Geometrical phases and entanglement in real space for 1D SSH topological insulator: effects of first and second neighbor-hoppings and intra-cell modulation
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We study the hybrid atoms-cell site entanglement in one-dimensional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) topological insulator with first, second neighbor-hopping interaction and intra-cell modulation, in space representation of finite chains. We determine the geometric phases by the Resta electric polarization and the entanglement in the atomic basis by the Schmidt number as metric of the degree of non-classicity, the maximally entangled quantum states are characterized by the inverse participation ratio IPR. A relation between entanglement and the topological phase transitions (TPT) are found, since the Schmidt number presented critical points of maximally entangled (ME) states just in the singularities of the change of the winding number for the nearest states to the zero mode energy and shifted for higher energy state. We present the general conditions that has to be satisfy to produce a ME hybrid Bell states. We obtain that the states with second neighbors, with two phases, shows a higher degree of entanglement than the first order hopping. We obtain parameter conditions with broad regions for non null topological phases that can have maximum entanglement. We find a robust relation between entanglement and the inverse participation ratio (IPR) for the the eigenstates with ME which are associated to maximum delocalization in both models. The amplitude distribution for each atom are spatially localized in cell site showing a bunching in cell sets. The intra-cell modulation provides a better connection between entanglement and electric polarization with a periodical driven period. The study of topological properties through entanglement is an complementary tool for determining macroscopic properties and analyzing more complex systems with many-body particles or higher dimensions.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Topological systems promise to be materials with various implementations, these could replace other materials and even generate improvements in technology \cite{112}. In condensed matter physics, they have gained interest in recent years due to their peculiar properties, for example, more efficient transport in electronic hetero-structures like graphene \cite{3}, high thermal conductivity \cite{4}, favorable mechanical properties under strains \cite{5}, minimization of noise in thermal \cite{6} and decoherence effects in open systems \cite{7}. In particular, the property of topological robustness protects all this properties from quantum fluctuations or defects in the system \cite{8}. Also topological insulators or superconductors, present protected states on their surface or boundary, that allows to drive current in a more stable way, this states are also know as edge states or zero mode energy states \cite{2}. On the other hand, one of the topics in quantum information theory is on the study of entanglement and quantum correlations involved in condensed matter systems \cite{9,10}. This research area try to measure distances in the quantum Hilbert space by using distinct type of metrics as, for example, concurrence, fidelity, Schmidt number, purity or Bures metric, all of these definitions measure entanglement in different ways but in general there are related by the superposition property of non-separability of the wave-function of quantum states and the collapse of the probability by a selective measure.

According to the quantum geometric tensor, there is a connection between quantum correlations and the presence of topological robustness \cite{11}, therefore, the study of entanglement and in general quantum correlations in topological systems is interesting \cite{12}. The origin of the relationship between quantum correlation metrics and geometric phases becomes from the Fubini-Study geometrical quantum tensor of the complex projective space in the projective geometry of Hilbert space \cite{13}, because that the imaginary part of this tensor is related with the Berry curvature and all the topological features, for other hand, the real part of the tensor is related with a Riemannian metric and measure entanglement and all aspects of non-separability of quantum states.

There are already some works that mention some peculiarities of these types of materials \cite{2,3,6,7,14} and in general it has been found that due to the robustness of these materials there are more quantum correlations which allows a greater degree of efficiency in electronic transport \cite{15,16}, given that in this regime topological states arise which are related to the emergence of these topological invariants that protect the system against information decoherences \cite{17}. Therefore, its expect that topological materials be good platforms for quantum in-
formation processing and manipulation [18–20]. Also many research centers try to create a quantum computer with achievable scalability and stable quantum states, many possible physical states are implemented as, for example, photonic systems, trapped ions, superconducting qubit systems, and some others. For this reason among others, various areas of technological development propose these topological materials as good candidates for their implementation in electronic devices and quantum computing, and promise to be a revolution in quantum technological era.

Multiparticle systems [21] are composite systems that have more than 1 sub-spaces, mathematically these are tensor products of sub-spaces. In particular, bipartite system is composite for two sub-spaces and there are non-separable states if the global product can’t written as a individual products of sub-systems, mathematically this follows as $\rho^{AB} \neq \rho^A \otimes \rho^B$. Therefore, the non-separability property is a very important condition related to entanglement of quantum states [22–24].

Possible the non-trivial system more simple with entangled behavior is a two level system or qubit [24–25]. The qubit has a pure bipartite basis with bi-orthogonal states, for example, the spin or the computational basis has the form of a qubit system, and have many important properties to quantum information applications.

The connection between topological materials in condensed matter physics and manipulation of qubits with entanglement properties in quantum information theory [20] opens a new research area to create new technology, for example, quantum computing, cryptography, network’s and quantum security more stable [27–28] and protected [29].

In this work, we present a characterization of the TPT via the Schmidt number metric [30, 31] as a measure of entanglement of formation of the eigenstates of the simple [22–23] and extended [24] SSH models. We also study the case when the intra-hopping tunneling amplitude have a modulated parameter with a adiabatic condition that preserve the gap deformation open, this gives a entanglement spectrum and geometric phase diagram with a behavior more complex.

