

Efficient Exact k -Flexible Aggregate Nearest Neighbor Search in Road Networks Using the M-tree

Moonyoung Chung^{1,2}, Soon J. Hyun¹, and Woong-Kee Loh³

¹School of Computing, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST),
Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea

²Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory, Electronics and Telecommunications Research
Institute (ETRI), Daejeon 34129, Republic of Korea

³School of Computing, Gachon University, Seongnam 13120, Republic of Korea

March 7, 2022

Abstract

This study proposes an efficient exact k -flexible aggregate nearest neighbor (k -FANN) search algorithm in road networks using the M-tree. The state-of-the-art IER- k NN algorithm used the R-tree and pruned off unnecessary nodes based on the Euclidean coordinates of objects in road networks. However, IER- k NN made many unnecessary accesses to index nodes since the Euclidean distances between objects are significantly different from the actual shortest-path distances between them. In contrast, our algorithm proposed in this study can greatly reduce unnecessary accesses to index nodes compared with IER- k NN since the M-tree is constructed based on the actual shortest-path distances between objects. To the best of our knowledge, our algorithm is the first exact FANN algorithm that uses the M-tree. We prove that our algorithm does not cause any false drop. In conducting a series of experiments using various real road network datasets, our algorithm consistently outperformed IER- k NN by up to 6.92 times.

1 Introduction

This study proposes an efficient *k -flexible aggregate nearest neighbor (FANN)* search algorithm ($k \geq 1$). The FANN search is an extension of the *aggregate nearest neighbor (ANN)* search, which is also an extension of the traditional *nearest neighbor (NN)* search. The NN search, which finds the object closest to the given query object q among the objects in a dataset \mathcal{D} , is an important subject pursued in many applications in various domains [1, 9, 17]. The ANN search [8, 15, 19], which extends the NN search by introducing a query set Q including

$M (\geq 1)$ query objects q_j ($0 \leq j < M$), finds an object p^* that satisfies the following Eq. (1):

$$p^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{p_i \in \mathcal{D}} \{ \mathcal{G} \{ d(p_i, q_j), q_j \in Q \} \}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{G} denotes an aggregate function such as max and sum, and $d()$ denotes the distance between two objects. An example of applying ANN search is to find an optimal place for a meeting of M members.

The FANN search [12, 13, 18], which extends ANN search by introducing a flexibility factor ϕ ($0 < \phi \leq 1$), finds an object p^* that satisfies the following Eq. (2):

$$p^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{p_i \in \mathcal{D}} \{ \mathcal{G} \{ d(p_i, q_j), q_j \in Q_\phi \} \}, \quad (2)$$

where Q_ϕ denotes any subset of Q of ϕM size. An example of FANN search is to find an optimal place for a meeting of ϕM members, the minimum quorum of M members. The FANN search cannot be solved simply by running an ANN search algorithm for every possible Q_ϕ . For example, in the case of $M = 256$ and $\phi = 0.5$, ANN search must be performed as much as 5.769×10^{75} times. In this study, the target of FANN search is the objects in a points-of-interest (POIs) set $P (\subseteq \mathcal{D})$, e.g., hospitals and restaurants, instead of the whole dataset \mathcal{D} .

The existing ANN and FANN search algorithms have been studied separately for Euclidean spaces and road networks. A road network is represented with a graph data structure, and the distance between two objects is defined as the shortest-path distance between them [1, 18, 19]. Since the calculation of the shortest-path distance has a much higher complexity than that of the Euclidean distance [5, 10, 22], ANN and FANN search algorithms in road networks should minimize the calculations of the shortest-path distances. Yao et al. [18] proposed a few algorithms for exact FANN search in road networks, and among them, the *IER-kNN* algorithm showed the highest performance. It used the R-tree [14] and pruned off the nodes that are unlikely to include the final result objects, thus reducing the calculations of the shortest-path distances for the objects in the pruned nodes. Nevertheless, when deciding whether to prune a specific node, *IER-kNN* accessed many unnecessary nodes since the decision is made using the Euclidean distances, which are significantly different from the actual shortest-path distances, and thus performs many shortest-path distance calculations for objects included in the unnecessary nodes.

