \varphi(W) = W \}$. For convenience, we restrict the domain of each $\varphi \in G_W$ and consider $G_W$ as a subset of $O(W)$.

Our interest in this material stems from the next result.

**Lemma 14** (Thm. 4.3 of [15]). Let $(V, G, \delta)$ and $(W, G_W, \delta|_W)$ be normal decomposition systems with $\text{ran} \delta \subseteq W$. Then a $G$-invariant function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if its restriction to $W$ is convex.

Let $V = H_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $\mathbb{R}$-inner product space of complex Hermitian matrices, endowed with the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(XY)$, and let $U_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the group of $n \times n$ unitary matrices; see Remark [18] for more details about this inner product. For each $U \in U_n(\mathbb{C})$, define a linear map $\varphi_U : V \rightarrow V$ by $\varphi_U(X) = UXU^\ast$. Observe that $\varphi_U \circ \varphi_V = \varphi_{UV}$ and hence

$$G = \{ \varphi_U : U \in U_n(\mathbb{C}) \}$$

is a group under composition. Since $\varphi_U^\ast = \varphi_{U^\ast}$, we conclude that $G$ is a subgroup of $O(V)$. Moreover, the function (12) is $G$-invariant.

Let $\mathcal{W} = D_n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of real diagonal matrices. Then $\mathcal{W}$ inherits an inner product from $V$ and $G_W = \{ \varphi_P : P \in P_n \}$, in which $P_n$ denotes the set of $n \times n$ permutation matrices. Define $\delta : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$\delta(X) = \text{diag} (\lambda_1(X), \lambda_2(X), \ldots, \lambda_n(X)),$$

the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $\lambda_1(X), \lambda_2(X), \ldots, \lambda_n(X)$ on its diagonal. Observe that $\text{ran} \delta \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ since the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real (in fact, $\text{ran} \delta = \mathcal{W}$). We maintain all of this notation below.

**Lemma 15.** $(V, G, \delta)$ and $(\mathcal{W}, G_W, \delta|_W)$ are normal decomposition systems.
Proof. We first show that \((V,G,\delta)\) is a normal decomposition system. (a) Since eigenvalues are invariant under similarity, \(\delta\) is \(G\)-invariant. (b) For \(X \in V\), the spectral theorem provides a \(U \in U_n(C)\) such that \(X = U\delta(X)U^* = q_U(\delta(A))\). (c) For \(X,Y \in V\), note that \(\text{tr} \ X Y \leq \text{tr} \ \delta(X) \ \delta(Y)\) [14 Thm. 2.2]; see Remark [18].

We now show that \((W,G_W,\delta|_W)\) is a normal decomposition system. (a) \(\delta|_W\) is \(G_W\)-invariant since \(\delta(p_W(X)) = \delta(PX) = \delta(X)\) for every \(X \in W\) and \(P \in P_n\). (b) Let \(X \in W\). Since \(X\) is diagonal there exists a \(P \in P_n\) such that \(X = P \delta(X) P^* = p_P(\delta(X))\). (c) The diagonal elements of a diagonal matrix are its eigenvalues. Consequently, this property is inherited from \(V\); see Remark [20].

2.2. A probabilistic approach. Let \(\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)\) be a vector of independent standard exponential random variables [3 (20.10)], and let \(G\)-invariant, we conclude from Lemma 14 that \(\xi\) is a normal decomposition system. (a) \(\delta|_W\) is \(G\)-invariant since \(\xi\) is even. Then \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n\); see Remark [21] Now suppose that \(d\) is even. Then

\[
d! \! h_d(x) = \mathbb{E}[|\langle \xi, x \rangle|^d] \geq 0.
\] (16)

For \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\), Minkowski’s inequality implies that

\[
\left( \mathbb{E}[|\langle \xi, x+y \rangle|^d] \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \left( \mathbb{E}[|\langle \xi, x \rangle|^d] \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} + \left( \mathbb{E}[|\langle \xi, y \rangle|^d] \right)^{\frac{1}{d}},
\]

and hence (for \(d\) even)

\[
|h_d(x+y)|^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq |h_d(x)|^{\frac{1}{d}} + |h_d(y)|^{\frac{1}{d}}.
\] (17)

2.3. Conclusion. Recall the definition (12) of the function \(\delta: H_n(C) \to \mathbb{R}\). The inequality (17) ensures that the restriction of \(\delta\) to \(D_n(\mathbb{R})\) satisfies the triangle inequality. For \(A, B \in D_n(\mathbb{R})\) and \(t \in [0,1]\), note that

\[
\delta(tA + (1-t)B) \leq \delta(tA) + \delta((1-t)B) = t\delta(A) + (1-t)\delta(B)
\] by (17) and homogeneity. Thus, \(\delta\) is a convex function on \(D_n(\mathbb{R})\). Since \(\delta\) is \(G\)-invariant, we conclude from Lemma 14 that \(\delta\) is convex on \(H_n(C)\). It satisfies the triangle inequality on \(H_n(C)\) since it is convex and homogeneous:

\[
\frac{1}{2}\delta(A + B) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(A) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(B) \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(A) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(B).
\]
Consequently, \( \mathcal{H}(A) \) is a norm on \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \).

