

WELL-POSEDNESS FOR SOME THIRD-ORDER EVOLUTION DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: A SEMIGROUP APPROACH

FLANK D. M. BEZERRA, ALEXANDRE N. CARVALHO[‡], AND LUCAS A. SANTOS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we discuss the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem associated with the third-order evolution equation in time

$$u_{ttt} + Au + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}} u_{tt} + \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}} u_t = f(u)$$

where $\eta > 0$, X is a separable Hilbert space, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is an unbounded sectorial operator with compact resolvent, and for some $\lambda_0 > 0$ we have $\operatorname{Re}\sigma(A) > \lambda_0$ and $f : D(A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a nonlinear function with suitable conditions of growth and regularity.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 34A08, 47D06, 47D03, 35K10.

Key words and phrases: Approximations; fractional powers; sectorial operator; semigroups.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Functional Framework	3
2.1. Natural setting	3
2.2. New approach	5
3. Ill-posed problems	7
4. Parabolic differential equations	9
4.1. Sectoriality	10
4.2. Analysis by reducing the order	14
5. Remarks on the case $\eta = 1$	21
6. Moore-Gibson-Thompson-type equations	23
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we discuss the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem associated with the following third-order evolution equation in time

$$(1.1) \quad u_{ttt} + Au + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}} u_{tt} + \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}} u_t = f(u)$$

Date: February 13, 2022.

[‡]Research partially supported by CNPq # 303929/2015-4 and by FAPESP # 2003/10042-0, Brazil.

where $\eta > 0$, X is a separable Hilbert space and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is an unbounded sectorial operator with compact resolvent, and for some $\lambda_0 > 0$ we have $\operatorname{Re}\sigma(A) > \lambda_0$, that is, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A . This allows us to define the fractional power $A^{-\alpha}$ of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ according to [2, Formula 4.6.9] and [15, Theorem 1.4.2], as a closed linear operator on its domain with inverse A^α .

Denote by $X^\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, taking $A^0 := I$ on $X^0 := X$ when $\alpha = 0$. Recall that X^α is dense in X for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, for details see [2, Theorem 4.6.5]. The fractional power space X^α endowed with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{X^\alpha} := \|A^\alpha \cdot\|_X$$

is a Banach space. It is not difficult to show that A^α is the generator of a strongly continuous analytic semigroup on X , that we will denote by $\{e^{-tA^\alpha} : t \geq 0\}$, see [15] for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. With this notation, we have $X^{-\alpha} = (X^\alpha)'$ for all $\alpha > 0$, see [2] for the characterization of the negative scale.

Let X_{-1} denote the extrapolation space of X generated by A , and let $\{X_{-1}^\alpha : \alpha \geq 0\}$ the fractional power scale generated by operator A in X_{-1} , see [2] and [3] for more details.

Here $f : D(A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a nonlinear function with suitable growth conditions and regularity in (1.1) for different cases of $\eta > 0$; namely, we consider:

- If $0 < \eta < 1$, then we prove that the Cauchy problem defined by the linear equation associated with (1.1) is ill-posed, consequently, the Cauchy problem defined by (1.1) is ill-posed for any nonlinear function f , under the point of view of the theory of strongly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators;
- If $\eta = 1$, then we assume that f is twice continuously Fréchet differentiable and Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets;
- If $\eta > 1$, then we assume that f is an ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X^{\frac{1}{3}}, X)$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$; that is, there exist constants $c > 0$, $\rho > 1$, $\gamma(\epsilon)$ with $\rho\epsilon \leq \gamma(\epsilon) < \frac{1}{3}$ such that $f : X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} \rightarrow X^{\gamma(\epsilon)}$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \|f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)\|_{X^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \leq c \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} (1 + \|\phi_1\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1}),$$

for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$, see [3, Definition 2], [7] and [8] for more details.

For a better understanding of the ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X^{\frac{1}{3}}, X)$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$, we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 1. $X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} \subset X^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $X^{\gamma(\epsilon)} \subset X$

The evolution equations of third order in time have been studied extensively in the Hilbert setting and much progress has been achieved. In [1, 6, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21] and [22], and references therein, the Moore-Gibson-Thompson (MGT) equation is studied in different contexts and results of existence, stability and regularity of solutions are obtained by the spectral theory of the MGT operator. In [14] the abstract linear equations of third order in time is analyzed and results on (non)existence of solution are obtained. In [4] the abstract linear equations of third order in time is analyzed and results on (non) existence, stability and regularity of solution are obtained via theory of fractional powers of closed and densely defined operators.

The article is organized in the following way. In Section 2 we present general facts on spectral behavior of the our problem. In Section 3 we consider the case $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and we obtain the result that shows that the problem (1.1) is ill-posed under the point of view of the theory of strongly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators. In Section 4 we consider the case $\eta > 1$ and we obtain a result of existence, stability and regularity of solutions for (1.1). In Section 5 we consider the case $\eta = 1$ via theory of strongly continuous groups of bounded linear operators. Finally, in Section 6 we explore our results on the Moore-Gibson-Thompson-type equations, according to the references [1, 6, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21] and [22].

2. FUNCTIONAL FRAMEWORK

We first introduce some notations, we consider $Z = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$ endowed with the norm given by

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_Z^2 = \|u\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}}^2 + \|v\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}}^2 + \|w\|_X^2, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Z.$$

2.1. Natural setting. We can rewrite the initial value problem associated with equation (1.1) as the Cauchy problem in Z

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v = u_t$ and $w = v_t$ and the unbounded linear operator $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} : D(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) \subset Z \rightarrow Z$ is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad D(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) = X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I \\ A & \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}} & \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -v \\ -w \\ Au + \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}}v + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The nonlinearity F given by

$$(2.5) \quad F\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f(u) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $f : D(A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on bounded sets.

