Protracting the Weyl phase by a giant negative lattice expansion in Bi doped Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$
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We show that the Weyl phase in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ is protracted up to at least 2% alloying with Bi by an anomalous negative lattice expansion (NLE) with $\Delta a \sim -0.01 \, \AA$. With further doping, the magnetic ordering disappears and electrical resistivity decreases by orders of magnitude; the resistivity upturn remains but with $1/T$ dependence of Weyl phase changed to $-\ln T$ dependence characteristic of the Quadratic Band Touching (QBT). At the Weyl-QBT phase boundary, a new phase is evidenced whose resistivity scales as $-T^{-1/4}$ possibly due to proximity to a quantum critical point proposed several years ago [Phys. Rev. X 4, 041027 (2014)], but whose experimental evidence has remained elusive thus far.
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**Introduction** - The study of topological phases of matter has emerged as one of the key research areas in contemporary condensed matter physics and material science. While the topological insulators having linearly dispersing electronic surface states came first to the fore, the current focus has shifted to topological semimetals where the symmetry protected topological states exist within the bulk of a material [1, 2]. In last few years, a growing list of topological semimetals, including Dirac and Weyl semimetals, and a related nodal line semimetal, among others have been proposed. The Weyl semimetal (WSM) phase, that concerns us here, requires breaking of either the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) or the lattice inversion symmetry. The pyrochlore iridates are the prime candidates for realizing the TRS breaking WSM and other novel topological phases [3, 4]. In these pyrochlores, the all-in/all-out (AIAO) noncollinear magnetic order, which sets in upon cooling, breaks the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) while the lattice inversion symmetry remains intact. This lifts the degeneracy of the quadratic bands touching at the $\Gamma$ point, leading to several pairs of Weyl nodes at points $\pm k$, [3]. Given these settings, it is interesting to investigate how the “topological protection” enjoyed by the Weyl phase would manifest itself if while preserving the lattice inversion symmetry, TRS is also restored by tuning an external perturbation in a controlled manner. While this question is of significant general interest in the study of topological phases, in the particular case of pyrochlore iridates it also interesting for another reason as Savary et al. [5] showed the existence of a quantum critical point (QCP) at the interface of WSM and QBT (quadratic band touching). However, experimental evidence of the QCP or the associated quantum phase has been lacking till date.

Here, we address this question by alloying Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ with Bi. Both Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ and Bi$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ have the same pyrochlore structure. Their physical properties are well investigated in previous studies: while Bi$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ is a correlated metal [6], Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ is a candidate WSM with concomitant AIAO ordering and a metal-to-insulator transition (MIT) near 120 K [3, 7]. Several experimental evidences of topological phases, including the WSM phase, in the pyrochlores iridates have been furnished recently using various experimental probes including optical conductivity [8, 9], electrical resistivity and magnetotransport [10–15], and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [16].

In this letter, we show that the Weyl phase in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ is protracted up to as far as 2% Bi doping by a giant anomalous negative lattice expansion (NLE). In the Weyl phase up to $x = 0.02$, the low temperature resistivity ($\rho$) is shown to follow a 1/T dependence as expected theoretically. With further doping, $\rho$ decreases by more than two orders of magnitude and simultaneously the AIAO ordering melts away marking the end of the Weyl phase and emergence of a semimetallic phase with $\rho \propto -\ln T$: A characteristic of the quadratic band touching (QBT). At the WSM-QBT boundary, a new phase has been evidenced with $\rho \propto -T^{-1/4}$ dependence.
In terms of deviation \( \delta \) diate members is smaller than the end members, attaining the to note here is that the lattice parameter, excellent agreement with literature [18, 19]. The important point to refer to the trend usually seen, i.e., \( \theta \) correlation with \( \text{Ir} \). However, in the \( \text{Sm}[16] \) series, both parameters show a decreasing behavior in the NLE region; however, the \( \text{Ir} \) site is coordinated by \( \text{O} \) alone, forming an octahedron which is trigonally distorted unless \( u = 0.3125 \).

