Field excitation in fuzzy dark matter near a strong gravitational wave source
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The fuzzy dark matter (FDM) is considered to be one of the most promising candidates of dark matter. In this model, the field is considered to be ultralight scalar field with mass $m \sim 10^{-22}$eV which forms Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) at the early stage of the Universe [1,3]. Due to a very high occupation number in galactic halos, this field behaves like a classical field [1]. It has been shown that the axion-like field (pseudo-goldstone boson) with ultralight mass can be a good possible field description for such ultralight particles [4]. Since the mass of the particles in this model is very small, the corresponding de Broglie wavelength is of the astrophysical scales. Below this scale, the uncertainty principle leads to the stability of density perturbations in FDM against the gravitational collapse [1]. In this way, this model of dark matter resolves the overprediction in the number of substructures in dark matter halos and cuspy core problem that arise with the WIMPs model (weakly interacting massive particles model) of dark matter [1,2].

As FDM medium is expected to be present everywhere in galactic halos, where in the background of this medium other astrophysical events, e.g. binary black hole (BBH) mergers, binary neutron star (BNS) mergers, and supernova explosions, could occur generating a strong gravitational waves (GWs). Therefore, a natural question arises that whether such a GW source has any effect on the FDM medium, or whether this medium can affect the evolution of the source so that the GWs produced by them are modified. There are several studies that have addressed these issues. The exponential growth of occupation number of ultralight scalar bosons near a rotating black hole or neutron star has been extensively studied under the phenomenon of superradiance instabilities [5,11]. This creates a bosonic cloud around such black hole or neutron star, which causes spin-down, and increases its mass. In addition, it has been argued that the level transitions between quantum states of such bosonic cloud, axions (that constitute the bosonic cloud) annihilation to gravitons, and bosonova collapse of the cloud can produce observable GW signals [12,13]. The first two processes produce long-lasting monochromatic GW signals, while last process produces the signal which is expected to be in the distinct pattern of spikes separated by periods of time [13]. Further, under these phenomena, various prospects of probing and constraining the ultralight bosons with GWs detection at LIGO and LISA have been proposed [14,22].

When such a rotating black hole or neutron star is part of a binary, the presence of bosonic clouds affects the inspiral motion of the binary leading to an observable signal in the GW waveform on Earth [23,24]. In addition, the possibility of resonance transitions between the growing and decaying modes of the cloud make the cloud+binary system much more interesting [25,26]. These resonances occur when the orbital frequency of binary matches the energy difference between growing and decaying modes of the cloud. This depletes the cloud by an amount that depends on the parameters of cloud+binary system, causing the generation of a greatly enhanced, but short-lived monochromatic GWs [25,27], which terminate much before the binary merger [25]. This phenomenon can be used to set some observational constraints on the ultralight axion-like particles [27], e.g. the observations of decay in orbital period of binary can set a bound on the decay constant of ultralight axion-like particles [28], and on parameters of axions in general [29]. In presence of a dark matter medium, the dephasing in GW waveform has also been studied [30]. The various prospects for axion searches with Advanced LIGO through binary mergers...
are discussed in detail \cite{31}; see refs.\cite{32, 33} for review.

In addition to the above effects of binary merger and FDM on each other, our work in ref.\cite{34} suggests the possibility of generation of field excitations in FDM near a strong GW source, which can affect the GW waveform towards the end of the merger and in some cases even in the ringdown phase of the merger. This does not require superradiance instabilities induced by rotating black hole or neutron star. Rather, the generation of field excitations under this phenomenon is quite general which only requires a strong GW source with a significantly large frequency and strain amplitude. However, the presence of bosonic clouds generated due to superradiance instabilities may have additional effects on it.

In ref.\cite{34}, it has been shown that a sustained spacetime oscillations can excite a complex scalar field in symmetry broken phase through parametric resonance. The spacetime oscillations directly couple to the momentum of field-modes, which leads to the generation of field excitation even at frequencies much smaller than the mass of longitudinal-modes of the field. In the low frequency regime, mainly transverse excitations are generated as the modes corresponding to these excitations have zero mass. The field undergoes parametric resonance if appropriate momentum-modes of the field corresponding to the frequency of spacetime oscillations are present initially. It also has been shown that the finite size effects of system set a lowest frequency cut-off to induce this phenomenon: spacetime oscillations, having angular frequency $\omega > 4\pi/L$, are able to excite the field, where $L$ is the system size \cite{34}. This arises due to the fact that the momentum-modes having wavelength larger than the upper cut-off $\lambda_c = L$ cannot grow under the resonance process.