From now on, we denote

$$Z^1 = D(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) = X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

We also consider the following notion of mild solution for (2.1)-(2.2). Given $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ we say that $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ is a local mild solution of (2.1)-(2.2) provided $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in C([0, \tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0}), Z)$, $f(u) \in C([0, \tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0}), X)$ and, for $t \in (0, \tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0})$, $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies the integral equation

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}t} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}(t-s)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f(u(s)) \end{bmatrix} ds.$$

for some $\tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0} > 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ be the unbounded linear operator defined in (2.3)-(2.4). The following conditions hold.*

- i) *The linear operator $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ is closed and densely defined;*
- ii) *Zero belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)})$; namely, the resolvent operator of $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ is the bounded linear operator $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Z$ given by*

$$\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & \eta A^{-\frac{2}{3}} & A^{-1} \\ -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ has compact resolvent;

- iii) *The spectrum set of $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$, $\sigma(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)})$, is given by*

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{z_\eta \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{\overline{z_\eta} \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\},$$

where $\sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ denote the spectrum set of $-A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and

$$z_\eta = \frac{1}{2}[(\eta - 1) - i\sqrt{3 + 2\eta - \eta^2}]$$

and

$$\overline{z_\eta} = \frac{1}{2}[(\eta - 1) + i\sqrt{3 + 2\eta - \eta^2}].$$

Proof: To prove part i) we take a sequence $\left(\begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}, \mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is the graph of $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$, which converges in Z to $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \mu \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}\right)$. From this we easily conclude that $\nu = -\varphi$, $\mu = -\psi$, and

$$u_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } X^{\frac{2}{3}} \implies A^{\frac{2}{3}}u_n \rightarrow A^{\frac{2}{3}}\phi \text{ in } X,$$

$$v_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } X^{\frac{1}{3}} \implies A^{\frac{1}{3}}v_n \rightarrow A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi \text{ in } X,$$

and

$$Au_n + \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}}v_n + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}w_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } X.$$

Since

$$A^{\frac{2}{3}}u_n + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}v_n + \eta w_n \rightarrow A^{\frac{2}{3}}\phi + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi + \eta\psi \text{ in } X,$$

the closedness of $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ implies that $A^{\frac{2}{3}}\phi + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi + \eta\psi \in X^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $A\phi + \eta A^{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi + \eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}\psi = \zeta$, and we obtain the result; that is, $\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \in D(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)})$ and $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \mu \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}$.

For the proof of *ii*) the result easily follows from $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Z$ to be given by

$$\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & \eta A^{-\frac{2}{3}} & A^{-1} \\ -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which takes bounded subsets of $X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$ into bounded subsets of $X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}$. The latter space is compactly embedded in Z because the inclusions $X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$ are compact, for $\beta > \gamma \geq 0$, provided A has compact resolvent. Finally, after considering the eigenvalue problem for the operator $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$,

$$-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u},$$

and after straightforward calculations, part *iii*) follows immediately from the fact that $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ has compact resolvent. $\square$

2.2. New approach. Let (1.1) be the original equation of third-order. Note that using the change variable

$$v = u_t + A^{\frac{1}{3}}u,$$

and the function

$$w = v_t,$$

we can rewrite the (1.1) as follows, a first-order evolution equation in time for w

$$(2.7) \quad w_t + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}w + A^{\frac{2}{3}}v = f(u).$$

The initial value problem associated with equation (2.7) as the Cauchy problem in Z

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (0) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v = u_t$ and $w = v_t$ and the unbounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} : D(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}) \subset Z \rightarrow Z$ is defined by

$$(2.10) \quad D(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}) = X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{3}} & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & A^{\frac{2}{3}} & (\eta-1)A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{3}}u - v \\ -w \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}}v + (\eta-1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The nonlinearity F given by (2.5).

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions hold.*

i) The linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ is closed and densely defined;

ii) Zero belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$; namely, the resolvent operator of $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ is the bounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Z$ given by

$$\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & (\eta-1)A^{-\frac{2}{3}} & A^{-1} \\ 0 & (\eta-1)A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & A^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ has compact resolvent;

iii) The spectrum set of $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$, $\sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$, is given by

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{c_\eta \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{d_\eta \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\},$$

where $\sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ denote the spectrum set of $-A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and

$$c_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\eta - 1) + \sqrt{\eta^2 - 2\eta - 3} \right]$$

and

$$d_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\eta - 1) - \sqrt{\eta^2 - 2\eta - 3} \right],$$

Proof: To prove part i) we take a sequence $\left(\begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}, \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \\ w_n \end{bmatrix} \right)$ is the graph of $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$, which converges in Z to $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \mu \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} \right)$. Then we have

$$u_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } X^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$v_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$w_n \rightarrow \psi \text{ in } X$$

and

$$A^{\frac{1}{3}}u_n - v_n \rightarrow \nu \text{ in } X^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$-w_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ in } X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$A^{\frac{2}{3}}v_n + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}w_n \rightarrow \zeta \text{ in } X$$

From this, we easily conclude that

$$\psi = -\mu \in X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

From the closedness of $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we obtain

$$A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi + (\eta - 1)\psi \in X^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\frac{1}{3}}(A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi + (\eta - 1)\psi) = \zeta,$$

that is,

$$\varphi \in X^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\frac{2}{3}}\varphi + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}\psi = \zeta$$

From the closedness of $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we also obtain

$$A^{\frac{2}{3}}\phi - A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi \in X^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\frac{1}{3}}(A^{\frac{2}{3}}\phi - A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi) = A^{\frac{2}{3}}\nu$$

that is,

$$\phi \in X^1 \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\frac{1}{3}}\phi - \varphi = \nu$$

From this, we conclude that $\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \in D(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$ and $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \mu \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix}$.

For the proof of *ii*) the result easily follows from $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Z$ to be given by

$$\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{2}{3}} & A^{-1} \\ 0 & (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & A^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, after considering the eigenvalue problem for the operator $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$,

$$-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} \mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u},$$

and after straightforward calculations, part *iii*) follows immediately from the fact that $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ has compact resolvent. $\square$

3. ILL-POSED PROBLEMS

In this section we consider the case $0 \leq \eta < 1$. We prove that the Cauchy problem defined by the linear equation associated with (1.1) is ill-posed in Z , consequently, the Cauchy problem defined by (1.1) is ill-posed for any nonlinear function f in Z , under the point of view of the theory of strongly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ be the unbounded linear operator defined in (2.3)-(2.4). If $0 \leq \eta < 1$, then the unbounded linear operator $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ with $\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} : D(\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) \subset Z \rightarrow Z$ is not the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in Z .*

Proof: If $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $\{e^{-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}t} : t \geq 0\}$ in Z , it follows from [2] that there exist constants $\omega(\eta) \geq 0$ and $M(\eta) \geq 1$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|e^{-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq M(\eta)e^{\omega(\eta)t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, from [2] we have

$$(3.2) \quad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega(\eta)\} \subset \rho(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)})$$

where $\rho(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)})$ denotes the resolvent set of the operator $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$. From Lemma 2.1(iii) we have

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{z_\eta \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{\overline{z_\eta} \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\},$$

where

$$z_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left((\eta - 1) + i\sqrt{3 + 2\eta - \eta^2} \right)$$

and

$$\overline{z_\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \left((\eta - 1) - i\sqrt{3 + 2\eta - \eta^2} \right),$$

where $3 + 2\eta - \eta^2 > 0$ for any $0 \leq \eta < 1$, see Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Semi-lines contained the eigenvalues of $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ and $0 < \theta_\eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \eta < 1$.

