FILTERED FROBENIUS ALGEBRAS IN MONOIDAL CATEGORIES
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Abstract. We develop filtered-graded techniques for algebras in monoidal categories with the main goal of establishing a categorical version of Bongale’s 1967 result: A filtered deformation of a Frobenius algebra over a field is Frobenius as well. Towards the goal, we first construct a monoidal associated graded functor, building on prior works of Ardizzoni-Menini, of Galatius et al., and of Gwillian-Pavlov. Next, we produce equivalent conditions for an algebra in a rigid monoidal category to be Frobenius in terms of the existence of categorical Frobenius form; this builds on work of Fuchs-Stigner. These two results of independent interest are then used to achieve our goal. We illustrate these results by discussing braided Clifford algebras, which are filtered deformations of Bespalov et al.’s braided exterior algebras, and show that these are Frobenius algebras in symmetric rigid monoidal categories. Several directions for further investigation are proposed.
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This article is a study of filtered-graded techniques for algebras in monoidal categories $\mathcal{C}$, $\otimes$, $I$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that all algebras $A$ are $\mathbb{N}_0$-filtered, where $\mathbb{N}_0$ is the monoid of natural numbers including 0, with filtration $F_A$ so that $A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} F_A(i)$. We say that a filtered algebra $A$ is connected if $F_A(0) = 1$, and we refer to $A$ as a filtered deformation of its associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(A)$. This terminology is standard for the monoidal category, $\text{Vec}_k$, of finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field $k$, and the framework for filtered and graded algebras in general monoidal categories is developed further in this work. To motivate our main result, recall that in $\text{Vec}_k$ many algebraic properties of (graded) algebras lift to filtered deformations, including being an integral domain, prime, Noetherian [MR01, Section 1.6], and in some cases, being Calabi-Yau [BT07, WZ13]. Here, we investigate when the Frobenius condition for graded algebras in certain monoidal categories lifts to filtered deformations. Namely, our goal is to generalize the following result of P. R. Bongale for algebras in $\text{Vec}_k$.

**Theorem 1.1.** [Bon67, Theorem 2] Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional, connected, filtered $k$-algebra. If the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(A)$ is a Frobenius $k$-algebra, then so is $A$.

We achieve a generalization of her result for algebras in abelian, rigid monoidal categories, which includes algebras in $\text{Vec}_k$ (i.e., $k$-algebras), and algebras in categories of finite-dimensional representations of finite-dimensional (weak, quasi-)Hopf algebras $H$ over $k$ (i.e., $H$-module algebras over $k$).

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 6.5). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian, rigid monoidal category, and let $A$ be a connected filtered algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ with finite monic filtration. If the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(A)$ is a Frobenius algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is $A$.

To prove this result, we first present a framework to study monoidal categories $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp., $\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})$) consisting of graded (resp., filtered) objects in $\mathcal{C}$ [Section 2.2], as well as algebraic structures within these categories [Section 2.1, Definition 2.27]. Previous works that prompted this framework include [Sch96], [BD97] [AM12], [HM16, Section 3.3], [GP18], and [GKRW18, Section 5]. Then, the associated graded construction is established in Section 3, which includes the definition of an associated graded functor and the result below.

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 3.8, Proposition 3.17). If $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian, monoidal category with $\otimes$ bieexact, then the associated graded functor $\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ given in Definition 3.6 is monoidal and is right exact.

Thus, the associated graded functor, $\text{gr}$, yields a canonical graded algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ from a filtered algebra in $\mathcal{C}$. The results in Sections 2 and 3 also hold for braided monoidal categories $\mathcal{C}$ and (graded, filtered) commutative algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ [Definitions 2.9–2.11].

Next, we establish equivalent conditions for an algebra in an abelian, rigid monoidal category to be Frobenius, building on previously known equivalent conditions. This is of independent interest due the prevalence of Frobenius algebras in rigid monoidal categories in generalizations of Morita equivalence [M03, Yam04, MMP+20], in computer science [CPV13], and in topological quantum field theory and conformal field theory [Seg01, Moo04, KS11, Hen14].
For the latter, see also [SFR06] for an overview of works by Fuchs-Runkel-Schweigert and others on this topic including [FRS02, FRS04a, FRS04b, FRS05, FFRS06]. In fact, our result below builds on previous work of Fuchs-Stigner [FS08].

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 5.3). Take \( C \) an abelian, rigid monoidal category, and let \( A \) be an algebra in \( C \). We have that \( A \) is Frobenius in the sense that it is admits a compatible coalgebra structure as in Definition 2.5 if and only if \( A \) admits a Frobenius form as in Definition 5.4.

After we present some preliminary results on Frobenius graded algebras in abelian, rigid monoidal categories in Section 6.1, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are then used to achieve Theorem 1.2 in Section 6.2. In Section 6.3, we highlight several directions for further investigation on Theorem 1.2, including connections to [Bon68, LT19] and questions on additional features of the associated graded functor of Theorem 1.3.

We end this work by considering certain filtered deformations of braided exterior algebras, namely braided Clifford algebras. Braided exterior algebras were first introduced in a braided category of vector spaces [Wor89], and have also been examined in certain representation categories of quantum groups; see, e.g., [BZ08]. Moreover, braided Clifford algebras were studied previously in such categories as well [DO94, Ozi95, Mat19]. Here, we will show how one can construct filtered deformations of Bespalov et al.’s braided exterior algebras \( \Lambda_c(V) \) in an arbitrary abelian, symmetric, rigid monoidal category \( (C, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c) \) [Definition 7.4] [BD97, BKLT00]. Here, \( V \) is an object of \( C \). In particular, we propose a combinatorial problem towards the construction of braided Clifford algebras \( Cl_c(V, B) \) attached to a symmetric form \( B : V \otimes V \to \mathbb{1} \), in such categories [Problem 7.5, Definition 7.6]. We also establish the following result.

**Proposition 1.5** (Corollary 7.8, Proposition 7.10). Let \( C := (C, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c) \) be an abelian, symmetric, rigid monoidal category, and take an object \( V \) in \( C \). Suppose that a braided Clifford algebra \( Cl_c(V, B) \) attached to a symmetric form \( B : V \otimes V \to \mathbb{1} \) exists. Then, the following statements hold.

(a) The associated graded algebra of \( Cl_c(V, B) \) is isomorphic to the braided exterior algebra \( \Lambda_c(V) \) in \( C \).

(b) Under the finiteness condition on \( V \) given in Hypothesis 7.9, both \( \Lambda_c(V) \) and \( Cl_c(V, B) \) are Frobenius algebras in \( C \).

This employs work on filtered deformations of quotient algebras in monoidal categories developed in Section 4, which is of independent interest; see, e.g., Remark 4.11.

**Acknowledgements.** The second author was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation grant DMS-1903192.

### 2. Algebraic structures in monoidal categories

In this section, we discuss monoidal categories, and various algebraic structures in these categories [Section 2.1]. We also discuss such structures in the filtered and graded settings [Section 2.2].
**Hypothesis 2.1.** We assume that all categories in this work are abelian, and all functors between them are additive.

2.1. **Preliminaries.** With the exception of Definition 2.7, we refer the reader to [EGNO15, Sections 2.1, 7.8 and 8.1] and [FS08] for more details about the structures below. We begin by recalling the categories in which we will work throughout.

**Definition 2.2** \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)\). A monoidal category \(\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)\) consists of the following data: a category \(\mathcal{C}\), a bifunctor \(\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}\), an object \(1 \in \mathcal{C}\), a natural associativity isomorphism \(\alpha_{X,X',X''} : (X \otimes X') \otimes X'' \to X \otimes (X' \otimes X'')\) for each \(X, X', X'' \in \mathcal{C}\), natural unitality isomorphisms \(l_{X} : 1 \otimes X \to X, \ r_{X} : X \otimes 1 \to X\) for each \(X \in \mathcal{C}\), such that the pentagon and triangle coherence conditions are satisfied.

**Hypothesis 2.3.** We assume that all monoidal categories here are/ have:

(a) **strict**, in the sense that the associativity and unitality isomorphisms are equalities;

(b) \(\otimes\) is **biexact**, in the sense that the functors \((X \otimes -)\) and \((- \otimes X): \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}\) are exact, for each object \(X \in \mathcal{C}\).

**Definition 2.4** \((F, F_{2}, F_{0})\). Let \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})\) and \((\mathcal{D}, \otimes_{\mathcal{D}}, 1_{\mathcal{D}})\) be monoidal categories. A monoidal functor \((F, F_{2}, F_{0}) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}\) consists of the following data:

- a functor \(F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}\),
- a natural transformation \(F_{2} = \{F_{2}(X, X') : F(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(X') \to F(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} X')\}_{X,X' \in \mathcal{C}}\),
- a morphism \(F_{0} : 1_{\mathcal{D}} \to F(1_{\mathcal{C}})\) in \(\mathcal{D}\),

that satisfy the following associativity and unitality constraints, for \(X, X', X'' \in \mathcal{C}\):

\[
F_{2}(X, X' \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} X'')(id_{F(X)} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F_{2}(X', X'')) = F_{2}(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} X', X'')(F_{2}(X, X') \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} id_{F(X'''')}),
\]

\[
F_{2}(1_{\mathcal{C}}, X)(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} id_{F(X)}) = id_{F(X)},
\]

\[
F_{2}(X, 1_{\mathcal{C}})(id_{F(X)} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} F_{0}) = id_{F(X)}.
\]

Next, we consider certain algebraic structures within monoidal categories.

**Definition 2.5** \((m, u, \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}), \Delta, \varepsilon)\). Take \(\mathcal{C}\) to be a monoidal category, and consider the (categories of) algebraic structures below.

(a) An algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\) is a triple \((A, m, u)\) consisting of an object \(A \in \mathcal{C}\), and morphisms \(m : A \otimes A \to A, u : 1 \to A\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), satisfying associativity and unitality constraints:

\(m(m \otimes id_{A}) = m(id_{A} \otimes m)\), and \(m(u \otimes id_{A}) = id_{A} = m(id_{A} \otimes u)\). A morphism of algebras \((A, m, u_{A})\) and \((B, m_{B}, u_{B})\) is a morphism \(f : A \to B\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) so that \(fm_{A} = m_{B}(f \otimes f)\) and \(fu_{A} = u_{B}\). Algebras and their morphisms in \(\mathcal{C}\) form a category, which we denote by \(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\).

(b) A coalgebra in \(\mathcal{C}\) is a triple \((A, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) consisting of an object \(A \in \mathcal{C}\), and morphisms \(\Delta : A \to A \otimes A, \varepsilon : A \to 1\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), satisfying coassociativity and counitality constraints:

\((\Delta \otimes id_{A})\Delta = (id_{A} \otimes \Delta)\Delta\) and \((\varepsilon \otimes id_{A})\Delta = id_{A} = (id_{A} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta\). A morphism of coalgebras \((A, \Delta_{A}, \varepsilon_{A})\) and \((B, \Delta_{B}, \varepsilon_{B})\) is a morphism \(f : A \to B\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) so that \(\Delta_{B}f = (f \otimes f)\Delta_{A}\) and \(\varepsilon_{B}f = \varepsilon_{A}\). Coalgebras and their morphisms in \(\mathcal{C}\) form a category, which we denote by \(\text{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})\).
(c) A Frobenius algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ is a 5-tuple $(A, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ where $(A, m, u) \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ and $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon) \in \text{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$ so that $(m \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta) = \Delta m = (\text{id}_A \otimes m)(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_A)$. A morphism of Frobenius algebras $f : A \to B$ is a map in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}) \cap \text{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$. Frobenius algebras and their morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ form a category.

**Definition 2.6** $(\lambda, A\mathcal{C}, \rho, A\mathcal{C}_A, A\mathcal{C}_A)$. Take a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ with an algebra $A := (A, m, u)$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

(a) A left $A$-module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $(M, \lambda^A_M := \lambda_M)$ consisting of an object $M$ and a morphism $\lambda_M : A \otimes M \to M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\lambda_M(m \otimes \text{id}_M) = \lambda_M(\text{id}_A \otimes \lambda_M)$ and $\lambda_M(u \otimes \text{id}_M) = \text{id}_M$. A morphism of left $A$-modules $(M, \lambda_M) \to (N, \lambda_N)$ is a morphism $f : M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\lambda_N(\text{id}_A \otimes f) = f \lambda_M$. Left $A$-modules and their morphisms form a category, which we will denote by $A\mathcal{C}$.

