A novel way of constraining the \(\alpha\)-attractor chaotic inflation through Planck data
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Abstract. Defining a scale of \(k\)-modes of the quantum fluctuations during inflation through the dynamical horizon crossing condition \(k = aH\) we go from the physical \(t\) variable to \(k\) variable and solve the equations of cosmological perturbations self consistently, with chaotic \(\alpha\)-attractor type potentials. This enables us to study the behaviour of \(n_s, r, n_h\) and \(N\) in the \(k\)-space. Comparison of our results in the low-\(k\) regime with the Planck data puts constraints on the values of the \(\alpha\) parameter through microscopic calculations. Both for the \(E\)-model and the \(T\)-model potentials, low values of \(\alpha\) are experimentally favoured. For the \(E\)-model (\(T\)-model) the values of \(\alpha < 5\) (\(\alpha < 10\)) are consistent with the Planck-2018 data in the \(n_s-r\) plane at 95% CL. The relevance of this study in the context of large scale structure of the universe is pointed out.
1 Introduction

The microscopic origin of $\alpha$-attractor potentials can be traced back to the works of S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati [1] for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ minimal supergravity model of vector multiplet inflaton and its chiral version by R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Roest [2]. Two choices of chaotic inflaton potential for superconformal generalization [3] of the model corresponding to two values of the deformation parameter were proposed [4–6]: (I) the $E$-model potential

$$V(\phi) = V_0 (1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \alpha \phi})^n$$

and (II) the $T$-model potential

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \tanh^n \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6\alpha}}.$$ (1.2)

Here $\alpha$ is the inverse curvature of $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ Kähler manifold,

$$\alpha = -\frac{2}{3R_K}. \quad (1.3)$$

Later on and recently, the $\alpha$-attractor potentials have been extensively applied [7–12] in the study of cosmological inflation and also in preheating [13] and reheating [14, 15]. Also, the quintessential versions of the $\alpha$-attractors have also been applied to explain the late time acceleration of the universe [16–19], as well as in the predictive study of the stage-IV galaxy surveys [20]. The constraints of single field $\alpha$-attractor models are also being studied [21] in conjunction with the expected results of the upcoming CMBR experiments.

Constraining the value of $\alpha$ is one of the prime tasks in the frontier of future experiments. It is challenging indeed, as the parameter $\alpha$ is not only connected to a specific model, but also to the various aspects, such as, geometry of the Kähler manifold (as in (1.3)), behaviour of boundary of moduli space [22], kinetic pole structure [23], asymptotic freedom of inflaton field [24], hyperbolic geometry [8, 25, 26], maximal supersymmetry [27], modified gravity [28], and string theory (such as [29, 30]). Therefore the task of finding $\alpha$ is very important for its multi-functional diversity (as discussed above). But on the top of them, the most important
connection to tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \) (discussed in Section 3). According to \([21, 31, 32]\) all upcoming experiments are going to figure out \( r \) at various level of accuracy, primarily through \( B \)-mode detection, which in turn will validate many single and multi field models including \( \alpha \)-attractors. Although Planck-2018 \([33, 34]\) has put an upper bound \( \alpha \lesssim 10 \), upcoming experiments will probably constrain \( \alpha \) more precisely that will not only give specific attractor potential, but also will provide more convincing evidences for all the \( \alpha \)-related phenomena and internal geometry of space-time. Motivated by these ideas, in this paper, we examine the values of \( \alpha \) vis-à-vis the Planck-2018 data, by observing the transition from its lower to higher limit in the \( n_s - r \) plane through the \( k \)-mode analysis by dynamical horizon exit method. Considering the first order perturbation in the inflaton field and the metric and the quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field we set up non-linear coupled differential equations and solve them self-consistently in the sub-Planckian region to obtain the \( k \)-dependent power spectra, spectral indices and the number of e-folds. Our calculations have been done mainly through Wolfram Mathematica 12.

The paper is organised in this way. In Section 2 we briefly review the chaotic \( \alpha \)-attractors relevant for our present study. We have presented in Section 3 the formalism and the calculational framework. Section 4 contains results and discussion. Finally, we conclude our analysis in Section 5 by highlighting some future prospects.

