Partonic Structure by Quantum Computing
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We present a systematic quantum algorithm, which integrates both the hadronic state preparation and the evaluation of the real-time light-front correlations, to study the parton distribution functions (PDFs). As a proof-of-concept, we realize the first direct simulation of the PDFs in the 1+1 dimensional Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model. We show the results obtained by numerical diagonalization and by quantum computation using classical hardware. The agreement between these two distinct methods and the qualitative consistency with the QCD PDFs validate the proposed quantum algorithms. Our work suggests the encouraging prospects of calculating the QCD PDFs on current and near-term quantum devices. The presented quantum algorithm is expected to have many applications in high energy particle and nuclear physics.

Introduction. Identifying the fundamental partonic structure of hadrons has been remained as one of the main goals in both the high energy particle and nuclear physics since the pioneering deep-inelastic scattering experiments at SLAC in late 1960’s [1, 2]. Such partonic structure is encoded in the universal parton distribution functions (PDFs), which represent the probability density to find partons in a hadron with specified momentum fraction $x$ and resolution scale $\mu$. Besides characterizing the hadronic parton structure, the accurate determination of PDFs is mandatory for making predictions for hard scattering processes within standard model, and providing necessary benchmark information in searching for new physics beyond standard model.

PDFs are essentially nonperturbative quantities, thus cannot be obtained from perturbative QCD calculations. Thanks to the QCD factorization theorem [3], PDFs can be extracted through global analysis of the experimental data. Extensive efforts have been devoted into this business, see for instance, CTEQ [4], MMHT [5], NNPDF [6], HERAPDF [7], and JAM [8, 9]. On the other hand, since PDFs are defined as real-time correlations of quark and gluon fields, evaluating them directly on Euclidean lattice QCD encounters intrinsic difficulties for a long time. To circumvent this problem, recently there have been several proposals to obtain PDFs out of the quantities that can be calculated directly by lattice simulations [10–20]. However a direct calculation of the PDFs by evaluating the real-time light-cone correlation is still inaccessible by foreseeable classic computations.

With the great promise of quantum computers [21] and the remarkable success in various fields [22], particularly in using its natural capability for simulating real-time evolution of field theory, a rapid growing wave of interest in implementing quantum computing methodologies to particle and nuclear physics was inspired in the recent years, see reviews [23, 24]. The idea is to simulate hadron physics with well-controllable quantum devices by generating quantum states describing hadrons and evaluating physical properties through measurements on hadronic states. A few pioneer attempts toward the calculations of the PDFs on a quantum computer have been explored, such as the proposal of computing hadronic tensors [25], the quantum simulation of the space-time Wilson loops [26], the hybrid approach incorporating the quantum computing as a subroutine [27], as well as the global analysis of world data with quantum machine learning [28]. Still, a comprehensive and unified framework of investigating PDFs on a quantum computer using the fundamental lattice theory approach is lacking, which calls for a systematic treatment, with concrete quantum algorithms as well as analysis of scalability.

In this Letter, we work out a systematic quantum computational approach for evaluating the PDFs. As a conceptual proof of our algorithm, we realize for the first time a practical quantum simulation of the meson PDFs.
For the purpose of illustration, we present the formulation in the 1 + 1 dimensional Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [29, 30], also known as the Gross-Neveu (GN) model [31], which catches many fundamental features of QCD and provides a unified picture of vacuum, mesons and nucleons [32]. We show in detail how to prepare the hadronic bound states efficiently on a quantum computer and how the real time parton correlation in the coordinate space can be evaluated directly.

**Parton distribution functions and the NJL model.** In order to illustrate the calculation of PDFs using quantum computing, we take hadron PDF in the 1 + 1 dimensional NJL model, as a concrete example to introduce our quantum algorithm. The Lagrangian for NJL model is given by

\[ \mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_\alpha(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_\alpha)\psi_\alpha + g(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \psi_\alpha)^2, \]

where \( g \) is the strong coupling constant and \( m_\alpha \) is the quark mass with \( \alpha \) the flavor index. In the hadron rest frame, the PDFs can be written as

\[ f_{q/h}(x) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-izM_hz} \times \langle h | e^{iHt} \psi(0, -z) e^{-iHt} \gamma^+ \psi(0, 0) | h \rangle, \]

where we have written the quark field \( \bar{\psi}(zn^\mu) \) with the light front coordinate \( zn^\mu = z(1, -1) \) as \( \psi(zn^\mu) = e^{iHz} \psi(0, -z) e^{-iHz} \) with \( H \) the Hamiltonian of the NJL model and one will set \( t = z \) in Eq. (2). Here \( M_h \) is the mass of the hadron \( h \). We note that throughout the work, \( | h \rangle \) denotes the hadron state in the \( h \) rest frame.