(b) A right $A$-module in $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair $(M, \rho^A_M := \rho_M)$ consisting of an object $M$ and a morphism $\rho^A_M := \rho_M : M \otimes A \to M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying $\rho_M(\text{id}_M \otimes m) = \rho_M(\rho_M \otimes \text{id}_A)$ and $\rho_M(\text{id}_M \otimes u) = \text{id}_M$. A morphism of right $A$-modules $(M, \rho_M) \to (N, \rho_N)$ is a morphism $f : M \to N$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\rho_N(f \otimes \text{id}_A) = f \rho_M$. Right $A$-modules and their morphisms form a category, which we will denote by $A\mathcal{C}_A$.

(c) An $A$-bimodule in $\mathcal{C}$ is a triple $(M, \lambda_M, \rho_M)$ where $(M, \lambda_M) \in A\mathcal{C}$ and $(M, \rho_M) \in A\mathcal{C}_A$, so that $\rho_M(\lambda_M \otimes \text{id}_A) = \lambda_M(\text{id}_A \otimes \rho_M)$. A morphism of $A$-bimodules is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ that belongs to both $A\mathcal{C}$ and $A\mathcal{C}_A$. The collection of $A$-bimodules and their morphisms form a category, which we will denote by $A\mathcal{C}_A$.

Now we discuss various notions of an ideal of an algebra, including our introduction of (one-sided) weak ideals.

**Definition 2.7** $(\phi)$. Take an algebra $A := (A, m, u)$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, and recall that $A$ is in $A\mathcal{C}$ (resp., $A\mathcal{C}_A$) by using $\lambda^A_A = m_A$ (resp., using $\rho^A_A = m_A$).

(a) An object $(I, \lambda_I) \in A\mathcal{C}$ is said to be left weak ideal of $A$ if there exists a morphism $\phi^A_I := \phi_I : I \to A$ in $A\mathcal{C}$. That is, $\phi_I \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\phi_I \lambda_I = m_A(\text{id}_A \otimes \phi_I)$.

(b) An object $(I, \rho_I) \in A\mathcal{C}_A$ is said to be right weak ideal of $A$ if there exists a morphism $\phi^A_I := \phi_I : I \to A$ in $A\mathcal{C}_A$. That is, $\phi_I \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\phi_I \rho_I = m_A(\phi_I \otimes \text{id}_A)$.

(c) A left (right) weak ideal $(I, \lambda_I, \phi_I)$ (resp., $(I, \rho_I, \phi_I)$) is called a left (resp., right) ideal of $A$ if $\phi_I$ is monic.

(d) We call an $A$-bimodule $(I, \lambda_I, \rho_I)$ a (weak) ideal of $A$ if it comes equipped with a morphism $\phi_I : I \to A$ so that $(I, \lambda_I, \phi_I)$ is a left (resp., weak) ideal of $A$ and $(I, \rho_I, \phi_I)$ is a right (resp., weak) ideal of $A$.

Monoidal functors preserve algebras, modules, and left/right weak ideals as we see below.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $(F, F_2, F_0) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a monoidal functor. Take $(A, m, u) \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$.

(a) Then, $(F(A), F(m)F_2(A, A), F(u)F_0) \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{D})$.

(b) If $(M, \lambda^A_M) \in A\mathcal{C}$, then $(F(M), \lambda^{F(A)}_{F(M)}) \in F(A)\mathcal{D}$, where $\lambda^{F(A)}_{F(M)} = F(\lambda^A_M)F_2(A, M)$. Likewise, one gets a right $F(A)$-module in $\mathcal{D}$ from a right $A$-module in $\mathcal{C}$.
(c) If \((I, \lambda^A, \phi^A)\) is a left weak ideal of \(A\), then \((F(I), \lambda^{F(A)}_{F(I)}, \phi^{F(A)}_{F(I)})\) is a left weak ideal of \(F(A)\), where \(\phi^{F(A)}_{F(I)} = F(\phi^A_I)\). Likewise, one gets a right weak ideal of \(F(A)\) in \(D\) from a right weak ideal of \(A\) in \(C\).

(d) Suppose that \((I, \lambda^A, \phi^A)\) is a left ideal of \(A\). Then \((F(I), \lambda^{F(A)}_{F(I)}, \phi^{F(A)}_{F(I)})\) is a left ideal of \(F(A)\) if and only if \(F\) preserves monomorphisms. We have a similar statement for the preservation of right ideals.

Proof. Part (a) is well-known; see, e.g., [DP08, Corollary 5]. Part (b) follows in a similar manner to part (a). For part (c), it suffices to verify that \(\phi^{F(A)}_{F(I)} \in F(A)D\), which we achieve via the following computation:

\[
\lambda^{F(A)}_{F(I)}(\text{id}_{F(A)} \otimes F(\phi^A_I)) = m_{F(A)}(\text{id}_{F(A)} \otimes F(\phi^A_I)) = F(m_A) F_2(A, A)(\text{id}_{F(A)} \otimes F(\phi^A_I)) = F(\lambda^A) F_2(A, A)(\text{id}_{F(A)} \otimes F(\phi^A_I)) = F(\lambda^A) F_2(A, I) = F(\phi^A_I) F(\lambda^A) F_2(A, I) = F(\phi^A_I) \lambda^{F(A)}_{F(I)} .
\]

In particular, the fourth equality holds by the naturality of \(F_2\) and the fifth equality holds as \(\phi^A_I \in A\). Part (d) follows from part (c) and the definition of a left (resp., right) ideal.

Now we turn our attention to braided categories and algebraic structures within them.

\begin{definition}[(c_{X,Y})] A braiding on a monoidal category \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})\) is a natural family of isomorphisms
\[
c = \{c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X\}_{X,Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})},
\]
such that \(c_{X,Y \otimes Z} = (\text{id}_Y \otimes c_{X,Z})(c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z)\) and \(c_{X \otimes Y,Z} = (c_{X,Z} \otimes \text{id}_Y)(\text{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z})\) hold for all \(X, Y, Z \in \text{Ob}(Z)\). A braided monoidal category is a monoidal category equipped with a braiding \(c\).
\end{definition}

\begin{definition} Let \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c^C)\) and \((\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}_D, c^D)\) be braided monoidal categories. A monoidal functor \((F, F_2, F_0) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\) is called braided if it satisfies
\[
F_2(Y, X) c^D_{F(X),F(Y)} = F(c^C_{X,Y}) F_2(X, Y),
\]
for all \(X, Y \in \mathcal{C}\).
\end{definition}

\begin{definition} Let \((\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)\) be a braided monoidal category. An algebra \((A, m, u)\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) is called (braided) commutative if it satisfies: \(m_{A,A} = m\).
\end{definition}

\begin{proposition} Let \((F, F_2, F_0) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\) be a braided monoidal functor. Take \((A, m_A, u_A)\) a commutative algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\), then \((F(A), F(m_A)F_2(A,A), F(u_A)F_0)\) is a commutative algebra in \(\mathcal{D}\).
\end{proposition}

Proof. We have that
\[
m_{F(A)} c^D_{F(A),F(A)} = F(m_A) F_2(A, A) c^D_{F(A),F(A)} = F(m_A) F(c^C_{A,A}) F_2(A, A) = F(m_A) F_2(A, A) = m_{F(A)}.
\]
Here, the first and last equalities hold by the definition of $m_{F(A)}$. Moreover, the second, third, and fourth equalities hold by $F$ being braided and preserving compositions, and by $A$ being commutative, respectively. \hfill $\Box$

### 2.2. Filtered and graded categories.

For this subsection, recall Hypothesis 2.1 and 2.3 for a monoidal category $C$, but the material in this section applies to the more general setting of cocomplete monoidal categories. Articles that prompt the framework of this part include [Sch96], [BD97], [AM12], [HM16, Section 3.3], [GP18], and [GKRW18, Section 5].

**Notation 2.13** $(\Fun(S, C), N_0, N_0, N_0)$. Consider the following notation.

(a) Let $S$ denote any posetal category, that is, a category where Hom sets each contains at most one morphism and only isomorphisms are the identity maps.

(b) Denote by $\Fun(S, C)$ the category of functors from $S$ to $C$.

(c) Let $N_0$ denote the set of natural numbers including 0.

(d) Let $N_0$ denote the category with objects $N_0$ and with morphisms $i \to j$ only for $i, j \in N_0$ where $i \leq j$.

(e) Let $N_0$ denote the category with objects $N_0$ and with only identity morphisms $\text{id}_i$ for all $i \in N_0$.

Note that both $N_0$ and $N_0$ are examples of posetal categories $S$.

Next, we define a category of $N_0$-filtered objects in $C$.

**Definition 2.14** $(F_X, (N_0)-\Fil(C))$. Consider the following terminology.

(a) An object $X$ in $C$ is called an $(N_0)$-filtered object if there exists a functor $F_X$ in $\Fun(N_0, C)$ such that $\text{colim}_i(F_X(i)) \cong X$ in $C$. In this case, $F_X$ is called the filtration associated to $X$.

(b) A morphism $f : X \to Y$ between filtered objects $(X, F_X)$ and $(Y, F_Y)$ in $C$ is called an $(N_0)$-filtered morphism if there exists a natural transformation $F_f = \{F_f(i) : F_X(i) \to F_Y(i)\}_{i \in N_0}$ in $\Fun(N_0, C)$ such that $\text{colim}_i(F_f(i)) = f$.

(c) Filtered objects in $C$ and their morphisms form a category, denoted by $(N_0)$-$\Fil(C)$.

(d) We say that a filtration on a filtered object $X$ is finite if there exists $n \in N_0$ such $F_X(i) \cong F_X(n)$ in $C$ for all $i \geq n$. In this case, $X \cong F_X(n)$ as objects in $C$.

(e) We say that a filtration on a filtered object $X$ is monic if the morphism $F_X(i \to i+1)$ is monic for each $i \in N_0$.

From the definition above, we set the notation below.

**Notation 2.15** $(\iota^X_i, \psi^X_i)$. Take a filtered object $(X, F_X) \in \Fil(C)$.

(a) Let $\iota^X_i$ denote the morphism $F_X(i \to i+1)$ in $C$, for each $i \in N_0$.

(b) Let $\psi^X_i : F_X(i) \to X$ denote the canonical map derived from $\text{colim}_i(F_X(i)) \cong X$, i.e., $\psi^X_i = \psi^X_{i+1} \iota^X_i$ for all $i \in N_0$.

Next, towards defining a category of graded objects in $C$, consider the following notation.
Notation 2.16 ($C^{N_0}$). Let $C^{N_0}$ be the category of sequences of objects $(X_i)_{i \in N_0}$ in $C$, and with morphisms being $N_0$-graded sequences of morphisms in $C$. Observe that we have a functor $C^{N_0} \to \text{Ind}(C)$, $(X_i)_{i \in N_0} \mapsto \coprod_{i \in N_0} X_i$, where $\text{Ind}(C)$ denotes the Ind-completion of $C$.

Definition 2.17 ($\langle N_0 \rangle \text{Gr}(C)$). Consider the following terminology.

(a) An object $X$ in $C$ is called an ($\langle N_0 \rangle$) graded object if there exists an object $(X_i)_{i \in N_0}$ in $C^{N_0}$ such that $X = \coprod_{i \in N_0} X_i$.

(b) A morphism $f : X \to Y$ between graded objects $X = \coprod_{i \in N_0} X_i$ and $Y = \coprod_{i \in N_0} Y_i$ is called an ($\langle N_0 \rangle$) graded morphism if there exists a morphism $(f_i : X_i \to Y_i)_{i \in N_0}$ in $C^{N_0}$ such that $f = \coprod_{i \in N_0} f_i$.

(c) Graded objects in $C$ and their morphisms form a subcategory of $C$, which we denote by ($\langle N_0 \rangle$) $\text{Gr}(C)$.

Remark 2.18. (a) One can also define the category $\langle N_0 \rangle \text{Fil}(C)$ in a manner similar to $\langle N_0 \rangle \text{Fil}(C)$ by replacing the posetal category $\langle N_0 \rangle$ by $\langle N_0 \rangle$.

(b) Moreover, $N_0$ could be replaced with any monoid $G$ to form the posetal category $\mathcal{S} = G$ in order to define $G$-$\text{Gr}(C)$ as in Definition 2.17. Here, $G$ denotes the category with objects being elements of $G$ and with only identity morphisms $\text{id}_g$ for each $g \in G$. If, further, we have that $G$ is a poset, then we can define the category $G$-$\text{Fil}(C)$ in the same manner as Definition 2.14.

Now, we show that the categories constructed above are monoidal. This result is known in the literature; see the references listed at the beginning of this section for details. We will only provide sketches of proofs here.

