

# DISPERSIVE ESTIMATES FOR LINEARIZED WATER WAVE TYPE EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^d$

TILAHUN DENEKE, TAMIRAT T. DUFERA, AND ACHENEF TESFAHUN

**ABSTRACT.** We derive a  $L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d) - L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  decay estimate of order  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-d/2})$  for the linear propagators

$$\exp\left(\pm it\sqrt{|D|(1+\beta|D|^2)\tanh|D|}\right), \quad \beta \in \{0, 1\}. \quad D = -i\nabla,$$

with a loss of  $3d/4$  or  $d/4$  – derivatives in the case  $\beta = 0$  or  $\beta = 1$ , respectively. These linear propagators are known to be associated with the linearized water wave equations, where the parameter  $\beta$  measures surface tension effects. As an application we prove low regularity well-posedness for a Whitham–Boussinesq type system in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . This generalizes a recent result by Dinvey, Selberg and the third author where they proved low regularity well-posedness in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we derive a  $L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d) - L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  time-decay estimate for the linear propagators

$$\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t) := \exp(\mp it m_\beta(D)),$$

where

$$m_\beta(D) = \sqrt{|D|(1+\beta|D|^2)\tanh|D|}$$

with  $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $D = -i\nabla$ . The pseudo-differential operator  $m_\beta(D)$  appears in linearized water wave type equations. The cases  $\beta = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$  correspond respectively to purely gravity waves and capillary-gravity waves.

For instance, consider the Whitham equation without or with surface tension (see e.g., [9, 12])

$$u_t + L_\beta u_x + uu_x = 0, \quad (\beta \geq 0), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the non-local operator  $L_\beta$  is related to the dispersion relation of the (linearized) water waves system and is defined by

$$L_\beta := L_\beta(D) = \sqrt{(1+\beta|D|^2)K(D)}$$

with

$$K(D) = \frac{\tanh|D|}{|D|} \quad (D = -i\nabla = -i\partial_x).$$

The linear part of (1.1) can be written as

$$iu_t - \tilde{m}_\beta(D)u = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 5Q53, 35Q35, 76B15, 35A01, 76B03.

*Key words and phrases.* Water waves, Whitham–Boussinesq systems, Dispersive estimates, Well-posedness.

where

$$\tilde{m}_\beta(D) = DL_\beta(D) = \frac{D}{|D|} m_\beta(D).$$

In terms of Fourier symbols we have  $\tilde{m}_\beta(\xi) = \text{sgn}(\xi)m_\beta(\xi)$ . So the solution propagator for (1.2) is given by  $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{m}_\beta}(t) = \exp(-it\tilde{m}_\beta(D))$ . In fact, both  $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{m}_\beta}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(t)$  satisfy the same  $L_x^1(\mathbb{R}) - L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  time-decay estimate.

As another example, consider the full dispersion Boussinesq system (see e.g., [8, 10])

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t + L_\beta^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\eta \mathbf{v}) = 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_t + \nabla \eta + \nabla |\mathbf{v}|^2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\eta : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This system describes the evolution with time of surface waves of a liquid layer, where  $\eta$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  denote the surface elevation and the fluid velocity, respectively. One can derive an equivalent system of (1.3), by diagonalizing its linear part. Indeed, define

$$w_\pm = \frac{\eta \mp iL_\beta \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{2L_\beta},$$

where  $(\eta, \mathbf{v})$  is a solution to (1.3), and  $\mathcal{R} = |D|^{-1} \nabla$  is the Riesz transform. Then the linear part of the system (1.3) transforms to

$$i\partial_t w_\pm \mp m_\beta(D)w_\pm = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

whose corresponding solution propagators are  $\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t) = \exp(\mp itm_\beta(D))$ .

As a third example, consider the Whitham–Boussinesq type system (see e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4])

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = -K \nabla \cdot (\eta \mathbf{v}), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + L_\beta^2 \nabla \eta = -K \nabla (|\mathbf{v}|^2/2). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Again, by defining the new variables

$$u_\pm = \frac{L_\beta \eta \mp i\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{2L_\beta}, \quad (1.6)$$

we see that the linear part of (1.5) transforms to

$$i\partial_t u_\pm \mp m_\beta(D)u_\pm = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

whose solution propagators are again  $\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t) = \exp(\mp itm_\beta(D))$ .

So the linear propagators  $\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t)$  appear in all of the equations (1.1), (1.3) and (1.5). Since the symbol  $m_\beta$  is non-homogeneous, we will derive a time-decay estimate from

$$\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t) : L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for frequency localised functions. To this end, we fix a smooth cutoff function  $\chi$  such that

$$\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad \chi|_{[-1,1]} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(\chi) \subset [-2, 2].$$

Set

$$\rho(s) = \chi(s) - \chi(2s).$$

Thus,  $\text{supp } \rho = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : 1/2 \leq |s| \leq 2\}$ . For  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  we set  $\rho_\lambda(s) := \rho(s/\lambda)$  and define the frequency projection  $P_\lambda$  by

$$\widehat{P_\lambda f}(\xi) = \rho_\lambda(|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Sometimes, we write  $f_\lambda := P_\lambda f$ .

**Notation.** For any positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , the notation  $a \lesssim b$  stands for  $a \leq cb$ , where  $c$  is a positive constant that may change from line to line. Moreover, we denote  $a \sim b$  when  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$ . We also set  $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ .

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space and for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the  $L^2$ -based Sobolev space with norm  $\|f\|_{H^s} = \|\langle D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2}$ . If  $T > 0$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , we define the spaces  $L^q((0, T) : L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $L^q(\mathbb{R} : L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$  respectively through the norms

$$\|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} = \left( \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^r}^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^r}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

when  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , with the usual modifications when  $q = +\infty$ .