2.4. Remarks. We collect here a few remarks about the proof of Theorem 10. To comment upon these points in the course of the proof itself would have muddled the pace and clouded the argument with irrelevant observations.

**Remark 18.** Consider the inner product \( \langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(XY) \) on \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \); it is the restriction of the Frobenius inner product to \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \). The inequality

\[
\text{tr}(XY) \leq \text{tr} \delta(X) \delta(Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C})
\]

is due to von Neumann [27] and has been reproved many times; see de Sá [5], Lewis [14, Thm. 2.2], Marcus [16], Marshall [17], Mirsky [19, Thm. 1], Richter [21, Satz. 1], Rendl and Wolkowicz [20, Cor. 3.1], and Theobald [26]. More familiar is von Neumann’s trace theorem for singular values; see [9, Theorem 7.4.1.1]. To obtain (19) from it, apply von Neumann’s trace inequality to \( X + cI \) and \( Y + cI \), in which \( c > 0 \) is large, then cancel terms on both sides.

**Remark 20.** For diagonal matrices, the inequality (19) is equivalent to a classical rearrangement result: \( \langle x, y \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle \), in which where \( \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \) has the components of \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) in decreasing order [7, Thm. 368].

**Remark 21.** For \( d \) even, (16) implies the nonnegativity of the CHS polynomials. This probabilistic approach appears in the comments on the blog entry [25], and in [23, Lem. 12], which cites the [11]. There are many other proofs of the nonnegativity of the even-degree CHS polynomials. Of course, there is Hunter’s inductive proof [10]. Roventa and Temereanca used divided differences [22, Thm. 3.5]. Recently, Böttcher, Garcia, Omar and O’Neill [4] employed a spline-based approach suggested by Olshansky after Garcia, Omar, O’Neill, and Yih obtained it as a byproduct of investigations into numerical semigroups [6, Cor. 17].

**Remark 22.** We stress that our inequality (17) permits \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \); that is, with no positivity assumptions. For \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), the similar inequality

\[
\|x + y\|_p^{1/p} \leq \|x\|_p^{1/p} + \|y\|_p^{1/p} \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}
\]

has been rediscovered several times. According to McLeod [18, p. 211] and Whiteley [28, p. 49], it was first conjectured by A.C. Aitken. Priority must be given to Whiteley’s paper, whose paper appeared in 1958. McLeod’s paper was received on March 16, 1959, although he was unaware of Whiteley’s proof: “To the best of my knowledge, no proof of the second or third inequalities exists so far in the literature” (it is McLeod’s third inequality that concerns us). For more exotic inequalities along the lines of (23), see [23].

3. Properties of the Norms \( \| \cdot \|_d \) on \( \mathbb{H}_n(\mathbb{C}) \)

In this section we establish several properties of the norms \( \| \cdot \|_d \), where, of course, \( d \) is even. First, we identify those norms induced by inner products (Subsection 3.1). After that, we prove the monotonicity (with respect to \( d \)) of our norms and a Hölder-type inequality (Subsection 3.2). In Subsection 3.3 we use Schur convexity to provide a lower bound on \( \| \cdot \|_d \) in terms of the mean eigenvalue of a matrix. In Subsection 3.4 we compute equivalence constants for the
norms \( \| \cdot \|_d \) with respect to the operator norm. We make a few remarks about our norms and symmetric tensor powers in Subsection 3.3.

### 3.1. Inner products

Theorem 10 says that \( \| \cdot \|_d \) is a norm on \( H_n(\mathbb{C}) \) for even \( d \geq 2 \). It is natural to ask when these norms are induced by an inner product.

**Theorem 24.** The norm \( \| \cdot \|_d \) on \( H_n(\mathbb{C}) \) is induced by an inner product if and only if \( d = 2n \) or \( n = 1 \)

**Proof.** We suppress the \( d! \) and use the rescaled norm \( \| A \|_d = (h_d(\lambda(A)))^{1/d} \) instead. If \( n = 1 \) and \( d \geq 2 \) is even, then \( \| A \|_d = |a| \) for each \( A = [a] \in H_1(\mathbb{C}) = H_1(\mathbb{R}) \). Thus, \( \| \cdot \|_d \) on \( H_1(\mathbb{R}) \) is induced by the inner product \( \langle A, B \rangle = ab \), in which \( A = [a] \) and \( B = [b] \) belong to \( H_1(\mathbb{R}) \).

