Quasiballistic electron transport in cryogenic SiGe HBTs studied using an exact, semi-analytic solution to the Boltzmann equation
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Abstract

Silicon-germanium heterojunction bipolar transistors (HBTs) are of interest as low-noise microwave amplifiers due to their competitive noise performance and low cost relative to III-V devices. The fundamental noise performance limits of HBTs are thus of interest, and several studies report that quasiballistic electron transport across the base is a mechanism leading to cryogenic non-ideal IV characteristics that affects these limits. However, this conclusion has not been rigorously tested against theoretical predictions because prior studies modeled electron transport with empirical approaches or approximate solutions of the Boltzmann equation. Here, we study non-diffusive transport in narrow-base SiGe HBTs using an exact, semi-analytic solution of the Boltzmann equation based on an asymptotic expansion approach. We find that the computed transport characteristics are inconsistent with experiment, implying that quasiballistic electron transport is unlikely to be the origin of cryogenic non-ideal IV characteristics. Our work helps to identify the mechanisms governing the lower limits of the microwave noise figure of cryogenic HBT amplifiers.
I. INTRODUCTION

Silicon-germanium heterojunction bipolar transistors (HBTs) are widely used in microwave applications such as radar and communication systems [1, 2] and show potential in space science [3] and imaging applications [4–6] owing to their competitive microwave performance combined with ease of integration with the CMOS process, high yield, and low cost relative to III-V technologies [7, 8]. SiGe HBTs are now approaching the THz domain due to fabrication process advancements that enable the continued scaling of key parameters such as base width and resistance [2, 9]. Moreover, at cryogenic temperatures they have demonstrated DC gains exceeding 45 dB, noise temperatures $\lesssim 5$ K at 3 GHz with bandwidths of 2-3 GHz [10, 11], lower $1/f$ noise relative to III-V devices [12], and power consumption in the hundreds of microwatts [13]. These competitive figures of merit make SiGe HBTs of interest for low-noise amplifiers and cryo-CMOS circuits [14, 15] in radio astronomy and quantum computing applications [13, 16] and in oscillators with low phase noise [12, 17, 18].

Following the first reports of SiGe HBTs grown by molecular beam epitaxy [19, 20], studies of the cryogenic performance of HBTs were performed in the early 1990s [19, 21]. Subsequent work focused on understanding and optimizing base doping and Ge grading profiles for cryogenic performance [22]. While these works demonstrated the enhanced collector current and transconductance expected at cryogenic temperatures, later studies reported marked deviations from the DC current-voltage characteristics predicted from drift-diffusion theory [23, 24]. Specifically, it was observed that below $\sim 77$ K the collector ($I_C$) and base current ($I_B$) exceeded the predicted values at a given temperature and bias and were independent of temperature, with the transconductance $g_m$ saturating instead of increasing as $T^{-1}$. These non-ideal IV characteristics limit the gain, cutoff frequency, and ultimately the microwave noise figure.

The origin of this behavior has been attributed to several mechanisms, including trap-assisted tunneling at base-emitter voltage ($V_{BE}$) well below the built-in potential; [23] and non-equilibrium carrier transport, in which electrons quasiballistically traverse the base, at biases comparable to the built-in potential [24]. The latter effect has been phenomenologically described using an elevated electron temperature that is taken as the effective temperature for thermionic emission at the base-emitter junction [10, 11, 24]. As base widths were
scaled further down to $\sim 20$ nm in recent years, direct tunneling of electrons was reported to contribute to the collector current at biases approaching the built-in potential [25–27].

There are several discrepancies with these explanations, however. First, both base and collector currents exhibit non-idealities at cryogenic temperatures [11, 25], and holes are unlikely to transport ballistically or tunnel across the emitter region. Further, at base doping levels above $\sim 3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ common in modern devices, [25, 27] ionized impurity scattering is expected to dominate. Therefore, quasiballistic transport is not expected to be markedly more pronounced at cryogenic temperatures relative to room temperature as evidenced by the weak temperature dependence of the minority carrier mobility [11, 28–30]. Finally, while electrons may be heated as they traverse the base by the built-in field, the collector current and transconductance depend on the electron temperature at the base-emitter junction prior to transport across the base and thus cannot be affected by the built-in field.

