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We propose a quantum metrology protocol for measuring frequencies and weak forces based on a periodic modulating quantum Jahn-Teller system composed of a single spin interacting with two bosonic modes. We show that in the first order of the frequency drive the time-independent effective Hamiltonian describes spin-dependent interaction between the two bosonic modes. In the limit of high-frequency drive and low bosonic frequency the quantum Jahn-Teller system exhibits critical behaviour which can be used for high-precision quantum estimation. A major advantage of our scheme is the robustness of the system against spin decoherence which allows to perform parameter estimations with measurement time not limited by spin dephasing.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years, there has been considerable interest in the development of high-precision quantum metrology with strongly correlated quantum systems [1]. One way to improve the precision of parameter estimation is to use entangled states [2, 3]. Indeed, entangled states may yield a favorable scaling in the parameter precision measurement compared to what is possible with uncorrelated states. Another approach for high-precision quantum metrology is based on a probe system which exhibits a quantum phase transition [4–7]. Such a criticality-enhanced quantum metrology can be used to perform a high-precision measurement of the control parameter close to the quantum phase transition. Recently, an experimental realization of quantum sensor with sensitivity enhanced by quantum criticality was demonstrated with a Bose-Einstein condensate [8]. Usually, the existence of the quantum phase transition requires a thermodynamic limit, where the number of constituents goes to infinity. A different class of phase transitions was introduced in an interacting system of single-mode cavity field and two-level atom, where the thermodynamic limit requires the cavity frequency in units of atomic transition frequency to tend to zero [9, 10]. An enhanced parameter estimation was proposed with such finite size critical quantum optical system for high-precision force measurements [11–13] or frequency measurements [6, 7]. The corresponding quantum Fisher information diverges by approaching the critical coupling indicating that the finite size quantum optical system becomes sensitive to infinitesimally small variation of the parameter of interest.

In this work we consider the quantum metrology application of the finite size periodic modulating dissipative quantum system consisting of interacting single spin and two bosonic modes described by the quantum Jahn-Teller (JT) model. In our scheme the spin-boson couplings are periodically modulated which drives the system into the regime described by the effective Hamiltonian. We show that under the high-frequency drive the spin evolution is suppressed and thereby it can be adiabatically eliminated from the dynamics. In the first order of the frequency drive the effective Hamiltonian describes spin-dependent interaction between two bosonic modes. We show that in the limit of high-frequency drive and low bosonic frequency the effective model exhibit critical behaviour which can be used for high-precision quantum metrology.

Furthermore, we include the dissipative processes which affect the two bosonic modes. In that case the balance of the periodic drive and the losses of bosonic excitations drives the system into the nonequilibrium steady state. We show that the time-periodic driven dissipative dynamics is described in terms of an effective Liouvillian. We characterize the steady state density operator in terms of its first and second moments. In the high-frequency drive regime the density matrix reviews a non-analytical behaviour. We derive expression for the quantum Fisher information and show that it diverges close to the critical point. We also consider the decoherence process of loss of spin coherence caused for example by fluctuating magnetic fields. Such a spin dephasing is the major source of loss of contrast which reduces the optimal precision of frequency measurements [14]. Remarkably, under the condition of high-frequency drive the resulting effective Liouvillian is diagonal in the spin basis. Consequently, the time evolution of our periodic modulating JT system is immune against spin decoherence. This allows to perform frequency estimation with measurement time which is not limited by spin dephasing.

Finally, we provide a scheme for the physical implementation of our periodically driven dissipative JT model with trapped ions. In our scheme, the two local phonons along the spatial x-y directions correspond to the two bosonic modes. A bichromatic laser fields with time-dependent periodic intensity are used to couple the internal ion’s spin states and the two phonons, which provide the desired JT spin-boson coupling. We show that the sympathetic cooling of an auxiliary ion can be used to create the dissipative dynamics of the two bosonic modes.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II we introduce the periodic modulating dissipative JT model. The dynamics of the JT model in terms of an effective Liouvillian is discussed. We show that in the limit of high-frequency drive the spin dynamics is suppressed and the effective Hamiltonian describes two interacting bosonic modes. In Sec. III we consider the coherent evolution of the periodic modulating JT system. We show that for high-frequency drive and low bosonic frequency the signal-to-noise ratio is improved which allows to perform a high-precision frequency estimation. In Sec. IV we discuss the steady-state density matrix of the periodic modulating dissipative JT model. The physical
realization of the model is provided in Sec. V. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL

A. Periodic modulating dissipative Jahn-Teller interaction

We consider in the following a quantum system of two bosonic modes which interact with a single spin via periodic modulating dipolar coupling. Let

\[ \hat{H}_0 = \omega_x \hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x + \omega_y \hat{a}_y^\dagger \hat{a}_y + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_z, \]

(1)
denote the time-independent Hamiltonian, which describes the quantum system in the absence of periodic driving. Here \( \hat{a}_x \) and \( \hat{a}_y \) are the annihilation and creation operators of bosonic excitation with frequency \( \omega_\beta \) in mode \( \beta \). A single spin is described with the Pauli matrices \( \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z \) and \( \Delta \) stand for the transition spin frequency. The effect of the driving is represented by a time-dependent part of the total Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_d(t), \]

(2)

where we assume

\[ \hat{H}_d(t) = 2g_x \cos(\Phi t) \sigma_x (\hat{a}_x^\dagger + \hat{a}_x) + 2g_y \sin(\Phi t) \sigma_y (\hat{a}_y^\dagger + \hat{a}_y), \]

(3)

with \( g_\beta \) being the spin-boson coupling and \( \Phi \) is the driving frequency. In the absence of driving the Hamiltonian (3) describes dipolar JT interaction between a single spin with two vibrational modes. Such a JT coupling explains distortions and nondegenerate energy levels in molecules and condensed quantum systems [15].

