Realization of Super-Robust Geometric Control in a Superconducting Circuit
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Geometric phases accompanying adiabatic quantum evolutions can be used to construct robust quantum control for quantum information processing due to their noise-resilient feature. A significant development along this line is to construct geometric gates using nonadiabatic quantum evolutions to reduce errors due to decoherence. However, it has been shown that nonadiabatic geometric gates are not necessarily more robust than dynamical ones, in contrast to an intuitive expectation. Here we experimentally investigate this issue for the case of nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation (NHQC) and show that conventional NHQC schemes cannot guarantee the expected robustness due to a cross coupling to the states outside the computational space. We implement a new set of constraints for gate construction in order to suppress such cross coupling to achieve an enhanced robustness. Using a superconducting quantum circuit, we demonstrate high-fidelity holonomic gates whose infidelity against quasi-static transverse noise can be suppressed up to the fourth order, instead of the second order in conventional NHQC and dynamical gates. In addition, we explicitly measure the accumulated dynamical phase due to the above mentioned cross coupling and verify that it is indeed much reduced in our NHQC scheme. We further demonstrate a protocol for constructing two-qubit NHQC gates also with an enhanced robustness.

Introduction.—Robust quantum operations are essential for noisy intermediate-scale quantum computation [1] with the existence of various error sources. Different strategies have been proposed for realizing such robust operations. One seminal example is quantum control based on geometric phases [2]. As a general and fundamental feature that accompanies quantum evolution, geometric phases are solely determined by global properties, rather than local details, of the evolution. Therefore, they are intrinsically robust against certain types of noise and control imperfections. Such property has naturally been developed into the framework of geometric or holonomic quantum computation [3, 4], where quantum gates based on Abelian or non-Abelian geometric phases are realized through carefully engineering the involved quantum evolutions [5–13].

Early proposals of holonomic quantum computation utilize adiabatic evolution to suppress unwanted transitions among the instantaneous eigenstates of the Hamiltonians [14–16], which makes the resulting quantum gates have a long runtime and thus being sensitive to decoherence. To overcome such a problem, nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation (NHQC) [17, 18] has been proposed to reduce the runtime of quantum gates [19–26]. Various NHQC schemes have been experimentally demonstrated on different physical platforms, including superconducting circuits [27–33], nuclear magnetic resonance [34–36], and nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond [37–42]. However, it has been found that such NHQC gates are not significantly more robust than the standard dynamical ones. For example, infidelity of both types of gates exhibits a second-order dependence of control errors [43–48]. The missing of the “intrinsic” robustness theoretically expected for the NHQC gates (in fact, for other types of geometric gates as well) remains a puzzle in the community and needs to be resolved before such gates can ever become practically useful.

Here, by reexamining the design principles of NHQC gates following a recent theoretical work [49], we show that in conventional NHQC schemes, the phases used for gate construction may become a mixture of geometric and dynamical components due to a cross coupling to the states outside the computational space, which compromises the robustness of geometric gates. This issue can be resolved by imposing a new set of constraints to the gate construction. Using a superconducting quantum circuit [50–52], we have experimentally demonstrated arbitrary single-qubit NHQC gates complying with such new constraints, with an average fidelity of 0.9956 characterized by the standard randomized benchmarking (RB). We have also measured explicitly the accumulated dynamical phase due to the cross coupling discussed above and found that using our scheme, this phase is indeed much suppressed compared to conventional NHQC schemes. As a consequence, the dependence of gate infidelity on control errors (or quasi-static transverse noise) in our scheme is suppressed to the fourth order, achieving an enhanced robust-
ness. We thus label our scheme as super-robust NHQC (SR-NHQ). Lastly, we have also demonstrated a protocol for constructing two-qubit SR-NHQC gates as well as their enhanced robustness.

SR-NHQC scheme—We first present the theoretical framework of SR-NHQC for a single qubit case. Consider a typical NHQC scheme consisting three states of \(|g\rangle, |f\rangle, |e\rangle\) driven by two resonant pulses with time-dependent amplitudes \(\Omega_{ge}(t)\) and \(\Omega_{ef}(t)\), and phases \(\phi_0(t)\) and \(\phi_1(t)\) (Fig. 1(a)). Here \(|g\rangle\) and \(|f\rangle\) form the computational basis and \(|e\rangle\) is an ancillary state. Under the rotating-wave approximation, the system Hamiltonian reads (with \(\hbar \equiv 1\)): \(H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Omega_{ge}(t)e^{-i\phi_0(t)}|g\rangle\langle e| + \Omega_{ef}(t)e^{-i\phi_1(t)}|f\rangle\langle e| \right] + h.c.\). By defining a bright state as \(|b\rangle \equiv -\sin (\frac{\pi}{2}) e^{-i\theta}|g\rangle + \cos (\frac{\pi}{2}) |f\rangle\), where \(\phi \equiv \phi_1(t) - \phi_0(t) - \pi\) and \(\tan(\theta/2) \equiv \Omega_{ge}(t)/\Omega_{ef}(t)\), one has \(H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Omega(t)e^{-i\phi(t)}|b\rangle\langle e| + h.c. \right] \) with \(\Omega(t) \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_{ge}(t)^2 + \Omega_{ef}(t)^2}\). We further define a dark state \(|d\rangle = \cos (\theta) e^{-i\phi}|g\rangle + \sin (\theta) |f\rangle\), which is decoupled from the system evolution since \(H(t)|d\rangle = 0\). In the following discussion, we keep both \(\theta\) and \(\phi\) (thus \(|b\rangle\) and \(|d\rangle\)) time independent and use \(\Omega(t)\) and \(\phi_1(t)\) as adjustable parameters for designing evolutions.

An arbitrary evolution of the system can be formulated as \(\langle \psi(t) \rangle = U(t, 0) |d\rangle, |b\rangle, |e\rangle\) with the evolution operator \(U(t, 0) = T e^{-i \int_0^t H(t')dt'} = \sum_{m=0}^2 \langle \psi_m(t) \rangle \langle \psi_m(0) \rangle\), where \(T\) stands for time ordering. In NHQC schemes [17, 18], a nonadiabatic cyclic evolution is engineered so that at the end moment \(\tau\), \(U(\tau, 0) (|d\rangle, |b\rangle, |e\rangle) = (|d\rangle, e^{i\gamma}|b\rangle, e^{-i\gamma}|e\rangle)\), where \(\gamma\) is a geometric phase determined by the path of evolution. When transformed and truncated into the computational subspace, \(U(\tau, 0)\) has a form of \(e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} n \sigma}\), where \(n = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)\). Therefore, an arbitrary single-qubit gate can be realized by properly choosing \(\theta, \phi\), and \(\gamma\).