A quantitative, non-empirical description of the quasiballistic transport process would allow a more thorough test of whether quasiballistic transport is a possible origin of the cryogenic DC non-idealities. Steady particle transport across a slab of thickness comparable to the MFPs of the particles is described by the Boltzmann equation [31, 32]. The slab problem has been extensively investigated for radiative [32–34], neutron [35], phonon [32, 36], and electron transport [37–40] as well as for rarefied gases [41–43]. Although analytical solutions under the 'one-speed' or 'gray' approximations are possible, [34, 37] solutions considering energy-dependent relaxation times are less tractable analytically. Alternative numerical approaches are computationally expensive owing to the need to discretize both the spatial and reciprocal space coordinates [37, 38, 44]. Several works have reported asymptotic series expansion methods, based on the original theory by Grad [45], for rarefied gas dynamics [46] and phonon transport [47]. These methods could enable the efficient solution of the present problem of electronic transport in a narrow base, but they have yet to be adapted for electronic transport.

Here, we report a study of quasiballistic electron transport across a narrow base using an exact, semi-analytic asymptotic expansion approach to solve the Boltzmann equation. We show that quasiballistic transport leads to decreased collector current for a given base-emitter voltage and does not alter the transconductance. Both of these findings contradict experimental observations, indicating that quasiballistic transport is unlikely to be the origin of cryogenic non-idealities in SiGe HBTs. Instead, we hypothesize that the origin of these
non-idealities could involve defect-mediated leakage currents which are readily observed in heavily doped p-n junctions. Our work advances the understanding of cryogenic transport processes in HBTs that is required to improve their microwave noise performance.

II. THEORY

We begin by describing the semi-analytical asymptotic approach used to solve the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation describing electron transport across the base. Focusing on the DC characteristics, we assume steady transport across a base of width $L$ with prescribed chemical potential boundary conditions. Then, the Boltzmann equation for the absolute electronic distribution function $f_\lambda(x)$ is given by:

$$\frac{\partial f_\lambda}{\partial \bar{x}} = \frac{L}{v_{x\lambda}} \sum_{\lambda'} C_{\lambda\lambda'} f_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\lambda'} M_{\lambda\lambda'} f_{\lambda'}$$

(1)

where $C_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is the collision matrix, $v_{x\lambda}$ is the group velocity for electronic state $\lambda$, $M_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is a dimensionless matrix defined as above, $x$ is the spatial coordinate, $\bar{x} \equiv x/L$, and $f_\lambda$ is the desired distribution function normalized so that $V^{-1} \sum_{\lambda} f_\lambda(x) = n(x)$, where $V$ is the sample volume and $n(x)$ is the carrier density. Observables such as carrier density and electric current are computed by the appropriate Brillouin zone sum [32].

In this work, we will solve this equation using an exact, semi-analytic asymptotic expansion method originally reported for rarefied gases [46] and recently adapted for phonons [47]. The theory for phonons is given in detail in Ref. [47] for an isotropic crystal under the relaxation time approximation. Here, we generalize this theory to incorporate the full electron collision matrix and arbitrary crystals and extend its applicability beyond linear deviations from a reference distribution.

In the asymptotic expansion approach, $f_\lambda$ and associated observables are written as series expansions with the average Knudsen number $\epsilon \equiv \langle \Lambda \rangle / L$ as the expansion parameter, where $\langle \Lambda \rangle \equiv \sum_{\lambda_k} v_{x\lambda} \tau_{\lambda} f_{\lambda eq}^f / n_{eq}$. Here $f_{\lambda eq}^f$ and $n_{eq}$ are the reference equilibrium distribution function and electron density at zero bias voltage, and $\tau_{\lambda}$ is the wave vector dependent relaxation time. As an example, $f_\lambda$ is written as:

$$f_\lambda = \sum_{l} \epsilon^l f_{\lambda,l}$$

(2)
where $f_{\lambda,l}$ is the distribution function for order $l$. Substituting this expansion into Eq. 1, we get

$$
\sum_l \epsilon^l \frac{\partial f_{\lambda,l}}{\partial x} = \sum_l \epsilon^l \sum_{\lambda'} M_{\lambda\lambda'} f_{\lambda',l}
$$

(3)

Since $M_{\lambda\lambda'}$ scales with $\epsilon^{-1}$, the left and right side of Eq. 3 are offset by one order of $\epsilon$.