The Fourier series of (3) can be written as \( \hat{H}_d(t) = e^{i\Phi \hat{v}} + e^{-i\Phi \hat{v}^\dagger} \), where \( \hat{v} = g_x \sigma_x (\hat{a}_x^\dagger + \hat{a}_x) - ig_y \sigma_y (\hat{a}_y^\dagger + \hat{a}_y) \), which ensures that \( \hat{H}_d(t + T) = \hat{H}_d(t) \) and hence \( \hat{H}(t + T) = \hat{H}(t) \) with \( T \) being the driving period.

To study driven-dissipative system, we consider the density operator \( \hat{\rho}(t) \) whose dynamics is governed by the Lindblad equation [16]

\[ \dot{\hat{\rho}}(t) = \hat{L}(t) \hat{\rho}(t) = -i[\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}(t)] + \sum_j \mathcal{D}[\hat{L}_j] \hat{\rho}(t). \]

(4)

Here \( \hat{L}(t) \) is a time-dependent Liouvillian superoperator, while the term \( \mathcal{D}[\hat{L}_j] \) is the Lindblad dissipator, whose action is given by

\[ \mathcal{D}[\hat{L}_j] \hat{\rho}(t) = 2\hat{L}_j \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{L}_j^\dagger - \hat{L}_j^\dagger \hat{L}_j \hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{L}_j^\dagger \hat{L}_j, \]

(5)

where \( \hat{L}_j \) are the jump operators which describe how the environment affect the system evolution. In this work we consider the process of loss of bosonic excitations where the quantum jump operators are given by \( \hat{L}_1 = \sqrt{\gamma_x} \hat{a}_x \) and respectively \( \hat{L}_2 = \sqrt{\gamma_y} \hat{a}_y \) with \( \gamma_x, \gamma_y \) being the respective bosonic decay rates. We also discuss the effect of spin dephasing on the spin-dependent bosonic modes evolution. As we will see below due to the condition of high frequency drive the effective time-averaged dynamics is diagonal in the spin basis. As a result of that the quantum JT system becomes immune against the spin dephasing, which can have significant impact on the high-precision quantum estimation.

B. Time-average dynamics

In the following we explore the nonequilibrium steady state which emerges in a balance between the periodic drive and boson dissipation. The physical properties of the periodically driven quantum system can be described in terms of effective Hamiltonian, which reflects the periodic driving according to the Floquet theorem. For closed driven quantum systems which are not subject to dissipative processes the time-evolution can be split into the product of kick operators which describes the residual micromotion and time-independent evolution dictated by the effective Hamiltonian [17]. Recently, an expression for the nonequilibrium steady state in the limit of the high-frequency expansion of the Lindblad equation was derived [18]. Assuming that the system is prepared initially in a state with \( \hat{\rho}(0) \) the density operator at time \( t \) can be written as \( \hat{\rho}(t) = e^{\mathcal{D}(t)} e^{\hat{H}_d(0)} \hat{\rho}(0) \). In the leading order of \( \Phi^{-1} \) the time-independent effective Liouvillian is given by [18]

\[ \dot{\hat{\rho}}_{\text{eff}} = -i[\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}, \hat{\rho}] + \sum_{\beta=x,y} \gamma_\beta \mathcal{D}[\hat{a}_\beta^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta] \hat{\rho}(t), \]

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_0 + \frac{1}{\Phi} \{ \hat{v}, \hat{v}^\dagger \} + O(\Phi^{-2}), \]

(6)

where \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \) is the time-independent effective Hamiltonian.

Finally, the period time-dependent micromotion operator is given by \( \mathcal{D}(t) \hat{\rho} = \Phi^{-1} \{ [\hat{v}, \hat{\rho}] e^{i\Phi t} + [\hat{v}^\dagger, \hat{\rho}] e^{-i\Phi t} \} \).

Using (2) we find that the effective Hamiltonian becomes

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \omega_x \hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x + \omega_y \hat{a}_y^\dagger \hat{a}_y + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_z - \frac{4g_x g_y}{\Phi} \sigma_x (\hat{a}_x^\dagger + \hat{a}_x) (\hat{a}_y^\dagger + \hat{a}_y) + O(\Phi^{-2}), \]

(7)

where we assume \( \Phi \gg g_\beta, \omega_\beta, \Delta, \gamma_\beta \) (high-frequency drive regime). We observe that the effective Hamiltonian is diagonal in the spin basis. Moreover, the periodic driving causes spin-dependent coupling between the two \( x \) and \( y \) bosonic modes which is of order of \( \Phi^{-1} \) and thus it can not be neglected.

In the following we provide the diagonalization of the effective Hamiltonian (7). We show that in the high-frequency drive regime and low bosonic frequencies the effective model exhibits critical behaviour which can be used for high-precision quantum metrology.

III. QUANTUM METROLOGY WITH PERIODIC MODULATING QUANTUM SYSTEM. COHERENT EVOLUTION

Before to discuss the dissipative dynamics we consider first the eigenfrequencies of the Hamiltonian. Because (7) is
we plot the fidelity susceptibility \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \partial_\lambda \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle / \Delta \hat{x}(t) \) as a function of time for different couplings \( \lambda \). The parameters are \( g/2\pi = 5.0 \text{ kHz} \) and \( \Phi/2\pi = 1.1 \text{ MHz} \). Higher frequency sensitivity is achieved by increasing \( \lambda \) toward the critical value.

\[
\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \frac{\partial_\lambda \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle}{\Delta \hat{x}(t)}
\]

which are derived from the Heisenberg equation of motion for initial two bosonic modes state \( |\psi_i(0)\rangle = |\psi_i\rangle \otimes |\psi_i\rangle \), where \( |\psi_i\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle) \) (see Appendix A for more details). Here \( |\psi_f\rangle \) is the Fock state of the bosonic mode with occupation number \( n_g \). As we see very good agreement between the exact and the analytical results is observed which indicates that the time-evolution is mainly dictated by the effective Hamiltonian (7).

