THE MEASURABLE HALL THEOREM FAILS FOR TREEINGS
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Abstract. We construct for every $d \geq 2$ a $d$-regular acyclic measurably bipartite graphing that admits no measurable perfect matching. This answers a question of Kechris and Marks.

1. Introduction

We call probability measure preserving (pmp) Borel graphs graphings following Lovász’ book [17] and acyclic graphings treeings. A graphing is measurably bipartite if it admits a bipartition into two measurable sets. Measurable matchings have attracted a great deal of attention in the last decades, since measurable equidecompositions can be phrased in terms of perfect matchings of measurably bipartite graphings. The Banach-Tarski paradox shows that the existence of a perfect matching does not guarantee the existence of a measurable perfect matching [2]. Measure preserving actions of amenable groups and, more generally, hyperfinite graphings do not admit such paradoxical decompositions. The study of perfect matchings in hyperfinite graphings was motivated by Tarski’s circle squaring problem [24]. Laczkovich solved this positively [15], though his proof uses the Axiom of Choice. Grabowski, Máthé and Pikhurko found a measurable equidecomposition [10], while Marks and Unger gave a Borel equidecomposition [22]. These proofs use translations only and give equidecompositions of bounded measurable (Borel) sets of equal measure and small boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) building on Laczkovich’s work [16]. Gao, Jackson, Krohne and Seward [9] proved that $\mathbb{Z}^n$ admits a factor of iid perfect matching a.s. if $n \geq 2$.

On the other hand, Laczkovich constructed a 2-regular acyclic graphing without a measurable perfect matching [14]. This shows that the existence of a perfect matching is not sufficient in the hyperfinite case.
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either. Conley and Kechris [4] modified this to get a \(d\)-regular example for every even \(d\). Bowen, Sabok and the author [3] showed recently a characterization of bipartite hyperfinite graphings admitting a measurable perfect matching in terms of ends. They showed that "most" hyperfinite one-ended bipartite graphings with a perfect matching also admit a measurable perfect matching. For example, the regular case is included. The core obstruction is always a two-ended graphing (that is, of linear growth) as suggested by the known examples.

The other class that was handled successfully is the class of measurably bipartite graphings with large expansion, including ergodic pmp actions of groups with Kazhdan Property (T). They play a crucial role in the papers of Margulis [20], Sullivan [23] and Drinfeld [7] on the solution of the Banach-Ruziewicz problem on finitely additive invariant measures of the sphere. Grabowski, Máthé and Pikhurko [11] proved that bounded measurable sets of nonempty interior and equal measure in \(\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 3)\) admit a measurable equidecomposition. Lyons and Nazarov [19] showed that bipartite Cayley graphs of non-amenable groups almost surely admit a factor of iid perfect matching. Csóka and Lippner [6] extended this to every Cayley graph of a non-amenable group. The only counterexample was in the Borel setting: Marks [21] constructed regular bipartite treeings of arbitrary degree without Borel perfect matching.

Known counterexamples in the measurable context were all hyperfinite and had a quite specific structure. Kechris and Marks asked (Problem 12.6, [13]) if a 3-regular graphing always admits a measurable perfect matching. We give an acyclic regular counterexample of arbitrary degree.

**Theorem 1.** For every \(d \geq 2\) there exists a \(d\)-regular measurably bipartite treeing \(T\) that admits no measurable perfect matching. Moreover, every bounded measurable circulation on \(T\) is zero almost everywhere.

Note that while Marks’ construction [21] admits no Borel perfect matching, \(T\) does not even admit a Borel perfect matching almost everywhere.

Our construction is also interesting from the point of view of measured group theory. Since bounded circulations on \(T\) are essentially trivial \(T\) has no Schreier subgraphing induced by a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-action.

**Corollary 2.** For every \(d \geq 2\) there exists a \(d\)-regular measurably bipartite treeing \(T\) that admits no free pmp \(\mathbb{Z}\)-action whose Schreier graphing is a subgraphing of \(T\) of positive measure.
2. Definitions, notations

While the names "graphing" and "treeing" were introduced by Adams [1] referring to the representation of classes of an equivalence relation by probability measure preserving (pmp) graphs, the usage of these expressions have shifted: we call pmp Borel graphs graphings following Lovász’ terminology [17], and (essentially) acyclic graphings treeings.