Figure 1(a) shows the evolution of lattice parameter \( a \) across the \( \text{Sm, Bi} \) series. The values of \( a_{\text{SIO}} = 10.3235 \text{ Å} \) for \( \text{Sm}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \), and \( a_{\text{BIO}} = 10.3250 \text{ Å} \) for \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \) are in excellent agreement with literature [18, 19]. The important point to note here is that the lattice parameter, \( a_x \), for all intermediate members is smaller than the end members, attaining the smallest value at \( x = 0.1 \) with \( \Delta a = a_x - a_{\text{SIO}} \approx -0.012\text{Å} \).

In terms of deviation \( \delta \) defined as: \( \delta = [(a_x - a_{\text{SIO}})/(a_{\text{BIO}} - a_{\text{SIO}})] \times 100 \) this is \( \approx -666\% \). As a comparison for analogous (\( \text{Eu, Bi} \)) series [11] while \( \Delta a \) is of the same order, \( \delta \) is only \( \approx -20\% \). Here, in spite of \( a_{\text{SIO}} \approx a_{\text{BIO}} \), the NLE is highly pronounced.

Figure 1(b) shows the variation of \( u \). An inverse correlation is generally seen between \( u \) and \( a_x \) [20], which is the case for \( A_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \) where \( u = 0.339 \) for \( \text{Eu}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( a = 10.2990 \text{ Å} \)) which decreases to 0.330 for \( \text{Pr}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \) (\( a = 10.4105 \text{ Å} \)) [21]. Application of external pressure renders a similar behavior, with increasing pressure leading to an increase in \( u \) [22]. However, in the \( \text{Sm}_{1-x}\text{Bi}_x\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \) series, both parameters show a decreasing behavior in the NLE region; however, the normal behavior restores for \( x > 0.1 \). The variations of \( \text{Ir–O} \) bond length and \( \text{Ir–O–Ir} \) bond angle are shown in Figure 1(c) & 1(d), respectively. The average \( \text{Ir–O} \) bond length (\( \sim 2 \text{ Å} \)) is in good agreement with that for \( \text{Ir}_2\text{O}_3 \), and is also typical of \( \text{Ir}^{4+} \) in an octahedral coordination. The \( \text{Ir–O–Ir} \) bond angle (\( \theta_{12,0-1} \)) increases in the anomalous region which is opposite to the trend usually seen, i.e., \( \theta \) generally shows a positive correlation with \( a [23] \), as is indeed the case for \( x \geq 0.1 \).

In Fig. 2, the normalized electrical resistivity \( \rho/\rho(300) \), magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \), and specific heat \( c_p \) are shown. The pristine sample shows a sharp MIT concomitant with the AIAO ordering. The value of \( T_N = 115 \text{ K} \) is taken as the point of ZFC–FC bifurcation in \( \chi \). With increasing \( x \) both \( T_{\text{MIT}} \) and \( T_N \) are suppressed, and \( \rho \) decreases in magnitude. The magnetic behavior in the paramagnetic state is weakly temperature dependent. No signs of Sm moments ordering could be seen in our bulk measurements in agreement with previous studies [7, 25]. The effective moment on \( \text{Sm}^{3+} \) (0.2 \( \mu_B \)) is found to be small and the interaction between Sm moments weak (\( \theta_p < 1 \text{ K} \), in agreement with literature [25]. As shown in Fig. 2(c), \( c_p/T \) curves show an upturn below 10 K, which is due to the short-range ordering of Sm moments analogous to other Sm pyrochlores [24, 26]. With increasing \( x \), the upturn in \( c_p/T \) is suppressed to lower temperatures. \( c_p/T \) of \( \text{Eu}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7 \), where \( \text{Eu}^{3+} \) is nonmagnetic, is also shown to illustrate that the low-temperature \( c_p \) is dominated by the Sm sublattice and that the infarction between the
two sublattices is weak (see Supplementary). This is in contrast with Sm$_2$Mo$_2$O$_7$ where the Mo orders in a two-in/two-out magnetic state inducing a two-in/two-out state on the Sm sublattice at a relatively high temperature of $\approx 15$ K [27].

The evolution of $T_N$, $T_{\text{MIT}}$, and $\rho(3.5K)$ with $x$ is shown in Fig. 3c. We broadly classify the electronic properties into three regions: The region (1) extends up to $x \approx 0.02$. In this region, the lattice parameter decreases most dramatically (Fig. 3c). In fact, 90% of the total observed decrease happens in this region. However, it is intriguing that despite a significant NLE $T_N$, $T_{\text{MIT}}$, and $\rho(3.5 K)$ remain relatively unchanged. The low-temperature $\rho$ in this region varies as $1/T$ (Fig. 4a).