Equating terms of the lowest order $l = 0$ we find that the zeroth-order solution satisfies

$$
\sum_{\lambda'} M_{\lambda\lambda'} f_{\lambda',0}(x) = 0 = g_0(x) \sum_{\lambda'} M_{\lambda\lambda'} e_{0,\lambda'}
$$

(4)

where we have split the solution into a pure $x-$dependent term $g_0(x)$ and wave vector dependent term $e_{0,\lambda}$, i.e. $f_{\lambda,0}(x) = e_{0,\lambda} g_0(x)$. We note here that the null eigenvector $e_{0,\lambda}$ can be any Boltzmann distribution function, but for this work we choose $e_{0,\lambda} = f_0^{eq}$ corresponding to the Boltzmann distribution for the case where no bias is applied.

We now consider the first-order terms. From Eq. 3, the solution can be written using the zeroth-order solution:

$$
f_{\lambda,1}(x) = \sum_{\lambda'} \epsilon^{-1} M_{\lambda\lambda'}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial f_{\lambda',0}}{\partial x} \right) + g_1(x) e_{0,\lambda}
$$

(5)

This solution is also determined only up to an $x-$dependent multiple of the null eigenvector, denoted $g_1(x)$, which will be obtained after deriving the governing equation for $x$-dependence. The higher-order solutions proceed similarly.

The $x$-dependence of the zeroth-order solution can be derived by enforcing current continuity for the electric current density $J_x$ in the $x$-direction for a 1D steady slab:

$$
\frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} = 0 = V^{-1} \sum_{\lambda} q v_{x\lambda} \sum_l \epsilon^l f_{\lambda,l}
$$

(6)

which must be satisfied at each order of the expansion. Substituting from Eq. 5 for the first-order term, we find that

$$
\sum_{\lambda'} v_{x\lambda'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \epsilon^{-1} M_{\lambda\lambda'}^{-1} \frac{\partial f_{\lambda',0}}{\partial x} + g_1(x) e_{0,\lambda} \right) = 0
$$

(7)

The second term in the parentheses vanishes since $v_{x\lambda}$ is odd in $\lambda$ whereas $e_{0,\lambda}$ is even. Therefore, we find
\[ \frac{\partial^2 f_{\lambda,0}(x)}{\partial x^2} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Applying \( V^{-1} \sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda,0}(x) = n_0(x) \) to the above equation, we see that the zeroth-order electron density \( n_0(x) \) satisfies the diffusion equation. Similarly, it can be shown that higher-order terms of \( f_{\lambda,l}(x) \) also satisfy the diffusion equation (see Appendix A of Ref. [47]).

To solve these equations, the boundary conditions at each order must be specified. The boundary conditions at zeroth order are simply the prescribed electron density at the edges of the slab, \( n_L \) and \( n_R \). Thus, the solution at zeroth order is just the diffusion equation solution. Note that the boundary conditions of the original problem are completely satisfied at zeroth order.

We now discuss the boundary conditions at higher orders. Because the zeroth order solution completely satisfies the boundary conditions of the original problem, to enforce the boundary conditions at higher orders we must introduce a boundary correction term \( \Phi_{\lambda}(x) \) that satisfies the Boltzmann equation in the boundary region. This boundary correction must exactly cancel the contribution from \( f_{\lambda}(x) \) at the boundaries and vanish in the bulk.