Figure 2: (Color online) Fidelity susceptibility \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \partial_\lambda \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle / \Delta \hat{x}(t) \) as a function of time for different couplings \( \lambda \). The parameters are \( g/2\pi = 5.0 \text{ kHz} \) and \( \Phi/2\pi = 1.1 \text{ MHz} \). Higher frequency sensitivity is achieved by increasing \( \lambda \) toward the critical value.

Measuring the position quadrature \( \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \) one can estimate for example the bosonic frequency \( \omega \). In order to quantify the sensitivity in the frequency estimation we use fidelity susceptibility \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda(\omega) = \frac{\partial_\omega \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle}{\Delta \hat{x}(t)} \) [1]. The shot-noise limited sensitivity in the estimation of \( \omega \) from the measured signal \( \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \) is \( \delta \omega = 1/\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\omega) \). In Fig. 2 we plot the fidelity susceptibility as a function of time for different couplings \( \lambda \). As \( \lambda \) increases toward the critical coupling \( \lambda_c = 1 \) the sensitivity in the frequency estimation is improved. Indeed, using (8) it is straightforward to show that at time \( t^* = \pi/\omega \) the periodically driven quantum JT system exhibits critical behaviour at \( \lambda_c = 1 \). In Fig. 1 we show the exact time-evolution of the position quadrature \( \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \) and its variance \( \Delta \hat{x}(t) = \sqrt{\langle \hat{x}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle^2} \) using the time-dependent Hamiltonian (2).

Here \( \hat{q} = \{ \hat{x}, \hat{p}_x, \hat{y}, \hat{p}_y \} \) is the bosonic quadrature operator where \( \hat{q} = \hat{x} + i \hat{p}_x, \hat{p}_x = i(\hat{y} - \hat{q}) \) and respectively \( \hat{y} = \hat{x} + i \hat{p}_y, \hat{p}_y = i(\hat{q} - \hat{y}) \) are the position and momentum quadrature operators for the two bosonic modes. We compare the numerical result with the analytical expressions

\[
\langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle = \frac{\sin(\omega v_1 t)}{v_1}, \\
\Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 = \frac{1}{4 v_1^2 v_2} (6 - (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + (v_1^2 - 2(1 - v_2^2)^2)) \\
\times \cos(2\omega v_1 t) + (v_2^2 - 2(1 - v_2^2)(2) \cos(2\omega v_2 t)\delta)\]

where \( v_1(\epsilon) = \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon)} \) and \( v_2(\epsilon) = \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2(\epsilon)} \), where the couplings are \( \lambda_\pm(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{4g^2(1 \pm \epsilon)} (1 + \epsilon)} \) (we assume \( g = g_B \) and \( \epsilon = \Delta/\Phi \ll 1 \). Then using (8) we obtain \( \partial_\epsilon \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \sim (4g^2(1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon))^{-3/2}) \) and \( \Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 \sim (13 + \epsilon + (3 - \epsilon) \cos(2\pi v_2(\epsilon)/v_1(\epsilon)))/8 \) which implies that arbitrarily large frequency estimation precision can be achieved close to the criticality.

Our technique can be applied also to the measurement of the spin frequency \( \Delta \). The effect of \( \Delta \) on the spin-boson interaction is of order of \( \Phi^{-2} \). (see Appendix A). Including such terms we find that the eigenfrequencies of the effective Hamiltonian are modified according to \( \lambda(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{4g^2(1 \pm \epsilon)} (1 + \epsilon)} \) (we assume \( g = g_B \) and \( \epsilon = \Delta/\Phi \ll 1 \). Then using (8) we obtain \( \partial_\epsilon \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \sim (4g^2(1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon))^{-3/2}) \) and \( \Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 \sim (13 + \epsilon + (3 - \epsilon) \cos(2\pi v_2(\epsilon)/v_1(\epsilon)))/8 \) where

The energy gap tends to zero when \( \lambda \to 1 \) which signals the existence of critical point and emergence of quantum phase transition [19]. Such finite size quantum phase transition was discussed in the context of quantum Rabi model [9] where the dimensionless parameter \( \eta = \phi/\Omega \) is introduced. In the limit \( \eta \to 0 \) the quantum Rabi model exhibits a phase transition which was recently experimentally observed [10]. Here, one can define the ratio \( \eta_{pm} = \omega_\phi/\Phi \) such that in the limit \( \eta_{pm} \to 0 \) the periodically driven quantum JT system exhibits critical behaviour at \( \lambda_c = 1 \). In Fig. 1 we show the exact time-evolution of the position quadrature \( \langle \hat{q}(t) \rangle \) and its variance \( \Delta \hat{q}(t) = \sqrt{\langle \hat{q}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \hat{q}(t) \rangle^2} \) using the time-dependent Hamiltonian (2).

