Maximal momentum GUP leads to Stelle gravity
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Abstract

Quantum theories of gravity predict interesting phenomenological features such as a minimum measurable length and maximum momentum. We use the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP), which is an extension of the standard Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle motivated by Quantum Gravity, to model the above features. In particular, we use a GUP with modelling maximum momentum to establish a correspondence between the GUP-modified dynamics of a massless spin-2 field and Stelle gravity. In other words, Stelle gravity can be regarded as the classical manifestation of a maximum momentum and the related GUP. We explore the applications of Stelle gravity to cosmology and specifically show that Stelle gravity applied to a homogeneous and isotropic background leads to inflation with an exit. Using the above, we obtain strong bounds on the GUP parameter from CMB observations. Unlike previous works, which fixed only upper bounds for GUP parameters, we obtain both lower and upper bounds on the GUP parameter.
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A common feature found in most theories of Quantum Gravity (QG) is the existence of a minimum measurable length and/or a maximum measurable momentum of particles. This gives rise to a modification of the standard Heisenberg algebra and leads to the so-called Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP)[1–43]. A covariant version of GUP was proposed recently which admits a frame independent/Lorentz invariant minimum length or maximum momentum scale [44–46].

Since an additional scale in the Universe is a consequence of QG, one question naturally arises: what are its observational consequences? Much effort is focused on indirect signatures in high-energy particle collisions or astrophysics [47, 48]. To make testable predictions in the strong gravity regimes, such as in the early Universe and in the proximity of blackholes, one needs to look at the GUP modifications to General Relativity (GR). This leads to the following related questions: What kind of corrections will GUP introduce to GR, will the resulting effective field theory preserve general covariance, and what are its testable predictions?

In this work, we use a GUP model with maximum momentum to establish a correspondence between the GUP modified dynamics of a massless spin-2 field and a class of Stelle gravity [49, 50]. Thus, we show that Stelle gravity can be regarded as the classical manifestation of the momentum cutoff at the QG scale with no malicious ghosts [51]. We then apply the QG induced Stelle type theory to the early Universe and obtain strong bounds on QG parameters. Similar estimations have been done in a host of low-energy laboratory-based experiments and phenomena [47, 48]. To our knowledge, this is the first time such a result has been obtained in a cosmological context, and it yields one of the most stringent allowed parameter ranges.

We use Feynman’s approach to gravitation to obtain an effective GUP corrected gravity theory as it derives the gravity equations of motion based on the underlying quantum aspects of gravity [52]. More specifically, Feynman derived Einstein’s general theory of relativity as the inevitable result of the demand for a self-consistent theory of a massless spin-2 field (the graviton) coupled to the energy-momentum tensor of matter. One of the advantages of this approach is the intimate and fundamental connection between gauge invariance and the principle of equivalence [52]. We first study the Relativistic GUP (RGUP) effects on a massless spin-2 field in the Minkowski background. We obtain the RGUP corrected gauge-invariant gravity action by demanding that the effective theory of gravity containing GUP
correction be gauge-invariant. Interestingly, this minimal RGUP corrected modified gravity theory belongs to the class of \textit{Stelle gravity theory} [49, 50].

Our starting point is the covariant GUP which was recently introduced by Todorinov et al. [45]:

\[
[x^\mu, p^\nu] = i\hbar \eta^{\mu\nu}(1 - \gamma p^\rho p_\rho) - 2i\hbar \gamma p^\mu p^\nu,
\]

where \( \gamma = \gamma_0/(M_{Pl}c)^2 \) is the Lorentz invariant scale. The reader can easily see that the physical position \((x^\mu)\) and momentum operators \((p^\nu)\) are not canonically conjugate. So we introduce two new vectors, \(x_0^\mu\) and \(p_0^\nu\) which are canonically conjugate:

\[
p_0^\mu = -\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0^\mu}, \quad [x_0^\mu, p_0^\nu] = i\hbar \eta^{\mu\nu},
\]

where we choose the physical position \(x^\mu\) to coincide with the auxiliary \((x_0^\mu)\) one. Thus, to linear order in \(\gamma\), the physical momentum can be written as [45]:

\[
p^\mu = \frac{p_0^\mu}{(1 + \gamma p_0^\rho p_0^\rho)} \approx p_0^\mu (1 - \gamma p_0^\rho p_0^\rho).
\]