Conventional NHQC schemes impose the condition of parallel transport: \(\langle \psi_m(t) | H(t') \psi_n(t) \rangle = 0 (m, n = 0, 1)\) to ensure a geometric phase (i.e., the accumulated dynamical phase is zero) and the robustness of gates. However, it was proved that the infidelity of the resulted NHQC gates showed an identical dependence on control errors to the second order as a dynamical gate [44, 47]. In other words, the NHQC gates do not exhibit a better robustness against control errors as expected. This puzzle has recently been resolved by some authors of this work. In Ref. [49], it is shown that the condition of parallel transport given above alone cannot guarantee the resulted phases to be pure geometric. Specifically, the “geometric” phases may become contaminated by a residual dynamical phase due to a nonzero cross coupling of \(\langle \psi_1(t) | H(t') \psi_2(t) \rangle\). This fact compromises the prerequisite for the robustness of geometric gates.

A solution of this issue is to impose the following new condition [49]:

\[
D_{mn} \equiv \int_0^\tau d_{mn}(t)dt = 0, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \quad (1)
\]

where \(d_{mn}(t) = \langle \psi_m(t) | H(t) | \psi_n(t) \rangle\). For \(m, n = 0, 1\), this condition represents a relaxed version of the above-mentioned condition of parallel transport. On the other hand, \(D_{12} = 0\) assures that the dynamical phase due to a nonzero \(\langle \psi_1(t) | H(t) | \psi_2(t) \rangle\) amounts to zero in a cyclic evolution, resulting a pure geometric phase. SR-NHQC gates constructed following Eq. (1) exhibit an enhanced robustness against control errors or similar imperfections compared to conventional NHQC gates and the standard dynamical gates. In Supplementary Material [54], we show that for an error in the form of 

\[
F(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\gamma}{2}} \cos^4 \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi \varepsilon}{2} \right)^2. \quad (2)
\]

In the limit of \(|\varepsilon| \ll 1\), \(F(\varepsilon) \approx 1 - \pi^4 \varepsilon^4 (1 - \cos \gamma)/32\), exhibiting a fourth-order dependence on the error, instead of second order.

When expressing the Hamiltonian \(H(t)\) as a function of \(\Omega(t)\) and \(\phi_1(t)\), we have many different choices of these adjustable parameters to fulfill the constraint of Eq. (1). Here for simplicity, we use a pulse sequence of six segments to construct single-qubit SR-NHQC gates [54]. Each segment contains a pair of microwave drives on resonant with \(|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle\).

FIG. 1: Super-robust holonomic gates. (a) The lowest three energy levels of a superconducting transmon qubit driven by two resonant microwave pulses on the transitions of \(|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle\) and \(|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle\), respectively. (b) Bloch sphere representation of quantum evolutions in the \(|b\rangle, |e\rangle\) subspace for the single-qubit SR-NHQ gate, which consists six sequential rotations \(R_{\phi, \theta}(\theta, \phi)\) in the equatorial plane, whose rotation angles and axes \((\theta_i, \phi_i) (i = 1, \ldots, 6)\) are specified alongside. (c) Schematic diagram of the device. A superconducting transmon qubit \((Q)\) is dispersively coupled to two 3D microwave cavities. One is used for readout \((R)\) of the qubit states, and the other for storage \((S)\) of a photonic qubit and implementing the two-qubit SR-NHQ gates. (d-f) The measured populations of the transmon qubit for single-qubit SR-NHQ gates \(U_1(0, 0, \pi/2), U_1(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)\), and \(U_1(\pi/2, \phi, \pi/2)\). The initial states in the three cases are \(|f\rangle, |g\rangle\), and \(|g\rangle + |f\rangle\)/\(\sqrt{2}\), respectively. Symbols and solid lines are experimental data and numerical simulations.
\(|e\rangle\) and \(|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle\) transitions. The operation of each segment corresponds to a rotation in the subspace of \(\{|b\rangle, \ldots\}\) as shown in Fig. 1(b). In the computational subspace \(\{|g\rangle, |f\rangle\}\), the evolution operator \(U(\tau, 0)\) has a form of \(U_3(\theta, \phi, \gamma) = e^{-i2\pi n \sigma}\) with \(n = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)\), similar to the case of conventional NHQC discussed above.

**Experiment of single-qubit SR-NHQC gates.**—The single-qubit SR-NHQC experiment is carried out using a superconducting circuit depicted in Fig. 1(c). In this device, a superconducting transmon qubit [55] is dispersively coupled to two microwave cavities [56–59], one for readout of the qubit state and the other for storage of a microwave photonic qubit. The frequencies of the \(|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle\) and \(|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle\) transitions of the transmon qubit are \(\omega_{ge}/2\pi = 5.31\) GHz and \(\omega_{ef}/2\pi = 5.12\) GHz, respectively. The readout cavity with a transition frequency of \(\omega_R/2\pi = 8.68\) GHz is used to perform high-fidelity and simultaneous readout of the \(|g\rangle\), \(|e\rangle\), and \(|f\rangle\) states. The storage cavity has a transition frequency of \(\omega_S/2\pi = 6.56\) GHz and allows for the implementation of two-qubit SR-NHQC between the transmon states \(\{|g\rangle, |f\rangle\}\) and the Fock states \(\{|0\rangle, |2\rangle\}\) of the photonic qubit. More details of the device and measurement setup can be found in Ref. [54].