2 Chaotic \( \alpha \)-attractors

Long ago, Linde \([35, 36]\) suggested an inflationary scenario, based on chaotic initial conditions in the very early universe. He also argued that this scenario is quite realizable in the \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supergravity theory, among other theories.

Kung and Brandenberger \([37]\) and Brandenberger, Feldman and Kung \([38]\) made Fourier analysis of the inflaton field and found that a single long wavelength mode can trigger chaotic inflation and is responsible for late-time evolution of the universe, whereas the energy density in short wavelength modes decay as radiation. In this sense the chaotic inflation is an attractor phenomenon in the space of initial conditions, which is independent of perturbations in short wavelength matter field and gravitational field.

The idea of chaotic inflation further evolved \([39, 40]\) within the framework of the \( \alpha \)-attractor models of supergravity. The \( \alpha \)-attractor is the most generic universal class of superconformal chaotic attractors in \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) minimal supergravity for chiral inflaton multiplet, having two attracting points corresponding two extreme limits of \( \alpha \) \([41]\).
Figure 1: α-attractor $E$-model potentials with small and large values of $\alpha$. In both the cases inflation continues down the valley until the reheating starts. The inflaton field rolls down the valley slowly because of the Hubble friction ([41]). The potential energy at the same values of $\phi$, viz., $\phi = 2$, i) $V(\phi) = 0.7$ for $\alpha = 1$ (Figure 1a) ii) $V(\phi) = 0.3$ for $\alpha = 7$ (Figure 1b). At this value of $\phi$, potential energy dominates more in Figure 1a than Figure 1b. Thus smaller value of $\alpha$ conforms to better slow roll and corroborates Planck data.

Figure 2: Comparison of α-attractor $T$-model potentials for small and large values of $\alpha$. As in the case of $E$-model, the small value of $\alpha$ will be more efficacious in explaining the Planck data. Same argument can be given here for the positive and negative regions of $\phi$, although we are interested in positive part only.

In Figures 1 and 2 we show the chaotic α-attractor potentials in the $E$-model and $T$-model respectively in the sub-Planckian region. As will be shown by our calculations the smaller values of $\alpha$ can explain the Planck data in the $n_s$-$r$ plane with 95% CL.

3 Sub-Planckian mode analysis of linear cosmological perturbations

In this section, with brief introduction of the basic formalism of cosmological perturbation [42] we shall set up the coupled nonlinear differential equations for the inflaton and the metric perturbations (see [43], for details). We shall work with the physical time $t$ in the natural
system of units where \( c = \hbar = 1 \) and will go from the \( t \) variable to the \( k \) variable using the dynamical horizon crossing condition, \( k = aH \).

The perturbed metric in spatially flat gauge: Following SVT decomposition \([44-46]\) the relevant metric in this gauge (\( \Psi = E = 0 \)) can be written as,

\[
ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -(1 + 2\Phi) dt^2 + 2a(t) \partial_i B dx^i dt + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j.
\] (3.1)

The perturbed energy-momentum tensor: All the components of the perturbed energy-momentum tensor up to first order can be expressed in matrix form as,

\[
T^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho & \delta \partial_1 q & \delta \partial_2 q & \delta \partial_3 q \\ -a^{-2} \delta \partial_1 q + a^{-1}(p^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)}) \partial^1 B & p & 0 & 0 \\ -a^{-2} \delta \partial_2 q + a^{-1}(p^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)}) \partial^2 B & 0 & p & 0 \\ -a^{-2} \delta \partial_3 q + a^{-1}(p^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)}) \partial^3 B & 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}.
\] (3.2)

Coupled density-momentum equations: By solving the Einstein field equations using (3.1) and (3.2) in gauge invariant way, one can obtain two coupled time evolution equations of density and momentum incorporating the Bardeen potentials \([47]\) \( \Phi_B \) and \( \Psi_B \),

\[
\delta \dot{\rho} + 3H(\delta p + \delta \rho) = \frac{\vec{k}^2}{a^2} \delta q + (p^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)}) \frac{\vec{k}^2}{a^2} \left( \frac{\Phi_B}{H} \right)
\] (3.3)

and

\[
\delta \dot{q} + 3H \delta q = -\delta p - (p^{(0)} + \rho^{(0)}) \left[ \Phi_B + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\Phi_B}{H} \right) \right]
\] (3.4)

where \( \vec{k} \) refers to the the Fourier modes of the \( B \) field, which will be identical to the perturbing quantized inflaton field, coupled to the Bardeen potentials.