The Boltzmann equation for the boundary solution at first-order is:

\[ \frac{\partial \Phi_{\lambda,1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \sum_{\lambda'} \epsilon M_{\lambda\lambda'} \Phi_{\lambda',1}(\eta) \]

where we introduce the stretched boundary-region coordinate \( \eta \equiv x/\epsilon \). Focusing on the left boundary at \( x = 0 \), the condition enforced on this first-order boundary term is that it must cancel the first-order bulk term: \( \Phi_{\lambda,1}|_0 = -f_{\lambda,1}|_0 \). Using Eq. 5, the condition for the left boundary is:

\[ \Phi_{\lambda,1}|_0 = -c_1 e_{0,\lambda} \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} - \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon^{-1} M_{\lambda\lambda'}^{-1} \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} e_{0,\lambda'} \]

where, as in Ref. [47], we anticipate the boundary term to scale as the gradient of the previous order solution:

\[ g_1|_{x=0} = -c_1 \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} \]

An analogous boundary condition applies to the right boundary. The unknown constant \( c_1 \) captures the jump-type boundary condition for non-diffusive corrections at first order.
Solving for \( c_1 \) involves obtaining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \( M \) and is described in detail in Ref. [47]. In brief, the general solution to Eq. 9 can be written as a linear combination of the eigenvectors corresponding to the negative eigenvalues of \( M \):

\[
\Phi_{\lambda,1} = \sum_i A_i h_{\lambda,i} e^{\rho_i \eta} \tag{12}
\]

where \( A_i \) are unknown coefficients, and \( \rho_i \) and \( h_{\lambda,i} \) are the negative eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors, respectively. Only the negative eigenvalues are used so that the boundary solution tends to zero in the bulk. Then, Eq. 10 gives a linear system of equations for \( A_i \) and \( c_1 \). We note that in the formulation in Ref. [47], \( c_1 \) represents a deviation in a linearized quantity, such as temperature, relative to an equilibrium value. However, in the present formulation, \( c_1 \) multiplies the absolute distribution function rather than a deviation and is not restricted to linear deviations from that distribution.

After calculating \( c_1 \), the first-order solution is completely specified using the diffusion equation for \( g_1(x) \) and the boundary condition from Eq. 11. The analysis progresses similarly for higher-order solutions. For the specific slab problem here, it can be shown that the jump coefficients associated with second-order derivatives vanish as in Appendix C of Ref. [47]. Therefore, \( c_1 \) is the only required coefficient, allowing the asymptotic expansion to be summed over all higher-order terms. After summing \( f_\lambda \) over the Brillouin zone to obtain the carrier density, we obtain:

\[
n(x) = n_0 + (n_R - n_L)(1 - 2\tau) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^n(-2)^{n-1} c_1^n = n_0 + \frac{\epsilon c_1}{1 + 2\epsilon c_1} (n_R - n_L)(1 - 2\tau) \tag{13}
\]

where \( n_0(x) \) is the zeroth-order carrier density, and the higher-order terms correct for non-diffusive transport. Because the macroscopic constitutive relation between electric current density and number density gradient applies in the bulk, [47] the current density including the effect of quasiballistic transport is given by:

\[
J_{e,x} = -qD_{e, Si} \frac{\partial n(x)}{\partial x} \tag{14}
\]

Here, \( D_{e, Si} \) is the bulk diffusivity. These results have been extensively validated by comparison to Monte Carlo simulations in Ref. [47]. We also observe from Eq. 13 that the dependence of carrier density and thus electric current on base-emitter voltage \( V_{BE} \)
is only through the left boundary condition \( n_L \sim e^{qV_{BE}/kT} \), allowing the current-voltage characteristics for arbitrary \( V_{BE} \) to be obtained once \( c_1 \) is computed.

