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Abstract

We investigated changes in the $b$ value in and around the focal areas of earthquakes on March 20 and on May 1, 2021, with magnitude ($M$) 6.9 and 6.8, respectively, which occurred on the Pacific coast of Miyagi prefecture, northeastern Japan. We revealed that the $b$ value in these focal areas had been noticeably low, especially within a few years before the occurrence of the $M$6.9 earthquake in its vicinity, indicating that the focal areas had been highly stressed. The high-stress state inferred by the $b$-value analysis is concordant with the characteristics of notable interplate slip events, which the coseismic slip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake stopped just short of the east side of the focal areas and the afterslip of the earthquake was relatively small in these areas compared to the surrounding regions. These events suggest that the slip deficit that accumulated in the focal areas had remained. We found that the $M$6.8 earthquake on May 1 occurred near an area where the $b$ value remained low even after the $M$6.9 quake. The ruptured areas by the two earthquakes now seem to almost coincide with the low-$b$-value region that had existed before their occurrence. The $b$ value on the east side of the focal areas of the $M$6.9 and $M$6.8 earthquakes which corresponds to the eastern part of the source region
of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake had been consistently high, while the
seismicity enhanced by the two earthquakes also shows a high $b$ value, implying that
the stress in the region has not been very high.
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**Main Text**

**Introduction**

An earthquake of magnitude ($M$) 6.9 occurred on March 20, 2021, at 18:09, on the
Pacific coast of Miyagi prefecture, northeastern Japan, and successively, an $M6.8$
earthquake occurred on May 1, 2021, at 10:27, at about 50 km south of the $M6.9$
earthquake (Figure 1a,b) (Earthquake Research Committee (ERC), 2021a,b,c). Both
earthquakes were located on the periphery of the slip region of the 2011 $M9.0$ Tohoku
earthquake (Figure 1a), almost corresponding to the down-dip end of the interplate coupling zone between the overriding continental and the subducting Pacific plates (Igarashi et al., 2001; Uchida et al., 2009; Kita et al., 2010). Interestingly, as can be seen in Figure 1a, the after-slip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, which occurred along the coastal zone, seems to have been relatively small in the focal areas of the two 2021 earthquakes, i.e., their foci were located in the valley between the large after-slip areas of the Tohoku earthquake (Ozawa et al., 2012).

Figure 1b shows a seismicity map for the rectangular area in Figure 1a during the period from January 1, 2018 through May 15, 2021, where epicenters of the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes on March 20 and May 1, 2021, and that of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake are depicted by small stars and a large star, respectively. Contour lines in red and in blue represent preliminary-obtained coseismic slips of the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes, respectively (Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), 2021; ERC, 2021b,c; National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED), 2021).

When the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake occurred on March 20, the ERC (2021a,b) pointed out that the focal area was located in the western part of the source region of the so-called
off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Figure 1b), an interplate earthquake that has occurred sequentially at intervals of about 38 years, the most recent one being the M7.4 earthquake in 1978 (green contours in Figures 1a,b; Yamanaka and Kikuchi, 2004). Note that the focal area of the M6.8 earthquake on May 1 is almost in contact with the slip region of the M7.2 earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture in 2005 (pink contours in Figure 1a,b; Yamanaka, 2005). In that case, there was an overlap with the southeastern part of the source region of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Figure 1b).

Here, we report the results of our analysis on spatio-temporal changes in the b value in and around the focal areas of the M6.9 and M6.8 earthquakes and in the source regions of the 1978 and 2005 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquakes, and discuss the implications of these results, noting the stress state on the plate interface in these regions.

**Method**

We exploited Gutenberg-Richter’s (GR) law, \( \log_{10}N = a - bM \), where \( N \) is the
number of events equal to or above \( M \), and \( a \) and \( b \) are constants (Gutenberg and Richter, 1944). Globally, on average, \( b \sim 1 \), but locally, \( b \) values show substantial spatial and temporal variation. In some cases, the proportion of earthquakes with large magnitudes is higher (\( b < 1 \)), in others, the proportion of earthquakes with small magnitudes exceeds the average expectation (\( b > 1 \)) (Figures 2-5).