Since $\sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}}) = \{-\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $\mu_n \in \sigma(A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 \leq \eta < 1$, we conclude that

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega(\eta)\} \neq \emptyset$$

This contradicts (3.4) and therefore $-\mathbb{A}_{(\eta)}$ can not be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in Z . $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *If $0 \leq \eta < 1$, then the Cauchy problem*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{A}_{(\eta)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v = u_t$ and $w = v_t$ is ill-posed on Z .

Proof: The result easily follows from Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *The Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.2) is ill-posed on Z . More precisely, let $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ does not exist $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in C([0, \tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0}), Z)$ with $f(u) \in C([0, \tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0}), X)$ such that (2.6) holds, for any $\tau_{u_0, v_0, w_0} > 0$.*

Proof: The result easily follows from Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Remark 3.4. *If $\eta = 0$ then thanks to the results in [4] the Cauchy linear problem associated with (2.1) is ill-posed in Z , and therefore, the Cauchy problem (2.1) is ill-posed in Z .*

On the new approach present in Subsection 2.2 we have

Theorem 3.5. *Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and let $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ be the unbounded linear operator defined in (2.10)-(2.11). Then the problem (2.8)-(2.9) is ill-posed in the sense that it does not generate a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on the state space Z .*

Proof: If $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $\{e^{-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}t} : t \geq 0\}$ on Z , it follows from Pazy [20, Theorem 1.2.2] that there exist constants $\omega \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|e^{-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq Me^{\omega t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

Moreover, from Pazy [20, Remark 1.5.4] we have

$$(3.4) \quad \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega\} \subset \rho(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}).$$

where $\rho(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$ denotes the resolvent set of the operator $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$.

From Lemma 2.2 we can consider a sequence $(\lambda_k z_\eta)_k \in \sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, with $\lambda_k \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ and $|\lambda_k| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\arg(\lambda_k z_\eta) = \arctan \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-\eta^2 + 2\eta + 3}}{1 - \eta} \right)$$

and since $0 \leq \eta < 1$, we have

$$0 < \arg(\lambda_k z_\eta) < \pi/2$$

for every $k \geq 1$ and $|\lambda_k z_\eta| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we conclude that

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

This contradicts (3.4) and therefore $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ can not be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on Z . $\square$

4. PARABOLIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

In this section we consider the case $\eta > 1$. Namely, thanks to the Lemma 2.2 we have the following illustration of the eigenvalues of $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$.




FIGURE 3. Semi-lines contained the eigenvalues of $-\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ and $0 < \theta_\eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\eta > 1$.

4.1. Sectoriality. Initially, we prove the following theorem on the sectoriality of the operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ for $\eta > 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\eta > 1$. The unbounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ defined in (2.10)-(2.11) is a sectorial operator.*

Proof: In this proof, M will denote a positive constant, not necessarily the same one. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\lambda I - \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}} & I & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda I & I \\ 0 & -A^{\frac{2}{3}} & \lambda I - (\eta-1)A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \rho(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}) = \rho(A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \cap \rho(c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \cap \rho(d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}).$$

Note that for $\lambda \in \rho(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad (\lambda I - \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)})^{-1} = D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda I - c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}})(\lambda I - d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}) & -(\lambda I - (\eta-1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}) & I \\ 0 & (\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}})(\lambda I - (\eta-1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}) & -(\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \\ 0 & (\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}})A^{\frac{2}{3}} & \lambda(\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$D_\eta(\lambda) = (\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}})(\lambda I - c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}})(\lambda I - d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}})$$

with

$$(4.3) \quad c_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta - 1 + \sqrt{\eta^2 - 2\eta - 3} \right)$$

$$(4.4) \quad d_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta - 1 - \sqrt{\eta^2 - 2\eta - 3} \right).$$

Since $Re(c_\eta) > 0$ and $Re(d_\eta) > 0$, for $\eta > 1$, $c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ are sectorial operators. Let $S_{A^{\frac{1}{3}}}, S_{c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}}, S_{d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ be sectors such that $S_{A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \subset \rho(A^{\frac{1}{3}})$, $S_{c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \subset \rho(c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}})$, $S_{d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \subset \rho(d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda I - A^{\frac{1}{3}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &< \frac{M}{|\lambda|}, \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in S_{A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \\ \|\lambda I - c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &< \frac{M}{|\lambda|}, \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in S_{c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \\ \|\lambda I - d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &< \frac{M}{|\lambda|}, \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in S_{d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $M > 0$. We will prove that $\mathbb{B}_\eta$ is a sectorial operator using the sector

$$S_{\mathbb{B}_\eta} := S_{A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \cap S_{c_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}} \cap S_{d_\eta A^{\frac{1}{3}}}.$$

It is immediate that $S_{\mathbb{B}_\eta} \subset \rho(\mathbb{B}_\eta)$. If $\lambda \in S_{\mathbb{B}_\eta}$ and $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ with $\|\mathbf{u}\|_Z \leq 1$, then writing

$$(\lambda I - \mathbb{B}_\eta)^{-1} \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad \varphi_1 &= (\lambda^2 I - (\eta - 1)\lambda A^{\frac{1}{3}} - A^{\frac{2}{3}})D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}u + (-\lambda I + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}})D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}v + D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}w, \\ \varphi_2 &= (\lambda^2 I - \eta\lambda A^{\frac{1}{3}} + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{2}{3}})D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}v + (-\lambda I + A^{\frac{1}{3}})D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}w, \\ \varphi_3 &= (\lambda A^{\frac{2}{3}} - A)D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}v + (\lambda^2 I - \lambda A^{\frac{1}{3}})D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}w. \end{aligned}$$

In order to conclude that

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \|\varphi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|\varphi_3\|_X < \frac{M}{|\lambda|}$$

We only need to show that $\lambda A^{\frac{1}{3}}D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}$, $A^{\frac{2}{3}}D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda^2 D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and are bounded by $M/|\lambda|$, which is clear because $D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and

$$\|D_\eta(\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < \frac{M}{|\lambda|^3}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let Z_{-1} denote the extrapolation space of Z generated by $\mathbb{B}_\eta$. Then*

$$Z_{-1} = X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof: The extrapolation space of Z is the completion of the normed space $(Z, \|\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1}\|_Z)$.