We first demonstrate the tunability of \(\theta\), \(\phi\), and \(\gamma\) for realizing arbitrary single-qubit gates. Figures 1(d)-(f) show the measured population of qubit states as a function of \(\theta\), \(\phi\), and \(\gamma\) for three representative gate sets of \(U_3(\theta, \phi, \pi/2), U_1(\pi/2, \phi, \pi/2),\) and \(U_1(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)\), respectively. The experimental results agree well with our numerical simulations. We then characterize the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates using the quantum process tomography (QPT) including all three states of \(\{|g\rangle, |e\rangle\},\) and \(|f\rangle\) [54]. Figure 2(a) shows the reduced quantum process matrix in the subspace of \(\{|g\rangle, |f\rangle\}\) for four specific gates of \(X = U_1(\pi/2, 0, 0), Y = U_1(\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi),\) \(X/2 = U_1(\pi/2, 0, \pi/2)\), and \(Y/2 = U_1(\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2)\). The average process fidelity is 0.9858. We also use the Clifford-based RB [60–62] to characterize the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates. The reference RB experiment gives an average gate fidelity of 0.9956 for the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates in the Clifford group. The difference of the reference and interleaved RB experiments gives the gate fidelity 0.9957, 0.9960, 0.9958, and 0.9965 for four SR-NHQC gates of \(X, Y, X/2,\) and \(Y/2\), respectively. Infidelities of these gates mainly come from the decoherence of both \(|e\rangle\) and \(|f\rangle\) states of the qubit.

Next, we demonstrate the enhanced robustness of the SR-NHQC gates by comparing them to the conventional NHQC gates and the standard dynamical gates. Using QPT measurements, we study the performance of four specific gates \(X, Y, X/2,\) and \(Y/2\) realized via the three different schemes in the presence of a Rabi error \(\varepsilon\). The measured process fidelities of these four gates as a function of \(\varepsilon\) are shown in Figs. 3(a)-(d). The SR-NHQC gates are clearly superior to the other two types of gates in terms of robustness.

As discussed above, the super robustness of the SR-NHQC gates is guaranteed by a suppression of accumulated dynamical phases via imposing Eq. (1). In order to examine whether this condition is satisfied, we directly measure the accumulation rates of dynamical phases given by \(d_{mn}(t)\) for SR-NHQC, conventional NHQC, and dynamical gates [54]. For the case of an \(X\) gate, the results are shown in Figs. 3(e)-(g). Integrating the measured \(d_{mn}(t)\) gives the total dynamical phases \(D_{11}, D_{22},\) and \(D_{12}\), which are \(-0.01\pi, 0.02\pi,\) \((-0.01 - 0.05i)\pi\) for the SR-NHQC \(X\) gate, \(-0.06\pi, 0.08\pi,\) \((0.97 + 0.038i)\pi\) for the conventional NHQC \(X\) gate, and \(0.78\pi, -0.78\pi, 0.47\pi\) for the dynamical \(X\) gate, respectively. Thus, the satisfaction of Eq. (1) for the SR-NHQC gate is indeed verified. Specifically, the suppression of \(D_{12}\) is critical for achieving the super robustness of SR-NHQC, which is not guaranteed in the conventional NHQC schemes.

**Two-qubit SR-NHQC gate.**—We also demonstrate non-trivial two-qubit SR-NHQC gates between a superconducting transmon qubit and a photonic qubit (see Fig. 1(c)), using a scheme illustrated in Fig. 4(a). In our experiment, two microwave drives are applied on resonance with the \(|0g\rangle \leftrightarrow |0e\rangle\) and \(|0e\rangle \leftrightarrow |0f\rangle\) transitions. Here the numbers represent the
Fock states of the photonic qubit. Similar to the single-qubit case, we can realize an arbitrary holonomic gate $U_2(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$ in the $\{\ket{0_f}, \ket{0_g}\}$ subspace, while keeping $\ket{2_g}$ and $\ket{2_f}$ unaffected thanks to the strong dispersive $ZZ$ interaction. This operation thus creates a control-gate in the two-qubit subspace of $\{\ket{0_g}, \ket{0_f}, \ket{2_g}, \ket{2_f}\}$ described by:

$$U_2(\theta, \phi, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(3)

Of course, the strong $ZZ$ interaction between the two qubits also induces a conditional phase operation, which renders the overall evolution matrix to be different from Eq. (3). However, since this interaction is time independent, the resulted phase can always be zeroed by properly setting the overall evolution time. Therefore, we can safely neglect this phase in the following discussion.

In order to characterize the two-qubit SR-NHQC gates, we first prepare different initial Fock states of the photonic qubit by sequentially applying two Raman transition drives [63, 64] for $\ket{0_f} \leftrightarrow \ket{1_g}$ and $\ket{1_f} \leftrightarrow \ket{2_g}$, respectively, with the experimental results shown in Fig. 4(b). Figure 4(c) plots the populations of the transmon qubit as a function of $\gamma$ for two-qubit SR-NHQC gates set $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)$ applied to an initial state of $\ket{0_g} + \ket{2_g}/\sqrt{2}$. A gate fidelity of 0.944 is estimated for the realized two-qubit CNOT gate defined by $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \pi)$ [54]. The infidelity of this two-qubit SR-NHQC gate mainly comes from the decoherence of the qubit due to a long gate operation time. A higher fidelity can be achieved with a shorter gate time by utilizing a tunable coupler between two superconducting transmon qubits to realize a strong tunable $ZZ$ interaction [65, 66]. We further demonstrate the super robustness of the two-qubit SR-NHQC CNOT gate by measuring the populations as a function of the Rabi error $\epsilon$ and comparing to the conventional NHQC two-qubit CNOT gate, as shown in Fig. 4(d). The experimental results clearly show the superior robustness of the SR-NHQC CNOT gate. Again, the under performance of the SR-NHQC gate at small $\epsilon$ is due to a longer gate operation time and can be greatly improved when implemented on a system of two transmon qubits and a tunable coupler.

Conclusion.—In summary, we have experimentally demonstrated a universal gate set based on a new NHQC scheme in an architecture of circuit quantum electrodynamics. Compared to conventional NHQC schemes, the new SR-NHQC scheme guarantees an enhanced robustness against quasi-static errors appearing in the transverse direction (e.g., Rabi error in the $xy$ control pulses) by imposing additional constraints that help suppress dynamical phases. The realized single- and two-qubit SR-NHQC gates achieve an average fidelity of 0.9956 and 0.944, respectively and both show super robustness against Rabi errors as predicted. In addition, for the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates, we have directly measured the residual dynamical phases and verified the suppression of such phases inherent in the new scheme. Given its generality
and simplicity, the SR-NHQC scheme can be implemented on other platforms such as trapped ions, quantum dots, Rydberg atoms, and nuclear magnetic resonance, etc. Our work thus paves a way to construct universal super-robust holonomic quantum gates for future large-scale quantum computation.
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I. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Device and setup

The experimental device with a circuit quantum electrodynamics (cQED) architecture [1, 2] contains a 3D coaxial stub cavity as the storage cavity (S), a superconducting transmon qubit (Q), and a stripline readout resonator (R). The 3D coaxial stub cavity is first machined from a single block of high purity aluminum and then chemically etched in order to improve the quality factor of the microwave cavity [3]. The superconducting transmon qubit containing of a Josephson junction connected to two antenna pads is fabricated on a c-plane sapphire chip with a double angle evaporation of aluminum after a single electron-beam lithography step. The qubit chip is placed inside a horizontal tunnel of the 3D device, with the two antenna pads coupling to the coaxial stub cavity mode and the stripline readout resonator mode, respectively [4, 5]. The stripline resonator is formed by the metal wall of the tunnel and an aluminum stripline, which is simultaneously patterned on the same chip with the superconducting qubit.