Classical evolution equation of inflaton perturbation minimally coupled to gravity: We consider that the evolution of matter perturbations given by (3.3) and (3.4) originates from single field inflaton perturbation over classical metric background with the idea that the observed large scale structures of the universe are the magnified versions of spatially distributed quantum nuggets of this field, formed by gravitational instabilities. Therefore we can now write the classical equations for linearly independent mode functions of the unperturbed (\( \phi^{(0)} \)) and perturbed part (\( \delta \phi \)) of the inflaton field in the expanding background as

\[
\ddot{\phi}^{(0)}(k, t) + 3H \dot{\phi}^{(0)}(k, t) + \frac{\partial V(\phi^{(0)}(k, t))}{\partial \phi^{(0)}(k, t)} = 0,
\] (3.5)

\[
\ddot{\phi}(k, t) + 3H \dot{\phi}(k, t) + \frac{\partial^2 V(\phi^{(0)}(k, t))}{\partial \phi^{(0)}(k, t)^2} \delta \phi(k, t) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \delta \phi(k, t)
\]

\[
= \dot{\phi}^{(0)}(k, t) \left[ \left( \frac{\Phi_B}{H} \right)(k, t) + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\Phi_B}{H} \right) \right] + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \left( \frac{\Phi_B}{H} \right)(k, t) \] (3.6)

In (3.5) and (3.6) we have dropped the three-vector notation on \( k \) as these two equations depend only on the magnitude of \( \vec{k} \) \([42, 48]\).
Quantization of inflaton field: In order to study the quantum modes of the perturbations we will now proceed to quantise the inflaton field. Now this field will behave as an operator when it is quantized canonically

\[ \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{X}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \phi(k, t) \hat{a}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + \phi^*(k, t) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right], \]  

(3.7)

with the commutations

\[ [\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'). \]  

(3.8)

The mode dependent inflaton field operator form can be expressed as,

\[ \hat{\phi}(\vec{k}, t) = \phi(k, t) \hat{a}_k + \phi^*(-k, t) \hat{a}^\dagger_{-k}. \]  

(3.9)

The power spectra: A two-point correlation function in a slowly evolving quasi de-Sitter universe is the vacuum expectation value of a product of first-order perturbations in the quantum mode functions (3.9), as given by,

\[ \langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}, t) \delta\phi(\vec{k}', t) \rangle = \frac{4\pi^3}{k^3} \delta(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') H^2. \]  

(3.10)

In the spatially flat gauge, the curvature perturbation \( R \) is related to the inflaton perturbation \( \delta\phi \) by,

\[ R = H \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi}. \]  

(3.11)

The quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field are microscopically induced in the curvature perturbation and thus the curvature correlation function can be written as,

\[ \langle R(\vec{k}, t) R(\vec{k}', t) \rangle = \frac{H^2}{\phi^2} \langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}, t) \delta\phi(\vec{k}', t) \rangle \]  

(3.12)

which can also be written as,

\[ \langle R(\vec{k}, t) R(\vec{k}', t) \rangle = \frac{16\pi^5}{k^3} \delta(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') \Delta_R(t) \]  

(3.13)

where \( \Delta_R(t) \) is a dimensionless curvature power spectrum,

\[ \Delta_R(t) = \frac{H^4}{(2\pi)^2 \phi^2}. \]  

(3.14)

Similarly, for tensor perturbation we have a dimensionless power spectrum for two polarization states,

\[ \Delta_h(t) = \frac{2}{M_{Pl}^2} \left( \frac{H}{\pi} \right)^2. \]  

(3.15)

Horizon crossing of sub-Planckian modes and mode-dependent power spectra: The horizon crossing is a dynamical process, as different modes cross the horizon at different times satisfying the condition \( k = aH \). Here \( a \) is a time-dependent dynamical quantity. Thus, like the time scale, we can define a scale of the momentum \( k \) crossing the horizon and thereby we can change over from the \( t \)-scale to the \( k \)-scale. This way, the time-dependence of the power spectra can be converted into \( k \)-dependence if we use the dynamical horizon-crossing
condition, whereby we include all the sub-Planckian $k$-modes crossing the shrinking horizon during the inflationary period at slightly different instants. The fact that the different modes exit the horizon at different times, is buried in the quantum fluctuations and that will be our probe in subsequent analysis of the mode dependent cosmological parameters.