One of the major difficulties to directly compute the PDFs by the classical lattice simulation roots in the evaluation of the real-time light-like correlation in Eq. (2). In this work, we will manifest how the correlation can be realized on a quantum computer in polynomial time.

For later evaluations of Eq. (2) on a quantum computer, we discretize the space-time with \( N/2 \) lattice sites for each flavor \( \alpha \) and put the quark fields on the lattice using the staggered fermion approach

\[ \psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\alpha,1} \\ \psi_{\alpha,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\alpha, n(\alpha-1)N+2n} \\ \phi_{\alpha, n(\alpha-1)N+2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\alpha,2n} \\ \phi_{\alpha,2n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \]

with \( 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1 \), where we distribute the up and down components of the Dirac spinor to different lattice sites and we have denoted and ordered the flavor indices \( \alpha, \beta, \cdots \) as 1, 2, \ldots., \( \alpha \). We further apply the Jordan-Wigner transformation [33] to rewrite the fermionic fields in terms of the Pauli matrices that can be operated on quantum computers,

\[ \phi_{\alpha,n} = \prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} \sigma^3_{\beta} N \sigma^3_{\alpha,n} \sigma^+_{\alpha,n} \equiv \Sigma_{\alpha,n} \sigma^+_{\alpha,n}, \]

where we used the raising and lowering operator \( \sigma^+_{\alpha,n} \) and introduced the string operator \( \sigma^3_{\alpha,n} = \prod_{i<n} \sigma^3_{\alpha,i} \) to simplify the notation. Here \( \sigma^3_{j,i} \) is the \( j \)-th component of the Pauli matrix on the lattice site \( i \) for flavor \( \alpha \). Throughout the work, we always impose the periodic boundary condition on the lattice sites for each quark flavor \( \alpha \). The PDF is then found to be

\[ f_{q_i/h} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} \sum_{z} \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{-izM_hz} T_{ij,\alpha}(z), \]

where

\[ T_{ij,\alpha}(z) = \langle h | e^{iHz} \phi_{\alpha, -2z+1} e^{-iHz} \phi_{\alpha,j} | h \rangle. \]

Now we are ready to elaborate the quantum algorithm we proposed for the direct PDF evaluation.

**Quantum Algorithms.** The quantum algorithm we propose for calculating the PDFs consists two parts: 1. prepare the hadronic state using the quantum-number-resolving variational quantum eigensolver (VQE); 2. calculate the dynamical light front correlation function in Eq. (2) or equivalently Eq. (6).

**FIG. 1.** Quantum circuit for the calculation of PDFs. On the left hand side of the dashed line is the circuit for hadronic state preparation, while the right hand side is for the correlation function.

1. **Hadronic State Preparation.** Simulating hadronic states for a generic quantum field system on a quantum computer typically involves preparing excited states with specified quantum numbers. For this purpose, we develop a framework of quantum-number-resolving VQE, with the advantage of efficient parameterization of hadronic states and almost trap-free optimization. The quantum circuit for hadronic state preparation is illustrated on the left hand side of the dashed line shown in Fig. 1 and involves two steps.

First of all, we construct trial hadronic states for the \( k \)-th excited state with a set of quantum numbers \( l \) as

\[ |\psi_{kl}(\theta)\rangle = U(\theta) |\psi_k\rangle, \]

where \( |\psi_k\rangle \) is an input reference state and \( U(\theta) \) encodes a set of symmetry-preserving unitary operators with parameters \( \theta \).

The input state \( |\psi_k\rangle \) with discrete quantum numbers, such as the charge, the baryon number as well as the spin,
can be realized as computational bases specified by those quantum numbers. In addition, to preserve the spacial symmetry, the input state of a given momentum will be represented by the superposition of the computational bases, and its preparation is similar to those of Dicke states. Specifically for the PDFs, zero momentum is chosen for the trial state.