The experimental device is placed inside a magnetic shield and mounted in a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of about 10 mK. The full wiring of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. S1. Attenuators and filters are used on the microwave lines to reduce the radiation noises of the signals. Both the qubit and storage cavity control pulses are generated by IQ modulations with two analogy channels of a Zurich ultra-high-frequency quantum analyzer (UHFQA) with a signal generator as the local oscillator. The readout signal is amplified by a high electron mobility transistor (HEMT) at 4K stage and a standard commercial amplifier at room temperature, and does a down-conversion with the same local oscillator as generating the readout pulse. Finally, the readout signal is digitized and recorded by the same Zurich UHFQA.

“derivative removal by adiabatic gate” (DRAG) is applied to both |g⟩ ↔ |e⟩ and |e⟩ ↔ |f⟩ transitions in order to suppress the leakage to undesired energy levels [6, 7]. The readout pulse is generated by IQ modulations of two analog channels of a Zurich ultra-high-frequency quantum analyzer (UHFQA) with a signal generator as the local oscillator. The readout signal is digitized and recorded by the same Zurich UHFQA.

Fig. S1: The full wiring of the experimental setup.
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TABLE S1: Hamiltonian parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies (GHz)</th>
<th>Couplings (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_R/2\pi$</td>
<td>8.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_S/2\pi$</td>
<td>6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_{ge}/2\pi$</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_{ef}/2\pi$</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. System Hamiltonian and coherence properties**

In our device, a superconducting transmon qubit is dispersive coupled to two cavity modes, a storage cavity mode and a readout cavity mode. The transmon qubit has a large anharmonicity and is considered as a three-level artificial atom, while each cavity mode is considered as a harmonic oscillator. Thus, the Hamiltonian of the whole system can be described as

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega_R (a_R^\dagger a_R + 1/2) + \omega_S (a_S^\dagger a_S + 1/2)$$

$$+ \omega_{ge} |e\rangle \langle e| + (\omega_{ge} + \omega_{ef}) |f\rangle \langle f|$$

$$- \chi_{ge}^{RO} |e\rangle \langle a_R a_R| + \chi_{ge}^{RO} |f\rangle \langle f| a_R^\dagger a_R$$

$$- \chi_{ge}^{SO} |e\rangle \langle a_S a_S| - \chi_{ge}^{SO} |f\rangle \langle f| a_S^\dagger a_S,$$

(S1)

where $\omega_{RS}$ are the resonant frequencies of the readout and the storage cavities, respectively; $a_{RS}$ ($a_R^\dagger$, $a_S^\dagger$) are the corresponding ladder operators; $\omega_{ge}$ and $\omega_{ef}$ are transition frequencies among the lowest three energy levels $\{|g\rangle, |e\rangle, |f\rangle\}$; $\chi$’s are corresponding dispersive couplings between the qubit and the cavity modes.

All the parameters in the previous Hamiltonian are calibrated with standard cQED technique. The dispersive couplings $\chi_{ge}^{SO}$ and $\chi_{ge}^{RO}$ between the qubit and the storage cavity mode are calibrated with a number splitting experiment through qubit $|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$ and $|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ transition frequency spectroscopy with a coherent state in the storage cavity. And the experiment results are shown in Fig. S2. The dispersive couplings $\chi_{ge}^{SO}$ and $\chi_{ge}^{RO}$ between the qubit and the readout cavity are calibrated through a readout frequency spectroscopy experiment with the qubit prepared in different initial states $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$, respectively. And the experiment results are shown in Fig. S4(a). All the parameters in Eq. S1 are measured and listed in Table S1.

In addition, the coherence properties of the whole system are also experimentally measured and listed in Table S2. The relaxation time of the readout cavity is extracted from the linewidth of the readout frequency spectroscopy. The relaxation times of the $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ states of the transmon qubit are obtained by measuring the free evolutions of the populations $P_e$, $P_s$, and $P_f$ with an initial $|f\rangle$ state, following the technique described in Ref.[8]. $P_e$ and $P_f$ are measured by mapping them onto the population of ground state $|g\rangle$ through a $\pi$ pulse and two sequential $\pi$ pulses, respectively. The experimental results are shown in Fig. S3(a). The decay curves are globally fitted with the rate equation $d\hat{\rho}/dt = \Gamma \cdot \hat{\rho}$, where $\hat{\rho} = (P_g, P_e, P_f)^T$, and the decay rate matrix $\Gamma$ is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \Gamma_{ge} & \Gamma_{gf} \\
0 & -\Gamma_{ge} & \Gamma_{ef} \\
0 & \Gamma_{ef} & \Gamma_{ef} + \Gamma_{ef}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(S2)}$$

where the negligible upward transition rates are ignored and only the downward transition rates $\Gamma_{ge}$ and $\Gamma_{gf}$ are considered. Since the non-sequential decay rate $\Gamma_{gf}$ is much slower than the sequential decay rate $\Gamma_{ge}$, $\Gamma_{ef}$, and $\Gamma_{gf}$, we consider the corresponding relaxation times $1/\Gamma_{ge}$ and $1/\Gamma_{gf}$ of qubit are listed as $T_1$ in Table S2.

Then, we measure the dephasing rates between $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ states, and between $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ states of qubit with Ramsey interference experiments, and the results are shown in Figs. S3(b,c). The Ramsey fringes are fitted with an exponentially damped sinusoidal function $y = y_0 + e^{-t/T_2} \cdot \cos(2\pi ft + \varphi)$ and the extracted $T_2^*$ are also listed in Table S2.