II. 1D SSH TOPOLOGICAL INSULATOR

The system of study is the SSH Hamiltonian [33], which $t$ is a tight-binding model of a wire with an alternating single and double hopping (Fig. 1). The basis of the wire is constructed by a cell of two types of atoms A and B. The Hamiltonian in real space can be written as,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ext}} = \hat{H} + z \sum_{m}^{N} |m+1\rangle \langle m| \otimes |B\rangle \langle A| + h.c.$$

$$+ \hat{H} + z \sum_{m}^{N} |m+1\rangle \langle m| \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{-} + h.c.$$

where the second neighbor hopping is $z$ and $\hat{H} = \sum_{m}^{N} (v |m\rangle \langle m| \otimes |A\rangle \langle B| + w |m+1\rangle \langle m| \otimes |A\rangle \langle B|) + h.c.$.

Possible the non-trivial system more simple with entangled behavior is a two level system or qubit [24–25]. The qubit has a pure bipartite basis with bi-orthogonal states, for example, the spin or the computational basis has the form of a qubit system, and have many important properties to quantum information applications.

The connection between topological materials in condensed matter physics and manipulation of qubits with entanglement properties in quantum information theory [20] opens a new research area to create new technology, for example, quantum computing, cryptography, network’s and quantum security more stable [27–28] and protected [29].

In this work, we present a characterization of the TPT via the Schmidt number metric [30, 31] as a measure of entanglement of formation of the eigenstates of the simple [22–23] and extended [24] SSH models. We also study the case when the intra-hopping tunneling amplitude have a modulated parameter with a adiabatic condition that preserve the gap deformation open, this gives a entanglement spectrum and geometric phase diagram with a behavior more complex.

This model defines the essential form for the bipartite system of $2 \otimes N$, expected and for other hand to the delocalization over the topological chain. It is our interest in this work is to study the bipartite entanglement between cells positions over the chain and type of atom. The eigenfunctions can be expanded as a superposition of the composite states, $|m, \alpha\rangle = |m\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle \in H_{\text{ext}} \otimes H_{\text{int}}$, here $H_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow |m\rangle$ is the external dimension or degree of freedom related to the position over the chain, while $H_{\text{int}} \rightarrow |\alpha\rangle$ represent the internal degree of freedom with $\alpha \in (A, B)$ related to the type of atom.

If we introduce an additional hopping to second neighbors characterized by the parameter $z$ (Fig. 1), this hopping allows transfer atoms A to atoms B in two cells, and can be represented as the projector $|m+1\rangle \langle m| \otimes |B\rangle \langle A|$.

Therefore, the full Hamiltonian (Eq. 1) is more general that the simple SSH case. Therefore we obtain the simple SSH model from the extended case when we turn off $z$ tunneling amplitude.

We also study a intra-cell modulation that not break down the geometrical phases. We consider a parameter $\Theta = 2\pi \phi$ in the Hamiltonian with the condition that $\Omega \ll \frac{2\pi}{\Theta}$ such that $T \rightarrow \infty$, so we have a smooth evolution [14–26]. Here we consider this modulation into the intra-hopping $v(\phi) = v_{p} + \cos \Theta$, where $v(\phi)$ is modulated by a cosine signal, whose average value is fixed at $v_{p}$.

The eigensolution of the Hamiltonian (Eq. 1), $H |\psi_{n}\rangle = E_{n} |\psi_{n}\rangle$ can be expressed as a combination of the composite state, $|\psi_{n}\rangle = \sum_{m}^{N} (C^{A}_{m,n} |m, A\rangle + C^{B}_{m,n} |m, B\rangle)$, where $C^{A}_{m,n}$ are the amplitude of probability of the particle to be in cell $|m\rangle$ and atom $|A\rangle$.
III. GEOMETRICAL PHASES AND ELECTRICAL POLARIZATION

To understand geometrical phases in our models we characterized the phases in the k-space and we provide a specific procedure to determine it in real space based on calculation of electric polarization in periodic systems introduced by Resta [37]. The Hamiltonian in real space can reduce to a 2 x 2 matrix in k-space as

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v + we^{ik} + ze^{-ik} \\ v + we^{ik} + ze^{-ik} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the TR can be recovered with $$\phi$$ with associated eigenvectors $$v < w$$ for the extended Hamiltonian we get,

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v + we^{ik} + ze^{-ik} \\ v + we^{ik} + ze^{-ik} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be rewritten in terms of Pauli matrices as

$$H(k) = h(k) \cdot \sigma,$$

where $$h(k) = (v + (w + z) \cos k, (w - z) \sin k, 0)$$ is a vector in the plane $$h_x - h_y$$ that maps an ellipsoid centered on $$v$$. Also in complex plane is

$$h(k) = h_x(k) + ih_y(k).$$

The energy in k-space is given by

$$\frac{\phi(k)}{h} = \pm \sqrt{v^2 + w^2 + 2v(w + z) \cos k + 2wz \cos 2k},$$

with associated eigenvectors $$|u_{\pm}^z\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm e^{-i\phi(k)}, 1)$$

where $$\phi(k) = \tan^{-1} \frac{h_y}{h_x}$$. Using $$z = 0$$ the simple SSH case can be recovered with $$h(k) = (v + w \cos k, w \sin k)$$ being now a circle center in $$v$$. Clearly, the energy spectrum is quiral and therefore we have that $$E_- = -E_+$$ and also we have the property of chirality of the Hamiltonian $$\sigma_z H \sigma_z = -H$$, or $$\{\sigma_z, H\} = 0$$ with $$\sigma_z^2 = 1$$.