Our first result is as follows:

**Theorem 1** (Localised dispersive estimate). *Let  $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $d \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t)f_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c_{\beta,d}(\lambda) |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (1.8)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where

$$c_{\beta,d}(\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta}\lambda \rangle^{-\frac{d}{2}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{d}{4}+1}. \quad (1.9)$$

*Remark 1.* In view of (1.9) the loss of derivatives (this corresponds to the exponent of  $\lambda$ ) is  $3d/4$  in the case  $\beta = 0$ , whereas the loss is  $d/4$  when  $\beta = 1$ .

Once Theorem 1 is proved, the corresponding Strichartz estimates are deduced from a classical  $TT^*$  argument.

**Theorem 2** (Localised Strichartz estimate). *Let  $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $d \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Assume that the pair  $(q, r)$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$2 < q \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq r \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2}. \quad (1.10)$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm t)f_\lambda\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \lesssim [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{\frac{2}{qd}} \|f_\lambda\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(\pm(t-s))F_\lambda(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \lesssim [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{\frac{2}{qd}} \|F_\lambda\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \quad (1.12)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , where  $c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)$  is as in (1.9).

As an application of Theorem 2 we prove low regularity well-posedness for the system (1.5) with  $\beta = 0$  (i.e., for purely gravity waves) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . To this end, we complement the system (1.5) with initial data

$$\eta(0) = \eta_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0 \in \left( H^{s+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right)^d. \quad (1.13)$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\beta = 0$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and  $s > \frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is a curl-free vector field, i.e.,  $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ , and

$$\left[ \|\eta_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{(H^{s+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d))^d} \right] \leq \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Then there exists a solution

$$(\eta, \mathbf{v}) \in C \left( [0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \left( H^{s+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right)^d \right)$$

of the Cauchy problem (1.5), (1.13), with existence time  $^1 T \sim \mathcal{D}_0^{-2-}$ .

Moreover, the solution is unique in some subspace of the above solution space and the solution depends continuously on the initial data.

*Remark 2.* The following are known results:

- (i) Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are proved in [5] when  $\beta = 0$ ,  $d \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $\lambda \gtrsim 1$ .
- (ii) Theorem 3 is proved in [5] when  $d = 2$ . In the case  $d = 1$  local well-posedness for  $s > -1/10$  and global well-posedness for small initial data in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is also established in [5]. Long-time existence of solution in the case  $d = 2$  is also obtained in [14].

Van der Corput's Lemma will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1** (Van der Corput's Lemma, [13]). Assume  $g \in C^1(a, b)$ ,  $\psi \in C^2(a, b)$  and  $|\psi''(r)| \geq A$  for all  $r \in (a, b)$ . Then

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{it\psi(r)} g(r) dr \right| \leq C(At)^{-1/2} \left[ |g(b)| + \int_a^b |g'(r)| dr \right], \quad (1.14)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  that is independent of  $a, b$  and  $t$ .

Lemma 1 holds even if  $\psi'(r) = 0$  for some  $r \in (a, b)$ . However, if  $|\psi'(r)| > 0$  for all  $r \in (a, b)$ , one can use integration by parts to obtain the following Lemma. The proof may be found elsewhere but we include it here for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $g \in C_0^\infty(a, b)$  and  $\psi \in C^\infty(a, b)$  with  $|\psi'(r)| > 0$  for all  $r \in (a, b)$ . If

$$\max_{a \leq r \leq b} |\partial_r^j g(r)| \leq A, \quad \max_{a \leq r \leq b} \left| \partial_r^j \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(r)} \right) \right| \leq B \quad (1.15)$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{it\psi(r)} g(r) dr \right| \lesssim AB^N |t|^{-N}. \quad (1.16)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$I(t) = \int_a^b e^{it\psi(r)} g(r) dr.$$

For  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , define

$$S_{m,N} := \{(k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0 : k_1 < \dots < k_N \leq N \text{ \& \& } k_1 + \dots + k_N = m\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we used the notation  $a \pm := a \pm \varepsilon$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= I_1(t) = -it^{-1} \int_a^b \partial_r \left( e^{it\psi(r)} \right) \frac{1}{\psi'(r)} g(r) \, dr \\ &= (-it)^{-1} \int_a^b e^{it\psi(r)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\psi'(r)} g'(r) + \partial_r \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(r)} \right) g(r) \right\} \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the integration by parts  $N$ -times we get

$$I(t) = I_N(t) = (-it)^{-N} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_N) \in S_{m,N}} C_{k,m,N} \int_a^b e^{it\psi(r)} E_{k,m,N}(r) \, dr,$$

where  $C_{k,m,N}$  are constants and

$$E_{k,m,N}(r) = \prod_{j=1}^N \partial_r^{k_j} \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(r)} \right) \cdot g^{(N-m)}(r).$$

Applying (1.15) we obtain

$$|E_{k,m,N}| \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^N B \right) A = AB^N$$

and hence

$$|I(t)| = |I_N(t)| \lesssim AB^N |t|^{-N}$$

as desired.  $\square$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\pm = -$  and  $t > 0$ . Now we can write

$$\left[ \mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(-t)f_\lambda \right](x) = (I_\lambda(\cdot, t) * f)(x),$$

where

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\lambda x \cdot \xi + it m_\beta(\lambda \xi)} \rho(|\xi|) \, d\xi. \quad (2.1)$$

By Young's inequality

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{m_\beta}(-t)f_\lambda\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|I_\lambda(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|f\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.2)$$

and therefore, (1.8) reduces to proving

$$\|I_\lambda(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c_{\beta,d}(\lambda) t^{-\frac{d}{2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Observe that

$$t \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \Rightarrow c_{\beta,d}(\lambda) t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \gtrsim \lambda^d$$

in which case (2.3) follows from the simple estimate

$$\|I_\lambda(\cdot, t)\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \lambda^d.$$

We may therefore assume from now on

$$t \gg \lambda^{-1/2} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

**2.1. Proof of (2.3) when  $d = 1$ .** In the case  $d = 1$  we have

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\phi_\lambda(\xi)} \rho(|\xi|) d\xi,$$

where

$$\phi_\lambda(\xi) := \lambda \xi x/t + m_\beta(\lambda \xi).$$

We want to prove

$$\|I_\lambda(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \underbrace{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{=c_{\beta,1}} \quad (2.5)$$

under condition (2.4).