Here \( \hat{q} = \{ \hat{x}, \hat{p}_x, \hat{y}, \hat{p}_y \} \) is the bosonic quadrature operator where \( \hat{q} = (\hat{a}_1^+ + \hat{a}_2^+), \hat{p}_x = i(\hat{a}_1^+ - \hat{a}_2^+) \) and respectively \( \hat{y} = (\hat{a}_1^+ + \hat{a}_2^+), \hat{p}_y = i(\hat{a}_1^+ - \hat{a}_2^+) \) are the position and momentum quadrature operators for the two bosonic modes. We compare the numerical result with the analytical expressions

\[
\langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle = \frac{\sin(\omega v_1 t)}{v_1}, \\
\Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 = \frac{1}{4 v_1^2 v_2} (6 - (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + (v_1^2 - 2(1 - v_2^2)^2)) \\
\times \cos(2\omega v_1 t) + (v_2^2 - 2(1 - v_2^2)(2) \cos(2\omega v_2 t)\delta)\]

where \( v_1(\epsilon) = \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon)} \) and \( v_2(\epsilon) = \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2(\epsilon)} \), where the couplings are \( \lambda_\pm(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{4g^2(1 \pm \epsilon)} (1 + \epsilon)} \) (we assume \( g = g_B \) and \( \epsilon = \Delta/\Phi \ll 1 \). Then using (8) we obtain \( \partial_\epsilon \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \sim (4g^2(1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon))^{-3/2}) \) and \( \Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 \sim (13 + \epsilon + (3 - \epsilon) \cos(2\pi v_2(\epsilon)/v_1(\epsilon)))/8 \) which implies that arbitrarily large frequency estimation precision can be achieved close to the criticality.

Our technique can be applied also to the measurement of the spin frequency \( \Delta \). The effect of \( \Delta \) on the spin-boson interaction is of order of \( \Phi^{-2} \). (see Appendix A). Including such terms we find that the eigenfrequencies of the effective Hamiltonian are modified according to \( \lambda(\epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{4g^2(1 \pm \epsilon)} (1 + \epsilon)}} \) (we assume \( g = g_B \) and \( \epsilon = \Delta/\Phi \ll 1 \). Then using (8) we obtain \( \partial_\epsilon \langle \hat{x}(t) \rangle \sim (4g^2(1 - \lambda^2(\epsilon))^{-3/2}) \) and \( \Delta \hat{x}(t)^2 \sim (13 + \epsilon + (3 - \epsilon) \cos(2\pi v_2(\epsilon)/v_1(\epsilon)))/8 \) where
\( t^* = \pi/\omega V_1(\epsilon) \). For the spin frequency uncertainty estimation we obtain \( \delta e = 1/\mathcal{F}_e(\epsilon) \sim (\sqrt{5}/\pi)(1 - \lambda_4(\epsilon))^{3/2} \) which again becomes infinitesimally small close to the critical point \( \epsilon = 0 \).

In the following we discuss the effect of dissipation of the bosonic excitations. In that case the time-periodic drives and the loss of bosonic excitations leads to nonequilibrium steady state which we describe in terms of an effective time-independent Liouvillian.

### IV. QUANTUM METROLOGY WITH PERIODIC MODULATING DISSIPATIVE QUANTUM SYSTEM

Let us now consider the potential quantum metrology application of our periodically driven dissipative JT quantum system. The interplay between the dissipative dynamics and the coherent driving leads to emergence of nonequilibrium steady state. Such a steady state density matrix may exhibit the respective bosonic mode. Since the displacement with magnitude \( f \) is applied along the \( x \) direction which displaces the respective bosonic mode. Since the displacement term is time-independent it does not affect the time-average dynamics so that the total effective Hamiltonian becomes \( \hat{H}_T = \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} + \hat{H}_f \).

As time increases the system approaches the steady state with density matrix \( \hat{\rho}_{\text{ss}} \). Because the coherent as well as the dissipative dynamics are quadratic in the bosonic operators the steady state is in a Gaussian form, so that it can be completely characterized with the first and the second moments [23]. Let us define the symmetric covariance matrix whose elements are

\[
V(\hat{\rho}_{\text{ss}})_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \hat{q}_k \hat{q}_l + \hat{q}_l \hat{q}_k \rangle - d_k d_l,
\]

where \( d = \langle \hat{q} \rangle \) is the mean displacement vector and all expectation values are taken with respect to the steady state \( \hat{\rho}_{\text{ss}} \).

In Fig. 3(a) we plot the numerical result for the covariance elements \( V_{11} \) and \( V_{22} \) as a function of the coupling strength \( g = g_\beta \). In the steady state regime we find

\[
V_{11} = \frac{2\lambda_4^4 - \lambda_4^2}{2(\lambda_4^2 - \lambda_4^2)}, \quad V_{22} = \frac{2\lambda_4^4 + (\lambda_4^2 - 3)\lambda_4^4}{2(\lambda_4^2 - \lambda_4^2)},
\]

where we have assumed \( \omega_g = \omega \). Due to the symmetry we have \( V_{11} = V_{13} \) and \( V_{22} = V_{44} \). All other covariance matrix elements are presented in Appendix B. We identify two couplings defined by \( \lambda_{4c}^2 = (1 + \gamma^2/\omega^2)(1 + \epsilon)^{-1} \). Up to first order of \( \Phi \) we have \( \lambda_{4c}^2 = \lambda_4^2 \) with \( \lambda_4^2 = 1 + \gamma^2/\omega^2 \) being the critical coupling. We see that for strong periodic drive the effect of the micromotion term can be neglected such that the behaviour of the system is dictated by the effective Liouvillian (6). Increasing the spin-boson coupling the covariance elements increase as well and diverge by approaching \( \lambda_c \) as \( V_{kl} \sim (\lambda_c - \lambda)^{-1} \). In Fig. 3(b) we show the exact result for the experimen tally observable mean boson numbers \( \langle \hat{n}_x \rangle \) and \( \langle \hat{n}_y \rangle \). In the steady state regime these two quantities are given by (see Appendix B for details)

\[
\langle \hat{n}_x \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \frac{\lambda_4^4 \lambda_c^2 (\lambda_c^2 - \lambda^4) + 2f^2 \lambda_c^6}{8(\lambda_c^4 - \lambda^4)^2},
\]

\[
\langle \hat{n}_y \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \frac{\lambda_4^2 \lambda_c^6 (\lambda_c^2 - \lambda^4) + 2f^2 \lambda_c^4}{8(\lambda_c^4 - \lambda^4)^2},
\]

where \( f = f/\omega \). As we see the analytical results (11) match the exact result very closely. For \( \tilde{f} \neq 0 \) the two quantities diverges as \( \langle \hat{n}_y \rangle \sim (\lambda_c - \lambda)^3 \).