Since for $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Z$ we have

$$\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}}u + (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{2}{3}}v + A^{-1}w \\ (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{1}{3}}v + A^{-\frac{2}{3}}w \\ v \end{bmatrix},$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X} \\ &= \|\eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}}u + (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{2}{3}}v + A^{-1}w\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \|(1-\eta)A^{-\frac{1}{3}}v + A^{-\frac{2}{3}}w\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|v\|_X \\ &\leq \eta \|A^{\frac{1}{3}}u\|_X + \max\{1, \eta - 1\} \|v\|_X + 2\|A^{-\frac{1}{3}}w\|_X \\ &\leq C_{1\eta} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}} \times X^{-\frac{2}{3}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{1\eta} = \max\{2, \eta\} > 0$.

By other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &= \|u\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|v\|_X + \|w\|_{X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \|\eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}}u\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \|v\|_X + \|w\|_{X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \|\eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}}u + (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{2}{3}}v + A^{-1}w\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\eta}\right) \|v\|_X + \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + 1\right) \|w\|_{X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \|\eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}}u + (1-\eta)A^{-\frac{2}{3}}v + A^{-1}w\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \left(2 + \eta - \frac{2}{\eta}\right) \|v\|_X + \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + 1\right) \|(1-\eta)A^{-\frac{1}{3}}v + A^{-\frac{2}{3}}w\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \\ &\leq C_{2\eta} \left\| \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_{2\eta} = 2 + \eta - \frac{2}{\eta} > 0$.

Hence, there exist $C_{1\eta} > 0$ and $C_{2\eta} > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X} \leq C_{1\eta} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}} \times X^{-\frac{2}{3}}} \leq C_{1\eta} C_{2\eta} \left\| \mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X},$$

completions of $(X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \cdot\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X})$ and $(X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}^{-1} \cdot\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}})$ coincide. $\square$

Consider the closed extension of $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ to Z_{-1} (see [2, Page 262]) and still denote it by $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$. Then $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ is a sectorial and positive operator in Z_{-1} with the domain $Z_{-1}^1 = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$; the imaginary powers of $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ are bounded. Our next concern will be to obtain embeddings of the spaces from the fractional power scale Z_{-1}^α , $\alpha \geq 0$, generated by $(\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}, Z_{-1})$.

Before we can proceed we need the following general interpolation result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{M}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be the Banach spaces such that $\mathcal{X}_1 \subset \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{Y}_1 \subset \mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ topologically and algebraically. Then*

$$[\mathcal{X}_0 \times \mathcal{Y}_0 \times \mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_1]_\theta = [\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1]_\theta \times [\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{Y}_1]_\theta \times [\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1]_\theta,$$

for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Proof: The proof is an immediate consequence of the definition of complex interpolation spaces in [23, Section 1.9.2]. $\square$

The following result also may be established by a straightforward extension of [7, Theorem 2] so we omit its proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Denote by $\{Z_{-1}^\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ the partial fractional power scale generated by operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ in Z_{-1} . Then*

$$Z_{-1}^{k+\alpha} = X^{\frac{k+1+\alpha}{3}} \times X^{\frac{k+\alpha}{3}} \times X^{\frac{k-1+\alpha}{3}}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For better understanding the relation of the fractional power scale-spaces of the operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$, we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 4. Fractional power scale generated by operator $\mathbb{B}_{(\eta)}$ in Z_{-1} and $\alpha > 1$.

In this section, we consider the case $\eta > 1$. Now we prove some of the main results of this paper.

Proposition 4.5. *Let Z_{-1} denote the extrapolation space of Z generated by $\mathbb{A}_\eta$. Then*

$$Z_{-1} = X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof: Again, following [2] and [8] we recall that the extrapolation space of Z is the completion of the normed space $(Z, \|\mathbb{A}_\eta^{-1} \cdot\|_Z)$. Since for $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Z$

$$\left\| \mathbb{A}_\eta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \eta A^{-\frac{1}{3}} u + \eta A^{-\frac{2}{3}} v + A^{-1} w \\ -u \\ -v \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X} \leq 3(1 + \eta) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}},$$

and

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \leq 3(1 + \eta) \left\| \mathbb{A}_\eta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X},$$

completions of $(X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\mathbb{A}_\eta^{-1} \cdot\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X})$ and $(X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\cdot\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}})$ coincide, see the Figure 4.1. $\square$

Consider the closed extension of $\mathbb{A}_\eta$ to Z_{-1} (see [2, Page 262]) and still denote it by $\mathbb{A}_\eta$ with the domain $Z_{-1}^1 = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$.

For better understanding the relation of the fractional power scale-spaces of the operator $\mathbb{A}_\eta$, we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 5. Fractional power scale generated by operator $\mathbb{A}_\eta$ in Z_{-1} and $\alpha > 1$.

4.2. Analysis by reducing the order. Namely, we consider the reduction of order

$$(4.6) \quad v = u_t + A^{\frac{1}{3}}u$$

for positive time, where u is an unknown function to be determined, and we obtain the following equation of second order

$$(4.7) \quad v_{tt} + A^{\frac{2}{3}}v + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}v_t = g(v)$$

for positive time, where $\eta > 0$ and

$$(4.8) \quad g(v) = f(u).$$

Note that we can reviews (4.7) as follows

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} v \\ v_t \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}} & (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g(v) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} v(0) \\ v_t(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X.$$

Solving (4.9) we find $e^{-\Lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v(t, v_0, w_0) \\ v_t(t, v_0, w_0) \end{bmatrix} \in X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$ where $\Lambda : D(\Lambda) \subset X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X \rightarrow X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$ is defined by, $D(\Lambda) = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}$

$$\Lambda \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}} & (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -w_0 \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}}v_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that $\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \mapsto v(t, v_0, w_0) \in X^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is a continuous function. We can then try to solve

$$(4.10) \quad u_t + A^{\frac{1}{3}}u = v(t, v_0, w_0), \quad u(0) = u_0$$

through the variation of constants formula

$$(4.11) \quad u(t) = e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t}u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)}v(s)ds.$$

for positive time.