Since  $\text{supp } \rho = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : 1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ , we can write

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \underbrace{\lambda \int_{1/2}^2 e^{it\phi_\lambda(\xi)} \rho(\xi) d\xi}_{:=I_\lambda^+(x, t)} + \underbrace{\lambda \int_{1/2}^2 e^{it\phi_\lambda(-\xi)} \rho(\xi) d\xi}_{:=I_\lambda^-(x, t)}.$$

We estimate only  $I_\lambda^+(x, t)$  as the estimate for  $I_\lambda^-(x, t)$  can be derived in exactly the same way. Since

$$\phi'_\lambda(\xi) = \lambda \left[ x/t + m'_\beta(\lambda \xi) \right], \quad \phi''_\lambda(\xi) = \lambda^2 m''_\beta(\lambda \xi) \quad (2.6)$$

it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$|\phi''_\lambda(\xi)| \sim \lambda^3 \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-5/2} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in [1/2, 2]. \quad (2.7)$$

Now we prove (2.5) by dividing the region of integration into sets of non-stationary contribution:  $\{\xi : \phi'_\lambda(\xi) \neq 0\}$  and stationary contribution:  $\{\xi : \phi'_\lambda(\xi) = 0\}$ .

**2.1.1. Non-stationary contribution.** Since  $m'_\beta$  is positive, the non-stationary contribution occurs if either

$$x \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < -x \ll \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \quad \text{or} \quad -x \gg \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} t$$

In this case we have

$$|\phi'_\lambda(\xi)| \gtrsim \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in [1/2, 2],$$

where Lemma 5 is also used. Combining this estimate with (2.7) we get

$$\max_{1/2 \leq \xi \leq 1} \left| \partial_\xi \left( \frac{1}{\phi'_\lambda(\xi)} \right) \right| \lesssim \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Now this estimate can be combined with Lemma 2 for  $N = 1$  to estimate  $I_\lambda(x, t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\lambda^+(x, t)| &\lesssim \lambda \cdot \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} t^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where to get the second line we used (2.4).

2.1.2. *Stationary contribution.* This occurs if

$$0 < -x \sim \langle \sqrt{\beta\lambda} \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} t.$$

In this case we use Lemma 1 and (2.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\lambda^+(x, t)| &\lesssim \lambda \cdot \left( \lambda^3 \langle \sqrt{\beta\lambda} \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-5/2} t \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ |\rho(2)| + \int_{1/2}^2 |\rho'(\xi)| d\xi \right] \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \sqrt{\beta\lambda} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

2.2. **Proof of (2.3) when  $d \geq 2$ .** To prove (2.3) first observe that  $I_\lambda(x, t)$  is radially symmetric w.r.t  $x$ , as it is the inverse Fourier transform of the radial function  $e^{itm_\beta(\lambda\xi)}\rho(\xi)$ . So we can write (see [6, B.5])

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^d \int_{1/2}^2 e^{itm_\beta(\lambda r)} (\lambda r|x|)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\lambda r|x|) r^{d-1} \rho(r) dr, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $J_\alpha(r)$  is the Bessel function:

$$J_\alpha(r) = \frac{(r/2)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1/2)\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-1}^1 e^{irs} (1-s^2)^{\alpha-1/2} ds \quad \text{for } \alpha > -1/2.$$

The Bessel function  $J_\alpha(r)$  satisfies the following properties for  $\alpha > -1/2$  and  $r > 0$  (See [6, Appendix B] and [13]):

$$J_\alpha(r) \leq Cr^\alpha, \quad (2.11)$$

$$J_\alpha(r) \leq Cr^{-1/2}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\partial_r [r^{-\alpha} J_\alpha(r)] = -r^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}(r) \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, it is known that (see [7, Chapter 1, Eq. (1.5)]),

$$r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(s) = e^{is} h(s) + e^{-is} \bar{h}(s) \quad (2.14)$$

for some function  $h$  satisfying the decay estimate

$$|\partial_r^k h(r)| \leq C_k \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{d-1}{2}-k} \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (2.15)$$

We use the short hand

$$m_{\beta,\lambda}(r) = m_\beta(\lambda r), \quad \tilde{J}_\alpha(r) = r^{-\alpha} J_\alpha(r), \quad \tilde{\rho}(r) = r^{d-1} \rho(r).$$

Hence

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^d \int_{1/2}^2 e^{itm_{\beta,\lambda}(r)} \tilde{J}_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\lambda r|x|) \tilde{\rho}(r) dr, \quad (2.16)$$

We prove (2.3) by treating the cases  $|x| \lesssim \lambda^{-1}$  and  $|x| \gg \lambda^{-1}$  separately.