Let us now discuss the effect of the spin dephasing on the steady state. Such a decoherence effect can be described by including a spin dephasing term in Eq. (4) with the jump operator \( \hat{L}_{\text{dec}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{dep}}} \hat{\sigma}_z} \). Here \( \Gamma = 1/\tau_{\text{dep}} \) stands for the constant dephasing rate, and \( \tau_{\text{dep}} \) is the decoherence time. Since, the periodic drives creates a spin-dependent coupling between the bosonic modes one can expect that the spin decoherence would decrease the estimation precision. Indeed, as was discussed in [7] the spin noise decreases the achievable estimation precision using critical quantum Rabi system as a probe. Remarkably, because the high-frequency drive causes a spin-dependent bosonic interaction and the dissipative dyna mics is time-independent, the resulting effective Liouvillian is diagonal in the spin basis such that the JT system becomes immune
against the spin dephasing. We emphasize that the spin noisy decoupling is intimately related with the strong periodic drive in the JT system. We observe that even in the presence of spin dephasing the steady state numerical result for the average bosonic excitation in Fig. 1(b) closely follow the analytical expression (11). In Fig. 4(a) we show the time evolution of the position quadrature and the mean boson number including the spin decoherence term in Eq. (4) for \( \gamma_b = 0 \). Usually the effect of the spin dephasing is to compromises the signal contrast. As we see the high-frequency drive protect the signal contrast against spin dephasing. In Fig. 4(b) we plot the position quadrature as a function of the dephasing rate \( \Gamma \) for different frequencies \( \Phi \) and constant coupling \( \lambda \). We observe that by increasing \( \Phi \) and keeping \( \lambda \) fixed one can further suppress the effect of the spin dephasing. This result indicates the periodic modulating JT system can serve as a probe for enhanced parameter estimation with measurement time not limited by spin dephasing.

Finally, we discuss the estimation precision using our periodically driven dissipative JT system. Since our steady state is in two mode Gaussian form one can characterize the sensitivity in terms of quantum Fisher information. For concreteness, we estimate the sensitivity of the force estimation. Because in that case all covariance matrix elements are independent of the parameter we wish to estimate, the corresponding quantum Fisher information is given by \( \mathcal{F}_Q(f) = (\partial_f \mathcal{d})^T V(\rho_\omega)^{-1} (\partial_f \mathcal{d}) \) [24, 25]. The force precision is bounded by the quantum Cramér-Rao bound, \( \delta f^2 \geq \mathcal{F}_Q(f)^{-1} \). We find

\[
\mathcal{F}_Q(f) = \frac{16 \lambda_\omega^6 + 4 \lambda_\omega^4 \lambda^4 - 2 \lambda^8}{(\lambda^4 + 4(\lambda_\omega^2 - \lambda^2)) (\lambda^4 + 4(\lambda_\omega^2 + \lambda^2)) (\lambda_\omega^2 - \lambda^2)},
\]

Approaching \( \lambda \to \lambda_c \) we have \( \mathcal{F}_Q(f) \sim (1/2 \lambda_c^2)(\lambda_c - \lambda)^{-1} \) so that the uncertainty in the force estimation becomes \( \delta f \sim \sqrt{2 \lambda_c^2}(\lambda_c - \lambda)^{1/2} \).

V. PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION

Trapped ions are suitable quantum system to implement the periodic modulating dissipative JT model by controlling sideband coupling with laser radiations [26]. Indeed, the time-dependent spin-boson interaction can be created using laser radiation while in order to realize the dissipative term in the Lindblad equation (4) one need to perform a sympathetic cooling of auxiliary ion. For this goal we assume that two ions are confined in a linear Paul trap along the \( z \) axis with trap frequencies \( \omega_{x,y} \) where the radial trap frequencies are much larger than the axial trap frequency \( \omega_z \gg \omega_{x,y} \) so that the ions are arranged in a linear configuration. We assume that ion 1 is used to implement the JT interaction while ion 2 is the auxiliary ion which is not necessarily the same atomic species. In the limit of strong radial confinement one can treat the small radial oscillations of the ions around their equilibrium positions in terms of local phonons. Then the Hamiltonian which describes the \( x,y \) phonons becomes [27, 28]

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{ph}} = \sum_{\beta=x,y} \{ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger + \kappa_\beta (\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^\dagger) \}. \tag{13}
\]

Here \( \omega_\beta \) is the local phonon frequency along the \( \beta \) direction and \( \kappa_\beta \) is the Coulomb mediated hopping between sites 1 and 2. We assume that ion 1 has two metastable states \( |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle \) with transition frequency \( \omega_0 \) such that the interaction free Hamiltonian is \( \hat{H}_{\text{free}} = \hat{H}_{\text{ph}} + (\omega_0/2) \sigma_z \).