The symmetry-preserving unitary evolution $U(\theta)$ is constructed using the quantum alternating operator ansatz (QAOA [35], also related to Hamiltonian variational ansatz [36]). To retain the representation power yet preserve the quantum number for the ansatz, the Hamiltonian is divided as $H = H_1 + H_2 + \cdots + H_n$ with $n \geq 2$, where every $H_i$ inherits the symmetries of $H$ and $[H_i, H_{i+1}] \neq 0$. The $U(\theta)$ then consists of multi-layers, each layer constructed by alternately evolving $H$ with parameterized time duration $\theta_{ij}$

$$U(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp(i \theta_{ij} H_j). \quad (8)$$

Here $p$ is the number of layers and trial states with larger $p$ and $n$ will represent the objective hadronic state more faithfully. By construction, the operator $U(\theta)$ will evolve the initial state $|\psi_k\rangle$ into a different ray $|\psi_k(\theta)\rangle$ due to the non-commutativity of two consecutive evolutions $\exp(i \theta_{ij} H_j)$ and $\exp(i \theta_{ij-1} H_{j-1})$, while preserves the quantum numbers $l$.

The second step is the optimization for hadronic states, which can be realized by minimizing the cost function constructed out of a weighted combination of the energy expectations [37]

$$E_\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i}^{k} w_{i \ell} \langle \psi_{i \ell}(\theta) | H | \psi_{i \ell}(\theta) \rangle, \quad (9)$$

for $k$ excited states with the same $l$ to determine the optimized parameter set $\theta^*$. Here we require $w_{1 \ell} > w_{2 \ell} > \cdots > w_{k \ell}$. The $k$-th excited hadron state $|h\rangle$ is then prepared as $|h\rangle = U(\theta^*) |\psi_{k \ell}\rangle$.

Back to the specific $1 + 1$D NJL model we are discussing, the mapping onto a quantum computer has been carried out in the previous section. The Hamiltonian is found to take the form

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4, \quad (10)$$

where

$$H_1 = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n=\text{even}}^{N} \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_{\alpha,n}^2 \sigma_{\alpha,n+1}^2 - \sigma_{\alpha,n}^2 \sigma_{\alpha,n+1}^2),$$

$$H_2 = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n=\text{even}}^{N} \frac{g}{2} \sigma_{\alpha,n}^3 \sigma_{\alpha,n+1}^3 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H_{\text{int},\alpha\beta},$$

$$H_3 = H_1(n = \text{even} \rightarrow n = \text{odd}),$$

$$H_4 = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{N} m_{\alpha} \frac{n}{2} (-1)^n (I - \sigma_{\alpha,n}^3) - \frac{g}{2} (I - \sigma_{\alpha,n}^3), \quad (11)$$

where the second line of $H_3$ comes from the periodic boundary condition and the interaction between different quark flavors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is given by

$$H_{\text{int},\alpha\beta} = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{n=\text{even}}^{N} \sigma_{\alpha,n}^3 \sigma_{\beta,n}^3 + \sigma_{\alpha,n+1}^3 \sigma_{\beta,n+1}^3$$

$$- \sigma_{\alpha,n+1}^3 \sigma_{\beta,n}^3 - \sigma_{\alpha,n}^3 \sigma_{\beta,n+1}^3. \quad (12)$$

Here, $H_2$ and $H_4$ are diagonal. It is also straightforward to check that $H_i$ satisfies the condition $[H_i, H_{i+1}] \neq 0$, meanwhile inherits the symmetries of $H$, which follows $[Q^i, H_i] = 0$ and $[T, H_i] = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. Here $T$ is the even-lattice translation operator, acting as $T^{-1} \sigma_{n}^i T = \sigma_{n+2}^i$, and $Q^i$ include the flavor and the $U(1)$ charges. It is noted that the mass $m_{\alpha}$ and coupling constant $g$ can be absorbed into the parameter set $\theta$.