2.2.1. *Case 1:  $|x| \lesssim \lambda^{-1}$ .* By (2.11) and (2.13) we have for all  $r \in (1/2, 2)$  the estimate

$$\left| \partial_r^k \left[ \tilde{J}_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\lambda r|x|) \tilde{\rho}(r) \right] \right| \lesssim_k 1 \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (2.17)$$

From Corollary 2 we have

$$\max_{1/2 \leq r \leq 1} \left| \partial_r^k \left( \frac{1}{m_{\beta,\lambda}(r)} \right) \right| \lesssim_k \lambda^{-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta\lambda} \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (2.18)$$

Applying Lemma 2 with (2.17)-(2.18) and  $N = d/2$  to (2.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\lambda(x, t)| &\lesssim \lambda^d \cdot \left( \lambda^{-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{d/2} t^{-d/2} \\ &\lesssim c_{\beta, d}(\lambda) t^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where to get the second line we used (2.4).

2.2.2. *Case 2:  $|x| \gg \lambda^{-1}$ .* Using (2.14) in (2.16) we write

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^d \left[ \int_{1/2}^2 e^{it\phi_\lambda^+(r)} h(\lambda r|x|) \tilde{\rho}(r) dr + \int_{1/2}^2 e^{-it\phi_\lambda^-(r)} \bar{h}(\lambda r|x|) \tilde{\rho}(r) dr \right],$$

where

$$\phi_\lambda^\pm(r) = \lambda r|x|/t \pm m_{\beta, \lambda}(r).$$

Set  $H_\lambda(|x|, r) := h(\lambda r|x|) \tilde{\rho}(r)$ . In view of (2.15) we have

$$\max_{1/2 \leq r \leq 2} \left| \partial_r^k H_\lambda(|x|, r) \right| \lesssim (\lambda|x|)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \quad (k \geq 0), \quad (2.20)$$

where we also used the fact  $\lambda|x| \gg 1$ .

Now we write

$$I_\lambda(x, t) = I_\lambda^+(x, t) + I_\lambda^-(x, t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^+(x, t) &= \lambda^d \int_{1/2}^2 e^{it\phi_\lambda^+(r)} H_\lambda(|x|, r) dr, \\ I_\lambda^-(x, t) &= \lambda^d \int_{1/2}^2 e^{-it\phi_\lambda^-(r)} \bar{H}_\lambda(|x|, r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\partial_r \phi_\lambda^\pm(r) = \lambda \left[ |x|/t \pm m'_\beta(\lambda r) \right], \quad \partial_r^2 \phi_\lambda^\pm(r) = \pm \lambda^2 m''_\beta(\lambda r),$$

and hence by Lemma 5,

$$|\partial_r \phi_\lambda^+(r)| \gtrsim \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2}, \quad |\partial_r^2 \phi_\lambda^\pm(r)| \sim \lambda^3 \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-5/2} \quad (2.21)$$

for all  $r \in (1/2, 2)$ , where we also used the fact that  $m'_\beta$  is positive.

Estimate for  $I_\lambda^+(x, t)$ . Following as in the proof of Corollary 2, we have

$$\max_{1/2 \leq r \leq 2} \left| \partial_r^k \left( [\partial_r \phi_\lambda^+(r)]^{-1} \right) \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (2.22)$$

Applying Lemma 2 with (2.20), (2.22) and  $N = d/2$  to  $I_\lambda^+(x, t)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\lambda^+(x, t)| &\lesssim \lambda^d \cdot (\lambda|x|)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \cdot \left( \lambda^{-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{d/2} t^{-d/2} \\ &\lesssim c_{\beta, d}(\lambda) t^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where to get the second line we used the fact that  $\lambda|x| \gg 1$ , and the condition in (2.4).



Estimate for  $I_\lambda^-(x, t)$ . We treat the non-stationary and stationary cases separately. In the non-stationary case, where

$$|x| \ll \langle \beta \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} t \quad \text{or} \quad |x| \gg \langle \beta \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} t,$$

we have

$$|\partial_r \phi_\lambda^-(r)| \gtrsim \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2},$$

and hence  $I_\lambda^-(x, t)$  can be estimated in exactly the same way as  $I_\lambda^+(x, t)$  above, and satisfies the same bound as in (2.23).

So it remains to treat the stationary case:

$$|x| \sim \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} t.$$

In this case, we use Lemma 1, (2.21) and (2.20) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\lambda^-(x, t)| &\lesssim \lambda^d \cdot \left( \lambda^3 \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-5/2} t \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ |H_\lambda^-(x, 2)| + \int_{1/2}^2 |\partial_r H_\lambda^-(x, r)| dr \right] \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{d-\frac{3}{2}} \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{5}{4}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\lambda |x|)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim c_{\beta, d}(\lambda) t^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where we also used the fact that  $H_\lambda^-(x, 2) = 0$  and  $|x| \sim \langle \sqrt{\beta} \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2} t$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We shall use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality which asserts that

$$\| |\cdot|^{-\gamma} * f \|_{L^a(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| f \|_{L^b(\mathbb{R})} \quad (3.1)$$

whenever  $1 < b < a < \infty$  and  $0 < \gamma < 1$  obey the scaling condition

$$\frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{a} + 1 - \gamma.$$

We prove only (1.11) since (1.12) follows from (1.11) by the standard  $TT^*$ -argument. First note that (1.11) holds true for the pair  $(q, r) = (\infty, 2)$  as this is just the energy inequality. So we may assume  $2 < q < \infty$  and  $r > 2$ .

Let  $q'$  and  $r'$  be the conjugates of  $q$  and  $r$ , respectively, i.e.,  $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$  and  $r' = \frac{r}{r-1}$ . By the standard  $TT^*$ -argument, (1.11) is equivalent to the estimate

$$\| TT^* F \|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \lesssim [c_{\beta, d}(\lambda)]^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \| F \|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} TT^* F(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi - i(t-s)m(\xi)} \rho_\lambda^2(\xi) \widehat{F}(\xi, s) ds d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\lambda, t-s} * F(\cdot, s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$K_{\lambda, t}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\xi - itm(\xi)} \rho_\lambda^2(\xi) d\xi.$$

Since

$$K_{\lambda, t} * g(x) = e^{itm(D)} P_\lambda g_\lambda(x)$$

it follows from (1.8) that

$$\|K_{\lambda,t} * g\|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim c_{\beta,d}(\lambda) |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, we have by Plancherel

$$\|K_{\lambda,t} * g\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (3.5)$$

So interpolation between (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$\|K_{\lambda,t} * g\|_{L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{1-\frac{2}{r}} |t|^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \|g\|_{L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $r \in [2, \infty]$ .