The coherence times $T_1$ and $T_2^*$ of the storage cavity are measured through the relaxation of Fock state $|1\rangle$ and the dephasing of $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, respectively [5]. Both initial states are generated with selective number-dependent arbitrary phase gates [9].

![FIG. S2: Calibration of dispersive couplings between the qubit and the storage cavity mode with number splitting experiments.](image-url)
C. Qubit readout

Here, we probe the qubit states $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$ via the dispersive readout technique [2]. We first perform the readout cavity frequency spectroscopy experiment with different qubit initial states $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$. And the experimental results are shown in Fig. S4(a). We optimize the readout pulse amplitude, duration, frequency, record pulse delay, and integration length to maximum the readout discrimination of all the $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$ states simultaneously. We perform the single-shot experiments with 20000 repetitions for each initial qubit state, and record the $I$ and $Q$ quadratures of the readout signals with the experimental results shown in Fig. S4(b). The $I-Q$ plane is divided into three regions corresponding to the assignments of $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$ states. By counting the number of $I-Q$ data in the three regions, we could obtain the assignment probability $P = (P_0, P_1, P_2)$ corresponding to that initial basis state. After repeating the experiments for all the three initial qubit states, we could obtain an assignment probability matrix $M$ in span $\{ |g\rangle, |e\rangle, |f\rangle \}$ with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0.942 & 0.080 & 0.076 \\ 0.040 & 0.908 & 0.077 \\ 0.018 & 0.012 & 0.847 \end{pmatrix},$$

where each column represents the qubit assignment probabilities after preparing the qubit in the corresponding basis state. Then the readout errors can be corrected by multiplying the inverse of the assignment matrix $M$ with the measured probability $P$. Therefore, $P_{\text{corr}} = M^{-1} \cdot P$ represents the actual occupation probabilities of the $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$ states of the transmon qubit.

II. SINGLE-QUBIT SR-NHQC GATES

A. State population evolution of single-qubit gates

Single-qubit SR-NHQC gates are constructed by a pulse sequence of six segments. Each segment contains a pair of microwave drives on resonant with the $|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$ and $|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ transitions, thus corresponding to a Hamiltonian of $H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Omega(t) e^{i\varphi(t)} |b\rangle \langle e| + \text{h.c.} \right]$. The operation of each segment corresponds to a rotation $R_\varphi(\vartheta)$ in the equatorial plane of $\{ |b\rangle, |e\rangle \}$ subspace, with the rotation angle $\vartheta = \int_0^t \Omega(t) \, dt$ and phase $\varphi = \varphi_1$. The total evolution time $\tau = 120$ ns is divided into six intervals, with the rotation angle and phase in each segment satisfying

$$\begin{align*}
\vartheta_1 &= \frac{\pi}{2}, & \varphi_1 &= \gamma - \pi, & t &\in [0, \tau/8], \\
\vartheta_2 &= \pi, & \varphi_2 &= \gamma - \pi/2, & t &\in [\tau/8, 3\tau/8], \\
\vartheta_3 &= \frac{\pi}{2}, & \varphi_3 &= \gamma - \pi, & t &\in [3\tau/8, \tau/2], \\
\vartheta_4 &= \frac{\pi}{2}, & \varphi_4 &= 0, & t &\in [\tau/2, 5\tau/8], \\
\vartheta_5 &= \pi, & \varphi_5 &= \pi/2, & t &\in [5\tau/8, 7\tau/8], \\
\vartheta_6 &= \frac{\pi}{2}, & \varphi_6 &= 0, & t &\in [7\tau/8, \tau].
\end{align*}$$

FIG. S3: Coherence properties of the three-level transmon qubit. (a) Population decay curves with the transmon qubit initialized in $|f\rangle$ state with a global fitting based on the rate equation (solid lines). (b-c) Ramsey oscillation experiment between $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ states (b), and $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ states (c) of the transmon qubit, respectively. Solid lines are corresponding fittings with an exponentially damped sinusoidal function. Note that the offset of the fitting function for $|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ Ramsey experiment is an exponential decay term to account for both $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ states decaying to $|g\rangle$ state.

FIG. S4: Readout characterization of the $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|f\rangle$ states of the transmon qubit. (a) Readout frequency spectroscopy for qubit initially prepared in $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ state, respectively. (b) Recorded data points in the $I-Q$ plane in a single shot experiment for qubit initially prepared in $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ state, respectively. The $I-Q$ plane is divided into three regions with the black dot in each region corresponding to the median of that distribution. The three regions are separated by three solid gray lines to distinguish the qubit states and obtain the assignment probabilities.

FIG. S5: State population evolution of the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates $X$ (a,b) and $Y/2$ (c,d) as a function of gate duration for initial states $|g\rangle$ (a,c) and $(|g\rangle - i|f\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ (b,d), respectively. Solid lines are corresponding numerical simulations.
In the computational subspace \{\{g\}, \{f\}\}, the evolution operator \(U(\tau,0)\) has a form of \(U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma) = e^{-i \gamma / 2} n \cdot \sigma\), corresponding to a rotation operation around the axis \(n = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)\) by an angle of \(\gamma\). The total evolution of these six segments satisfies the constrain of Eq. (1) in the main text, thus corresponding to super-robust nonadiabatic holonomic gates with enhanced robustness. We note that this construction of holonomic gates is similar to composite pulses [10, 11], whose robustness is also originated from the satisfaction of the constrain of Eq. (1) in the main text.

In our experiment, each resonant microwave drive of the six segments is implemented by a cosine-shape envelope pulse, with the pulse amplitudes and phases being satisfying Eq. (S4) to achieve a better robustness. We first measure the state dynamics of the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates. Firstly, we initialize the qubit with \(\{g\}\) and \((\{g\} - i\{f\})/\sqrt{2}\) states, respectively. Then, six pairs of resonant pulses are applied on the qubit to realize single-qubit SR-NHQC gates \(X\) and \(Y/2\), respectively. We measure the qubit state populations as a function of the gate duration and the experimental results are shown in Figs. S5(a-d), which agree well with our numerical simulations. In addition, we demonstrate the arbitrary tunability of the parameters \(\theta, \phi, \gamma\) for the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates \(U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)\). Here we present extended data as that in Fig. 1(a) in the main text. Figures. S6(a-c) are the measured qubit state populations as a function of \(\theta, \gamma\), and \(\phi\) for the realigned single-qubit SR-NHQC gate \(U_1(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)\), and \(U_1(\pi/2, \phi, \pi/2)\), respectively. The experimental results agree well with our numerical simulations, indicating arbitrary control of the super-robust single-qubit holonomic gates.