Treeings usually appear in the study of the cost of group actions and equivalence relations, see Gaboriau [8]. A graphing is measurably bipartite if it admits a bipartition into two measurable sets. We work with bounded degree graphings in this paper. The probability measure on the set of vertices will be denoted by $\mu$. This induces a measure on the set of edges, that will be a probability measure since our graphings have bounded degree. Given an undirected graph $G$ a real-valued function $\phi : V(G) \times V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a circulation if it is supported on $E(G)$, it is antisymmetric, that is, $\phi(x, y) = -\phi(y, x)$ and for every $x \in V(G)$ the equality $\sum_{y \in V(G)} \phi(x, y) = 0$ holds. We will study the space of absolutely integrable antisymmetric functions denoted by $L^1_\alpha(E(G))$.

Basic theorems on flows from graph theory, like Hoffman’s circulation theorem or the Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem, generalize to graphings, and even more generally to measurable spaces, see Lovász [18].

Borel vertex colorings of graphings by countably many colors will be useful tools in this paper. Kechris, Solecki and Todorcevic [12] managed to prove even more: the maximum degree plus one is an upper bound for the Borel chromatic number of a graphing. Conley, Marks and Tucker-Drob showed that this bound can only be sharp if the graphing contains a complete graph with the same maximum degree [5], like in Brooks’ theorem.

3. The proof of Theorem 1

Proposition 3. For every $d \geq 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a treeing $T$ such that the maximum degree of $T$ is $d$, the set of vertices with degree less than $d$ has measure at most $\epsilon$ and every circulation in $L^1_\alpha(E(T))$ equals zero almost everywhere.

Proof. We construct a sequence of finite graphs $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ recursively. Set $G_1 = K_{d,d}$, the complete bipartite graph on $d + d$ vertices, denote $V(G_1)$ by $V_1$. If $G_n$ has been defined let $A_n$ be the set of antisymmetric functions on $E(G_n)$ that take values only in $\{-1, 0, +1\}$. Choose $N(n, \epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\frac{|E(G_n)|}{\epsilon}}}$ and set $V_{n+1} = \Pi_{f \in A(G_n)} \{1, \ldots, N(\epsilon, n)\}$. 
$V(G_{n+1}) = V(G_n) \times V_{n+1}$ and $E(G_{n+1}) = \{(x, v), (x', v') : (x, x') \in E(G_n), \forall f \in A_n \ v'_f - v_f = f(v, v')\}$.

Set $V(T) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$. $V(T)$ is a standard Borel probability measure space equipped with the product measure and product topology: we consider the uniform measure and the discrete topology on every $V_n$. The edge set $E(T)$ is measure preserving, since $E(G_n)$ is measure preserving for every $n$. Let $\pi_n : V(T) \to V(G_n)$ be the projection that "forgets the other coordinates". $\pi_n$ is measure preserving, too.

The maximum degree of $T$ is at most $d$. A vertex $x \in V(T)$ has degree $d$ if and only if for every $n \geq 2$ and every $f \in A_n$ the inequalities $1 \leq x_{n,f} \leq N(\varepsilon, n)$ hold. The measure of vertices with degree $d$ is

$$\Pi_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2}{N(\varepsilon, n)}\right)^{3|E(G_n)|} = \Pi_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon|E(G_n)|}\right)^{3|E(G_n)|} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$ 

We prove that $T$ is acyclic. Suppose for a contradiction that $T$ contains a cycle $x^1, \ldots, x^k$. For every $n$ large enough $\pi_n(x^1), \ldots, \pi_n(x^k)$ is also a cycle in $G_n$. Consider the following antisymmetric function $f \in A_n$: $f(\pi_n(x^1), \pi_n(x^2)) = f(\pi_n(x^3), \pi_n(x^4)) = \cdots = f(\pi_n(x^k), \pi_n(x^1)) = 1$, and $f = 0$ else. Hence $x_{n,f}^{j+1} = x_{n,f}^{j} + 1$ holds for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and $x_{n,f}^{1} = x_{n,f}^{k} + 1$. This contradiction proves that $T$ is acyclic.