Applying Minkowski's inequality to (3.3), and then use (3.6) and (3.1) with

$$(a, b) = (q, q'), \quad \gamma = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{r} = \frac{2}{q}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|TT^*F\|_{L^q_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|K_{\lambda,t-s} * F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds \right\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t-s|^{-d(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})} \|F(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds \right\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \|F\|_{L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^d)} \Big\|_{L^{q'}_t(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= [c_{\beta,d}(\lambda)]^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \|F\|_{L^{q'}_t L^{r'}_x(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate (3.2).

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We consider the system (1.5) with  $\beta = 0$  and a curl-free vector field  $\mathbf{v}$ , i.e.,  $\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = 0$ . Observe that

$$L_0(D) = \sqrt{K(D)}, \quad m_0(D) = |D| \sqrt{K(D)}.$$

The transformation (1.6) (with  $\beta = 0$ ) yields

$$\eta = u_+ + u_-, \quad \mathbf{v} = -i\sqrt{K}\mathcal{R}(u_+ - u_-),$$

where we have used the fact that  $\mathbf{v}$  is curl-free, in which case,

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \Delta \mathbf{v} = -|D|^2 \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} = -\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{v}).$$

Consequently, the Cauchy problem (1.5), (1.13) transforms to

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t \mp m_0(D))u_{\pm} = \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_+, u_-), \\ u_{\pm}(0) = f_{\pm}, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_+, u_-) &= 2^{-1}|D|K\mathcal{R} \cdot \left\{ (u_+ + u_-)\mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}(u_+ - u_-) \right\} \\ &\quad \pm 4^{-1}|D|\sqrt{K} \left| \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}(u_+ - u_-) \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$f_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{K}\eta_0 \mp i\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0}{2\sqrt{K}} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, Theorem 3 reduces to the following:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $s > \frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4}$ . If the initial data has size*

$$\sum_{\pm} \|f_{\pm}\|_{H^s} \leq \mathcal{D}_0,$$

*then there exists a solution*

$$u_{\pm} \in C\left([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)\right)$$

*of the Cauchy problem (4.1)–(4.3) with existence time  $T \sim \mathcal{D}_0^{-2}$ .*

*Moreover, the solution is unique in some subspace of  $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and the solution depends continuously on the initial data.*

**4.1. Reduction of Theorem 4 to bilinear estimates.** The bilinear terms in (4.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(u_+, u_-) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm_1, \pm_2} \pm_2 DK\mathcal{R} \cdot \left(u_{\pm_1} \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}u_{\pm_2}\right) \\ &\quad \pm \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\pm_1, \pm_2} (\pm_1)(\pm_2) D\sqrt{K} \left(\mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}u_{\pm_1} \cdot \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}u_{\pm_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pm_1$  and  $\pm_2$  are independent signs. Then the Duhamel's representation of (4.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pm}(t) &= \mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm t) f_{\pm} \mp \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\pm_1, \pm_2} (\pm_2) \mathcal{B}_1^{\pm}(u_{\pm_1}, u_{\pm_2})(t) \\ &\quad \mp \frac{i}{4} \sum_{\pm_1, \pm_2} (\pm_1)(\pm_2) \mathcal{B}_2^{\pm}(u_{\pm_1}, u_{\pm_2})(t), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_{m_0}(t) = e^{it m_0(D)}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1^{\pm}(u, v)(t) &:= \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm(t-t')) DK\mathcal{R} \cdot \left(u \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}v\right)(t') dt', \\ \mathcal{B}_2^{\pm}(u, v)(t) &:= \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm(t-t')) D\sqrt{K} \left(\mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}u \cdot \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}v\right)(t') dt'. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Setting  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 2 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Assume that the pair  $(q, r)$  satisfies*

$$2 < q \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq r \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2}.$$

*Then*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm t) f_{\lambda}\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{3}{2q}} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm(t-s)) F_{\lambda}(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{3}{2q}} \|F_{\lambda}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \tag{4.7}$$

*for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ .*

Now, define the contraction space,  $X_T^s$  via the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_T^s} = \left[ \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{X_{\lambda}} = \left[ \|P_{\lambda} u\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_x^2}^2 + \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{3}{q}} \|P_{\lambda} u\|_{L_T^q L_x^r}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with

$$2 < q < \infty, \quad r = \frac{2qd}{qd-4}, \quad d \geq 2. \quad (4.8)$$

In view of Corollary 1 the pair  $(q, r)$  satisfying (4.8) is an admissible pair.

Observe that

$$\|P_{\lambda} u\|_{L_T^{\infty} L_x^2} \leq \|u\|_{X_{\lambda}}, \quad \|P_{\lambda} u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r} \leq \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{3}{2q}} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover,

$$X_T^s \subset L_T^{\infty} H^s.$$

We estimate the linear part of (4.4) using (4.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm t)f_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} &= \left[ \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} \|\mathcal{S}_{m_0}(\pm t)f_{\pm}\|_{X_{\lambda}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left[ \sum_{\lambda} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} \|P_{\lambda} f_{\pm}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\sim \|f_{\pm}\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

So Theorem 4 reduces to proving bilinear estimates on the terms  $\mathcal{B}_1^{\pm}(u, v)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2^{\pm}(u, v)$  that are defined in (4.5). The proof of the following Lemma will be given in the next section.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $s > \frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2q}$  for  $q > 2$ , and  $T > 0$ . Then*

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1^{\pm}(u, v)\|_{X_T^s} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{X_T^s} \|v\|_{X_T^s}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\|\mathcal{B}_2^{\pm}(u, v)\|_{X_T^s} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{X_T^s} \|v\|_{X_T^s} \quad (4.12)$$

for all  $u, v \in X_T^s$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_1^{\pm}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2^{\pm}$  are as in (4.5).