The topology of the 1D SSH model is characterized by the winding number that is related to the Berry phase or geometrical phases as

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{d}{dk} \ln(h(k)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{d}{dk} \ln(v + we^{ik} + ze^{-ik}),$$

here C is the Brillouin zone $$k \in [-\pi, \pi]$$. We get the simple SSH model setting $$z = 0$$ in the integral (Eq. 3), therefore, the winding number has values,

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} 0, & v > w \\ 1, & v < w \end{cases},$$

where the topological region (TR) is $$v > w$$ and the trivial region $$v < w$$ and the singularity of the winding number occurs in $$v = w$$. If after a cyclic evolution $$\zeta = 0$$ we say that the singularity has not been enclosed within the curve defined by $$h(k)$$ and therefore is a trivial insulator with not accumulated geometric phase.

For the extended SSH model $$z \neq 0$$ we obtain the winding number,

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} 0, & v > w + z \\ 1, & v < w + z, w > z, \\ -1, & v < w + z, w < z \end{cases},$$

where the TR happens in $$v < w + z$$ and trivial region in $$v > w + z$$. On the other hand, when $$\zeta = \pm 1$$ it will be a topological insulator case with the positive sign due to counter clockwise and negative sign to clockwise directions over the curve, respectively. This topological invariant is related to the Berry phase as $$\gamma = \pi \zeta$$ and also with the electrical polarization $$P = e^x_\xi$$.

In the extended SSH model there are two points of TPT $$v = w + z$$ and $$w = z$$, the first one $$v = w + z$$ is related to TPT between trivial and non-trivial regions $$\zeta = 0 \rightarrow 1$$ or vice-verse, while $$w = z$$ is related to TPT between TR $$\zeta = \pm 1 \rightarrow \mp 1$$.

For the intra-cell adiabatic modulation with $$z = 0$$, the geometrical phases are characterized as,

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} 0, & \theta > \cos^{-1}(v_p - w) \\ 1, & \theta < \cos^{-1}(v_p - w) \end{cases},$$

in this case the intra-cell hopping is $$\nu(\theta) = v_p + \cos(\theta)$$, therefore, the TR is given by the condition $$v(\theta) < w$$ or $$\theta < \cos^{-1}(v_p - w)$$ with a winding number $$\zeta = 1$$, for other hand, trivial region is $$v(\theta) > w$$ or $$\theta > \cos^{-1}(v_p - w)$$ with a winding number as $$\zeta = 0$$, also there is a singular point in $$\theta = \cos^{-1}(v_p - w)$$ and the dimerized limit rich when $$v(\theta)$$ or $$\theta = \cos^{-1}(-v_p)$$.

However this phases are determined in k-space where the system has periodic boundary conditions. Therefore we need to use another more convenient procedure in real space where the non-separable property of the bipartite system still remain. For this reason we calculate the electrical polarization by the definition of Resta polarization [37, 59],

$$P_n = \frac{e}{2\pi} \text{Im} \ln[\langle \psi_n | e^{i\delta \hat{x}} | \psi_n \rangle]$$

where $$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{N_n}$$ and $$\hat{x} = \sum_{m} m |m, A\rangle + |m, B\rangle$$, with the charge of electron “e” and the atomic distance “a” in natural units e = a = 1 and $$\hat{x} = e^{i\delta \hat{x}}$$. Its follows that geometrical phase is, $$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Im} \ln[\langle \psi_n | e^{i\delta \hat{x}} | \psi_n \rangle],$$ so $$P_n = \frac{e}{2\pi} \gamma_n$$, electrical polarization is proportional to the geometrical phase [10]. The idea in this formulation is consider a new operator of position for a composite system with periodic boundary conditions in real space [11]. For the SSH basis wave function we can write the electric polarization [42] as $$P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Im} \ln[\sum_{m} e^{i\delta m}(|C_{m,n}^A|^2 + |C_{m,n}^B|^2)].$$

IV. SCHMIDT NUMBER AND ENTANGLEMENT

For the measure of entanglement we use the Schmidt number [43, 44] because is defined as the metric of entanglement of formation in pure bipartite systems can be described by bi-orthonormal wavefunctions as $$|\psi^{ext, int}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \sqrt{P_n} |v^{ext}_n, v^{int}_n\rangle$$ [15], where $$k \leq \text{dim}[\text{ext}, \text{int}]$$ and $$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{ext} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{int}$$, which allows to characterize the
degree of entanglement through the Schmidt number $K$, defined as follows

$$K = \frac{1}{\sum \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(\rho_r^2)}$$  \hfill (8)

where $\rho_r$ is the density matrix of the reduced space $\rho_r = \text{Tr}_p(\rho_{\text{ext},\text{int}})$. For non-separable system its follows that $\rho_{\text{ext},\text{int}} \neq \rho_{\text{ext}} \otimes \rho_{\text{int}}$ with the property $\text{Tr}_p(\rho_{\text{ext}}) = \text{Tr}_p(\rho_{\text{int}}) = 1$.