The region (3) extends from $x \approx 0.05$ to $x \approx 0.25$. Here, $\rho$ varies relatively slowly but $\rho(3.5 K)$ drops by more than two orders of magnitude compared to region (1). Simultaneously, the sharp MIT is replaced by a gradual upturn below $T_{\text{MIN}}$, which remains non-zero up to $x \approx 0.25$; however, and notably, $T_N$ drops to ‘zero’ in this region (Fig. 3a). Here, the low-temperature upturn varies as $-\ln T$ as shown in Fig. 4a (inset) for $x = 0.1$. Fitting for the other samples is shown in the supplementary.

The region (2) is a narrow region sandwiched between regions (1) and (3). In this region, the low-temperature $\rho$ follows a peculiar $-T^\alpha$ dependence with $\alpha \approx 1/4$ (see Fig. 4b). Attempts to fit the data using $-\ln T$ or $1/T$ or using the Arrhenius or VRH (with or without correlations) models did not yield satisfactory fit, suggesting that it is a ‘new’ phase. The decrease in $T_N$ is most pronounced in this region; in fact in the narrow range $0.035 \leq x \leq 0.05$, $T_N$ drops from near 70 K to below our lowest measurement temperature (‘zero’). In the (Eu, Bi) series, no new phase could be identified as the boundary region there is relatively broad.

**Discussion** - Typically, in a solid solution the lattice parameter for intermediate compositions follow a linear behavior, which is referred to as the Vegard’s law [28]. While this law may not always be obeyed perfectly, it guides the evolution of lattice constants in a solid solution. Minor deviations from the Vegard’s law may arise both for metal alloys [29–31] and metal oxides [32–34]. However, such deviations have been mainly in the form of either change of slope [34, 35], departure from a linear behavior [33, 36, 37], and at times only along a certain crystallographic axis [32, 38]. Further, the magnitude of these deviations is generally small and are typically ascribed to such effects as clustering, phase segregation, limited solid solubility, and valence fluctuation.

Our synchrotron data does not show any evidence of clustering or phase segregation (see supplementary material). While it is not uncommon among the compounds of Sm to have a mixed valence state, presence of Sm$^{2+}$ for Sm$^{3+}$ would only result in an increase in $a$ as Sm$^{2+}$ (1.27 Å) is larger than Sm$^{3+}$ (1.08 Å) [39]. In fact, in an analogous (Eu, Bi) series, no evidence for any change in the valence of either Eu, Bi or Ir could be found for any $x$ [40]. A +3 oxidation state for Bi has also been shown in Bi$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ using XANES/XPS [41]. Since all our samples were prepared under identical condition, variable oxygen off-stoichiometry can also be ruled out. Similarly, the stereochemical activity of 6s$^2$ lone-pair, if present, should be more pronounced in the Bi–rich samples, however, the NLE has been limited to dilute Bi doping only. Thus, the NLE appears to be an electronic effect which is strong enough to overwhelm the steric effect for small $x$.

The electronic states play a role in deciding the lattice parameter in these pyrochlores is evident from the fact that $a_{\text{Pyro}} = 10.3235$ Å is nearly as large as $a_{\text{BiO}} = 10.3250$ Å even though Sm$^{3+}$ (1.079 Å) is significantly smaller than Bi$^{3+}$ (1.170 Å). Intriguingly, the lattice parameter decrease still further, and by a significant amount, when as small as 2 % of Bi is doped in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$. Such a behavior is not observed in the pyrochlore stannates where the Vegard’s law follows nicely [11, 20].