To prepare the input reference states for QAOA, we follow the strategy introduced before. For instance, to construct a zero-charge hadronic state with the lowest mass out of the $1$-flavor NJL model (the $1$-st excitation state with respect to the vacuum $\Omega$), the input states can be chosen as

$$|\psi_{1 \Omega,1}\rangle = |010101 \ldots 01\rangle,$$

$$|\psi_{1 \Omega,2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N/2}} (|1001, \ldots, 01\rangle + |0110, \ldots, 01\rangle + \cdots + |0101, \ldots, 10\rangle), \quad (13)$$

which immediately follows $Q |\psi_{1 \Omega,1}\rangle = Q |\psi_{1 \Omega,2}\rangle$, where $Q = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sum_{n} (I - \sigma_{n}^3)$ is the charge operator.

Then we apply the QAOA to evolve the reference states to the objective vacuum and the hadronic states by minimizing the cost function $E_{1 \Omega}(\theta)$. Within $E_{1 \Omega}(\theta)$, we can choose for instance, $w_{1 \Omega,1} = 1$ and $w_{1 \Omega,2} = 0.5$. For the cases with more flavors, the hadronic states can be prepared in a similar way.

2. Dynamical correlation function. With the hadronic state at hand, to obtain the PDFs, we implement the quantum circuit illustrated on the right hand side of the dashed line in Fig. 1 following the standard technique in Ref. [38], to evaluate the 2-point dynamical correlation
function,
\[ S_{mn}(t) = \langle h | e^{iHt} \Xi_m^3 \sigma_m^i e^{-iHt} \Xi_n^3 \sigma_n^i | h \rangle, \]  
with \( i(j) = 1, 2 \). The \( T_{ij,a} \) in Eq. (6) can then be written as a sum of such correlators.

The quantum algorithm encodes the information of the dynamical correlation function into an ancillary qubit which controls and probes the system of the simulated hadron. More specifically, one inserts an evolution \( e^{-iHt} \) between two \( \Xi_m^3 \sigma_m^i \) and \( \Xi_n^3 \sigma_n^i \) operations controlled by the ancilla, and then measures the ancilla on the \( \sigma^z \) basis to get the real(imaginary) parts of the correlation function. Assuming that the probability of getting \( |0\rangle \) out of the ancilla is \( p_{mn}(t) \) for a given \( m \) and \( n \) in the circuit, both the real and the imaginary parts of the correlation function can be found immediately through [38]

\[ S_{mn}(t) = \frac{1}{2} p_{mn}(t) - \frac{1}{2}, \]  
and hence finish calculating the PDFs. Here we also calculated the vacuum propagator by replacing \( |h\rangle \) with the vacuum \( |\Omega\rangle \) in Eq. (13) and follow [39, 40] to remove the disconnected contributions.

We now estimate the time complexity of our quantum approach. The depth of the parametric quantum circuit for preparing the hadron state with the QAOA ansatz is believed to be \( O(N) \) [36]. Since optimization with such ansatz is believed to be almost trapped-free and quick to converge [39], we could estimate the complexity of state preparation as \( O(N) \). Extracting the PDFs involves a series of measurements of the dynamical correlation function \( S_{mn}(t) \) at \( t = 1, 2, \cdots, N/2 \), with each \( t = n \) taking a cost of \( O(n^2/\varepsilon) \) under a desired precision \( \varepsilon \). The cost mostly comes from decomposing the real-time evolution \( e^{iHt} \) with the Trotter formula [41]. From the above analysis, the total time complexity for calculating PDF is \( O(N^2/\varepsilon) \).

The polynomial scaling with the number of qubits and more notably, the ability to attain real-time light-cone evolution, clearly shows quantum advantage for solving hadron PDFs on a quantum computer. Although validated on the 1+1D quantum system, the quantum advantage could still hold for generic quantum systems in higher space-time dimensions and with gauge fields, if one can map the non-abelian gauge field onto qubits in an efficient way [42–44].

**Results.** Considering the current limitations of using real quantum devices, we present results using a classical simulation of the quantum circuits in Fig. 1. The simulation is performed on a Desktop workstation with 16 cores. For the time-being, we use \( N = 12 \) or 18 qubits and set \( a = 1 \) for the lattice spacing, which is sufficient to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed quantum algorithm. In reality, the lattice spacing \( a \) and quark mass \( m \) will be fixed by the values of the coupling constant \( g \) and relevant physical quantities such as hadron mass.