Let \( d = 2n \) and \( n \geq 1 \). Then (8) ensures that \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) is induced by

\[
\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} AB + \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr} A)(\text{tr} B)
\]

on \( H_n(\mathbb{R}) \). This is indeed an inner product since it is a symmetric bilinear form (on a real vector space) that is strictly nonnegative by inspection.

For \( n \geq 2 \), let \( A = \text{diag}(1,0,0,\ldots) \) and \( B = \text{diag}(0,1,0,\ldots,0) \in H_n(\mathbb{R}) \). Then

\[
\| A \|_d^2 = \| B \|_d^2 = 1.
\]

Next observe that

\[
\| A + B \|_d^2 = (d + 1)^{2/n}
\]

since there are exactly \( d + 1 \) nonzero summands, each equal to 1, in the evaluation of \( h_d(\lambda(A + B)) \). Because of cancellation, a similar argument shows that

\[
\| A - B \|_d^2 = 1.
\]

A result of Jordan and von Neumann says that a vector space norm \( \| \cdot \| \) arises from an inner product if and only if it satisfies the parallelogram identity

\[
\| x + y \|^2 + \| x - y \|^2 = 2(\| x \|^2 + \| y \|^2)
\]

for all vectors \( x, y \). If \( \| \cdot \|_d \) satisfies the parallelogram identity, then

\[
(d + 1)^{2/d} + 1 = 2(1 + 1);
\]

that is, \( (d + 1)^2 = 3^d \). The solutions are \( d = 0 \) (which does not yield an inner product) and \( d = 2 \) (which, as we showed above, does). Thus, for \( n \geq 2 \) and \( d \geq 2 \), the norm \( \| \cdot \|_d \) does not arise from an inner product. \( \square \)

### 3.2. Monotonicity and Hölder’s inequality

Let \( A \in H_n(\mathbb{C}) \) have eigenvalues \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \), listed in decreasing order, and let \( \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \) be a random vector, in which \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n \) are independent standard exponential random variables. Let \( d \geq 2 \) be even and consider the random variable \( X = \langle \xi, \lambda \rangle \). Then (16) ensures that

\[
\| A \|_d = (d! h_d(\lambda))^{1/d} = E[|\langle \xi, \lambda \rangle|^d]^{1/d} = E[|X|^d]^{1/d} = \| X \|_{L^d}.
\]

Since we are in a probability space (that is, a finite measure space), it follows that \( \| X \|_{L^p} \leq \| X \|_{L^q} \) for \( 0 < p < q < \infty \). Therefore,

\[
p < q \quad \implies \quad \| A \|_p \leq \| A \|_q.
\]

The generalized Hölder’s inequality for random variables yields the following.
Theorem 25. Let $p, q, r \geq 2$ be even. If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$, then $\|A\|_r \leq \|A\|_p \|A\|_q$ for $A \in \mathbb{H}_n(C)$.

Remark 26. There are many situations in which Theorem 25 is not vacuous. The even solutions $p, q, r \geq 2$ to $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = r^{-1}$ correspond to solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{z},$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$. A solution $(x, y, z)$ to (27) is primitive if $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$. Since a positive integer multiple of a solution is a solution, it suffices to describe the primitive solutions. For $1 \leq x \leq y, z \leq 100$ the primitive solutions are

$(2,2,1), (6,3,2), (12,4,3), (15,10,6), (20,5,4), (28,21,12), (30,6,5), (35,14,10), (40,24,15), (42,7,6), (45,36,20), (56,8,7), (63,18,14), (66,55,30), (70,30,21), (72,9,8), (77,44,28), (84,60,35), (88,33,24), (90,10,9), (91,78,42), (99,22,18)$.

The general solution to (27) can be furnished by fleshing out the following observation. First observe that (27) is equivalent to $(x - z)(y - z) = z^2$. If $d|z^2$, then $x = z + d$ and $y = z + z^2/d$ are solutions.

3.3. Mean eigenvalues. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_n)$ denote its decreasing rearrangement (the notation $x^\downarrow$ is frequently used in the literature). Then $x$ majorizes $y$, denoted $x \succeq y$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{x}_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{y}_i \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

The even-degree complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials are Schur convex [25, Thm. 1]. That is, $h_{2p}(x) \geq h_{2p}(y)$ whenever $x \succeq y$, with equality if and only if $x$ is a permutation of $y$.