Proposition 2.19. Let $C$ be a (braided) monoidal category. Then, each of the categories

(a) $\langle N_0 \rangle$,

(b) $\text{Fun}(\langle N_0 \rangle, C)$,

(c) $\text{Fil}(C)$,

(d) $\text{Gr}(C)$

admit the structure of a (braided) monoidal category.

Proof. (a) We can endow the category $\langle N_0 \rangle$ with monoidal structure by defining $i \otimes j := i + j$, with unit object is $1_{\langle N_0 \rangle} = 0$. The braiding map is $c_{i,j} = \text{id}_{i+j}$.

(b) Using Day convolution [Day70, page 29], we can endow the category $\text{Fun}(\langle N_0 \rangle, C)$ with a monoidal structure as follows: given $F, G \in \text{Fun}(\langle N_0 \rangle, C)$, define

$$(F \otimes G)(k) := \text{colim}_{i+j \leq k} (F(i) \otimes G(j));$$

where, the unit object is the functor from $\langle N_0 \rangle$ to the trivial monoidal subcategory $1_C$.

When $C$ is braided, we have maps $c_{F(i),G(j)} : F(i) \otimes G(j) \to G(j) \otimes F(i)$. Thus, by universal property of colimits, we get maps $(F \otimes G)(k) \to (G \otimes F)(k)$ to form a braiding on $\text{Fun}(\langle N_0 \rangle, C)$ making it a braided monoidal category. (See [JS86, Section 2, Example 5]).

(c) Using the monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(\langle N_0 \rangle, C)$ in part (b), we can endow $\text{Fil}(C)$ with a monoidal structure as follows. For $(X, F_X), (Y, F_Y) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Fil}(C))$, define

$$(X, F_X) \otimes (Y, F_Y) = (X \otimes Y, F_{X \otimes Y}),$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad F_{X \otimes Y}(k) := \text{colim}_{i+j \leq k} F_X(i) \otimes F_Y(j).$$
One can check that \( \text{colim}_i F_{X \otimes Y}(i) \) is isomorphic to \( X \otimes Y \), thus the above definition is well defined. The unit object is \( 1 \) with the associated filtration \( F_1 : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathcal{C} \) given by \( F_1(i) = 1 \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

Now suppose that \( \mathcal{C} \) is braided. Using the braiding on \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}_0, \mathcal{C}) \) in part (b), we get maps, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \),
\[
(2.21) \quad \tau_{X,Y}(k) : F_{X \otimes Y}(k) \to F_{Y \otimes X}(k).
\]
One can check that
\[
(2.22) \quad \text{colim}_k \tau_{X,Y}(k) = c_{X,Y}.
\]
Furthermore,
\[
(2.23) \quad \tau_{X,Y}(i) \ i_{t-i-1}^{X \otimes Y} = i_{t-i}^{Y \otimes X} \ \tau_{X,Y}(i - 1).
\]
Hence, \( c_{X,Y} \) is a filtered map. Using part (b), we can conclude that \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) is braided with the braiding \( \tau_{X,Y} \).

(d) Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is monoidal, we get that \( \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0} \) is monoidal, with monoidal structure
\[
((X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \otimes (Y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0})_k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} (X_i \otimes Y_j).
\]
The monoidal unit is \( (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) with \( e_i = \delta_{i,0} 1 \). Using this we can endow \( \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \) with a monoidal structure as follows: for \( X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})) \), define
\[
X \otimes Y := \text{coprod}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \otimes (Y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}),
\]
where \( (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}, (Y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) are the gradings of \( X, Y \) respectively. The unit object is \( 1 \) with grading \( (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) where \( e_i = \delta_{i,0} 1 \).

When \( \mathcal{C} \) is braided, we can collect the maps \( c_{X_i,Y_j} : X_i \otimes Y_j \to Y_j \otimes X_i \) to get the maps
\[
c_{X,Y}(k) := \oplus_{i+j=k} c_{X_i,Y_j} : (X \otimes Y)_k \to (Y \otimes X)_k.
\]
Here, \( c_{X,Y} \) is a braiding on \( \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \), making it a braided category. \( \square \)

We will also need the following result.

**Corollary 2.24.** The monoidal categories \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) and \( \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \) satisfy the conditions of Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.3.

**Proof.** It is clear by the proof of the proposition above that \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) and \( \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \) are strict as \( \mathcal{C} \) is strict. Moreover, \( \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \) satisfies the rest of Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.3 component-wise due to \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfying these hypotheses. Moreover, \( \mathbb{N}_0 \) is small and Hypothesis 2.1 holds for \( \mathcal{C} \), so we get that Hypothesis 2.1 also holds for \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}_0, \mathcal{C}) \).

Now it suffices to show that the tensor product of \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) is biexact. We will show that for any object \( (W, F_W) \) in \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \), we obtain that \( ((W, F_W) \otimes -) : \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) is an exact functor. A similar argument would show that \( - \otimes (W, F_W) \) is also exact. Consider any exact sequence
\[
(2.25) \quad 0 \to (X, F_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, F_Y) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, F_Z) \to 0
\]
in Fil(\mathcal{C}). Exactness of (2.25) implies that 0 \to F_X(j) \to F_Y(j) \to F_Z(j) \to 0 is exact for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). Since the tensor product of \(\mathcal{C}\) is biexact, we get that
\[
0 \to F_W(i) \otimes F_X(j) \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} F_W(i) \otimes F_Y(j) \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} F_W(i) \otimes F_Z(j) \to 0
\]
is exact for all \(i, j \in \mathbb{N}\). Furthermore, the following diagrams commute,
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_W(i) \otimes F_X(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_W(i) \otimes F_Y(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_W(i) \otimes F_Z(j) \\
\downarrow{id \otimes F_f(j)} & & \downarrow{id \otimes F_f(j)} & & \downarrow{id \otimes F_f(j)} \\
F_W(i) \otimes F_Y(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes g(j)} & F_W(i) \otimes F_Y(j + 1) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes g(j)} & F_W(i) \otimes F_Y(j)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
where the first diagram commutes because \(f\) is a filtered map and the second commutes trivially. We also have similar diagrams with \(X, Y\) replacing \(Y, Z\), respectively for all \(i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\). Recall from Definition 2.20 that \(F_W \otimes X(k) = \text{colim}_{i,j \leq k} F_W(i) \otimes F_X(j)\). The above commutative diagrams together with the exactness of (2.26) imply the exactness of:
\[
0 \to F_W \otimes X(k) \to F_W \otimes Y(k) \to F_W \otimes Z(k) \to 0
\]
for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\). Thus, the sequence
\[
0 \to F_W \otimes X \xrightarrow{id \otimes f} F_W \otimes Y \xrightarrow{id \otimes g} F_W \otimes Z \to 0
\]
is exact.

Now using Proposition 2.19, we set the following terminology.

**Definition 2.27.** Take an algebraic structure \(X\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), e.g., \(X\) is either an algebra, a coalgebra, a Frobenius algebra, a left/right module, a left/right weak ideal, or a left/right ideal in \(\mathcal{C}\). If \(X\) belongs to the monoidal category Fil(\(\mathcal{C}\)), then we say that \(X\) is a *filtered structure* in \(\mathcal{C}\). Likewise, if \(X\) is in Gr(\(\mathcal{C}\)), then \(X\) is called a *graded structure* in \(\mathcal{C}\).

Next, we study when a left ideal of a filtered algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\) admits the structure of a filtered left ideal of \(A\). Consider the preliminary results below.

**Lemma 2.28.** Consider three filtered objects \((X, F_X), (Y, F_Y), (Z, F_Z)\) in \(\mathcal{C}\). Then the following statements are equivalent.

(a) There exists a filtered map \(f : X \otimes Y \to Z \in \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})\).

(b) There exist morphisms \(f_{i,j} : F_X(i) \otimes F_Y(j) \to F_Z(i + j) \in \mathcal{C}\) for \(i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\) such that the following diagrams commute:
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_X(i) \otimes F_Y(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_X(i + 1) \otimes F_Y(j) \\
\downarrow{f_{i,j}} & & \downarrow{f_{i+1,j}} \\
F_Z(i + j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_Z(i + j + 1)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_X(i) \otimes F_Y(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_X(i + 1) \otimes F_Y(j + 1) \\
\downarrow{f_{i,j}} & & \downarrow{f_{i+1,j}} \\
F_Z(i + j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes f(j)} & F_Z(i + j + 1)
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
\]

**Lemma 2.29.** Take \((Y, F_Y) \in \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})\) with finite filtration, and let \(f : X \to Y\) be a morphism in \(\mathcal{C}\). Then there exists a filtration \(F_X\) on \(X\) such that \(f \in \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})\).
Thus, we get the composition of commutative diagrams below:

\[
F_X(0) \xrightarrow{p_0} F_X(1) \xrightarrow{p_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} F_X(i) \xrightarrow{p_i} F_X(i + 1) \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{n-2}} F_X(n - 1) \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} X
\]

\[
F_Y(0) \xrightarrow{i_0^Y} F_Y(1) \xrightarrow{i_1^Y} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{n-2}^Y} F_Y(i) \xrightarrow{i_n^Y} F_Y(i + 1) \xrightarrow{i_{n+1}^Y} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{n-2}^Y} F_Y(n - 1) \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^Y} Y
\]

Since \( \text{colim}_i F_X(i) = F_X(n) = X \), we get that \( X \) is a filtered object with filtration \( F_X \), and moreover, \( f \) is a filtered map via \( f_i \). Namely, in the diagram above, we have

\[ f_i = F_f(i) \quad \text{and} \quad p_i = i_i^X. \]

**Proposition 2.30.** Let \((I, \lambda, \phi)\) be left ideal of a filtered algebra \((A,F_A,m,u)\) with finite filtration. Then, with the induced filtration on \(I\) via \(\phi\) [Lemma 2.29], we obtain that \((I, \lambda, \phi)\) is filtered left ideal of \(A\).

**Proof.** Since \(A\) has a finite filtration, there exists \(n \in \mathbb{N}_0\) such that \(F_Y(n + k) = F_Y(n)\) for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\). Hence, \(\text{colim}_i F_Y(i) = F_Y(n) = Y\). Define \(F_X(n) = X\), and define \(F_X(n - 1)\) to be pullback of the morphisms \(f : F_X(n) \to F_Y(n)\) and \(i_{n-1}^Y : F_Y(n - 1) \to F_Y(n)\) via morphisms \(p_{n-1} : F_X(n - 1) \to F_X(n)\) and \(f_{n-1} : F_X(n - 1) \to F_Y(n - 1)\). Likewise, inductively, define \(F_X(i)\) to be pullback of the morphisms \(f_{i+1} : F_X(i + 1) \to F_Y(i + 1)\) and \(i_i^Y : F_Y(i) \to F_Y(i + 1)\) via morphisms \(p_i : F_X(i) \to F_X(i + 1)\) and \(f_i : F_X(i) \to F_Y(i)\). Thus, we get the composition of commutative diagrams below:

\[
F_X(0) \xrightarrow{p_0} F_X(1) \xrightarrow{p_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} F_X(i) \xrightarrow{p_i} F_X(i + 1) \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{n-2}} F_X(n - 1) \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} X
\]

\[
F_Y(0) \xrightarrow{i_0^Y} F_Y(1) \xrightarrow{i_1^Y} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{n-2}^Y} F_Y(i) \xrightarrow{i_n^Y} F_Y(i + 1) \xrightarrow{i_{n+1}^Y} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{n-2}^Y} F_Y(n - 1) \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}^Y} Y
\]

be the filtered map between \(I\) and \(A\). Furthermore, since \(\phi\) is a mono, \(F_\phi(i)\) is a mono for all \(i\) as pullbacks preserve monomorphisms.