The Schmidt number is also defined as the metric of entanglement for the SSH model in the reduced space of the qubit formed by the two level system referred to the type of atom $A$ or $B$. The total dimension of the SSH model is $2 \otimes N$ with $N$ the number of sites over the chain. By doing the partial trace we have that the reduced matrix is a $2 \times 2$ matrix. We considered that the hopping are real numbers, for simplicity, so we can write the wave function of the eigenstate in the form $|a_n\rangle = \sum m (C_{m,n}^A |m,A\rangle + C_{m,n}^B |m,B\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\phi^A\rangle \otimes |A\rangle + |\phi^B\rangle \otimes |B\rangle)$, where $|\phi^\alpha\rangle = \sqrt{2} \sum m C_{m,n}^\alpha |m\rangle$, expressing in this form $|ψ_n\rangle$ is clear that has a non-separable basis, where $|\phi^A\rangle$ and $|\phi^B\rangle$ are mutually orthogonal. The Schmidt number can be interpreted as a geometric point of view when the reduced density matrix represents a two dimensional system, as in our case. In this condition, the density matrix defines a Bloch vector of the form $r = \langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Tr}_p(ρ_n)$, where $ρ_n = |ψ_n\rangle \langle ψ_n|$ is the density matrix of the pure state $|ψ_n\rangle$ and $σ = (σ_x, σ_y, σ_z)$ are the Pauli matrices. For a qubit system $ρ = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I} + r \cdot σ)$, using this notation Schmidt number can be rewritten as $K = \frac{d}{d + |r|}$, where $d$ is the dimension of the reduced density matrix in qubit system. Here we consider $d = 2$, due to the internal dimension of the basis atom $|α\rangle$. The ME is reached when $K = 2$, for which occurs for Bloch vectors where $|r| = 0$, on the other hand, the system becomes separable when $K = 1$ such that the Bloch vector is $|r| = 1$. The ME states which are of the hybrid Bell states for the structure of the wavefunction. The hybrid entanglement is between cell sites and atoms. These must satisfy the conditions $\langle φ^A | φ^A \rangle = \sum m |C_{m,n}^A|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\langle φ^B | φ^B \rangle = \sum m |C_{m,n}^B|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and also $\langle φ^A | φ^B \rangle = \sum m C_{m,n}^A C_{m,n}^B = 0$. Using this basis we can write Schmidt number as, $K_n = \frac{[(\sum m |C_{m,n}^A|^2)^2 + (\sum m |C_{m,n}^B|^2)^2 + 2(\sum m C_{m,n}^A C_{m,n}^B)^2]^{-1}}{\sum m |C_{m,n}^A|^2 + |C_{m,n}^B|^2}$.

An important case to analyze for entanglement aspects, is the dimerized limit, in the bulk in the fully dimerized limits has flat bands. These consist of the even energy $E = +1$ and odd energy $E = -1$ superposition of the two sites forming a dimer. Trivial dimerized case occurs for $\nu = 1$ and $\omega = 0$, so, the eigenvalue equation reduce to $\hat{H}(|m,A\rangle \pm |m,B\rangle) = \pm(|m,A\rangle \pm |m,B\rangle)$. For other hand, the topological dimerized case occurs for $\nu = 0$ and $\omega \neq 0$, therefore, the eigenvalue equation reduce to $\hat{H}(|m,B\rangle \pm |m + 1,A\rangle) = \pm(|m,B\rangle \pm |m + 1,A\rangle)$. For topological dimerized limit, the corresponding normalize states are $ψ_+^{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|m,B\rangle \pm |m + 1,A\rangle)$ and the corresponding reduced density matrix is

$$ρ_{r,±}^\text{max} = \frac{1}{2} [δ_{m,m'} |B\rangle \langle B| + δ_{m+1,m'+1} |A\rangle \langle A|],$$

these states has a Schmidt number as follows,

$$K_{r,±}^\text{max} = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(ρ_{r,±}^\text{max})^2} = 2,$$

therefore, in the topological dimerized limit there is an entangled state with maximum Schmidt number. For other hand, trivial dimerized limit have normalize states as $ψ_±^{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|m,A\rangle \pm |m,B\rangle)$, therefore, their reduced density matrix is

$$ρ_{r,±}^{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{2} [δ_{m,m'} |B\rangle \langle B| + δ_{m,m'} |A\rangle \langle A|],$$

± δ_{m,m'} |B\rangle \langle A| ± δ_{m,m'} |A\rangle \langle B|]

and the corresponding Schmidt number is

$$K_{r,±}^{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(ρ_{r,±}^{\text{min})^2})} = 1,$$

therefore, in the trivial dimerized limit the system become disentangled. In both fully dimerized limits, the energy eigenvalues are independent of the wavenumber, $E(k) = 1$. In this so-called flat-band limit, the group velocity is zero, which again shows that as the chain falls apart to dimers, a particle input into the bulk will not spread along the chain. In k-space trivial and topological dimerized limits are $\hat{H}(k) = \hat{σ}_x$ and $\hat{H}(k) = \hat{σ}_x \cos k + \hat{σ}_y \sin k$.