Theorem 28. For $A \in \mathbb{H}_n(C)$ and $d \geq 2$ is even,

$$\|A\|_d^d \geq \|\mu I\|_d^d = |\mu| d! \left( \binom{n + d - 1}{d} \right),$$

in which $\mu = (\mu, \mu, \ldots, \mu)$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$ is the mean eigenvalue of $A$.

Proof. Since $\lambda \succeq \mu$, the Schur convexity of $h_d$ implies that

$$\|A\|_d = d! h_d(\lambda) \geq d! h_d(\mu) = \|\mu I\|_d^d = d! \left( \binom{n + d - 1}{d} \right). \quad \square$$

3.4. Equivalence constants. Any two norms on a finite-dimensional vector space are equivalent. Thus, each norm $\| \cdot \|_d$ on $\mathbb{H}_n(C)$ (with $d \geq 2$ even) is equivalent to the operator norm $\| \cdot \|_\text{op}$. We compute admissible equivalence constants below.

Theorem 29. For $A \in \mathbb{H}_n(C)$ and even $d \geq 2$,

$$\left( \frac{d!}{2 \pi (d/2)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \|A\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|A\|_d \leq \left( d! \left( \binom{n + d - 1}{d} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \|A\|_{\text{op}}$$

The upper inequality is sharp if and only if $A$ is a multiple of the identity.
Proof. For $A \in H_n(C)$ and even $d \geq 2$, the triangle inequality yields
\[
\|A\|_d^d = d! h_d(\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A))
\]
\[
= d! |h_d(\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \ldots, \lambda_n(A))|
\]
\[
\leq d! h_d(|\lambda_1(A)|, |\lambda_2(A)|, \ldots, |\lambda_n(A)|)
\]
\[
\leq d! h_d(\|A\|_{op}, \|A\|_{op}, \ldots, \|A\|_{op})
\]
\[
= d! \|A\|_{op}^d h_d(1, 1, \ldots, 1)
\]
\[
= d! \|A\|_{op}^d \binom{n+d-1}{d}.
\]
Equality occurs if and only if $\lambda_i(A) = |\lambda_i(A)| = \|A\|_{op}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; that is, if and only if $A$ is a multiple of the identity.

Hunter [10] established that
\[
h_{2p}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^p p!} \|x\|^{2p},
\]
in which $\|x\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $d = 2p$ and conclude
\[
\|A\|_d \geq \left(\frac{1}{2^p p!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|_F \geq \left(\frac{1}{2^p p!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|_{op},
\]
in which $\|A\|_F$ denotes the Frobenius norm of $A \in H_n(C)$.

Remark 30. Hunter’s lower bound was improved by Baston [2], who proved that
\[
h_{2p}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^p p!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^p + \lambda_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{2p}
\]
for $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where
\[
\lambda_p = \frac{1}{n^p} \left(\frac{n+2p-1}{2p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n^p} - \frac{1}{2^p p!}\right) > 0.
\]
Equality holds if and only if $p = 1$ or $p \geq 2$ and all the $x_i$ are equal. The positivity of the $\lambda_p$ follows from the fact that
\[
p! \binom{n+2p-1}{2p} \geq (n+1)(n+2) \cdots n \geq (\frac{1}{2} n)^p.
\]
However, Baston’s result does not appear to yield a significant improvement in the lower bound of Theorem [29].

3.5. Symmetric Tensor Powers. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-inner product space with orthonormal basis $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. The $k$th tensor power of $\mathcal{V}$ is the $n^k$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-inner product space
\[
\mathcal{V}^\otimes k = \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}
\]
spanned by the simple tensors
\[
v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}.
\]
These simple tensors form an orthonormal basis of $V^\otimes k$, because the inner product is defined as the linear extension of

$$\langle v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}, v_{j_1} \otimes v_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_k} \rangle = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{j_1} \rangle \langle v_{i_2}, v_{j_2} \rangle \cdots \langle v_{i_k}, v_{j_k} \rangle.$$ 

An operator $A : V \to V$ lifts to an operator on $V^\otimes k$ as follows. Define

$$A^\otimes k (v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = A v_{i_1} \otimes A v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A v_{i_k}$$

and use the linearity of $A$ and $\otimes$ to write this in terms of the basis vectors $\{31\}$. An important fact is that $V^\otimes k$ is basis-independent, in the sense of abstract nonsense.