To gain a qualitative understanding of this unusual behavior we recall previous theoretical calculations by Krempa et al. [42, 43]. They have shown that besides the Ir–Ir hopping mediated via O($4f$), the direct Ir–Ir hopping which can be relatively large due to an extended nature of Ir’s 5d orbitals plays a crucial role in realizing various topological phases in the pyrochlore iridates. In particular, for a given Hubbard U, which is fixed by the choice of A in A$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, the WSM phase has been shown to stabilize over a narrow range of values of the transfer integrals ratio $t_\sigma/t_{\text{oxy}}$, where $t_\sigma$ and $t_{\text{oxy}}$ are the hopping integrals associated with direct Ir–Ir hopping and Ir–Ir hopping via O($4f$) respectively.
We now consider the following scenario: Had the lattice expanded normally upon small Bi doping, both Ir–Ir bond distance (d_{Ir–Ir} = (\sqrt{2}a)/4 ) and Ir–O–Ir bond angle would have shown an increasing trend [23], as is the case for x > 0.1 in Fig. 1. But increasing Ir–Ir distance decreases t_{\sigma}, and at the same time increasing Ir–O–Ir bond angle increases t_{\text{oxy}}, i.e, the ratio t_{\sigma}/t_{\text{oxy}} will change more substantially in this case than when both either increase or decrease. Indeed, in the region of NLE while Ir–Ir decreases and Ir–O–Ir increases, both t_{\sigma} and t_{\text{oxy}} increase together, preserving the Weyl phase. This is evident from Fig. 4a where we show \rho as a function of 1/T. As can be seen both samples exhibit a 1/T behavior at low temperatures, which is one of the hallmarks of the Weyl phase [44]. In the Weyl phase, the electron-hole symmetry about the Weyl node results in current carrying states with zero total momentum leading to this characteristic 1/T dependence. A minor change in U due to 2% doping can ignored; however, in the region where lattice expands, both t_{\sigma} and U will decrease pushing the system into the metallic region of the phase diagram shown in Fig. 2 in Ref. [42], as is also found to be the case here experimentally.

In region (3), while T_N drops to zero, the resistivity upturn remains (Fig. 3a). Here, low temperature \rho follows a \text{−ln(T)} dependence, signature of a nodal non-Fermi liquid behavior associated with QBT [5, 16]. Savary et al. [5] had theoretically argued on the possibility of tuning the ground state continuously from WSM to QBT, but with a quantum critical point (QCP) located in between. With Bi doping we indeed see a new phase in the boundary region (labeled V in Fig. 3a). In this phase, \rho neither varies as \text{1/T (Weyl)} nor as \text{−ln(T) (QBT)} (other scenarios are also ruled out, see Supplementary), but it rather follows a \text{−T^{1/4}} dependence over a broad temperature range, which could be a finite temperature manifestation of the QCP expected from theory. Under external pressure a complete suppression of AIAO takes place under 6 GPa [22]; however, with Bi doping not only the chemical pressure due to NLE, but Ir (5d) - Bi (6s/6p) hybridization also contributes to the electronic states near the Fermi energy leading to a richer phenomenology [45].

In conclusion, we have shown the occurrence of a NLE when as low as 2 % of Sm$^{3+}$ ions in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ are substituted by larger Bi$^{3+}$ ions, protracting the Weyl phase into the Bi doped region. At higher doping, the WSM phase collapses and the phase with QBT emerges. At the interface of these two phases, distinct signature of a new phase with a peculiar \text{−T^{1/4}} dependence of \rho is shown to persist over a broad temperature range indicating proximity to the QCP theoretically expected [5]. Both these intriguing results warrant further experimental and theoretical studies.
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Rietveld refinement

The Rietveld refinement results are tabulated in Table. 1. In Fig. 5, the Rietveld refinement plots are shown for a few representative samples. Two phase refinement was done with Si as second phase which was added as an internal standard for greater accountability of the lattice parameters. The FWHM for the 222 peak is shown in Fig. 6 as representative. With Bi doping FWHM decreases monotonically all through the doping range. The lattice parameter and various bond distances are given Table II (see last page).

Resistivity

We carefully analyzed the resistivity of each sample using six different scaling behaviors: (i) 1/T, (ii) $T^{1/4}$, (iii) $-\ln T$, (iv) Variable Range Hopping (VRH), (v) VRH in the presence of correlations, and (vi) Arrhenius. Here, we show the results for first four. The fittings (iv) and (v) gave more or less similar results (in terms of the quality of fit), and (vi) could not fit the data at all. As explained through the figure captions, in region (1) ($0 \leq x \leq 0.02$), we find 1/T dependence to be clearly superior over all the other scalings. In region (2) x = 0.035, a clear $-T^{1/4}$ dependence is seen (no other model could fit the resistivity data as good as $-T^{1/4}$). In region (3), the $-\ln T$ dependence is seen in the beginning (up to x = 0.1) but as x increases the VRH type behavior begins to emerge.