We next solve (4.7) following the same ideas in [8, 9, 10] and [11]. Next, we use (4.11) to obtain a local solution to our original differential equation. A similar argument has been used in [5] and [16] for the classical MGT equation.

To better present our results we introduce some notations. We consider the space $Y = X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$ equipped the norm given by

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_Y^2 = \|v\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}}}^2 + \|w\|_X^2, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Y.$$

We can rewrite the initial value problem associated with equation (4.7) as the Cauchy problem in Y

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \Lambda \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = G\left(\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w = v_t$ and the unbounded linear operator $\Lambda : D(\Lambda) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$D(\Lambda) = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

and

$$\Lambda \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}} & (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -w \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}}v + (\eta - 1)A^{\frac{1}{3}}w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

From now on, we denote

$$Y^1 = D(\Lambda) = X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The nonlinearity G is given by

$$(4.14) \quad G\left(\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g(v) \end{bmatrix}$$

where g is given by (4.8).

The following result may be established by a straightforward extension of [8, Lemma 1] so we omit its proof.

Lemma 4.6. *The following conditions hold.*

- i) *The linear operator Λ is closed and densely defined;*
- ii) *$0 \in \rho(\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\eta-1)A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & A^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, Λ has compact resolvent;*
- iii) *The spectrum of Λ consists of isolated eigenvalues $\lambda_n^\pm$ given by*

$$\lambda_n^\pm = (\eta \pm \sqrt{\eta^2 - 1})\sqrt[3]{\mu_n}, \quad \mu_n \in \sigma(A);$$

- iv) *The linear operator $-\Lambda$ generates in Y a C^0 analytic semigroup $\{e^{-\Lambda t} : t \geq 0\}$;*
- v) *The semigroup $\{e^{-\Lambda t} : t \geq 0\}$ in Y are compact and asymptotically decaying;*

vi) For each $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Y^1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y^1} \leq \| \Lambda \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \|_Y \leq \eta \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y^1}.$$

The Lemma 4.6 allows us to define the fractional power $\Lambda^{-\alpha}$ of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Denote by $Y^\alpha = D(\Lambda^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, taking $\Lambda^0 := I$ on $Y^0 := Y$ when $\alpha = 0$. Recall that Y^α is dense in Y for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, for details see [2, Theorem 4.6.5]. The fractional power space X^α endowed with the norm

$$\| \cdot \|_{Y^\alpha} := \| \Lambda^\alpha \cdot \|_Y$$

is a Banach space.

Remark 4.7. Let X_{-1} denote the extrapolation space of X generated by $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Consider the closed extension of $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ to X_{-1} (see [2, Page 262]) and still denote it by $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Then $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is a sectorial and positive operator in X_{-1} with the domain $X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}}$; the imaginary powers of $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ are bounded, see e.g. [7, Proposition 5]. Our next concern will be to obtain embeddings of the spaces from the fractional power scale $X_{-1}^{\frac{\alpha}{3}}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, generated by $(A^{\frac{1}{3}}, X_{-1})$.

For better understanding the relation of the fractional power scale-spaces of the operator $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 6. Fractional power scale generated by operator $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ in X_{-1} and $\alpha > 1$.

The following result also may be established by a straightforward extension of [7, Proposition 3] or [9, Theorem 2.3] so we omit its proof.

Theorem 4.8. For each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the fractional power spaces Y^α associated to the operator Λ coincide with $X^{\frac{1+\alpha}{3}} \times X^{\frac{\alpha}{3}}$ with equivalent norms.

Lemma 4.9. Let Y_{-1} denote the extrapolation space of Y generated by Λ . Then

$$Y_{-1} = X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof: Following [2] and [8] we recall that the extrapolation space of Y is the completion of the normed space $(Y, \|\Lambda^{-1}\|_Y)$. Since for $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in Y$

$$\left\| \Lambda^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X} \leq \eta \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \eta^2 \left\| \Lambda^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X},$$

completions of $(X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\Lambda^{-1} \cdot\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X})$ and $(X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X, \|\Lambda^{-1} \cdot\|_{X \times X^{-\frac{1}{3}}})$ coincide, see the Figure 4.2. $\square$

Consider the closed extension of Λ to Y_{-1} (see [2, Page 262]) and still denote it by Λ . Then Λ is a sectorial and positive operator in Y_{-1} with the domain $Y_{-1}^1 = X^{\frac{1}{3}} \times X$; the imaginary powers of Λ are bounded, see e.g. [7, Proposition 5]. Our next concern will be to obtain embeddings of the spaces from the fractional power scale Y_{-1}^α , $\alpha \geq 0$, generated by (Λ, Y_{-1}) .

The following result also may be established by a straightforward extension of [7, Theorem 2] so we omit its proof.

Lemma 4.10. *Denote by $\{Y_{-1}^\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ the partial fractional power scale generated by operator Λ in Y_{-1} . Then*

$$Y_{-1}^{k+\alpha} = X^{\frac{k+\alpha}{3}} \times X^{\frac{k-1+\alpha}{3}}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For better understanding the relation of the fractional power scale-spaces of the operator Λ , we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 7. Fractional power scale generated by operator Λ in Y_{-1} and $\alpha > 1$.

Since the semigroup $\{e^{-\Lambda t} : t \geq 0\}$ generated by $-\Lambda$ in Y_{-1} is analytic and the linear Cauchy problem 4.12-4.13 with $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}$ has a unique solution

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (t) = e^{-\Lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the following theorem we explain the smoothing action of the solution to the linear Cauchy problem 4.12-4.13, it follows from [9, Theorem 2.4] and [11, Theorem 2.1.1].

Theorem 4.11. *If $t > 0$ and $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}$, then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (t) = e^{-\Lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^\alpha, \quad \text{for each } \alpha \geq 0.$$

In particular, if $t > 0$ and $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^1$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (t) = e^{-\Lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^1.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (\cdot) \in C^1((0, \infty), Y_{-1}^\alpha), \text{ for each } \alpha \in [0, 1).$$

In particular, if $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^1$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} (\cdot) \in C^1([0, \infty), Y_{-1}).$$

Theorem 4.12. Assume that f is ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X^{\frac{1}{3}}, X)$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$, in the sense of (1.2), then g is ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, X_{-1})$, where $\{X_{-1}^{\frac{\alpha}{3}} : \alpha \geq 0\}$ denotes the fractional power scale generated by operator $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ in X_{-1} .