To estimate \( b \) values consistently over space and time, we employed the EMR (Entire-Magnitude-Range) technique (Woessner and Wiemer, 2005), which simultaneously calculates the completeness magnitude \( M_c \), above which all events are considered to be detected by the referential seismic network. EMR applies the maximum likelihood method when computing the \( b \) value to events with magnitudes greater than \( M_c \). We calculate the \( b \) value (Figures 2-5), and simultaneously \( M_c \) (Figures S1-S3 of Additional file 1), provided that we found a minimum of 20 events with magnitudes greater than \( M_c \) for a given sample. The inset of the left panel of Figure 3a shows a good fit of the GR law to observations in the present cases, indicating pronounced statistical differences in the behaviors of earthquakes among those three circle areas.
The $b$ value is known to be sensitive to differential stress and its inverse correlation with differential stress has been evidenced by many laboratory and field studies (Mogi, 1963; Scholz, 1968, 2015; Lei, 2003; Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Goebel et al., 2013). Investigation into space-temporal variation in $b$ values has been applied to probe the stress state in the Earth's crust (Smith, 1981; Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Narteau et al., 2009), to locate asperities (Hirose et al., 2002; Yabe, 2003), and to estimate frictional properties (e.g., Sobiesiak et al., 2007; Ghosh et al., 2008) on the plate interface along subduction zones. Foreshocks have been known to show low $b$ values (Suyehiro et al., 1964; Gulia and Wiemer, 2019). Patches with low $b$ values on active faults have been observed to coincide with locations of subsequent large earthquakes (Schorlemmer and Wiemer, 2005; Nanjo et al., 2016; Nanjo, 2020).

**Data**

We used the JMA catalog, which includes earthquakes since 1919 in and around Japan. Our analysis was based on earthquakes with $M \geq 0.5$ during the period from January 1, 2012 through May 15, 2021, in a depth range of 0-100 km in the study.
region (Figure 1b). We did not consider the period from immediately after the M9.0
Tohoku earthquake on March 11, 2011 to the end of 2011, avoiding the time period with
large temporal variation in $b$. Most pre-shocks and aftershocks of the two off-Miyagi
prefecture earthquakes in 2021 in the study region (Figure 1b) occurred on and around
the plate interface between the continental plate and the subducting Pacific plate (Figure
3), so our primary approach was to develop maps about the $b$ value and its
cross-sections.

A $b$-value analysis is critically dependent on a robust estimate of completeness
of the processed earthquake data. In particular, underestimates in $M_c$ lead to systematic
underestimates in $b$ values. We always pay attention to $M_c$ when assessing $M_c$ at each
node and each time window (Figures S1-S3 of Additional file 1). As discussed in other
studies using the JMA catalog (Nanjo et al., 2010; Schorlemmer et al., 2018), $M_c$ in
offshore regions is expected to increase with distance from the coast, and $M_c$ that had
once increased during the period of an early aftershock sequence of the Tohoku
earthquake, decreased afterward (Figure S1 of Additional file 1). One of the reasons for
this change in $M_c$ was due to a change in the criterion for creating the JMA earthquake
catalog in order to avoid the loss of integrity of work to determine hypocenters and the magnitudes of earthquakes (JMA, 2012; Schorlemmer et al., 2018).

**Results**

Figure 2a represents $b$ maps in three periods: from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014, from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, and from January 1, 2018 through March 20, 2021 before the occurrence of the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake.

The left panels of Figure 3 are east-west cross-sectional views of $b$ values, crossing the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ hypocenters, respectively, based on seismicity (black circles in the right panels) from January 1, 2018 to immediately before the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake (March 20, 2021, at 18:08). It is notable (Figures 2 and 3) that the hypocenter of the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake is located within an especially low $b$-value structure (light blue to blue to purple) along the plate interface. The $b$ value around the hypocenter of the $M_{6.8}$ earthquake is also very low.

Note that the lowering of the $b$ value in the area near the foci of the two 2021 earthquakes became conspicuous in later periods before the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake (Figure 2).
In the first period, the local $b$ value for the seismicity around the eventual $M6.9$ hypocenter was $b = 0.8 \pm 0.3$ (light blue), nearly a global average ($b \sim 1$) (inset of the right panel of Figure 3a). However, the $b$ value in the second period became $0.6 \pm 0.2$ (blue), and it decreased to a low value ($0.4 \pm 0.1$) in the last period. As shown in Figure 2b, the decrease in the $b$ value around the foci of the 2021 two earthquakes in the third period relative to the first period was statistically significant (Utsu, 1992, 1999; Schorlemmer et al., 2004; Nanjo et al., 2017).