We briefly discuss the features of the present asymptotic approach compared to those reported previously for electronic transport. An early study of the slab electron transport problem employed a direct scattering matrix solution to the Boltzmann equation [38] that required discretization in wave vector and spatial coordinates and was thus numerically expensive. Approximate methods such as the McKelvey flux method [39, 48] and the Landauer approach [44, 49] were next applied for electron and phonon transport. However, while the McKelvey flux method is numerically less expensive than the full Boltzmann equation because the wave vector coordinates are averaged into forward and backward fluxes, information is lost in this process. The Landauer approach further simplifies the McKelvey flux method by only requiring the forward- and reverse-going boundary conditions, but as consequence cannot resolve carrier density across the slab.

The advantage of the present approach is that it provides the exact solution to the Boltzmann equation with the full collision matrix while requiring only discretization in wave vector space rather than in both real space and wave vector space. The resulting calculation is thus orders of magnitude faster than the purely numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation. Further, compared to Ref. [47] this work does not require linearization around an equilibrium distribution and can accommodate arbitrary crystals, rather than isotropic ones; and the full collision matrix, rather than the relaxation time approximation. Finally, with a standard numerical treatment, the current density would need to be recomputed when any variable is changed. With the present method, the expensive calculation for \( c_1 \) only needs to be performed once at each temperature because the average Knudsen number and \( V_{BE} \) are independent of \( c_1 \) and included directly in Eq. 13.

III. RESULTS

We apply this approach to study 1D steady-state electron transport in a narrow base HBT \((L \sim 40 \text{ nm})\) consisting of non-degenerately doped silicon \((N_A = 3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\)). The carrier density at the left and right boundaries is given as \( n_L = n_{L,\text{eq}} \exp (qV_{BE}/kT) \) and \( n_R = 0 \), respectively, where \( n_{L,\text{eq}} \) is the equilibrium minority carrier concentration. Owing to lack of precise knowledge of the electronic structure and scattering mechanisms in the
strained SiGe:C films used in devices, in this work we assume parabolic bands and employ the relaxation time approximation, with energy-dependent impurity and acoustic phonon scattering rates based on the forms given in Chapter 3 of Ref. [31]. Several works report that the temperature dependence of minority carrier mobility in p-doped SiGe does not obey the $\sim T^{1.5}$ scaling typical of impurity scattering at cryogenic temperatures [28–30]. Therefore, we extract coefficients and exponents for relaxation time $\tau = \mu_0 T^p E^s$ by fitting the computed mobility to minority carrier mobility data reported in Fig. 3 of Ref. [30] and combining the relaxation times for acoustic and impurity scattering using Matthiessen’s rule. The fitting coefficients and exponents for acoustic (impurity) scattering rates are $\mu_0 = 350 \text{ (700)} \text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, $p = -1.5 \text{ (0.25)}$ and $s = -0.5 \text{ (-0.5)}$, respectively. Our conclusions are robust to these assumptions. We solve for $c_1$ at the temperatures corresponding to Ref. [25], assuming an isotropic crystal using a 2200 $\times$ 2200 grid in energy and angular coordinate space. The collision matrix used in this work corresponds to Eq. B.5 of Ref. [47]. For example, at 300 K we find $c_1 = 0.7341$.
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FIG. 1: (a) Electron density $n(x)$ versus normalized distance $\bar{x}$ at 300 K and $V_{BE} = 0.8$ V at zeroth order (blue dash-dotted line), first order (orange dashed line) and infinite order (green solid line). (b) Normalized electric current density versus Knudsen number $\epsilon$ at 300 K (green dash-dotted line) and 36 K (blue solid line) derived from carrier concentration gradient. The current density is normalized to the diffusion current density obtained at zeroth order (dashed line). Quasiballistic transport predicts a comparable decrease in current relative to drift-diffusion at both room and cryogenic temperatures as Knudsen number increases.
Figure 1a shows the carrier density versus normalized distance at 300 K for \( L = 40 \) nm and \( V_{BE} = 0.8 \) V calculated at different orders of the expansion. The zeroth-order solution is by definition the drift-diffusion solution and serves as a reference against which to compare higher-order solutions. The higher-order non-diffusive corrections predict a reduced carrier concentration gradient across the base relative to the zeroth-order case. This feature occurs because at \( \epsilon = 0.38 \), transport is quasiballistic, with electron mean free paths being on the order of the base width. Under these conditions, the ballistic propagation of some electrons across the base lowers the carrier density at the left boundary and increases it at the right boundary, resulting in a shallower carrier density across the base. The solution at the first-order over-corrects for non-diffusive effects, but the infinite series accounts for higher-order terms and lies in between the zeroth and first-order solutions. This non-monotonicity occurs because the closed form solution switches signs at each order in Eq. 13.