**Claim 4.** For every circulation $f \in L_1^{qs}(E(T))$, natural number $n$ and $g \in A_n$ the equality $\int_{E(T)} f(e) g(\pi_n(e)) = 0$ holds.

**Proof.**

$$\int_{E(T)} f(x, y) g(\pi_n(x), \pi_n(y)) = \int_{E(T)} f(x, y) (y_{n,g} - x_{n,g}) =$$

$$\int_{E(T)} f(x, y) \sum_{j=1}^{N(n,\varepsilon)} (\chi(\{y_{n,g} \geq j\}) - \chi(\{x_{n,g} \geq j\})) =$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N(n,\varepsilon)} \int_{E(T)} f(x, y) (\chi(\{y_{n,g} \geq j\}) - \chi(\{x_{n,g} \geq j\}))$$

We will show that every term of this sum is zero. For every $j$

$$\int_{E(T)} f(x, y) (\chi(\{y_{n,g} \geq j\}) - \chi(\{x_{n,g} \geq j\})) =$$

$$\int_{\{y_{n,g} \geq j\}} \sum_{(x,y) \in E(T)} f(x, y) - \int_{\{x_{n,g} \geq j\} \cup (x,y) \in E(T) \cup \{x,y\}} f(x, y)$$

Both integrands are zero, since $f$ is a circulation.

We show that every circulation $f \in L_1^{qs}(E(T))$ is zero almost everywhere. There exists an $n$ and $g \in A_n$ approximating $\text{sign}(f)$ such that $\|fg(\pi_n) - |f|\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1/2$. Claim 4 implies that $\int_{E(T)} fg(\pi_n) = 0$. On the other hand, by the triangle inequality $\int_{E(T)} fg(\pi_n) = \int_{E(T)} |f| + \int_{E(T)} |fg(\pi_n) - |f|| \geq \|f\|_1/2$, hence $f$ is zero almost everywhere.

**Lemma 5.** Consider a graphing $G$ and a natural number $d \geq 3$. Assume that the maximum degree of $G$ is $2d^2$, and the average degree
of $G$ is at least $2d^2 - \frac{1}{2d^2}$. Then $G$ admits a subgraphing $H$ of positive measure such that the followings hold.

- The minimum degree of $H$ is at least $d$.
- $H$ has no adjacent vertices with degree not divisible by $d$.
- Every vertex of $H$ has at least three neighbors of degree divisible by $d$.

**Proof.** First we construct a spanning subgraphing $G_0$ of $G$ such that the set of vertices with degree not divisible by $d$ is independent. Consider a Borel edge coloring of $G$ with finitely many colors that assigns different colors to adjacent edges. We pick a color class and remove the edges between vertices of degree not divisible by $d$ in this color class. We do this for every color class one by one. Note that the degree of every vertex can decrease by at most $(d-1)$: if the degree of a vertex is divisible by $d$ then it does not change later. Denote the resulting graph by $G_0$. Since the measure of vertices with degree not equal to $(2/d)$ is at most $1/2d^2$, the average degree of $G_0$ is at least $2d^2 - \frac{1}{2d^2} - (d-1)\frac{1}{2d^2} = 2d^2 - \frac{1}{d}$. We will find $H$ as an induced subgraph of $G_0$. Given a Borel subset $S \subseteq V(G_1)$ define its loss as $L(S) = \int_S (2r(x) + 2d^2 - \deg(x))$, where $r(x) \in \{0, \ldots, (d-1)\}$ is congruent to $\deg(x)$ modulo $d$. Note that $L$ is non-negative and $L(V(G_0)) \leq 2(d-1)\frac{1}{2d} + \frac{1}{2d} < 1$.

**Claim 6.** Consider a pair of Borel sets $I \subseteq S \subseteq V(G_0)$.
If either $I$ is independent and every vertex of $I$ has degree less than $d$, or the distance of any two vertices of $I$ is at least three and every vertex of $I$ has less than three neighbors of degree divisible by $d$ then $L(S \setminus I) \leq L(S) - 6\mu(I)$.