The $k$th symmetric tensor power of $V$ is the space $\text{Sym}_k V \subset V^\otimes k$ spanned by the symmetric tensors:

$$v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} v_{\sigma(i_1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(i_k)}.$$ 

Here $S_k$ denotes the symmetric group on $k$ letters. Since there are $k!$ summands and because they are orthonormal, the vector above is a unit vector in $V^\otimes k$. One can show that

$$\dim \text{Sym}_k V = \binom{n + k - 1}{k}.$$ 

Suppose that $A : V \to V$ is selfadjoint with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ and corresponding orthonormal eigenbasis $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. Define $A^{\text{Sym}_k} : \text{Sym}_k V \to \text{Sym}_k V$ by linear extension of

$$A^{\text{Sym}_k} (v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \lambda_{\sigma(i_1)} v_{\sigma(i_1)} \otimes \lambda_{\sigma(i_2)} v_{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{\sigma(i_k)} v_{\sigma(i_k)}$$

$$= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} v_{\sigma(i_1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(i_k)}$$

$$= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_k (v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}).$$

Thus, $v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}$ is an eigenvector of $A^{\text{Sym}_k}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_k$. Sum over the $\binom{n + k - 1}{k}$ eigenvectors and conclude that

$$\text{tr}(A^{\text{Sym}_k}) = h_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n).$$

This yields the following interpretation of the norms from Theorem $10$

**Proposition 32.** If $d \geq 2$ is even and $A \in H_n(C)$, then

$$\|A\|_d^d = d! \text{tr}(A^{\text{Sym}_d}).$$

For example, if $A$ is the adjacency matrix of a graph $\Gamma$, then $\|A\|_d$ tells us about the symmetric tensor power of $\Gamma$, a weighted graph that can be obtained from $\Gamma$ in a straightforward (but tedious) manner by computing the matrix representation of $A^{\text{Sym}_d}$ with respect to the basis above.
Appendix A. Extending $\|A\|_d$ to $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$

We collect here some observations, examples, and remarks about extending the norms of Theorem 10 from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let us restate the main conjecture (Conjecture 11) from the introduction. We use the notation $\| \cdot \|$ to denote an expression that is suspected to be a norm, but not yet proven to be one.

Conjecture 33. Let $d \geq 2$ be even and let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_r)$ be a partition of $d$.

(a) Define $T_\pi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting $T_\pi(A)$ to be $\binom{d}{d/2}$ times the sum over the $(\binom{d}{d/2})$ possible locations to place $d/2$ adjoints $^*$ among the $d$ copies of $A$ in

$$ \left( \text{tr} \underbrace{AA \cdots A}_{\pi_1} \left( \text{tr} \underbrace{AA \cdots A}_{\pi_2} \cdots (\text{tr} \underbrace{AA \cdots A}_{\pi_r}) \right) \right); $$

see Appendix A for illustrative examples.

(b) Define

$$ \|A\|_d = \left( d! \sum_{\pi} \frac{T_\pi(A)}{z_\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}, $$

in which the sum runs over all partitions $\pi$ of $d$ and $z_\pi$ is defined in $\mathbb{R}$.

We conjecture that $\|A\|_d$ is a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. By construction, it is real, homogeneous, and restricts to the norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by Theorem 10.

The conjecture is true if $d = 2$ since

$$ \|A\|_2 = \left[ \text{tr}(A^*A) + (\text{tr}A^*)(\text{tr}A) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, $$

is a norm. In fact, it comes from an inner product; see Example 2. For $d = 4$, the norm of Theorem 10 is the restriction to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of the real-valued function

$$ \|A\|_4 = (\text{tr}A)^2 + 2(\text{tr}A)^2 \text{tr}(A^2) + 4(\text{tr}A) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^*A) $$

$$ + 2(\text{tr}A^2)^2 + (\text{tr}A^2)^2 \text{tr}(A^*A) + 4(\text{tr}A) \text{tr}(A^*A) \text{tr}(A^2) $$

$$ + 4\text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^*A^2) + 4\text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*2A) + 4\text{tr}(A^*A^2A) + 4\text{tr}(A^*2A^2) $$

$$ = 4(\text{tr}A^2)^2 + 2(\text{tr}A^2)^2 \text{tr}(A^*A) + 8 \text{Re}(\text{tr}A^2)(\text{tr}A^2) + |\text{tr}A|^4 $$

on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let us outline how the definition contained in Conjecture 11 can be used to obtain this formula.