Figure 4a shows a $b$ map calculated by earthquakes that occurred during the period between the $M6.9$ and $M6.8$ earthquakes. It is notable that the $b$ values in the focal areas of the two earthquakes in that period were as low as those before the occurrence of the $M6.9$ earthquake, as is demonstrated by Figures 4b,c. It might also be noteworthy that the $b$ value for the seismicity induced in a part of the eastern part of the source area of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (green contours) seems to have increased after the $M6.9$ earthquake.

We also examined the temporal change in the $b$ value in regions A and B shown in Figure 5a during the period from January 1, 2018 through May 15, 2021. Region A
corresponds to the focal areas of the two earthquakes in 2021, and region B corresponds to the eastern part of the source region of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake with $M7.4$, which includes the main source area of the 2005 $M7.2$ earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture. It is clear (Figures 5b,c) that the $b$ value in region A had been consistently low before the occurrence of the two earthquakes in 2021, and it is to be noted (Figure 5c) that the low $b$-value state in region A continued after the occurrence of the $M6.8$ earthquake on May 1. This seems to indicate that a highly-stressed state continued after the $M6.8$ earthquake in the area where the $b$ value had been low before the $M6.9$ earthquake. Some possible interpretations of this result are discussed in the next section.

On the other hand, the $b$ value in region B had been relatively high compared to the $b$ value in region A throughout the whole period before the $M6.9$ earthquake, although region B showed a rather large range in $b$ extending from 0.6 to 1.2 (Figure 5). It is also worth noting that the high $b$-value state in region B has been continuing not only after the occurrence of the $M6.9$ earthquake on March 20, but also after the $M6.8$ earthquake on May 1. We suppose this result suggests that the differential stress in the eastern part
of the source region of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake has been relatively weak throughout the entire study period.

**Discussion**

By making maps and cross sections of the $b$ value in the region around the foci of the March 20 and May 1, 2021, off-Miyagi prefecture earthquakes, we found that the $b$ value in their focal areas had been considerably and consistently low and that the value became as low as 0.4-0.6 within a few years before their occurrence (Figures 2 and 3). The distinct low $b$-value spot corresponded to the eventual M6.9 hypocenter (Figures 2 and 3). This close match between the area of low and decreasing $b$-values and the eventual M6.9 and M6.8 hypocenters supports the idea that the $b$ value may be a stress meter for the Earth’s crust. Our finding also indicates that the stress level before the M6.9 and M6.8 earthquakes had been high in the eventual focal areas, and the differential stress there had been strengthened as time progressed. Here, it is to be noted that the co-seismic slip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake stopped just short of the east side of the focal areas and the after-slip had been relatively small there compared to the
surrounding region (Figure 1). These observations suggest that the slip deficit that accumulated in the focal areas had not been released by recent events, rather, the slip deficit might have increased owing to the progression of relative plate motion, so stress there would have been strengthened. The appearance of the notably low $b$-value spot might have been a precursor to the $M_{6.9}$ quake. We believe our results present a clear additional example to supplement previous retrospective studies that showed a correlation between patches of low $b$ values and sources of large earthquakes, e.g., at Izmit (Turkey), Parkfield and Ridgecrest (California), Tohoku and Kumamoto (Japan), and Iquique (Chile) (Wiemer and Wyss, 2002; Schorlemmer and Wiemer, 2005; Nanjo et al., 2012, 2016; Schurr et al., 2014; Nanjo, 2020).

It is notable that the $b$ value for the events after the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake on March 20, which occurred mainly near the southern end of the slip area had been as low as 0.5-0.6 (blue) (bottom panel of Figures 2a and left panel of Figure 3b). We should have focused our attention more on the observation that the events after the $M_{6.9}$ earthquake showed a low $b$ value and the rupture of the quake had not covered the whole low $b$-value area that had existed before the quake, although it is not certain if we could have foreseen the
occurrence of the M6.8 earthquake before May 1.