Let us now discuss the physical implementation of the periodic JT interaction. Consider that ion 1 is simultaneously addressed by bichromatic laser fields along two transverse \( x,y \) directions with laser frequencies beats note \( \omega_{x,y} = \omega_x - \Delta - (\omega_p - \omega_y) \) and \( \omega_{h,\beta} = \omega_h - \Delta + (\omega_p - \omega_y) \) which induce a transition between spin states \( |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle \). Here \( \Delta \) is the Lamb-Dicke parameter. The interaction Hamiltonian becomes

\[
\hat{H}_I = \Omega_x(t) \{ \sigma^+ e^{i \eta_x (\hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_1)} e^{-i \theta_x (\hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_2)} \} + \Omega_y(t) \{ \sigma^+ e^{i \eta_y (\hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_1)} e^{-i \theta_y (\hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_2)} \} + \text{h.c.} \tag{44}
\]

Here \( \Omega_x(t) = 2 \Omega_{x,0} \cos(\Phi t) \) and \( \Omega_y(t) = 2 \Omega_{y,0} \sin(\Phi t) \) are the time-dependent Rabi frequencies with amplitudes \( \Omega_{\beta,0} \), \( \Phi_\beta \) are the laser phases and \( \eta_\beta \) are the Lamb-Dicke parameters. For simplicity we denote \( \Delta_1 = \Delta = \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \). Next, we assume the Lamb-Dicke limit \( \eta \ll 1 \) and transform the Hamiltonian (14) in the rotating-frame with respect to \( \hat{U}_R(t) = e^{-i (\omega_0 - \Delta) t (\sigma_z/2) - \text{h.c.}} \{ (\omega_p - \omega_y) \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + i \theta_p \hat{a}_2 - i \theta_p \hat{a}_1^\dagger \} \) which yields

\[
\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I(t) = \hat{U}_R^\dagger (\hat{H}_{\text{free}} + \hat{H}_I) \hat{U}_R - i \hat{U}_R^\dagger \partial_t \hat{U}_R, \tag{15}
\]

where the spin-phonon couplings are \( g_\beta = \eta_\beta \Omega_{\beta,0} \) and we assume that \( \phi_x = \pi/2 \) and \( \phi_y = 0 \).
The dissipative dynamics of ion 1 can be implemented by performing a sympathetic cooling of the auxiliary ion 2 [29, 30]. We assume that the auxiliary ion is continuously laser cooled with \( \sum_\beta \tilde{H}(L_\beta)\tilde{p}(t) \) where the jump operators are \( \tilde{L}_\beta = \sqrt{\Gamma_\beta} \tilde{a}_{2\beta} \) with \( \Gamma_\beta \) being the cooling rates. The Heisenberg equation for the auxiliary x-y phonons becomes 
\[
\partial_t \tilde{a}_{2\beta} = i\kappa_\beta \tilde{a}_{2\beta} - \Gamma_\beta \tilde{a}_{2\beta}.
\]
In the limit \( \Gamma_\beta \gg \kappa_\beta \) one can adiabatically eliminate auxiliary modes which gives an effective dissipative dynamics for ion 1 with rates \( \gamma_\beta = \kappa_\beta^2 / \Gamma_\beta \).

VI. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a quantum metrology application of the finite size periodic modulating JT model which describes the interaction between a single spin and two bosonic modes. The periodic modulating spin-boson couplings drive the system into a regime dictated by the time-independent effective Hamiltonian. In the high-frequency drive regime the effective Hamiltonian describes a spin-dependent interaction between the two bosonic modes. We have shown that the energy gap vanishes at the critical point which can be used to enhance the precision of the parameter estimation. In particular, we have shown that the arbitrarily large boson or spin frequency estimation precision can be achieved close to a critical point.

Furthermore, we have discussed the effect of the loss of bosonic excitations on the time-dependent JT dynamics. The interplay between the periodic modulation and the dissipation drives the system into a nonequilibrium steady state. In high-frequency drive regime the time-evolution of the dissipative JT system is described in terms of an effective Liouvillian. We have shown that the steady state density matrix reviews a non-analytical behaviour at the critical point, which can be used for high-precision parameter estimation. The key advantage of using periodic modulating JT quantum probe is the robustness against the spin dephasing. We have shown that due to the high-frequency drive the effective Liouvillian is diagonal in the spin basis which makes the JT system immune against spin decoherence. Thanks of this our frequency measurement time is not limited by the spin decoherence.

We have discussed the physical implementation of our model using trapped ions. The JT spin-boson couplings are created by applying bichromatic laser fields along the transverse directions with time-periodic intensity which couple the internal ion’s spin states and phonons. The driven-dissipative dynamics can be implemented by using auxiliary ion which is continuously laser cooled. Finally, we note that our periodic modulating sensing technique is also relevant for other experimental setups such as cavity or circuit QED systems [31, 32].

Appendix A: Diagonalization of the effective Hamiltonian

1. Normal Modes

Here we provide the explicit diagonalization of the effective Hamiltonian. Up to terms of order of \( \Phi^{-2} \) the effective time-independent Hamiltonian is
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_0 + \frac{1}{\Phi} [\hat{v}, \hat{\nu}] - \frac{1}{2\Phi^2} [[[\hat{H}_0, \hat{v}], \hat{\nu}]] + [[[\hat{H}_0, \hat{\nu}], \hat{v}]] + O(\Phi^{-3}).
\] (A1)

Using Eqs. (1) and (3) we obtain
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \omega_i \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i + \omega_s \hat{a}_s^\dagger \hat{a}_s + \Delta \sigma_z - \frac{4g_s g_\nu}{\Phi} \sigma_z (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_s + \hat{a}_i^\dagger (\hat{a}_i^\dagger + \hat{a}_s))
\] (A2)
\[
-\frac{2g_s^2 \Delta}{\Phi^2} \sigma_z (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_s)^2 - \frac{2g_s^2 \Delta}{\Phi^2} \sigma_z (\hat{a}_i^\dagger + \hat{a}_s)^2.
\]