For the chosen representation of the physical position \((x^\mu)\) and momentum \((p^\mu)\), the dispersion relation and the irreducible representations of the modified Poincaré group are preserved and correspond to maximum momentum RGUP [45]. Since the dispersion relations are preserved, substituting Eq.(3) into \(p_\mu p^\mu = -m^2\), leads to the following modified Klein-Gordon equation [46]:

\[
-\Box \phi + 2\gamma \Box^2 \phi + m^2 \phi = 0,
\]

where we have set \(\hbar = c = 1\). The Ostrogradsky method [53] leads to the following modified Klein-Gordon Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{GUP}}^{\text{KG}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{KG}} + \gamma \partial^\nu \phi \Box (\partial_\nu \phi),
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}_{\text{KG}}\) denotes the unmodified Klein-Gordon Lagrangian. It was shown that for energies below the Planck energy, effective action Eq.(5) does not have Ostrogradsky ghosts [45].

In the irreducible representations of the modified Poincaré group, there is a spin-2 particle consistent with equations of motion like in Eq. (4). We use this fact and apply Feynman’s approach to gravity [52] to the spin-2 field and arrive at the RGUP corrected gravity action.

The procedure begins by obtaining the RGUP corrections to the massless spin-2 fields in the flat background. Feynman’s approach allows us to obtain the quantum field theory
describing massless spin-2 \((h_{\mu\nu})\) quanta (gravitons) coupled to matter in the Minkowski background, using two assumptions — Lorenz invariance and the presence of only two helicity states for the massless spin-2 graviton [52]. Thus, the Lagrangian of the massless spin-2 field that is linearly coupled to a conserved energy-momentum tensor is given by:

\[
\mathcal{L}_h = a \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\sigma h_{\mu\nu} + b \partial_\mu h_{\nu\rho} \partial_\sigma h_{\sigma\nu} + c \partial_\nu h_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\sigma} + d \partial_\mu h_{\nu\nu} \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\sigma} + \lambda h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu},
\]

where \(\lambda\) is the coupling constant and the constants \(a, b, c, d\) are determined by demanding the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor \(\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0\). We demand that the theory is gauge-invariant and that the field equation satisfied by \(h_{\mu\nu}\) be compatible with the matter equations of motion. In that case, higher-order non-linear corrections must be added to the action in Eq.(6) leading to Einstein’s equations. Additionally, we assume that the RGUP corrections are also gauge-invariant and that the field equation satisfied by \(h_{\mu\nu}\) is compatible with the matter equations of motion. As we show, these two conditions uniquely lead to RGUP modifications to GR.

Equations of motion of the massless spin-2 field corresponding to the action (6) are:

\[
G_{\mu\nu}^{(L)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial^\sigma (\partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\mu h_{\nu\sigma}) - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box (\partial_\sigma (\partial_\nu h_{\sigma\rho}) - \partial_\rho h_{\sigma\rho}) \right] = -\frac{\lambda}{2} T_{\mu\nu},
\]

where \(G_{\mu\nu}^{(L)}\) is the linearized Einstein tensor. Following Eq. (3), substituting \(\partial_\mu \to \partial_\mu (1 - \gamma \Box)\), the RGUP modified equations of motion for the massless spin-2 field in the Minkowski background become:

\[
G_{\mu\nu}^{(L)} + \gamma \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} T_{\mu\nu},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \Box^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \Box (\partial^\sigma (\partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} - 2 \partial_\delta h_{\sigma\nu} \Box (\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu}))
\]

\[
+ \Box (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\sigma\sigma}) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box (\partial_\sigma (\partial_\rho h^{\sigma\rho}) - \eta_{\mu\nu} \Box^2 h_{\sigma\sigma}.
\]

The LHS of Eq. (8) can be derived from the following Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{GUP}} = \mathcal{L}_h - \gamma [\partial^\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \Box (\partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu}) - 2 \partial^\sigma h_{\sigma\nu} \Box (\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu})
\]

\[
+ 2 \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\sigma} \Box (\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu}) - \partial^\mu h_{\sigma\sigma} \Box (\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu})] .
\]

4
The next step is to impose gauge-invariance in the above action (10). We demand that the equations of motion remain invariant under the transformation: $h'_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + X_{\mu,\nu}^{(S)}$, where $X_{\mu}$ is an arbitrary vector field, and the superscript $(S)$ denotes the operation of symmetrization.