However, in the case of explicit symmetry breaking, in addition to finite size effects, the lowest frequency cut-off to excite the field under spacetime oscillations is also set by the mass of pseudo-goldstone boson \cite{34}. In the case of ultralight axion-like field, the mass of pseudo-goldstone boson is $m \approx 10^{-22}$eV. Therefore to excite the most dominant resonance modes of this field, the frequency of spacetime oscillations must be $\omega \gtrsim 0.1$ µHz or equivalently $f_{GW} \gtrsim 10$ nHz, where $f_{GW} = \omega/2\pi$ is the frequency of GWs. This required frequency is easily achieved by various GW sources, such as binary black hole mergers, which can produce maximum frequency up to ~1 kHz with significantly large strain amplitude. Further, the ultralight bosons can have a wide range of possible masses between $10^{-33}$eV to $10^{-16}$eV \cite{35, 36}. Therefore for the above maximum frequency produced by the binary systems, the upper mass cut-off of the field that can be excited by the passage of GWs will be $m \approx 10^{-11}$eV. Thus, GWs produced by binary mergers can excite ultralight axion-like field having almost all possible masses, except for the field that has a much larger mass. Therefore, this phenomenon is certainly not possible for higher mass QCD axions as in such case the required GW frequency would be way beyond the reach of any known GW source with significantly large strain amplitude.

Thus, the restriction in the generation of field excitation in FDM should only arise due to finite size effects and strain amplitude. Indeed, these are the two main competing factors which can affect the generation of field excitation at different radial distances $r$ from the source. For small $r$, the finite size effects restrict the generation of field excitation for frequencies that are smaller than the cut-off frequency at 2-sphere for a given $r$, whereas for large $r$, the strain amplitude of GWs becomes so small that it cannot generate field excitation. Therefore, the generation of field excitation in FDM is expected to occur only in a certain range of $r$, that is, in a spherical shell about the GW source.

Once these field excitations are generated, the further evolution of this excited field configuration, which is highly localized in space, would be interesting. This field configuration in the shell has a higher energy density than the outer region of the shell. Therefore, as soon as these excitations arise in spherical shell, in order to minimize energy density of the field configuration, the field excitations start propagating out of the shell. These excitations are the perturbations in FDM (a superfluid medium) in terms of fluid velocity, energy density, and pressure on the top of a uniform medium with almost zero fluid velocity. They may have acoustic (linear) perturbations as well, which propagate in the fluid with the speed of sound. Furthermore, as soon as GWs pass completely, the excited field in the shell starts rolling back towards the minima of the effective potential, and oscillates about it. These various aspects make this phenomenon even more interesting.

In this work, we consider ultralight axion-like field as a field description for FDM. Our focus is to study the evolution of this field near a strong GW source. In the first part of the study, we show that a sustained spacetime oscillations can generate excitations in the field that initially has a uniform field configuration with small random fluctuations. These excitations are generated under the phenomenon of parametric resonance. Under the spacetime oscillations, the field configuration mainly goes through two processes: firstly, the process of growth of some specific field-modes following the resonance conditions for the given spacetime oscillation frequency, and then the process of generation of various other field-modes due to non-linear evolution of the field. This, in general, may generate local superflow in FDM at a length scale smaller than $f_{GW}^{-1}$ but bigger than the length scale of initial fluctuations. In the second part, we consider a model GW waveform constructed using parameters of the observed waveform for a BBH merger, and show that the GWs produced by such source can also excite the field in a spherical shell about the source. These excitations arise towards the end of the merger and in
some cases even in the ringdown phase of the merger, which may provide a distinct observable imprints on the measured GWs on Earth. For this study, we solve the Klein-Gordon equation of motion for the field in our case the length scale of evolution of the system is much smaller than the Compton wavelength $m^{-1}$ of associated particles; the non-relativistic approximation, which gives the Schrödinger-Poisson equation of motion for FDM, is valid only for length scale larger than $m^{-1}$ \[1\].