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.** Given that Lemma 3 holds, we solve the integral equations (4.4) by contraction mapping techniques. We shall apply Lemma 3 with

$$q = \frac{2}{1-2\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1.$$

Consequently, the exponent  $s$  will be restricted to

$$s > \frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Define the mapping

$$(u_+, u_-) \mapsto (\Phi^+(u_+, u_-), \Phi^-(u_+, u_-)),$$

where  $\Phi^\pm(u_+, u_-)$  is given by the right hand side of (4.4). Now given initial data with norm

$$\sum_{\pm} \|f_{\pm}\|_{H^s} \leq \mathcal{D}_0,$$

we look for a solution in the set

$$E_T = \left\{ (u_{\pm} \in X_T^s : \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \leq 2C\mathcal{D}_0) \right\}.$$

By (4.10) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pm} \|\Phi^\pm(u_+, u_-)\|_{X_T^s} &\leq C \sum_{\pm} \|f_{\pm}\|_{H^s} + CT^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \right)^2 \\ &\leq C\mathcal{D}_0 + CT^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} (2C\mathcal{D}_0)^2 \\ &\leq 2C\mathcal{D}_0, \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$T \leq (8C^2\mathcal{D}_0)^{-\frac{2}{1+2\varepsilon}}. \quad (4.13)$$

Similarly, for two pair of solutions  $(u_+, u_-)$  and  $(v_+, v_-)$  in  $E_T$  with the same data, one can derive the difference estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pm} \|\Phi^\pm(u_+, u_-) - \Phi^\pm(v_+, v_-)\|_{X_T^s} &\leq CT^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} + \|v_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \right) \left( \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm} - v_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \right) \\ &\leq 4C^2T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_0 \left( \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm} - v_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\pm} \|u_{\pm} - v_{\pm}\|_{X_T^s} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.13).

Therefore,  $(\Phi^+, \Phi^-)$  is a contraction on  $E_T$  and therefore it has a unique fixed point  $(u_+, u_-) \in E_T$  solving the integral equation (4.4)–(4.5) on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$ , where  $T \sim \mathcal{D}_0^{-2-}$ . Uniqueness in the space  $X_T^s \times X_T^s$  and continuous dependence on the initial data can be shown in a similar way, by the difference estimates. This concludes the proof of Theorems 4.

## 5. PROOF OF LEMMA 3

First we prove some bilinear estimates in Lemma 4 below that will be crucial in the proof of Lemma 3. To do so, we need the following Bernstein inequality, which is valid for  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$  (see, for instance, [15, Appendix A]).

$$\|f_{\lambda}\|_{L_x^b} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d}{a}-\frac{d}{b}} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{L_x^a}, \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, we have for all  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\| |D|^{s_1} K^{s_2} \mathcal{R}f_{\lambda} \|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \lambda^{s_1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{-s_2} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{L_x^p}, \quad (5.2)$$

where we used  $K(\xi) \sim \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $q > 2$ ,  $T > 0$  and  $\lambda_j \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2$ ). Then*

$$\left\| |D| K P_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{R} \cdot \left( u_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{R} \sqrt{K} v_{\lambda_2} \right) \right\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \frac{T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \min(\langle \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_2 \rangle)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}}}{\langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda_1}} \|v\|_{X_{\lambda_2}}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\left\| |D| \sqrt{K} P_{\lambda_0} \left( \mathcal{R} \sqrt{K} u_{\lambda_1} \cdot \mathcal{R} \sqrt{K} v_{\lambda_2} \right) \right\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \frac{T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(\langle \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_2 \rangle)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}}}{\langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda_1}} \|v\|_{X_{\lambda_2}} \quad (5.4)$$

for all  $u \in X_{\lambda_1}$  and  $v \in X_{\lambda_2}$ .

*Proof.* We only prove (5.3) since the proof for (5.4) is similar. To prove (5.3), by symmetry, we may assume  $\lambda_1 \lesssim \lambda_2$ . Let

$$q = 2^+, \quad r = \frac{2qd}{qd-4}, \quad d \geq 2$$

Then by Hölder, (5.2), (5.1) and (4.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS (5.3)} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_0 \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-1} \left\| \mathcal{R} \cdot (u_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{R} \sqrt{K} v_{\lambda_2}) \right\|_{L_{T,x}^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{\lambda_1}\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \|v_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_1^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{2}{q}} \|u_{\lambda_1}\|_{L_T^q L_x^r} \|v_{\lambda_2}\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_1^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{2}{q}} \langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{3}{2q}} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda_1}} \|v\|_{X_{\lambda_2}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} \|u\|_{X_{\lambda_1}} \|v\|_{X_{\lambda_2}} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.3).  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 3. To this end, we decompose  $u = \sum_\lambda u_\lambda$  and  $v = \sum_\lambda v_\lambda$ . Note that by denoting

$$a_\lambda := \|u\|_{X_\lambda}, \quad b_\lambda := \|v\|_{X_\lambda}$$

we can write

$$\|u\|_{X_T^s} = \|(\langle \lambda \rangle^s a_\lambda)\|_{l_\lambda^2}, \quad \|v\|_{X_T^s} = \|(\langle \lambda \rangle^s b_\lambda)\|_{l_\lambda^2}. \quad (5.5)$$