Thus, the solutions to Eq. (8) are not unique and we need to pick a particular solution from the large list of possibilities. We use the Lorenz gauge condition, i.e., $\overline{h_{\mu\nu}} = 0$, where, $\overline{X_{\mu\nu}} = X_{\mu,\nu}^{(S)} - \eta_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho}^{\rho}/2$, the overbar denotes the combined operations of symmetrization and trace reversing. In this gauge, the source-free equation (8) reduces to:

$$\Box h_{\mu\nu} - 2\gamma \Box^2 h_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$ (11)

We want to draw attention to the following points for this first key result: First, in the limit of $\gamma \to 0$, the above expression leads to the well-known wave-equation in linearized gravity that defines gravitational radiation. In this limit, the spin-2 graviton has only two helicity states [52]. Second, the above RGUP modified wave-equation leads to a classical theory with more than two helicity states. To see this, substituting the plane-wave ansatz $h_{\mu\nu}(x) = e_{\mu\nu}e^{ik.x}$ in Eq. (11), leads to: $k^2(1 + 2\gamma k^2) = 0$, and $e_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu} = 0$. This leads to two class of solutions — $k^2 = 0$ or $k^2 = -1/(2\gamma)$. This implies that besides the massless spin-2 mode (corresponding to $k^2 = 0$), there exists a massive spin-2 mode with mass $\sqrt{1/(2\gamma)}$.

For the massless graviton mode, the condition $e_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu} = 0$ and gauge-transformation $e_{\mu\nu} \to e_{\mu\nu} + k_{\mu}a_{\nu} + k_{\nu}a_{\mu}$ ($a_{\mu}$ is arbitrary), reduces the number of independent components of $e_{\mu\nu}$ from 10 to 2. For the massive spin-2 mode (corresponding to $k^2 = -1/(2\gamma)$), $e_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu} = 0$ holds, while, the gauge-transformation is not satisfied. Hence, the RGUP corrected linearized equations (11) contain additional degrees of freedom. This also implies that the RGUP parameter $\gamma > 0$ is positive. As we will show later, this plays an important role in making the theory well defined.

We obtain the RGUP corrections beyond the linear regime by using a more direct approach. As we noted above, the RGUP corrected spin-2 Eq. (11) contains fourth-order derivative terms. Thus, the classical gravity action must contain higher-order Riemann, Ricci tensor, and Ricci scalar terms. We consider the following minimal action that contains second-order Ricci scalar and tensor terms, i.e.,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \alpha R_{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + \beta R^2 \right],$$ (12)

where $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$. The above action is referred to as Stelle gravity action [49]. Stelle gravity is a two-parameter theory; $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are undetermined and uncorrelated. Using linear analysis
of the isotropic solutions of the above action, Stelle showed that in addition to the usual \(1/r\) effective potential, the above action also contains two Yukawa solutions with Yukawa masses that are inversely proportional to \(\sqrt{\alpha}\) and \(\sqrt{3\beta - \alpha}\) [49]. Avoiding tachyonic instabilities leads to the following conditions on the parameters:

\[
\alpha \geq 0 \text{ and } 3\beta \geq \alpha \implies \beta \geq 0.
\]

(13)

The variation of action (12) w.r.t metric leads to [54]:

\[
\frac{1}{\kappa^2} G_{\mu\nu} + 2(\alpha - 2\beta)\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R - 2\alpha \Box R_{\mu\nu} - (\alpha - 4\beta) g_{\mu\nu} \Box R - 4\alpha R^\sigma R_{\mu\nu\sigma} + 4\beta RR_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}(\alpha R^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma} - \beta R^2) = T_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(14)