Since \((A,F_A)\) is a filtered algebra with multiplication \(m : A \otimes A \to A\) (that is associative), we have maps \(m_{i,j} : F_A(i) \otimes F_A(j) \to F_A(i + j)\) in \(C\) satisfying

\[
m_{i,j+k}(m_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_{F_A(k)}) = m_{i,j+k}(\text{id}_{F_A(i)} \otimes m_{j,k})
\]

by Lemma 2.28. To show that \((I,F_I)\) is a filtered left ideal of \(A\), we need to first give a filtration for the maps \(\lambda : A \otimes I \to I\) and \(\phi : I \to A\). We have already done so for \(\phi\) in Lemma 2.29. To do the same for \(\lambda\), by Lemma 2.28, it suffices to construct maps

\[
\lambda_{i,j} : F_A(i) \otimes F_I(j) \to F_I(i + j),
\]

and check that:

\[
\lambda_{i+1,j} (i_i^A \otimes \text{id}_{F_I(j)}) = i_{i+1,j}^I \lambda_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{i,j+1} (\text{id}_{F_A(i)} \otimes i_j^I) = i_{i,j+1}^I \lambda_{i,j}.
\]

We also need to check that \(\lambda_{i,j}\) makes \(I\) a filtered left \(A\)-module, that is,

\[
\lambda_{i+j,k} (m_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_{F_I(k)}) = \lambda_{i,j+k} (\text{id}_{F_A(i)} \otimes \lambda_{j,k}),
\]

and that \(\phi\) is a map of filtered left \(A\)-modules, that is,

\[
F_\phi(i + j) \lambda_{i,j} = m_{i,j} (\text{id}_{F_A(i)} \otimes F_\phi(j)).
\]
The proofs of (2.32) and (2.33) use the same idea, so we will only discuss (2.33) and (2.34), and leave the verification of (2.32) to the reader. Consider the following equations:
\[
\phi \lambda (\psi^A_i \otimes \psi^j_j) = m (\id_A \otimes \phi) (\psi^A_i \otimes \psi^j_j) \\
= m (\psi^A_i \otimes \psi^A_j) (\id_{F_A(i)} \otimes F_\phi(j)) \\
= \psi^A_{i,j} m_{i,j} (\id_{F_A(i)} \otimes F_\phi(j)).
\]
Here, the first equality holds by axioms of $I$ being a left ideal of $A$; the second equality follows from the diagram in Lemma 2.29 and Notation 2.15; and the third equality holds because $m$ is a filtered map (see, again, Notation 2.15). Hence, the outer square of the following diagram commutes. Moreover, we can use the universal property of pullbacks to get maps $\lambda_{i,j}$ such that diagrams (I) and (II) below commute as well.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_A(i) \otimes F_I(j) & \xrightarrow{\psi^A_i \otimes \psi^j_j} & A \otimes I \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \lambda & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\
F_A(i) \otimes F_A(j) & \xrightarrow{\psi^A_{i,j}} & A \\
\end{array}
\]

Commutation of (I) implies (2.34). Commutation of (II) implies that $\lambda_{i,j}$ are a filtration of $\lambda$. Moreover, we can now conclude that
\[
F_\phi(i + j + k) \lambda_{i,j,k} (m_{i,j} \otimes \id_{F_I(k)}) (m_{i,j,k} \otimes \id_{F_A(k)}) \\
= m_{i,j,k} (m_{i,j} \otimes \id_{F_A(k)}) \quad (\text{by (2.31)}) \\
= m_{i,j,k} (m_{i,j} \otimes \id_{F_A(k)}) \quad (\text{by (2.31)}) \\
= m_{i,j,k} (m_{i,j} \otimes \id_{F_A(k)}) \quad (\text{by (2.31)}) \\
= F_\phi(i + j + k) \lambda_{i,j,k} (\id_{F_A(i)} \otimes \lambda_{j,k}).
\]
Since, $F_\phi(i + j + k)$ is a monomorphism, we get that (2.33) holds, as desired.

3. Associated graded constructions for monoidal categories

In this part, we present the first main result of this paper: the associated graded construction for filtered algebras in monoidal categories [Theorem 3.8]. Compare to [AM12, Section 3] and [GKR18, Sections 5.2.3, 5.3.3]. Recall Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.3 for a monoidal category $(C, \otimes, I)$. In addition to the notation of Section 2, consider the notation below.

**Notation 3.1** $(\overline{F_A(i)}, \pi^A, \beta^{A,B}_{i,j})$. Take filtered objects $(A, F_A), (B, F_B) \in \Fil(C)$.

(a) Let $\overline{F_A(i)}$ denote $\coker(F_A(i - 1) \xrightarrow{\psi^A_{i-1}} F_A(i))$.
(b) Let $\pi^A_i$ denote the canonical epimorphism $F_A(i) \rightarrow \overline{F_A(i)}$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. (By convention, $\overline{F_A(0)} = F_A(0)$, so that $\pi^A_0 = \id_{F_A(0)}$.)

\[\square\]
(c) Define the map
\[ \beta_{i,j}^{A,B} : F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \to F_{A \otimes B}(i + j), \]
to be the natural map to the colimit as in (2.20).

Then by properties of colimits, we have that:
\[ (3.2) \quad \beta_{i+j,k}^{A \otimes B,C} (\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \text{id}_{C(k)}) = \beta_{i,j+k}^{A,B \otimes C} (\text{id}_{F_A(i)} \otimes \beta_{j,k}^{B,C}). \]

In the case when \( C \) is braided, we will also require the notation and identities below.

**Notation 3.3** \((\tau_{A,B}(k), \pi_{A,B}(k))\). Let \((C, \otimes, 1, c)\) be a braided monoidal category, and take filtered objects \((A,F_A),(B,F_B),(C,F_C)\) of \(C\). Recall from (2.21), (2.22), (2.23) the component
\[ \tau_{A,B}(k) : F_{A \otimes B}(k) \to F_{B \otimes A}(k) \]
of the filtration on the braiding map \(c_{A,B}\). Observe that by (2.22) we have:
\[ (3.4) \quad \tau_{X,Y}(i) \beta_{i,j}^{X,Y} = \beta_{i,j}^{Y,X} \bar{c}_{F_X(i),F_Y(j)}, \]
for all \(i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0\) and \(X,Y \in C\). By universal property of cokernels, for each \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\) and \(X,Y \in C\), there exists a morphism \(\tau_{X,Y}(k)\) such that the following diagram commutes
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
F_{X \otimes Y}(k-1) & \xrightarrow{i_{X \otimes Y}^k} & F_{X \otimes Y}(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_{Y \otimes X}(k-1) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y \otimes X}^k} & F_{Y \otimes X}(k)
\end{array} \]
Thus we get that
\[ (3.5) \quad \tau_{X,Y}(k) \pi_{X \otimes Y}^k = \pi_{Y \otimes X}^k \tau_{X,Y}(k). \]

Next, we present the construction of the associated graded functor.

**Definition 3.6** \((\text{gr})\). We define the associated graded functor,
\[ \text{gr} : \text{Fil}(C) \to \text{Gr}(C), \]
as follows. For an object \((A,F_A) \in \text{Fil}(C)\), let
\[ \text{gr}(A,F_A) = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} F_A(i). \]
Given a morphism \(f : (A,F_A) \to (B,F_B)\) in \(\text{Fil}(C)\), define \(\text{gr}(f) : \text{gr}(A,F_A) \to \text{gr}(B,F_B)\)
with components coming from universal property of cokernels:
\[ (3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
F_A(i-1) & \xrightarrow{l_{i-1}} & F_A(i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F_B(i-1) & \xrightarrow{l_{i-1}} & F_B(i)
\end{array} \]
Now we come to the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.8.** If \(C\) a (braided) monoidal category, then \(\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(C) \to \text{Gr}(C)\) is a (braided) monoidal functor.
Proof. To show that the functor $gr$ is monoidal, we will:

(i) construct a natural transformation $gr_2 : gr(-) \otimes gr(-) \to gr(- \otimes -)$ that satisfies the associativity condition in Definition 2.4;
(ii) construct a morphism $gr_0 : 1_{Gr(C)} \to gr(1_{Fil(C)}) \in Gr(C)$ that satisfies the unitality condition in Definition 2.4; and will
(iii) verify that $gr$ is braided (Definition 2.9) when $C$ is braided.

(i) Take objects $A := (A, F_A)$ and $B := (B, F_B)$ in $Fil(C)$. To define a natural transformation $gr_2 = \{gr_2(A, B) : gr(A) \otimes gr(B) \to gr(A \otimes B)\}$, it suffices to define componentwise maps

$$
\Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} : F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \to F_{A \otimes B}(i + j)
$$

and check the associativity condition for these maps for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. To proceed, consider the exact sequence $F_A(i - 1) \xrightarrow{\iota_{i-1}^A} F_A(i) \xrightarrow{\pi_i^A} F_A(i) \to 0$. Apply the right exact functor $- \otimes F_B(j)$ [Hypothesis 2.3] to this sequence to get the following exact sequence.

$$
F_A(i - 1) \otimes F_B(j) \xrightarrow{\iota_{i-1}^A \otimes \text{id}} F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \xrightarrow{\pi_i^A \otimes \text{id}} F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \to 0. \tag{3.9}
$$

On the other hand, recall Notation 2.15, and note that properties of colimits yield

$$
\iota_{i+j-1}^{A \otimes B} \beta_{i,j}^{A,B} = \beta_{i,j}^{A,B} (\iota_{i-1}^A \otimes \text{id}_{F_B(j)}). \tag{3.10}
$$

By definition of cokernels, we know that $\pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \iota_{i+j-1}^{A \otimes B} = 0$. So, using (3.10), we get that

$$
\pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \beta_{i,j}^{A,B} (\iota_{i-1}^A \otimes \text{id}_{F_B(j)}) = (\pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \iota_{i+j-1}^{A \otimes B}) \beta_{i-1,j}^{A,B} = 0. \tag{3.11}
$$

As $- \otimes F_B(j)$ is exact, $\text{coker}(\iota_{i-1}^A \otimes \text{id}) = \pi_i^A \otimes \text{id}$, we can use (3.9) and (3.11) and the universal property of cokernels to get the map $\theta_{i,j}^{A,B}$ such that the following diagram (†) commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
F_A(i - 1) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{i-1}^A \otimes \text{id}} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i^A \otimes \text{id}} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{0} \\
\downarrow{\beta_{i,j}^{A,B}} & & \downarrow{(\dagger)} & & \downarrow{\theta_{i,j}^{A,B}} & \\
F_{A \otimes B}(i + j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B}} & F_{A \otimes B}(i + j) & \xrightarrow{0}. & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Now consider the exact sequence $F_B(j - 1) \xrightarrow{\iota_{j-1}^B} F_B(j) \xrightarrow{\pi_j^B} F_B(j) \to 0$. Apply the functor $F_A(i) \otimes -$ to the above sequence to get:

$$
F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j - 1) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_{j-1}^B} F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \pi_j^B} F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \to 0. \tag{3.12}
$$
Consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j-1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \id} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
F_A soln {B(i)} & \xrightarrow{\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \id} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} & \xrightarrow{\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \id} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
F_A \otimes_B B(i + j - 1) & \xrightarrow{i_{A+B}^i \otimes \id} & F_A \otimes_B B(i + j). \\
\end{array}
\]

Here, (1) commutes naturally, and (2) commutes by (3.10). Since the composition along the lower boundary is 0, if we move along the upper boundary of this diagram, we get that \(\theta_{i,j}^{A,B}(\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B)(\pi_A^i \otimes \id) = 0\). Since \(\pi_A^i \otimes \id\) is epic, we get that \(\theta_{i,j}^{A,B}(\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B) = 0\). Since \(\text{coker}(\id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B) = \id \otimes \pi_B^j\), we can use (3.12) and the universal property of cokernels to get maps \(\Theta_{i,j}^{A,B}\) satisfying the commutative diagram below:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j-1) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \pi_B^j} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
\theta_{i,j}^{A,B} & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \beta_{i,j-1}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \pi_B^j} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
F_A \otimes_B B(i + j - 1) & \xrightarrow{i_{A+B}^i \otimes \id} & F_A \otimes_B B(i + j). \\
\end{array}
\]

Finally, combining (1) and (2), we get a map \(\Theta_{i,j} : F_A(i) \otimes B(j) \rightarrow F_A \otimes_B B(i + j)\), unique up to isomorphism, such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \beta_{i,j}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \beta_{i,j}^B} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \\
F_A \otimes_B B(i + j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_A^i \otimes \beta_{i,j}^B} & F_A \otimes_B B(i + j). \\
\end{array}
\]

Collecting all of the morphisms \(\Theta_{i,j}^{A,B}\) for \(i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\), we get the required map

\[\text{gr}_2(A, B) = \Theta : \text{gr}(A) \otimes \text{gr}(B) \rightarrow \text{gr}(A \otimes B).\]

Now to verify the associativity condition, consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \otimes F_C(k) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \id F_C(k)} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \otimes F_C(k) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,j}^{A+B,C} \otimes \id} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \otimes F_C(k) \\
\pi_A^i \otimes \pi_B^j \otimes \pi_C^k & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \id F_C(k)} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \otimes F_C(k) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i,j}^{A+B,C} \otimes \id} & F_A(i) \otimes F_B(j) \otimes F_C(k) \\
F_A \otimes_B B(i + j) \otimes F_C(k) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \id F_C(k)} & F_A \otimes_B B(i + j) \otimes F_C(k) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{i,j}^{A+B,C} \otimes \id} & F_A \otimes_B B(i + j) \otimes F_C(k). \\
\end{array}
\]