This study proposes an efficient exact k -FANN search algorithm using the M-tree [6] and proves that the proposed algorithm does not cause any false drop. While the R-tree is an index

structure for objects in a Euclidean space, the M-tree is constructed for a dataset in a metric space, where a distance function between objects is given instead of their actual coordinates. The road network can be mapped into a metric space [8, 18], and the M-tree is constructed using the actual shortest-path distances between objects in road networks. Therefore, our algorithm can prune the index nodes more accurately than the state-of-the-art IER- k NN algorithm and can dramatically reduce the calculations of the shortest-path distances. To the best of our knowledge, our algorithm is the first exact FANN algorithm that uses the M-tree. The performance of our algorithm is compared with that of IER- k NN using various real road network datasets. The experimental result demonstrated that our algorithm consistently outperformed IER- k NN for all datasets and parameters, with a performance improvement of up to 6.92 times.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly explains the structure of the M-tree and the existing FANN search algorithms. Section 3 describes our algorithm in detail. Section 4 compares the search performance for various real road network datasets and parameters. Finally, Section 5 concludes this study.

2 Related Work

In this section, we discuss various previous NN, ANN, and FANN algorithms and then briefly explain the structure of the M-tree, which is necessary for describing our algorithm. With the recent spread of ubiquitous mobile devices, the demand for efficient k -NN search in road networks has increased. Abeywickrama et al. [1] evaluated the performance of various existing k -NN algorithms including Incremental Network Expansion (INE) [16], Incremental Euclidean Restriction (IER) [16], Route Overlay and Association Directory (ROAD) [11], and G-tree [21]. They demonstrated as an experimental result using synthetic and real road network datasets that the best performance was achieved with the combination of the previously neglected IER algorithm and *pruned highway labeling (PHL)* algorithm [2, 3]. Shaw et al. [17] presented an approximate k -NN algorithm using Road Network Embedding (RNE), which maps objects on a road network to a p -dimensional Euclidean space. The algorithm stored the mapped objects in the M-tree and showed the search performance superior to the existing INE [16] algorithm.

Gao et al. [7] dealt with the reverse k -NN (RkNN) problem in road networks. They presented an algorithm based on a heuristic filter-and-refinement framework that simultaneously considers spatial and textual information and demonstrated its efficiency using synthetic and real datasets. Zhao et al. [20] dealt with the problem of diversified top- k geo-social keyword (DkGSK) query that considers spatial, social, and textual constraints between the query and data objects.

They considered not only the relevance but also the diversity of the query result in order to enhance the quality of the result. They showed that the problem was NP-hard and proposed an exact algorithm based on several heuristics and an approximate algorithm, whose efficiency was demonstrated using actual datasets.

Li et al. [12, 13] addressed the FANN search problem in a Euclidean space and proposed algorithms using the R-tree and a list data structure. The R-tree-based algorithm estimates the FANN distance based on the Euclidean distance between ϕM query objects that are nearest to the MBR of each R-tree node and determines whether to prune the node based on the estimated distance. The list-based algorithm finds the final FANN object while gradually constructing the nearest object list for each query object q_i . Li et al. [12, 13] conducted various experiments for the algorithms and showed that the R-tree-based algorithm always had a higher search performance.

Ioup et al. [8] proposed an ANN search algorithm in road networks using the M-tree [6]. However, this algorithm only returns an approximate result, and the error ratio of the search result is unknown. Miao et al. [15] dealt with the continuous k -ANN (CA k NN) problem in dynamic road networks, where the locations of data and query objects and the edge weights are changing. They defined *partial distance matrix* data structure that contains only data objects closer than the *safe distance* r from each query object, where r is not greater than the aggregate distance of the k -th candidate ANN object. They showed that their algorithm was superior to the existing algorithm that assumes static query objects through experiments using actual road network datasets.

The FANN search problem in road networks was addressed by Yao et al. [18]. They proposed the Dijkstra-based algorithm, R-List algorithm, and R-tree-based IER- k NN algorithm. In addition, they presented an exact algorithm that does not require an index for $\mathcal{G} = \max$. They experimentally showed that IER- k NN had the best performance for all parameters and road network datasets. However, IER- k NN accessed many unnecessary R-tree nodes and performed many unnecessary shortest-path distance calculations for objects included in the unnecessary nodes. The algorithms that did not use an index showed a much lower search performance than the algorithms using an index. Chen et al. [4] addressed the FANN search problem that took keyword similarity into account in road networks. They defined a new distance function based on both the aggregate of distances to query objects q_i ($\in Q_\phi$) and keyword similarity. They presented algorithms (denoted as *KFANN*) by extending the Dijkstra-based algorithm, R-List algorithm, and IER- k NN previously proposed by Yao et al. [18].