We shall make a frequent use of the following dyadic summation estimate, for  $\mu, \lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $c_1, c_2, p > 0$ :

$$\sum_{\mu \sim \lambda} a_\mu \sim a_\lambda, \quad \sum_{c_1 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim c_2} \lambda^p \lesssim \begin{cases} c_2^p & \text{if } p > 0, \\ c_1^p & \text{if } p < 0. \end{cases}$$

**5.1. Proof of (4.11).** Applying (4.7) to  $\mathcal{B}_1^\pm(u, v)$  in (4.5) we get

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1^\pm(u, v)\|_{X_T^s}^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left\| |D| K \mathcal{R} \cdot P_{\lambda_0} \left( u \mathcal{R} \sqrt{K} v \right) \right\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2}^2,$$

where (4.9) is also used. By the dyadic decomposition

$$\left\| |D|K\mathcal{R} \cdot P_{\lambda_0} \left( u\mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}v \right) \right\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\| |D|K\mathcal{R} \cdot P_{\lambda_0} \left( u_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{R}\sqrt{K}v_{\lambda_2} \right) \right\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Now let  $\lambda_{\min}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{med}}$  and  $\lambda_{\max}$  denote the minimum, median and the maximum of  $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ , respectively. By checking the support properties in Fourier space of the bilinear term on the right hand side of (5.6) one can see that this term vanishes unless  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda$ , where

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda : \lambda_{\text{med}} \sim \lambda_{\max}\}.$$

Thus, we have a non-trivial contribution in (5.6) only if  $\lambda \in \cup_{j=0}^2 \Lambda_j$ , where

$$\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda : \lambda_0 \lesssim \lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2\},$$

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda : \lambda_2 \ll \lambda_1 \sim \lambda_0\},$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda : \lambda_1 \ll \lambda_2 \sim \lambda_0\}.$$

By using these facts, and applying (5.3) to the right hand side of (5.6), we get

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1^\pm(u, v)\|_{X_T^s}^2 \lesssim T^{2-\frac{2}{q}} \sum_{j=0}^2 \mathcal{J}_j$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_j = \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left[ \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2: \lambda \in \Lambda_j} \frac{\min(\langle \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_2 \rangle)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}}}{\langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} a_{\lambda_1} b_{\lambda_2} \right]^2 \quad (5.7)$$

So (4.11) reduces to proving

$$\mathcal{J}_j \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^s}^2 \|v\|_{X_T^s}^2 \quad \text{if } s > \frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2q} \quad (5.8)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

These are shown to hold as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_0 &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left( \sum_{\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2 \gtrsim \lambda_0} a_{\lambda_1} \cdot \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} b_{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{-2(s-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2q})} \left( \sum_{\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2 \gtrsim \lambda_0} \langle \lambda_1 \rangle^s a_{\lambda_1} \cdot \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^s b_{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^s}^2 \|v\|_{X_T^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where to obtain the last line we used Cauchy Schwarz inequality in  $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$  and (5.5).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left( \sum_{\lambda_2 \ll \lambda_1 \sim \lambda_0} a_{\lambda_1} \cdot \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} b_{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} a_{\lambda_0}^2 \left( \sum_{\lambda_2} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} b_{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^s}^2 \|v\|_{X_T^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where to get the last two inequalities we used  $\sum_{\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_0} a_{\lambda_1} \sim a_{\lambda_0}$  and by Cauchy Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda_2} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} b_{\lambda_2} &= \sum_{\lambda_2} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}-s} \cdot \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^s b_{\lambda_2} \\ &\lesssim \|(\langle \lambda_2 \rangle^s b_{\lambda_2})\|_{l_{\lambda_2}^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{X_T^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left( \sum_{\lambda_1 \ll \lambda_2 \sim \lambda_0} \langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} a_{\lambda_1} \cdot b_{\lambda_2} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} b_{\lambda_0}^2 \left( \sum_{\lambda_1} \langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}} a_{\lambda_1} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^s}^2 \|v\|_{X_T^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

**5.2. Proof of (4.12).** Arguing as in the preceding subsection we apply (4.7) to  $\mathcal{B}_2^\pm(u, v)$  in (4.5) and then use (5.4) to obtain

$$\|\mathcal{B}_2^\pm(u, v)\|_{X_T^s}^2 \lesssim T^{2-\frac{2}{q}} \sum_{j=0}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_j$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_j = \sum_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{2s} \left[ \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_j} C(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) a_{\lambda_1} b_{\lambda_2} \right]^2$$

with

$$C(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{\langle \lambda_0 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \min(\langle \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_2 \rangle)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}}}{\langle \lambda_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \lambda_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

So (4.12) reduces to proving

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_j \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^s}^2 \|v\|_{X_T^s}^2 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2).$$

These can be proved following the argument of the preceding subsection by using the fact that

$$C(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \lesssim \min(\langle \lambda_1 \rangle, \langle \lambda_2 \rangle)^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2q}}$$

for all  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ .



## 6. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we derive some useful estimates on the derivatives of all order for the function

$$m_\beta(r) = \sqrt{r(1 + \beta r^2) \tanh(r)}, \quad \beta \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Estimates for the first and second order derivatives of this function is derived recently in [11].

Clearly,

$$m_\beta(r) \sim r \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-1/2}. \quad (6.1)$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\beta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $r > 0$ . Then*

$$m'_\beta(r) \sim \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-1/2}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$|m''_\beta(r)| \sim r \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-5/2}. \quad (6.3)$$

Moreover,

$$|m_\beta^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim_k r^{1-k} \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-1/2} \quad (k \geq 3). \quad (6.4)$$

*Proof.* The estimates (6.2) and (6.3) are proved in [11, Lemma 3.2, see its proof in Section 5]. So we only prove (6.4).