To verify the simulation, we first measure the hadron mass \( M_h = \langle h | H | h \rangle \) with respect to the vacuum energy \( \langle \Omega | H | \Omega \rangle \), in the NJL model with 2 flavors. We compare the results \( M_{h, QC} \) with \( M_{h, NUM} \) where the subscripts QC and NUM stand for the results obtained by quantum computing and numerical diagonalization, respectively. For simplicity we set \( ma = 0.2 \) for both flavors. The comparison is shown in Table I. The differences between these two approaches are at percent level which validates the setups. It is also interesting to note that for small quark mass, the dominant contribution to the hadron mass comes from the interactions rather than the quark masses. This is what we expect and consistent with the picture that QCD dynamics generates the majority of hadron mass [45–49]. We also test the results with a heavier quark mass \( ma = 0.8 \) in the 1-flavor model. In that case the hadron mass is dominated by the quark masses \( 2m \) from the hadron valence components which is consistent with the expectations from the mass configuration of heavy quarkonium.

Now we present the PDFs in the 1-flavor NJL model, which mimics the PDFs for \( \pi^0 \). We use \( N = 18 \) and fix the quark mass \( ma = 0.8 \). We show distributions in both the position space \( D(z) \equiv \sum_{ij} T_{ij} \) and the momentum space \( f(x) \).

![FIG. 2. Real (dashed lines) and imaginary parts (solid lines) of the quark field correlation function. The discrete points are the lattice data and the lines are from interpolations.](image-url)
tice that the calculated real contribution is consistent with 0, which can be understood by the fact that in our case \( \text{Re}[D(z)] \) is even and \( f_\rho(x) = f_\rho(-x) \) \(^3\), therefore the real part of \( D(z) \) vanishes identically. Here we have used the fact that in general \( f_\rho(x) = -f_\rho(-x) \), which is valid after subtracting the disconnected contributions. To obtain the PDFs \( f(x) \), the continuous Fourier transformation of \( D(z) \) will be performed. For this purpose, we interpolating the discrete results, as shown by the lines in Fig. 2.

The results for the PDFs are given in Fig. 3. We show \( f_\rho(x) \) from both the quantum computations (in open markers) and the numerical solutions to the NJL model (in solid lines). A perfect agreement between these two distinct approaches is observed. In Fig. 3 the error bars/bands illustrate the estimated uncertainties due to different interpolations in \( D(z) \). The non-vanishing but suppressed contributions in the non-physical region \( x > 1 \) are partly due to the finite volume effect, which is also commonly seen in lattice calculations, see for instance \(^3\). It is expected to be further suppressed when more lattice sites and hence larger volume is used. Here we note that the renormalization for the NJL model is not yet considered in this initial exploration, whose focus is the practicability of a generic quantum algorithm for PDF evaluations. A discussion of the renormalization procedure for the NJL model and QCD will be left for future publications. Nevertheless, from Fig. 3 we observe the expected peak around \( x = 0.5 \) and qualitative agreements between the calculated \( f_\rho(x) \) and the pion PDFs in two-dimensional QCD \(^4\).

![Fig. 3. The PDFs from quantum computing within the NJL model. The error bars/bands arise from the estimated uncertainty due to different interpolation methods.](image)

**Summary.** In this work, we presented the first direct simulation of the hadron partonic structure on a quantum computer. To realize such a calculation, we proposed a systematic quantum algorithm for preparing hadronic states using the quantum-number-resolving VQE and we designed the quantum circuits to perform the real-time evolution of the light-like correlation function. As a proof-of-concept, we demonstrate the viability of the quantum algorithm by calculating the PDFs in the 1+1 dimensional Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model. Our simulation using only 18 qubits agrees with the exact numerical solutions to the discretized NJL model. Our results manifest the quantum advantages over the classical Euclidean lattice methodology in resolving the intrinsic difficulties of simulating the real-time dynamics. Our exploratory study suggests the possible future capability of studying the realistic hadron structures on current and/or near-term quantum computers with more than 50 qubits. Last, we point out that the proposed quantum computing framework for preparing the hadronic states and measuring the dynamical correlation function is generally applicable. Its extension to many applications in high energy particle and nuclear physics is expected, such as the calculation of a scattering process and parton shower \(^5\), the proton spin configurations, the 3D structure of a nucleon, as well as the fundamental jet transport property \(^6\) and the associated dynamics \(^7\) of Quark Gluon Plasma.
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