To study how the electric current density is impacted by quasiballistic transport, we calculate the current density versus Knudsen number in Fig. 1b for two temperatures values reported in Ref. [25]. The electric current density from Eq. 14 is proportional to the carrier concentration gradient in Fig. 1a for a given voltage, temperature and Knudsen number. At 300 K, the current density is observed to decrease from the diffusive limit as \( \epsilon \to 1 \) (quasiballistic limit). As seen from Fig. 1a, quasiballistic corrections lower the concentration gradient, and the contribution of the correction terms is multiplied by Knudsen number, resulting in greater deviation with increasing Knudsen number. Therefore, a shallower concentration gradient and consequently a decreased current density is expected with increasing Knudsen number. At 36 K, the calculation for \( c_1 \) is repeated to give a value of 0.733. At this temperature, we see that the deviation from the diffusive limit is comparable to that at 300 K. The difference between the two curves is due to changes in bulk diffusivity at 36 K that are independent of \( c_1 \) and predict a slightly smaller current density than at 300 K. This calculation shows that even at cryogenic temperatures, quasiballistic effects predict a lower collector current density compared to drift-diffusion, opposite to that observed experimentally.

We now compare the predictions of our calculation with experimentally reported DC IV characteristics [25]. Figure 2a plots calculated and measured collector current density versus base-emitter voltage \( V_{BE} \). We observe that at all temperatures, quasiballistic transport predicts a weakly reduced current density compared to the drift-diffusion prediction, as ex-
FIG. 2: (a) Collector current density $J_c$ versus base-emitter voltage $V_{BE}$ from drift-diffusion (dashed line) and quasiballistic calculations (solid line) compared to measured data (triangles) at various temperatures. (b) Transconductance per unit area $g_m$ versus temperature for an ideal diode (dashed line), from calculation (circles), and experiment (triangles, Ref. [25]) for a fixed $J_C$ derived from the $J − V$ characteristics in (a). The calculations do not predict the increased current density or decreased transconductance observed in measurements at cryogenic temperatures.

The calculation nearly coincide in Fig. 2a because the deviation of quasiballistic current density from the diffusive current density is small on the logarithmic scale in Fig. 2a. At 300 K, 200 K, and 77 K, both predictions agree well with measured data. However, at 36 K, quasiballistic and drift-diffusion current density predictions are similar in magnitude but are both orders of magnitude lower than the measured data for a given $V_{BE}$. Further, the predicted slope of the $J − V$ curve is unchanged from the drift-diffusion prediction and does not saturate to a value similar to that at 77 K as observed in the measured data.

To further examine this result, we present transconductance versus temperature at a fixed value of collector current density in Fig. 2b. The transconductance (per unit area) $g_m$ is calculated as the slope of the current-voltage curve at a fixed bias, and for an ideal SiGe HBT it is given by $g_m = qJ_C/kT$. The measured transconductance exhibits a saturation to a lower value than the ideal value as temperature decreases. However, the transconductance values calculated from the slope of the calculated results in Fig. 2a are identical to the ideal values. Therefore, quasiballistic transport does not change the temperature dependence of
the transconductance. The reason can be seen in Eq. 13 in which $V_{BE}$ affects the electron density only through the left boundary condition $n_L$; therefore, a change in voltage affects current density in a way that is independent of the mechanism of carrier transport and thus preserves the diffusive temperature dependence regardless of the Knudsen number.