B. Quantum process tomography

The single-qubit SR-NHQC gates are first characterized by a full quantum process tomography with all the three-level system. We first initialize the three-level transmon qubit with the following nine states \((\{g\}, \{e\}, \{f\}, (\{g\} + i\{e\})/\sqrt{2}, (\{e\} + i\{f\})/\sqrt{2}, (\{g\} - i\{f\})/\sqrt{2})\), then apply the SR-NHQC gates, and finally perform state tomography measurements of the final states. The state tomography measurement requires nine pre-rotations to reconstruct the density matrix of the three-level qubit state: \([I, X^g_{\pi/2}, Y^g_{\pi/2}, X^g_{\pi/2}, X^{ge}_{\pi/2}, X^{ge}_{\pi/2}, X^{ge}_{\pi/2}, X^{ge}_{\pi/2}]\) [12], where the rotation operators are read from the right to left. The measurements give the result \(\{M_k\} = \text{Tr}(\rho U_k^\dagger M_k U_k)\) for each pre-rotation \(U_k\) with \(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 8\), where \(M_I = |g\rangle\langle g|\). The density matrix of the three-level qubit can then be reconstructed by the maximum likelihood estimation method [13]. With the nine initial states \(\rho_i\), the experimental process matrix \(\chi_{\text{exp}}\) can be extracted from the nine corresponding final states \(\rho_f\) through \(\rho_f = \sum_m \chi_{mn} E_m \rho_i E_m^\dagger\) [14], where the full set of nine orthogonal basis operators is chosen as \(\{I, \sigma^x_r, -i \sigma^y_r, \sigma^z_r, -i \sigma^y_r, \sigma^z_r, -i \sigma^y_r, I_e\}\) [15], where \(\sigma_{mn}\) are the Pauli operators acting on the \(m\) and \(n\) energy levels, \(I_{ef} = |g\rangle\langle g| + |f\rangle\langle f|\), and \(I_e = |e\rangle\langle e|\).

For the single-qubit SR-NHQC gates on the transmon qubit, the state \(|e\rangle\) serves as an auxiliary state. Therefore, we have calculated the reduced process matrix \(\chi_R\) which describes the process only involving \(|g\rangle\) and \(|f\rangle\), and ignore any operators acting on the auxiliary state. In order to compare with the process acting on a two-level system, the reduced process matrix \(\chi_R\) is obtained by a normalization factor of 3/2. And the basis operators of the reduced process matrix are \(\{I, \sigma^x_r, -i \sigma^y_r, \sigma^z_r\}\), or simply \(\{I, \sigma^i_r\}\) as in the main text. The quantum process fidelity of the corresponding gate is defined as \(F = |\text{Tr}(\chi_R \chi^\dagger_{\text{ideal}})|\), where \(\chi_{\text{ideal}}\) is the ideal process matrix for the corresponding gate.

C. Randomized benchmarking

In the single-qubit RB experiment, we perform both the reference RB and interleaved RB experiments with the experimental sequences shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b) in the main text. In the reference RB experiment, we first apply a random sequence of \(m\) quantum gates chosen from the single-qubit Clifford group, then append a recovery gate (\(C_\gamma\)) to invert the whole sequence, and finally measure the ground state probability as the sequence fidelity. The whole experiment is repeated for \(k = 50\) different sequences to get the average sequence fidelity. In the interleaved RB experiment, a specific gate \(G\) is interleaved into the \(m\) random Cliffords, and a similar recovery gate is applied to invert the whole sequence. The experimentally measured sequence fidelity decay curves as a function of the number of Cliffords \(m\) for both the reference RB and interleaved RB experiments are fitted to \(F = A P^m + B\) with different sequence decays \(p = \frac{p_{\text{rot}}}{p_{\text{gate}}}\) and \(p = p_{\text{gate}}\). The average gate fidelity is given by \(F_{\text{gate}} = 1 - (1 - p_{\text{rot}})(d - 1)/d / 1.875\), with \(d = 2^N\) for \(N\) qubits. Here the number 1.875 accounts for total 45 physical gates to construct the 24 Cliffords in the single-qubit Clifford group [16]. The difference between the reference and interleaved RB experiments gives the specific gate fidelity \(F_{\text{gate}} = 1 - (1 - p_{\text{gate}}/p_{\text{rot}})(d - 1)/d\).
D. Measurement of dynamical phases

In the main text, we have explicitly measured the accumulated dynamical phase for our SR-NHQC gates, as well as conventional NHQC gates and dynamical gates. In above Sec.IIA, we have introduced the construction of SR-NHQC gates in our scheme with a total evolution time $\tau = 120$ ns. Conventional NHQC gates are easily realized according to Refs. [17, 18] with a total evolution time $\tau = 60$ ns. Dynamical gates are constructed as follows [19]. Quantum system driven by a Hamiltonian $H(t)$ is $\frac{1}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\Omega(t)e^{i\phi(t)}|\beta\rangle}{e^{i\phi(t)}|\beta\rangle} + \text{h.c.} \right)$ can evolve along state $|\psi_1(t)\rangle = e^{-i/2}[\cos(\chi/2)|b\rangle + i\sin(\chi/2)|e\rangle]$, where $f, \phi$ and $\chi$ are time-dependent auxiliary parameters, which satisfy equations

$$\phi = -\hat{J}_0 \cos \chi,$$

$$\phi_1 = \tan (\chi \cot \chi / \phi) - \phi, \quad (S5)$$

$$\Omega = -\chi / \sin (\phi_1 + \phi),$$

where the dot represents time differential. To construct arbitrary dynamical gates, we divide evolution path into two segments. At first segment $[0, \tau/2]$, we set $\chi = \pi \sin(\pi \tau / 2), f = \chi - \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\chi), \phi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \chi$. The resulted evolution operator is $U_{D}(|\tau/2, 0\rangle = |d\rangle |d\rangle + e^{-i(\pi/2)}|b\rangle |e\rangle + e^{i(\pi/2)}|e\rangle |b\rangle$. At the second segment $[\tau/2, \tau]$, we set $\chi = \pi \sin(\pi \tau / 2), f = -\chi + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\chi), \phi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \chi - \chi - \chi$. The resulted evolution operator is $U_{D}(|\tau, 0\rangle = |d\rangle |d\rangle + e^{-i(\pi/2)}|b\rangle |e\rangle + e^{i(\pi/2)}|e\rangle |b\rangle$, thus arbitrary dynamical gates are constructed.