Proof: We will prove that there exist constants $c > 0$, $\rho > 1$, $\gamma(\epsilon) > 0$ with $\rho\epsilon \leq \gamma(\epsilon) < \frac{1}{3}$ such that $g : X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} \rightarrow X_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}$ and

$$\|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|_{X_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \leq c \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} (1 + \|\phi_1\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi_2\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1}),$$

for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$, see the figures below.

For a better understanding of the ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, X_{-1})$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$, we construct the following diagram.




FIGURE 8. $X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} \subset X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $X_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)} \subset X_{-1}$

Since f is an ϵ -regular map relative to the pair $(X^{\frac{1}{3}}, X)$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$, we have the following: if $g(\phi_i) = f(\psi_i)$, where

$$\psi_i = e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_i(s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and $\{e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t}, t \geq 0\}$ denotes the C^0 -semigroup corresponding to (4.6) with $v \equiv 0$ subject to initial condition $u(0) = u_0 \in X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$ then for each $t \geq 0$, $g = f \circ e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t}$ is an ϵ -regular map

relative to the pair $(X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}}, X_{-1})$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$ because by Remark 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|_{X_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq c_0 \|f(\psi_1) - f(\psi_2)\|_{X^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \\
& \leq c_0 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} (1 + \|\psi_1\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \|\psi_2\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1}) \\
& = c_1 \left\| \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_1(s) ds - \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_2(s) ds \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} \times \\
& \times \left(1 + \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_1(s) ds \right\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_2(s) ds \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} \right) \\
& = c_1 \left\| \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} (\phi_1 - \phi_2)(s) ds \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} \times \\
& \times \left(1 + \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_1(s) ds \right\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \left\| e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)} \phi_2(s) ds \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} \right) \\
& \leq c_2 \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} (1 + \|\phi_1\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi_2\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1})
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants c_0, c_1 and c_2 . $\square$

Thanks to [7, Corollary 2] we have the following on the ϵ -regular map G defined in (4.14).

Proposition 4.13. *For $\epsilon \geq 0$, G is ϵ -regular map relative to the pair (Y_{-1}^1, Y_{-1}) ; that is, there exist constants $C > 0$, $\rho > 1$, $\gamma(\epsilon)$ with $\rho\epsilon \leq \gamma(\epsilon) < 1$ such that such that $G : Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon} \rightarrow Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}$ and*

$$(4.16) \quad \left\| G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}\right) - G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}} \left(1 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}}^{\rho-1} \right),$$

for any $\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}$.

Proof: Let $\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.10 we have

$$\left\| G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}\right) - G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g(\phi) - g(\phi') \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} = \|g(\phi) - g(\phi')\|_{X^{\frac{-1+\gamma(\epsilon)}{3}}}$$

and from fractional power scale generated by operator $A^{\frac{1}{3}}$ in X_{-1} we obtain

$$\left\| G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}\right) - G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} \leq c \|g(\phi) - g(\phi')\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{\gamma(\epsilon)}{3}}}$$

for some $c > 0$, and by Theorem 4.12 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix}\right) - G\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{\gamma(\epsilon)}} &\leq C \|\phi - \phi'\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\tilde{\epsilon}}} (1 + \|\phi\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi'\|_{X_{-1}^{\frac{1}{3}+\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1}) \\ &\leq C \|\phi - \phi'\|_{X^{\tilde{\epsilon}}} (1 + \|\phi\|_{X^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi'\|_{X^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1}) \\ &\leq C \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \varphi \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \phi' \\ \varphi' \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon}} (1 + \|\phi\|_{X^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1} + \|\phi'\|_{X^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\rho-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$, $\rho > 1$ and $\gamma(\epsilon) > 0$ with $\rho\tilde{\epsilon} \leq \frac{\gamma(\epsilon)}{3} < \frac{1}{3}$ ($\tilde{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$).

Hence, by Lemma 4.10 we obtain (4.16) and the proof of the result is complete. $\square$

Definition 4.14. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$, $\tau > 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^1$. We say that $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} : [t_0, \tau] \rightarrow Y_{-1}^1$ is an ϵ -regular mild solution (ϵ -solution for short) to (4.12)-(4.13) if $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in C([t_0, \tau], Y_{-1}^1) \cap C((t_0, \tau], Y_{-1}^{1+\epsilon})$, and $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-\Lambda(t-t_0)} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\Lambda(t-s)} G\left(\begin{bmatrix} v(s) \\ w(s) \end{bmatrix}\right) ds.$$

Thanks to [3, Theorem 1], see also [7, Theorem 3], we have the following on existence of ϵ -regular solution to (4.12)-(4.13) on certain interval $[0, \tau]$.

Theorem 4.15. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$, $\tau > 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Y_{-1}^1$. Then exists a unique ϵ -regular solution to (4.12)-(4.13) on certain interval $[0, \tau]$. This solution satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in C((0, \tau], Y_{-1}^1) \cap C((0, \tau], Y_{-1}^{1+\theta}), \quad 0 \leq \theta < \gamma(\epsilon),$$

and

$$t^\theta \left\| \begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y_{-1}^{1+\theta}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0, \quad 0 < \theta < \gamma(\epsilon).$$

From this, we establish local well posedness for the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.2). We would like to study this problem in the phase space Z . To pose the problem in the mentioned space we will need to consider the nonlinear term F as a map with values in the extrapolated space Z_{-1} associated to $\mathbb{A}_\eta$ in Z .

Definition 4.16. Let $\epsilon = 0$, $\tau > 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z_{-1}^1$ and $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(\cdot) : [0, \tau] \rightarrow Z_{-1}^1$. We say that $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(\cdot)$ is an ϵ -regular solution to (2.1)-(2.2) on $[0, \tau]$ if and only if

$$\text{i) } \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(\cdot) \in C([0, \tau], Z_{-1}^1) \cap C((0, \tau], Z_{-1}^{1+\epsilon});$$

ii) $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(\cdot)$ satisfies the Cauchy integral formula:

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(t) = e^{-\mathbb{A}_\eta t} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-\mathbb{A}_\eta(t-s)} F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(s) \right) ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau].$$

Theorem 4.17. *Let $\epsilon = 0$, $\tau > 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z_{-1}^1$. Then there exists a unique ϵ -regular solution to (2.1)-(2.2) on certain interval $[0, \tau]$. In addition, we have*