The effective Hamiltonian is diagonal in the spin basis. We assume that the spin is initially prepared in the state \( |\psi_{\text{spin}}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle \). Next, we introduce position and momentum operators for each of the bosonic modes,
\[
\hat{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_i}} (\hat{a}_i^\dagger + \hat{a}_i), \quad \hat{p}_x = i\frac{\omega_i}{2} (\hat{a}_i^\dagger - \hat{a}_i), \quad (A3)
\]
\[
\hat{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_s}} (\hat{a}_s^\dagger + \hat{a}_s), \quad \hat{p}_y = i\frac{\omega_s}{2} (\hat{a}_s^\dagger - \hat{a}_s), \quad (A4)
\]

such that the effective Hamiltonian becomes
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_x^2 + \hat{p}_y^2) + \frac{\omega_i^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{8g_s^2 \Delta}{\omega_s \Phi} \right) \hat{x}^2 + \frac{\omega_s^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{8g_s^2 \Delta}{\omega_s \Phi} \right) \hat{y}^2 - \frac{8g_s g_\nu}{\Phi} \sqrt{\omega_i \omega_s} \hat{x} \hat{y} (A5)
\]

Finally, we can rewrite (A5) as \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_x^2 + \hat{p}_y^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} B_{kl} \hat{q}_k \hat{q}_l \) where \( \hat{q} = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \) and
\[
B_{kl} = \begin{bmatrix}
\omega_i^2 \left( 1 - \frac{8g_s^2 \Delta}{\omega_s \Phi} \right) & -\frac{8g_s g_\nu}{\Phi} \sqrt{\omega_i \omega_s} \\
-\frac{8g_s g_\nu}{\Phi} \sqrt{\omega_i \omega_s} & \omega_s^2 \left( 1 - \frac{8g_s^2 \Delta}{\omega_s \Phi} \right)
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (A6)

The matrix \( B_{kl} \) is real and symmetric with non-negative eigenvalues \( \nu_{a,b}^2 (a,b = 1,2) \). The eigenvectors are defined by \( \sum_k B_{kl} b_k^{(a)} = \nu_{a,b}^2 b_k^{(a)} \), where we assume for simplicity \( \omega_i = \omega_s = \omega \). We find \( \nu_1^2 = 1 - \lambda^2 + O(\Phi^{-2}) \) and \( \nu_2^2 = 1 + \lambda^2 + O(\Phi^{-2}) \) where \( \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{8g_s \Delta}{\omega_s \Phi}} \) is the dimensionless coupling. The corresponding eigenvectors are \( \mathbf{b}^{(1)} = (1/\sqrt{2},1/\sqrt{2}) \) and \( \mathbf{b}^{(2)} = (1/\sqrt{2},-1/\sqrt{2}) \). In terms of normal mode coordinates \( \{\hat{Q}_a, \hat{P}_a\} \) related via \( \hat{q}_k = \sum_{a=1}^2 b_k^{(a)} \hat{Q}_a \) and \( \hat{p}_k = \sum_{a=1}^2 b_k^{(a)} \hat{P}_a \) the Hamiltonian becomes \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{P}_1^2 + \omega^2 \nu_1^2 \hat{Q}_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{P}_2^2 + \omega^2 \nu_2^2 \hat{Q}_2^2) \). Finally, we introduce a new set of two bosonic field operators by the relation
\[
\hat{Q}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \nu_a} (\hat{d}_a + \hat{d}_a^\dagger), \quad \hat{P}_a = i\frac{\sqrt{\omega_i \nu_a}}{2} (\hat{d}_a - \hat{d}_a^\dagger), \quad (A7)
\]
which bring the effective Hamiltonian into the diagonal form

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \nu_{\alpha} \hat{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\alpha}, \quad (A8) \]

where we have omitted the constant terms. The two sets of bosonic operators \{\hat{d}_{1}, \hat{d}_{2}\} may be expressed in terms of one another as

\[ \hat{d}_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \cosh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x} + \sinh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x}^{\dagger} \}, \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \cosh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x} + \sinh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x}^{\dagger} \}, \]
\[ \hat{d}_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \cosh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x} + \sinh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x}^{\dagger} \} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \cosh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x}^{\dagger} + \sinh(\theta) \hat{d}_{x} \}, \quad (A9) \]

where the mixing angle is given by \( \theta_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\nu_{\alpha}) \).

2. Time-evolution

Here we provide derivation of the Heisenberg equations of motion for the normal mode operators. We have

\[ \frac{d\hat{Q}_{\alpha}}{dt} = \hat{p}_{\alpha}, \quad \frac{d\hat{p}_{\alpha}}{dt} = -\omega^{2} \nu_{\alpha}^{2} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}, \quad (A10) \]

such that the time-evolution of the expectation values are \( \langle \hat{Q}_{\alpha}(t) \rangle = \lambda \sin(\omega \nu_{\alpha} t) + B \cos(\omega \nu_{\alpha} t) \) and respectively

\[ \langle \hat{Q}_{\beta}(t) \rangle = \frac{\sin(\omega \nu_{\beta} t)}{2} \sqrt{\nu_{\beta}}, \quad (A11) \]

Similarly, we find that

\[ \langle \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^{2}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega} \{ 1 + \frac{1}{\nu_{\alpha}^{2}} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \nu_{\alpha}^{2} \right) \sin^{2}(\omega \nu_{\alpha} t) \}, \]
\[ \langle \hat{Q}_{\beta}^{2}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega} \{ 1 + \frac{1}{\nu_{\beta}^{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \nu_{\beta}^{2} \right) \sin^{2}(\omega \nu_{\beta} t) \}. \quad (A12) \]