Figure 1: Structures of M-tree node entries.

The M-tree [6] is a balanced tree index structure similar to the R-tree [14]. While the region for a node of the R-tree is a minimum bounding rectangle (MBR) including all entries in the corresponding node, the region for a node of the M-tree is a sphere defined by a central object (or parent object) and radius. Figure 1(a) shows the structure of an entry in an M-tree leaf node. A leaf entry corresponds to an object in a dataset. In Figure 1(a), O_i is an object, $oid(O_i)$ is the object ID of O_i , and $d(O_i, O_p)$ is the distance between O_i and the parent object O_p . The *parent object* O_p is a central object that represents a leaf node L ; among all the objects O_i in L , O_p is chosen such that it satisfies the following Eq. (3):

$$O_p = \underset{O_i \in L}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \max \{ d(O_i, O_j), O_j \in L \} \}. \quad (3)$$

Figure 1(b) shows the structure of an entry in an M-tree non-leaf node N . A non-leaf entry corresponds to a sub-node n of N . In Figure 1(b), O_r is called the *routing object* and set as the parent object of n . $r(O_r)$ is the radius of the spherical region of n , $T(O_r)$ is a pointer to the subtree rooted by n , and $d(O_r, O_p)$ is the distance between O_r and O_p of N . The parent object O_p is chosen as the routing object $e_p.O_r$ of the entry e_p such that it satisfies the following Eq. (4) among the entries e_i in N :

$$e_p = \underset{e_i \in N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \max \{ d(e_i, e_j), e_j \in N \} \}, \quad (4)$$

$$d(e_i, e_j) = d(e_i.O_r, e_j.O_r) + e_i.r(O_r) + e_j.r(O_r). \quad (5)$$

3 FANN-PHL: Proposed k -FANN Algorithm

In this section, we explain our exact k -FANN search algorithm that uses the M-tree constructed using the actual shortest-path distance D between two objects in a road network. To obtain the distance D between two objects, we used the PHL algorithm [2, 3], which is known as the fastest algorithm to obtain D [1, 18]. Our algorithm is referred to as *FANN-PHL* hereafter.

Table 1: Summary of notations.	
Notation	Description
\mathcal{R}	road network dataset
D	shortest-path distance between objects in \mathcal{R}
Q	set of query objects
M	number of query objects, i.e., $M = Q $
ϕ	flexibility factor ($0 < \phi \leq 1$)

Algorithm 1 FANN-PHL Algorithm.

Input: $\mathcal{R}, P, Q, \phi, \mathcal{G}, T$

Output: $p^*, Q_\phi^*, g(p^*, Q_\phi^*)$

```

1:  $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi \leftarrow \infty, H \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2:  $H.push(e)$  for all entries  $e$  in  $T.root$ 
3: while  $H \neq \emptyset$  do
4:    $e \leftarrow H.pop()$ 
5:   if  $e.n$  is a non-leaf node then
6:     for each entry  $e'$  in  $e.n$  do
7:       if  $e'.G_\phi \leq \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$  then
8:         if  $e'.g_\phi \leq \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$  then  $H.push(e')$  end if
9:       end if
10:    end for
11:   else
12:     for each object  $p$  in  $e.n$  such that  $p \in P$  do
13:       if  $p.G_\phi \leq \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$  then
14:         if  $p.g_\phi \leq \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$  then  $\hat{p}^* \leftarrow p$  end if
15:       end if
16:     end for
17:   end if
18: end while
19: Return  $\hat{p}^*$ 

```

Table 1 summarizes the notations used in this study.

Algorithm 1 describes the FANN-PHL algorithm, which has an overall structure similar to that of the previous FANN algorithms [12, 13, 18]. The input of the algorithm consists of a road network \mathcal{R} , a POI set $P (\subseteq \mathcal{D})$, a query object set Q , flexibility factor ϕ , aggregate function \mathcal{G} ($= \max$ or sum), and an M-tree T . The algorithm returns the FANN object p^* , a query subset Q_ϕ^* , and the FANN distance $g(p^*, Q_\phi^*)$, where $g(p^*, Q_\phi^*) = \mathcal{G}\{d(p^*, q_j), q_j \in Q_\phi^*\}$. Algorithm 1 is for the case in which the number of FANN objects k is 1, and the natural extension for the general case of $k \geq 1$ will be described later in this section.