Let

$$T(r) = \tanh r, \quad S(r) = \operatorname{sech} r.$$

Then

$$T' = S^2, \quad S' = -TS, \quad T'' = -2TS^2.$$

In general, we have

$$T^{(j)}(r) = S^2 \cdot P_{j-1}(S, T) \quad (j \geq 1)$$

for some polynomial  $P_{j-1}$  of degree  $j-1$ .

Clearly,

$$T(r) \sim r \langle r \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S(r) \sim e^{-r}.$$

So  $|P_{j-1}(S, T)| \lesssim 1$ , and hence

$$|T^{(j)}(r)| \lesssim e^{-2r} \quad (j \geq 1). \quad (6.5)$$

Write

$$m_\beta(r) = f_\beta(r) \cdot T_0(r),$$

where  $f_\beta(r) = \sqrt{r} \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle$  and  $T_0(r) = \sqrt{T(r)}$ . One can show that

$$|f_\beta^{(j)}(r)| \lesssim r^{\frac{1}{2}-j} \langle \sqrt{\beta} r \rangle \quad (j \geq 0). \quad (6.6)$$

Combining (6.5) with  $T(r) \sim r \langle r \rangle^{-1}$  we obtain

$$T_0(r) \sim r^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |T_0^{(j)}(r)| \lesssim r^{\frac{1}{2}-j} \langle r \rangle^{j-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2r} \quad (j \geq 1). \quad (6.7)$$

Finally, we use (6.6) and (6.7) to obtain for all  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |m_{\beta}^{(k)}(r)| &= \left| f_{\beta}^{(k)}(r)T_0(r) + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} f_{\beta}^{(k-j)}(r)T_0^{(j)}(r) \right| \\ &\lesssim r^{1-k} \langle \sqrt{\beta}r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} r^{\frac{1}{2}-(k-j)} \langle \sqrt{\beta}r \rangle \cdot r^{\frac{1}{2}-j} \langle r \rangle^{j-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2r} \\ &\lesssim r^{1-k} \langle \sqrt{\beta}r \rangle \langle r \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.** For  $\lambda, r > 0$ , define  $m_{\beta,\lambda}(r) = m_{\beta}(\lambda r)$ . Then

$$\max_{r \sim 1} \left| \partial_r^k \left( \frac{1}{m'_{\beta,\lambda}(r)} \right) \right| \lesssim_k \lambda^{-1} \langle \sqrt{\beta}\lambda \rangle^{-1} \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (6.8)$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$m_{\beta,\lambda}^{(k)}(r) = \lambda^k m_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda r) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

By (6.2) we have, for  $r \sim 1$ ,

$$|m'_{\beta,\lambda}(r)| \sim \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta}\lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and by (6.3)–(6.4)

$$|m_{\beta,\lambda}^{(k)}(r)| \lesssim_k \lambda \langle \sqrt{\beta}\lambda \rangle \langle \lambda \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Finally, one can combine these two estimates with the differentiation formula

$$\partial_r^k \left( \frac{1}{f} \right) = \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_p \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_p = k}} c_{p,k_1, \dots, k_p} \frac{\partial_r^{k_1} f \dots \partial_r^{k_p} f}{f^{p+1}}$$

to obtain the desired estimate (6.8).

□

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments on an earlier version of this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. D. Carter, *Bi-directional Whitham equations as models of waves on shallow water*, Wave Motion **82** (2018), 51–61.
- [2] T. Deneke, T. T. Dufera and A. Tesfahun: *Comparison between Boussinesq and Whitham-Boussinesq type systems*. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences (2022): <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.8304>.
- [3] E. Dinvay, *On well-posedness of a dispersive system of the Whitham-Boussinesq type*, Appl. Math. Lett. **88** (2019), 13–20.
- [4] E. Dinvay, D. Dutykh, and H. Kalisch, *A comparative study of bi-directional Whitham systems*, Appl. Numer. Math. **141** (2019), 248–262.
- [5] E. Dinvay, S. Selberg and A. Tesfahun *Well-posedness for a dispersive system of the Whitham-Boussinesq type*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **52** (3), pp. 2353–2382
- [6] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 249, Springer, New York, 2014. MR 3243734
- [7] F. John, *Plane Waves and Spherical Means, Applied to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 1981.

- [8] C. KLEIN, F. LINARES, D. PILOD AND J.-C. SAUT, *On Whitham and related equations*, Studies in Appl. Math. **140** (2018), pp. 133–177
- [9] E. Dinvyay, D. Moldabayev, D. Dutykh and H. Kalisch, *The Whitham equation with surface tension*, Nonlinear Dyn (2017) 88:1125–1138.
- [10] D. Lannes, *Water waves : mathematical theory and asymptotics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol 188 (2013), AMS, Providence.
- [11] D. Pilod, J-C Saut, S. Selberg and A. Tesfahun *Dispersive Estimates for Full Dispersion KP Equations*. J. Math. Fluid Mech. (2021) 23:25, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00021-021-00557-3>.
- [12] F. Remonato and H. Kalisch, *Numerical bifurcation for the capillary Whitham equation*, Physica D, **343** (2017), 51–62.
- [13] Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [14] A. Tesfahun, *Long-time existence for Whitham-Boussinesq system in two dimensions*. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.03628>.
- [15] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 106, AMS, Providence, RI, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NAZARBAYEV UNIVERSITY, QABANBAI BATYR AVENUE 53, 010000  
 NUR-SULTAN, REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN  
 Email address: acheneff@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ADAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ETHIOPIA  
 Email address: tamirat.temesgen@astu.edu.et, tilahuneneke8@yahoo.com