Recently, it has been shown that the presence of clouds of ultralight axion-like field around black holes lead to a suppression in the strain amplitude and frequency of GWs in the ringdown phase of the BBH merger \[24\]. Our results in the present work provide a possible explanation for such suppression due to the clouds. As mentioned earlier, in our case, the passage of GWs through FDM leads to the generation of field excitations around the source towards the end and in the ringdown phase of the merger. Therefore, this should increase the total energy of the field configuration. As this energy of field configuration are generated due to GWs, therefore because of energy conservation, the GWs should lose their energy in these two phases of the merger, which leads to the suppression in strain amplitude and frequency of GWs as observed in ref.\[24\]. In this way, our study along with the study in ref.\[24\] open a new possibility of indirect detection of FDM and constraining such dark matter model by studying the discrepancy in between expected GW waveform from a well known GW source and the measured waveform on Earth. In the specific case of binary merger systems, this discrepancy must arise towards the end and in the ringdown phase of the merger. Thus, it provides a qualitatively distinct predictions for changes in the GW waveform compared to other prospects discussed earlier.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In the next section, we provide the field equation for FDM minimally coupled to gravity with oscillating spacetime metric. Then we consider two cases. In the first case, we consider a constant GW waveform and show that this leads to the generation of field excitation induced by parametric resonance. Then in the second case, we consider a model GW waveform constructed using parameters of BBH merger, and show that this can lead to the generation of excitations in FDM in a spherical shell about the GW source, which are generated towards the end of the merger and in some cases even in the ringdown phase of the merger. Finally, we conclude in the last section.

II. FIELD EXCITATION IN FDM

In order to study the effects of spacetime oscillations on ultralight axion-like field ‘a’, we consider time-dependent perturbations in the Minkowski metric, such as $g_{\mu\nu}=\text{diag}(-1,1-h,1+h,1)$, where $h=h(t,z)$ and $|h|<1$. The action of the field on the spacetime manifold with the given metric is \[4\]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[ -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu a \partial_\nu a - m^4(1-\cos a) \right], \quad (1)$$

where $g=\text{det}(g_{\mu\nu})=-(1-h^2)$, and the parameter $F$ has values in the range $10^{16}\text{GeV} \lesssim F \lesssim 10^{18}\text{GeV}$ \[4\]. Mass of the field $a$ is given by $m=\mu^2/F$, where $m\sim 10^{-22} - 10^{-21}\text{eV}$ for FDM \[4\]. The parameter $\mu$ can be determined by using the above relation with given $F$ and $m$. The equation of motion for field $a$ is given by \[34\] \[37\]

$$\Box a - 1 \frac{dV}{F^2 da} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where the effective potential $V(a)=\mu^4(1-\cos a)$ and the covariant d’Alembertian is given by

$$\Box = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu a \right). \quad (3)$$

In the expanded form, the field equation becomes

$$\frac{h_1 a_t - h_z a_z}{h^{-1}(1-h^2)} - a_t + \frac{a_{xx}}{1-h} + \frac{a_{yy}}{1+h} + a_z - m^2 \sin a = 0, \quad (4)$$

where derivatives are defined as $\xi_\alpha=\partial \xi/\partial \alpha$, $\xi_{\alpha\alpha}=\partial^2 \xi/\partial \alpha^2$ for $\xi=(h,a)$ and $\alpha=(t,x,y,z)$.

A. With constant GW waveform

We consider a constant GW waveform with $h(t,z)=\varepsilon \sin(\omega(t-z))$, where $\varepsilon$ and $\omega$ are the time-independent strain amplitude and angular frequency of GWs, respectively. We define $f(t,z)=1-h(t,z)$. With this GW waveform, the above field equation becomes

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2 \omega \sin(2\omega(t-z))}{2f(t,z)f(-t,-z)} (a_t + a_z) - a_t + \frac{a_{xx}}{f(t,z)} + \frac{a_{yy}}{f(-t,-z)} + a_z - m^2 \sin a = 0. \quad (5)$$

This field equation leads to the phenomenon of parametric resonance \[34\], under which some specific momentum-modes of the field following the respective resonance conditions grow with time and lead to the field excitation.

The above equation can be used for the evolution of the field $a$ around a constant GW source. However, for simplicity of solving it numerically, we take following assumptions: (i) instead of considering three-dimensional space, we solve this equation on a two-dimensional surface by assuming that there is no variation of $a$ along third axis, (ii) instead of solving it on the surface of 2-sphere, we solve it on a torus geometry, where we take
lattice structure as a flat sheet that forms $xy$-plane ($z=0$ plane) and use periodic boundary conditions. We hope that, this geometry will not affect the qualitative aspect of the results. (Note that the choice of boundary condition affects the generation of field excitations quantitatively [34].) With these simplifications, the above equation reduces to