We explain each line of Algorithm 1 in detail. In line 1, \hat{p}^* denotes the FANN object that has been found until now during the execution of FANN-PHL, and its FANN distance $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ is initialized as ∞ . H is a priority queue that includes the M-tree non-leaf node entries. In line 2, all entries of the root node of the M-tree are inserted into H . The while loop in lines 3 ~ 18 is repeated until there is no entry remaining in H . In line 4, the entry that has the highest priority in H , i.e., the entry that has the highest possibility of including the final FANN object




Figure 2: Distances D between an entry e' and query objects q_1 and q_2 .

is extracted. Here, the possibility for a specific entry e is estimated using its FANN distance $e.g_\phi$, and the entry with the smallest $e.g_\phi$ distance among the entries in H is extracted. The $e.g_\phi$ distance can be obtained using Eq. (6) below.

In line 5, $e.n$ is the sub-node for entry e , i.e., the root node of the subtree pointed by $e.T(O_r)$ in Figure 1(b). If the node $e.n$ is a non-leaf node, in line 8, the possibility of including the final FANN object is estimated for each entry e' in $e.n$; if there exists any possibility, e' is inserted into H . To estimate the possibility, the FANN distance $e'.g_\phi$ for each entry e' is calculated as follows:

$$e'.g_\phi = \min \{g(e', Q_\phi), Q_\phi \subseteq Q\}, \quad (6)$$

$$g(e', Q_\phi) = \mathcal{G}\{D(e', q_i), q_i \in Q_\phi\}, \quad (7)$$

where $D(e', q_i)$ is the distance between the spherical region for the node $e'.n$ and a query object q_i , and is defined as $D(e', q_i) = \max\{D(e'.O_r, q_i) - e'.r(O_r), 0\}$. Figure 2 shows $D(e', q_i)$ for two query objects q_1 and q_2 . The FANN distance of an object included within the spherical region such as q_2 is defined as zero.

In line 8, if the FANN distance $e'.g_\phi$ for a specific entry e' is smaller than the FANN distance $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ of the FANN candidate object \hat{p}^* that has been found until now, e' is inserted into H together with $e'.g_\phi$. The $D(e'.O_r, q_i)$ distance required to obtain $e'.g_\phi$ is the shortest-path distance between two objects $e'.O_r$ and q_i , and its calculation is expensive as explained above. Hence, in line 7, the entries without the possibility of including the final FANN object are pruned off at a lower cost. For each entry e' , $e'.G_\phi$ is calculated as follows:

$$e'.G_\phi = \min \{G(e', Q_\phi), Q_\phi \subseteq Q\}, \quad (8)$$

$$G(e', Q_\phi) = \mathcal{G}\{D_G(e', q_i), q_i \in Q_\phi\}, \quad (9)$$

where $D_G(e', q_i)$ is the distance between the spherical region for a node $e'.n$ and a query object

q_i and is defined as $D_G(e', q_i) = |D(e.O_r, q_i) - D(e.O_r, e'.O_r)| - e'.r(O_r)$ (see Figure 3(a)). The only difference from Eqs. (6) and (7) is that D is used in Eqs. (6) and (7) whereas D_G is used in Eqs. (8) and (9). Since $e.O_r$ is the parent object in node n , which includes e' , $D(e.O_r, e'.O_r) = D(e'.O_p, e'.O_r)$ and is already stored in e' together with $e'.r(O_r)$ (see Figure 1(b)). The distance $D(e.O_r, q_i)$ can be used commonly for every e' once it is calculated; therefore, it can reduce the calculations of D distances.

In line 5, if $e.n$ is a leaf node, in line 14, the FANN distance $p.g_\phi$ is calculated as follows for each object p in $e.n$:

$$p.g_\phi = \min \{g(p, Q_\phi), Q_\phi \subseteq Q\}, \quad (10)$$

$$g(p, Q_\phi) = \mathcal{G}\{D(p, q_i), q_i \in Q_\phi\}. \quad (11)$$

Here, it should be checked if the object p belongs to the POI set P . If the FANN distance of p is smaller than that of the FANN candidate object \hat{p}^* , p is set as a new FANN candidate object. The cost of calculating the FANN distance of an object p is very high since the distance D between p and all query objects q_i should be obtained. Hence, in line 13, as in line 7, the objects that are unlikely to be FANN objects are pruned off at a lower cost. That is, $p.G_\phi$ is calculated for each object p as follows:


$$p.G_\phi = \min \{G(p, Q_\phi), Q_\phi \subseteq Q\}, \quad (12)$$

$$G(p, Q_\phi) = \mathcal{G}\{D_G(p, q_i), q_i \in Q_\phi\}, \quad (13)$$


where $D_G(p, q_i) = |D(e.O_r, q_i) - D(e.O_r, p)|$ (see Figure 3(b)). The only difference from Eqs. (10) and (11) is that D is used in Eqs. (10) and (11) whereas D_G is used in Eqs. (12) and (13). Since $e.O_r$ is the parent object in node n , which includes p , $D(e.O_r, p) = D(O_p, p)$ and is already stored in the leaf node entry for p (see Figure 1(a)). The calculations of D distances can be reduced since $D(e.O_r, q_i)$ is commonly used for every p once it is calculated. In line 19, the FANN candidate object \hat{p}^* is returned as the final FANN object p^* . The following Lemma 1 proves that the FANN-PHL algorithm is correct.

Lemma 1. *The FANN-PHL algorithm does not cause any false drop.*

Proof. In line 8, since it holds that $D(p, q_i) \geq D(e', q_i)$ ($0 \leq i < M$) for any object p included in the spherical region for e' , it holds that $g(p, Q_\phi) \geq g(e', Q_\phi)$, i.e., $p.g_\phi \geq e'.g_\phi$ for any Q_ϕ (see Figure 3(a)). If the condition in line 8 is not satisfied for the FANN candidate object \hat{p}^* ,



(a) In a non-leaf node.



(b) In a leaf node.

Figure 3: Finding entries/objects to prune in FANN-PHL.

i.e., if $e'.g_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$, it holds that $p.g_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ for any object p in e' . Therefore, e' can be safely discarded.

In line 7, it is always true that $D(e', q_i) + e'.r(O_r) \geq |D(e.O_r, q_i) - D(e.O_r, e'.O_r)|$, i.e., $D(e', q_i) \geq |D(e.O_r, q_i) - D(e.O_r, e'.O_r)| - e'.r(O_r) = D_G(e', q_i)$ ($0 \leq i < M$) (see Figure 3(a)). Hence, it holds that $g(e', Q_\phi) \geq G(e', Q_\phi)$, i.e., $e'.g_\phi \geq e'.G_\phi$ for any Q_ϕ . If the condition in line 7 is not satisfied, i.e., if $e'.G_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$, it holds that $e'.g_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$. Therefore, e' can be discarded safely based on the proof for line 8.

In line 13, it is always true that $D(p, q_i) \geq |D(e.O_r, q_i) - D(e.O_r, p)| = D_G(p, q_i)$ ($0 \leq i < M$) (see Figure 3(b)). Hence, for any Q_ϕ , it holds that $g(p, Q_\phi) \geq G(p, Q_\phi)$, i.e., $p.g_\phi \geq p.G_\phi$. If the condition in line 13 is not satisfied, i.e., if $p.G_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$, it holds that $p.g_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$, and therefore p can also be discarded safely.

In conclusion, considering all the aforementioned proofs together, the FANN-PHL algorithm in Algorithm 1 does not cause any false drop. \square

Algorithm 1 applies to the case where the number of FANN objects k is 1, and it can be extended to the general case of $k \geq 1$ as follows. First, an array K is allocated to store k FANN result objects and initialized as $K_i.g_\phi = \infty$ ($0 \leq i < k$). The FANN candidate objects in K are always ordered by their respective $K_i.g_\phi$ values. In lines 7, 8, 13, and 14 in Algorithm 1,

Table 2: Road network datasets.

Acronym	Name	Vertices	Edges
NY	New York City	264,346	733,846
COL	Colorado	435,666	1,057,066
NW	Northwest USA	1,207,945	2,840,208
LKS	Great Lakes	2,758,119	6,885,658
W	Western USA	6,262,104	15,248,146

Table 3: Experiment parameters.

Parameter	Description	Values (default value)
\mathcal{R}	road network dataset	NY, COL, NW, LKS, W (NW)
M	size of Q , i.e., $ Q $	64, 128, 256, 512, 1024 (256)
k	number of nearest neighbors	1, 5, 10, 15, 20 (1)
ϕ	flexibility factor	0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0 (0.5)
C	coverage ratio of Q	0.01, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20 (0.10)

comparisons are made with $K_{k-1}.g_\phi$ instead of $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$. When the condition in line 14 is satisfied, a new object p is inserted into K , and the previous object K_{k-1} is removed. Finally, the array K is returned in line 19.