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in C((0, \tau], Z_{-1}^1) \cap C((0, \tau], Z_{-1}^{1+\theta}), \quad 0 \leq \theta < \gamma(\epsilon),$$

and

$$t^\theta \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Z_{-1}^{1+\theta}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0, \quad 0 < \theta < \gamma(\epsilon).$$

Proof: We also know that, for $\epsilon > 0$, $t^\epsilon \left\| e^{-\mathbb{A}t} \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Y^\epsilon} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$. Knowing that $Y^\epsilon = X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} \times X^\epsilon$, we have that $t^\epsilon \|v(t, v_0, w_0)\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$. Then the integral defining $u(t, u_0, v_0, w_0)$ is convergent and the resulting function is continuous at $t = 0$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_0\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} &\leq \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u_0 - u_0\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \int_0^t \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-s)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, X^{1-\epsilon})} \|v(s)\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} ds \\ &\leq \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u_0 - u_0\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + M \int_0^t (t-s)^{1-\epsilon} s^{-\epsilon} s^\epsilon \|v(s)\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} ds \\ &\leq \|e^{-A^{\frac{1}{3}}t} u_0 - u_0\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} + M \int_0^1 (1-s)^{-1+\epsilon} s^{-\epsilon} ds \sup_{s \in [0, t]} s^\epsilon \|v(s)\|_{X^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

This ensures the continuity.

Finally, the result follows from (4.6) and Theorem 4.15. $\square$

5. REMARKS ON THE CASE $\eta = 1$

In this section we consider the case $\eta = 1$. We note that the initial value problem associated with equation (2.7) as the Cauchy problem in Z

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{B}_{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = F_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $v = u_t$ and $w = v_t$ and the unbounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(1)} : D(\mathbb{B}_{(1)}) \subset Z \rightarrow Z$ is defined by

$$(5.3) \quad D(\mathbb{B}_{(1)}) = X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{B}_{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{3}} & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & A^{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{3}}u - v \\ -w \\ A^{\frac{2}{3}}v \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in X^1 \times X^{\frac{2}{3}} \times X^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The nonlinearity F_1 given by (2.5), where $f : D(A^{\frac{2}{3}}) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on bounded sets.

Thanks to Lemma 2.2, in particular, we have

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ be the unbounded linear operator defined in (5.3)-(5.4). The following conditions hold.*

- i) The unbounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ is closed and densely defined;*
- ii) Zero belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(\mathbb{B}_{(1)})$; namely, the resolvent operator of $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ is the bounded linear operator $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Z$ given by*

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbb{B}_{(1)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-\frac{1}{3}} & 0 & A^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & A^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\ 0 & -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ has compact resolvent;

- iii) The spectrum set of $-\mathbb{B}_1$, $\sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(1)})$, is given by*

$$\sigma(-\mathbb{B}_{(1)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{\lambda i \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\} \cup \{-\lambda i \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})\},$$

where $\sigma(-A^{\frac{1}{3}})$ denote the spectrum set of $-A^{\frac{1}{3}}$.




FIGURE 9. Semi-lines contained the eigenvalues of $-\mathbb{B}_1$.

Proof: The proof of *i)* easily follows from (5.4). For the proof of *ii)* the result easily follows from (5.5). Finally, for the proof of *iii)* the result easily follows from (5.4). $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ be the unbounded linear operator defined in (5.3)-(5.4). The unbounded linear operator $-\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ is not a dissipative operator on the state space Z .*

Proof: Indeed, let $u \in X^1$ be such that $u \neq 0$ and let $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 2A^{\frac{1}{3}}u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z^1$. Note that

$$\left\langle \mathbb{B}_{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 2A^{\frac{1}{3}}u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 2A^{\frac{1}{3}}u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = -\langle A^{\frac{1}{3}}u, u \rangle_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$

and consequently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\langle -\mathbb{B}_{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 2A^{\frac{1}{3}}u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 2A^{\frac{1}{3}}u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle > 0$$

and the prove is complete. $\square$

Theorem 5.2 ensures that the linear operator $-\mathbb{B}_{(1)}$ is not an infinitesimal generator of a specific type of strongly continuous semigroup in Z ; that is, the strongly continuous semigroup of contractions in Z .

6. MOORE-GIBSON-THOMPSON-TYPE EQUATIONS

In this subsection we present boundary-initial value problems associated with a Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with fractional damped and strongly damped linear wave equation, where our results from previous section can be applied. Namely, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, be a bounded smooth domain, and the initial-boundary value problems

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_{ttt} - \Delta u + \eta(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{3}}u_{tt} + \eta(-\Delta)^{\frac{2}{3}}u_t = f(u), & t > 0, x \in \Omega, \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), u_t(0, x) = \xi(x), u_{tt}(0, x) = \psi(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & t \geq 0, x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\eta > 1$.

The nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in (6.1) is a continuously differentiable function satisfying for some $1 < \rho < \frac{3N+4}{3N-8}$ the growth condition

$$(6.2) \quad |f'(s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^{\rho-1}).$$

Here we consider $X = L^2(\Omega)$ and the negative Laplacian operator

$$Au = -\Delta u,$$

with domain

$$D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$$

which is a sectorial operator and it bounded imaginary powers, and consequently the spaces X^α , $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, are characterized with the aid of complex interpolation as

$$X^\alpha = [H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)]_\alpha = H_{\{I\}}^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$$

and

$$X^{-\alpha} = (H_{\{I\}}^{2\alpha}(\Omega))'$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_\alpha$ denotes the complex interpolation function (see [2] and [13]). In particular $X = X^0 = L^2(\Omega)$, $X^{\frac{1}{2}} = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $X^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (H_0^1(\Omega))'$ and $X^1 = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

With this set-up we will consider problem (6.1) in the form (2.8)-(2.9) with $u_0 = \varphi$, $v_0 = A^{\frac{1}{3}}\varphi + \xi$, and $w_0 = A^{\frac{1}{3}}\xi + \psi$.

Let $F : Z_{-1}^1 \rightarrow Z_{-1}^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 0$, be a locally Lipschitz continuous map, as well as in (2.5). Recall that a mild solution of (2.8)-(2.9) on $[0, \tau]$ is a function $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \left(\cdot, \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \in C([0, \tau], Z_{-1}^1)$ which satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \left(t, \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = e^{-\mathbb{B}_\eta t} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-\mathbb{B}_\eta(t-s)} F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \left(s, \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) ds,$$

for $t \in [0, \tau]$. We say that (2.8)-(2.9) is locally well posed in Z_{-1}^1 is for any $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \in Z_{-1}^1$ there is a unique mild solution

$$t \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \left(t, \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

of (2.8)-(2.9) defined on a maximal interval of existence $[0, t_{u,v_0,w_0})$ and depending continuously on the initial data $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{bmatrix}$.