V. INVERSE PARTICIPATION RATIO

A measure of localization of the wave function is defined by the inverse participation ratio (IPR). It is a simple way to quantify how many states a particle is distributed over when there is some intrinsic uncertainty about where is the particle. This sort of intrinsic uncertainty arises in quantum mechanics, since a particle can exist in a quantum superposition of states over many locations. The IPR can be written as,

$$\text{IPR} = \frac{1}{\sum_i p_i^2},$$

where $p_i = |ψ_i|^2$ is the probability that the particle is in the state $i$. For our system, we take the sum over the unit cells, therefore, we have,

$$\text{IPR} = \frac{1}{\sum_m (|C_{m}^A|^2 + |C_{m}^B|^2)^2},$$

if the particle is localized in one state, then $p_j = 1$ for some $j$, so $\text{IPR} = 1$. In contrast, if a particle is evenly divided over $N$ with $p_i = \frac{1}{N}$, then has $\text{IPR} = N$ and the system becomes extended with maximum delocalization, therefore, this quantity takes values between $1 \leq \text{IPR} \leq N$. The IPR will be useful to characterize the states with ME presents in our model, that is discussed in the next section.
VI. RESULTS

A. Simple SSH \( z = 0 \)

![Figure 2](image)

**FIG. 2.** (a) Energy spectrum in the SSH model for \( N = 40 \) unit cells and the inter-hopping is \( w = 0.5 \) and the second neighbor hopping \( z = 0 \). (b) Resta polarization for the quantum state \( \psi_1 \).

For the simple SSH model two kind of eigenstates can be distinguished: i) edge states, in the region \( v \leq w \) with the property of zero energy, \( E = 0 \) and ii) bulk states, in the region \( w \leq v \), these becomes exponentially and the energy gap increase (Fig. 2(a)). Using the Resta definition for the electric polarization for the nearest states to the edge state \( |\psi_1\rangle \) is shown in Fig. 2(b). We observe that the TPT occurs in the singular point \( v = w \) according to the geometrical phase definition (Eq. 4).

From these results it is convenient to calculate electrical polarization and quantum entanglement for the eigenstates, since this state characterizes the relationship between the quantum entanglement and the topology just in the TPT. This is a simple behavior for the electric polarization showing the expected change of the geometrical phase. However, we will see more complex behavior in this property for the case \( z \neq 0 \) where Eq. 6 has two non-trivial phases. The SSH model has a spectrum of 2N eigenvalues \( \{-E_N, ..., -E_1, -E_0, E_0, E_1, ..., E_N\} \), where \( \pm E_0 \) would be the edge state in the topological regimen. To get a general picture and observe the behavior of each state, we study the bulk states \( |\psi_1\rangle \) and \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \) and one of the edge states \( |\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle \), for the case with \( N = 80 \) unit cells. The Schmidt number as function of \( v \) is plotted in Fig. 3(a) for fixed \( w = 0.5 \). The red vertical line indicates the singularity point \( v = w = 0.5 \) and the curves correspond to eigenstates \( |\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle \) (black line), \( |\psi_1\rangle \) (blue solid line), \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \) (blue dashed line) and \( |\psi_{50}\rangle \) (blue points line). In the simple SSH Hamiltonian case we see for the quantum states a direct relation between entanglement and geometrical phases in the TPT \( v = w \) (Fig. 3(a)). Clearly the edge state is the most robust state in the region \( v < w \) with a maximal Schmidt number \( K = 2 \). For the state \( |\psi_1\rangle \) in the singular point \( v = w \) of the winding number have a ME state. \( |\psi_1\rangle \) is the nearest state to the edge state and has a narrow peak of a ME state. For the higher energy eigenstates, \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \) and \( |\psi_{50}\rangle \), the peak is broaden and shifted to small values for \( v \). In Fig. 3(a), the case \( v = 0 \) which is the topological dimerized case with ME that is calculated in Eq. 10. In Fig. 3(b) is shown the entanglement diagram of eigenstate \( |\psi_1\rangle \) as function of \( v \) and \( w \).
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**FIG. 3.** Schmidt Number in the simple SSH model with \( z = 0 \) and \( N = 80 \) unit cells. (a) Schmidt Number as a function of the intra-hopping parameter \( K(v) \), the inter-hopping is \( w = 0.5 \). The red vertical line specifies the transition point between the trivial \( (v < w) \) and non-trivial \( (w > v) \) zones. The Schmidt number for the bulk quantum states \( |\psi_1\rangle \), \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \), \( |\psi_{50}\rangle \) and \( |\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle \) related to one of the edge state are plotted. (b) we see that in the TPT point \( v = w \) the quantum state \( |\psi_1\rangle \) related to the nearest zero energy is ME.

We continue with the analysis of the space distribution of wavefunctions for the states with ME. In Fig. 4(a) we show the amplitude distributions of being in atom \( A \) or \( B \), of eigenstate \( |\psi_1\rangle \) with parameters \( v = w = 0.5 \) associated to the ME point. The distribution presents a dephasing between amplitudes \( C^A_m \) and \( C^B_m \), that satisfies orthogonality between these two amplitudes and same probabilities of one half of being in atom \( A \) or \( B \). As was discussed in section \( IV \) all the properties are consisted with the structure of the hybrid Bell states explained. On the other hand, in Fig. 4(b) the higher energy quantum state \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \) is presented, where the states with ME are for the parameters \( v = 0.36 \) with \( w = 0.5 \), showing an expected more oscillatory behaviour but fulfilling the extended bell type state.

![Figure 4](image)

**FIG. 4.** Amplitude distribution for the wavefunction of ME states in a chain with \( N = 80 \) unit cells. (a) quantum state \( |\psi_1\rangle \) with parameters \( v = w = 0.5 \) and (b) quantum state \( |\psi_{20}\rangle \) with \( v = 0.36 \) and \( w = 0.5 \).
FIG. 5. IPR as a function of $v$ with $w = 0.5$ and second neighbor interaction $z = 0$ to a chain of $N = 80$ unit cells for the quantum states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$.