Thus, we get two momentum dependent power spectra (scalar and tensor) (from (3.14) and (3.15)) as,

$$\Delta_s(k) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 M_{Pl}^2} \left( \frac{H^2}{\epsilon} \right)_k = \frac{aH}{aH} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\Delta_h(k) = \frac{2}{\pi^2 M_{Pl}^2} (H^2)_k = aH. \quad (3.17)$$

where, in (3.16), we have identified (in spatially flat gauge) the curvature perturbation with scalar perturbation and used the relation,

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = 2M_{Pl}^2 \epsilon H^2, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\epsilon$ being the slow-roll parameter and $M_{Pl}(=\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi G}})$ is the reduced Planck mass. we shall work in the Planck unit where $M_{Pl} = 1$.

**Mode equations in $k$-space:** We first change the $t$-derivatives in (3.5) and (3.6) into $k$-derivatives exploiting the dynamical horizon exit constraint.

The condition,

$$k = aH = a \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \dot{a} \quad (3.19)$$

will imply that each point in $k$-space will correspond to a different expansion rate. Similarly, each value of

$$k = \dot{a} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( p + \frac{\rho}{3} \right) a \quad (3.20)$$

will correspond to a different acceleration rate.

Now inflationary condition,

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi) \quad (3.21)$$

allows us to approximate density and pressure as,

$$\rho \approx V(\phi), \quad (3.22)$$

$$p \approx -V(\phi). \quad (3.23)$$

and slow-roll parameter as,

$$\epsilon \approx \epsilon_V \quad (3.24)$$

where $\epsilon_V$ is the potential slow-roll parameter. Thus from (3.19), we get,

$$k = \frac{1}{3} aV(\phi). \quad (3.25)$$

Retaining upto the first-order term in the Taylor series expansion of $V(\phi)$, we have,

$$V(\phi) = V(\phi^{(0)}) \left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(0)}} \ln(V(\phi^{(0)})) \delta \phi \right). \quad (3.26)$$
The scale factor is,
\[ a = \frac{k}{H} = k\sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{\rho}} = k\sqrt{3}(V(\phi))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \] (3.27)

Thus we get from (3.21 - 3.27),
\[ \dot{k} = k\sqrt{\frac{V(\phi(0))}{3}} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(0)} \ln \sqrt{V(\phi(0))}\delta \phi \right) \] (3.28)
and
\[ H = \sqrt{\frac{V(\phi(0))}{3}} \left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(0)} \ln \sqrt{V(\phi(0))}\delta \phi \right). \] (3.29)

We now write the equations of linear perturbations in \( k \)-space (from (3.5) and (3.6)) as,

\[ k^2 \phi''(0) + k^2 G_1 \phi'(0)^2 + 4k\phi'(0) + k^2(G_1\delta\phi' + G_2\phi'(0)\delta\phi)\phi'(0) + 6G_1(1 - 2G_1\delta\phi) = 0 \] (3.30)

\[ k^2 \delta\phi'' + (k^2 G_1 \phi'(0) + 4k)\delta\phi' + [1 + 6(G_2 + 2G_1^2)]\delta\phi = \Phi_B'[k^3 \phi'(0)] + \Phi_B'[2k^2 \phi'(0) - k^3 G_1 \phi'(0)^2 - 12kG_1] + \Phi_B'[k\phi'(0) - k^2 \phi'(0)^2 G_1 - k^3 \phi'(0)(G_2\phi'(0)^2 + G_1\phi''(0)) - 12G_1 + 12kG_1^2 \phi'(0)] \] (3.31)

where,
\[ G_n = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \phi(0)n} \ln \sqrt{V(\phi(0))}, n = 1, 2, 3 \] (3.32)

and the primes denote the derivatives in \( k \)-space. Trading \( \Phi \) and \( B \) for the Bardeen potential \( \Phi_B \) and using the Horizon crossing condition, an evolution equation for the latter can be derived from the perturbed Einstein’s equations, under the spatially flat gauge and with no anistropic stress, as,

\[ H\dot{\phi} + \left( \Phi_B + \frac{\delta \rho}{2H^2} \right) - 3H^2 \left( \Phi_B + \frac{\delta \rho}{2H^2} \right) = 3 \left( \delta \dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} \delta p \right). \] (3.33)