The finding that the b value that had appeared to be low before the M6.9 earthquake was still low after the M6.8 earthquake is somewhat an enigma (Figure 5). This might mean that the two earthquakes had not fully unloaded the stress on the pre-existing asperity as interpreted for the Parkfield earthquake in 2004 (Tormann et al., 2012). However, we consider that such an interpretation cannot be applied to our case, because the rupture areas of the two earthquakes on March 20 and May 1 seem to cover almost entirely the low b-value zone that had existed before their occurrence (bottom panel of Figure 2a). We think one possible explanation may be that some patches with high stress had remained unruptured, and that events after the M6.8 earthquake have been occurring there. A rather complex rupture process of the two earthquakes seems to support this idea. Moreover, we would like to point out the occurrence of an M5.8 earthquake on April 18 at the far end of the rupture area of the earthquake on May 1 (Figure 5a and Figures S3a,c of Additional file 1). The sequential occurrence of the M6.9, M5.8 and M6.8 earthquakes in the low b-value zone that had been observed before these earthquakes indicates that there had existed at least three high-stress
asperities. This also seems to imply that additional smaller patches might have remained unruptured. Another alternative explanation for the persistently continuing low $b$ value in region A may be that the zone likely accumulates tectonic stress loaded by the interplate slippage process as was suggested by the observation that the afterslip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake was small there.

ERC (2021a), after a meeting held on March 22, commented that it is necessary to pay attention to the occurrence of another large earthquake that might result in a similar or even stronger seismic intensity during the period of one week, especially in a few days. We suppose that, in the background of this caution, they were concerned about the occurrence of the so-called off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake that has been occurring sequentially at intervals of about 38 years, and whose probability of occurrence within the next 30 years was estimated to be about 60-70% as of January 1, 2021 (ERC, 2021a,b). As was pointed out in the Introduction, the two 2021 earthquakes occurred in the western part of the source region of the 1978 M7.4 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake, and the focal area of the M6.8 earthquake contacts with the source region of the 2005 earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture (Figure 1b). Therefore, it might be not
unreasonable for ERC (2021a) to have been anxious about the possibility of the occurrence of a large earthquake on the east side of the focal areas of the two 2021 earthquakes.

Concerning this problem, we would like to note that the $b$ value in the region had consistently been rather high before the two 2021 earthquakes and that the seismicity induced there by those earthquakes has been showing a high $b$ value as well (Figures 4 and 5). This indicates that stress in the region on the east side of the focal area of the two 2021 earthquakes had not been so high and the low-stress state has been continuing. Therefore, we conjecture that the probability of occurrence of a large earthquake in the adjacent region in the very near future may not be so large, although it is necessary to continue to watch for any signal that indicates change in local stress in the region.

**Conclusions**

This study revealed that the $b$ value in and around the focal areas of the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes that occurred on the Pacific coast of Miyagi prefecture, northeastern Japan, on March 20 and May 1, 2021, respectively, had been considerably low before
their occurrence. The $b$ value in the vicinity of the $M6.9$ earthquake decreased to around 0.4 in the last few years. On the other hand, the $b$ value on the east side of the focal areas that corresponds to the eastern part of the source region of the 1978 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake had been relatively high during the whole period that was investigated. We suppose this result implies that the stress in the region had not been as strong as the stress in the focal areas of the two earthquakes in 2021 and that the weakly-stressed state there has been continuing.

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**List of abbreviations**

ERC: Earthquake Research Committee
Availability of data and materials

The JMA earthquake catalog was obtained from http://www.data.jma.go.jp/svd/eqev/data/bulletin/index.html. Coseismic slip of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ earthquake determined by NIED (2021) can be obtained from https://www.kyoshin.bosai.go.jp/kyoshin/topics/MiyagikenOki_20210320/inversion/inv_index.html, and https://www.static.jishin.go.jp/resource/monthly/2021/20210320_miyagi_2.pdf (ERC, 2021b). Coseismic slip of the 2021 $M_{6.8}$ earthquake determined by JMA (2021) can be obtained from
https://www.data.jma.go.jp/svd/eqev/data/sourceprocess/event/2021050110272690near.pdf, and

https://www.static.jishin.go.jp/resource/monthly/2021/2021_04.pdf (ERC, 2021c). Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. Coseismic and postseismic slips of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake were obtained from Figure 12 of Ozawa et al. (2012). Coseismic slips of the 1978 $M_{7.4}$ off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake and the 2005 $M_{7.2}$ earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture were obtained from Yamanaka and Kikuchi (2004) and Yamanaka (2005), respectively. The upper surface of the Pacific plate (Nakajima and Hasegawa, 2006) was obtained from https://www.mri-jma.go.jp/Dep/sei/fhirose/plate/en.index.html.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Funding

This study was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP 20K05050, the Tokio Marine Kagami Memorial Foundation, the Chubu Electric Power’s research based on selected proposals, and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, under the Second Earthquake and Volcano Hazards Observation and Research Program (Earthquake and Volcano Hazard Reduction Research).