Appendix B: Steady-State

1. Bosonic Quadratures

Here we provide the detail information of the expectation values of the experimental observables in the steady-state regime. Consider the vector operator defined by \( \hat{\mathbf{H}} = \{ \hat{a}_{x}, \hat{a}_{y}, \hat{a}_{z} \}^{T} \). We find that the time-evolution of \( \hat{\mathbf{H}} \) obeys the following equation

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{\mathbf{H}} \rangle = \hat{G}_{0} \langle \hat{\mathbf{H}} \rangle + \mathbf{a}, \quad (B1) \]

where \( \tau = \omega t \) and

\[ \hat{G}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} -i \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{x}^{2}}{2} \right) - \gamma & i \frac{\lambda_{x}^{2}}{2} \epsilon & i \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \epsilon \\ -i \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \epsilon & i \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \right) - \gamma & \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \\ i \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \epsilon & \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} & \frac{\lambda_{z}^{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (B2) \]

with \( \epsilon = \Delta/\Phi, \gamma = \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \) and \( \mathbf{a} = \{-i \frac{\tau}{2}, i \frac{\tau}{2}, 0, 0 \}^{T} \).

In the steady state regime where \( \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{\mathbf{H}} \rangle = 0 \) we obtain \( \langle \hat{\mathbf{H}} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = -\hat{G}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{a} \). Using this, the position and momentum quadratures are given by

\[ \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \frac{\hat{f} \{ 1 - \frac{\nu_{x}^{2}}{2} \} - \gamma \langle \hat{a}_{x}^{\dagger} \rangle + i \frac{\nu_{x}^{2}}{2} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + \frac{\nu_{y}^{2}}{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle - i \frac{\nu_{y}^{2}}{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y}^{\dagger} \rangle - \gamma \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle + i \frac{\nu_{y}^{2}}{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y}^{\dagger} \rangle}{(1 - \nu_{x}^{2})(\nu_{x}^{2} + \nu_{y}^{2}) \lambda_{x}^{2}} \]
\[ \langle \hat{y} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \frac{\hat{f} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + i \lambda_{x}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + i \lambda_{y}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle}{(1 - \nu_{x}^{2})(\nu_{x}^{2} + \nu_{y}^{2}) \lambda_{x}^{2}} \quad (B3) \]

and \( \langle \hat{p}_{x} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \hat{f} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_{y} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \hat{f} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \) with \( \lambda_{x}^{2} = (1 + \nu_{x}^{2})(1 \pm \nu_{x}^{2})^{-1} \). Note that up to first order of \( \Phi^{-1} \) we have \( \lambda_{x}^{2} = \lambda_{y}^{2} = \lambda_{z}^{2} \) where \( \lambda_{c}^{2} = 1 + \nu_{c}^{2} \).

2. Covariance Matrix Elements

In order to evaluate the steady state covariance matrix elements we write the set of coupled differential equations for the following bosonic operators:

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \hat{a}_{x}^{2} \rangle) = -2i \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{x}^{2}}{2} \right) \gamma \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + i \lambda_{x}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_{x}^{2}}{2} \epsilon \]
\[ + i \lambda_{y}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle + i \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle) = -2i \gamma \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle + i \lambda_{x}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle + i \lambda_{y}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \epsilon \]
\[ + (\langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle) + \frac{\lambda_{y}^{2}}{2} \epsilon \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \hat{a}_{z} \rangle) = -2i \gamma \langle \hat{a}_{z} \rangle + i \lambda_{x}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{x} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{z} \rangle + i \lambda_{y}^{2} \langle \hat{a}_{y} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{z} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_{z}^{2}}{2} \epsilon \]
The set of equations for \( \langle \hat{a}_x^2 \rangle \) and \( \langle \hat{n}_y \rangle \) are identical in form to (B4) by replacing \( x \leftrightarrow y \). Note that we assume the force term displaces only the \( x \) bosonic mode. Finally, the set of equations for the correlations between the two bosonic modes are

\[
\partial_t \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y \rangle = -2i \left( \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{2} \right) \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y \rangle + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \epsilon' \langle \hat{a}_x^2 \hat{a}_y \rangle \\
+ \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y^\dagger \rangle + i \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left( \langle \hat{n}_x \rangle + \langle \hat{n}_y \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_x^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_y^2 \rangle \right) \\
+ i \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle \hat{a}_x^{\dagger} \rangle,
\]

and

\[
\partial_t \langle \hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_y^\dagger \rangle = -2i \tilde{y} \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y \rangle + i \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \epsilon' \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y^\dagger \rangle - \langle \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_y \rangle \\
+ i \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \langle \hat{a}_y \hat{a}_x \rangle - i \frac{\tilde{y}}{2} \langle \hat{a}_y^{\dagger} \rangle.
\]

(B5)

One can solve the system in the steady state by setting all time derivatives to zero. Then the symmetric covariance matrix elements are given by

\[
V_{11} = \frac{\lambda_0^4 - \lambda^4}{2(\lambda_0^4 - \lambda^4)}, \quad V_{22} = \frac{\lambda_0^4 + (\lambda_0^2 - 3)\lambda^4}{2(\lambda_0^4 - \lambda^4)},
\]

and

\[
V_{11} = V_{33}, \quad V_{22} = V_{44}, \quad V_{12} = V_{34}. \quad \text{The other elements are}
\]

\[
V_{13} = \frac{\lambda_0^2 \lambda^2}{2(\lambda_0^4 - \lambda^4)}, \quad V_{24} = \frac{\lambda^6 - \lambda_0^2 \lambda^2}{2(\lambda_0^4 - \lambda^4)},
\]

and

\[
V_{23} = V_{14}.
\]