The we get the equation for the \( \Phi_B \) in \( k \)-space as,

\[ k^4 \phi''(0)^2 \Phi''_B + (2k^4 \phi'(0)\phi''(0) - 2k^3 \phi'(0)^2 - k^4 G_1 \phi'(0)^3 - 2k)\Phi'_B + (6 + k^3(2 - 3kG_1 \phi'(0))\phi''(0)\phi'(0) - k^2 G_2 \phi'(0)^2 - 3kG_1 \phi'(0)^4 + 4)\phi'(0)^2) \Phi_B = 6k(k\phi''(0) + kG_1 \phi'(0)^2 + \phi'(0)\delta \phi + k^3 \phi'(0)\delta \phi' + k\phi''(0) + 2k\phi'(0) + 6G_1)\delta \phi' + 6kG_2 \phi'(0)\delta \phi. \] (3.34)

(3.30), (3.31) and (3.34) are coupled nonlinear differential equations for the \( k \)-space evolution of the unperturbed inflaton field, its perturbation and the metric perturbation. The metric perturbation, \( \Phi_B \) and its derivatives appear on the r.h.s of (3.31), thus making a connection between the quantum fluctuation and the geometrical perturbation. This aspect will be reflected in the \( k \)-dependence of the calculated power spectra and the spectral indices through the solutions of (3.30), (3.31) and (3.34).
**Mode-dependent cosmological parameters:** The number of e-folds corresponding to a potential $V(\phi)$ is defined as,

$$N(\phi) = \int_{\phi_{\text{end}}}^{\phi} \frac{V(\bar{\phi})}{d\bar{\phi}} d\bar{\phi}. \quad (3.35)$$

The above integration can be evaluated under the approximation $\phi_{\text{end}} \ll \phi$, for $\alpha$-attractor $E$-model $V(\phi) = V_0(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{6}} \phi})^n$ and $T$-model $V(\phi) = V_0 \tanh(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6} \alpha})$ and we get,

$$N_E(\phi) = \frac{3 \alpha}{2n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi, \quad (3.36)$$

$$N_T(\phi) = \frac{3 \alpha}{2n} \cosh \frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{6} \alpha}. \quad (3.37)$$

From these we can now write the mode dependent number of e-folds as,

$$N_E(k) = N_E(\phi(k)) = \frac{3 \alpha}{2n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \phi(k), \quad (3.38)$$

$$N_T(k) = N_T(\phi(k)) = \frac{3 \alpha}{2n} \cosh \frac{2\phi(k)}{\sqrt{6} \alpha}. \quad (3.39)$$

where $\phi(k) = \phi^{(0)}(k) + \delta \phi(k)$. Using (3.38), (3.39) one can express mode dependent first ($\epsilon_V$) and second ($\eta_V$) slow-roll parameters as for both $E$ and $T$ models as,

$$\epsilon_V(N(k)) \approx \frac{3 \alpha}{4N(k)^2}, \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$\eta_V(N(k)) \approx \left( \frac{3 \alpha}{2N(k)^2} - \frac{1}{N(k)} \right). \quad (3.41)$$

The mode-dependent scalar and tensor spectral indices respectively are,

$$n_s(k) = 1 + \frac{d \ln \Delta_s(k)}{d \ln k}, \quad (3.42)$$

and

$$n_h(k) = \frac{d \ln \Delta_h(k)}{d \ln k}, \quad (3.43)$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio is,

$$r(k) = \frac{\Delta_h(k)}{\Delta_s(k)}. \quad (3.44)$$

We can relate [42] the mode-dependent spectral indices and the tensor-to-scalar ratio to the corresponding slow-roll parameters as,

$$n_s(k) = 1 + 2\eta_V(k) - 6\epsilon_V(k), \quad (3.45)$$

$$n_h(k) = -2\epsilon_V(k), \quad (3.46)$$

and

$$r(k) = 16\epsilon_V(k). \quad (3.47)$$
Using (3.40) and (3.41) in (3.45), (3.46) and (3.47) up to leading order of $1/N \left( O(1/N^2) \ll 1 \right)$ we get,

$$n_s(N(k)) = 1 - \frac{2}{N(k)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.48)

$$n_h(N(k)) = -\frac{3\alpha}{2N(k)^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.49)

$$r = \frac{12\alpha}{N(k)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.50)

(3.48) and (3.50) are the mode-dependent versions of the corresponding universal equations for the $\alpha$-attractors [2, 8] (both for $E$ and $T$ models).