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2 \omega}{2 f(t) f(-t)} = \alpha - \alpha t + \frac{a_{xy}}{f(t)} + \frac{a_{yy}}{f(-t)} - m^2 \sin a = 0,$$

where the function $f(t) = 1 - \varepsilon \sin(\omega t)$. We define dimensionless variables as $t' = t, x' = mx, y' = my, z' = mz$, and $\omega' = \omega/m$. With these variables, the function $f(t)$ remains unchanged, i.e., $f(t') = f(t)$, and the equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2 \omega'}{2 f(t')} (a_{xx} - a_{xx'} + \frac{a_{yx'}}{f(t')} + \frac{a_{yy'}}{f(t')} - \sin a = 0.$$  

(6)

We take the mass of the field as $m=10^{-22}$ eV [3], and a fixed value of GW frequency as $f_{GW} = \omega/2\pi = 250$ Hz [35] which gives $\omega' = 1.05 \times 10^{10}$. For numerical simulation, the validity of the above equation demands that the time step of field evolution $\Delta t'$ should be $\Delta t' \ll 1/\omega'$. Therefore, we take $\Delta t' = 3 \times 10^{-12}$ as the minimum time resolution, and lattice spacing as $\Delta x' = \Delta y' = 2 \Delta t'$. With this, $\Delta t = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ s and $\Delta x = \Delta y = 12$ km. For simulations, we consider $N=200$ lattice points in each spatial direction. Therefore, the total surface area of the torus will be $N^2 \Delta x \Delta y = 5.76 \times 10^6$ km$^2$. Note that to avoid finite size effects discussed earlier, the condition $L = N \Delta x \geq 2 f_{GW}$ should be satisfied [34], where $L$ is the system size in each direction. For the given $f_{GW}$, and $N$, the above condition becomes $\Delta x \geq 12$ km, where the chosen lattice spacing is already at the lowest threshold.

For an estimate of the strain amplitude $\varepsilon$, we adopt the following procedure. If we consider a 2-sphere around a GW source with the surface area given above, then the radius of that 2-sphere will be $r = 677$ km; we denote this radius by $r_0$. At such a short distance from the source, spacetime curvature and non-linearity of gravity could be very important, but for simplicity we ignore these factors with a hope that they will not play much important role in the qualitative aspects of the phenomenon in which we are interested. Therefore, at this distance from the GW source, the strain amplitude will be given by $\varepsilon = h_0 r_0/r$, where $h_0$ is the strain amplitude measured on Earth and $r_0$ is the distance between GW source and the Earth. We consider a particular GW source that has $h_0 = 1.0 \times 10^{-21}$ and $r_0 = 410^{+120}_{-180}$ Mpc [35]. (These values are taken just for an estimate of $\varepsilon$, though the source in ref. [35] produces a time varying GW waveform that is considered in the next subsection.) This gives $\varepsilon = 0.019^{+0.007}_{-0.009}$.

With the given parameters of spacetime oscillations, we perform numerical simulations to solve Eq. (4). For simulations, we prepare an initial field configuration with small random fluctuations. These field fluctuations are necessary to excite the field as the spacetime oscillations only couple to the field through spacetime derivatives; see Eq. (7). These fluctuations are not unphysical, rather, can arise naturally due to thermal and/or quantum fluctuations. Since the minima of the effective potential is at $a=0$, therefore fluctuations in the field $a(x,y)$ at initial time are considered about $a=0$. For this, we consider the initial field configuration $a(x,y)$ which varies randomly on lattice points within the range $[-\beta, \beta]$, where $0 < \beta < \pi$.

In this subsection, we take $\beta = \pi/10$ and comment on the results for lower values of $\beta$, while in the next subsection, we take $\beta = \pi/200$. These field fluctuations are just a choice to start simulations, while other types of initial field fluctuations can be taken for the study. We utilize the periodic property of the effective potential, i.e., its invariance under $a \rightarrow a + 2\pi$, when calculating certain quantities such as total energy density. Following this property of effective potential, we bring back the field within the range $[-\pi, \pi]$ if it goes beyond this during the evolution. This ensures that the gradient energy is calculated correctly. We numerically solve Eq. (7) on torus with the given initial field configuration by using the second-order Leapfrog method.