Magnetic susceptibility

In Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, the magnetic transition around 117 K is due to the AIAO ordering of the Ir moments. Besides this transition no other magnetic transition has been found in the bulk measurements either in this or the previous studies. However, using $\mu_{SR}$ some evidence for Sm moments ordering below 10 K was reported by Ashi et al. [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 86, 024705 (2017)] who estimated the ordered Sm moment to be close to 0.1 $\mu_B$ but this does not agree with other studies. Graf et al. analyzed the low temperature DC susceptibility of Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ using the modified Curie Weiss law [Journal of Physics: Conference Series 551 (2014) 012020] and reported the effective moment on Sm to be close to 0.2$\mu_B$. We took the same approach and fitted the low-temperature data using the modified Curie-Weiss law: $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta_p)$, here $\chi_0$ is the temperature independent term, C is the Curie constant and $\theta_p$ is the Weiss temperature. Our results are in agreement with Graf et al... The value of $\chi_0$ ranges from 0.003 to 0.002 emu mol$^{-1}$. A similar value is obtained by fitting $\chi(T)$ above the ordering temperature $T_N$. The value of $\theta_p < 1$K suggests that the effective interaction between Sm moments is weak. The value of C ranges from 0.02-0.03 emu mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.

Specific heat

The specific heat ($c_p$) of Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ below $T = 15$ K is in good agreement with that of Sm$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ (see Fig. 7). This suggests that in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ the Ir ordering has only a small effect on the magnetic behavior of Sm-sublattice. To estimate the contribution of Sm 4$f$ electrons to the measured specific heat, we used specific heat of Eu$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ to represent phonon contribu-
tion and the contribution due to the ordered Ir moments. This admittedly is only a crude way of estimating $\Delta c_p$, but nevertheless gives a good qualitative estimate. The justification for using Eu$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ as a template is that the Ir’s AIAO ordering temperature is equal within ±5 K for the two systems, and in Eu$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, the Eu ion does not carry any magnetic moment. In doing so what we have overlooked however is the weak Sm-Ir exchange. The presence of weak Sm-Ir exchange can be seen in the Fig. 7, where $\Delta c_p$ for the Ir sample is less steeper than for the Zr sample.
FIG. 5. Representative Rietveld refinement plots for the Sm$_{2-2x}$Bi$_2x$Ir$_2$O$_7$ series. A mixed phase refinement was carried out consisting of: (I) Pyrochlore phase, and (II) high-purity Si, which was added as an internal standard.

TABLE I. The goodness-of-fit parameters $\chi^2$, $R_p$, and $R_{wp}$ for the Rietveld refinement of various samples with Bi composition x shown as % (x = 0 corresponds to Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ and x = 100 to Bi$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$). For lattice parameters and bond distance see Table II at the end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$R_p$</th>
<th>$R_{wp}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 6. Variation of FWHM of the highest-intensity diffraction peak 222. The FWHM decreases monotonously with increasing x. Note that the effect of strain has not been subtracted. The line through the data points is a guide to the eye. The error bars are less than the size of the symbol.