In our experiment, the total evolution time $\tau = 105$ ns is chosen to ensure the same maximum coupling strength as SR-NHQC and NHQC gates. Note that, in general, the construction scheme of dynamical gates does not satisfy the constrain of Eq. (1) in the main text, thus does not exhibit robustness.

Now, we show how to measure dynamical phases $\beta_{mn} = \int_0^\tau \beta_{mn}(t)dt$ ($m, n = 1, 2$) in our experiment. Here, we first measure the dynamical phase accumulated rate $\beta_{mn}(t) = \langle \psi(t)|H|\psi(t)\rangle$ with $|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, 0)|d\rangle + |d\rangle, |\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, 0)|d\rangle + |d\rangle$. Since the dark state is always decoupled, $d_{mn}(t)$ and $d_{mn}(t)$ is always zero at each time. Thus, we only need to measure $\beta_{mn}(t)$ for $m, n = 1, 2$, and then $\beta_{mn}$ can be obtained by an integration.

In order to measure $d_{12}(t)$ for a specific gate $U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$, we first prepare the initial state $|b\rangle = \sin(\frac{\phi}{2})e^{i\theta}|g\rangle + \cos(\frac{\phi}{2})|f\rangle$. Then, we apply a quantum gate $U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$ with confirmed Hamiltonian $H(t)$ to drive the qubit as $|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, 0)|b\rangle$. At each evolution time, we use quantum state tomography technique to record the density matrix $\rho_{11}(t) = |\psi_1(t)\rangle \langle \psi_1(t)|$ and $\rho_{22}(t) = |\psi_2(t)\rangle \langle \psi_2(t)|$ at each evolution time. Thus, $d_{22}(t) = \langle \psi_2(t)|H(t)|\psi_2(t)\rangle$ can be obtained.

However, $d_{12}(t) = d_{21}(t)$ cannot be directly measured. Here, we measure its real part and image part, respectively.

In order to measure the real part $\Re[d_{12}(t)]$, we first prepare the initial state $(|b\rangle + |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and apply a quantum gate $U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$ with confirmed Hamiltonian $H(t)$ to drive the qubit. Then, we use quantum state tomography technique at each evolution time to obtain the density matrix $\rho_{11}(t) = |\psi_1(t)\rangle \langle \psi_1(t)| + |\psi_2(t)\rangle \langle \psi_2(t)|$, $\rho_{22}(t) = |\psi_2(t)\rangle \langle \psi_2(t)|$. Thus, the real part of $d_{12}(t)$ can be obtained as $\Re[d_{12}(t)] = \Re\left[\langle \psi_1(t)|H(t)|\psi_2(t)\rangle\right] = \Re\left[\langle \psi_1(t)|H(t)|\psi_2(t)\rangle - \langle \psi_2(t)|H(t)|\psi_1(t)\rangle\right] / 2 = \Re\left[\rho_{12}(t)H(t) + \rho_{21}(t)H(t)\right] / 2 = \Re\left[\rho_{21}(t)H(t) - d_{11}(t) / 2 - d_{22}(t) / 2\right]$. Similarly, we can measure the imaginary part $\Im[d_{12}(t)]$ for a specific gate $U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$ by preparing the initial state $(|b\rangle - i|e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and obtaining the density matrix $\rho_{12}(t) = |\psi_1(t)\rangle \langle \psi_2(t)| - i|\psi_2(t)\rangle \langle \psi_1(t)|$ and $\rho_{22}(t) = |\psi_2(t)\rangle \langle \psi_2(t)|$.

Therefore, we have measured the dynamical phase accumulated rate $\beta_{mn}(m, n = 1, 2)$ at each evolution time. Thus, the total dynamical phases $\beta_{mn}$ can be obtained by a time integration of the corresponding rate $\beta_{mn}(t)$. We present the measured dynamical phase accumulated rate $\beta_{mn}(t)$ for both the conventional NHQC and dynamical gates, as well as the SR-NHQC, in the main text.

E. Analytical calculation of the robustness of SR-NHQC

Here, we theoretically calculate the gate fidelity as a function of the Rabi error $\epsilon$ of the control field. Specifically, we consider the driving amplitude $\Omega(t)$ with an additional small error fraction of $\epsilon\Omega(t)$. In other words, the Hamiltonian $H(t)$ becomes $H(t) = (1 + \epsilon)H(t)$. The corresponding evolution operator in the basis $\{|g\rangle, |e\rangle, |f\rangle\}$ is given by

$$U_{\epsilon}(\tau) = \mathcal{T} e^{-i \int_0^\tau (1+\epsilon)H(t)dt}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S6)

Applying the perturbation theory [20] to the Eq. (S6), we have

$$U_{\epsilon}(\tau, 0) = I + \sum_{n=1}^\infty R_n(\tau, 0).$$ \hspace{1cm} (S7)

The time-ordered products $R_n(\tau, 0)$ are given by

$$R_1(\tau, 0) = (1 + \epsilon)\int_0^\tau dt_1 d(t_1)$$

$$R_2(\tau, 0) = (1 + \epsilon)^2 \int_0^\tau dt_1^1 d(t_1) d(t_2)$$

$$\ldots$$

$$R_n(\tau, 0) = (1 + \epsilon)^n \int_0^\tau dt_1^1 \ldots \int_0^{t_n-1} dt_n d(t_1) \ldots d(t_n).$$ \hspace{1cm} (S8)

where $d(t) = \sum_{m,n} d_{mn}(t)\langle \psi_m(0)|\psi_n(0)\rangle$ is the instantaneous dynamical term. Applying the following settings as $d_{mn} = \langle \psi_m(t)|H(t)|\psi_n(t)\rangle$, $|\psi_m(t)\rangle = U(t, 0)|b\rangle$, and $\mathbf{D}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau dt d(t) = 0$ in the main text, the evolution operator of Eq. (S6) in the basis $\{|\xi_0\rangle, |\xi_1(0)\rangle\}$ can be expressed as

$$U_{\epsilon}(\tau, 0) = X |\xi_1(0)\rangle \langle \xi_1(0)| + |\xi_0\rangle \langle \xi_0|.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S9)
where $X \equiv [1 - (1 - e^{i\gamma}) \cos^2 \frac{\pi e}{2} \left(1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi e}{2}\right)]$. Hence, a SR-NHQC gate under the Rabi control error in the basis $\{|g\}, |f\}$ is given by

$$U'_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma) = \left[\frac{c_g^2 + e^{-i\phi/2}X}{2} s_\theta e^{i\phi/2} s_\theta^{-1} + e^{-i\phi/2}X\right],$$