In earlier work [34], it has been shown that a sustained spacetime oscillations can excite an initial field configuration, in which some specific momentum-modes of the field grow following resonance conditions for the given oscillation frequency $\omega$. These field excitations are induced by the phenomenon of parametric resonance. Under this phenomenon, at the early stage of the generation of field excitation, the whole field configuration acquires some specific momentum-modes with growing amplitude with time. However, at later times, field dynamics becomes much more complicated because of non-linearity of the field evolution due to which various other momentum-modes of the field are also generated (modes that are not even related with resonance conditions). To show this for the present case, we write the field $a(x,y)$ in terms of components $a_1(x,y)$ and $a_2(x,y)$, where $a_1 = \cos a$ and $a_2 = \sin a$; here we are representing the angular field $a$ in terms of vector $a_1 \hat i + a_2 \hat j$ in the internal space of the field. Furthermore, to understand the field configuration at each time, we perform Fourier transform of the components $a_1$ and $a_2$ as

$$\tilde a_i(\vec k,t) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{b.c.} d^2 \vec x \ a_i(\vec x,t)e^{i\vec k \cdot \vec x} ; \quad i = 1, 2,$$

(8)

where $A$ is the total area of the system, $b.c.$ stands for the boundary condition, and $\vec k = k_x \hat x + k_y \hat y$, $\vec x = x \hat x + y \hat y$ are the momentum and position vectors, respectively.

In Fig. 1 we plot modulus of $\tilde a_1(\vec k,t)$ for two time steps of the field evolution, at $t=0$ (upper panel) and at $t=1.4$ s (lower panel), in $(k_x/\omega, k_y/\omega)$-plane. In the left panel, we plot $|\tilde a_1(\vec k,t)|$, and in the right panel, $|\tilde a_2(\vec k,t)|$. For
the simulation, the parameters of spacetime oscillations are taken as $f_{GW} = 250$ Hz and $\varepsilon = 0.026$ (maximum possible value of $\varepsilon$ estimated earlier). As mentioned earlier, we consider the initial field configuration $a(x, y)$ which varies randomly around zero, so naturally the distribution of $|\tilde{a}_1(\vec{k}, t)|$ takes a peak at zero-momentum with a value of about 1, while the distribution of $|\tilde{a}_2(\vec{k}, t)|$ shows some random variations depending on the initial random fluctuations of the field, with a very small magnitude. This represents the zero-momentum BEC state of FDM, which depends on the sequence of random numbers used to generate the initial field configuration. At time $t = 1.4$ s, due to sustained spacetime oscillations, some specific momentum-modes of $a_2$ have grown about $k_x \approx \omega/2$, $k_y \approx 0$ ($k_x \approx 0.5$ peV for the given $\omega$) with peak value $\sim 0.6$, while the magnitude of zero-momentum mode of $a_1$ has decreased. This may generate a superflow in FDM in some local regions that have length scale smaller than $f_{GW}$ (half-wavelength of the generated field-modes) but bigger than $\Delta x$ (the length scale of initial fluctuations) such that the net global flow is zero. We have seen a noticeable growth in these momentum-modes starting from the time $t \approx 0.9$ s which continues to grow till the time $t = 1.4$ s. At later times, with further evolution, the field acquires various other momentum-modes because of non-linear field dynamics.

As mentioned earlier, this growth in the specific momentum-modes is occurring due to parametric resonance of the field, where these modes are generated following the respective resonance conditions, that is, the relation between $k_x$, $k_y$, $m$, and $\omega$. We determine the resonance conditions for Eq. (7) by writing in the momentum-space and then linearizing it; see ref. [34] for more details. We find that the resonance conditions for Eq. (7) follow the relation $k_x^2 + k_y^2 + m^2 \approx (n \omega/2)^2$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$. Since in our case $\omega > m$, therefore the above relation reduces to $k_x^2 + k_y^2 \approx (n \omega/2)^2$: an equation of circle in the momentum-space. The growth in momentum-modes in Fig. 1 clearly validates this relation.

Due to the generation of field excitation, the energy density of the system keeps on increasing with time and reaches a maximum value. In simulation, we calculate the total energy density of the system $T_{00}^{(a)} = \mu^4 T_{00}^{a}$ at each time, where

$$T_{00}^{a} = \frac{a_x^2}{2} + \frac{a_{xx}^2}{2f(t')} + \frac{a_{yy}^2}{2f(-t')} + (1 - \cos a).$$  \(9\)

To calculate these terms, we utilize the periodic property of the effective potential mentioned earlier, under which we bring back the field within the range $[-\pi, \pi]$ if it goes beyond this during the evolution. We calculate average values of all above terms on two-dimensional lattice at each time. We see the growth in each term of energy density due to the generation of field excitations induced by spacetime oscillations. In Fig. 2, we show the time evolution of average potential energy density (multiplied by $\mu^{-4}$) for the given value of $f_{GW}$ and $\varepsilon$. Since the initial field configuration varies between the range $[-\beta, \beta]$ where $\beta$ is a small number, the average value of the potential energy density is initially very small. Under the sustained spacetime oscillations, when the field achieves full excitations, it covers the whole field-space in the range $[-\pi, \pi]$. In such a situation, the average value of the field in each half of the field-space ($(-\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi]$) becomes $\pm \pi/2$ giving $V(a) \mu^{-4} = 1$ as can be seen in the figure.