**Proof.** Let $\deg_S$ and $\deg_{S \setminus I}$ denote the degrees in the induced subgraphs on the vertex set $S$ and $S \setminus I$, respectively. Define $r_S$ and $r_{S \setminus I}$ similarly. Note that $\deg_S = \deg_{S \setminus I}$ and $r_S = r_{S \setminus I}$ on $S \setminus (I \cup N(I))$.

First assume that every vertex in $I$ has degree less than $d$. Then $\int_I 2r_S(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_S(x) \geq (2d^2 - d + 1)|I|$ holds.

We bound the difference of the integrals on $N(I) \setminus I$ using that $2r_{S \setminus I}(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_{S \setminus I}(x) \leq 2r_S(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_S(x) + 2d - 3.$

\[\int_{N(I) \setminus I} (2r_{S \setminus I}(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_{S \setminus I}(x)) \leq (2d-3)(d-1)|I| + \int_{N(I) \setminus I} (2r_S(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_S(x)).\]

The difference of the two inequalities yields the first case of the claim.

Assume that every vertex of $I$ has less than three neighbors of degree divisible by $d$ and the distance of any two vertices in $I$ is at least three.

\[\int_{N(I)} (2r_S(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_S(x)) - (2r_{S \setminus I}(x) + 2d^2 - \deg_{S \setminus I}(x)) \geq -4d\mu(I) + \int_I \deg_S(x),\] since the integrand is one on all of $N(I)$, but on vertices
Proof. Set minimum degree at least \(r\) integers \(r\geq\frac{d}{2}\). Choose \(\phi_0(\mathbb{R})\) a Borel coloring of \(C\) such that vertices of the same color are at distance more than \((2\delta r)^2\). For every \(1\leq i\leq k\) the distance of \(s\) and \(\phi_i(s)\) is even and at most \(4\left[\log(2k+1)+\log(4\mu(S))\right]+4\).

Lemma 7. Consider a positive integer \(k\), a bounded degree treeing \(T\) with minimum degree at least three and a Borel subset \(R\subseteq V(T)\) of positive measure. There exists a Borel subset \(S\subseteq R\) of positive measure and measure preserving Borel mappings \(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_k:S\rightarrow R\) such that the sets \(S,\varphi_1(S),\ldots,\varphi_k(S)\) are pairwise disjoint and for every \(s\in S,1\leq i\leq k\) the distance of \(s\) and \(\varphi_i(s)\) is even and at most \(4\left[\log(2k+1)+\log(4\mu(S))\right]+4\).

Proof. Set \(r=2\left[\frac{\log(2k+1)-\log(4\mu(S))}{\log(2)}\right]+2\) and \(C=\{x\in R:|B(x,r)\cap R|\geq2k+1\}\). The set \(C\) is Borel and it has positive measure, since \(|B(x,r/2)|>2^r\) for every \(x\in R\). We use the Borel version of Brooks’ theorem in order to get a Borel coloring of \(C\) by finitely many colors such that vertices of the same color are at distance more than \((2r)\). Choose \((2k+1)\) different color classes \(C_1,\ldots,C_{2k+1}\). There are positive integers \(r_1,\ldots,r_{k+1}\leq r\) such that \(\mu(\cap_{i=1}^{2k+1}N_{r_i}(C_i)\setminus N_{r_i-1}(C_i))>0\). We may assume that \(r_1,\ldots,r_{k+1}\) have the same parity.

Set \(S=\{s\in C_{k+1}:S(s,r_{k+1})\cap \cap_{i=1}^{k}N_{r_i}(C_i)\setminus N_{r_i-1}(C_i)\neq\emptyset\}\). For every \(s\in S\) there exists a unique \(t\in S(s,r_{k+1})\cap \cap_{i=1}^{k}N_{r_i}(C_i)\setminus N_{r_i-1}(C_i)\). For every \(1\leq i\leq k\) define \(\varphi_i(s)\) to be the unique element of \(C_i\cap B(t,r)\). This satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

We construct the treeing \(T\). Given \(d\geq3\) apply Proposition 3 in order to get a treeing \(T_1\) with maximum degree \(2d^2\) and average degree at least \(2d^2-\frac{1}{2d}\). Lemma 5 gives a Borel subtreeing \(T_2\) of \(T_1\) with minimum degree at least \(d\) such that every vertex of \(H\) has at least three neighbors of degree divisible by \(d\), and vertices with degree not
divisible by $d$ are not adjacent. We modify $T_2$ in order to have degrees divisible by $d$.