In the next section, we will display the mode dependence of power spectra, e-folds and spectral indices, through the numerical solution of $\phi^0(k)$ and $\delta\phi(k)$ from (3.30), (3.31) and (3.34) in (3.16), (3.17), (3.38), (3.39), (3.48), (3.49) and (3.50) using (3.24), (3.26), (3.29), (3.40) and (3.41).

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Validity of perturbative calculations

In the following figures, we show the results of self-consistent calculations of (3.30), (3.31) and (3.34) for the unperturbed $\alpha$-attractor potentials (Figure 3), perturbations in the potentials (Figure 4), the unperturbed inflaton field (Figure 5) and the perturbation in the inflaton field (Figure 6) in the $k$-space.

**Figure 3:** Unperturbed potentials, $V(0)(k)$, for $\alpha$ attractors. Figures 3a - 3c for $E$-model and 3d - 3f for $T$-model.
Figure 4: Perturbations of the potentials, $\delta V(k)$, for $\alpha$ attractors. Figures 4a - 4c for $E$-model and 4d - 4f for $T$-model.

Figure 5: Unperturbed part of the inflaton field, $\phi^{(0)}(k)$, for $\alpha$ attractors. Figures 5a - 5c for $E$-model and 5d - 5f for $T$-model.
Figure 6: Inflaton perturbations, $\delta \phi(k)$. Figures 6a - 6c for $E$-model and 6d- 6f for $T$-model.

The figures clearly demonstrate the validity of perturbative calculations in the sub-Planckian region. As for example, we observe that in Figure 3d, $V(0)(k) = 0.974858$ and in Figure 4d, $\delta V(k) = 1.45 \times 10^{-5}$ at $k = 0.004$ for $T$-model with $\alpha = 1$. Similarly in Figure 5a, $\phi(0)(k) = 5.315$ and in Figure 6a, $\delta \phi(k) = 7.1 \times 10^{-4}$ at $k = 0.006$ for $E$-model with $\alpha = 1$ (all the numbers are in Planck unit).

4.2 Power spectra and cosmological parameters in $k$-space

We have plotted scalar (Figure 7) and tensor (Figure 8) power spectra corresponding to various values of $\alpha$ both for $E$ and $T$ models against sub-Planckian mode momenta.
\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 1 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 1 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.06817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.06818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.06819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.06820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 2 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 2 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.06285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.06290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.06295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.06300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 4 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 4 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 1 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 1 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.032915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.032920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.032925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.032930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 6 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 6 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.02892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.02894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.02896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.02898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta s(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 10 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta s(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta h(k) \) for different values of \( n = 2, \alpha = 10 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \Delta h(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.02605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.02610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.02615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.02620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7**: Scalar power spectrum, \( \Delta s(k) \), for \( \alpha \) attractors. Figures 7a - 7c for E-model and 7d - 7f for T-model.

**Figure 8**: Tensor power spectrum, \( \Delta h(k) \), for \( \alpha \) attractors. Figures 8a - 8c for E-model and 8d - 8f for T-model.

In Figure 9 variations of number of e-folds against mode momenta have been shown. In the calculation of the e-folds we have considered \( N \sim 60 \) at lowest mode \( k = 0.001 \). We observed that during inflation, the number of e-folds shows little variation across the quantum fluctuations, which is to be expected.
In Figure 9, 10, 11, 12, the variations of scalar \((n_s)\) and tensor \((n_h)\) spectral indices and tensor-to-scalar ratio \((r)\) against mode momenta have been shown.
Figure 11: Tensor spectral index, $n_h(k)$, for $\alpha$ attractors. Figures 11a - 11c for $E$-model and 11d - 11f for $T$-model.