We find that, the total energy density of the field achieves roughly two orders of magnitude growth from...
the initial value. As mentioned earlier, for this simulation, we have taken $\beta=\pi/10$, which gives a large initial fluctuations to the field. Instead, if we take $\beta=\pi/200$, it gives smoother initial fluctuations to the field due to which the total energy density of the initial field configuration is reduced compared to the former case. We see that even in the latter case, the resonance growth of the field starts at about the same time as in the former case, that is, at time $t=0.9$ s. However, due to the lower initial energy density in the latter case, the field takes longer time to achieve full excitations; in the former case it takes the time somewhere between 1.6 — 1.7 s, while in the latter case, it takes the time somewhere between 2.5 — 2.6 s.

We also see that increasing values of $f_{GW}$ and $\varepsilon$ can lead to a shorter time to achieve field excitation (if the appropriate momentum-modes of the field corresponding to frequency $\omega$ are present initially). Thus, a stronger GW source can excite the field in relatively shorter time. As soon as the spacetime oscillations stop, the field starts rolling-back towards the minima of the effective potential, which leads to the oscillations in the field about the minima.

### B. With time varying GW waveform

In the previous case, we have taken a constant GW waveform that enables the field $a$ to excite under the phenomenon of parametric resonance. However, BBH and BNS mergers do not produce a constant GWs. Rather, these sources produce a time varying GW whose amplitude and frequency increase until the merger is completed. Therefore, the phenomenon of generation of field excitation may become much more complicated in this case. In this subsection, a time varying GW is considered to study the field excitations near a strong GW source. For simplicity, the GW waveform is taken to be

$$h_e = 10^{-21}[a_e e^{h_e t} \sin(\omega t)], \quad t \leq t_0,$$
$$h_e = 10^{-21}[a_e e^{-(t-t_0)} \sin(\omega t)], \quad t > t_0,$$

where $\omega=2\pi f_{GW}$, $f_{GW} = \exp(f_a e^{f_b t} + f_c)$, and ($a_e$, $h_e$, $f_a$, $f_b$, $f_c$, $t_0$) are the phenomenological parameters to be fitted using a known GW waveform. (Note that in general, the strain amplitude of GWs produced by BBH or BNS mergers are not spherically symmetry about the source [39]. However, for simplicity here we ignore this, and proceed by considering a spherically symmetric GW strain amplitude about the source. We also ignore the cross-polarization component of GWs.) We determine parameters of the above function such that $h_e$ shows a qualitatively similar variation as the strain amplitude for a known GW source. For this, we consider the GW source GW150914 [38], and model the waveform with the above function. By taking some limiting values of $h_e$ from the actual waveform, we obtain $f_a = 2.9 \times 10^{-8}$, $f_b = 42.5$ s$^{-1}$, $f_c = 3.465$, and the remaining parameters for the two possible values of the merger time $t_0$ as given in the table-I. The values of $h_d$ are taken such that $h_e$ becomes close to zero at time $t=0.44$ s for both the cases. With this, the ringdown phase of the merger lasts longer for Set-I parameters than for Set-II parameters.

The GW waveform given by the above function is not exactly the same as that produced by GW150914, though the qualitative growth in strain amplitude and frequency of waveform are similar to GW150914 [38]. It should be noted that the focus of the present study is to demonstrate a general possibility of generation of field excitation due to the passage of GWs, without focussing on any particular GW source. Certainly, a detailed structure of the GW waveform can affect the generation of field excitations quantitatively. In fact, we show that our results are highly sensitive to the parameters of the GW waveform used for the simulation.