Thus we get that

\[
(3.13) \quad \Theta_{i+j+k}^{A+B,C} (\Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \id F_C(k)) (\pi_A^i \otimes \pi_B^j \otimes \pi_C^k) = \pi_A^i \otimes \pi_B^j \otimes \pi_C^k (\beta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes \id F_C(k)).
\]
Similarly, we have that
\[
(3.14) \quad \Theta_{i+j,k}^{A,B,C} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \Theta_{j,k}^{B,C}) (\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B \otimes \pi_k^C) = \pi_{i+j+k}^{A\otimes B \otimes C} \beta_{i+j+k}^{A,B} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \beta_{j,k}^{B,C}).
\]

Combining the results above, we get that
\[
\Theta_{i,j+k}^{A \otimes B,C} \left( \Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes id_{F_{C}(k)} \right) (\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B \otimes \pi_k^C) = \pi_{i+j+k}^{A \otimes B \otimes C} \beta_{i+j+k}^{A,B} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \beta_{j,k}^{B,C})
\]
\[
(3.13) \quad = \pi_{i+j+k}^{A \otimes B \otimes C} \beta_{i,j+k}^{A,B} (\beta_{j,k}^{A,B} \otimes id_{F_{C}(k)})
\]
\[
(3.2) \quad = \pi_{i,j+k}^{A \otimes B \otimes C} \beta_{i,j+k}^{A,B} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \beta_{j,k}^{B,C})
\]
\[
(3.14) \quad = \Theta_{i,j+k}^{A,B,C} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \Theta_{j,k}^{B,C}) (\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B \otimes \pi_k^C).
\]

Since \(\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B \otimes \pi_k^C\) is epic, the associativity relation holds:
\[
(\text{ii}) \quad \Theta_{i,j+k}^{A \otimes B,C} \left( \Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} \otimes id_{F_{C}(k)} \right) = \Theta_{i,j+k}^{A,B,C} (id_{F_{A}(i)} \otimes \Theta_{j,k}^{B,C}).
\]

By the commutative diagram (\Upsilon), one can check that \(\text{gr}_0 = \text{id}_{\text{gr}(C)}\) with identity maps between the components. Thus, \(\text{gr}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{fil}(C)}) = \mathbb{1}_C\) with \(\mathbb{N}_0\)-grading \(\mathbb{1}_C \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \ldots\). Therefore, \(\text{gr}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{fil}(C)}) = \mathbb{1}_{\text{gr}(C)}\), and thus we define
\[
\text{gr}_0 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}_{\text{gr}(C)}}.
\]

Thus, \(\text{gr}_0\) and \(\text{gr}_2\) satisfy the unitality condition for \(\text{gr}\) to be monoidal.

(ii) Recall that \(\mathbb{1}_{\text{fil}(C)} = \mathbb{1}_C\) with filtration \(F_{A}(i) = \mathbb{1}_C\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}_0\) with identity maps between the components. Thus, \(\text{gr}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{fil}(C)}) = \mathbb{1}_C\) with \(\mathbb{N}_0\)-grading \(\mathbb{1}_C \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \ldots\). Therefore, \(\text{gr}(\mathbb{1}_{\text{fil}(C)}) = \mathbb{1}_{\text{gr}(C)}\), and thus we define
\[
\text{gr}_0 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}_{\text{gr}(C)}}.
\]

Thus, \(\text{gr}_0\) and \(\text{gr}_2\) satisfy the unitality condition for \(\text{gr}\) to be monoidal.

(iii) Lastly, we will check that the functor \(\text{gr}\) is braided when \(\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)\) is braided. Recall Notation 3.3, and consider the computation below:
\[
\tau_{A,B}(i+j) \Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} (\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B) (\Upsilon) \quad = \tau_{A,B}(i+j) \pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \beta_{i,j}^{A,B}
\]
\[
(3.5) \quad = \pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \tau_{A,B}(i+j) \beta_{i,j}^{A,B}
\]
\[
(3.4) \quad = \pi_{i+j}^{A \otimes B} \beta_{i,j}^{A,B} \ c_{F_{A}(i), F_{B}(j)}
\]
\[
(\Upsilon) \quad = \Theta_{j,i}^{B,A} (\pi_j^B \otimes \pi_i^A) \ c_{F_{A}(i), F_{B}(j)}
\]
\[
(\gamma) \quad = \Theta_{j,i}^{B,A} \ c_{F_{A}(i), F_{B}(j)} \ (\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B).
\]

The identity (\gamma) holds by the naturality of the braiding map \(c\). Since the morphism \(\pi_i^A \otimes \pi_j^B\) is epic, we get that
\[
\tau_{A,B}(i+j) \Theta_{i,j}^{A,B} = \Theta_{j,i}^{B,A} \ c_{F_{A}(i), F_{B}(j)}.
\]

This is precisely the \(k\)-th component of the equation below with \(k = i+j\):
\[
\text{gr}(c_{x,y}) \text{gr}_2(A,B) = \text{gr}_2(B,A) \ c_{\text{gr}(A), \text{gr}(B)}.
\]

Thus, \(\text{gr}\) is braided, as desired. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.15.** The functor \(\text{gr}\) sends filtered (commutative) algebras to graded (commutative) algebras, and also sends filtered left/right modules (resp., filtered left/right weak ideals) to graded left/right modules (resp., graded left/right weak ideals).
Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.8 and Propositions 2.8 and 2.12. □

Definition 3.16. If $X$ is a filtered structure (e.g., algebra, left/right module, left/right weak ideal) in $\text{Fil}(C)$, then we call $\text{gr}(X)$ in $\text{Gr}(C)$ the associated graded structure of $X$, and refer to $X$ as a filtered deformation of $\text{gr}(X)$.

Moreover, it is known that the associated graded functor is right exact; see, e.g., [GP18, Remark 1.6, Lemma 3.30]; we include some details of the proof for the reader’s convenience.

Proposition 3.17. The associated graded functor $\text{gr}$ is left adjoint to the functor

$$\text{triv} : \text{Gr}(C) \rightarrow \text{Fil}(C), \quad \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_i \mapsto (X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \ldots).$$

As a consequence, $\text{gr}$ is right exact.

Proof. For $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_i \in \text{Gr}(C)$, define the counit of the adjunction $\varepsilon : \text{gr} \circ \text{triv} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Gr}(C)}$ by $(\varepsilon_X)_i = \text{id}_{X_i}$, that is, $\varepsilon_X = \text{id}_X$. Moreover, for $(Y, F_Y) \in \text{Fil}(C)$, define the unit of the adjunction $\eta : \text{id}_{\text{Fil}(C)} \Rightarrow \text{triv} \circ \text{gr}$ by $(\eta_Y)(i) = \pi^i_Y : F_Y(i) \rightarrow F_Y(i)$. We leave it to the reader to check the triangle axioms to get that $\text{gr} \dashv \text{triv}$. The consequence is well-known. □

Remark 3.18. The results in this section also hold if we replace the monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$ partially ordered set that is also a monoid.

4. Quotient algebras in monoidal categories

In this section, we discuss the construction of quotient algebras in monoidal categories. After presenting the categorical setting for this material, we expand on results from [BD97] to define quotient algebras as cokernels of weak ideal maps [Proposition 4.3]. Then, we examine quotient algebras via monoidal functors [Proposition 4.6], especially for the associated graded functor constructed in the previous section [Corollary 4.8]. This will be used in Section 7 to examine filtered deformations of braided exterior algebras (i.e., braided Clifford algebras), and we discuss in Remark 4.11 how this material could be applied more generally to study filtered deformations of graded quotient algebras in monoidal categories. Recall that we assume Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.3 throughout, and recall the terminology below.

Definition 4.1. $(f_1 \Box f_2)$. Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be morphisms in $C$. We define their pushout product to be the unique morphism $f_1 \Box f_2$ fitting into the commutative diagram below.

Since our monoidal category is assumed to be biexact, in particular, right exact in each slot, we have the following result.
**Lemma 4.2.** [RV14, Lemma 4.8] We have that \( \text{coker}(f_1 \square f_2) \cong \text{coker}(f_1) \otimes \text{coker}(f_2) \), for any morphisms \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) in \( \mathcal{C} \).

Next, we introduce quotient algebras in monoidal categories via the result below; this result is [BD97, Proposition 2.8] in the framework above.

**Proposition 4.3** \((A/I, \pi_I, \overline{m}, \overline{u})\). Take \((A, m, u)\) in \(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\) with weak ideal \((I, \lambda_I, \rho_I, \phi_I)\) of \(A\) in \(\mathcal{C}\). Denote

\[ A/I := \text{coker}(\phi_I), \quad \pi_I : A \to A/I \quad \text{(canonical epi)}. \]

Then, there exists a unique morphism \(\overline{m} : A/I \otimes A/I \to A/I\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), where

\[ (4.4) \]

\[ \pi_I m = \overline{m}(\pi_I \otimes \pi_I), \]

along with \(\overline{u} := \pi_I u : 1 \to A/I\), so that \((A/I, \overline{m}, \overline{u})\) is an algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\).

**Proof.** Consider the following diagram.

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
I \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\phi_I \otimes \text{id}} & A \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi_I} \\
\downarrow{\phi_I \otimes \text{id}} & & \downarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi_I} & \\
I \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\phi_I \otimes \text{id}} & (A \otimes I) + I \otimes I & \xrightarrow{\phi_I \otimes \phi_I} \\
& & \downarrow{\phi_I \otimes \phi_I} & \\
& & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(\phi_I \square \phi_I)} \\
& & \downarrow{m} & \\
& & A & \xrightarrow{\pi_I} \\
& & A/I & \xrightarrow{\overline{m}} \\
\end{array} \]

Here, \(C \cong A/I \otimes A/I\) and \(\text{coker}(\phi_I \square \phi_I) = \pi_I \otimes \pi_I\) by Lemma 4.2. Moreover, \(\overline{m}\) exists as pictured above by the universal property of cokernels. Indeed,

\[ \pi_I \ m(\text{id}_A \otimes \phi_I) = \pi_I \ \phi_I \ \lambda_I = 0 = \pi_I \ \phi_I \ \rho_I = \pi_I \ m(\phi_I \otimes \text{id}_A), \]

and thus, the unique pushout morphism from \((A \otimes I) + I \otimes I\) to \(A/I\) is the zero map. So, \(\pi_I \ m(\phi_I \square \phi_I) = 0\), and \(\overline{m}\) exists as claimed.

Now it suffices to show that \(\overline{m}\) is associative and \(\overline{u}\) is unital with respect to \(\overline{m}\). Consider the following calculation:

\[ \overline{m}((\overline{m} \otimes \text{id}_{A/I})(\pi_I^{\otimes 3})) = \overline{m}((\pi_I \otimes \pi_I)(\text{id}_A \otimes m)) = \pi_I \ m(\text{id}_A \otimes m) = \pi_I \ m(m \otimes \text{id}_A) = \overline{m}((\pi_I \otimes \pi_I)(m \otimes \text{id}_A)). \]

Here, the third equation uses the associativity of \(m\) and the rest of the equations use \((4.4)\).

Now \(\overline{m}\) is associative as \(\pi_I^{\otimes 3}\) is epic. Moreover,

\[ \overline{m}((\overline{m} \otimes \text{id}_{A/I})(\text{id}_1 \otimes \pi_I)) = \overline{m}((\pi_I \otimes \pi_I)(u \otimes \text{id}_A)) = \pi_I \ m(u \otimes \text{id}_A) = \pi_I, \]

where the last equation holds as \(u\) is left unital with respect to \(m\). Since \(\pi_I\) is epic, the left unital condition holds for \(A/I\). Likewise, the right unital condition holds for \(A/I\). \(\Box\)

**Definition 4.5.** We refer to the algebra \((A/I, \overline{m}, \overline{u})\) in Proposition 4.3 as the quotient algebra of \(A\) by the weak ideal \(I\).

Now we show how quotient algebras are related via monoidal functors.
Proposition 4.6. Let \((F,F_2,F_3) : C \to D\) be a right exact, monoidal functor. Take an algebra \(A\) with weak ideal \((I,\phi_I)\) in \(C\). Then, \(F(A)/F(I)\) and \(F(A/I)\) are isomorphic as algebras in \(D\).