As a consequence of the Sobolev embeddings we obtain the following result cf. [11, Proposition 1.3.8].

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain of class C^m and $(A, D(A))$ be a sectorial operator in $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, with $D(A) \subset W^{2m,p}(\Omega)$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ the following inclusion holds.*

$$X^\alpha \subset W^{s,q}(\Omega)$$

if $2m\alpha - \frac{N}{p} \geq s - \frac{N}{q}$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $s \geq 0$.

From we have

Theorem 6.2. *The problem (2.8)-(2.9) with $\eta > 1$ is locally posed in Z_{-1}^1 whenever f satisfies (6.2) for some $1 < \rho < \frac{3N+4}{3N-8}$*

Proof: The map F defined as in (2.5) is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets from Z_{-1}^1 into $Z_{-1}^\sigma = X^{\frac{1+\sigma}{3}} \times X^{\frac{\sigma}{3}} \times X^{\frac{-1+\sigma}{3}}$ whenever $0 < \sigma \leq \tilde{\sigma}$, and $\tilde{\sigma} = \min\{1, (\rho - 1)(2 - \frac{3}{4}N) + 1\}$ ($X^{\frac{2}{3}} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{6N(\rho-1)}{8+4(2\sigma)}}(\Omega)$). Indeed, if B is a bounded subset of Z_{-1}^1 and $\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \in B$, we have

$$\left\| F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_{Z_{-1}^\sigma} \leq c_1 \|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\|_{X^{\frac{-1+\sigma}{3}}}.$$

Since $L^{\frac{6N}{3N+4(1-\sigma)}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H_{\{I\}}^{\frac{2(1-\sigma)}{3}}(\Omega) = X^{\frac{-1+\sigma}{3}}$ we obtain

$$\left\| F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_{Z_{-1}^\sigma} \leq c_2 \|f(u_1) - f(u_2)\|_{L^{\frac{6N}{3N+4(1-\sigma)}}(\Omega)}$$

and thanks to (6.2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |f(s_1) - f(s_2)| \leq C|s_1 - s_2|(1 + |s_1|^{\rho-1} + |s_2|^{\rho-1})$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - F \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_{Z_{-1}^\sigma} &\leq c_3 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{X^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left(1 + \|u_1\|_{L^{\frac{6N(\rho-1)}{8+4(1-\sigma)}}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1} + \|u_2\|_{L^{\frac{6N(\rho-1)}{8+4(1-\sigma)}}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1} \right) \\ &\leq c_4 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{Z_{-1}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof now follows from [15]. □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abadias, C. Lizama and M. Murillo, Hölder regularity for the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with infinite delay, *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, **17** (1) (2018) 243–265.
- [2] H. Amann, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Volume I: Abstract Linear Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [3] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, Abstract parabolic problems with critical nonlinearities and applications to Navier-Stokes and heat equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 285–310.
- [4] F. D. M. Bezerra and L. A. Santos, Fractional powers approach of operators for abstract evolution equations of third order in time, *J. Differential Equations*, **269** (2020) 5661–5679.
- [5] F. Bucci and L. Pandolfi, On the regularity of solutions to the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation: a perspective via wave equations with memory, *J. Evol. Equ.* (2019).
- [6] A. H. Caixeta, I. Lasiecka, and V. N. D. Cavalcanti, On long time behavior of Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with molecular relaxation, *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*, **05** (2016) 661.
- [7] A. N. Carvalho and J. W. Cholewa, Local well posedness for strongly damped wave equations with critical nonlinearities, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 443–463.
- [8] A. N. Carvalho and J. W. Cholewa, Strongly damped wave equations in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. - Series A*, (2007) 230–239.
- [9] A. N. Carvalho, J. W. Cholewa, and T. Dłotko, Strongly damped wave problems: Bootstrapping and regularity of solutions, *J. Differential Equations* **244** (2008) 2310–2333.
- [10] S. Chen and R. Triggiani, Proof of extension of two conjectures on structural damping for elastic systems, *Pacific J. Math.* **136** (1989) 15–55.
- [11] J. W. Cholewa and T. Dłotko, *Global attractors in abstract parabolic problems*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 278, 2000.
- [12] J. A. Conejero, C. Lizama and F. Rodenas, Chaotic behaviour of the solutions of the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation, *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences*, **09** (5) (2015) 2233–2238.
- [13] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, 1978.
- [14] H. O. Fattorini, *The Cauchy Problem*. In *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 18. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company: Reading, Massachusetts, 1983.
- [15] D. Henry, *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [16] B. Kaltenbacher, I. Lasiecka and R. Marchand, Well-posedness and exponential decay rates for the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound, *Control Cybernet.*, **40** (4) (2011), 971–988.
- [17] B. Kaltenbacher, I. Lasiecka and M. Pospieszalska, Well-posedness and exponential decay of the energy in the nonlinear Jordan-Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **22** (1) (2012).
- [18] I. Lasiecka and X. Wang, Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with memory, part I: Exponential decay of energy, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **67** (05) (2015).
- [19] R. Marchand, T. McDevitt and R. Triggiani, An abstract semigroup approach to the third-order Moore-Gibson-Thompson partial differential equation arising in high-intensity ultrasound: structural decomposition, spectral analysis, exponential stability, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **35** (15) (2012) 1896–1929.
- [20] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

- [21] M. Pellicer and B. Said-Houari, Wellposedness and Decay Rates for the Cauchy Problem of the Moore-Gibson-Thompson Equation Arising in High Intensity Ultrasound, *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, (2017) 1–32.
- [22] M. Pellicera and J. Solà-Morales, Optimal scalar products in the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation, *Evolution Equations and Control Theory*, (2019) **8**(1): 203–220.
- [23] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, Veb Deutscher, Berlin, 1978.

(F. D. M. Bezerra) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58051-900 JOÃO PESSOA PB, BRAZIL.

Email address: `flank.bezerra@academico.ufpb.br`

(A. N. Carvalho) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO-CAMPUS DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970 SÃO CARLOS SP, BRAZIL.

Email address: `andcarva@icmc.usp.br`

(L. A. Santos) INSTITUTO FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58780-000 ITAPORANGA PB, BRAZIL.

Email address: `lucas92mat@gmail.com`