Example 34. Let $d = 4$. There are five partitions of 4, namely $(4)$, $(3, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, 1, 1)$, and $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ with

$$ z_{(4)} = 4, \quad z_{(3, 1)} = 3, \quad z_{(2, 2)} = 8, \quad z_{(2, 1, 1)} = 4, \quad \text{and} \quad z_{(1, 1, 1, 1)} = 24. $$

There are $(\binom{4}{2}) = 6$ ways to place two adjoints $^*$ in a string of four $A$s. Therefore,

$$ 6T_{(4)} = \text{tr}(A^*A^*AA) + \text{tr}(A^*AA^*A) + \text{tr}(A^*AA^*A^*) $$

$$ + \text{tr}(A^*AAA^*) + \text{tr}(AA^*AA^*) + \text{tr}(AAA^*A^*) $$

$$ = \text{tr}(A^*)^2A^2 + \text{tr}(A^*A)^2 + \text{tr}(A^*)^2A^2 + \text{tr}(A^*A)^2 + \text{tr}(A^*A)^2A^2 $$

$$ = 4\|A^2\|_2^2 + 2\|A^*A\|_2^2. $$
\[
6T_{(3,1)} = (\text{tr } A^* A^* A) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A^* A A^*) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A^* A A) (\text{tr } A^*)
+ (\text{tr } A A^* A^*) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A A^* A) (\text{tr } A^*) + (\text{tr } A A^* A^*) (\text{tr } A^*)
+ \text{tr}(A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A) + \text{tr}(A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A^2 A^*) (\text{tr } A^*)
\]
\[
6T_{(2,2)} = (\text{tr } A^* A^*) (\text{tr } A A) + (\text{tr } A^* A) (\text{tr } A A^*) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A^* A) (\text{tr } A A^*) (\text{tr } A^*)
\]
\[
6T_{(2,1,1)} = (\text{tr } A^* A^*) (\text{tr } A A) + (\text{tr } A^* A) (\text{tr } A A^*) (\text{tr } A) + (\text{tr } A^* A) (\text{tr } A A^*) (\text{tr } A^*)
\]
Therefore,
\[
\| A \|_2^4 = 4! \sum_{\pi} \frac{\text{Tr}(A)}{z_\pi}
\]
\[
= 6 \left( 2 \left\| A^2 \right\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left\| A^* A \right\|_F^2 \right) + 8 \left( \text{Re } \text{tr}(A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A) \right)
+ 3 \left( \frac{1}{3} \text{tr } A^2 \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \| A \|_F^2 \right) + 6 \left( \frac{2}{3} \| A \|_F^2 \text{tr } A \|_F^2 + \frac{1}{3} \text{Re}(\text{tr } A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A)^2 \right)
+ 6 \text{tr } A^4
\]
\[
= 4\| A^2 \|_F^2 + 2\| A^* A \|_F^2 + 8 \text{Re } \text{tr}(A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A) + | \text{tr } A^2 |^2
+ 2\| A \|_F^4 + 4\| A \|_F^2 \| A \|_F^2 + 2 \text{Re}(\text{tr } A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A)^2 + | \text{tr } A |^4
\]
\[
= 4 \text{tr}(A^{*2} A^2) + 2 \text{tr}(A^* A A^* A) + 8 \text{Re } \text{tr}(A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A) + | \text{tr } A^2 |^2
+ 2 \text{tr } A^2 \right)^2 + 4 \text{tr } A^4 \| A \|_F^2 + 2 \text{Re}(\text{tr } A^{*2} A) (\text{tr } A)^2 + | \text{tr } A |^4
\]
If \( A = A^* \), this simplifies to \( \| A \|_2^4 = 4\| A^2 \|_F^2 + 2\| A^2 \|_F^2 + 8 \text{Re } \text{tr}(A^3) (\text{tr } A) + | \text{tr } A^2 |^2 \)
Proposition 36. The conjectured “norm”

\[
\|A\|_4 = \left( 4\|A^2\|_F^2 + 2\|A^* A\|_F^2 + 8 \text{ Re}(A^* A)(\text{tr} A) + |\text{tr} A^2|^2 + 2\|A\|_F^2 + 4\|A\|_F^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

defined in \((35)\) is homogeneous and strictly positive.

Proof. Homogeneity is immediate by inspection. Write

\[
\|A\|_4 = \varphi_1(A) + \varphi_2(A),
\]

where

\[
\varphi_1(A) = 4\|A^2\|_F^2 + 2\|A^* A\|_F^2 + |\text{tr} A^2|^2 + 2\|A\|_F^2 + 4\|A\|_F^2 |\text{tr} A|^2 + |\text{tr} A|^4
\]

and

\[
\varphi_2(A) = 8 \text{ Re}(A^* A)(\text{tr} A) + 2 \text{ Re}(A^* A)(\text{tr} A)^2.
\]

In particular, observe the \(\varphi_1(A) \geq 0\) with equality if and only if \(A = 0\).