4 Experimental Evaluation

In this section, we compare the search performance of our FANN-PHL algorithm with that of the IER- k NN algorithm [18] through a series of experiments using real road network datasets. The platform is a workstation with AMD 3970X CPU, 128GB memory, and 1.2TB SSD. We implemented both FANN-PHL and IER- k NN in C/C++.

The datasets used in the experiments are real road networks of five regions in the U.S. These datasets have been used in the 9th DIMACS Implementation Challenge – Shortest Paths¹ and many previous studies [1, 18]. Table 2 summarizes the datasets used in the experiments, where each dataset is a graph consisting of a set of vertices and a set of undirected edges. Each vertex represents a point (i.e., an object) in the road network, and each edge represents the road segment directly connecting two vertices. Since these datasets contain noise such as self-loop edges and unconnected graph segments [18], we performed data pre-processing to remove them. To quickly obtain the shortest-path distance D between two objects (vertices), we used the original C/C++ source code written by the creators of the PHL algorithm². Table 3 summarizes the parameters to be considered in the experiments, where the default parameter values are given in parentheses.

In the first experiment, we compared the execution time needed for FANN search and

¹<http://www.diag.uniroma1.it/challenge9/download.shtml>

²<https://github.com/kawatea/pruned-highway-labeling>




Figure 4: Comparison of FANN performance for various road network datasets (\mathcal{R}).

the number of index node accesses for all road network datasets listed in Table 2. All the other parameters were set to the default values in Table 3. Figure 4 shows the results of the first experiment; the values in this figure are the averages of the results obtained by 1,000 randomly generated query sets. The results for the aggregate functions $\mathcal{G} = \text{max}$ and sum were represented by adding “MAX” and “SUM” to the names of the two algorithms, respectively, e.g., FANN-PHL-MAX and FANN-PHL-SUM. As shown in this figure, both FANN search algorithms showed similar trends in the execution time and the number of index node accesses. The number of objects included in the query region of the same size increased with the size of the road network. Therefore, the number of distance calculations to them and the execution time also increased. In the first experiment, FANN-PHL consistently outperformed IER- k NN with the improvement ratio of up to 4.75 times for the W dataset and $\mathcal{G} = \text{max}$.

In the second experiment, we compared the FANN search performance while changing the number of the nearest objects k , and the results are shown in Figure 5. For both FANN-PHL and IER- k NN, since the pruning bound increased with k , more index nodes were visited, and the execution time also increased. In this experiment as well, FANN-PHL consistently outperformed IER- k NN with a performance improvement of up to 2.40 times for $k = 1$ and $\mathcal{G} = \text{max}$.

In the third experiment, we compared the performance of FANN search for various flexibility factor ϕ values, and the results are shown in Figure 6. It can be observed that, as ϕ increased, the execution time and the number of index node accesses of IER- k NN increased. This is because, for higher ϕ , the FANN distance $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ of the FANN candidate object \hat{p}^* becomes larger, and more R-tree nodes are visited. In contrast, for FANN-PHL, even with an increase in ϕ , the execution time and the number of M-tree node accesses decreased. This is because,




Figure 5: Comparison of FANN performance for various numbers of nearest neighbors (k).




Figure 6: Comparison of FANN performance for various flexibility factors (ϕ).

as ϕ increases in line 8 in Algorithm 1, $e'.g_\phi$ for an entry e' also increases faster than $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$. When calculating $e'.g_\phi$, Q_ϕ is composed of the query objects closest to e' among those in Q , so for a smaller ϕ , it is likely that more query objects q_i ($\in Q_\phi$) are included in the spherical region of e' . Since we have $D(e', q_i) = 0$ for these q_i as q_2 in Figure 2, $e'.g_\phi$ also becomes zero or very close to zero. However, for a larger ϕ , the probability decreases, and it is more likely that $e'.g_\phi > \hat{p}^*.g_\phi$. Therefore, a smaller number of entries e' are added into H as ϕ increases. In this experiment as well, FANN-PHL consistently showed a better performance than IER- k NN with a performance improvement of up to 6.92 times for $\phi = 1.0$ and $\mathcal{G} = \max$.