Linearizing the Stelle equation about the Minkowski background leads to the following equation:

\[
G^{(L)}_{\mu\nu} - 2\kappa^2(\alpha - 2\beta) \left[ \Box (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu) h_\lambda^\lambda - (\partial^\mu \partial_\nu \partial^\rho \partial_\lambda) h_\rho^\lambda \right. \\
- \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Box^2 h_\lambda^\lambda - \Box (\partial_\lambda \partial_\rho) h_{\lambda\rho}) + \alpha \kappa^2 \left[ \Box^2 h_{\mu\nu} + \Box (\partial_\rho \partial_\sigma) h_{\lambda\rho} \right. \\
- \Box (\partial_\lambda \partial_\sigma) h_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Box^2 h_\lambda^\lambda - \Box (\partial_\lambda \partial_\rho) h_{\lambda\rho}) = 0.
\]

(15)

It is interesting to note that the above Eq. (15) is similar to the RGUP modified spin-2 field in Minkowski background (8). In particular, setting \(\alpha = 2\beta\) in Eq.(15) leads to:

\[
G_{\mu\nu} + \alpha \kappa^2 \left[ \Box^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \Box (\partial_\sigma \partial_\nu) h_{\mu\rho} - \Box (\partial_\sigma \partial_\mu) h_{\nu\rho} \right. \\
+ \Box (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu) h_{\sigma\rho} + \eta_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\sigma \partial_\rho) h_{\sigma\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Box^2 h_{\sigma\rho}) = 0.
\]

(16)

The above equation is identical to (8) when

\[
\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\kappa^2} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{\gamma}{2\kappa^2}.
\]

(17)

This is the second key result of this work regarding which we want to stress the following points: First, we have established a correspondence between Stelle gravity and RGUP corrected massless spin-2 fields. The two unknown parameters of Stelle gravity are related to \(\gamma\) (GUP parameter which has dimensions of \([L]^2\)). Second, the constraints (17) satisfy the inequalities (13). This implies that the class of Stelle gravity theories with \(\alpha = 2\beta\) is a classical realization of the QG phenomenon of maximal momentum. Interestingly, for this class of Stelle theories, the masses of the two additional Yukawa Bosons — \(1/\sqrt{2\alpha \kappa^2}\) and
$1/\sqrt{4(3\beta - \alpha)\kappa^2}$ — coincide ($m_{\text{Yukawa}} = 1/\sqrt{2\gamma}$). This implies that the RGUP corrections to GR lead to Stelle gravity that possesses an inherent degeneracy. Lastly, Stelle gravity action (12) contains higher derivative terms which may lead to ghosts. However, in Ref. [51], the authors showed that Stelle gravity with $\alpha > 0$ does not have malicious ghosts. Hence, ghosts do not pose problems for RGUP-modified gravity.

So far, we have obtained a minimal gravity model that contains the RGUP corrections in the field theory limit. We showed that this minimal gravity model, with one unknown parameter ($\gamma$), is a specific form of Stelle gravity theory. In the rest of this work, we will try to constrain the parameter by looking at the consequences in the early Universe.

Although cosmological inflation is highly successful in explaining CMB observations, there is no unique mechanism that leads to inflation. Despite the simplicity of the inflationary paradigm, obtaining a canonical scalar field-driven inflationary model within GR requires highly fine-tuned potential [55]. While $f(R)$ models are the simplest extensions of GR, they can lead to a period of accelerating expansion early in the Universe’s history [56]. Since $f(R)$ models form a subclass of Stelle gravity [$\alpha = 0$ in Eq. (12)], one naturally wonders whether Stelle Gravity (and RGUP modified gravity in particular) will lead to similar dynamics. To see that this is indeed the case, we substitute the flat space FRW metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2).$$

in Eq. (14). For $\alpha = 2\beta = \gamma/\kappa^2$, we get:

$$H^2 - 2\gamma \left( \dot{H}^2 - 2H \dot{H} - 6H^2 \ddot{H} \right) = 0,$$

$$3H^2 + 2\dot{H} + \gamma \left( 18H^2 \dot{H} + 9\dot{H}^2 + 12H \dddot{H} + 2\dddot{H} \right) = 0,$$

where $H \equiv H(t) = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble parameter. The above two equations are not independent and are related via the Bianchi identities. Specifically, adding Eq. (19) to thrice its derivative leads to Eq. (20). Thus, we only solve Eq. (19).