For a better understanding of the spatial distribution nature for maximally entangled states, we calculate the inverse participation ratio (IPR) for states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$. In Fig. 5 the IPR as a function of $v$ for $w = 0.5$ is presented. We observe that for $|\psi_1\rangle$ the Schmidt number $K(v = w) \to 2$ and $IPR(v = w) \to 80$ in the critical point $v = w$. There are peaks in the points where states have ME, which shows a direct correspondence between entanglement (Fig. 3(a)) and IPR (Fig. 5). The points for ME are associated with maximum delocalization or extended wavefunction distributed along atoms $A$ and $B$. Therefore, due to the singularity of the winding number the system is extended and maximum delocalized. Analyzing quantum state $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ in the topological dimerized limit $v = 0$, the system becomes localized because $IPR(v = 0) \to 1$ (Fig. 5) and the entanglement $K(v = 0) \to 2$ (Fig. 3(a)). However, this is a trivial condition reached when the system is disconnected or dimerized. For $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ there is also a maximum of IPR where the Schmidt number is but in this case, ME state is in $v = 0.36$ (Fig. 3(a)), and is broaden.

B. Extended SSH $z \neq 0$

For the extended SSH model, the energy spectrum is similar to the simple case, however, edge states appears in the region $v < w + z$ (Fig. 6(a)).

FIG. 6. (a) Energy spectrum in the extended SSH model as function of $v$ for $N = 40$ unit cells and $w = 0.5$ and $z = 0.3$. (b) Resta polarization for the quantum state $|\psi_1\rangle$.

Similarly as the simple case, we use the Resta definition for the electric polarization for the nearest states to the edge state $|\psi_1\rangle$ (Fig. 6(b)). The TPT occurs in the singular point $v = w + z$ in agreement with the geometrical phase definition (Eq. 5); however, this is a simple case for the electric polarization and we will see more complex behaviors by varying the parameters to be in the different geometrical phases. Non-trivial geometrical phase occurs in the region $v < w + z$ (Fig. 6(b)), while, trivial geometrical phase occurs in the region $v > w + z$ (Fig. 6(b)). In the extended SSH, the behavior of the entanglement is more complex as well as the geometrical phases. From the definition of the winding number (Eq. 5), this has three distinct values for the geometrical phase. For $\zeta = 0$ is a trivial insulator and therefore the electric polarization $P_1 = 0$. For the topological cases $\zeta = \pm 1$ has $P_1 = \pm \frac{2}{\pi}$. To understand the behavior of entanglement by the effect of second neighbor hoppings we study the Schmidt number as function of $z$ also for the eigenstates $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ which is shown in Fig. 7.

FIG. 7. Schmidt number in extended SSH as function of $z$ for $N = 300$ unit cell. For $|\psi_1\rangle$ with (a) $v = 0.3$, $w = 0.5$ and (b) $v = 0.5$, $w = 0.3$. For $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ with (c) $v = 0.3$, $w = 0.5$ and (d) $v = 0.5$, $w = 0.3$.

We note in Fig. 7(a) or $|\psi_1\rangle$, that the Schmidt number has TPT $\zeta = 1 \to -1$ when $w = z$ for $v = 0.3$ and $w = 0.5$. Also the Schmidt number as a function of $z$ is characterized by the instantaneous lost of the ME. It is important to remark that in the region $w > z$ (red) reaches ME $K_1 = 2$ when $v < w + z$, and in the region $w < z$ (blue) always have ME states. In the Fig. 7(b) we consider $v = 0.5$ and $w = 0.3$, therefore, three regions can be distinguished. The region $v > w + z$ (green) is the trivial region with $\zeta = 0$ and entanglement $K_1 = 1$ so the state is separable. For $v < w + z$ and $w > z$ (red), the winding number is $\zeta = 1$, therefore, $|\psi_1\rangle$ tends to get ME rapidly. On the other hand, in the region $v < w + z$ and $w < z$ (blue) the winding number is $\zeta = -1$ which shows that this is a TR with ME behavior too. Its important to note that the TPT points $v = w + z$ and $w = z$ represents different kind of degeneracy, this difference is visible looking at the Schmidt number (Fig. 7 (a)-(b)). The point $v = w + z$ is ME singularity and the state tends to reach hybrid Bell state while in $w = z$ is a disentanglement point of and the system becomes separable. In
the case for $|\psi_{20}\rangle$, Schmidt number presents more energy fluctuations in both regions of topological phases $\zeta = \pm 1$ (Fig. 7(c)-(d)), therefore, topological protection does not prevent quantum fluctuations in higher energy levels. It is important to note that $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ is also characterized by the critical points $v = w + z$ and $w = z$ in the winding number definition (Eq. 5) and have a similar behavior like $|\psi_1\rangle$.