In the fourth experiment, we compared the performance of FANN search while changing the coverage ratio C of query objects, where C denotes the ratio of the minimum area including all query objects to the area occupied by all road network objects. Figure 7 shows the experimental results. For higher C , the number of index nodes included in the query object area increases, and the execution time becomes larger. In this experiment, FANN-PHL consistently performed better than IER- k NN with a performance improvement of up to 3.06 times for $C = 0.2$ and \mathcal{G}




Figure 7: Comparison of FANN performance for various coverage ratios of query (C).




Figure 8: Comparison of FANN performance for various number of query objects (M).

= max.

In the final experiment, we compared the performance of FANN search while changing the number of query objects M , and the results are shown in Figure 8. For both algorithms, we found that, as M increased, the number of index node accesses remained almost constant while the execution time increased linearly. This is because, even though M increases, there are no noticeable variations in $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ and $e'.g_\phi$ since the area of query objects remains similar. The number of M-tree nodes accessed by FANN-PHL was much smaller than the number of R-tree nodes accessed by IER- k NN. Meanwhile, as M increased, the number of calculations of distance D increased linearly for both algorithms as shown in Figure 8(b). This is because the actual distance D to all M query objects q_i should be calculated to obtain $\hat{p}^*.g_\phi$ and $e'.g_\phi$. Owing to these two factors, the execution time of both algorithms increased linearly with M . In this experiment as well, FANN-PHL consistently outperformed IER- k NN with a performance improvement of up to 2.67 times for $M = 64$ and $\mathcal{G} = \text{max}$.

5 Conclusions

This study proposed the FANN-PHL algorithm for efficient exact k -FANN search using the M-tree [6]. The state-of-the-art IER- k NN algorithm [18] used the R-tree [14] and pruned off the index nodes that are unlikely to include the final result object using the Euclidean distances. However, IER- k NN made many unnecessary accesses to index nodes and thus performed many calculations of the shortest-path distances to the objects included in the unnecessary nodes since the Euclidean distances are significantly different from the actual shortest-path distances between objects in road networks. Our FANN-PHL algorithm can prune off the index nodes more accurately than IER- k NN by using the M-tree, which is constructed based on the actual distances between objects, and can also dramatically reduce the calculations of the shortest-path distances. To the best of our knowledge, FANN-PHL is the first exact k -FANN algorithm that uses the M-tree. We proved that our algorithm does not cause any false drop. Through a series of experiments using various real road network datasets, we demonstrated that FANN-PHL consistently outperformed IER- k NN for all datasets and parameters with a performance improvement of up to 6.92 times.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. 2021R1A2C1014432). This work was also supported by the Institute of Information & Communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) Grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. 2020-0-00073, Development of Cloud-Edge-based City-Traffic Brain Technology).

References

- [1] T. Abeywickrama, M. A. Cheema, and D. Taniar, “ k -nearest neighbors on road networks: a journey in experimentation and in-memory implementation,” *Proc. of the VLDB Endowment (PVLDB)*, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 492-503, Jan. 2016.
- [2] I. Abraham, D. Delling, A. V. Goldberg, and R. F. Werneck. “A hub-based labeling algorithm for shortest paths in road networks,” In *Proc. of Int’l Conf. on Experimental algorithms (SEA)*, Crete, Greece, pp. 230-241, May 2011.