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram below:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(I) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{F(I)}} & F(A) \\
\downarrow{\phi_{F(I)}} & & \downarrow{\phi_F} \\
F(I) & = & F(A/I)
\end{array}
\]

Here, \(\omega_F\) is the unique morphism that makes the diagram commute due to the universal property of the cokernel map \(\pi_{F(I)}\). Moreover, right exact functors commute with cokernels, so \(F(\pi_I) = F(\text{coker}(\phi_I)) = \text{coker}(F(\phi_I))\). Thus, \(\omega_F\) is the unique morphism that makes the diagram commute due to the universal property of the cokernel map \(F(\pi_I)\). Now by the uniqueness of \(\omega_F\) and \(\omega_F'\), we must have that \(\omega_F\) \(\omega_F = \text{id}_{F(A)/F(I)}\) and \(\omega_F \omega_F' = \text{id}_{F(A/I)}\). Thus, \(\omega_F\) is an isomorphism in \(D\).

Next, we verify that \(\omega_F\) is an algebra morphism. We compute:

\[
\omega_F m_{F(A)/F(I)} (\pi_{F(I)} \otimes \pi_{F(I)}) = \omega_F \pi_{F(I)} m_{F(A)} \\
= F(\pi_I) m_{F(A)} \\
= m_{F(A/I)} [F(\pi_I) \otimes F(\pi_I)] \\
= m_{F(A/I)} [\omega_F \pi_{F(I)} \otimes \omega_F \pi_{F(I)}] \\
= m_{F(A/I)} (\omega_F \otimes \omega_F) (\pi_{F(I)} \otimes \pi_{F(I)})
\]

The first equation holds by (4.4); the second and fourth equations hold by (4.7); the third equation holds since \(F(\pi_I)\) is an algebra map (indeed, \(\pi_I\) is an algebra map by Proposition 4.3 and \(F\) is monoidal); and the last equation follows from a rearrangement of terms. Since \(\pi_{F(I)} \otimes \pi_{F(I)}\) is epic, we obtain that \(\omega_F\) is multiplicative. Moreover, we compute:

\[
\omega_F u_{F(A)/F(I)} = \omega_F \pi_{F(I)} u_{F(A)} = \omega_F \pi_{F(I)} F(u_A) F_0 = F(\pi_I) F(u_A) F_0 \\
= F(\pi_I u_A) F_0 = F(u_{A/I}) F_0 = u_{F(A/I)}.
\]

Here, the first and fifth equations hold by Proposition 4.3; the second and last equations hold as \(F\) is monoidal; and the third equation follows from (4.7). So, \(\omega_F\) is unital, and thus, \(\omega_F\) is an algebra morphism, as required.

Corollary 4.8. If \(A\) is a filtered algebra in \(C\), and \(I\) is a filtered weak ideal of \(A\) in \(C\), then

\[
\text{gr}(A)/\text{gr}(I) \cong \text{gr}(A/I)
\]

as algebras in \(D\).

Proof. We have that \(\text{gr}\) is a right exact, monoidal functor from \(\text{Fil}(C)\) to \(\text{Gr}(C)\) by Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.17. So the result follows from Corollary 2.24 and Proposition 4.6.

Next, we consider filtered deformations of quotient algebras in \(C\). To do so, consider the construction below.
Definition 4.9 \((-f)\). Define the functor
\[
(-)^f : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C})
\]
to be given by \((\coprod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_i)^f = (X, F_X)\), for \(X = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_i\) and \(F_X(j) = \coprod_{i=0}^j X_i\).

Lemma 4.10. The canonical filtration functor \(F := (-)^f\) is monoidal with \(F_2\) given by inclusion morphisms and \(F_0\) given by the identity morphism.

Remark 4.11. Note that \(\text{gr } (-)^f\) is the identity functor on \(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})\). Now if \(B \in \text{Alg}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}))\), then \(A := B^f \in \text{Alg}(\text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}))\) by Lemma 4.10. In this case, \(A/I\) is a filtered deformation of \(B/\text{gr}(I)\) by Corollary 4.8. But, as in the case for \(\mathcal{C} = \text{Vec}_k\), computing \(\text{gr}(I)\) can be tedious; Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorems and related homological methods are used to address this problem [SW15]. It would be interesting to develop such techniques to study filtered deformations of graded quotient algebras in monoidal categories.

5. Frobenius Algebras in Rigid Monoidal Categories

In this section, we provide equivalent conditions for an algebra in a rigid monoidal category \(\mathcal{C}\) [Definition 5.1] to admit the structure of a Frobenius algebra in \(\mathcal{C}\). This builds on work of Fuchs-Stigner [FS08]. Recall that all monoidal categories in this work are assumed to be abelian, strict with \(\otimes\) to be given by \((\text{id}_A \otimes A)\) with co/evaluation maps, \(\text{ev}_{A} : X^* \otimes X \to 1, \quad \text{coev}_{A} : 1 \to X \otimes X^*\), \(\text{ev}'_{A} : X \otimes X^* \to 1, \quad \text{coev}'_{A} : 1 \to X \otimes X\), so that \((\text{id}_A \otimes \text{ev}_{X})(\text{coev}_{X} \otimes \text{id}_X), \ (\text{ev}_{X} \otimes \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \otimes \text{coev}_{X})\), and \((\text{id}_X \otimes \text{ev}'_{X})(\text{coev}'_{X} \otimes \text{id}_X)\) are all identity morphisms. Moreover, \(\mathcal{C}\) is called rigid if all of its objects are rigid.

Remark 5.2. When \(\mathcal{C}\) is an abelian, rigid monoidal category, we do not need the assumption that \(\otimes\) is biexact as this is implied by [EGNO15, Proposition 4.2.1].

Now we present the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.3. Take \(\mathcal{C}\) a rigid monoidal category, and take \((A, m, u) \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})\). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) There exist morphisms \(\Delta : A \to A \otimes A\) and \(\varepsilon : A \to 1\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) such that \((A, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)\) is in \(\text{FrobAlg}(\mathcal{C})\).

(b) There exist morphisms \(p : A \to A \to 1\) and \(q : 1 \to A \otimes A\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) such that
\[
p(m \otimes \text{id}_A) = p(\text{id}_A \otimes m), \quad (p \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{id}_A \otimes q) = \text{id}_A = (\text{id}_A \otimes p)(q \otimes \text{id}_A).
\]

(c) There exists an isomorphism \(\Phi_1 : A \to A^*\) of left \(A\)-modules in \(\mathcal{C}\), with left \(A\)-action maps \(\lambda_A = m\) and \(\lambda_A^* = (\text{id}_A \otimes \text{ev}_A^*)(\text{id}_A \otimes m \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{coev}_A^* \otimes \text{id}_{A^* \otimes A})\).
(d) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi_r : A \to A^*$ of right $A$-modules in $\mathcal{C}$, with right $A$-action maps $\rho_A = m$ and $\rho_A^* = (\text{ev}_A \otimes \text{id}_{A^*})(\text{id}_{A^*} \otimes m \otimes \text{id}_{A^*})(\text{id}_{A^*} \otimes A \otimes \text{coev}_A)$.

(e) There exists a morphism $\nu : A \to \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ so that, if a left or right weak ideal $(I, \lambda_I, \phi_I)$ of $A$ factors through $\ker(\nu)$, then $\phi_I$ is a zero morphism in $\mathcal{C}$.

(f) There exists a morphism $\nu : A \to \mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ so that, if a left or right ideal $(I, \lambda_I, \phi_I)$ of $A$ factors through $\ker(\nu)$, then $\phi_I$ is a zero morphism in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Definition 5.4.** In the theorem above, we refer to the map $p$ in part (b) as a nondegenerate pairing of $A$ with copairing $q$. We also refer to the map $\nu$ in part (e) (resp., part (f)) as a weak Frobenius form (resp., a Frobenius form) on $A$.

**Proof of Theorem 5.3.** The equivalence of (a) and (b) is well-known; see, e.g., [FS08, Proposition 8]. The equivalence of (b) and (c) holds by [FS08, Proposition 9], and the equivalence of (c) and (d) follows from [FS08, Lemma 5].

Next, we show that (b) implies (e). With the pairing $p : A \otimes A \to \mathbb{1}$ in part (b), define $\nu := p(u \otimes \text{id}_A) : A \to \mathbb{1}$. Now part (e) for left weak ideals $(I, \lambda_I, \phi_I)$ of $A$ holds by the following commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
A \otimes \ker(\nu) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \iota} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(1)} & A \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
\downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \phi_I & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes m & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \nu \\
A \otimes I & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \lambda_I} & A \otimes A \otimes I & \xrightarrow{(5)} & A \\
\downarrow \phi_I & & \downarrow \phi_I & & \downarrow \phi_I \\
I & & & & & A
\end{array}
$$

Here, $\iota$ is the natural inclusion map, so (1) commutes by the definition of a kernel. By the hypothesis in part (e) on the left weak ideal $(I, \phi_I)$, there exists a morphism $\phi_I : I \to \ker(\nu)$ so that (2) commutes. The diagram (3) commutes as $I \in _A C$, where $\lambda_A = m$. Diagram (4) commutes because $p = \nu m : A \otimes A \to \mathbb{1}$ via the unit axiom. Now by part (b), there exists a morphism $q : \mathbb{1} \to A \otimes A$ in $\mathcal{C}$ so that (5) commutes. Moreover, the diagram (6) clearly commutes. Using this, we conclude that the outer diagram commutes, and thus $\phi_I = 0$, as desired.

Likewise, (b) implies (e) for right weak ideals by using a similar commutative diagram with the hypothesis that $(p \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{id}_A \otimes q) = \text{id}_A$, for $p := \nu m$.

Next, (e) clearly implies (f).

Finally, we verify that (f) implies (c). As in [FS08] take

$$
\Phi_l := (\text{id}_A \otimes \nu m)(\text{coev}_A \otimes \text{id}_A) : A \to A^*.
$$
In fact, $\Phi_l \in AC$, due to the following computation:

$$\lambda_A(id_A \otimes \Phi_l) = [id_A \otimes \nu m(ev'_A \otimes id_{A \otimes A})(id_A \otimes \text{coev}'_A \otimes id_A)(m \otimes id_A)](\text{coev}'_A \otimes id_{A \otimes A})$$

$$= [id_A \otimes \nu m(m \otimes id_A)](\text{coev}'_A \otimes id_{A \otimes A})$$

$$= [id_A \otimes \nu m(id_A \otimes m)](\text{coev}'_A \otimes id_{A \otimes A})$$

$$= (id_A \otimes m)(\text{coev}'_A \otimes id_A)m$$

$$= \Phi_l \lambda_A.$$  

Here, the first and fourth equation hold by commutativity of maps; the second equation holds by a rigidity axiom; and the third equation holds since $m$ is associative. Set the notation

$$K := \ker(\Phi_l) \quad \text{and} \quad C := \operatorname{coker}(\Phi_l),$$

and it suffices to show that $K = 0$ and $C = 0$.

To get that $K = 0$, we will show that the mono $k : K \rightarrow A$ attached to $K$ is the zero morphism. To proceed, define $\lambda_K : A \otimes K \rightarrow K$ using the universal property of kernels as follows:

$$A \otimes K \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes k} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \Phi_l} A \otimes A^*$$

Here, the right square commutes due to $\Phi_l \in AC$, and the left square commutes due to the definition of a kernel. Furthermore,

$$k \lambda_K (m \otimes id_A) = m (id_A \otimes k) (m \otimes id_A)$$

$$= m (m \otimes id_A)(id_A \otimes id_A \otimes k)$$

$$= m (id_A \otimes m)(id_A \otimes id_A \otimes k)$$

$$= m (id_A \otimes k)(id_A \otimes \lambda_K)$$

$$= k \lambda_K (id_A \otimes \lambda_K).$$

The first, fourth and fifth equations hold by definition of $\lambda_K$; the third equation holds by associativity of $m$, and the second equation holds by commutativity of maps. Since $k$ is a mono, $\lambda_K(id_A \otimes \lambda_K) = \lambda_K(m \otimes id_A)$. Similarly, one can show that $\lambda_K(u \otimes id_K) = id_K$.