We construct a sequence of graphings $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Set $G_1 = T_2$. Assume that $G_n$ is defined. Consider $k \in \{1, \ldots, (d-1)\}$ and the set of vertices $R$ with degree congruent to $k$, choose $k$ such that $\mu(R)$ is maximal. By Lemma 7 there exists $S \subseteq R$ and measure preserving Borel mappings $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{d-1} : S \rightarrow R$ such that the sets $S, \varphi_1(S), \ldots, \varphi_{d-1}(S)$ are pairwise disjoint and for every $s \in S$, $\varphi_i(s)$ is even and at most $4\left[\frac{\log(2d-1) - \log\mu(R)}{\log 2}\right] + 4$. For every $s \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ remove $k$ edges adjacent to $\varphi_i(s)$ not on the path connecting $\varphi_i(s)$ to $s$ and add an edge connecting the other endvertex of every such edge to $s$. We can choose the removed edges in a Borel way. Denote the resulting graphing by $G_{n+1}$. The described choice of the removed edges ensures that $G_{n+1}$ does not have multiple edges and it is acyclic. The minimum degree will be at least three in every $G_n$: if a vertex has degree divisible by $d$ then it has at least three edges to vertices with degree also divisible by $d$, and such edges will not be removed. If the degree of a vertex is not divisible by $d$ then we might remove at some step a few edges to make its degree divisible by $d$, and we will not touch the edges adjacent to it anymore.

Consider the spanning subgraphing consisting of edges contained by all but finitely many graphings in the sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The set of vertices in this graphing with degree not divisible by $d$ is a nullset: remove the components of this nullset, and denote the resulting subgraphing by $T_3$.

**Remark 8.** The integral of the lengths of the edges of $T_3$ as paths in $T_2$ is finite.

**Proof.** By Lemma 7 this integral is $O\left(\int_{[0,1]} \log(x) dx\right) < \infty$. \hfill \square

Finally, we construct the treeing $T$. Consider a proper Borel coloring of the edges of $T_3$ by finitely many colors. Every vertex $x \in T_3$ of degree $kd$ will be split into $k$ vertices of degree $d$: if the edge colors at $x$ are $c_1, \ldots, c_{kd}$ then let us partition the set of these colors into sets $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ of size $k$ each and replace the vertex $x$ by the set of vertices $x_{C_1}, \ldots, x_{C_k}$. Two vertices $x_C$ and $y_{C'}$ are adjacent if $x$ and $y$ are adjacent and $C \cap C'$ contains the color of the edge connecting them. $T$ is a treeing. Finally, we prove that every bounded circulation on $T$ is trivial.

**Claim 9.** Every bounded circulation on $T$ is zero almost everywhere.

**Proof.** A circulation $c$ on $T$ induces a circulation $c_3$ on $T_3$. We suffice to show that this is zero almost everywhere using the essentially
one-to-one correspondence between $E(T_3)$ and $E(T)$ that assigns to a circulation on $T_3$ a circulation on $T$. The circulation $c_3$ induces a circulation $c_2$ on $T_2$ defined almost everywhere: every edge of $T_3$ corresponds to a path in $T_2$ and the integral (over $E(T_2)$) of the length of these paths is finite by Remark 8. $T_2$ is a Borel subgraph of $T_1$, thus Proposition 3 implies that the circulation $c_2$ should be zero almost everywhere. We need to show that $c_3$ is zero almost everywhere. For every edge $e \in E(T_3) \setminus E(T_2)$ there is an edge of $T_2$ on the path corresponding to $e$ contained by no other such path. Hence $c_3$ is zero on almost every edge of $E(T_3) \setminus E(T_2)$. Therefore, it should be zero on almost every edge of $E(T_2)$, too. □
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