- [3] T. Akiba, Y. Iwata, K. Kawarabayashi, and Y. Kawata, “Fast shortest-path distance queries on road networks by pruned highway labeling,” In *Proc. of Meeting on Algorithm Engineering & Experiments (ALENEX)*, Portland, Oregon, USA, pp. 147-154, Jan. 2014.
- [4] Z. Chen, B. Yao, Z. J. Wang, X. Gao, S. Shang, S. Ma, and M. Guo, “Flexible Aggregate Nearest Neighbor Queries and its Keyword-Aware Variant on Road Networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE)*, Early Access, Feb. 2020.
- [5] M. Chung and W.-K. Loh, “ α -Probabilistic flexible aggregate nearest neighbor search in road networks using landmark multidimensional scaling,” *The Journal of Supercomputing*, Vol. 77, No. 2, pp. 2138-2153, Feb. 2021.
- [6] P. Ciaccia, M. Patella, and P. Zezula, “M-tree: An Efficient Access Method for Similarity Search in Metric Spaces,” In *Proc. of the Int’l Conf. on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB)*, Athens, Greece, pp. 426-435, Aug. 1997.
- [7] Y. Gao, X. Qin, B. Zheng, and G. Chen, “Efficient Reverse Top- k Boolean Spatial Keyword Queries on Road Networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE)*, Vol. 27, No. 5, pp. 1205-1218, May 2015.
- [8] E. Ioup, K. Shaw, J. Sample, and M. Abdelguerfi, “Efficient AKNN spatial network queries using the M-Tree,” In *Proc. of ACM Int’l Symp. on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (GIS)*, Seattle, Washington, USA, Article 46, pp. 1-4, Nov. 2007.
- [9] H.-P. Kriegel, P. Kröger, P. Kunath, M. Renz, and T. Schmidt, “Proximity queries in large traffic networks,” In *Proc. of ACM Int’l Symp. on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (GIS)*, Seattle, Washington, USA, Article 21, pp. 1-8, Nov. 2007.
- [10] H.-P. Kriegel, P. Kröger, M. Renz, and T. Schmidt, “Hierarchical Graph Embedding for Efficient Query Processing in Very Large Traffic Networks,” In *Proc. of Int’l Conf. on Scientific and Statistical Database Management (SSDBM)*, Hong Kong, China, pp. 150-167, July 2008.
- [11] K. C. K. Lee, W. Lee, B. Zheng, and Y. Tian, “ROAD: A New Spatial Object Search Framework for Road Networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE)*, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 547-560, Mar. 2012.
- [12] Y. Li, F. Li, K. Yi, B. Yao, and M. Wang, “Flexible aggregate similarity search,” In *Proc. of ACM Int’l Conf. on Management of Data (SIGMOD)*, Athens, Greece, pp. 1009-1020, June 2011.

- [13] F. Li, K. Yi, Y. Tao, B. Yao, Y. Li, D. Xie, and M. Wang, “Exact and approximate flexible aggregate similarity search,” *The VLDB Journal*, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 317-338, June 2016.
- [14] Y. Manolopoulos, A. Nanopoulos, A. N. Papadopoulos, and Y. Theodoridis, *R-Trees: Theory and Applications*, Springer, Sept. 2005.
- [15] X. Miao, Y. Gao, G. Mai, G. Chen, and Q. Li, “On Efficiently Monitoring Continuous Aggregate k Nearest Neighbors in Road Networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Mobile Computing (TMC)*, Vol. 19, No. 7, pp. 1664-1676, July 2020.
- [16] D. Papadias, J. Zhang, N. Mamoulis, and Y. Tao, “Query Processing in Spatial Network Databases,” In *Proc. of Int’l Conf. on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB)*, Berlin, Germany, pp. 802-813, Sept. 2003.
- [17] K. Shaw, E. Ioup, J. Sample, M. Abdelguerfi, and O. Tabone, “Efficient Approximation of Spatial Network Queries using the M-Tree with Road Network Embedding,” In *Proc. of Int’l Conf. on Scientific and Statistical Database Management (SSDBM)*, Banff, Canada, pp. 11-11, July 2007.
- [18] B. Yao, Z. Chen, X. Gao, S. Shang, S. Ma, and M. Guo, “Flexible Aggregate Nearest Neighbor Queries in Road Networks,” In *Proc. of IEEE Int’l Conf. on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, Paris, France, pp. 761-772, Apr. 2018.
- [19] M. L. Yiu, N. Mamoulis, and D. Papadias, “Aggregate nearest neighbor queries in road networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE)*, Vol. 17, No. 6, pp. 820-833, June 2005.
- [20] J. Zhao, Y. Gao, C. Ma, P. Jin, and S. Wen, “On efficiently diversified top- k geo-social keyword query processing in road networks,” *Information Sciences*, Vol. 512, pp. 813-829, Feb. 2020.
- [21] R. Zhong, G. Li, K. Tan, L. Zhou, and Z. Gong, “G-Tree: An Efficient and Scalable Index for Spatial Search on Road Networks,” *IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering (TKDE)*, Vol. 27, No. 8, pp. 2175-2189, Aug. 2015.
- [22] Y. Zhou and J. Zeng, “Massively parallel a* search on a GPU,” In *Proc. of AAAI Conf. on Artificial Intelligence*, Austin, Texas, USA, pp. 1248-1254, Jan. 2015.