Thus, $(K, k, \lambda_K)$ is a left ideal of $A$. Moreover, we get

$$0 = ev'_A(u \otimes id_A) \Phi_l k$$

$$= ev'_A(u \otimes id_A)(id_A \otimes \nu m)(\text{coev}'_A \otimes id_A)k$$

$$= \nu m(ev'_A \otimes id_A \otimes id_A)(id_A \otimes \text{coev}'_A \otimes id_A)(u \otimes id_A)k$$

$$= \nu m(u \otimes id_A)k$$

$$= \nu k.$$  

Here, the first equation holds because $\Phi_l k = 0$; the second equation follows from the definition of $\Phi_l$; the third equation holds by commutativity of maps; the fourth equation follows from rigidity; and the last equation holds by unitality. So, $\nu k : K \rightarrow 1$ is a zero morphism, which implies that $k$ factors through $\ker(\nu)$. Thus, by part (f), $k$ is the zero morphism.

To obtain that $C = 0$, consider the natural epi $c : A \rightarrow C$, along with its monic dual, $c^* : C^* \rightarrow (\ast A)^*$. In particular, $(\ast A)^* = A$, and it is straightforward to show $C^* = \ker(\Phi_l^*)$.  


Then, by an argument similar to showing that \((K, k, \lambda_K)\) is a left ideal of \(A\) above, we obtain that \((C^*, c^*, \rho_{C^*})\) is a right ideal of \(A\). Here, the right \(A\)-module map \(\rho_{C^*}\) is induced by the map \(\rho_A\), given the statement of part (d). Moreover, by using the rigidity and the unit axioms, we also obtain from \(\Phi_1^* c^* = 0\) that \(\nu c^* = 0\). So, by part (f), we conclude that \(c^* = 0\). Thus, \(C^* = 0\), and hence, \(C = 0\), as desired. □

6. Filtered Frobenius Algebras in Rigid Monoidal Categories

We now present the main result of the paper on filtered Frobenius algebras in rigid monoidal categories [Theorem 6.5]; see Section 6.2. First, we discuss preliminary results in Section 6.1 on Frobenius forms of certain graded algebras that are Frobenius. We end by presenting questions for further investigation in Section 6.3. Let \(C\) be an abelian, rigid monoidal category throughout, which is strict with \(\otimes\) biexact by Hypothesis 2.1 and 2.3.

6.1. Frobenius Graded Algebras. Consider the following terminology.

**Definition 6.1.**

(a) A graded algebra \(B = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} B_i\) in \(C\) is **connected** if \(B_0 = 1\).

(b) A filtered algebra \((B, F_B)\) in \(C\) is called **connected** if \(F_B(0) = 1\).

**Remark 6.2.** It is straightforward to see that the associated graded algebra [Definition 3.16] of a connected filtered algebra in \(C\) is a connected graded algebra in \(C\).

Next, we have a preliminary result on the structure of connected graded algebras that are Frobenius.

**Lemma 6.3.** Take a connected graded algebra \(B = \coprod_{i = 0}^n B_i\) in \(C\) that is Frobenius. Then the following statements hold.

(a) \(B_n = B_0^n = 1\).

(b) \(\varepsilon : B \to 1\) defined as the composition

\[\varepsilon : B \to \tilde{B}/\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i \sim B_n = 1\]

is a (weak) Frobenius form on \(B\).

(c) \(B_{n-i} = B_i^n\) for \(0 \leq i \leq n\).

**Proof.** (a) Since the algebra \((B, m, u)\) is Frobenius, we have a Frobenius form \(\varepsilon' : B \to 1\) so that \(p = \varepsilon' m : B \otimes B \to 1\) is a nondegenerate pairing on \(B\) with copairing \(q : 1 \to B \otimes B\) [Theorem 5.3, Definition 5.4]. Now let

\[a_i : B_i \to B\]

and \(f_i : B \to B_i\)

be the natural inclusion and projection maps from the decomposition \(B = \coprod_{i = 0}^n B_i\). Namely,

\[(6.4) \coprod_{i=0}^n a_i f_i = \text{id}_B\]

and \(f_i a_j = \delta_{i,j} \text{id}_{B_i}\).

Next, consider the following computation:

\[\coprod_{i,j}(\varepsilon' m \otimes \text{id}_{B_n})(a_n \otimes a_i \otimes f_n a_j)(\text{id}_{B_i} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j)(\text{id}_{B_n} \otimes q) = (p \otimes f_n)(a_n \otimes q) = f_n a_n \overset{(6.4)}{=} \text{id}_{B_n}.

Since \(f_n a_j = \delta_{n,j} \text{id}_{B_n}\), we must have that \(j = n\) in the equation above. On the other hand, \(m\) is a graded algebra map. Thus, \(\text{im}(m(a_n \otimes a_i))\) is a subobject of \(B_{n+i}\). Since, \(B_k = 0\) for \(k > n\), we must have that \(i = 0\) in the equation above. Thus,

\[[(p \otimes \text{id}_{B_n})(a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \text{id}_{B_n})][\text{id}_B \otimes f_0 \otimes f_n](\text{id}_{B_n} \otimes q)] = \text{id}_{B_n}.
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Likewise, we also have that

$$[(\text{id}_{B_0} \otimes p)(\text{id}_{B_0} \otimes a_n \otimes a_0)][(f_0 \otimes f_n \otimes \text{id}_{B_0})(q \otimes \text{id}_{B_0})] = \text{id}_{B_0}.$$ 

Therefore the maps $p(a_n \otimes a_0) : B_n \otimes B_0 \to \mathbb{1}$ and $(f_0 \otimes f_n)q : 1 \to B_0 \otimes B_n$ give $B_n$ the structure of the left dual $B_n^*$ of $B_0$. So, by the connected assumption on $B$, we then get that

$$B_n = B_0^* = 1^* = 1.$$ 

(b) Note that $\ker(\varepsilon) = \coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i$. So to show that $\varepsilon$ is a weak Frobenius form on $B$, we must verify that $\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i$ does not have a nonzero left weak ideal of $B$. By way of contradiction, suppose that $(I, \phi_I)$ is a nonzero left weak ideal of $B$ so that there is a map $\phi_I : I \to \ker(\varepsilon)$ with $\phi_I = i \phi_I$; here, $i$ the natural mono from $\ker(\varepsilon)$ to $B$. Then, by the definition of left weak ideals, for the left $B$-action maps $\lambda_B : B \otimes I \to I$ and $\lambda_B = m : B \otimes B \to B$, we get that $m(\text{id}_B \otimes \phi_I) = \phi_I \lambda_B$. So, $m(\text{id}_B \otimes \phi_I)$ is a graded map, so we must have that the image of $m(\text{id}_B \otimes \phi_I)$ has $B_n$ as a component. On the one hand, $m$ is a graded map, so we must have that the image of $m(\text{id}_B \otimes \phi_I)$ has $B_n$ as a component, which yields a contradiction. Hence, $\ker(\varepsilon)$ does not have a nonzero left weak ideal of $B$. Thus, with part (a) we obtain that $\varepsilon : B \to B/\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i \cong B_0 = 1$ is a weak Frobenius form on $B$.

(c) By part (b), $B$ is Frobenius with weak Frobenius form $\varepsilon$. Hence $p' = \varepsilon m : B \otimes B \to 1$ is a nondegenerate pairing on $B$ for some copairing $q' : 1 \to B \otimes B$. Now using the same argument as in part (a), we can show that

$$p'(a_{n-i} \otimes a_i) : B_{n-i} \otimes B_i \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (f_i \otimes f_{n-i})q' : 1 \to B_i \otimes B_{n-i},$$

give $B_{n-i}$ the structure of the left dual $B_i^*$ of $B_i$.

6.2. Main result. This brings us to the main result of the article.

**Theorem 6.5.** Take $A$ to be a connected filtered algebra in $C$ equipped with a finite monic filtration. If $\text{gr}(A)$ is a Frobenius algebra in $C$, then so is $A$.

**Proof.** Since the filtration on $A$ is finite, $A \cong F_A(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Recall Notation 3.1 and consider the composite morphism

$$\eta : A \xrightarrow{\sim} F_A(n) \xrightarrow{\pi_A} F_A(n).$$

Since $\text{gr}(A)$ is a graded algebra in $C$ by Theorem 3.8, and is Frobenius by assumption, we get by Lemma 6.3(a) that $F_A(n) = 1$. Let $(I, \lambda, \phi)$ be a left ideal of $A$, so that $\phi$ factors through $\ker(\eta) = F_A(n - 1)$. Then, by Theorem 5.3, it suffices to show that $\phi$ is a zero morphism. Indeed, this would show that $\eta$ is a Frobenius form for $A$.

Since $I$ factors through $\ker(\eta)$, we have a map $\overline{\phi} : I \to F_A(n - 1)$ such that $\iota_{n-1}^A \overline{\phi} = \phi$. By Proposition 2.30, we can endow $I$ with a filtration $F_I$ making it a filtered left ideal of $A$. Recall from Lemma 2.29 that the filtration $F_I$ is defined using pullbacks. Consider the
following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
F_I(n) \\
\downarrow \theta \\
\downarrow \phi \\
F_I(n-1) \\
\downarrow \phi \\
F_A(n-1)
\end{array}
\]

Here, square (1) commutes by definition of \( F_I(n-1) \) (see the diagram in the proof of Lemma 2.29). Since \( \iota_{n-1}^A \phi = \phi \id \), by universal property of pullbacks, we get a morphism \( \theta : F_I(n) \to F_I(n-1) \) such that (2) and (3) commute. Thus, we get that \( \iota_{n-1}^I \theta = \id \).

Hence, \( \iota_{n-1}^I \) must be an epimorphism. Therefore, by (3.7), we have that \( \gr(I)_n = 0 \). Thus, the morphism \( \gr(\phi) : \gr(I) \to \gr(A) \) factors through \( \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \gr_A(i) \).

By Lemma 6.3(b), we know that \( \gr(A) \) is Frobenius with weak Frobenius form \( \varepsilon \) and \( \ker(\varepsilon) = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \gr_A(i) \). Furthermore, by Proposition 2.8(c), we know that \( \gr(I) \) is a left weak ideal of \( \gr(A) \).

Since the map \( \gr(\phi) \) from \( \gr(I) \) to \( \gr(A) \) factors through \( \ker(\varepsilon) \), by Theorem 5.3 we get that \( \gr(\phi) = 0 \).

We claim that \( \gr(\phi)_i \) is monic; this is verified in [PP79, Lemma 4.3.2], but we include the details for the reader's convenience. To proceed, consider the commutative diagram (3.7) corresponding to the morphism \( \phi \) as pictured below; recall we assume that \( \iota_j^A \) is monic for all \( j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Moreover, consider the kernel \( (K,k) \) of \( \gr(\phi)_i \), and let \( P \) be the pullback of \( k \) and \( \pi_i^A \), given by \( \alpha : P \to K \) and \( \beta : P \to F_I(i) \). Note that \( \pi_i^A : F_I(i) \to \gr(\phi)_i \beta = 0 \).

Since \( \ker(\pi_i^A) = \im(\iota_{i-1}^A) \) and \( \iota_{i-1}^A \) is monic, there exists a unique map \( \gamma : P \to F_A(i-1) \) so that \( F_A(i) \beta = \iota_{i-1}^A \gamma \). Since \( F_I(i-1) \) is a pullback, there also exists a unique map \( \delta : P \to F_I(i-1) \) so that \( \iota_{i-1}^I \delta = \beta \).

Now \( k \alpha = \pi_i^A \delta = \pi_i^A \iota_{i-1}^I \delta = 0 \). Since \( P \) is a pullback and \( \pi_i^A \) is epic, the morphism \( \alpha \) is epic as well [PP79, Corollary 4.2.6]. As a result, \( k = 0 \), as required.

Now we show that \( F_I(i) = 0 \) for all \( i \) via induction. Since \( \phi = F_0(n) \) is monic, and \( F_I(n-1) \) is constructed via the pullback of \( \phi \) and \( \iota_{n-1}^A \), we obtain that \( F_0(n-1) \) is also monic. Likewise, \( F_0(i) \) is monic for all \( i \). Thus, the map \( F_0(0) : F_I(0) \to F_A(0) \) is monic, and is zero as \( F_0(0) = \gr(\phi)_0 = 0 \). Hence, \( F_I(0) = 0 \). We now assume by induction that \( F_I(i-1) = 0 \). As shown above, \( \gr(\phi)_i \) is a zero monic, so \( F_I(i) = 0 \). So we can conclude that \( F_I(i) = 0 \).

Therefore, \( I = \colim_i F_I(i) = 0 \), and thus, \( \phi = 0 \), as required for \( A \) to be Frobenius. \( \square \)
6.3. **Further directions.** We end this section by listing some directions for further investigation. First, as mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 6.5 is a categorical generalization of the main result of Bongale’s 1967 work [Bon67]. In her 1968 work [Bon68], Bongale generalized the 1967 result by removing the connected assumption.