Figure 12: Tensor to scalar ratio, $r(k)$, for $\alpha$ attractors. Figures 12a - 12c for $E$-model and 12d - 12f for $T$-model.
4.3 Comparison with PLANCK-2018 data

Figure 13: Comparison with PLANCK-2018 data. The theoretical graph is shown by yellow line with two dots at the ends. E model (Figures 13a, 13b and 13c): $\alpha = 1, 2, 4$ lie within 95% CL. T model (Figures 13d, 13e and 13f): $\alpha = 1, 6, 10$ lie within 95% CL.

Figure 14: Comparison with PLANCK-2018 data. The theoretical graph is shown by lines with two dots at the ends. E model (Figure 14a): $\alpha = 5$ (yellow solid) lies within 68% CL and $\alpha = 6$ (red dotted) lies near 68% CL., and $\alpha = 7$ (blue dashed) lies beyond 68% CL. T model (Figure 14b): $\alpha = 20$ (yellow solid) lies within 68% CL. and $\alpha = 40$ (blue dotted) lies near 68% CL., and $\alpha = 50$ (red dot-dashed) lies beyond 68% CL.

In Figures 13 and 14 we have shown how the results of our calculation fit the Planck 2018 data [33, 34] in the $n_s-r$ plane. The experimental data have been generated from Planck Legacy Archive by running the GetDist package in Python Jupyter notebook. The Planck 2013 [49] and Planck 2018 [33, 34] data fit well with the idea of the single field inflationary
models. The Planck data not only promotes the single field inflaton model but also predicts the nature of the inflaton potential with some confidence level. The analysis of these data incorporates effects like gravitational lensing (effect of massive gravitational objects on the CMBR photons), BAO, E-modes (effect of galaxies), B-modes (comes from gravitational waves generated during inflation) to construct an image of present universe. We have shown the fact that our microscopic calculations during inflation in the $k \to 0$ limit matches with the Planck-2018 data in the $n_s$-$r$ plane within 95% CL. This indicates that, the seed of the present large scale structures of the universe was planted during inflation. In this work we take the $\alpha$-attractor potential and try to find the values of $\alpha$ which are capable to fit the Planck data. From Figures 13 and 14 we observe that (i) $E$-model potential with $\alpha \leq 4$ and (ii) $T$-model potential with $\alpha \leq 10$ fit the Planck-2018 data with 95% CL. These values lie in the Planck likelihood, so they can explain the present universe which evolved from quantum fluctuations present in the early universe.

5 Conclusions

In conclusions, we have done detailed mode analysis of cosmological perturbations including quantum fluctuations during inflation in the sub-Planckian region, with the chaotic $\alpha$-attractor potentials. We have mainly focused on the long wavelength ($k \to 0$) regions of the modes which drive the late time evolution of the universe [37]. The role of the short wavelength modes, which are responsible for reheating, is not examined in this paper.

In this paper we project out the possible experimentally favoured values of the $\alpha$ parameter through chaotic inflationary mode analysis. In addition to selecting the participating modes during inflation, our calculation has another aspect of constraining $\alpha$, which may have quite intricate relationship (see (3.50)) with high-scale microscopic scenario, as follows.

Geometrically $\alpha$ is the inverse curvature of $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$ Kähler manifold (see (1.3)), as also it controls the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$. If $\alpha \to 0$, i.e. for an infinitely curved manifold, $r \to 0$ and if $\alpha \to \infty$, i.e. for a flat manifold $r \to \infty$. Therefore the values of $\alpha$ have direct correspondence to those of $r$ and thereby to the geometry (shape and size) of the internal manifold. Now this interplay is complicated both from the theoretical and the experimental point of view. Finding out the exact volume of the internal manifold is an outstanding task for string theoretic models such as Large Volume Scenario (LVS) in the context of moduli stabilisation, since it is related to finding a particular Calabi-Yau manifold out of a large number of possibilities, which are themselves related by dualities such as mirror symmetry. And as stated in Section 1, finding $r$ is the aim of future CMB experiments through the detection of $B$-mode polarisation of gravitational waves with accuracy never achieved before. It is challenging enough because primordial gravitational waves are very weak and $B$-modes are also very feeble to detect. So we believe that the results of our calculation may throw some light on these issues in future.

We can verify, in future, the values of $\alpha$ and other cosmological parameters along with the underlying phenomenology in some recently proposed models, such as Quintessential models [18–20] based on cosmological see-saw mechanism, dark matter [50] and dark energy [51] related models and some other models [15, 52, 53], through mode analysis.
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