It is interesting to note the similarities to the time component of the EOM obtained from variation of the Starobinsky action [56]. (Details in Appendix A.) Therefore, we can conclude that RGUP modified gravity can be mapped to Starobinsky gravity in the sense that the qualitative dynamics predicted by both models are the same. Hence, RGUP modified gravity (and generic Stelle gravity theory for that matter) will lead to inflation with exit.
The non-linear nature of the above equation means that parameter estimation can only be carried out numerically and by using cosmological observations. Note that the small value of the RGUP parameter ($\gamma$) and the large values of the Hubble parameter $H(t)$ make it impractical to solve Eq. (19) numerically.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
$U$ & $s_0$ \\
\hline
$10^{-4}$ & $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ \\
$10^{-3}$ & $4.43 \times 10^{-3}$ \\
$10^{-2}$ & $4.08 \times 10^{-2}$ \\
$10^{-1}$ & $4.18 \times 10^{-1}$ \\
1 & 9.5 \\
$10^2$ & $1.18 \times 10^2$ \\
$10^3$ & $1.21 \times 10^3$ \\
$10^4$ & $1.21 \times 10^4$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The table on the left shows the exit time $s_0$ for different values of $U$. The graph on the right shows the linear correlation between the logarithms of $(U, s_0)$ supporting the $s_0 \sim U$ conjecture.}
\end{table}

In order to make Eq. (19) more manageable, we define the rescaled cosmic time $s$ as $s = t/\sqrt{\gamma}$. We also define $b(s) = \ln(H(s)/H_{\text{Inf}})$ and $U = \sqrt{\gamma} H_{\text{Inf}}$, where $H(s)$ is the Hubble parameter w.r.t the dimensionless time $s$ and $H_{\text{Inf}}$ is the inflationary energy scale. Physically, $U$ is the ratio of inflationary and RGUP energy scales. The slow-roll parameter ($\epsilon$) is $\epsilon = (b'(s)e^{-b(s)})/U$. In terms of these dimensionless variables, Eq. (19) becomes:

$$1 + 2b^2(s) + d^2 s + 12 U e^{b(s)} \dot{b}(s) = 0.$$ (21)

For a range of $U$, we see that inflation ($\epsilon \leq 1$) occurs, and the exit occurs (See Appendix A). The table in Fig. 1 lists the exit time $(t_0, s_0)$ (when $\epsilon = 1$) as a function of $U$. From Fig. 1 we infer that $s_0 \sim U$ for all values of $U$ considered. Assuming that such a relation holds generally, we now obtain bounds on the RGUP parameter $\gamma$.

To go about this, we define the following dimensionless parameters: $\gamma_0 = \gamma/l_{Pl}^2$, $\alpha = t_0/l_{Pl}$,
where $l_{Pl}$ is the Planck length. Thus, the exit time ($s_0$), can be rewritten as:

$$s_0 = \frac{\alpha l_{Pl}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Using the fact that $s_0 \propto U$, the above expression leads to:

$$\gamma_0 \sim \frac{\alpha}{H_{\text{Inf}} l_{Pl}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

$\gamma_0$ can now be constrained once we know $\alpha$ and $H_{\text{Inf}}$. PLANCK 7-year data gives an upper bound on the inflationary energy scale to be $10^{-4} M_{Pl}$ \[57\]. We choose $H_{\text{Inf}} = 10^{-5} M_{Pl}$ (GUT energy scale). Generic inflationary models require $\alpha$ in the range $10^6 - 10^{12}$ \[58\]. Substituting these in Eq. (23), we get $10^{10} \leq \gamma_0 \leq 10^{16}$.

Since the Physics near inflationary energy scales is not well understood, we can obtain a refined estimate by noting that the energy scale of RGUP effects must be above 10 $TeV$ (LHC scale). This correspond to $\gamma_0 = 10^{15}$. Thus, we obtain the following bound on $\gamma$:

$$10^{10} \leq \gamma_0 \leq 10^{15} \implies 10^{-28} GeV^{-2} \leq \gamma \leq 10^{-23} GeV^{-2}. \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

These are among the strictest bounds obtained for RGUP parameters. Interestingly, in addition to providing an upper bound (as is usually done for RGUP parameters), we have also obtained a lower bound on the RGUP parameter.