FIG. 7. Temperature variation of specific heat ($c_p$) in Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, Eu$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, and Sm$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ (left), and (b) Specific heat associated with Sm sublattice ($\Delta c_p$). La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ is used as a lattice template for estimating $\Delta c_p$ in Sm$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ adapted from Singh et al. [Phys. Rev. B 77, 054408 (2008)].
FIG. 8. This figure shows the comparison of various scaling behaviors for $x = 0$. Evidently, only when plotted as $1/T$ best linear variation is seen; for all other cases the variation is either non-linear or the range of linearity is very small. Hence, it is reasonable to conclude that the low-temperature resistivity of Sm$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ has a $1/T$ dependence expected for a Weyl semimetallic phase as explained in the main text. Similar graphs were obtained for $x = 0.02$ (not shown).
FIG. 9. This figure shows the comparison of various scalings for $x = 0.035$. Evidently, only in panel (b) the best linear variation is seen; for all other cases the variation is non-linear. Hence, it is reasonable to conclude that the low-temperature resistivity of $x = 0.035$ sample exhibits a peculiar $-T^{1/4}$ temperature dependence.
FIG. 10. This figure shows the comparison of various scalings for $x = 0.05$. Evidently, only in panel (d) the best linear variation is seen; for all other cases the variation is non-linear. Hence, it is reasonable to conclude that the low-temperature resistivity of $x = 0.05$ sample exhibits a $-\ln T$ temperature dependence expected for a QBT scenario.
FIG. 11. This figure shows the comparison of various scalings for $x = 0.1$. Here, the linear behavior can be seen in panels (c) and (d). However, the linearity extends up to about 20 K with $-\ln T$ scaling but only till 11 K for the VRH model. For the other two cases the linear variation is not satisfactory. Clearly, as Bi-doping is increasing the behavior is tending towards the variable range hopping which is a characteristic of the disordered systems. However, the influence of QBT on charge transport is still manifested as $-\ln T$ temperature dependence extends up to 20 K.
FIG. 12. Figure S5. This figure shows the comparison of various scalings for $x = 0.25$. Here, the linear behavior can be seen in panels (c) and (d). However, the linearity is more pronounced in the case of variable range hopping models, which suggests that the $-\ln T$ dependence is becoming increasingly suppressed in the presence of increasing Bi disorder. This is also reflected from the magnitude of $\rho$ which has also been slightly enhanced for the 25\% sample. In the other two cases the linear variation is simply not satisfactory.
TABLE II. The lattice parameter, O(48f), and various bond distances: Sm-O, Bi-O, Sm-O', Bi-O', Ir-O, Ir-O', Ir-Ir, and bond angle Ir-O-Ir are tabulated for various x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sm$_{2-2x}$Bi$_x$Ir$_2$O$_7$</th>
<th>Lattice parameter</th>
<th>O(48f)(u)</th>
<th>Sm/Bi-O</th>
<th>Sm/Bi-O'</th>
<th>Ir-O</th>
<th>Ir-O'</th>
<th>$\theta_{Ir-O-Ir}$</th>
<th>Ir-Ir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0$</td>
<td>10.323357(20)</td>
<td>0.33683(2)</td>
<td>2.483493(3)</td>
<td>2.235065(3)</td>
<td>2.033182(2)</td>
<td>4.279823(7)</td>
<td>128.6809(3)</td>
<td>3.649846(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.02$</td>
<td>10.314557(14)</td>
<td>0.33250(4)</td>
<td>2.511891(2)</td>
<td>2.2331669(1)</td>
<td>2.0121648(1)</td>
<td>4.276189(5)</td>
<td>129.96397(1)</td>
<td>3.646746(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.035$</td>
<td>10.313125(17)</td>
<td>0.032512(7)</td>
<td>2.56448(13)</td>
<td>2.23285(11)</td>
<td>1.98113(5)</td>
<td>4.27559(7)</td>
<td>133.955(7)</td>
<td>3.64623 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.05$</td>
<td>10.312731(21)</td>
<td>0.32433(8)</td>
<td>2.57012(11)</td>
<td>2.23277(12)</td>
<td>1.97765(9)</td>
<td>4.27542 (8)</td>
<td>134.389(6)</td>
<td>3.64609 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.1$</td>
<td>10.311307(7)</td>
<td>0.33296(3)</td>
<td>2.5078399(1)</td>
<td>2.2324638(9)</td>
<td>2.0135417(8)</td>
<td>4.274843(2)</td>
<td>129.71936(2)</td>
<td>3.6455979(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.25$</td>
<td>10.314523(3)</td>
<td>0.33271(4)</td>
<td>2.5103934(4)</td>
<td>2.2331598(4)</td>
<td>2.0130754(4)</td>
<td>4.2761755(1)</td>
<td>129.85222(4)</td>
<td>3.6467345(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.5$</td>
<td>10.3176651(20)</td>
<td>0.33180(3)</td>
<td>2.5176210(3)</td>
<td>2.2338400(3)</td>
<td>2.0089277(2)</td>
<td>4.2774777(7)</td>
<td>130.33713(3)</td>
<td>3.6478458(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 1$</td>
<td>10.324985(9)</td>
<td>0.32851(6)</td>
<td>2.54295 (4)</td>
<td>2.23543 (3)</td>
<td>1.99713(9)</td>
<td>4.28052 (2)</td>
<td>132.10608(8)</td>
<td>3.65044 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>