We continue with the analysis of the space distribution of wavefunctions for the states with ME in the extended SSH model. The amplitude distribution of being in atom A or B, is shown for the eigenstate $|\psi_1\rangle$ with $v = 0.3$ and $w = 0.5$ and TR $\zeta = 1$ with $z = 0.4$ (Fig. 8(a)) and for $\zeta = -1$ with $z = 0.6$ (Fig. 8(b)), both cases have a similar amplitude distribution that satisfied the hybrid Bell conditions of states with ME; an analogous way, for $v = 0.5$ and $w = 0.3$ in the TR $\zeta = 1$ with $z = 0.25$ (Fig. 8(c)) and for $\zeta = -1$ with $z = 0.35$ (Fig. 8(d)), both cases satisfied the Bell conditions of hybrid Bell states. Remarkably, note that the presence of second neighbor hopping produces a quite different behaviour of the extended amplitude distribution from the simple case (Fig. 4(a)). In the amplitude distribution of the simple SSH there is a dephasing between distribution $C^A_m$ and $C^B_m$ while for the extended SSH exist a space localization distribution per region of being in atom $A$ and $B$. On the other hand, the amplitude distribution of $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ for the same distribution parameters like $|\psi_1\rangle$ has a very similar amplitude distribution which can be seen by comparing Fig. 9 (a)-(d) with 8 (a)-(d) and also satisfy the Bell conditions of hybrid Bell states. However, this excited energy level generate more nodes in the distribution of both subsystems $C^A_m$ and $C^B_m$. An important difference between these states is that $|\psi_1\rangle$ goes to zero in the boundaries and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ is equally distributed along the chain and presents a spatial localization in the subsystem related to the type of atom $\alpha \in (A, B)$.

In Fig. 10(a)-(b), we calculate the IPR as a function of $z$ for eigenstates $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$. In the extended model, IPR has more fluctuations due to second neighbor hoppings; however, a distinctive features is present in the TPT as explained below. First, lets take the parameters $v = 0.3$ and $w = 0.5$ under consideration. Regarding the eigenstate $|\psi_1\rangle$, the TPT geometrical phases $\zeta = 0 \rightarrow 1$ is related to a instantaneous disentanglement.

Also the IPR($z$) as a function of $z$ presents a fast variation behavior in its delocalization just in the singularity $w = z$ (Fig. 10(a)) in concordance with the Schmidt number (Fig. 7(a)). The eigenstate $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ presents a similar behavior but due that has more fluctuations this behavior at $z = w$ is less visible. For parameters $v = 0.5$ and $w = 0.3$, there are two TPT in $z = 0.2$ and $z = 0.3$ (Fig. 10(b)). On the other hand, IPR($z$) has a similar behavior like Schmidt number in the singular points because in $z = 0.2$ related to the TPT $\zeta = 0 \rightarrow 1$, IPR reaches maximum delocalization as IPR(0.2) $\rightarrow N$. Equivalently, eigenstate $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ presents a similar behavior (Fig. 10(b)) but due to its quantum fluctuations is less visible for the point $z = 0.3$. Also for IPR in extended model, TPT for geometrical phases $\zeta = 0 \rightarrow \pm 1$ presents a more delocalized behavior than TPT for geometrical phases $\zeta = \pm 1 \rightarrow \mp 1$.

To obtain a general picture of the whole parameters space influence on entanglement for the extended SSH model we also calculate the diagram of the electric polarization $P(v, \xi)$ and the Schmidt number $K(v, \xi)$ with an intra-hopping of $v = 0.4$. Fig. 11 (a)-(d) shows more clearly
the relationship between the presence or absence of geometrical phases and the entanglement spectrum for both eigenstates $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_{20}\rangle$. For $|\psi_1\rangle$ (Fig. 11(a)-(b)), the presence of topological phases indicates states with ME; however, it is important to note that not the entire region $v < w + z$ presents states with ME. On the other hand, the Schmidt number presents disentangled states in $w = z$ and entangled states in $v = w + z$ just in singularities of the winding number which are also related with the transition feature of the topological insulator and with the broken chiral symmetry. For $\frac{z}{w} < 1$ there is a trivial electric polarization $P_1 = 0$ while $\frac{z}{w} > 1$ has a non-trivial electric polarization $P_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$. When $z = 0$ we recover the simple SSH model, and the critical transition point still remains for $w = v$. The entanglement behavior for both topological phases $\zeta = \pm 1$ is the same and between of them there is a singular behavior that generate a disentanglement. For eigenstate $|\psi_{20}\rangle$, the singularities of winding number are still preserved both in the Schmidt number (Fig. 11(c)) and the electric polarization (Fig. 11(d)), however, in this case due to fast quantum fluctuations non-trivial phases $\zeta = \pm 1$ are almost destroyed and a trivial polarization with $\zeta = 0$, in the majority of the regions.

C. Intra-cell modulation

Finally we study a special case with a modulation of the intra-cell hopping $v$ to evaluate the change in entanglement and electric polarization when a cell parameter is varied. It is straightforward to show that the energy spectrum function an adiabatic parameter has also a chiral symmetry and edge states that appears in the middle part of the dispersion, as shown in Fig. 12. We consider $z = 0$ in this discussion because we are interested in the first order neighbour hopping effects and also in the interplay between the intra-hopping $v$ and inter-hopping $w$ of the SSH topological wire as a modulation of an external adiabatic parameter. The topological characterization for this modulated version is also defined by the winding number (Eq. 3) with the substituting $v(\theta) = v_p + \cos(\theta)$ in (Eq. 6) where $v_p$ is the average intra-hopping value.

The modulation has a direct repercussion in electric polarization and entanglement behaviors. For electrical polarization, we find a very similar behavior Schmidt number, which is shown in Fig. 13, where the TR with accumulated phase $\pi$ will be associated with a maximum Schmidt number. The points of ME are reached in the case of TPT when $v(\theta) = w$, so we have $\theta_{1,2} = (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3})$ and in the dimerized limit $v(\theta) = 0$, therefore, $\theta'_{1,2} = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$. The Schmidt number spectrum diagram for $|\psi_1\rangle$ as a function of $\theta$ and $w$, $K(w,\theta)$ is shown in Fig. 13(a), where the two regions mention above can be distinguished. For $v_p = 0.5$ note that the entanglement presents a periodically behavior.