**Question 6.6.** Does Theorem 6.5 hold when $A$ is not necessarily connected?

Next, pertaining to the monoidal associated graded functor $\text{gr} : \text{Fil}(C) \to \text{Gr}(C)$ from Theorem 3.8, we inquire:

**Question 6.7.** Does there exist an adjoint to $\text{gr}$ that admits the structure of a Frobenius monoidal functor (see, e.g., [DP08]), that can be used to obtain Theorem 6.5 or more generally, to address Question 6.6 in the case when $C$ is a rigid monoidal category?

For instance, an adjoint to an associated graded functor is discussed in [GKRW18]; their functor is slightly different than our functor $\text{gr}$ in Section 3.

**Remark 6.8.** One can also analyze generalizations of other conditions for algebras in (certain) monoidal categories $C$, such as the integral domain, prime, Noetherian, and Calabi-Yau conditions mentioned in the introduction for $C = \text{Vec}_k$, and study when these properties lift to a filtered algebra $A$ in $C$ from the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(A)$ in $C$.

On the other hand, Launois and Topley recently obtained a generalization of Bongale’s results for *Frobenius extensions*, which are $k$-algebra extensions $S \subset R$ so that $R$ is a projective left $S$-module and $R \cong \text{Hom}_S(R, S)$ as $(R, S)$-bimodules [LT19]. This recovers the classical definition of a Frobenius algebra when $S = k$. So we ask:

**Question 6.9.** Is there a generalization of [LT19, Main Theorem] for the setting of filtered/graded algebras in monoidal categories as in this work?

**Remark 6.10.** In any of the settings above, it would be also interesting to explore how the 2-dimensional topological field theories (TFTs) that we get from a (commutative) Frobenius algebra that is graded differ from the ones that we obtain from its (noncommutative) filtered deformations. (See work on open-closed 2-dimensional TFTs, e.g., as in [Laz01].)

7. **Application to braided Clifford algebras**

In this section, we illustrate the results above for filtered deformations of braided exterior algebras [Definition 7.4] studied by Bespalov et al. [BD97, BKLT00], namely for *braided Clifford algebras* [Definition 7.6]. Braided exterior algebras and braided Clifford algebras were previously studied in braided categories of vector spaces and in certain representation categories of quantum groups [Wor89, DO94, Ozi95, BZ08, Mat19]. In Section 7.1, we recall the definition of braided exterior algebras in general symmetric monoidal categories from [BKLT00]. In Section 7.2, we discuss the construction of braided Clifford algebras in such categories; this involves an open combinatorial problem on deforming the relations of braided exterior algebras [Problem 7.5]. In Section 7.3, we then establish that braided exterior algebras and braided Clifford algebras are Frobenius [Proposition 7.10]. Recall that we assume Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.3 throughout.
7.1. Braided exterior algebras. Here, we recall the definition of braided exterior algebras in the following monoidal categories; see [BKLT00, Section 7].

Definition 7.1. Take $\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1, c)$ a braided monoidal category.

(a) We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is symmetric if $c_{W,V} c_{V,W} = \text{id}_{V \otimes W}$ for all $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$.

(b) For an object $V$ in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, a symmetric form on $V$ is a morphism $B : V \otimes V \to 1$, where $B c_{V,V} = B$.

Next, consider the following notation.

Notation 7.2 $((s_j)_{V,c}, \sigma_{V,c}, (\hat{r}_{V,c})_n, \hat{r}_{V,c})$. Let $\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1, c)$ be a symmetric monoidal category, and take an object $V$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

(a) Let $s_j$ denote the transposition $(j \ j + 1)$ in $S_n$, and define the morphism

$$(s_j)_{V,c} := \text{id}_{V \otimes (i-1)} \otimes c_{V,V} \otimes \text{id}_{V \otimes (n-j-1)} : V^\otimes n \to V^\otimes n.$$

(b) Take a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, with reduced expression $\sigma = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}$, and define the morphism

$$\sigma_{V,c} := (s_{i_k})_{V,c}(s_{i_k-1})_{V,c} \cdots (s_{i_1})_{V,c} : V^\otimes n \to V^\otimes n.$$

(c) Take $(\hat{r}_{V,c})_n := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma_{V,c}$, and let $\hat{r}_{V,c} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}(\hat{r}_{V,c})_n$.

We drop $V, c$ from the subscript when understood.

Towards defining braided exterior algebras, consider the tensor algebras below (see, e.g., [BKLT00, Proposition 7.2]).

Definition 7.3 $(T(V), \hat{T}(V))$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category, and take an object $V$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

(a) The tensor algebra $T(V)$ on $V$ is the algebra in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ defined as $T(V)_i = V^\otimes i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $V^\otimes 0 = 1$, and with multiplication given by concatenation and unit given by natural embedding.

(b) The shuffle tensor algebra $\hat{T}(V)$ on $V$ is the algebra in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ defined as $T(V)_i = V^\otimes i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $V^\otimes 0 = 1$. Here, the unit given by natural embedding, and the multiplication given by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma_{V,c} : V^\otimes n \otimes V^\otimes m \to V^\otimes (n+m),$$

where $S_{n+m}$ is the collection of inverse shuffle permutations.

Note that the morphism $\hat{r}_{V,c} : T(V) \to \hat{T}(V)$ from Notation 7.2 is the antisymmetrizer map from [BKLT00, Proposition 7.2(2)], which is a morphism of algebras in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$. Recall the construction of quotient algebras from Section 4, and consider the definition below.

Definition 7.4 $(\Lambda_c(V), \hat{I}_{V,c})$. Retain Notation 7.2. Denote $\hat{I} := \hat{I}_{V,c} := \ker(\hat{r}_{V,c})$, and define the braided exterior algebra to be the algebra in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\Lambda_c(V) := \text{im}(\hat{r}_{V,c}) \cong T(V)/\hat{I}_{V,c}.$$

When $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vec}_k$ (with standard braiding), it is well known that the kernel of the antisymmetrizer map is the ideal generated by the the relations $v \otimes w + w \otimes v$ for all $v, w \in V$. Thus, Definition 7.4 generalizes the classical definition of an exterior algebra over $k$. 
7.2. On braided Clifford algebras. Next, we turn our attention to braided Clifford algebras. To proceed, recall Definition 4.9 and consider the following problem. This problem is combinatorial in nature, and pertains to Remark 4.11.

**Problem 7.5.** Take \( \mathcal{C} \) a symmetric monoidal category, \( V \) an object in \( \mathcal{C} \), and \( B \) a symmetric form on \( V \). Define morphisms \( r = \tilde{r} + r^B \) and \( \alpha \) on \( T(V)^f \) in \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \) so that the following conditions hold:

(i) \( r \) is an algebra map from \( T(V)^f \);
(ii) When \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Vec}_k \), we have that \( \ker(r) \) is the ideal of \( T(V)^f \) generated by the relations \( v \otimes w + w \otimes v - B(v, w) \), for all \( v, w \in V \);
(iii) \( \tilde{r}^f = r \alpha \); and
(iv) \( \text{gr}(\alpha) = \text{id}_{T(V)} \).

In particular, conditions (iii) and (iv) imply that \( \text{gr}(r) = \tilde{r} \).

By resolving the problem above, we can define a braided Clifford algebra so that it is a filtered deformation of a braided exterior algebra; consider the following terminology and see the proofs of Proposition 7.7 and Corollary 7.8 below.

**Definition 7.6 (\( \text{Cl}_c(V, B), I_{V,c} \)).** Assume Problem 7.5 is resolved. Denote \( I := I_{V,c} = \ker(r_{V,c}) \) (which is an ideal of \( T(V)^f \) by 7.5(i)). Define the braided Clifford algebra to be the algebra in \( \text{Fil}(\mathcal{C}) \):

\[
\text{Cl}_c(V, B) := \text{im}(r_{V,c}) \cong T(V)^f/I_{V,c}.
\]

Here, we use the construction of quotient algebras from Section 4. Moreover, condition 7.5(ii) ensures that \( \text{Cl}_c(V, B) \) is isomorphic to the usual Clifford algebra when \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Vec}_k \).

Next, we show that associated graded algebra of the braided Clifford algebra is the braided exterior algebra [Definition 7.4]. To do this, we use Corollary 4.8 from Section 4 above. First, we need the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.7.** Assume that Problem 7.5 is resolved. Then, \( \text{gr}(I) = \tilde{I} \).

**Proof.** Let \( k_r : I \to T(V)^f \) denote the inclusion of \( \ker(r) \) into \( T(V)^f \). Since \( \tilde{I} = \ker(\tilde{r}) \), it suffices to show that \( \text{gr}(I) \) satisfies the universal property of the kernel of \( \tilde{r} \); for any object \( X \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \) with a map \( p : X \to T(V) \) such that \( \tilde{r} p = 0 \), there exists a unique map \( q : X \to \text{gr}(I) \) such that \( p = \text{gr}(k_r) q \).

By condition 7.5(iii), we have a map \( \alpha : T(V)^f \to T(V)^f \) satisfying \( \tilde{r}^f = r \alpha \). Thus, \( r \alpha p^f = \tilde{r}^f p^f = (\tilde{r} p)^f = 0 \). Since \( I = \ker(r) \), there exists a unique morphism \( \gamma : X^f \to I \) such that \( \alpha p^f = k_r \gamma \). Thus, we get the following commutative diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^f & \xrightarrow{p^f} & T(V)^f \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & & \downarrow{\alpha} \\
I & \xrightarrow{k_r} & T(V)^f \\
\end{array}
\]

Applying the functor \( \text{gr} \), we get that \( \text{gr}(\alpha) \text{gr}(p^f) = \text{gr}(k_r) \text{gr}(\gamma) \). Since \( \text{gr}(\alpha) = \text{id}_{T(V)} \) (by 7.5(iv)) and \( \text{gr}(p^f) = p \), we get that \( p = \text{gr}(k_r) \text{gr}(\gamma) \). Since \( \gamma \) is the unique morphism making diagram (1) commute, \( q := \text{gr}(\gamma) \) is the unique morphism such that \( p = \text{gr}(k_r) q \).

Since we constructed the desired morphism, \( q : X \to \text{gr}(I) \), we get that \( \text{gr}(I) = \tilde{I} \). \( \square \)
Corollary 7.8. Assume that Problem 7.5 is resolved. Then, \( \text{gr}(\text{Cl}_c(V,B)) \cong \Lambda_c(V) \) as algebras in \( \text{Gr}(C) \).

Proof. Applying Corollary 4.8 with \( A = T(V)^f \) and \( I_{V,c} = \ker(r_{V,c}) \), we get an isomorphism of graded algebras in \( C \): \( T(V)/\text{gr}(I_{V,c}) \cong \text{gr}(T(V)^f/I_{V,c}) \). Then, the result follows from Proposition 7.7 and Definitions 7.4 and 7.6. \( \square \)

7.3. Braided exterior algebras and braided Clifford algebras are Frobenius. Now we establish the main result of this section. Consider the following condition.

Hypothesis 7.9. Let \( C := (C, \otimes, 1, c) \) be a symmetric, rigid monoidal category, and take an object \( V \) in \( C \). Assume that \( (\tilde{r}_{V,c})_N = 0 = (\tilde{r}_{V,c})_{N-1} \), for some even \( N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). (In this case, \( (\tilde{r}_{V,c})_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq N \).)

Proposition 7.10. Assume Hypothesis 7.9. Then, the braided exterior \( \Lambda_c(V) \) is a Frobenius algebra in \( C \). Moreover, when Problem 7.5 is resolved, the braided Clifford algebra \( \text{Cl}_c(V,B) \) is also Frobenius in \( C \).

Proof. Under Hypothesis 7.9, we obtain by [BKLT00, Proposition 7.2(b)] that \( \Lambda_c(V) \) is a Hopf algebra in \( \text{Gr}(C) \) with invertible antipode. Moreover, [BKLT00, Proposition 7.3] implies that \( \Lambda_c(V) \) has a left integral \( \lambda \) and a right cointegral \( \mu \) so that \( \lambda \mu \neq 0 \). Then, \( \Lambda_c(V) \) is a Frobenius algebra in \( C \); see, e.g., [FS10, Appendix A.2] (the ribbon assumption is not needed there). Since \( \text{Cl}_c(V,B) \) is a connected filtered algebra in \( C \), with monic filtration via \( (-)^f \), we obtain that \( \text{Cl}_c(V,B) \) is a Frobenius algebra in \( C \) by Corollary 7.8 and Theorem 6.5. \( \square \)
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