Authors’ contributions

KZN and AY designed the study, KZN carried out analysis, and KZN and AY developed the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the JMA for the earthquake catalog, and T. Nagao for
help with acquisition of this catalog. The seismicity analysis software
package ZMAP (Wiemer, 2001), used for Figures 2-5 and Figures S1-S3
of Additional file 1, was obtained from

oftware/ZMAP. The Generic Mapping Tools (Wessel et al., 2013), used
for Figures 1-2, 4, and 5, and Figures S1 and S3 of Additional file 1, are

Authors' information

K. Z. Nanjo

Present address: Global Center for Asian and Regional Research,
University of Shizuoka, 3-6-1, Takajo, Aoi-ku, Shizuoka, 420-0839,
Japan

Affiliations

Global Center for Asian and Regional Research, University of Shizuoka,
3-6-1, Takajo, Aoi-ku, Shizuoka, 420-0839, Japan

K. Z. Nanjo

Center for Integrated Research and Education of Natural Hazards,
Shizuoka University, 836, Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka, 422-8529, Japan

K. Z. Nanjo & A. Yoshida

Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo,
190-8562, Japan

K. Z. Nanjo

Endnotes

Not applicable.

Additional information

Additional file 1 includes Figures S1-S3. Additional files 2 and 3 include numerical data that list the longitude and latitude consisting of slip contours of the M6.9 and M6.8 earthquakes, respectively
References


Japan Meteorological Agency (2021) The May 1, 2021, earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture, Rupture process analysis based on near-source strong-motion


Figure 1. Earthquakes off the Pacific coast of Tohoku district. (a) Yellow stars represent the epicenters of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes; open star shows the epicenter of the 2011 $M_{9.0}$ Tohoku earthquake. Focal mechanism for these earthquakes, obtained by using the focal mechanism catalog of F-net by NIED (Okada et al., 2004), is displayed as a beach ball symbol. Red and blue regions represent preliminary-determined slip areas of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes, respectively (ERC, 2021b,c; JMA, 2021; NIED, 2021). Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. We traced each slip contour from the
original (NIED, 2021; JMA, 2021), created numerical data that list the longitude and latitude consisting of the slip contours (Additional files 2 and 3 for the M6.9 and M6.8 earthquakes, respectively), and drew the contours using the numerical data. Orange and black contours indicate the coseismic slip and afterslip of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, respectively (Ozawa et al., 2012). Green and pink regions show coseismic slip areas of the 1978 M7.4 off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Yamanaka and Kikuchi, 2004) and the 2005 M7.2 earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture (Yamanaka, 2005), respectively. Black rectangle shows the study region in (b). AO (Aomori), IW (Iwate), MI (Miyagi), FU (Fukushima), IB (Ibaraki) are abbreviations of prefecture names. (b) Seismicity (depth 0-100 km, $M \geq 0.5$) in and after 2018. Cross sectional views along the line segments from P1 to P2, indicated by “a” and “b”, are shown in Figure 3.
Maps of $b$ values obtained from seismicity during the three periods; top panel: from 2012 to 2014 (top panel), middle panel: from 2015 to 2017, and bottom panel: from 2018 to immediately before the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ earthquake. In making these maps, we selected earthquakes along the plate interface: earthquakes were chosen if their depths were within a range from 5 km above the interface to 15 km below it. We calculated the $b$ value and simultaneously $M_c$ (Figure S1a-c of Additional file 1) for each grid node (0.02° spacing) selecting all events within a search radius of 10 km. Red and blue contours show coseismic slips of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes (epicenters...
indicated by yellow stars) (ERC, 2021b,c; JMA, 2021; NIED, 2021). Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. For details of how to draw the slip contours, see the caption of Figure 1 (see also Additional files 2 and 3). Green and pink contours show coseismic slips of the 1978 $M_{7.4}$ off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Yamanaka and Kikuchi, 2004) and the 2005 $M_{7.2}$ earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture (Yamanaka, 2005), respectively. Epicenters of $M \geq 6.0$ earthquakes that occurred in the corresponding periods are shown by open stars. (b) Top panel: $\Delta b = b_{\text{bottom}} - b_{\text{top}}$, the difference in $b$ values between the periods 2012-2014 ($b_{\text{top}}$: top panel in a) and 2018-2021 ($b_{\text{bottom}}$: bottom panel in a). Bottom panel: $\log P_b$, the logarithm of the probability that $b_{\text{bottom}}$ is not different from $b_{\text{top}}$, where $\Delta b$ is significant, if $\log P_b \leq -1.3$ (green) (Utsu, 1992, 1999; Schorlemmer et al. 2004; Nanjo and Yoshida, 2017).
Figure 3. Cross sectional views of $b$ values and seismicity since 2018 until the 2021 $M6.9$ earthquake. (a) Left panel: $b$ values computed based on seismicity along the line segment “a” in Figure 1b. To create this figure, we project sampled earthquakes onto a vertical plane extending from $P_1$ to $P_2$ in Figure 1b, roughly perpendicular to the Japan trench axis (Figure 1a). At each grid node (1 km grid spacing), we select all events within a search radius of 10 km and considered a swath width of 10 km, resulting, at each grid node, in a cylindrical sample volume of 20 km diameter and 10 km depth. Grey curve indicates the upper surface of the Pacific plate (Nakajima and Hasegawa, 2006). Grey and black segments indicate the rupture areas of the 2021 $M6.9$ and $M6.8$
earthquakes (ERC, 2021b,c; JMA, 2021; NIED, 2021) (hypocenters indicated by stars).

Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. Inset in the left panel: events within the three circles of radius $r = 10$ km, indicated by “1”, “2”, and “3”, are used to show a fit of the GR law to observations. Color corresponds to the $b$ value indicated by the color bar.

Right panel: black, blue and red circles represent seismicity before the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ earthquake, between the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes, after the 2021 $M_{6.8}$ earthquake, respectively. Inset of the right panel: plot of $b$ values as a function of time for circle “1”. The uncertainty estimates are according to Shi and Bolt (1982). (b) Same as (a) for the cross-section along the line segment “b” in Figure 1b.
Figure 4. $b$-value analysis for the period between the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes. (a) Map of $b$ values obtained from the seismicity for the period from March 22, at 0:00 to May 1, at 10:26 ($b_{2021/03/22-2021/05/01}$), where we do not consider the period (March 20, at 18:10 - March 21, 23:59) from immediately after the $M_{6.9}$ March 20 earthquake to the end of March 21, avoiding the time period with large temporal variation in $b$. Red and blue contours show coseismic slips of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes (epicenters indicated by yellow stars) (ERC, 2021b,c; JMA, 2021; NIED, 2021). Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. For details of how to draw the slip contours, see the caption of Figure 1 (see also Additional files 2 and 3). Green and pink contours show coseismic slips of the 1978 $M_{7.4}$ off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Yamanaka and Kikuchi, 2004) and the 2005 $M_{7.2}$ earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture (Yamanaka, 2005), respectively. (b) $\Delta b (= b_{2021/03/22-2021/05/01} - b_{2018/01/01-2021/03/20})$, subtraction of the $b$ value in the bottom panel in Figure 2a ($b_{2018/01/01-2021/03/20}$), from the $b$ value in (a) ($b_{2021/03/22-2021/05/01}$). (c) $\log P_b$, the logarithm of the probability that $b_{2018/01/01-2021/03/20}$ and $b_{2021/03/22-2021/05/01}$ are not different, where $\Delta b$ is significant, if $\log P_b \leq -1.3$ (green) (Utsu,

Figure 5. Timeseries of $b$ values. (a) Map showing the regions “A” and “B” considered in (b,c). Stars indicates the hypocenters of the $M_{6.9}$ Mach 20 earthquake, the $M_{5.8}$ April 18 earthquake, and the $M_{6.8}$ May 1 earthquake. Red and blue contours show coseismic
slips of the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ earthquakes (ERC, 2021b,c; JMA, 2021; NIED, 2021). Copyright permission for the $M_{6.9}$ and $M_{6.8}$ slip-models was obtained from NIED (2021) and JMA (2021), respectively. For details of how to draw the slip contours, see the caption of Figure 1 (see also Additional files 2 and 3). Green and pink contours show coseismic slips of the 1978 $M_{7.4}$ off-Miyagi prefecture earthquake (Yamanaka and Kikuchi, 2004) and the 2005 $M_{7.2}$ earthquake that occurred at off-Miyagi prefecture (Yamanaka, 2005), respectively. (b) Plot of $b$ values as a function of time, as obtained from seismicity data along the plate boundary for the period since 2018. We used a moving window approach, whereby the window covered 100 events. The uncertainty estimates are according to Shi and Bolt (1982). (c) Zoom-in figure for the period from immediately before the 2021 $M_{6.9}$ earthquake to May 15, 2021.