![FIG. 11](image1.png) Schmidt number and electric polarization diagrams in the extended SSH model as function of $w$ and $z$ for $v = 0.4$ and $N = 300$ unit cells. For $|\psi_1\rangle$ (a) $K_1(\frac{w}{3}, \frac{z}{v})$ and (b) $P_1(\frac{w}{3}, \frac{z}{v})$. For $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ (c) $K_{20}(\frac{w}{3}, \frac{z}{v})$ and (d) $P_{20}(\frac{w}{3}, \frac{z}{v})$

![FIG. 12](image2.png) Energy Spectrum in the modulated SSH model as function of the adiabatic $\theta$ parameter for $w = 1$, $v_p = 0.5$ and $N = 40$ unit cells.

Comparing the entanglement and electric polarization from Fig. 13(b) with 13(d), and Fig. 13(a) with 13(c),

![FIG. 13](image3.png) Schmidt number and electrical polarization diagrams for modulated SSH model as function of $\theta$ and $w$ for $v_p = 0.5$, $z = 0$ with $N = 80$ unit cells. For $|\psi_1\rangle$ (a) $K_1(w, \theta)$ and (b) $P_1(w, \theta)$. For $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ (c) $K_{20}(w, \theta)$ and (d) $P_{20}(w, \theta)$.
respectively, is seen that topological dimerized points always presents geometrical trivial phases. $\gamma(\theta) = 0$, and therefore $(2\pi, 4\pi) > \cos^{-1}(w - 0.5)$, where $v_p = 0.5$ and $w \in [0, 1]$. Also an interesting result from this model is captured in a region $\theta \in [\frac{2\pi}{4}, \frac{4\pi}{4}]$, consider the middle point $\theta = \pi$, $v(\pi) = -0.5$ with $w = 0.5$, gives a ME state $K(0.5, \pi) = 2$ and corresponds with a TPT between trivial ($|v_p + \cos \pi| < w$) and non trivial ($|v_p + \cos \pi| > w$) regions. It is important to remark that the entanglement is only affected by the magnitude of the hopping, as can be seen from the definition of the Schmidt number (Eq. 8). For $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ the Schmidt number also captures states with ME in the TPT and dimerized limit (Fig. 13(c)) however due to that this energy level is higher, quantum fluctuation produce that entangled states appears even in trivial region. On the other hand, the electric polarization (Fig. 13(d)) is reduced and also the trivial geometrical phase changes a topological one $\zeta(\theta) = 0 \rightarrow 1$ in the dimerized points.

![Fig. 14. Amplitude distribution along the chain with $N = 80$ unit cells. We fix parameters $v_p = 0.5$ and $w = 1$. (a) for the quantum state $|\psi_1\rangle$ and (c) for the quantum state $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ with parameters $v = 0.36$ with $w = 0.5$ related to the ME point. Also is shows the dimerized limits for (b) $|\psi_1\rangle$ and (d) $|\psi_1\rangle$ with $v = 0$.](image)

For the amplitude distribution of the quantum state $|\psi_1\rangle$, we plot the TPT $v(\theta) = w$, when $\theta = (\frac{2\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$ (Fig. 14(a)) the simple case is recovered. In the topological dimerized limit (Fig. 14(b)) the eigenstate $|\psi_1\rangle$ is degenerated when $\theta = (\frac{2\pi}{4}, \frac{4\pi}{4})$. Instead, for the eigenstate $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ its seen in Fig. 14(c) also a relationship with the simple SSH model. In the topological dimerized limit which is shows in Fig. 14(d) the state $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ also becomes degenerate.

### VII. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the one-dimensional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) topological insulator with first and second neighbor-hoppings. We showed that in the simple SSH model the topological phase transitions $v = w$ present states with maximum entanglement $K \rightarrow 2$ that satisfied hybrid Bell conditions. On the other hand, we found that the topological region $v < w$ always presents entangled states than other regions and that the trivial region becomes disentangled $K \rightarrow 1$. In the extended SSH model with second neighbor hopping interaction $z \neq 0$ a more exotic behavior of entanglement and geometrical phases appears because the extra hopping generates more non-classicities in the system. The states with maximum entanglement are contained in the topological region $v < w + z$ due to that this energy level is higher, quantum fluctuations produce that entangled states appears even in trivial region. On the other hand, the electric polarization (Fig. 13(d)) is reduced and also the trivial geometrical phase changes a topological one $\zeta(\theta) = 0 \rightarrow 1$ in the dimerized points.

For the amplitude distribution of the quantum state $|\psi_1\rangle$, we plot the TPT $v(\theta) = w$, when $\theta = (\frac{2\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$ (Fig. 14(a)) the simple case is recovered. In the topological dimerized limit (Fig. 14(b)) the eigenstate $|\psi_1\rangle$ is degenerated when $\theta = (\frac{2\pi}{4}, \frac{4\pi}{4})$. Instead, for the eigenstate $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ its seen in Fig. 14(c) also a relationship with the simple SSH model. In the topological dimerized limit which is shows in Fig. 14(d) the state $|\psi_{20}\rangle$ also becomes degenerate.
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