In conclusion, we have explicitly shown that the relativistic extension of GUP, specifically the one describing a maximal momentum, leads to a specific form of Stelle gravity. It is important to note that, although it contains higher derivatives, the action we derived does not suffer from malicious ghosts or instabilities of the ground state \[51\]. In addition, the resulting theory is renormalizable. To our knowledge, this is the first time such a parallel has been drawn. Furthermore, for FRW background, we showed that the Stelle gravity action could be mapped to the Starobinsky inflationary model. Hence, the RGUP action leads to inflation with exit. Using the correlation between the exit times $s_0$, the invariant scale $\gamma = \gamma_0/(M_{Pl}c)^2$, and the energy scale of inflation $H_{\text{Inf}}$, we obtained one of the tightest bounds on the invariant scale $\gamma$.

RGUP modified gravity is degenerate — the masses of the two additional gauge Bosons are equal. The origins and consequences of this degeneracy are unclear and are currently under investigation. In the future, we intend to more accurately model the observables of inflation, namely, the spectral tilt $n_s$ and the tensor-scalar ratio $r$ in hopes of further
improving the bounds on $\gamma$. In addition, we can use Stelle gravity in the derivation of QG effects on gravitational wave detection. With the launch of LISA approaching, this direction will be of particular interest in the future.
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**APPENDIX A**

The equations of motion for generic Stelle gravity (i.e., with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ unconstrained) are

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} G_{\mu\nu} + 2(\alpha - 2\beta) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R - 2\alpha \Box R_{\mu\nu} - (\alpha - 4\beta) g_{\mu\nu} \Box R - 4\alpha R^\rho_\sigma R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\sigma} + 4\beta RR_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}(\alpha R^{\rho_\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma} - \beta R^2) = T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (25)$$

Substituting the FRW metric (18) into these equations, we get:

$$H^2 - (12\beta - 4\alpha)\kappa^2 \left( \dot{H}^2 - 2H \ddot{H} - 6H^2 \dot{H} \right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$3\dot{H}^2 + 2\dot{H} + [6\beta - 2\alpha] \kappa^2 \left[ 18\dot{H}^2 \ddot{H} + 9\dot{H}^2 + 12H \dot{H} + 2\dddot{H} \right] = 0 \quad (28)$$

Eqs. (27) and (28) above represent a family of differential equations, which describe the dynamics of Stelle theory of gravity for FRW metric. Within the conditions set for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Eq. (13), two members of this family of differential equations and their solutions will differ only by a numerical constant. Therefore, the *qualitative dynamics predicted by a Stelle action is independent of the chosen parameter values*. As a result, we can conclude that the qualitative dynamics of *any* Stelle theory can be studied by observing the dynamics of a particular case. The specific case considered is $\alpha = 0$. Defining $M > 0$ to be $1/\sqrt{12\beta\kappa^2}$, we get the corresponding Stelle action as

$$S_{\alpha=0} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left( R + \frac{1}{6M^2} R^2 \right) d^4x, \quad (29)$$

which is the well-known Starobinsky action [56]. The Starobinsky action leads to inflation with exit. From the preceding discussions, it is clear that any other Stelle theory (and GUP...
FIG. 2. Plot of the $\epsilon$ vs $s$ for different values of $U$. We see that for a range of $U$, the model leads to inflation with exit.

modified gravity in particular) will also lead to inflation with exit. To verify the analytic proof in the previous paragraph, we simulate Eq. (21) and plot the slow-roll parameter $\epsilon$ as a function of the rescaled cosmic time $s_0$ for various $U$ values. From the plots of Figure 2, it is clear that $\epsilon$ increases monotonically from 0 and crosses 1 at finite times for all values of $U$. Thus, inflation with an exit is observed for all values of $U$ considered for a generic Stelle gravity.
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[54] The equations in Ref. [49] use the opposite convention for the Riemann tensor followed as opposed to the convention used in this work. We follow the Riemann tensor definition in Ref. [59]. Consequently, one will find signature differences for a few terms when comparing with the corresponding equation in Ref. [49].