IV. DISCUSSION

Our analysis has showed that the predicted influence of quasiballistic transport on the IV characteristics of HBTs is inconsistent with the experimental observations. We now address several mechanisms that we have not included in our analysis. First, we have not incorporated the built-in field in the base region due to Ge grading. However, as in Ref. [50], the only effect of a field term is to modify $M$ and thus change the value of $c_1$, and our conclusions are robust to such changes. Second, modern devices may have base doping levels exceeding $10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ [25, 27, 51] to minimize base resistance and prevent carrier freeze-out. This high level of doping leads to degenerate carrier statistics, while we have assumed non-degenerate statistics. However, non-idealities in the IV characteristics are observed at temperatures up to $\sim 80$ K for which the electrons are non-degenerate, and the present analysis thus applies under relevant conditions. Lastly, the asymptotic solution is restricted to values of average Knudsen number such that $2\epsilon c_1 < 1$, which limits our analysis to a minimum base width of 40 nm for the chosen scattering rates. However, DC non-idealities were reported in devices with base widths on this order,[25–27] and again our analysis applies. Given these considerations, we conclude that quasiballistic transport is not responsible for non-ideal cryogenic DC characteristics of SiGe HBTs.

Finally, we discuss alternate explanations for the cryogenic non-idealities. Prior works have suggested that direct tunneling from the emitter to the collector is a possible origin of non-idealities in devices with narrow base widths $\sim 10$ nm [25, 26]. However, non-ideal cryogenic transconductance has been observed in first-generation SiGe HBTs with base widths on the order of 100 nm [24, 27] for which the direct tunneling current is expected to be negligible. Further, non-idealities observed in the hole current cannot be explained by direct tunneling as the hole potential barrier terminates at the poly-Si emitter contact, which is hundreds of nanometers away from the base-emitter junction. Lastly, abrupt changes in the slope of I-V characteristics have been attributed to a switch from trap-assisted transport
to a tunneling or quasiballistic mechanism [26, 27]. However, similar trends are observed in p-n diodes [52–54] for which direct tunneling or quasiballistic transport is not relevant, and the possibility of a similar mechanism occurring in SiGe HBTs has not been excluded.

We instead offer a hypothesis for the origin of cryogenic non-idealities as leakage currents related to inhomogeneities in the base-emitter potential barrier. The earliest works on heavily doped p-n junctions reported temperature independent slopes of cryogenic forward-bias I-V characteristics for voltages where band-to-band tunneling is unlikely to occur [55–57]. Similar non-ideal behavior, observed as an increase in ideality factor with decreasing temperature, has been reported in Schottky diodes [58, 59] and III-V diodes [60, 61]. In fact, the dependence of ideality factor versus physical temperature for Schottky diodes (e.g. Fig. 11 of Ref. [59], Fig. 1 of Ref. [58]) exhibits the same qualitative trend observed in SiGe HBTs (see Fig. 8 of Ref. [10]). In Schottky diodes, these excess currents and increased ideality factors have been attributed to local Schottky barrier inhomogeneities and are predicted to be more pronounced with increased doping [59]. Recent studies have demonstrated a correlation of the reduced barrier heights with deep-level defect concentration [62]. With the high levels of doping use in SiGe:C HBTs to optimize high-frequency performance [51] and the presence of electrically active carbon defects [63], such leakage currents due to barrier inhomogeneities may play a role in non-ideal cryogenic base transport. These phenomena will be the focus of our future studies.

V. CONCLUSION

We have reported a study of quasiballistic transport in SiGe HBTs using an exact, semi-analytic solution to the Boltzmann equation based on an asymptotic expansion method. We find that the predicted IV characteristics including quasiballistic transport are inconsistent with experiment. Specifically, our calculations including quasiballistic transport predict collector currents that are orders of magnitude smaller than measurements for a given base-emitter voltage and an unaltered temperature dependence of transconductance relative to the ideal value. We hypothesize that the origin of non-ideal cryogenic I-V characteristics is linked to potential barrier inhomogeneities that have been studied in Schottky diodes. Our work advances the understanding of electronic transport in cryogenic SiGe HBTs that is required to further improve their microwave noise performance.
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