To perform simulations, in this case also, we continue with the assumptions that there is no variation of the field along $z$-direction (along $r$ in spherical polar coordinates) and the consideration of the lattice structure as a flat sheet forming $z=0$ plane with periodic boundary conditions. Therefore, under these assumptions and in terms of the dimensionless variables, Eq.(11) becomes

$$\frac{h_{\nu' \nu} a_{\nu'}}{h^{-1}(1-h^2)} + \frac{a_{\nu' \nu'}}{1-h} + \frac{a_{\nu' \nu'}}{1+h} - \sin a = 0,$$

where $h=h_{e} r_{e}/r$, and from Eq.(10),

$$h_{\nu' \nu} = R \rho_{\nu} e^{h_{\nu' \nu}} [h'_{0} \sin(\omega t') + \Omega], \quad t' \leq t_0,$$
$$h_{\nu' \nu} = R \rho_{\nu} e^{-h_{\nu' \nu}} [-h'_{0} \sin(\omega t') + \Omega], \quad t' > t_0,$$

where $R=10^{-21} r_{e}/r$, $h'_{0} = h_{0}/m$, $h'_{0} = h_{0}/m$, $t_0 = m t_0$, $\Omega = (\omega' + \omega_{0} t') \cos(\omega t')$, $\omega_{0} = \omega_{0} f_{a} f'_{b} e^{f_{c} t'}$, and $f'_{b} = f_{b}/m$. Using these parameters of GWs, we perform simulations to solve Eq.(11). We take the same lattice spacing $\Delta x$ (that gives $r=r_0$) and time step $\Delta t$ as used before, and $\beta=\pi/200$ for the initial field fluctuations. We take the maximum possible value of $r_e$ for the source GW150914, which is $r_e=570$ Mpc [38]. We follow the same procedure of calculating $r$ and strain amplitude $h$ as used in the last subsection.

In Fig.3 we plot the time evolution of average potential energy density (multiplied by $\mu^{-4}$) for the given sets of parameters. Curve $1h$ corresponds to the case when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h_0$</th>
<th>$h_0(s^{-1})$</th>
<th>$h_0(s^{-1})$</th>
<th>$t_0(s)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Set-I</strong></td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$17.5$</td>
<td>$1.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Set-II</strong></td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$16.4$</td>
<td>$1.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: The table provides some parameters of GW waveform Eq.(10) for two possible values of the merger time $t_0$.
the actual $h$ has been taken (as defined in Eq. (10)), while curve $2h$ corresponds to the case when factor two is multiplied into $h$. In the upper panel, the plot shows the time evolution of the potential energy density for Set-I of GW waveform, which is only plotted for the case of $2h$ (brown). For $1h$, the overall growth in potential energy density is approximately 1.1% from the initial value, which is very small to show in the plot. The growth in the potential energy density for the case of $2h$ is approximately 4.2%. In the lower panel, the plot shows the time evolution of the potential energy density for Set-II of GW waveform for $1h$ (blue) and $2h$ (red) cases. In these two cases, there are approximately 5.5% and 23.7% overall growth in the potential energy density from the initial value at the given constant $r$-hypersurface, respectively. We have checked that these percentage growths are independent of the strength of the initial field fluctuations, that is, the choice of $\beta$. The curve $2h$ indicates that a relatively strong GW source can cause a very large growth in the energy density of FDM. The percentage growth in total energy density is also in the same order as that for potential energy density.

The growth in the potential energy density for Set-I and Set-II has basically two distinct features: (i) the overall growth of the potential energy density, and (ii) the time-domain in which these growths are generated. The overall growth for Set-I is less than the growth for Set-II. This is because for Set-II, a large strain amplitude and frequency are simultaneously present in the waveform towards the end of the merger to generate larger excitation in FDM, which is somewhat absent for Set-I. On the other hand, for Set-I, since the ringdown phase persists for a long time, the growth in potential energy density continues even after time $t_0$ [0.98$t_0$ < $t$ < 1.013$t_0$], which is quite different from the case of Set-II in which growth is very rapid around time $t_0$ [0.98$t_0$ < $t$ < $t_0$] and stops quickly after $t_0$. All this suggests that the generation of field excitations is highly sensitive to the parameters of the GW waveform, and based on these parameters, can be generated towards the end of the merger as well as in the ringdown phase of the merger.

We also study the distribution of field-modes in $(k_x, k_y)$-plane at $t_0$ time, as done in Fig. 1. We find that for each case in Fig. 3, the initial distribution of $|\tilde{a}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}, t)|$ is redistributed at time $t_0$ without much growth and takes peak at a higher momentum.

It should be noted that due to finite size effects, the field cannot achieve excitations until the frequency of GWs equals or exceeds the lowest frequency cut-off $f_{GW} \approx 250$ Hz for this hypersurface. Certainly, for higher $r$-hypersurfaces, the frequency cut-off will be lesser than $f_{GW} \approx 250$ Hz, which would provide a larger time-domain for the field to achieve excitations. However with increasing $r$, the strain amplitude also decreases, which can suppress the generation of field excitations. On the other hand, although the strain amplitude becomes larger for smaller $r$, the finite size effects suppress the generation of excitations. Therefore, the field excitations are expected to be generated in a spherical shell about the GW source with maximum excitation at an optimum radial distance $r$. Although this investigation requires a detailed $(3+1)$-dimensional simulations, in the given simulation setup, we now show that our this expectation is true.