For \(A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})\), we claim that

\[
|\varphi_2(A)| \leq 4\|A^2\|_F \|A\|_F |\text{tr} A| + 4\|A^* A\|_F \|A\|_F |\text{tr} A| + 2|\text{tr} A^2| |\text{tr} A|^2. \quad (38)
\]

For \(X \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})\), let \(|X|\) denote the unique positive semidefinite square root of \(X^* X\) and let \(|X|_{\text{tr}}\) denote the trace norm of \(X\).

Then

\[
|\text{tr} X| \leq |\text{tr} |X||_F \quad \text{and} \quad |XY|_{\text{tr}} \leq |X|_F |Y|_F.
\]

Therefore,

\[
|\text{tr}(A^* A)| = \frac{1}{2} |\text{tr}(A^* A^* A)| + \frac{1}{2} |\text{tr}(A^* A A^*)| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|A^2\|_F \|A\|_F + \frac{1}{2} \|A^* A\|_F \|A^*\|_F.
\]

Since \(|X^*|_F = \|X\|_F\) and \(|X^2|_F = \|X^2\|_F\) and \(|\text{Re} z| \leq |z|\), we see that

\[
|\text{Re} \text{ tr}(A^* A)(\text{tr} A)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|A^2\|_F \|A\|_F |\text{tr} A| + \frac{1}{2} \|A^* A\|_F \|A\|_F |\text{tr} A| \quad (39)
\]

We also have

\[
|\text{Re}(A^* A)(\text{tr} A)^2| \leq |\text{tr} A^2| |\text{tr} A|^2. \quad (40)
\]

The triangle inequality, \((39)\), and \((40)\) imply the desired bound \((38)\).

For brevity, we denote

\[
x = |\text{tr} A|, \quad y = \|A\|_F, \quad z = |\text{tr} A^2|, \quad u = \|A^* A\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad v = \|A^2\|_F.
\]

Then \((38)\) and \((37)\), along with computer algebra, imply that

\[
\|A\|_4^4 \geq \varphi_1(A) - 4xuv - 4xyu - 2x^2 z
\]

\* We do not know if this satisfies the triangle inequality on \(\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})\).
\begin{align*}
\|A\|_2 &= \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) + \text{tr}(A^* A) \\
\|A\|_3^2 &= (\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 + \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^2) + 4 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A) \\
&\quad + 2 \text{tr}(A^* A)^2 + (\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^2) + \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A) \\
&\quad + 4 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 4 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 2 \text{tr}(A^* A A^*) A + 4 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
\|A\|_6^2 &= (\text{tr}(A))^3 \text{tr}(A^*)^3 + 3 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*)^3 \text{tr}(A^2) \\
&\quad + 9(\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A) + 9 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A) \\
&\quad + 18 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 6 \text{tr}(A^*)^3 A + 3(\text{tr}(A))^3 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 9 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A) + 9(\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^* A) \text{tr}(A^* A) \\
&\quad + 9 \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A) \text{tr}(A^* A) + 2 \text{tr}(A^*)^3 \text{tr}(A^3) \\
&\quad + 6 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \text{tr}(A^3) + 18 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 36 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 18 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 18(\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 18 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 36 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^* A) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 36 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 2(\text{tr}(A))^3 \text{tr}(A^* A^3) + 6 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3) \\
&\quad + 4 \text{tr}(A^3) \text{tr}(A^* A^3) + 18 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^3) \\
&\quad + 18 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3) + 18 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 18 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A A^*) A + 36 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 36 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2) + 18(\text{tr}(A))^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^3) \\
&\quad + 18 \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3) + 36 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A A^* A^2) \\
&\quad + 18 \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3) + 36 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A A^* A^2)
\end{align*}

If \(\|A\|_2 = 0\), then \(y = \|A\|_F = 0\) and hence \(A = 0\). \(\square\)

**Problem 41.** For even \(d \geq 6\), is there a similar sum-of-squares decomposition that establishes the positivity of \(\| \cdot \|_d^2\)?

**Problem 42.** Can these “norms” be recognized as dual norms of a pre-norm? See [9, Sect. 5.4] for definitions. This could provide a shortcut to the triangle inequality.

Here are explicit formulas for the first several proposed norms on \(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})\). Of these, only the first is proven to be a norm. However, the second is known to be strictly positive (Proposition 36).