(S10)

where $c_g \equiv \cos(q/2)$, and $s_q \equiv \sin(q/2)$. Using Eq. (S10), the gate fidelity is taken by

$$F(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ U'_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma) U^\dagger_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma) \right]$$

$$= \sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\gamma}{2} \cos^4 \frac{\pi e}{2} \left(1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi e}{2}\right)^2},$$

(S11)

where $U_1(\theta, \phi, \gamma)$ is the ideal evolution operator with $\varepsilon = 0$. When the error fraction $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$, $F(\varepsilon) \approx 1 - \pi^2 e^4 (1 - \cos \gamma)/32$, exhibiting a fourth-order dependence on the Rabi error.

III. TWO-QUBIT SR-NHQC GATES

A. Initialization of two-qubit states

Before implementing the two-qubit SR-NHQC gates, initialization of two-qubit states in the two-qubit subspace $\{|0g\}, |0f\}, |2g\}, |2f\}$ is needed. Qubit initial states can be easily prepared by sequential $\pi$ pulses on $|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$ and $|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ transitions, while initial Fock state of the cavity is more difficult. Here, we present the details of initialization of the cavity states, which are generated through a sequential Raman transition drives on $|0f\rangle \leftrightarrow |1g\rangle$ and $|1f\rangle \leftrightarrow |2g\rangle$, respectively. The Raman transition drive on $|0f\rangle \leftrightarrow |1g\rangle$ is calibrated by first preparing initial state $|0f\rangle$ through qubit sequential $\pi$ pulses on $|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$ and $|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ transitions, then applying a cosine-shaped microwave pulse with a variable driving frequency to achieve the $|0f\rangle \leftrightarrow |1g\rangle$ coupling, and finally measuring the qubit populations. The corresponding pulse sequence and experimental results are shown in Figs. S7(a,c). Thus, we could extract the resonant frequency of the Raman transition drive to achieve the $|0f\rangle \leftrightarrow |1g\rangle$ coupling. Besides, we further measure the Rabi oscillation between $|0f\rangle$ and $|1g\rangle$ states, with the corresponding pulse sequence and measurement results shown in Figs. S7(b,d). After performing similar procedures, we could also calibrate the Raman transition drive between $|1f\rangle$ and $|2g\rangle$ states. Therefore, initial Fock states $|2g\rangle$ and $\frac{(|0g\rangle + |2g\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$ can be generated by an evolution sequence of $|0g\rangle \rightarrow |0e\rangle \rightarrow |0f\rangle \rightarrow |1g\rangle \rightarrow |1e\rangle \rightarrow |1f\rangle \rightarrow |2g\rangle$ and $|0g\rangle \rightarrow |0e\rangle \rightarrow |0f\rangle \rightarrow \frac{(|0e\rangle + |0f\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|0e\rangle + |1g\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|0g\rangle + |1e\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|0g\rangle + |1f\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|0g\rangle + |2g\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$, respectively, where each evolution step is realized by a $\pi$ or $\pi/2$ rotation on the corresponding transitions. The generated initial Fock states are calibrated through a number splitting experiment, with the measurement results shown in Fig. 4(b) in the main text.

FIG. S7: Characterization of the Raman transition drives. (a) Pulse sequence to find the resonant frequency of Raman transition drive to achieve the $|0f\rangle \leftrightarrow |1g\rangle$ coupling. The drive pulse has a cosine-envelope with a duration of 140 ns. (b) Pulse sequence to perform the Rabi oscillation between the $|0f\rangle$ and $|1g\rangle$ states. (c) Measured qubit populations $P_x$ and $P_y$ as a function of the driving frequency $\omega_d$, from which we confirm the resonant driving frequency $\omega_d = 3.83$ GHz. (d) Measured qubit populations $P_x$ and $P_y$ as a function of the plateau of the drive pulse.

FIG. S8: State population of non-trivial two-qubit SR-NHQC gates $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)$ as a function of $\gamma$ for initial states $|0f\rangle$ (a) and $|2g\rangle$ (b), respectively, consistent with the numerical simulations (solid lines).

B. State population evolution of two-qubit SR-NHQC gates

The two-qubit SR-NHQC gates are implemented by applying two microwave drives resonant with the $|0g\rangle \leftrightarrow |0e\rangle$ and $|0e\rangle \leftrightarrow |0f\rangle$ transitions, while keeping the $|2g\rangle$ and $|2f\rangle$ unaffected thanks to the strong dispersive ZZ interaction. The total evolution time $\tau = 2760$ ns is chosen in our experiment such that the two drives are weaker enough in order to avoid driving the undesired transitions. The total evolution time is also divided into six segments with the driving parameters also satisfying Eq. (S4) as the same with the single-qubit SR-NHQC gate. In the main text, we have demonstrated the arbitrary tunability of the parameter $\gamma$ for the two-qubit SR-NHQC gates $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)$ for an initial state $\frac{(|0g\rangle + |2g\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$. Here, we present extended data in Fig. S8, where we have measured the qubit state populations as a function of $\gamma$ for the two-qubit SR-NHQC gate $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \gamma)$ with initial states $|0f\rangle$ and $|2g\rangle$, respectively. For the two-qubit SR-NHQC CNOT gate defined by $U_2(\pi/2, 0, \pi)$, a gate fidelity of 0.944 is obtained from the average of the correct state populations of the CNOT gate operation on initial states $|0f\rangle$ and $|2g\rangle$, respectively. The infidelity mainly comes from the decoherence of the qubit due to...
a long gate operation time and can be reduced with a short gate
time when implemented on a system of two transmon qubits and a tunable coupler.