In Fig. 1 we plot the percentage growth in average potential energy density $\delta V(a)=\frac{V(t>t_0)−V(t=0)}{V(t=0)}\times100$% at different $r$. The simulations are performed using parameters of Set-II. However, for this study, we stop the spacetime oscillations after time $t_0$ for each $r$ to avoid any ambiguity in the choice of values of $h_0$ that may arise when simulating for $r<r_0$. This consideration does not affect the physical aspects in which we are interested. To change $r$ in the simulations, we change $\Delta x (=\Delta y)$. As the maximum momentum-mode present in the initial field configuration is given by $k_{max}=2\pi/\Delta x$, therefore with the change in $\Delta x$, the momentum-modes of the field present in the initial field configuration also change. This may affect the resonance process quantitatively. However, its effects should not be very significant as the overall change in $k_{max}$ is only between 78.5 to 157.0 peV for the given change in $\Delta x (8.0 \rightarrow 16.0$ km) in Fig. 1. As discussed above, the entire curve in Fig. 1 is a result of a combination of finite size effects and strain amplitude that clearly shows that the field excitations mostly arise in a spherical shell about the GW source.

As mentioned earlier, the generation of field excitation in FDM should cause a loss in GW energy due to energy conservation. Therefore, this process of generation of field excitation should modify the actual waveform pro-
FIG. 4: Figure shows the percentage growth in average potential energy density $\delta V(a)$ at different $r$ from GW source; $r_0=677$ km. This entire curve is a result of a combination of finite size effects and strain amplitude that shows that only in a spherical shell about the GW source field excitations can be generated.

duced by the GW source, especially towards the end of the merger. A full (3+1)-dimensional simulation will reveal the actual energy losses of GWs and their waveform changes, due to the generation of field excitations.

In this study, the results are presented using a model GW waveform that is suitable for an observed GW waveform on Earth. We have considered it as an actual waveform produced by a source, and performed the simulations. With the simulation results, we then conclude that the GWs can generate field excitations in FDM about the source, which would modify the GW waveform due to energy loss. Thus, this study suggests that the GWs observed on Earth may have already been affected due to the presence of FDM medium. A detailed study will reveal whether such a change in the GW waveform can be observed experimentally, and if it does, it will indirectly show the existence of FDM in the intermediate region between the GW source and the Earth.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have shown that strong gravitational waves (GWs) can generate field excitations in fuzzy dark matter (FDM) in a spherical shell about the GW source, that are generated towards the end of the merger and in some cases even in the ringdown phase of the merger. A stronger GW source produces even more excitations. The field description for FDM is considered as an ultralight axion-like field with mass $m \sim 10^{-22}$ eV. At initial time of evolution, the field is taken at the minima of effective potential with small random fluctuations. These field excitations can lead to the generation of local superflow in FDM. As soon as these excitations arise, in order to minimize the energy density of the field configuration, they start propagating out of the shell as the perturbations in FDM. These perturbations may also have acoustic (linear) perturbations that propagate with the speed of sound.

We have shown, using a model GW waveform suitable for a binary black hole (BBH) merger, that GWs can generate field excitations in FDM towards the end and in the ringdown phase of the merger. Due to energy conservation, while generating these excitations, GWs will lose their energy in these two phases of the merger, due to which they get modified. Therefore, this result can provide a qualitatively distinct prediction for the presence of FDM about the GW source than the other prospects discussed earlier. This may be observed in the measurement of GWs on Earth, which requires a detailed investigation. A detailed study in ref. [24] shows a suppression in the strain amplitude and frequency of GWs in the ringdown phase of BBH merger due to the presence of clouds of ultralight axion-like field. A possible explanation for such suppression can be given by the phenomenon discussed in the present work.

In this work, we have considered the axion-like field having a specific mass $m=10^{-22}$ eV and BBH merger as a GW source. However, the generation of field excitations is not limited to these choices, rather, it can be possible for a wide range of masses of such field and for any strong GW source. The main criteria for generating field excitations in FDM are: (i) the angular frequency of GWs should be $\omega \geq m$, (ii) the surface area of the 2-sphere about the source must be large enough to avoid finite size effects, and (iii) the strain amplitude on that 2-sphere should be sufficiently large.
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