**Problem 43.** For even \(d \geq 2\), find a formula for the coefficients in the expansion of \(\|A\|_d^2\) as a sum of products of traces involving \(A\) and \(A^*\).
\[\|A\|_8^2 = (\text{tr}(A))^4 + 6(\text{tr}(A))^2(\text{tr}(A^2))^2 + 3(\text{tr}(A^2))^4 + 16(\text{tr}(A))^3(\text{tr}(A^*)^2) + 48(\text{tr}(A))^2(\text{tr}(A^*)^3) + 72(\text{tr}(A^*)^2(\text{tr}(A^*)^2(\text{tr}(A^2)^2) + 96(\text{tr}(A^*)^3(\text{tr}(A^* A)^3) + 24(\text{tr}(A^* A))^4 + 6(\text{tr}(A))^4(\text{tr}(A^2)^2) + 36(\text{tr}(A))^2(\text{tr}(A^2)^2) + 12(\text{tr}(A^*)^2(\text{tr}(A^3 A)^3) + 36(\text{tr}(A^*)^3(\text{tr}(A^2 A)^2) + 36(\text{tr}(A^2 A^* A)^2) + 36(\text{tr}(A^3 A)^3).
\]
ON A CURIOUS FAMILY OF NORMS

+ 32(tr A)³ tr(A³ tr(A³) + 96 tr(A) tr(A²) tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 64 tr(A) tr(A²) tr(A³) tr(A³)
+ 64 tr(A³) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 96 (tr A)² tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 96 tr(A²) tr(A³ tr(A³) + 6 tr(A) tr(A³)
+ 36 tr(A²)² tr(A²) tr(A³) + 18 tr(A²)² tr(A³)
+ 48 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 96 tr(A) tr(A³)³ tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A)² tr(A³) tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A) tr(A²) tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A³) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 576 tr(A) tr(A²) tr(A³)
+ 192 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 72 (tr A)² tr(A³) tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 72 tr(A)² tr(A²) tr(A³ tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 144 tr(A)² tr(A³)
+ 72 tr(A)² tr(A²) tr(A³) + 72 tr(A²)² tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A) tr(A²)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 72 tr(A)² tr(A³)² tr(A³)
+ 144 (tr A)² tr(A²)² tr(A²)
+ 576 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 144 (tr A)² tr(A²)² tr(A²) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A²)
+ 576 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 288 (tr A) tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A) tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 tr(A³)² tr(A³)
+ 96 (tr A)³ tr(A³) + 288 (tr A) tr(A³) tr(A³)
+ 288 (tr A)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 192 tr(A³) tr(A³) + 576 tr(A) tr(A³)
+ 576 tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 6 (tr A)³ tr(A³)
+ 36 (tr A)² tr(A²)² tr(A²) + 18 (tr A)²)² tr(A³)
+ 48 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³) + 36 tr(A³) tr(A³)
+ 96 tr(A) tr(A³)³ tr(A³) + 288 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³)
+ 192 tr(A³) tr(A³) + 288 tr(A) tr(A³)² tr(A³)
+ 576 tr(A) tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A) tr(A³)² tr(A³)
+ 288 tr(A) tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 576 tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 576 tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 576 tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)
+ 288 (tr A)² tr(A³)² tr(A³) + 576 tr(A) tr(A³) tr(A³)
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^2 A) \text{tr}(A^2 A A^* A) + 288 (\text{tr} A)^2 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^4 A^2) \\
+ 288 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^3 A^2) + 576 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^3 A^2) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^* A^2) \text{tr}(A^3 A^2) + 96 (\text{tr} A)^3 \text{tr}(A^4 A) \\
+ 288 (\text{tr} A) \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^4 A) + 192 (\text{tr} A)^3 \text{tr}(A^4 A) \\
+ 144 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^2) + 144 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^2) \\
+ 288 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^3) + 288 \text{tr}(A^2) \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^3) \\
+ 192 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A AA^* A^3) + 192 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* AA^* AA^* A) \\
+ 288 \text{tr}(A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^2 A^4) + 288 (\text{tr} A^*)^2 \text{tr}(A^2 A^4) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^3) + 576 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^3) \\
+ 144 (\text{tr} A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^3) + 144 (\text{tr} A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^3) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3) + 576 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3) \\
+ 288 (\text{tr} A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3) + 288 (\text{tr} A^2) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3) \\
+ 576 (\text{tr} A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^4 A^4) + 576 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^* A^4 A^4) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^*) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^2 A^4) + 576 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^2 A^4) \\
+ 144 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* AA^* A^3 A) + 576 \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* AA^* A) \\
+ 288 \text{tr}(A^* A^2 A^* A^2 A^2) + 288 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^2 A^2 A^2) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* AA^* A^2 A^2) + 576 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^2 A^2 A^2) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3 A^3) + 576 \text{tr}(A^* A^3 A^3 A^3) \\
+ 576 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^3 A^3) + 576 \text{tr}(A^* AA^* A^3 A^3)

Similar formulas are available for $\|A\|_d^d$ with $d = 10, 12, \ldots$, although for obvious reasons we do not reproduce them here.
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